

**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA**  
**PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**Otter Tail Corporation**  
**Advance Determination of Prudence**  
**Application**

**Case No. PU-06-481**

**Montana-Dakota Utilities Co., a Division**  
**of MDU Resources Group, Inc.**  
**Advance Determination of Prudence**  
**Application**

**Case No. PU-06-482**

**ORDER DISMISSING TRADE SECRET PROTECTION REQUEST**

**June 13, 2007**

On June 5, 2007, Mark Trechock and Dakota Resource Council ("Intervenors") filed a Motion for an Order to protect the confidential portions of testimony filed by David Schlissel.

The Intervenors state that the Public Service Commission ("Commission") has granted a request for protection of certain material as protected trade secret information under Chapter 69-02-04 of the North Dakota Administrative Code as requested by Otter Tail Corporation ("Otter Tail") and Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. ("MDU").

The Intervenors request in their Motion that the Commission order the information designate as confidential information in the testimony of David Schlissel be protected as trade secret information under Chapter 69-02-04 of the North Dakota Administrative Code.

Section 69-02-09-01 of the North Dakota Administrative Code provides that an application requesting trade secret protection must include at least the following:

1. A general description of the nature of the information sought to be protected;
2. An explanation of why the information derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to other persons;

3. An explanation of why the information is not readily ascertainable by proper means by other persons;
4. A general description of the persons or entities that would obtain economic value from the disclosure or use of the information;
5. A specific description of known competitors and competitors' goods and services that are pertinent to the tariff or rate filing; and
6. A description of the efforts used to maintain the secrecy of the information.

N.D.C.C. Section 47-25.1-01(4) defines "trade secret" as:

"Trade secret" means information, including a formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or process, that:

- a. Derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use; and
- b. Is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

N.D. Admin. Code § 69-02-09-04 requires that the "commission staff examine the information and application and make a prima facie recommendation of whether the information is relevant and a trade secret under the definition of trade secret in North Dakota Century Code section 47-25.1-01."

On June 11, 2007 Commission staff (Staff) filed its response to the Intervenor's request for trade secret protection. Staff states that the information for which the Intervenor's request trade secret protection is information apparently received in responses, interrogatories, or data requests and is included in testimony which Intervenor's contend is confidential. However, the Intervenor's provide none of the information required under Section 69-02-09-01 to support granting of trade secret protection by the Commission.

Staff noted that the trade secret request filed by OTP and MDU for which trade secret protection was granted by the Commission on February 23, 2007 contains confidential information related to specific costs for specific items or categories of costs which was included as exhibits to prefiled direct testimony. Staff states it is unable to determine from the information provided by the Intervenors whether or not the Intervenors contend that the information the Intervenors seek to protect is all contained in information for which trade secret protection was granted to OTP and MDU on February 23, 2007. Staff further notes that narrative testimony is not included in the February 23, 2007 Order.


Staff recommends that the Intervenors' request for trade secret protection be denied. Staff further recommends that Intervenors be advised that their application for trade secret protection must comply with the requirements of Section 69-02-09-01, and that protection of cost projections does not include narrative testimony unless such testimony is shown to be eligible for trade secret protection.


We find that any information in the testimony of David Schlissel that is covered by the Commission's February 23, 2007 order granting trade secret protection to OTP and MDU must be protected under that order, and no further request for trade secret protection is necessary. Any additional information not covered by the February 23, 2007 order, which a party believes may be trade secret, must be separately and clearly identified and a request for trade secret protection must be supported by appropriate justification.

### Order

The Commission Orders Intervenors' application for trade secret protection filed June 5, 2007, is DISMISSED.

### PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

  
Tony Clark  
Commissioner

  
Susan E. Wefald  
President

  
Kevin Cramer  
Commissioner