

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
Pillsbury-Fargo 230 kV Transmission Line
Siting Application

Case No. PU-08-48

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE REGULAR, EMAIL OR INSIDE MAIL

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
COUNTY OF BURLEIGH

Janet Marquart deposes and says that:

she is over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action and, on the **12th day of June, 2008**, she deposited in the United States Mail, Bismarck, North Dakota, 86 envelopes by first class mail, fully prepaid, 20 envelopes by Inside Mail, securely sealed, and each containing a photocopy, and 53 electronic mail electronically sent a copy of:

ORDER

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL HEARING

The envelopes and electronic mails respectively were addressed as follows:

See attached list.

Each post office address shown is the respective addressee's last reasonably ascertainable post office address and each email address is the respective addressee's last reasonably ascertainable electronic mailing address.


Janet Marquart

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this **12th day of June, 2008**.

Shelly A Bauske

Notary Public

SEAL



**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
Pillsbury-Fargo 230-KV Transmission Line
Siting Application**

Case No. PU-08-48

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

June 6, 2008

Appearances

Commissioners Susan E. Wefald, Kevin Cramer and Tony Clark.

Jerome C. Kettleon, Attorney at Law, Pearce & Durick 314 East Thayer Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, on behalf of the Applicant, Minnkota Power Cooperative.

Gerad Paul, Staff Attorney, Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc., 1822 Mill Road, P.O. Box 13200, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58501 on behalf of Applicant, Minnkota Power Cooperative.

William W. Binek, Chief Counsel, Public Service Commission, Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota 58505, on behalf of the Public Service Commission.

Allen C. Hoberg, Administrative Law Judge and Director, Office of Administrative Hearings, 1701 N. 9 Street, Bismarck, ND 58501-1882, as Procedural Hearing Officer.

Preliminary Statement

On February 5, 2008, Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc. (Minnkota) with its then co-partner, Otter Tail Corporation dba Otter Tail Power Company (Otter Tail), filed a Letter of Intent (LOI) to submit siting applications for a proposed 230 kV electric transmission line and associated facilities to be constructed within Griggs, Steele, Barnes and Cass Counties of North Dakota. It was further requested in the LOI that the Commission shorten the one-year waiting period required between the submission of the LOI and the filing of an application.

On February 13, 2008, the Commission acknowledged the Letter of Intent, shortened the one year waiting period between filing a letter of intent and a siting application to one day, and assessed a filing fee of \$100,000 due upon the filing of an application.

On February 25 and 26, 2008, Minnkota held public informational meetings for area residents in the early evening at Page, North Dakota and Mapleton, North Dakota. Approximately 60 members of the public attended the meetings.

On March 18, 2008, Minnkota and Otter Tail filed an Application for a Waiver of Procedure and Timelines, and Consolidated Certificate of Corridor Compatibility and Route Permit (Application) authorizing construction of approximately 56.6 miles of 230 kV transmission line and associated new substation referred to as the Pillsbury-Fargo Generation Outlet Project. The Application requested that the Commission waive procedures set forth in N.D.C.C. Sections 49-22-08 and 49-22-08.1 to allow for a single consolidated hearing for Corridor Certificate and Route Permit.

On April 9, 2008, RES Americas Development, Inc. and PEAK Wind Development, LLC filed a Petition to Intervene.

On April 17, 2008, Minnkota filed an amendment to the Application indicating the withdrawal of Otter Tail Power Company as an applicant and as an owner of the line; expanding the proposed transmission facility corridor in the area of Amenias, North Dakota; and increasing the length of the proposed transmission line from approximately 56.6 miles to 61.6 miles.

On April 18, 2008, Minnkota filed its objections to the Petition for Intervention by RES Americas Development, Inc. and PEAK Wind Development, LLC on April 9, 2008.

On, April 21, 2008, the Commission acknowledged the withdrawal of Otter Tail Corporation as an applicant, deemed the application complete, conditioned upon the filing of a map showing proposed final transmission line structure locations with said map filed by Minnkota on or before May 15, 2008. The Commission issued a Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing, scheduling a public hearing to begin May 22, 2008 at 10 a.m. CDT at the City Auditorium in Casselton, North Dakota. The notice identified the following issues to be considered:

1. Will the location, construction, and operation of the proposed electric transmission facility produce minimal adverse effects on the environment, natural resources, and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota?
2. Is the proposed electric transmission facility compatible with the environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources?
3. Will the proposed electric transmission facility corridor and route minimize adverse human and environmental impact while ensuring continuing system reliability and integrity and ensuring that energy needs are met and fulfilled in an orderly and timely fashion?

4. Is it appropriate for the Commission to waive the procedures as requested in the application including the request for a single consolidated application for Corridor Certificate and Route Permit?

On April 23, 2008, the Commission denied the intervention request of RES Americas Development, Inc. and PEAK Wind Development, LLC, filed April 9, 2008.

On May 5, 2008, RES Americas Development, Inc., PEAK Wind Development, LLC., and Burchill Farms Incorporated filed a Petition to Intervene and Request for Shortened Notice and Response Period Expedited Consideration and Expedited Discovery.

On May 8, 2008, Minnkota Power Cooperative entered its objections to intervention by RES Americas Development, Inc., PEAK Wind Development, LLC and Burchill Farms Incorporated.

On May 9, 2008, the Commission, by Allen C. Hoberg, Administrative Law Judge, entered its Order denying intervention by RES Americas, Inc., PEAK Wind Development, LLC, and Burchill Farms Incorporated, filed May 5, 2008.

On May 15, 2008, Minnkota filed a map showing proposed final transmission line structure locations. The total distance of the line as determined in the final structure placement was found to be 61.1 miles.

A public hearing on Minnkota's amended Application was held as scheduled on May 22, 2008 in Casselton, North Dakota. Having allowed all interested persons an opportunity to be heard and having heard, reviewed and considered all testimony and evidence presented, the Commission makes the following:

Findings of Fact

1. Minnkota is a generation and transmission cooperative that supplies wholesale electricity to eleven member-owned distribution cooperatives, three in eastern North Dakota and eight in western Minnesota. Minnkota is headquartered in Grand Forks, North Dakota. Minnkota is the operating agent for Northern Municipal Power Agency (NMPA), which serves twelve municipal utilities in the same geographic region. Approximately one-half of Minnkota's electric load is located in North Dakota. Together, the Minnkota/NMPA Joint System currently serves more than 280,000 people.
2. Minnkota proposes to construct approximately 61.6 miles of 230 kV electric transmission line beginning at a new substation to be located in Section 7 of Ellsbery

Township, T143N, R56W, near Pillsbury, North Dakota and extending to the existing Maple River substation near Fargo, North Dakota. The purpose of the transmission line and associated Pillsbury substation is to transmit energy generated by proposed wind farm projects in Barnes, Steele and Griggs Counties to an interconnection with the regional transmission grid at the Maple River substation.

3. Construction and operation of the transmission facilities will conform to requirements of the National Electric Safety Code.
4. The estimated cost for materials and construction is approximately \$36 million.
5. Minnkota's originally proposed corridor and route generally followed an existing Cenex pipeline sited by the Commission in 1991 along railroad right-of-way and then paralleled an existing Minnkota 345 kV transmission line before interconnecting at the Maple River substation.
6. The majority of the proposed transmission line will be constructed using directly embedded mono-pole steel structures except that H-frame structures will be used on much of the east end of the project where the route is located adjacent to the existing 345-kV transmission line. The mono-pole steel structures will be approximately 90-130 feet in height with an average span between each structure of 600 feet and a maximum span of 900 feet under some circumstances. The H frame structures will be approximately 70 feet in height with an average span of approximately 900 feet and a maximum span of 1,000 feet under some circumstances.
7. Minnkota filed a reroute on April 15, 2008, explaining that input from landowners and the Amenia Township Board combined with the presence of airport communication towers, the proximity to the City of Amenia and an analysis of exclusion and avoidance areas caused Minnkota to propose a reroute to the area of the Rush River Watershed Resource District. The Rush River Watershed Resource District provided three and one-half miles of east to west right-of-way on property owned by the District and generally adjacent to a drainage structure. The reroute then turned south at 180th Avenue SE before crossing Section 2 of Rush River Township at its midpoint, and then proceeding south on 161th Avenue SE.

Alternate Rush River Township Reroute

8. During the May 22, 2008 hearing the Commission requested that Minnkota file Late-Filed Exhibit 2 exploring alternative reroutes in the northeast portion of Rush River Township because of landowner concerns regarding potential adverse impacts of electromagnetic fields or stray voltage to cattle in Section 2. Minnkota submitted the late-filed exhibit with a recommendation that the Commission approve an alternate reroute in the northeast portion of Rush River Township described as:

At the northeast corner of Section 4, Rush River Township at Pole No. 358, the transmission line turns south along the easterly section line of Sections 4 and 9; then from the southeast corner of Section 9 into Section 15 and continuing down a westerly section line one-half mile to the center of Section 15. From there the line would proceed on the north side of the center of Section 15 in an easterly direction through Section 14 until it crosses to the western edge of Section 13, where it would then meet with Pole No. 399 on the Line Structure Location Drawings filed on May 15, 2008.

8. Minnkota's recommended alternate reroute presented in Late-Filed Exhibit 2 is contingent upon completing cultural, biological, and wetlands surveys. The Commission finds that additional time for surveys and an opportunity for interested persons to be heard are needed before designating a transmission route within this northeastern portion of Rush River Township.

Reed Township Conditional Use Permit

9. At the May 22, 2008 public hearing testimony was received concerning Minnkota's request that the Commission act to supersede a provision in a conditional use permit granted by Reed Township in Cass County, North Dakota. Minnkota's proposed route is to be double circuited with the adjacent 345 kV Minnkota line for the Sheyenne River crossing along the eastern side of section 7 in Reed Township. Reed Township's conditional use permit includes a condition that the proposed transmission line be double circuited an additional $\frac{3}{4}$ mile across the remainder of section 7. Minnkota contends that the additional double circuiting would be cost prohibitive and result in less system reliability. Minnkota asks that Reed Township's condition to the conditional use be superseded and preempted, pursuant to N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16(2).

10. Minnkota proposes routing the new 230 kV transmission line 173 feet south of the centerline of the existing Minnkota 345 kV transmission line. Transmission structure placements are proposed to generally mirror existing 345 kV structure placements to the extent practicable to minimize potential visual, avian and structural impacts. The new structures would be approximately the same height or shorter than existing structures and placed at the same spacing and parallel to the existing structures so that the lines would be at approximately the same elevation. Minnkota notes that in a few locations it will be necessary for the proposed structure location to deviate from mirroring the placement of existing 345 kV structures, primarily to minimize impacts on wetlands.

11. The Commission directed that Minnkota prepare a late-filed exhibit exploring double circuiting across Section 7 of Reed Township and also across the adjacent Raymond Township. The resulting Late-Filed Exhibit 1 indicates that double circuiting the 345 kV line would require removal and replacement of the 345 kV structures along the double circuited portion of the route, which for Section 7 alone could cost as much as \$2.9 million

in construction costs plus up to \$24 million for replacement power purchases depending in part on how long the 345 kV line would be out of service for construction.

12. The Commission finds that double circuiting across all of Section 7, as required by the Reed Township conditional use permit, would be cost prohibitive and result in somewhat less system reliability.

13. The Commission finds that the condition to the conditional use permit as granted by the Reed Township Supervisors is unreasonably restrictive as it applies to this project because of reliability concerns and because of a significant increase in costs.

Evaluation Criteria

14. North Dakota Administrative Code Chapter 69-06-08 sets forth certain criteria to guide the Commission in evaluating the suitability of granting an application for a certificate of corridor compatibility and route permit. The criteria as set forth in Section 69-06-08-02 are classified as Exclusion Areas, Avoidance Areas, Selection Criteria and Policy Criteria. A transmission facility route must not be sited within an Exclusion Area. A transmission facility route must not be sited within an Avoidance Area unless the applicant shows under the circumstances there are no reasonable alternatives. In determining whether an Avoidance Area should be designated for a facility, the Commission may consider, among other things, the proposed management of adverse impacts; the orderly siting of facilities; system reliability and integrity; the efficient use of resources; and alternative routes. In accordance with the Commission's Selection Criteria, a transmission facility may be approved if it is demonstrated that no significant adverse impacts will result from the location, construction and maintenance of the transmission facility. In accordance with the Commission's Policy Criteria, preference may be given to an applicant demonstrating certain benefits of the transmission facility.

15. Minnkota evaluated a corridor width of 6 miles, except in an area near the city of Amenia, where the corridor width was increased to about 7 miles to accommodate a route change. The Commission finds the increased corridor width is reasonable and should be approved.

16. No exclusion areas have been identified along the proposed route.

17. Avoidance areas along the proposed route include ten occupied residences within 500 feet of the route. Minnkota has obtained written waivers from the owners of these residences.

18. Minnkota conducted a Class I Cultural Resources Inventory (CRI) of North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) files within the corridor and route of the transmission facility. A Class III CRI pedestrian survey of transmission structure locations

and the route has been completed and a survey report was filed with the Commission on May 27, 2008. Transmission facility locations will avoid any cultural resources identified during the survey. The Class III CRI of the transmission route determined that no cultural resources are anticipated to be impacted or indirectly impacted by the project.

19. Minnkota submitted substantial evidence to demonstrate that the proposed transmission facility would not have significant impact on the Selection Criteria set forth in Section 69-06-08-01(3) of the North Dakota Administrative Code. The proposed route would result in the loss of a minimal amount of woodlands. Those woodlands primarily consist of field or farmstead windbreaks and river crossings. Minnkota conducted a wetland delineation along the proposed route. There are numerous wetlands within the proposed corridor that are crossed by the proposed route. Two wetlands in Cass County are impacted by structure placement along the proposed route. One of those wetlands is located in section 20 of Reed Township and is a Water of the United States subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers ("Corps of Engineers") under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. The Corps of Engineers has issued Nationwide Permit 12 for the construction of utility line facilities in Waters of the United States and Minnkota will comply with Nationwide Permit 12 conditions. The other impacted wetland is an isolated wetland located in section 17 of Rich Township and is not subject to Corps of Engineers jurisdiction.

20. Minnkota submitted substantial evidence to demonstrate its commitment to maximize the benefits of the proposed transmission facility as far as is possible to meet the Policy Criteria set forth in Section 69-06-08-01(4), N.D. Admin. Code.

21. Temporary impacts to vegetation will occur in the right of way area and around each structure location during construction of the Generation Outlet Line. Permanent impact to vegetation will occur at each structure location.

22. Raptors, waterfowl and other bird species may be affected by the construction and placement of the proposed 230 kV transmission line. Minnkota will use bird-safe designs to help avoid potential impacts to avian species along the proposed route. The mirroring of H-frame structures placed in parallel with existing 345 kV structures will make the conductors of the existing and new lines easier for birds to see and avoid. The proposed H-frame design will also use suspension insulation with a clearance of approximately 84 inches in order to eliminate the potential for electrocution of raptors.

From the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Commission now makes its:

Conclusions of Law

1. The Commission has jurisdiction over this proceeding under Chapter 49-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.

2. The 230 kV transmission line proposed by Minnkota is a transmission facility as defined in Section 49-22-03(12), of the North Dakota Century Code.
3. The proposed project is of such length design, location and purpose that it will produce minimal adverse effects, as defined under Section 49-22-05.2, of the North Dakota Century Code.
4. The Application submitted by Minnkota meets the corridor and route evaluation criteria required by Chapter 49-22 of the North Dakota Century Code.
5. The location, construction, and operation of the proposed transmission facility will produce only minimal adverse effects of the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota.
6. The proposed transmission facility is compatible with the environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources.
7. The proposed transmission facility corridor and route will minimize adverse human and environmental impact while ensuring continuing system reliability and integrity and ensuring that energy needs are met and fulfilled in an orderly and timely fashion.
8. The proposed transmission facility corridor and route are of such length, design, location and purpose that they will produce minimal adverse affects.
9. The requested waivers of procedures and time schedules are justified based upon: the minimal impacts on the environment and the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota; the lack of objection to the proposed transmission facility by federal, state and local government bodies and agencies or by the vast majority of landowners along the route; and the objective to have area wind generation projects in operation by December 31, 2008.
10. The condition to the Conditional Use Permit required by Reed Township, Cass County is unreasonably restrictive as it applies to this case.
11. Additional time for routing criteria assessment and an opportunity for interested persons to be heard are needed before designating a route in the area of Minnkota's alternate reroute proposed in the northeastern portion of Rush River Township.

From the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Commission now makes its:

Order

The Commission orders:

1. Minnkota's application for a waiver of procedures and time schedules is granted.
2. Certificate of Compatibility for a Transmission Facility Corridor No. 105 is issued to Minnkota, designating a corridor for its proposed transmission facility as described in Minnkota's amended application.
3. Route Permit for Construction of a Transmission Facility No. 115 is issued to Minnkota granting authority to construct the proposed transmission line and Pillsbury substation, except that no route is designated within the area of the alternate reroute proposed in the northeastern portion of Rush River Township.
4. Reed Township's condition to its Conditional Use Permit requiring double circuiting across all of section 7 is superseded.
5. Minnkota shall conduct a preconstruction conference prior to commencement of any construction, which must include a Minnkota representative, its construction supervisor, and a representative of Commission staff to ensure that Minnkota fully understands the conditions set forth in this Order.
6. Minnkota shall comply with the rules and regulations of all other agencies having jurisdiction over any phase of the proposed transmission facility. Prior to commencing construction of any phase of the proposed project, Minnkota shall obtain all other necessary approvals and permits for construction of such phase and provide copies to the Commission prior to the construction of each such phase.
7. Minnkota shall inform the Commission of its intent to start construction on the transmission facility prior to the commencement of construction, and, once construction has started, Minnkota shall keep the Commission updated of construction activities on a weekly basis.
8. Minnkota shall construct and operate the transmission facility in the manner described in its Application and at the hearing, and in accordance with all applicable safety requirements.
9. Minnkota shall promptly report to the Commission the presence in the permit area of any critical habitat of threatened or endangered species, or of bald or golden eagles that Minnkota becomes aware of and that were not previously reported to the Commission.

10. If any cultural resource, paleontological, archeological, historical, or grave site is discovered during construction, earth disturbing activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery must be halted. The resource must be marked, preserved and protected from further disturbances until a professional examination can be made and consultation with the State Historical Preservation Office. A report of such examination must be filed with the Commission, and clearance to proceed must be given by the Commission.

11. All pre-existing roads and lanes used during construction must be restored to a condition that will accommodate their previous use and areas used as temporary roads during construction must be restored to their original condition.

12. Construction must be suspended when weather conditions are such that construction activities will cause irreparable damage, unless adequate protection measures approved by the Commission are taken.

13. Reclamation along the right-of-way shall be continuous and coordinated with construction.

14. Reclamation, fertilization and reseeding is to be done by Minnkota according to the Natural Resource Conservation Service or USFWS recommendations for CRP, native prairie and other non-cropped lands unless otherwise specified by the landowner and approved by the Commission.

15. Minnkota shall comply with the Commission's Tree and Shrub Mitigation Specifications attached to this Order except that the width of clear cuts through windbreaks, shelterbelts and all other wooded areas shall be limited to 125 feet rather than 50 feet.

16. Minnkota's obligation for reclamation and maintenance of the right-of-way shall continue throughout the life of the Transmission facilities.

17. Minnkota shall work with landowners and residents to mitigate any increase in television and residential radio interference that results from the path of the transmission line.

18. Minnkota shall repair or replace all fences and gates removed or damaged during all phases construction and operation of the proposed transmission facilities.


19. Minnkota shall obtain approval from the Commission or from Commission staff prior to any changes in structure locations.

20. Minnkota shall provide the Commission with a copy of the facility alignment plan and profile drawings showing the facility as built (hardcopy and electronic versions), and an

electronic version of the as-built facility that can be imported into ESRI GIS mapping software, within 3 months of the completion of the construction.

21. The authorizations granted by the corridor certificate and route permit are subject to modification by order of the Commission if deemed necessary to further protect the public or the environment.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION



Tony Clark
Commissioner

Susan E. Wefald
President

Kevin Cramer
Commissioner

CONCURRING OPINION
Commissioner Kevin Cramer

June 6, 2008

Minnkota Power Coop., Inc.
Pillsbury-Fargo 230 kV Transmission Line
Complaint

Case No. PU-08-48

Electricity generated by renewable fuels is in high demand in our region and nation and North Dakota has what the market wants. The challenge is getting our product to the market and this transmission line is one of many new and proposed vehicles to do just that.

Unfortunately we all find ourselves forced into making major decisions within a very short time frame. The Federal Production Tax Credit (PTC) which creates the incentive for wind development in North Dakota and across the country is scheduled to expire this year. In order to receive the tax credit wind farms must be commissioned this year. That is, they must be generating and transmitting electricity by December 31, 2008 or lose the tax credit.

Congress has failed to renew the PTC which would give companies as well as vendors and suppliers the time to adequately plan for major investment and construction. This government imposed deadline also distorts natural markets, artificially tightening the supply-demand curve resulting in inflated construction costs which must be passed on to consumers.

What America really needs is a long term energy policy that sends signals that will inspire investment. Instead our congress passes short term legislation enhancing uncertainty in the future of energy development in our country.

Today the North Dakota Public Service Commission is voting to certify a corridor and permit a nearly 60 mile route for a 230kv transmission line from Pillsbury to a substation near Fargo. This line is designed to carry electricity generated in Barnes, Griggs and Steele Counties resulting in hundreds of millions of dollars of investment and thousands of dollars of local and state tax revenues.

Wind farms and transmission lines don't get built in a weekend so the clock is ticking while congress does nothing, creating the short timeline we find ourselves in today. In order for the projects to get built the planning and review processes must be shortened. We have done all we can to expedite the regulatory process while not compromising the integrity of our charge to protect the environment, cultural resources and people. We are also charged with continuing service reliability and integrity while ensuring energy needs are met in an orderly and timely fashion.

While I will vote for this order, two issues cause me some apprehension. One is the nagging question; is the line big enough? The applicant provided a thorough analysis comparing a 230kv line and a 345kv line. It is compelling testimony as the cost of a 345kv upgrade is high. Another developer, Peak Wind, testified that they'd be willing to pay the additional costs, but the short time frame seems to make it impossible to change the plans now and still meet congress' deadline for the PTC.

Furthermore, although the argument presented by Peak is compelling, I don't see where the PSC has the authority to order a 345kv capacity to an application for 230kv, especially if such a condition would likely kill the project altogether.

The other issue causing me to pause is the fact that this order supersedes a condition placed on the conditional use permit by a Township Board. Reed Township has conditioned their permit requiring the proposed 230kv line be double circuited with an existing 345kv line through one section. The existing line runs parallel to the proposed route for eight miles. At the request of a single landowner in Reed Township, the zoning board has placed the double circuit condition on the use permit. No other zoning board along the eight miles of parallel routes has imposed such a condition.

The PSC has the authority to issue a permit which supersedes and preempts local zoning conditions upon a finding that such conditions are unreasonably restrictive in view of existing technology, factors of cost or economics, or needs of consumers.


While it would appear this is a reasonable and easy condition to meet, there are complications.

I disagree with the finding in the order that double circuiting in Reed Township would result in "somewhat less reliability." The fact the lines are double circuited to cross the Sheyenne River already exposes the two lines to the consequences of a single catastrophic event. Expanding the double circuited portion by another mile would have a negligible impact on reliability. Clearly the technology is readily available to meet the township's demands.

The only issue that meets the legal standard for superseding local authority is cost. Double circuiting a mile of line requires the existing line be taken out of service during construction. This is a major outage that has not been planned for. It would require a purchase to replace the power unavailable during the outage at an estimated cost of \$24 million to be paid by consumers. The purchase cost of power during the outage combined with the additional costs of equipment and materials for the construction makes the township board's condition unreasonably restrictive in my view.

I believe the big challenges to sighting this and future transmission infrastructure would be met with little to no public opposition if time allowed for more and better planning.

If North Dakota is to realize the potential of being the "Saudi Arabia of Wind" we must facilitate the building of the infrastructure to do it. State officials are doing our part. The companies are doing their part. It would be nice to have a little help from the Washington politicians who seem more enamored with sticking it to baseball players on steroids than finding solutions to America's energy needs.



Kevin Cramer, Commissioner

CONCURRING OPINION, DISSENT IN PART
Commissioner Susan Wefald

June 6, 2008

Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
Pillsbury-Fargo 230 kV Transmission Line
Siting Application

Case No. PU-08-48

I concur with all of the Commission's June 6 order except for paragraphs 12 and 13 in the Findings of Fact, paragraph 10 of the Conclusions of Law, and paragraph 4 of the Order. All of these sections relate to the Reed Township Conditional Use Permit. My fellow commissioners voted to supersede the Reed Township Conditional Use Permit. I disagree. Superseding of local land use planning authority should be reserved only for extraordinary circumstances.

Also, I recommend that the North Dakota Transmission Authority work with the North Dakota Public Service Commission on identifying renewable energy zones in our state, so that more effective and efficient transmission planning for energy development can occur in the coming years. It is unfortunate that the Pillsbury to Fargo 230 kV transmission line will only meet immediate needs, and will not meet the transmission needs that have been identified in the Valley City area even 5 years in the future.

Minnkota first brought the issue of the Reed Township Conditional Use Permit to the Commission's attention at the May 22, 2008 hearing in Casselton. The Commission had no information on this matter prior to the hearing.

At the hearing Minnkota provided testimony on the facts of this matter, which are contained in this order in Findings of Fact, paragraphs 9 and 10.

At the hearing, Minnkota provided testimony, but no specific figures about costs. So the Commission asked that a late filed exhibit be filed stating incremental costs for double circuiting the line in Reed Township, which is adjacent to the double circuited portion of the line crossing the Sheyenne River.

Late filed Exhibit 1 indicated that Reed Township's requirement for double circuiting across section 7 could cost up to \$2.9 million in construction costs, plus up to \$24 million for replacement power purchases depending in part on how long the 345 kV line would be out of service for construction. The Commission had no opportunity to cross-examine Minnkota about the \$24 million figure. Minnkota clarified in a supplement to Late Filed Exhibit 1, that \$24 million for replacement power represents a full four weeks of downtime with full loss of Coyote generating station availability and payment of peak market energy prices for replacement

energy throughout the entire four weeks. It appears that Minnkota's cost estimates are at least worse case and that actual costs of double circuiting are likely to be significantly less.


Minnkota raised concerns that double circuiting across the entire section 7 would degrade system reliability largely because of the increased probability that a catastrophic failure event such as weather, etc. could take out both lines if they were on the same structures. This is highly unlikely. The effect the double circuiting would have on reliability would likely be minimal. The proposed 230 kV line would be a radial line with the only purpose being to interconnect an intermittent wind generating resource to the power grid. The loss of that interconnection would have the same effect on system reliability as the wind not blowing.

Also, Minnkota's proposed parallel route across section 7 would significantly increase the amount of land use for transmission right of way in Reed Township. Reed Township made a reasonable condition when it required double circuiting of the lines in its township.

Although I am pleased that Minnkota is building this 230kV transmission line in North Dakota, this project needed more planning time and public input. This line will carry energy from two planned wind developments in the Valley City area; however, there are three planned wind developments in the Valley City area, and we already know that in a few years more transmission capacity may be needed. Developers need to deliver power to market by December 31, 2008 in order to be assured of receiving the Federal Production Tax Credit. Minnkota also announced in the past month that they plan to build a new 345 kV line from Center, North Dakota to the eastern part of the state.

There are ways to accommodate more electric capacity on transmission systems. These include double circuiting (placing two lines on an existing transmission system); building a new transmission system which includes not only the presently needed line, but room for an additional line in the future on the same system; using new technology to create more capacity on existing transmission lines; etc. At the hearing Minnkota testified that they already had ordered materials needed for the proposed 230 kV line, and had timetables in place for its construction. Therefore, although these options were brought up at the hearing, it was really too late in the process for significant changes to be made in the project.

Texas has a system in place where it designates renewable energy zones, and then plans transmission enhancements to these zones. This is working well for Texas - they are the state with the most wind development in the country. In North Dakota the Transmission Authority could work with the Commission on identifying several wind energy zones in North Dakota, and start planning now to make thorough, thoughtful transmission planning a reality in our state as well.


Susan E. Wefald, Commissioner

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
Certificate of Corridor Compatibility
Number 105


This is to certify that the Commission has designated a transmission facility corridor for Minnkota Power Coop., Inc. to construct and operate approximately 61.1 miles of 230 kV transmission line and associated facilities in Steele, Barnes, and Cass Counties of North Dakota.


This certificate is issued in accordance with the Order of this Commission dated June 6, 2008 in Case No. PU-08-48 and is subject to the conditions and limitations noted in the Order.

Bismarck, North Dakota, June 6, 2008.

ATTEST:

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Executive Director


Commissioner

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

Route Permit No. 115

This is to certify that the Commission has designated a transmission facility route for Minnkota Power Coop., Inc. to construct and operate approximately 61.1 miles of 230 kV transmission line and associated facilities in Steele, Barnes and Cass Counties of North Dakota.


This certificate is issued in accordance with the Order of this Commission dated June 6, 2008 in Case No. PU-08-48 and is subject to the conditions and limitations noted in the Order.

Bismarck, North Dakota, June 6, 2008.

ATTEST:

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Executive Director


Commissioner

Case No. PU-08-48

Tree and Shrub Mitigation Specifications

Inventory

1. Trees and shrubs anticipated to be cleared, including those that are considered invasive species or noxious weeds (e.g., *Caragana arborescens*, *Elaeagnus angustifolia*, *Rhamnus cathartica*, *Tamarix chinensis*, *T. parviflora*, *T. ramosissima*, *Ulmus pumila*), shall be inventoried before cutting. The inventory shall record the location, number, and species of trees and shrubs.
2. In windbreaks, shelterbelts and other planted areas, trees or shrubs anticipated to be cleared, regardless of size, shall be inventoried for replacement.
3. In native growth areas, trees anticipated to be cleared that are 1 inch diameter at breast height ("dbh") or greater shall be inventoried for replacement.
4. In native growth areas, shrubs anticipated to be cleared in the permanent right-of-way shall be inventoried for replacement.
5. In native growth areas outside the permanent right-of-way, shrubs shall be cut flush with the surface of the ground, taking care to leave the naturally occurring seed bank and root stock intact. If soil disturbance is necessary, the native topsoil shall be preserved and replaced after construction. Shrubs shall be allowed to regenerate naturally where native topsoil is preserved and replaced. Where native topsoil is not preserved and replaced, shrubs anticipated to be cleared shall be inventoried for replacement.
6. In native growth areas, trees and shrubs may be inventoried by actual count or by sampling method that will properly represent the woody

vegetation population. A sampling plan developed by the company, filed with the North Dakota Public Service Commission (NDPSC) and approved prior to the start of construction shall define the sampling method to be used for trees, for tall shrubs and for low shrubs. The data from the sample plots shall be extrapolated to the total acreage of the wooded area to be cleared to determine the species and quantity of trees and shrubs to be replaced.

Clearing for Construction

7. Trees and shrubs shall be selectively cleared, leaving mature trees and shrubs intact where practical.
8. The width of clear cuts through windbreaks, shelterbelts and all other wooded areas shall be limited to 50 feet or less unless otherwise approved by the NDPSC.
9. If the area of trees or shrubs actually cleared differs from the area inventoried, the difference in number of trees and shrubs to be replaced shall be noted on the inventory.

Replacement

10. Prior to tree/shrub replacement, documentation identifying the number and variety of trees removed as well as the mitigation plan for the proposed number, variety, type, location and date of replacement plantings shall be filed with the NDPSC for approval.
11. Tree replacement shall be on a 2 to 1 basis with 2-year-old saplings. Shrub replacement shall be on a 2 to 1 basis with stem cuttings.
12. Trees and shrubs shall be replaced by the same species or similar species suitable for North Dakota growing conditions as recommended by the North Dakota Forest Service.

13. Landowners shall be given the option of having replacement trees/shrubs planted off the right-of-way on the landowner's property or waiving that requirement in writing and allowing those replacement trees/shrubs to be planted at alternative locations.
14. At the conclusion of the project, documentation identifying the actual number, variety, type, location and date of the replacement plantings shall be filed with the NDPSC.
15. Tree/shrub replacements shall be inspected once a year for three years, on about the anniversary of the plantings, and, on or shortly before October 1 of each year, a report shall be submitted to the NDPSC documenting the condition of replacement planting and any woodlands work completed. If after three years from the anniversary of the plantings the survival rate is less than 75%, the NDPSC may order additional planting(s).

**STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

**Minnkota Power Cooperative
Pillsbury-Fargo 230 kV Transmission Line
Siting Application**

Case No. PU-08-48

NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL HEARING

June 6, 2008

On March 18, 2008 Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc. (Minnkota) and Otter Tail Corporation d/b/a Otter Tail Power Company (Otter Tail) filed an application for waiver of procedures and time schedules, and a consolidated application for a certificate of corridor compatibility and a route permit authorizing construction of a 230,000 volt (230 kV) electric transmission line and associated facilities. On April 17, 2008 the application was amended with a revised corridor and route proposal and with notification that Otter Tail was withdrawing as an applicant.

On April 21, 2008, the Commission issued its Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing scheduling a public hearing on the application for May 22, 2008. The hearing was held as scheduled.

At the public hearing the Commission requested that Minnkota study an alternative route for a portion of the line located in Rush River Township in Cass County, North Dakota. On May 28, 2008 Minnkota filed Late-Filed Exhibit 2 in which Minnkota proposes an alternative route within the corridor in the northeast portion of Rush River Township.

On June 6, 2008, the Commission issued its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order approving the application and issuing Certificate of Compatibility for a Transmission Corridor No. 105, and a Route Permit for Construction of a Transmission Facility No. 115 approving the route for the Transmission facility except for that portion of the line to be located in Rush River Township within Sections 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15 and 16 Township 141 North, Range 51 West.

A public hearing limited to issues relating to the proposed alternative route located in Rush River Township within or near Sections 3, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15 and 16, Township 141 North, Range 51 West is scheduled to begin July 8, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. CDT, in the Commission Hearing Room, 12th Floor, State Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota.

The issues to be considered in this proceeding are:


1. Will the location, construction, and operation of the proposed electric transmission line produce minimal adverse effects on


the environment, natural resources, and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota?

2. Is the proposed electric transmission line compatible with the environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources?
3. Will the proposed electric transmission line route minimize adverse human and environmental impact while ensuring continuing system reliability and integrity and ensuring that energy needs are met and fulfilled in an orderly and timely fashion?

For more information contact the Public Service Commission, State Capitol, Bismarck, North Dakota 58505, 701-328-2400; or Relay North Dakota 1-800-366-6888 TTY. If you require any auxiliary aids or services, such as readers, signers, or Braille materials please notify Ilona A. Jeffcoat-Sacco, Executive Secretary at least 24 hours in advance.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Tony Clark
Commissioner


Susan E. Wefald
President


Kevin Cramer
Commissioner

mweninge@pioneer.state.nd.us
Melinda Weninger
Aeronautics Commission
PO Box 5020
Bismarck ND 58502-5020

rharper@bepc.com
Ron Harper
Basin Electric Power Coop
1717 E Interstate Ave
Bismarck ND 58501-0564

carp1091@qwest.net
Robert Colton
Carpenters Union
217 S Mandan St
Bismarck ND 58504-5516

bschmidt@kwh.com
Brad Schmidt
Cass County Electric Coop
4100 32nd Ave SW
Fargo ND 58104

jmittleider@nd.gov
John Mittleider
Department of Commerce
600 East Blvd
Bismarck ND 58505

brbjella@flecklaw.com
Brian R. Bjella
Fleck Mather & Strutz, Ltd.
PO Box 2798
Bismarck ND 58502-2798

tgrove@greenergy.com
Terry Grove
Great River Energy
P O Box 800
Elk River MN 55330-0800

nlange@iwla.org
Nancy Lange
Izaak Walton League of America
1619 Dayton Ave Ste 202
St Paul MN 55104

sales@kmavradio.com

KMAV AM/FM RADIO
PO Box 216
Mayville ND 58257-0216

jdwyer@lignite.com
John Dwyer
Lignite Energy Council
PO Box 2277
Bismarck ND 58502-2277

apbismarck@ap.org
Phyllis Mensing
Associated Press
PO Box 1018
Bismarck ND 58502-1018

colson@bepc.com
Clare Olson
Basin Electric Power Coop
1717 E Interstate Ave
Bismarck ND 58501-0564

shandy@kwh.com
Scott Handy
Cass County Electric Coop
4100 32nd Ave SW
Fargo ND 58104

bnicholls@dadco.com
Bryan Nicholls
D A Davidson & Co

jwmorris@flecklaw.com
John Morrison
Fleck Mather & Strutz, Ltd.
PO Box 2798
Bismarck ND 58502-2798

dsaggau@GREnergy.com
Davic Saggau
Great River Energy
P O Box 800
Elk River MN 55330-0800

kander@ictc.com
Keith Anderson
Inter-Community Telephone Company LLC
PO Box 8
Nome ND 58062-0008

tdkelsch@kelschlaw.com
Thomas D. Kelsch
Kelsch Kelsch Ruff & Kranda
P.O. Box 1266
Mandan ND 58554-1266

sanditabor@lignite.com
Sandi Tabor
Lignite Energy Council
PO Box 2277
Bismarck ND 58502-2277

harking@oz.net
Harriet King
McGraw-Hill/Platts

craig.scott@bobcat.com
Craig Scott
Melroe Company
P O Box 128
Gwinner ND 58040-0128

atschepen@minnkota.com
Alvin Tschepen
Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc
Box 13200
Grand Forks ND 58208-3200

don.ball@mdu.com
Don Ball
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co
400 North 4th Street
Bismarck ND 58502-1457

ronness@ndoil.org
N D Petroleum Council
PO Box 1395
Bismarck ND 58502-1395

hfuglest@ndarec.com
Harlan Fugelsten
ND Assn Rural Electric Coops
PO Box 727
Mandan ND 58554-0727

kchristianson@state.nd.us
Kim Christianson
ND Department of Commerce

Bismarck ND

julie.tessier@thomson.com
Julie Tessier
Netscan

jim.melchior@coteau.com
Jim Melchior
North American Coal Corp
2000 Schafer St Ste D
Bismarck ND 58501-1204

pat@ndta.net
Patricia Gisinger
North Dakota Telephone Assoc
PO Box 2614
Bismarck ND 58502-2614

rhoy@ohnstadlaw.com
Robert Hoy
Ohnstad Law
Box 458
West Fargo ND 58078-0458

dloer@minnkota.com
David W. Loer
Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc
Box 13200
Grand Forks ND 58208-3200

wlang@minnkota.com
Wally Lang
Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
1822 Mill Road PO Box 13200
Grand Forks ND 58208-3200

karen.collins@mdu.com; tamie.aberle@mdu.com
Karen Collins
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co
400 North 4th Street
Bismarck ND 58502-1457

jpaczkowski@state.nd.us
John Paczkowski
N D Water Commission
900 E Boulevard
Bismarck ND 58505

jiszler@state.nd.us
Jocie Iszler
ND Corn Utilization Council
1325 23rd ST S
Fargo ND 58103

tsteinwa@nd.gov
MR TERRY STEINWAND
ND GAME & FISH DEPT
INSIDE MAIL

carla.deutsch@thomson.com
Carla Deutsch
Netscan

asap@bis.midco.net
Allyn Sapa
North Dakota Chapter of the Wildlife Society
PO Box 1442
Bismarck ND 58505-0480

beth.jensen@transcanada.com
Beth Jensen
Northern Border Pipeline Company
PO Box 3330
Omaha NE 68103-0330

wbrudvik@ohnstadlaw.com
William J. Brudvik
Ohnstad Twichell, P.C.
12 3rd St. SE PO Box 547
Mayville ND 58257-0547

bgerhardson@ottertail.com
Bruce Gerhardson
Otter Tail Corporation
215 S. Cascade Street PO Box 496
Fergus Falls MN 56538-0496

akoeckeritz@otpc.com
Al Koeckeritz
Otter Tail Power Company
215 S. Cascade St. PO Box 496
Fergus Falls MN 56538

lakeleader@aol.com
John M Wylie II
Platts Electric Power Publications
109 S Maple St
Oologah OK 74053-1175

mdickerson@nd.gov
Marcy Dickerson
State Tax Department
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

kjvannin@usgs.gov
K Vannin
U S Geological Survey

keith.tiggelaar@wbip.com
Keith Tiggelaar
Williston Basin Interstate Pplne Co
P O Box 5601
Bismarck ND 58506-5601

dave.sederquist@xcelenergy.com
Dave Sederquist
Xcel Energy
PO Box 2747
Fargo ND 58108-2747

rdenault@otpc.com
Russ Denault
Otter Tail Corporation
410 College Ave
Crookston MN 56716

candcolson@aol.com
Carl Olson
Parents are Jeanne and Edward Olson

dloer@minnkota.com
David Loer
Square Butte Elec Coop
PO Box 13200
Grand Forks ND 58208-3200

john.irby@bismarcktribune.com
John Irby, Editor
The Bismarck Tribune
PO Box 5516
Bismarck ND 58502-5516

news@wdaz.com
WDAZ-TV
PO Box 12639
Grand Forks ND 58208-2639

mark.nisbet@xcelenergy.com
Mark Nisbet
Xcel Energy
PO Box 2747
Fargo ND 58108-2747

Steven Tomac

2498 59th St
St Anthony ND 58566-9640

Roger Johnson
Agriculture Department
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

Cindy Schwehr
Barnes County Commission Chair
Barnes County Courthouse 230 4th Street
Valley City ND 58072-2947

Michael Montplaisir
Cass County Auditor
PO Box 2806
Fargo ND 58108-2806

Arvid Barstad
Cementing Service
710 W 15th St
Williston ND 58801

Vernon Baszler
Community Action Program Reg VII Inc
1825 S Grandview #15
Bismarck ND 58501

Todd Lindquist
Corp of Engineers
PO Box 527
Riverdale ND 58565-0527

Laurie Baranko
Dakota Resource Council
PO Box 1095
Dickinson ND 58602-1095

Carol Olson
Department of Human Services
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

Mary Ekstrom
District 11 Representative
1450 River Road South
Fargo ND 58103-4325

Stanley Wright

Box 97
Stanley ND 58784-0097

Edward McGough
Barnes County Auditor
Barnes County Courthouse 230 4th Street
Valley City ND 58072

Mike Hummel
BNI Coal, Ltd.
PO Box 897
Bismarck ND 58502-0897

Ken Pawluk
Cass County Commission, Chair
PO Box 2806
Fargo ND 58108-2806

Bob Fogarty
Cenex Minot Terminal
Box 429
Minot ND 58701-0429

Will Kaul
Cooperative Power Association
P O Box 800
Elk River MN 55330-0800

Dennis Lavallee
Council Construction Consumers
180 S. Weidman Ste. 127
Ballwin MO 63021-5724

Department of Commerce
1600 E Century Ave Ste 20
Bismarck ND 58503-0649

Dept of Career and Technical Education
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

Scot Kelsh
District 11 Representative
1502 6th St. S.
Fargo ND 58103-4212

Tim Mathern
District 11 Senator
429 16th Ave. S.
Fargo ND 58103-4329

Alon Wieland
District 13 Representative
PO Box 412
West Fargo ND 58078-0412

Ole Aarsvold
District 20 Representative
709 155th Ave. SE
Blanchard ND 58009-9513

Elroy N. Lindaas
District 20 Senator
735 153rd Ave NE
Mayville ND 58257-9673

Steve Zaiser
District 21 Representative
802 Seventh St. S.
Fargo ND 58103-2706

Vonnie Pietsch
District 22 Representative
PO Box 651
Casselton ND 58012-0651

Gary A. Lee
District 22 Senator
PO Box 3
Casselton ND 58012-0003

Don Vigesaa
District 23 Representative
PO Box 763
Cooperstown ND 58425-0763

Randy Boehning
District 27 Representative
825 42nd St. SW #211
Fargo ND 58103-1143

Jim Pomeroy
District 27 Senator
1848 52nd St. S.
Fargo ND 58103-7748

Kim Koppelman
District 13 Representative
513 First Ave. NW
West Fargo ND 58078-1101

Judy Lee
District 13 Senator
1822 Brentwood Court
West Fargo ND 58078-4204

Lee Kaldor
District 20 Representative
PO Box 215
Mayville ND 58257-0215

Jasper Schneider
District 21 Representative
1418 Third Ave. S.
Fargo ND 58103-1602

Carolyn Nelson
District 21 Senator
1 Second St. S. #5-402
Fargo ND 58103-1959

Wesley R. Belter
District 22 Representative
15287 47th St. SE
Leonard ND 58052-9763

Benjamin A. Vig
District 23 Representative
12248 15th St. NE
Aneta ND 58212-9403

Joan Heckaman
District 23 Senator
322 Second Ave. N.
New Rockford ND 58356-1712

Lee Myxter
District 27 Representative
1112 54th Ave. S.
Fargo ND 58104-6453

Al Carlson
District 41 Representative
2548 Rose Creek Parkway South
Fargo ND 58104-6699

Bette Grande
District 41 Representative
3510 42nd Ave. S.
Fargo ND 58104-6669

Tony Grindberg
District 41 Senator
2832 39½ Ave. S.
Fargo ND 58104-7014

Donald L. Clark
District 44 Representative
3001 Hickory St.
Fargo ND 58102-1747

Blair Thoreson
District 44 Representative
1246 2ns St. N.
Fargo ND 58102-2723

Tim Flakoll
District 44 Senator
1350 2nd St. N.
Fargo ND 58102-2725

Rick Berg
District 45 Representative
PO ox 3024
Fargo ND 58108-3024

Edmund Gruchalla
District 45 Representative
3256 Evergreen Circle
Fargo ND 58102-1213

Tom Fiebiger
District 45 Senator
6432 N. 13th St.
Fargo ND 58102-6011

Kathy Hawken
District 46 Representative
503 Southwood Drive
Fargo ND 58103-6033

Jim Kasper
District 46 Representative
1128 Westrac Drive
Fargo ND 58103-2342

Tom Fischer
District 46 Senator
1524 Sundance Square S
Fargo ND 58104-7606

J Williams
Dome Pipeline Corporation
2959 Sierra Ct SW
Iowa City IA 52246

Jeff Engleson
Energy Development Impact Office
1707 N 9th St
Bismarck ND 58501

Cathy Callahan
Geo Resources Inc
PO Box 1505
Williston ND 58801-1505

John Hoeven
Governor's Office
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

Historical Society
North Dakota Heritage Center
Bismarck ND 58505

Jay Casler
INDEPTH DATA INC
44 S Bdwy 18th Fl
White Plains NY 10601-4425

Indian Affairs Commission
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

News Director
KHND AM Radio
PO Box 6
Harvey ND 58341-0006

Harvey Ness
Lignite Energy Council
PO Box 2277
Bismarck ND 58502-2277

City of Amenia
Mayor
203 Alley St.
Amenia ND 58004

City of Arthur
Mayor
PO Box 161
Arthur ND 58006

City of Fargo
Mayor
200 N. 3rd St.
Fargo ND 58102

City of Luverne
Mayor
PO Box 144
Luverne ND 58056

City of Page
Mayor
PO Box 62
Page ND 58064

City of Pillsbury
Mayor
PO Box 31
Pillsbury ND 58065

City of Reile's Acres
Mayor
4635 35th Ave N Route 2
Reile's Acres ND 58108

City of West Fargo
Mayor
800 4th Ave. East
West Fargo ND 58078

Mary Bluemle
Minerals Diversified Services
PO Box 2256
Bismarck ND 58501-2256

Minnkota Power Cooperative, Inc.
1822 Mill Road PO Box 13200
Grand Forks ND 58208-3200

Bruce Imsdahl
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co
400 North 4th Street
Bismarck ND 58502-1457

Galen Anderson
Nakota Company
PO Box 1633
Bismarck ND 58502-1633

Mike Foley
NARUC
1101 Vermont Avenue NW Ste 200
Washington DC 20005

Robert Burns
National Regulatory Research Inst
406 Bevis Hall 1080 Carmack
Columbus OH 43210

James Irwin
Natural Gas Week
1411 K Street Suite 602
Washington DC 20005-3404

Thomas Hanson
ND Assoc of Soil Conservation Dist
3310 University Drive
Bismarck ND 58504-7564

Harlan Fuglesten
ND Association of RECs
PO Box 727
Mandan ND 58554-0727

Francis G Ziegler, P.E.
ND Department of Transportation
State Highway Building
Bismarck ND 58505

MR TOM BERG
ND FOREST SERVICE
MOLBERG CENTER 307 1ST STREET EAST
BOTTINEAU ND 58318

MR ED MURPHY
ND GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
INSIDE MAIL

Maren Daley
ND Job Service
P.O. Box 5507
Bismarck ND 58506-5507

Lisa K Fair-McEvers
ND Labor Dept
600 E Blvd Ave

Mike Haupt
ND Land Department
1707 N 9th St
Bismarck ND 58501

MR SCOTT HOCHHALTER
ND SOIL CONSERVATION COMM
2718 Gateway Ave, Unit #104 INSIDE MAIL
Bismarck ND 58503

MR DALE FRINK
ND WATER COMM
INSIDE MAIL

Doug Prchal
North Dakota Parks & Rec
1600 E Century Ave Ste 3
Bismarck ND 58503-0649

Wes Wiedenmeyer
NRCS
Box 1458
Bismarck ND 58502-1458

Wayne Stenehjem
Office of Attorney General
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

OMB
600 E. Boulevard Dept. 110
Bismarck ND 58505-0406

Public Utilities Reports Inc
Law Dept 8229 Boone Blvd Ste 401
Vienna VA 22182

Dale Frink
State Engineer
ND Water Commission 900 East Boulevard
Bismarck ND 58505

L David Glatt
State Health Department
INSIDE MAIL

State Health Department
State Capitol
Bismarck ND 58505

Jane Amundson
Steele County Commission Chair
201 Washington Ave W. PO Box 275
Finley ND 58230-0275

Janell Cole Capitol Reporter
The Forum
Inside Mail

John Kapsner
The Vogel Law Firm
P O Box 2097
Bismarck ND 58502-2097

Chief of Resource Management
Theodore Roosevelt National Park
P O Box 7
Medora ND 58645-0007

Valerie Naylor
Theodore Roosevelt Nat'l Park
PO Box 7
Medora ND 58645

M Zschomler
U S Fish & Wildlife
3425 Miriam Ave
Bismarck ND 58501-7926

Steve Williams
U S Forest Service
240 W Century Ave
Bismarck ND 58501-1494

District Chief
U S Geological Survey
821 E Interstate Ave
Bismarck ND 58501

Dave Johnson
Valley City Public Works
254 2nd Ave NE
Valley City ND 58072

John Castleberry
Williston Basin Interstate Pplne Co
P O Box 5601
Bismarck ND 58506-5601

District Engineer
US Army Engineer District Omaha
106 S 15th St
Omaha NE 68102-1618

Brian Morris
Western Area Power Administration
PO Box 1173
Bismarck ND 58502-1173

Paul Lehman
Xcel Energy
414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis MN 55401-1993