

**Zeimer, Lisa D.**

---

**From:** Fahn, Patrick J.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 06, 2008 2:44 PM  
**To:** Zeimer, Lisa D.  
**Subject:** FW: Intervener response to pipe thickness

*Lisa – please docket in 06-421*

**Patrick Fahn**  
Utility Analyst  
Email: [pfahn@nd.gov](mailto:pfahn@nd.gov)  
Phone: (701) 328-4077

North Dakota Public Service Commission  
600 E Boulevard  
Bismarck ND 58505-0480  
Fax: (701) 328-2410

---

**From:** Janie Capp [<mailto:JanieCapp@csb100.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 06, 2008 1:21 PM  
**To:** Fahn, Patrick J.; Binek, William W.; Wefald, Susan E.; Clark, Tony T.; Cramer, Kevin; [kranda@kelschlaw.com](mailto:kranda@kelschlaw.com)  
**Subject:** Intervener response to pipe thickness

Feb 6, 2008

RE: TransCanada Pipeline Case : PU-06-421

Response to Docket 373

In regards to the thickness of the pipe for a distance of 2.3 miles by the Fordville Aquifer. The fact remains that the Aquifer is a lot longer than 2.3 miles and so therefore this offer by Keystone isn't even a band-aid to protecting the water source.

At the Park River Hearing in July; Terrill Borgeson testified that "maybe there just isn't a straight line route for TransCanada through the whole state of North Dakota. Maybe it just isn't there. Maybe to minimize the adverse effects they might have to zig and zag a little bit"

Testimony after testimony has talked about the leaks and the flow of the water in this area.

A reroute was presented and exhibited by Mr. Koski I believe. ( T9) Then Mr. Cowling talked about the reroute being so many extra miles, work and expense. MS. Tillquist talked about the reroute. But it never was thoroughly studied and proposed because Keystone doesn't want to. So they figure 2.3 miles of thicker pipe will pacify us. The fact is it is an insult to us Landowners.

Janie Capp  
12466 60<sup>th</sup> St. NE  
Lankin ND 58250