

Rafferty, Tom D.

From: Clark, Tony T.
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2008 2:52 PM
To: Rafferty, Tom D.
Subject: FW: Keystone Pipeline Route

Actually, maybe not public input. I think she may be an intervener – so it would be docketed.
TC

From: Janie Capp [mailto:JanieCapp@csb100.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2008 2:09 PM
To: Wefald, Susan E.; Clark, Tony T.; kkramer@nd.gov; Wahl, Al; Binek, William W.; kranda@kelschlaw.com; jlinderman@PlainsJustice.org
Subject: FW: Keystone Pipeline Route

Good Afternoon:

RE: Keystone Pipeline Case # PU-06-421

The very most important thing is safety of the route not what is a safe route but what is the safest. We firmly believe this proposed route is not the safest.

As you are aware the Fordville Aquifer is a very important water source for us up here including the city of Park River.

With the slope from where the route is proposed it would take about 20-25 minutes to get to HWY 32 and on to the aquifer. Especially in the spring of the year.

We are sure that this pipe will leak and contaminate our water & soil as this is a new and untested technology. It is just a matter of when and when it does then what? You have heard and seen the damages of other oil leaks

Why should TransCanada tell you the PSC what to do? If they want to come through North Dakota then you tell them where the route is to be or not at all.

I still have a hard time with someone coming and taking something away from me that I don't want them to; that is what I call stealing! Also TransCanada has some credibility issues regarding Landowners.

Like I stated water is the most at risk and it will leak. I don't believe any amount of tax money is worth that.

If this pipeline has to go through North Dakota then please choose the safest route furthest away from the Fordville Aquifer even if it is more expensive..

Janie Capp
12466 60th St. NE
Lankin, ND 58250