



# United States Department of the Interior

## FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Ecological Services  
3425 Miriam Avenue  
Bismarck, North Dakota 58501



MAR 1 2010

Mr. Bruce Yeager, NEPA Program Manager  
Tennessee Valley Authority  
400 West Summit Hill Drive, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Re: CPV Ashley Wind Energy Project

Dear Mr. Yeager:

This is in response to your January 28, 2010, letter and the February 4, 2010, Federal Register (Volume 75, Number 23, page 5873-5875) with the Tennessee Valley Authority's (TVA) Notice of Intent to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in relation to the potential for environmental effects of purchasing up to 200 megawatts (MW) of power from the proposed CPV Ashley Wind Energy Project in McIntosh County, North Dakota. The location for the proposed project is approximately 6 miles north of Ashley, North Dakota. We offer the following comments under the authority of and in accordance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 et seq.) (MBTA), the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) (16 U.S.C. 668-668d, 54 Stat. 250), Executive Order 13186 "Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds", the Endangered Species Act (ESA) (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (Public Law 105-57), and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) holds certain resources in trust and manages them for the benefit of the American people. These resources include migratory birds, inter-jurisdictional fish, federally-listed threatened and endangered species of plants and animals and their habitats, and units of the National Wildlife Refuge system. One goal of Service policy is that conservation of fish and wildlife resources receive equal consideration with other features of resource development, and that conservation actions are coordinated with those other forms of development. Another goal is to conserve, protect, and enhance fish and wildlife and their habitats to facilitate the balanced development of the Nation's natural resources. The Service would be willing to be a cooperating Federal agency in the preparation of TVA's NEPA document.

### **Migratory Birds**

Adequate consideration for avian and other wildlife resources early in the site evaluation process can help to minimize impacts and facilitate project review. Wind developers, are encouraged to avoid impacts to prairie and other native habitats to the maximum extent practicable. Avoidance

of impacts can be most effectively achieved by taking a landscape scale view, beginning with the process of prospecting for suitable sites for wind power development. Companies should assess not only those factors that indicate favorable conditions for development, such as a consistent wind resource, access to transmission, willing landowners, available financing, etc., but also anticipated impacts to wildlife and their habitats. Equal consideration should be accorded to wildlife resource conservation as to other features of development. When considering a project in a particular wind resource area, companies should use all available tools to ensure they have taken all practicable steps to avoid impacts to native habitats. This can be accomplished by utilizing GIS products depicting significant areas of contiguous prairie to site development in areas that are already impacted or fragmented. This analysis and potential site comparison should be accomplished prior to making any significant financial commitments, including entering into lease agreements with landowners. The Service's Interim Wind Turbine Siting Guidelines encourage project proponents to conduct a Potential Impact Index (PII) analysis on several potential sites within wind resource areas, to assist in their selection of a wind power site that minimizes the potential to impact migratory birds and other wildlife. If the Service's interim guidelines were not used to evaluate potential sites for development, the project developer should indicate which method(s) they used to assess avian and other wildlife resource impacts before selecting this site for development. The alternatives analysis for the project should describe the potential project sites that were evaluated and why they were rejected based on potential trust resource impacts.

The Service has coordinated with the Avian Power Line Interaction Committee (APLIC) to develop guidelines to assist companies in formulating Avian Protection Plans (APP). The guidelines can be accessed from APLIC's website at <http://www.aplic.org/>. These plans are utility specific and designed to reduce operational risks that result from avian interactions with electric utility facilities, but we suggest they may be adapted to wind energy facilities. Wind energy projects have the potential to negatively affect bats as well as avian species. Therefore, we encourage project developers to formulate an Avian and Bat Protection Plan (ABPP) if bats migrate through or may be present in the project area. Some of the things that the Service looks for in an APP or ABPP are typically a statement of company policy confirming the company's commitment to work cooperatively towards the protection of migratory birds and bats; identification of the process under which the company will obtain and comply with all necessary permits, including, but not limited to, nest relocation, temporary possession, depredation, salvage/disposal, and scientific collection; discussion of the company's plan for monitoring and reporting all incidents of avian or bat injury or mortality; a commitment to make all reasonable efforts to construct and modify infrastructure to reduce the incidence of avian and bat mortality; a mechanism to review existing practices, ensuring quality control and allowing for adaptive management; and a plan for providing adequate training for all appropriate utility personnel. An APP or ABPP reporting system is important to help the company pinpoint areas of concern by tracking both the specific locations where mortalities may be occurring, as well as the extent of such mortalities and the remedial actions taken/planned to address identified problem areas.

To minimize the electrocution hazard to birds, the Service, with support from the Rural Utilities Service, recommends that new or updated overhead power lines be constructed in accordance with the current guidelines for preventing raptor electrocutions. The recommended guidelines can be found in "2006 Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines". To increase power

line visibility and reduce bird fatalities resulting from collisions with power lines, the Service recommends all new power lines that cross or run adjacent to rivers or large wetlands be modified according to "Mitigating Bird Collisions with Power Lines: The State of the Art in 1994". Both publications can be obtained by writing or calling the Edison Electric Institute, P.O. Box 266, Waldorf, Maryland 20604-0266, (1-800-334-5453) or visiting their website at [www.eei.org](http://www.eei.org).

The MBTA prohibits the taking, killing, possession, and transportation, (among other actions) of migratory birds, their eggs, parts, and nests, except when specifically permitted. While the MBTA has no provision for allowing unintentional take, the Service realizes that some birds may be killed during wind project construction and operation even if all known reasonable and effective measures to protect birds are used. The Office of Law Enforcement (OLE) carries out its mission to protect migratory birds through investigations and enforcement, as well as by fostering relationships with individuals, companies, and industries that have taken effective steps to avoid take of migratory birds and by encouraging others to implement measures to avoid take of migratory birds. It is not possible to absolve individuals, companies, or agencies from liability even if they implement bird mortality avoidance or other similar protective measures. However, OLE focuses its resources on investigating and prosecuting individuals and companies that take migratory birds without identifying and implementing all reasonable, prudent and effective measures to avoid that take. Companies are encouraged to work closely with Service biologists to identify available protective measures when developing project plans and/or avian protection plans, and to implement those measures prior to/during project construction and operation.

To the extent practicable, construction should be scheduled for late summer or fall/early winter so as not to disrupt waterfowl or other wildlife during the breeding season (February 1 to July 15). If work is proposed to take place during the breeding season or at any other time which may result in the take of migratory birds, their eggs, or active nests, the Service recommends that the project proponent take all practicable measures to avoid and minimize take, such as maintaining adequate buffers, to protect the birds until the young have fledged. The Service further recommends that if field surveys for nesting birds are conducted with the intent of avoiding take, that any documentation of the presence of migratory birds, eggs, and active nests, along with information regarding the qualifications of the biologist(s) performing the surveys, and any avoidance measures implemented at the project site be maintained. Should surveys or other available information indicate a significant impact to migratory birds, the Service requests that this office be contacted for further consultation on the extent of the impact and the long-term implications of the intended use of the project on migratory bird populations.

### **Threatened and Endangered Species**

A list of federally threatened and endangered species that may occur within the proposed project's area of influence is enclosed (enclosure 1). This list fulfills requirements of the Service under the ESA.

If a Federal agency authorizes, funds, or carries out a proposed action, the responsible Federal agency, or its delegated agent, is required to evaluate whether the action "may affect" listed species or critical habitat. If the Federal agency (in this case TVA) or its designated agent determines the

action "may affect, is likely to adversely affect" listed species or result in destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, the responsible Federal agency shall request formal section 7 consultation with this office. If the evaluation shows a "no effect" determination for listed species or critical habitat, further consultation is not necessary. If a private entity receives Federal funding for a construction project, or if any Federal permit or license is required, the Federal agency may designate the fund recipient or permittee as its agent for purposes of informal section 7 consultation. The funding, permitting, or licensing Federal agency is responsible to ensure that its actions comply with the ESA, including obtaining concurrence from the Service for any action that may affect a threatened or endangered species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat.

The Aransas Wood Buffalo Population (AWBP) of whooping cranes is the only self sustaining migratory population of whooping cranes remaining in the wild. These birds breed in the wetlands of Wood Buffalo National Park in Alberta and the Northwest Territories of northern Canada, and overwinter on the Texas coast. Whooping cranes in the AWBP annually migrate through North Dakota during their spring and fall migrations.

Endangered whooping cranes have been documented using stopover habitat in the vicinity of this proposed project area. The proposed project area is located within that portion of the whooping crane migration corridor that includes 95% of all confirmed whooping crane sightings in North Dakota (enclosure 2). The presence of suitable roosting and feeding habitat for whooping cranes, and location within the whooping crane migration corridor, document the potential for whooping crane presence in the proposed project area. A wind energy project in this wind resource area has the potential to affect whooping cranes during their annual spring and fall migration through North Dakota. Potential effects may be direct (e.g. collision mortality) or indirect (e.g. avoidance of the site resulting in cranes seeking alternate habitat). The best available information indicates that whooping cranes avoid stopover habitat that is developed with wind energy appurtenances, particularly wind turbines. This avoidance may deny them the use of important habitat, and thus may result in an adverse effect in the form of harm by significant habitat modification. Whooping cranes use migration stopover habitat opportunistically and may not use the same stopovers annually. Whooping cranes often stop wherever they happen to be late in the day when they find conditions no longer suitable for migration. This tendency can make for a very unpredictable pattern of stopover use, depending on daily weather conditions. The Service recommends mapping wetlands at the project site within ½ mile of all turbines, identifying potentially suitable whooping crane stopover habitat, and analyzing the potential effects to migrating whooping cranes from loss of use of this habitat for migration stopovers.

The interactions of whooping cranes with wind turbines and wind farms are currently not fully known, although it is expected that these large birds with relatively low maneuverability are susceptible to mortality via collisions with turbines. The highest known source of mortality to fledged whooping cranes is from striking power lines. Currently, collisions with power lines have accounted for the death or serious injury of at least 46 whooping cranes since 1956. If power lines will be constructed in association with this project, the Service recommends they be placed underground to avoid collision mortality. If underground construction is not practicable, we

recommend installation and maintenance of visual marking devices on all new power lines within one mile of potentially suitable whooping crane stopover habitat and an equal length of existing power line in the whooping crane migration corridor within one mile of potentially suitable whooping crane habitat.

Piping plovers, a federally threatened species, are known to use beaches of alkali lakes adjacent to the proposed project area during the breeding season. Additionally, the Service has designated these lakes as critical habitat for piping plovers (enclosure 3). Critical habitat on alkali lakes and wetlands includes: 1) sandy to gravelly, sparsely vegetated beaches, salt-encrusted mud flats and/or gravelly salt flats; springs and fens along edges of alkali lakes and wetlands; and adjacent uplands 200 feet (61 meters) above the high water mark of the alkali lake or wetland. The Service recommends that no construction activities take place within ½ mile of these critical habitats.

### **Fish and Wildlife Service Property Interests**

The Service administers Waterfowl Production Areas owned in fee title as well as wetland and grassland easements throughout North Dakota. A review of Service realty records for the proposed project area indicates Service property interests are located in the proposed project area. Wetland easements are legal agreements with private landowners that permanently protect wetland basins from being drained, burned, leveled, or filled. Grassland easements are legal agreements with landowners that permanently protect grassland vegetation, primarily native prairie, from being destroyed or developed. Grassland easements prevent these grasslands from being converted to cropland. Mowing, haying, and grass seed harvesting must be delayed on grassland easements until after July 15 each year to protect grassland nesting birds. The primary responsibility in protecting these interests is to review all proposed uses to ensure that the requests are compatible with Service easement regulations and various laws and policies. These comments and suggestions are made in an attempt to accomplish three goals: 1) avoid impacts to Service grassland and wetland easements in the project area as much as possible; 2) if unavoidable, ensure that any proposed turbine and associated infrastructure impacts (roads, buried collection lines, transmission lines, sub-stations, etc.) on any Service easement areas are kept to an absolute minimum; and 3) investigate all potential alternatives to eliminate or reduce impacts to easement areas to protect the integrity of the easement.

### **High Value Habitat Avoidance**

High value wildlife habitat types in North Dakota include native prairies, wetlands, wooded draws, and riparian forests. We recommend that construction of wind towers and appurtenant facilities in the above habitat types be avoided whenever possible.

The proposed project area is located in the Missouri Coteau region of North Dakota and includes areas of native mixed-grass prairie. Since the 1800s, North Dakota has lost approximately 75 percent of its native grasslands, primarily due to crop production. Native prairie has significant natural resource values including:

- Provides habitat for a number of migratory and resident grassland birds whose populations are declining.
- Provides nesting habitat for millions of waterfowl.
- Contains 200-300 plant species, which provide genetic diversity important to agriculture and medicine.
- Provides habitat for thousands of insects including the Dakota skipper, a candidate species for listing under the ESA, and other butterflies (Ex: Regal fritillary, Tawny crescent).
- Crucial for soil and water conservation.
- Provides recreational opportunities (hunting, bird watching/wildlife observation, hiking).
- Living laboratories for scientific research.

Our review of NWI maps indicates that wetland areas are located within the project area. NWI data can be accessed directly by visiting their website at ([wetlands.fws.gov](http://wetlands.fws.gov)). Section 404 of the Clean Water Act regulates placement of fill materials in certain wetlands. A Corps of Engineers' 404 permit may be required if fill material will be placed in aquatic sites including wetlands. The project proponent should contact Mr. Dan Cimarosti, Regulatory Office, Corps of Engineers, 1513 South 12th Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58504 (701-255-0015), to determine their permit requirements. If a 404 permit is required, the Service will also provide recommendations on this project to the Corps.

Construction activities should be conducted in a manner that will minimize impacts to the wildlife and the existing habitat in the project area. To help avoid impacts, we recommend the project proponent:

- Reseed disturbed native prairie with a diverse native grass/forb seed mixture. Obtain seed stock from nurseries within 250 miles of the project area to insure the particular cultivars are well adapted to the local climate.
- Minimize grassland disturbance by using fewer, larger turbines, and limiting new road construction.
- Design meteorological towers to be self standing (no guywires). If towers must be guyed, install and maintain appropriate visual line marking devices to reduce the potential for avian collision mortality
- Locate appurtenant facilities to avoid placement of fill in wetlands along the route.
- Install and maintain appropriate erosion control measures to reduce sedimentation and water quality degradation of wetlands and streams near the project area.
- Replace unavoidable wetland losses with functionally equivalent wetlands.

### **Research, Monitoring, and Assessment**

We recommend project proponents conduct collision monitoring studies designed to determine the effect of several factors, such as site selection, turbine designs, the layout of wind plants, wind plant operations, habitat alteration, and changes in available perching and nesting sites, on bird deaths. Annual reports outlining the results of these monitoring studies should be submitted to this office. The Avian Subcommittee of the National Wind Coordinating Committee (NWCC)

has developed a guidance document to assist wind energy developers in designing studies that will produce credible and comparable results of avian interaction with wind power plants. The NWCC document, "Studying Wind Energy/Bird Interactions: A Guidance Document. Metrics and methods for determining or monitoring potential impacts on birds at existing and proposed wind energy sites," can be obtained by contacting the National Wind Coordination Committee, c/o RESOLVE, 1255 23<sup>rd</sup> Street, Suite 275, Washington, D.C. 20037, or by visiting their website at ([www.nationalwind.org](http://www.nationalwind.org)).

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments. If you require further information as project planning proceeds, please contact Terry Ellsworth of my staff, or contact me directly, at (701) 250-4481, or at the letterhead address.

Sincerely,



Jeffrey K. Towner  
Field Supervisor  
North Dakota Field Office

Enclosures (3)

FEDERAL THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES  
AND DESIGNATED CRITICAL HABITAT FOUND IN  
MCINTOSH COUNTY, NORTH DAKOTA  
March 2010

**ENDANGERED SPECIES**

Birds

Whooping crane (Grus Americana): Migrates through west and central counties during spring and fall. Prefers to roost on wetlands and stockdams with good visibility. Young adult summered in North Dakota in 1989, 1990, and 1993. Total population 140-150 birds.

Mammals

Gray wolf (Canis lupus): Occasional visitor in North Dakota. Most frequently observed in the Turtle Mountains area.

**THREATENED SPECIES**

Birds

Piping plover (Charadrius melodus): Nests on midstream sandbars of the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers and along shorelines of saline wetlands. More nest in North Dakota than any other state.



**DESIGNATED CRITICAL HABITAT**

Birds

Piping Plover - Alkali Lakes and Wetlands - Critical habitat includes: (1) shallow, seasonally to permanently flooded, mixosaline to hypersaline wetlands with sandy to gravelly, sparsely vegetated beaches, salt-encrusted mud flats, and/or gravelly salt flats; (2) springs and fens along edges of alkali lakes and wetlands; and (3) adjacent uplands 200 feet (61 meters) above the high water mark of the alkali lake or wetland.



North Dakota and Montana Whooping Crane Migration Corridor  
 Central Flyway of the United States






Produced for Ecological Services  
 Grand Island, NE  
 Current to: 2007

# Piping Plover Critical Habitat

## Unit 9 (North Dakota)




-  Piping Plover Critical Habitat
-  Sections
-  Township Boundaries



Map Location



North Dakota



Map compiled by USFWS, Ecological Services, Bismarck, ND, September, 2002. All features are for representative purposes only and may not depict the the actual size, shape and/or boundary.

