

Appendix IV
FRANK W. RADIGAN
237 Schoolhouse Road
Albany, New York 12203
Telephone (518) 452-5285
FRadigan@aol.com

EDUCATION

B.S., Chemical Engineering -- Clarkson University, Potsdam, New York (1981)

Certificate in Regulatory Economics -- State University of New York at Albany (1990)

SUMMARY OF PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

1998–Present **Principal, Hudson River Energy Group, Albany, NY** -- Provide research, technical evaluation, due diligence, reporting, and expert witness testimony on electric, steam, gas and water utilities. Provide expertise in electric supply planning, economics, regulation, wholesale supply and industry restructuring issues. Perform analysis of rate adequacy, rate unbundling, cost-of-service studies, rate design, rate structure and multi-year rate agreements. Perform depreciation studies, conservation studies and proposes feasible conservation programs.

1997–1998 **Manager Energy Planning, Louis Berger & Associates, Albany, NY** – Advised clients on rate setting, rate design, rate unbundling and performance based ratemaking. Served a wide variety of clients in dealing with complexities of deregulation and restructuring, including OATT pricing, resource adequacy, asset valuation in divestiture auctions, transmission planning policies and power supply.

1981–1997 **Senior Valuation Engineer, New York State Public Service Commission, Albany, NY** – Starting as a Junior Engineer and working progressively through the ranks, served on the Staff of the New York State Department of Public Service in the Rates and System Planning Sections of the Power Division and in the Rates Section of the Gas and Water Division. Responsibilities included the analysis of rates, rate design and tariffs of electric, gas, water and steam utilities in the State and performing embedded and marginal cost of service studies. Before leaving the Commission, was responsible for directing all engineering staff during major rate proceedings.

FIELDS OF SPECIALIZATION

Electric power restructuring, wholesale and retail wheeling rates, analysis of load pockets and market power, divestiture, generation planning, power supply agreements and expert witness testimony, retail access, cost of service studies, rate unbundling, rate design and depreciation studies.

PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS

Wholesale Commodity Markets

Transmission Expansion Planning – Various Utilities -- Member of Transmission Expansion Advisory Committee in the New England Power Pool – the Committee is charged with the study of transmission expansion needs in the deregulated New England electric market. Ongoing

Locational Based Pricing – Reading Municipal Light Department -- Using GE multi-area production simulation model (MAPS), analyzed New England wholesale power market to cost differences between various generators and load centers. 2003

Merchant Plant Analysis – Confidential client – Using GE multi-area production simulation model (MAPS), analyzed New York City wholesale power market to determine economics of restructuring PURPA era contract to market priced contract. 2002

Market Price Forecasting – El Paso Merchant Energy – Analyzed New England power market using MAPS for purpose of pricing natural gas supply in order to ensure that plant was dispatched at 70% capacity factor as required under its gas supply contract. 2002

Market Price Analysis – Novo Windpower – Analyzed hourly market price data in New York for each load zone in State in order to optimize location of new wind power projects. 2002

Gas Aggregation – Village of Ilion – Advised client on costs/benefits of aggregating residential gas customers for purpose of gas purchasing. 2002

Gas Procurement – Albany County, New York – Assisted client in analysis of economics of existing gas purchase contract; negotiated termination of contract; designing request for proposal for new natural gas supply. 2000

HQ Prudence Review – Selected by Vermont Public Service Board to perform prudence review power supply contract between Hydro Quebec and Central Vermont Public Service Corporation. 1998

Wholesale Power Supply – Prepared comprehensive RFP to optimize power supply for Solvay municipal utility by complementing existing low cost power supplies in order to entice new industrial load to locate within Village. 1997

Analysis of Load Pockets and Market Power – Performed analysis of load pockets and market power in New York State; determined physical and financial measures that could mitigate market power. 1996

Study of IPP Contracts and Impacts in New York – Performed study to determine rate impacts of power purchase contracts entered into by investor owned utilities and independent power producers (IPPs); separately measured rate impacts resulting from statewide excess-capacity; determined level of non-optimal reserves for each utility. 1995

Power Purchase Contract Policies and Procedures – Directed NYSPSC Staff teams in formulation of short- and long-run avoided cost estimates (LRACs) using production simulation model (PROMOD); forecasted load and capacity requirements; developed utility buy-back rates; presented expert witness testimony on buy-back rate estimates and calculation methodologies, thereby implementing curtailment of IPPs as allowed under PURPA. 1990-1994

Integrated Resource Planning - Led NYSPSC Staff team's examination of each utility's IRP process and examination of impacts of processes and regulatory policies influencing the decision making process. 1994

Intrastate Wheeling Commission Transmission Analysis and Assessment – Chairman of NYSPSC Proceeding to examine plans for meeting future electricity needs in New York State. Addressed measures for estimating and allocating costs of wheeling, including embedded cost, short-run marginal cost and long run incremental cost methods. 1990

Rate Setting

Jurisdictional Cost of Service – Mississippi Power Company – On behalf of the Staff of the Mississippi Public Utilities Staff prepared a report on the reasonableness of the Company's jurisdictional cost of service study. 2010

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Heritage Hills Water Works – For small water company, performing cost of service study for the preparation of a full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2009

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Stowe Electric Department, NY – For small municipal electric utility, assisted in the preparation full cost of service study before the Vermont Public Service Board. 2009

Rate Study – Hudson River Black River Regulating District -- For regulating body performed detailed cost of service allocation in order to allocate costs among beneficiaries of water regulation.

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Greene, NY – For small municipal electric utility, assisted in the preparation full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2008

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Bath, NY – For small municipal electric utility, assisted in the preparation full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2008

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Richmondville, NY – For small municipal electric utility, assisted in the preparation full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2008

Economic Development Rate – Massena Electric Department – For municipal electric utility, developed tariffs for economic development rates for new or expanded load.

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Hamilton, NY – For small municipal electric utility, prepared full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2004

Rate Study – Pascoag Utility District – Reviewed the application of the Power Authority of the State of New York to increase rates to its wholesale power customers. 2003

Rate Study - Kennebunk Power and Light Department – Performed rate study of new multi-year wholesale power contract against existing rates to determine impact on overall revenue recovery and cash flows of utility. 2003

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Arcade, NY – For small municipal electric utility, assisted in the preparation full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2003

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Philadelphia, NY – For small municipal electric utility, assisted in the preparation full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2003

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Village of Hamilton, NY – For small municipal electric utility, prepared full cost of service study before the New York Public Service Commission. 2004

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Fillmore Gas Company – For small natural gas local distribution company, performing cost of service study for internal budget controls and formal rate case before the New York Public Service Commission. 2003

Rate Case Cost of Service Study – Rowlands Hollow Water Works – For small water company, performing cost of service study for internal budget controls and formal rate case before the New York Public Service Commission. 2003

Standby Rates – Independent Power Producers of New York – Analyzed reasonableness of proposed standby rates of Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation; proposed alternate rate designs; participated in settlement negotiations for new rates. 2002

Economic Development Rates – Pascoag Utility District – Designed new cost based economic development rates charged to large industrial customer contemplating locating within the municipality. 2002

Municipalization Study – Kennebunk Power and Light Department – Performed economic analysis of municipal utility serving remaining portions of Village not already served; performed valuation of the plant currently owned by Central Maine Power. 2001

Water Rate Study – Pascoag Utility District – Performed cost of service study for water utility; presented alternate methods of funding revenue requirement. 2001

Pole Attachment Rates – Middleborough Gas and Electric Department – Designed cost based pole attachment rates charged to CATV customers. 2000

ISO Service Tariff -- On behalf of three municipal utilities, analyzed cost basis and proposed rate design of ISO Service Tariffs. 2000

Pole Attachment Rates – City of Farmington, New Mexico municipal electric department – Designed cost based pole attachment rates for CATV customers. 1999

OATT Rates – On behalf of four municipal utilities in New England – Developed cost based annual revenue requirements for regional network transmission rates; represent utilities before ISO New England committees on transmission rate setting issues. 1998-2004

Consolidated Edison Restructuring – Member NYPSC Staff team – Negotiated major restructuring settlement with Consolidated Edison, which decreased utility's rates by \$700 million over five years; implemented retail access program; performed rate unbundling; divestiture of utility generation and the allowance of the formation of a holding company; accelerated depreciation of generation; established customer education programs on restructuring; established service quality and service reliability incentive to ensure that provision of electric service will diminish as competitive market emerges. The agreement served as the template for restructuring in New York. 1997

Cost-of-service Review and Rate Unbundling – Performed rate unbundling of retail rates of Orange & Rockland Utilities, Inc. to facilitate delivery of New York Power Authority energy to customer located in Orange & Rockland's service territory. 1992

Vintage Year Salvage and Study - Managed joint study of staff from Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation and NYPSC to determine feasibility of using vintage year salvage accounting for determining future salvage rates. 1985

Environmental Issues

Energy Conservation Study – Pascoag Utility District – Designed energy conservation rebate program based on cost benefit study of various alternatives. Program funded through State mandated collection of energy conservation monies from ratepayers. 2002

Clean Air Act Lawsuit – New York State Attorney General – Investigated modifications made at coal fired generating units of New York utilities to determine whether major modifications were made with obtaining pre-construction permits as required by the prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) provisions of the Act. 1999-2002.

Environmental Impact Study and Simulation Modeling Analysis – Analyzed potential environmental impacts of restructuring electric industry in NY using production simulation model PROMOD. 1996

Renewable Resources – Project Leader in NYPSC proceeding regarding development and implementation of utility plans to promote use of renewable resources. 1995

Environmental and Economic Impacts Study – Directed study of pool-wide power plant dispatch with environmental adders to determine environmental and economic effects of dispatching electric power plants with monetized environmental adders. 1994

Clean Air Impact Study – Directed study of effects of the Clean Air Act of 1990. Measured statewide cost savings if catalytic reduction controls facilities were elected to comply with 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments; installed components on units in metropolitan NY region. 1994

Environmental Externalities and Socioeconomic Impacts Study – Managed NYSPSC proceeding to determine whether to incorporate environmental costs into Long-Run Avoided Costs for the State’s electric utilities. Study purposes: explore the socioeconomic impacts of electric production as compared with DSM; monetize environmental impacts of electricity. 1993

EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY

Case 09-E-0715 – New York State Electric and Gas Corporation -- On behalf of Nucor Steel, Auburn, Inc. examined the reasonableness of the utility’s proposed construction program, revenue allocation, rate design and decoupling mechanism. 2010

Case 09-S-0029 – Consolidated Edison – On behalf of the County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of a Report Regarding Steam Price Elasticity and Long Term Steam Revenue Requirement Forecast 2010

Docket No. 09-01299 – Utilities, Inc. of Central Nevada - On behalf of the Nevada Attorney General’s Bureau of Consumer Protection testified on the overall revenue requirement, the appropriate level of rate case expense, and allocation of corporate salaries. 2010

Docket No. 09-12-11 – Connecticut Water Company – On behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer’s Counsel examined the reasonableness of the proposed Water Conservation Adjustment Mechanism. 2010

Case 9217 – Potomac Electric Power Company – On behalf of the Maryland Office of People’s Counsel examined the reasonableness of the utility’s proposed jurisdictional cost of service study, revenue allocation and rate design. 2010

Docket No. 09-12-05 – Connecticut Light & Power Company – On behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer’s Counsel examined the reasonableness of the proposed depreciation rates, revenue allocation and rate design. 2010

Case 09-S-0794 – Consolidated Edison – Steam Rates -- On behalf of County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the Company’s proposal to increase retail rates. 2010

Case 09-G-0795 – Consolidated Edison – Gas Rates -- On behalf of the County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the Company’s proposal to increase retail rates. 2010

Case 10-S-0001 – Project Orange Associates, LLC -- On behalf of Project Orange Associates testified to the reasonableness of whether the steam customers of Syracuse University could benefit if a steam transportation tariff were adopted by the New York Public Service Commission. 2009

Docket No. E-7, Sub 900 – Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC – On behalf of the Sierra Club, Southern Alliance for Clean Energy testified on the reasonableness of the Company’s request to recover construction work in progress in rate base and to comment on whether the costs incurred by the Company for the supercritical coal plant Cliffside Unit 6 are reasonable and prudent. 2009

D.P.U. 8-64 – New England Gas Company – On behalf of the Massachusetts Attorney General testified to the reasonableness of the accuracy of the Company’s accounting data as it related to affiliate transaction with the parent Company. 2009

Formal Case No. 1027 – Washington Gas Light Company – On behalf of the Office of People’s Counsel of the District of Columbia testified to the reasonableness of the Company’s use of mechanical couplings and problems related thereto. 2009

Docket No. G-04204A-08-0571 -- UNS Gas, INC. -- On behalf of the on behalf of the Arizona Residential Utility Consumer Office examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded cost of service study, proposed revenue allocation, and proposed rate design. 2009

Case 09-S-0029 – Consolidated Edison – On behalf of the County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the method of allocating costs between the utility's steam system and its electric system. 2009

Docket No. 09-0407 – Commonwealth Edison – On behalf of the People of the State of Illinois testified to the reasonableness of Company's Chicago Area smart Grid Initiative. 2009

Docket No. E-01345A-08-0172 – Arizona Public Service – On behalf of the on behalf of the Arizona Corporation Commission examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded cost of service study, proposed revenue allocation, proposed rate design and proposal regarding demand side management cost recovery. 2009

Case 9182 – Maryland Water Service, Inc. – On behalf of the Maryland Office of People's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed bulk purchased water rate increase. 2009

Case 9182 – Artesian Water Maryland, Inc. – On behalf of the Maryland Office of People's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed advance fees to connect new water customers in the Whitaker Woods subdivision. 2009

Case 08-E-0539 – Consolidated Edison – Electric Rates -- On behalf of County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the Company's proposal to increase retail electric rates by \$854 million. 2008

Docket No. 08-07-04 – United Illuminating – On behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the Company's proposed construction budget. 2008

Docket No. 08-06036 – Spring Creek Utilities - On behalf of the Nevada Attorney General's Bureau of Consumer Protection testified on the overall revenue requirement, the cost allocation and amortization of a new financial accounting system, the appropriate level of rate case expense, allocation of corporate salaries, recovery of property taxes, and rate design. 2008

D.P.U. 8-35 – New England Gas Company – On behalf of the Massachusetts Attorney General testified to the reasonableness of the Company's request to increase rates in light of the terms of a previous settlement, the level of expenses being charged from the parent Company to the affiliate, the proposed increase in depreciation expense and the proposed revenue allocation and rate design. 2008

Docket No. 08-96 – Artesian Water Company - on behalf of the Staff of the Delaware Public Service Commission examined the reasonableness of the Company's cost of service study and proposed revenue allocation and rate design. 2008

Docket No. 05-03-17PH02 – Southern Connecticut Gas Company – on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded costs of service study and proposed revenue allocation and rate design. 2008

Docket No. 06-03-04PH02 – Connecticut Natural Gas Corporation – on behalf of the Connecticut Office of Consumer's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded cost of service study and proposed revenue allocation and rate design. 2008

Docket No. G-01551A-07-0504 – Southwest Gas Corporation – on behalf of the Arizona Corporation Commission examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded cost of service study, proposed revenue allocation, proposed rate design and proposals regarding revenue decoupling. 2008

Docket No. E-01933A-07-0402 – Tucson Electric Power Company – on behalf of the Arizona Corporation Commission examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded cost of service study, proposed revenue allocation, proposed rate design and proposals regarding mandatory time of use rates. 2008

Docket No. 07-09030 – Southwest Gas Corporation – on behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed depreciation rates. 2008

Civil Action 05-C-457-1 – Dominion Hope – on behalf of former employee of the utility examined the utility's hedging and sales for resale practices between affiliates. 2008

Case 07-829-GA-AIR – Dominion East Ohio – on behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumer's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the Company's embedded cost of service study, proposed revenue allocation and rate design and examined the reasonableness of proposals on revenue decoupling and straight fixed variable rate design. 2008

Case 07-S-1315 – Consolidated Edison Steam Rates -- On behalf of County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the method of allocating costs between the utility's steam system and its electric system. 2008

Case No. 9134 – Green Ridge Utilities, Inc. – on behalf of the Maryland Office of People's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed rate application including the appropriate cost allocation and amortization period for expenses incurred to develop and implement Project Phoenix (a new software and financial accounting system project), the appropriate level of rate case expense, the requested rate of return and the appropriate level and allocation for common expenses from the parent company. 2008

Case No. 9135 -- Provinces Utilities, Inc. – on behalf of the Maryland Office of People's Counsel examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed rate application including the appropriate cost allocation and amortization period for expenses incurred to develop and implement Project Phoenix (a new software and financial accounting system project), the appropriate level of rate case expense, the requested rate of return and the appropriate level and allocation for common expenses from the parent company. 2008

Case 07-M-0906 – Energy East and Iberdola – On behalf of Nucor Steel, Auburn, Inc. examined the reasonableness of the proposed Acquisition of Energy East Corporation by Iberdola merger. 2008

Case 07-E-0523 – Consolidated Edison – Electric Rates -- On behalf of County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the Company's proposal to increase retail electric rates by over \$1.2 billion or 33%. 2007

Docket Nos. ER07-459-002, ER07-513-002, and EL07-11-002 – Vermont Transco -- on behalf of the Vermont Towns of Stowe and Hardwick, and the Villages of Hyde Park, Johnson and Morrisville on whether the direct assignment and rate impacts of a proposed transmission line were with current policy of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2007

Docket No. 07-05-19 – Aquarion Water Company – On behalf of the Connecticut Office of Peoples Counsel examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed revenue allocation, rate design, weather normalization and depreciation rates 2007

Docket No. E-04204A-06-0783 – UNS Electric – On behalf of the Arizona Corporation Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed revenue allocation and rate design. 2007

Docket Nos. 06-11022 and 06-11023 – Nevada Power Company – On behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed depreciation rates and expense levels. 2007

Case 06-G-1186 – KeySpan Delivery Long Island – on behalf of the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk analyzed the Company's proposed rate design and it's for amortization of costs for expenditures relating to Manufactured Gas Plants. 2007

Case 06-M-0878 – National Grid and KeySpan Corporation -- on behalf of the Counties of Nassau and Suffolk

analyzed the public benefit of the proposed merger, customer service, demand side management programs, rate relief as it relates to competition and customer choice, the repowering of the existing generating stations on Long Island, and the remediation of contamination caused by Manufactured Gas Plants. 2007

Docket No. 06-07-08 – Connecticut Water Company – On behalf of the Connecticut Department of Utility Control examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed depreciation rates, revenue allocation and rate design. 2006

Docket No. EL07-11-000 – Vermont Transco -- on behalf of the Vermont Towns of Stowe and Hardwick, and the Villages of Hyde Park, Johnson and Morrisville evaluated whether the proposed and subsequently abandoned allocation of costs for the Lamoille County Project was reasonable and whether the direct assignment and rate impacts of a proposed transmission line were with current policy of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. 2006

Case 05-S-1376 – Consolidated Edison – Steam Rates -- On behalf of County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the method of allocating costs between the utility's steam system and its electric system. 2006

Docket No. 06-48-000 – Braintree Electric Light Department – On behalf of the municipal utility presented an cost of service study used to calculate the annual revenue requirement for a generating station that was deemed to be required for reliability purposes. 2006

Case 05-E-1222 – New York State Electric and Gas Corporation – On behalf of Nucor Steel, Auburn, Inc. examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed average service lives, forecast net salvage figures, and proposal to switch from whole life to remaining life method. 2006

Docket No. 05-10004 – Sierra Pacific Power Company – On behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed electric depreciation rates and expense levels. 2006

Docket No. 05-10006 – Sierra Pacific Power Company – On behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed gas depreciation rates and expense levels. 2006

Docket No. ER06-17-000 – ISO New England, Inc. – On behalf of a group of municipal utilities in Massachusetts prepared an affidavit on the reasonableness of proposed changes to the Regional Network Service transmission revenue requirements rate setting formula. 2005

Case 04-E-0572 – Consolidated Edison – Electric Rate – On behalf of the County of Westchester testified to the reasonableness of the Company's revenue allocation amongst service classes and the company's fully allocated embedded cost of service study. 2004

Docket No. 04-02-14 – Aquarion Water Company – On behalf of the Connecticut Department of Utility Control examined the reasonableness of the utility's proposed depreciation rates, weather normalization proposal and certain operation and maintenance expense forecasts. 2004

Docket No. U-13691 – Detroit Thermal, LLC – On behalf of the Henry Ford Health Systems testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed default tariffs for steam service. 2004

Docket No. 04-3011 – Southwest Gas Corporation – On behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed depreciation rates and expense levels. 2004

Docket No. ER03-563-030 -- Devon Power, LLC, *et al.* – On behalf of the Wellesley Municipal Light Plant filed a prepared affidavit with FERC with respect the proposal of ISO New England, Inc. to establish a locational Installed Capability market in New England. 2004

Docket No. 03-10002 – Nevada Power Company – On behalf of the Staff of the Nevada Public Utilities Commission testified on the reasonableness of the utility's proposed depreciation rates and expense levels. 2004

Case 03-E-0765 – Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation - Before the New York Public Service Commission submitted testimony on rate design, rate unbundling, depreciation, commodity supply and reasonableness and ratemaking treatment of proceeds from the sale of a nuclear generating plant. 2003

New York State Department of Taxation and Finance Versus Brooklyn Navy Yard Cogeneration Partners – Testified on behalf of independent power producer in income tax case regarding tax payments associated with gas used to produce electricity. Testimony focused on ratemaking policies and practices in New York State. 2003

Docket No. 2930 – Narragansett Electric – Before the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission submitted testimony on the reasonableness of the utility’s proposed shared savings filing and its implications for the overall reasonableness of the Company’s distribution rates. 2003

Docket No. 03-07-01 – Connecticut Light and Power Company – Before the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control testified to the recovery of “federally mandated” wholesale power costs. 2003

Docket No. ER03-1274-000 – Boston Edison Company – Before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission submitted affidavit on the reasonableness of the utility’s proposed depreciation rates and expense levels. 2003

Case 210293 – Corning Incorporated – Before the New York Public Service Commission submitted an affidavit on certain actions of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation regarding the wholesale price of power in New York and the utility’s billing practices as they relate to flex rate contracts. 2003

Case 332311 – Nucor Steel Auburn, Inc. – Before the New York State Public Service Commission submitted an affidavit on certain actions of New York State Electric & Gas Corporation regarding the wholesale price of power in New York and the utility’s billing practices as they relate to flex rate contracts. 2003

Case 6455/03 – Prepared affidavit for consideration by the Supreme Court of the State of New York as to the purpose, need and fuel choice for the Jamaica Bay Energy Center (Jamaica Bay) as it related to good utility planning practice for meeting the energy needs of utility customers. 2003

Case 00-M-0504 – New York State Electric and Gas Corporation – Reviewed reasonableness of utility’s fully allocated embedded cost of service study and proposed unbundled delivery rates. 2002

Docket No. TX96-4-001 – On behalf of the Suffolk County Electrical Agency proposed unbundled embedded cost rates for wheeling of wholesale power across distribution facilities. 2002

Case 00-E-1208 – Consolidated Edison: Electric Rate Restructuring – On behalf of Westchester County, addressed reasonableness of having differentiated delivery services rates for New York City and Westchester. 2001

Case 01-E-0359 – Petition of New York State Electric & Gas – Multi-Year Electric Price Protection Plan – Addressed reasonableness of Price Protection Plan (PPP); presented alternative rate plan that called for 20% decrease in utility’s base rates. 2001

Case 01-E-0011 – Joint Petition of Co-Owners of Nine Mile Nuclear Station – Addressed the reasonableness of the proposed nuclear asset sale and the ratemaking treatment of the after gain sale proposed by NYSEG. 2001

Docket No. EL00-62-005 – ISO New England Inc. – Submitted affidavit on reasonableness of ISO’s proposed \$4.75/kW/month Installed Capability Deficiency Charge. June 2001

Docket No. EL00-62-005 – ISO New England Inc. – Submitted affidavit on reasonableness of proposed \$0.17/kW/month Installed Capability Deficiency Charge. January 2001

Docket No. 2861 – Pascoag Fire District: Standard Offer, Charge, Transition Charge and Transmission Charge – Testified on elements of individual charges, procedures for calculation and reasons for changes from previous filed rates. 2001

Case 96-E-0891 – New York State Electric & Gas: Retail Access Credit Phase – On behalf of a large industrial customer, testified on cost of service considerations regarding NYSEG’s earnings performance under the terms of a multi-year rate plan and the appropriate level of Retail Access Credit for customers seeking alternate service from alternate suppliers. 2000

Docket No. ER99-978-000 – Boston Edison Company: Open Access Transmission Tariff – Testified on design, revenue requirement, and reasonableness of proposed formula rates proposed by Boston Edison Company for calculating charges for local network transmission service under open access tariff. 1999

Docket Nos. OA97-237-000, et. al. – New England Power Pool: OATT – Testified on design, revenue requirement, and reasonableness of proposed formula rate for transmission service; testified to proposed rates, charges, terms and conditions for ancillary services. 1999

Docket No. 2688 – Pascoag Fire District: Electric Rates – Testified on elements of savings resulting from renegotiation of contract with wholesale power supplier and presented analysis that justified need for and amount of base rate increase. 1998

New York State Department of Taxation and Finance Versus Zapco Energy Tactics Corporation – Testified on behalf of independent power producer in income tax case regarding tax payments associated with electric interconnection equipment. Testimony focused on policies and practices faced in doing business in New York State. 1998

Docket No. 2516 – Pascoag Fire District: Utility Restructuring – Testified on manner and means for utility’s restructuring in compliance with Rhode Island Utility Restructuring Act of 1996. Testimony presented a methodology for calculating stranded cost charge, unbundled rates, and new terms and conditions of electric services in deregulated environment. 1997

Case 94-E-0334 – Consolidated Edison: Electric Rates – Led Staff team in review of utility’s multi-year rate filing seeking increased rates of \$400 million. Directed team in review of resource planning, power purchase contract administration, and fuel and purchased power expenses and testified on reasonableness of company’s actions regarding buy-out of contract with an independent power producer and renegotiation of contract with another independent power producer. Lead negotiations for multi-year settlement and performance-based ratemaking package that resulted in a three-year rate freeze. 1994

Case 93-G-0996 – Consolidated Edison: Gas Rates – Testified on reasonableness of utility’s proposed depreciation rates. 1994

Case 93-S-0997 – Consolidated Edison: Steam Rates – Testified on reasonableness of utility’s resource planning for steam utility system. 1994

Case 93-S-0997 and 93-G-0996 – Consolidated Edison: Steam Rates – Testified on reasonableness of multi-year rate plan proposed by the utility. 1994

Case 94-E-0098 – Niagara Mohawk: Electric Rates – Reviewed utility’s management of its portfolio of power purchase contracts with independent power producers for the reasonableness of recovery of costs in retail rates. 1994

Case 93-E-0807 – Consolidated Edison: Electric Rates – Testified on rate recovery mechanism for costs associated with termination of five contracts with independent power producers. 1993

Case 92-E-0814 – Petition for Approval of Curtailment Procedures – Testified on methodology for estimating amount of power required to be curtailed and staff’s estimate of curtailment. 1992

Case 90-S-0938 – Consolidated Edison: Steam Rates – Testified on reasonableness of utility’s embedded cost of service study, and proposed revenue re-allocation and rate design. 1991

Case 91-E-0462 – Consolidated Edison: Electric Rates – Implementation of partial pass-through fuel adjustment incentive clause. 1991

Case 90-E-0647 – Rochester Gas and Electric: Electric Rates – Analysis and estimation of monthly fuel and purchased power costs for use in utility’s performance based partial pass-through fuel adjustment clause. 1990

Case 29433 – Central Hudson Gas and Electric: Electric Rates – Analysis of utility’s construction budgeting process, rate year electric plant in service forecast, lease revenue forecast, forecast and rate treatment of profits from sales of wholesale power and estimation of fuel and purchased power expenses for use in the utility’s partial pass-through fuel adjustment clause. 1987

Case 29674 – Rochester Gas and Electric: Electric Rates – Review of utility’s historic and forecast O&M expenditure levels forecast and rate treatment of profits from wholesale power, and estimation of fuel and purchased power expenses, and price out of incremental revenues from increased retail sales. 1987

Case 29195 – Central Hudson Gas and Electric: Electric Rates – Review of utility’s construction budgeting process, analysis of rate year electric plant in service, forecast and rate treatment of profits from sales of wholesale power, and estimation of fuel and purchased power expenses. 1986

Case 29046 – Orange and Rockland Utilities: Electric Rates – Testified on the reasonableness of the utility’s proposed depreciation rates and expense levels. 1985

Case 28313 – Central Hudson Gas and Electric: Electric Rates – Review of utility’s construction budgeting process; analysis of rate year electric plant in service forecast; review of rate year operations and maintenance expense forecast; forecast and rate treatment of profits from sales of wholesale power; estimation of fuel and purchased power expenses. 1984

Case 28316 – Rochester Gas and Electric: Steam Rates – Price out of steam sales including the review of historic sales growth, usage patterns and forecast number of customers. 1984

PRESENTATIONS

National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Annual Conference, 2008 – Speaker on a case study of “Smart Metering”

Multiple Intervenors Annual Conference – What Will Impact Market Prices? 1998, Syracuse, New York – Speaker on the impact that deregulation would have on market prices for large industrial customers.

IBC Conference – Successful Strategies for Negotiating Purchased Power Contracts, 1997, Washington, DC – Speaker on NY power purchase contract policies, ratepayer valuation, contract approval process and policy on recovery of buyout costs.

Gas Daily Conference – Fueling the Future: Gas’ Role in Private Power Projects, 1992, Houston, Texas – Panel member addressing changing power supply requirements of electric utilities.

MEMBERSHIPS/ASSOCIATIONS

Member Municipal Electric Utility Association, Northeast Public Power Association and New York State ISO.