

MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO.
A Division of MDU Resources Group, Inc.

Before the Public Service Commission of North Dakota

Case No. PU-10-____

Direct Testimony
of
Darcy J. Neigum

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Darcy J. Neigum and my business address is 400
3 North Fourth Street, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501.

4 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

5 A. I am the System Operations and Planning Manager of Montana-
6 Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana-Dakota), a Division of MDU Resources
7 Group, Inc.

8 **Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities with Montana-
9 Dakota.**

10 A. I have manager responsibility for the evaluation and development
11 of new generation resources as well as overseeing the day-to-day
12 operations of the electric control center and system operations planning
13 department. The system operations planning department is responsible
14 for electric resource planning and expansion studies for the Company.

15 **Q. Please outline your educational and professional background.**

16 A. I hold a Bachelor's Degree in Electrical and Electronics
17 Engineering from North Dakota State University as well as a Masters of

1 Business Administration from the University of Mary. My work experience
2 includes four years as a nuclear plant operator for Westinghouse Electric,
3 three years of experience as a plant engineer for a coal-fired plant in North
4 Dakota, and eleven years of generation development and operational
5 responsibilities which included coal-fired, gas-fired, and renewable
6 generation sources. Over the last ten years, I have been responsible for
7 project management and construction of a 220 MW gas-fired generator in
8 Brazil, a 43 MW gas-fired generator in Montana, a 120 MW coal-fired
9 generator in Montana, 30 MW of wind generation in Montana, 20 MW of
10 wind generation in North Dakota, and a 5 MW heat-recovery generation
11 project in North Dakota. I was also responsible for executive oversight of
12 the operation and technical support for MDU Resources Group's
13 independent power generation business unit prior to its sale in 2007.

14 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

15 A. The purpose of my testimony is to document the changes in
16 wholesale sales that Montana-Dakota has experienced as a result of its
17 participation in the Midwest ISO Energy Market and to describe the new
18 resource additions that Montana-Dakota has made with respect to supply
19 side generating stations over the past five years.

20 **Q. Please describe the changes in wholesale sales that Montana-Dakota
21 has experienced since 2003?**

22 A. The expiration of a long term purchase contract with Basin Electric
23 occurring in October 2006 coupled with the startup of the Midwest ISO
24 Energy Market on April 1, 2005, significantly changed Montana-Dakota's

1 opportunities for wholesale sales of excess electric energy. Montana-
 2 Dakota's historical ability to enter into traditional bilateral arrangements
 3 was replaced with day ahead and real-time offering of available energy
 4 into the Midwest ISO Energy Market. The Midwest ISO Energy Market
 5 dispatches all available generation resources to meet system reliability
 6 requirements and minimize the purchased price of energy to load serving
 7 entities on a day ahead and real time basis.

8 Since the startup of the Midwest ISO Energy Market, Montana-
 9 Dakota has seen a drop in wholesale sales as compared to the pre-energy
 10 market days. The majority of Montana-Dakota's wholesale sales occurs
 11 during off-peak system hours and come from the sale of coal-based
 12 generation not utilized to serve Montana-Dakota customer load.

13 The table below is a summary of Montana-Dakota's historical
 14 wholesale sales showing the MWh volumes, revenue, margin and margin
 15 per MWh from 2003 through 2009 and the decline discussed above.

Wholesale Sales	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
MWh	841,637	821,516	615,220	483,943	165,638	223,777	90,788
Revenue	\$24,438,532	\$26,469,193	\$24,136,379	\$6,138,964	\$5,700,088	\$8,043,463	\$2,239,005
Margin	\$11,752,321	\$13,548,491	\$15,747,791	\$9,847,352	\$3,286,769	\$4,593,278	\$604,256
Margin per MWh	\$13.96	\$16.49	\$25.60	\$20.35	\$19.84	\$ 20.53	\$6.65

1 During the same period of 2003 to 2009, Montana-Dakota's retail
2 sales have increased by an average of 61,140 MWh per year or 2.6
3 percent per year. This increase in customer load and the loss of the AVS-
4 II contract has decreased the amount of energy that Montana-Dakota has
5 available for wholesale sales.

6 With the financial market collapse and corresponding demand
7 destruction in parts of the Upper Midwest and Midwest ISO footprint,
8 Montana-Dakota's wholesale sales dropped significantly in 2009 as the
9 average Midwest ISO load dropped five percent or more. The installation
10 of intermittent, primarily wind, generation resources in the Midwest ISO
11 footprint has also reduced Montana-Dakota's ability to make off-peak
12 wholesale sales. This additional off-peak generation has pushed typically
13 base load coal-fired generation down to minimum generation levels during
14 off-peak periods when Montana-Dakota historically saw its greatest
15 opportunity for wholesale sales. In 2009, Montana-Dakota saw frequent
16 minimum generation events and negative system pricing during off-peak
17 periods during the shoulder months and weekends. As of October 2009,
18 the Midwest ISO had a total installed wind capacity of 7,472 MW. This
19 number will continue to increase until the renewable portfolio standard
20 targets for the Midwest ISO load are met.

21 The Midwest ISO's Energy Market was replaced by the Midwest
22 ISO's Ancillary Service Market (ASM) on January 6, 2009. The startup of
23 the ASM reduced the amount of generation resources held in reserve for
24 regulation, spin, and supplemental requirements by over 1,000 MW

1 according to the Midwest ISO. This allowed additional generation to
2 access the energy market and further reduced Montana-Dakota's
3 opportunities for wholesale sales.

4 Montana-Dakota has offered its generation units capable of
5 supplying regulation, spin, and supplemental into the ASM market but has
6 seen minimal revenue from offering these services. Going forward,
7 Montana-Dakota expects to see continued decreased wholesale sales and
8 margins as compared to historical levels. For 2010, based on forecasted
9 plant outages and unit availabilities, Montana-Dakota is forecasting
10 wholesale sales of 122,768 MWh, an annual margin of \$667,752, and an
11 average margin per sale of \$5.37 per MWh.

12 **Q. What generating resource additions has Montana-Dakota made since**
13 **2003?**

14 Since 2003, Montana-Dakota has made several new generating
15 resource additions including: a 19.5 MW Wind Project named Diamond
16 Willow which commenced commercial operation in February of 2008, a
17 5.3 MW heat recovery generating station named Glen Ullin Station #6
18 which commenced commercial operation in July of 2009, a 19.5 MW Wind
19 Project named Cedar Hills which is scheduled to begin commercial
20 operation in June of 2010, and a 10.5 MW expansion to the Diamond
21 Willow Wind Project which is scheduled to begin commercial operation in
22 June of 2010.

23 All resource additions were included in Montana-Dakota's most
24 recent filed Integrated Resource Plan dated July 1, 2009.

1 **Q Please describe the Diamond Willow Wind Project?**

2 A. The 19.5 MW Diamond Willow Wind Project, located southeast of
3 Baker, Montana began construction in 2007 and consists of 13 General
4 Electric (GE) wind turbines each rated at 1.5 MW. The Diamond Willow
5 Wind Project began commercial operation in February of 2008 and has
6 been serving the integrated system customers since that time.

7 Montana-Dakota issued a Request for Proposal (RFP) during the
8 spring of 2007 seeking renewable resources that would qualify for the
9 State of Montana Renewable Portfolio Standard (Montana RPS).

10 As part of the RFP, a developer offered the rights to the Diamond Willow
11 Wind Project to Montana-Dakota which was ultimately selected as the
12 winning proposal from the RFP. Montana-Dakota purchased the wind
13 turbines and substation equipment for the project and hired a general
14 contractor to construct the project roads, foundations and erect the wind
15 turbines.

16 The Diamond Willow Wind Project connects to Montana-Dakota's
17 57 kV transmission system which runs through the project site. Diamond
18 Willow achieved an annual capacity factor of 39.6 percent in 2009 and a
19 capacity factor of 39.1 percent for the last ten months of 2008.

20 Montana-Dakota employs two wind technicians who perform all the
21 operation and maintenance for the Diamond Willow project.

22 The Diamond Willow project was build for \$39.4 million which
23 included the cost of the turbines, associated substation, and transmission
24 interconnection facilities.

1 The 10.5 MW Diamond Willow expansion project began
2 construction in 2009 and consists of 7 GE wind turbines each rated at 1.5
3 MW. The Diamond Willow expansion project is scheduled to begin
4 commercial operation in June of 2010. The estimated cost of the
5 expansion is \$25.4 million which includes turbine equipment, substation
6 facilities, and transmission interconnection costs.

7 The interconnection substation for Diamond Willow was expanded
8 with a third 10 MVA transformer to accommodate the expansion project.

9 **Q. Please describe the Glen Ullin heat recovery project?**

10 A. The Glen Ullin heat recovery project, named Glen Ullin Station #6,
11 is a 5.3 MW heat recovery generating facility located near Glen Ullin,
12 North Dakota. The Glen Ullin generating station is interconnected with the
13 exhaust stack of the Northern Border Compressor Station #6.

14 Glen Ullin generating station takes the exhaust of the Northern
15 Border Compressor Station and passes it through a newly installed heat
16 exchanger located in the exhaust path of the turbine for the compressor
17 station. This heat exchanger heats a closed loop oil system which in turn
18 vaporizes and superheats a volatile pentane liquid which in turn drives a
19 turbine and generator. The exhaust of the turbine is sent to an air-cooled
20 condenser where the pentane gas is cooled and condensed back into a
21 liquid.

22 The Glen Ullin generating station is expected to generate an
23 average of 5.3 MW without the combustion of any additional fuel. The only
24 fuel combusted on-site is used to drive the Northern Border gas

1 compressor which does not require any additional fuel to support the
2 Montana-Dakota generating equipment. The Glen Ullin Station #6 is
3 considered an intermittent resource because it is only capable of
4 generating if the Northern Border compressor station is operating.

5 Montana-Dakota has a waste heat purchase and lease agreement
6 with Northern Border. The initial term of the Northern Border agreements
7 is for a 20 year period, with five year extension options available.

8 Ormat Technologies (Ormat) supplied the equipment and
9 constructed the generating facilities for the Glen Ullin project under an
10 Engineering, Procurement, and Construction Agreement. Ormat is
11 contracted to be the operator for the Glen Ullin generating station for a five
12 year period.

13 The total cost of Glen Ullin Station #6 was \$16.7 million which
14 included the cost of the generating equipment, associated substation, and
15 transmission interconnection facilities. Glen Ullin Station #6 is expected to
16 have an annual capacity factor of 67 percent.

17 Glen Ullin Station #6 connects to Montana-Dakota's 41.6kV
18 transmission system at the newly constructed Glen Ullin Rodeo
19 Substation.

20 **Q. Please describe the Cedar Hills Wind Project?**

21 A. Cedar Hills Wind is a 19.5 MW wind project, located west of
22 Rhame, North Dakota that Montana-Dakota developed based on
23 experience received during the development and construction of the
24 original Diamond Willow project.

1 Montana-Dakota looked to develop a new wind project in the
2 vicinity of Diamond Willow for several reasons.

3 The Diamond Willow project has exhibited that an excellent wind
4 resource exists around the Baker, Montana area. As noted earlier,
5 Diamond Willow's 2009 annual capacity factor was 39.6 percent, and its
6 ten month 2008 capacity factor was 39.1 percent. Also impressive is a
7 wind profile at the Diamond Willow that matches Montana-Dakota's
8 customer load pattern. Most Midwest ISO wind projects generate the
9 majority of their wind output during off-peak hours when customer demand
10 is low.

11 Siting another wind project near Diamond Willow allows for
12 synergies between the two projects including the sharing of personnel,
13 facilities, tools, and parts.

14 The Diamond Willow project is located on a Montana-Dakota 57kV
15 transmission circuit which has limited interconnection capability compared
16 to higher voltage transmission facilities. The benefit to utilizing 57kV
17 transmission facilities is the lower cost of interconnection compared to
18 higher voltage facilities. Cedar Hills provides diversity from Diamond
19 Willow by being located on a separate 57kV transmission facility than
20 Diamond Willow.

21 The Federal Production Tax Credit (PTC) for wind was set to expire
22 in 2009 when Montana-Dakota started looking to develop a new wind
23 project. The PTC provides a tax credit of \$21 per MWh of production for a
24 ten year period for qualifying wind generating facilities. Cedar Hills and the

1 Diamond Willow expansion will both qualify and be eligible for the current
2 PTC. The PTC's will provide a significant savings to Montana-Dakota's
3 customers. The PTC eligibility is currently set to end on December 31,
4 2012.

5 Under the Midwest ISO transmission siting and planning practices,
6 available transmission capacity to support new interconnects is allocated
7 on a first come first serve basis. Utilizing the existing capabilities of the
8 transmission system in the Baker and Rhame area, Montana-Dakota is
9 able to efficiently and economically interconnect renewable generation
10 sources onto the existing transmission system before the 2,700 MW of
11 wind projects in the Midwest ISO queue planned to interconnect onto
12 Montana-Dakota's system take up all the available transmission
13 interconnection capability.

14 The Cedar Hills Project, consisting of 13 GE wind turbines each
15 rated at 1.5 MW, began construction in 2009 and is scheduled to begin
16 commercial operation in June of 2010. The estimated cost of Cedar Hills is
17 \$47.4 million which includes turbine equipment, substation facilities, and
18 transmission interconnection costs.

19 **Q. Would you explain how the generation resources you just described**
20 **will be used to meet the various renewable objectives and**
21 **requirements applicable in Montana-Dakota service territories?**

22 Yes. The Cedar Hills Wind Project, the Diamond Willow and
23 Diamond Willow expansion projects, along with the Glen Ullin project, will
24 be utilized to help meet the North Dakota and South Dakota Renewable

1 Objectives and Montana RPS requirements. The North Dakota and South
2 Dakota Renewable Objectives both target that ten percent of customer's
3 energy requirements should come from renewable sources of generation
4 by 2015. The Montana RPS requires five percent of the electricity to serve
5 Montana customers to come from renewable sources beginning in 2008.
6 The Montana RPS requirement increases to ten percent in 2010 and 15
7 percent in 2015.

8 Q. **What percentage of Montana-Dakota's energy will come from**
9 **renewable sources of generation when Cedar Hills and Diamond**
10 **Willow expansion come online?**

11 A. 7.3 percent of Montana-Dakota's 2011 integrated system customer
12 energy requirements are forecasted to come from the renewable
13 generating sources of Diamond Willow, Cedar Hills, and Glen Ullin Station
14 #6.

15 Q. **Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

16 A. Yes, it does.