

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

SCOTT C. LUNDQUIST

Mr. Lundquist is a Consultant to QSI Consulting, where he performs strategic and regulatory analysis, project management, and client support services for consulting projects in telecommunications regulation and economics. Mr. Lundquist has worked as an independent consultant since January 2005, prior to which he was a Vice President and Partner at Economics and Technology, Inc. (ETI), a Boston, Massachusetts research and consulting firm specializing in telecommunications economics, regulation and public policy matters. Over the course of his twenty-one year career in the field, Mr. Lundquist has developed a specialized expertise in the key areas of modern telecommunications regulation and policy, including service costs and pricing, network interconnection and unbundling, implementation of competition policies, incentive regulation, network modernization and productivity, and tariff design. Mr. Lundquist frequently serves as an expert witness on these issues before state public utility commissions and contributes to studies and comment filings submitted to the FCC. He has also advised regulatory agencies and ministries in developing nations on modern regulatory practices, and has developed and undertaken on-site training programs for their regulatory staff. Mr. Lundquist regularly serves as the lead consultant and manager for these projects.

Mr. Lundquist has provided expert witness testimony on over thirty occasions over the past fifteen years, on behalf of state regulatory commission staff, competitive service providers, and consumer advocate agencies. He has worked extensively with computerized cost models for telecommunications networks and services, including many of the major cost models introduced in state regulatory proceedings (including the Hatfield Model, Benchmark Cost Model, ICM, FCC's Synthesis Model, and Bell Operating Company proprietary models). Mr. Lundquist has frequently testified concerning the appropriate costs and rates for interconnection and unbundled network elements, and his recommendations have been adopted by state public utility commissions. He also has conducted seminars and training sessions for both U.S. and international clients on these topics. Mr. Lundquist has co-authored a comprehensive report on inter-carrier compensation arrangements for interconnecting local telephone companies in a competitive environment, titled "*Efficient Inter-Carrier Compensation Mechanisms for the Emerging Competitive Environment.*"

Mr. Lundquist has participated in the development of "price caps" and other incentive regulation frameworks applied to U.S. telecommunications carriers since their advent in 1989, when he contributed to comments filed in the first price caps investigations conducted by the FCC. Mr. Lundquist possesses in-depth knowledge of all aspects of incentive regulation, including measurement of carrier productivity gains and the specification of productivity offsets, monitoring of service quality, indexing and pricing rules, and impacts on investment and innovation. He has testified before U.S. state regulatory commissions on these matters on several occasions and has co-authored two major reports in this area: "*A Study of Total Factor Productivity in the Wisconsin Local Exchange Carrier Industry;*" and "*Price Cap Plan for USWC: Establishing Appropriate Price and Service Quality Incentives in Utah.*" Mr. Lundquist also has extensive knowledge of tariff policies and mechanisms, grounded in several years of direct analysis of tariff changes, and subsequent participation in numerous regulatory proceedings and consulting assignments addressing tariff design, cost of service, tariff rebalancing, and tariff flexibility.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

Mr. Lundquist has substantial experience in assisting telecommunications officials in foreign governments to improve their regulatory practices. In 1994, Mr. Lundquist spent nine weeks in Beijing working closely with officials of the Ministry of Posts and Telecommunications (“MPT”) of the People’s Republic of China, as part of a technical assistance project sponsored by the Asian Development Bank. Mr. Lundquist developed and conducted several seminars for senior MPT officials on interconnection, tariffing and rate design for non-basic services, and regulatory restructuring issues. Mr. Lundquist was also the Project Manager for ETI’s 1993-1994 engagement by the National Telecommunications Commission (“NTC”) of the Philippines (overseen by the World Bank). Mr. Lundquist spent six months on-site in Manila conducting institutional strengthening activities, including a review and assessment of existing regulatory procedures, staff training in modern regulatory methods and computerized tools, and assistance in implementing new competition and interconnection policies.

Mr. Lundquist received his Bachelor of Arts degree in Psychology and Social Relations from Harvard College, Cambridge, Massachusetts, in 1985.

Following are lists of Mr. Lundquist’s expert witness appearances before state public utility commissions and reports and publications:

Expert Witness Testimony Before State PUCs:

Maryland Public Service Commission, in Re: In the Matter of the Commission’s Inquiry Into Verizon Maryland Inc.’s Provision of Local Exchange Telephone Service Over Fiber Optic Facilities, Case No. 9123, on behalf of the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel, Direct Testimony filed June 19, 2008 (cross-examination pending).

Public Utilities Commission of South Dakota, Re: In the Matter of the Petition of Brookings Municipal Utilities d/b/a Swiftel Communications for Suspension or Modification of Dialing Parity, Number Portability, and Reciprocal Compensation Obligations, Case No. TC07-007, Direct Testimony filed June 6, 2007 (no cross-examination).

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Re: In the Matter of the Review of: Unbundled Loop and Switching Rates; the Deaveraged Zone Rate Structure; and Unbundled Network Elements, Transport, and Termination (Recurring Costs), Docket No. UT-023003, on behalf of AT&T Communications of the Pacific Northwest, Inc., Responsive Testimony filed April 20, 2004, cross-examination May 28, 2004.

Vermont Public Service Board, in Re: Investigation Into The Acquisition and Use of Central Office Codes by Local Exchange Carriers in Vermont, Docket No 6209, filed on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Affidavit filed October 17, 2002.

District of Columbia Public Service Commission, in Re: In the Matter of Review by the Commission Into Verizon DC’s Compliance with the Conditions of 47 U.S.C. §271(c), Formal Case No. 1011, on behalf of the Office of People’s Counsel of the District of Columbia, Affidavit filed September 30, 2002, cross-examination waived.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

Delaware Public Service Commission, in Re: Global NAPs, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Verizon Delaware Inc. f/k/a Bell Atlantic-Delaware, Inc., Docket No. 02-235, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed on September 18, 2002, Rebuttal Testimony filed October 2, 2002, cross-examination November 4, 2002.

Vermont Public Service Board, in Re: Global NAPs, Inc. Petition For Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Verizon New England, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Vermont, Inc. f/k/a New England Telephone & Telegraph Co. d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Vermont, Docket No. 6742 on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed September 11, 2002, Rebuttal Testimony filed October 7, 2002, cross-examination October 25, 2002.

Rhode Island and Providence Plantations Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Global NAPs, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish and Interconnection Agreement with Verizon New England, Inc. d/b/a Verizon Rhode Island, Inc. f/k/a New England Telephone & Telegraph Co. d/b/a Bell Atlantic - Rhode Island, Docket No. 3437 on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed August 28, 2002, Rebuttal Testimony filed September 6, 2002, cross-examination September 26, 2002.

Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, Office of Administrative Hearings, in Re: In the Matter of a Commission Investigation into Qwest's Compliance with Section 271(c)(2)(B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Checklist Items 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 13, and 14, PUC Docket No. P-421/CI-01-1371, on behalf of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Affidavit filed June 10, 2002, cross-examination September 9, 2002.

Wisconsin Public Service Commission, in Re: Application of CenturyTel of Central Wisconsin, LLC, as a Telecommunications Utility, for Authority to Establish Permanent Telephone Rates, Docket No. 2055-TR-102; Application of Telephone USA of Wisconsin, LLC, as a Telecommunications Utility, for Authority to Establish Permanent Telephone Rates, Docket No. 5846-TR-102, on behalf of AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P., Direct Testimony filed May 31, 2002, Rebuttal Testimony filed June 21, 2002, cross-examination June 26, 2002.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission, in Re: In the Matter of Global NAPs, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(b) of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions with Verizon North Inc. f/k/a GTE North, Case No. 02-876-TP-ARB, Direct Testimony filed May 30, 2002, cross-examination June 6, 2002.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

Illinois Commerce Commission, in Re: Global NAPs Illinois, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Verizon North Inc. f/ka/ GTE North Incorporated and Verizon South Inc. f/k/a GTE South Incorporated, Docket No. 02-0253, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed May 16, 2002, Rebuttal Testimony filed June 4, 2002, cross-examination June 11, 2002.

North Carolina Utilities Commission, in Re: Global NAPs North Carolina, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Verizon South, Inc. f/ka/ GTE South Incorporated, Docket No. P-1141 Sub1, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed April 19, 2002, Rebuttal filed May 24, 2002, cross-examination July 23, 2002.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Global NAPs, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions with Ohio Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Ohio, Case No. 01-3096-TP-ARB; Global NAPs, Inc. Petition for Arbitration Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(b) of Interconnection Rates, Terms and Conditions with United Telephone Company of Ohio d/b/a Sprint, Case No. 01-2811-TP-ARB, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed February 12, 2002, cross-examination February 19, 2002.

Minnesota State Office of Administrative Hearings for the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Commission Investigation into Qwest's Compliance with Section 271(c)(2)(B) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Checklist Items 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 12, PUC Docket No. P-421/CI-01-1370, OAH Docket No. X-2500-14485-2, on behalf of the Minnesota Department of Commerce, Affidavit filed January 28, 2002, cross-examination March 6, 2002.

Illinois Commerce Commission, in Re: Petition of Global NAPs, Inc. for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of The Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Illinois Bell Telephone Company d/b/a Ameritech Illinois, Docket No. 01-0786, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed December 28, 2001, cross-examination waived.

California Public Utilities Commission in Re: Global NAPs, Inc. Petition for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Verizon California Inc. F/K/A GTE California, Inc. (U-6449-C) Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Application 01-12-026, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed December 20, 2001, cross-examination, February 11, 2002.

Nevada Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Petition of Global NAPs, Inc. for the Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Central Telephone Company - Nevada, d/b/a Sprint of Nevada, Pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Docket No. 01-10018, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed December 4, 2001, cross-examination waived.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

California Public Utilities Commission in Re: Petition by GNAPS, Inc. for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Pacific Bell Telephone Company Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Application 01-11-045, on behalf of Global NAPs, Inc., Direct Testimony filed November 30, 2001, cross-examination February 11, 2002.

Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy, in Re: Complaint of Fiber Technologies Networks, LLC Pursuant to G.L.c.166 § 45.00 et seq. Regarding access to poles owned or controlled by Shrewsbury's Electric Light Plant, DTE 01-70, on behalf of Fiber Technologies Networks, LLC, Direct Testimony filed November 9, 2001, no cross-examination conducted.

Maryland Public Service Commission, in Re: Investigation into Rates for Unbundled Network Elements Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Case No. 8879, on behalf of the Maryland Office of People's Counsel, Rebuttal Testimony filed September 5, 2001, Surrebuttal Testimony filed October 15, 2001, cross-examination December 7, 2001.

Wisconsin Public Service Commission, in Re: Application of CenturyTel of the Midwest-Kendall, Inc. for Rate Increase and Petition for Emergency Order for Rate Increase, Docket No. 2815-TR-103, on behalf of AT&T Communications of Wisconsin, L.P., Direct Testimony filed June 19, 2001, Rebuttal Testimony filed July 3, 2001, cross-examination waived.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, in Re: Review of Unbundled Network Elements Rates, Terms and Conditions of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc., Docket No. TO00060356, on behalf of the State of New Jersey, Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, Direct Testimony filed October 12, 2000, cross-examination January 26, 2001.

New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, in Re: Application of Bell Atlantic-New Jersey, Inc. for Approval of a Modified Plan for an Alternative Form of Regulation and to Reclassify All Rate Regulated Services as Competitive Services, Docket No. TO99120934, on behalf of the State of New Jersey, Division of the Ratepayer Advocate, Direct Testimony filed September 8, 2000, cross-examination waived.

Alabama Public Service Commission, in Re: Generic Proceeding: Costs and Rates of BellSouth's Operations Support System (OSS), Docket No. 27178, on behalf of National ALEC Association/Prepaid Communications Association, Direct Testimony filed May 20, 2000, cross-examination June 13, 2000.

California Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Petition by Pacific Bell (U 1001 C) for Arbitration of an Interconnection Agreement with Pac-West Telecom, Inc. (U 5266 C) Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, Docket No. 98-11-024, on behalf of Pac West Telecom, Inc., Direct Testimony filed February 8, 1999, cross-examination February 24, 1999.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

Texas Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Public Utility Commission, Application of Southwestern Bell Telephone Company for Rate Group Re-Classification Pursuant to Section 58.058 of the Texas Utility Code, Docket No. 18509, on behalf of the Office of Public Utility Counsel, Direct Testimony filed August 18, 1998, cross-examination September 9, 1998.

Hawaii Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Instituting a Proceeding on Communications, Including an Investigation of the Communications Infrastructure of the State of Hawaii, Docket No. 7702, on behalf of AT&T Communications of Hawaii, Inc., Rebuttal Testimony filed August 28, 1997, cross-examination October 17, 1997.

Nevada Public Service Commission, in Re: A Petition by the Regulatory Operations Staff to Open an Investigation into the Procedures and Methodologies that Should Be Used to Develop Costs for Bundled or Unbundled Telephone Services or Service Elements in the State of Nevada, Docket No. 96-9035, on behalf of AT&T Communications of Nevada, Direct Testimony filed May 9, 1997, Rebuttal Testimony filed May 23, 1997, cross-examination June 11, 1997.

Ohio Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Review of Ameritech Ohio's Economic Costs for Interconnection, Unbundled Network Elements, and Reciprocal Compensation for Transport and Termination of Local Telecommunications Traffic, Docket No. 96-922-TP-UNC, on behalf of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel, Direct Testimony filed January 17, 1997.

California Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Petition of AT&T Communications of California, Inc. for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252 of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with GTE California, Inc., Docket No. A.96-08-41, on behalf of AT&T of California, Inc., Oral testimony presented October 3, 1996.

Connecticut Public Utilities Commission, in Re: Application of SNET for Approval to Offer Unbundled Loops, Ports, and the Associated Interconnection Arrangements and Application of SNET for Approval to Offer Wholesale Local Basic Service and Certain Related Features and to Implement a Universal Service Fund, Docket No. 95-06-17, on behalf of New England Cable Television Association, Inc., Direct Testimony filed September 8, 1995.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, in Re: In the Matter of the Request of US West Communications, Inc. for the Increase in its Rates and Charges, Docket No. UT-950200, on behalf of Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission Staff, Direct Testimony filed August 11, 1995, cross-examination January 15, 1996.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, in Re: WUTC, Complainant vs. US West, Respondent; TGC Seattle and Digital Direct of Seattle, Inc., Complaint vs. US West, Respondent; TCG Seattle, Complainant v. GTE Northwest, Inc., Respondent; GTE Northwest, Inc., Third Party Complainant v. US West, Third Party Respondent; Electric Lightwave, Inc., Complaint v. GTE Northwest, Inc., Respondent, Docket No. UT-941464, et al, on behalf of Staff of the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Direct Testimony filed April 17, 1995.

Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, in Re: Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission, Complainant vs. US WEST Communications, Inc., Respondent; Application of US WEST Communications, Inc., for an Alternative Form of Regulation, Docket Nos. U-89-2698-F, U-89-3245-P, on behalf of TRACER, Direct Testimony filed June 23, 1993, cross-examination July 1, 1993.

Reports and Publications:

“Getting Connected: Availability of Internet Access via Advanced Communications Services in Colorado” (with Timothy Gates and Warren Fisher), June 2007. Prepared for the Colorado Public Utilities Commission.

“Lost in Translation: How Rate of Return Regulation Transformed the Universal Service Fund for Consumers into Corporate Welfare for the RLECs” (with Susan M. Gately), February 2004. Prepared for Western Wireless Corporation.

“A Study of Total Factor Productivity in the Wisconsin Local Exchange Carrier Industry” (with Lee L. Selwyn, Sarah C. Bosley), January 2003. Prepared for the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

“Efficient Inter-Carrier Compensation Mechanisms for the Emerging Competitive Environment” (with Lee L. Selwyn), August 2001. Prepared for Pac-West Telecomm, Inc., Focal Communications Corp., and US LEC Corp.

“Price Cap Plan for USWC: Establishing Appropriate Price and Service Quality Incentives in Utah” (with Patricia D. Kravtin and Susan M. Baldwin). Prepared for the Utah Division of Public Utilities, March 2000.

“Bringing Broadband to Rural America: Investment and Innovation in the Wake of the Telecom Act” (with Lee L. Selwyn and Scott A. Coleman). Prepared for AT&T, September 1999.

“Promises and Realities: An Examination of the Post-Merger Performance of the SBC/Pacific Telesis and Bell Atlantic/NYNEX Companies” (with Scott A. Coleman). Prepared for the AARP Public Policy Institute, July 1999.

Exhibit SCL-1 – Statement of Qualifications

“Manual of Procedures for the Rates Regulation Division” (with Paul S. Keller). Prepared for the Philippines National Telecommunications Commission, August 1994.

“Review of Annual Reporting Requirements for Telecommunications Common Carriers.” Prepared for the Philippines National Telecommunications Commission, October 1993.

“The Infrastructure Dilemma: Matching Market Realities and Policy Goals” (with W.P. Montgomery). Prepared for the International Communications Association, January 1993.

“A Roadmap to the Information Age: Defining a Rational Telecommunications Plan for Connecticut” (with Susan M. Baldwin et al). Prepared for the Connecticut Office of Consumer Counsel, October 1992.

“New Connections for the 1990s: Managing the Changing Relationship Between Corporate Telecommunications Needs and the Local Telephone Company” (with W. Page Montgomery). Prepared for the International Communications Association, April 1990.

“Adapting Telecom Regulation to Industry Change” (with Dr. Lee L. Selwyn). Prepared for the International Communications Association and published in IEEE Communications Magazine, January 1989.

“A Study of Rate of Return Regulation and Alternatives - An Examination of Applicability to regulation of Telephone Companies by the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission” (with W. Page Montgomery and Lee L. Selwyn). Prepared for the Canadian Radio-Television and Telecommunications Commission, March 1989.

“Telecommunications Competition in Michigan and Regulatory Alternatives: Market Structure and Competition in the Michigan Telecommunications Industry” (with Lee L. Selwyn, David N. Townsend, Patricia D. Kravtin). Prepared for the Michigan Divestiture Research Fund Board, April 1988.