

**Wilton IV Wind Energy Center
Wilton Wind IV, LLC
Burleigh County, North Dakota**

**Application to the North Dakota Public Service Commission
for a Certificate of Site Compatibility**



Prepared for:
Wilton Wind IV, LLC
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408



Prepared by:
Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
160 Federal Street
Boston, MA 02110



WILTON IV WIND ENERGY CENTER

Case No.: PU-11-646

**Application to the North Dakota
Public Service Commission for a
Certificate of Site Compatibility**

November 2011

Prepared for:



*Wilton Wind IV, LLC
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, Florida 33408*

Prepared by:



TETRA TECH EC, INC.

*Tetra Tech EC, Inc.
160 Federal Street
Boston, MA 02110*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Compliance with the Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting Act Chapter 49-22	1-1
1.2 Flexibility in Siting	1-4
1.3 Project Summary	1-4
1.3.1 Proposed Project Area	1-5
1.3.2 Projected Output	1-7
1.4 Project Schedule	1-7
1.5 Project Ownership	1-7
2. NEED FOR FACILITY	2-1
2.1 Need Analysis	2-1
2.2 Alternatives	2-2
2.3 Ten Year Plan	2-2
3. SITE SELECTION CRITERIA	3-1
3.1 Exclusion Areas	3-1
3.2 Avoidance Areas	3-1
3.3 Selection Criteria	3-3
3.4 Policy Criteria	3-5
3.5 Design and Construction Limitations	3-6
3.6 Economic Considerations	3-7
4. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED FACILITY	4-1
4.1 Wind Power Technology	4-1
4.1.1 Wind Energy Center Layout	4-2
4.2 Associated Facilities	4-3
4.3 Land Rights	4-3
5. PROPOSED SITE	5-1
5.1 Identification of Project Area	5-1
5.2 Wind Resource Areas – General	5-1
5.3 Wind Characteristics in Project Area	5-1
6. ENGINEERING AND OPERATIONAL DESIGN ANALYSIS	6-1
6.1 Wilton Project Layout and Associated Facilities	6-1
6.2 Description of Wind Turbines	6-1
6.2.1 Turbine	6-1
6.2.2 Rotor	6-2
6.2.3 Tower	6-2
6.2.4 Lightning Protection	6-2
6.3 Description of Electrical System	6-2
6.4 Wilton IV Wind Energy Center Construction	6-3
6.4.1 Construction Management	6-4
6.4.2 Foundation Design	6-4

6.4.3	Civil Works.....	6-5
6.4.4	Commissioning.....	6-5
6.5	Project Operation and Maintenance.....	6-5
6.5.1	Maintenance Schedule.....	6-6
6.5.2	General Maintenance Duties.....	6-6
6.5.3	Operations and Maintenance Facility.....	6-7
6.6	Decommissioning and Restoration.....	6-7
7.	ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.....	7-1
7.1	Description of Environmental Setting.....	7-1
7.2	Demographics.....	7-1
7.2.1	Description of Resources.....	7-1
7.2.2	Impacts.....	7-2
7.2.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-3
7.3	Land Use.....	7-4
7.3.1	Description of Resources.....	7-4
7.3.2	Impacts.....	7-5
7.3.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-6
7.4	Public Services.....	7-6
7.4.1	Description of Resources.....	7-6
7.4.2	Impacts.....	7-8
7.4.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-9
7.5	Human Health and Safety.....	7-10
7.5.1	Description of Resources.....	7-10
7.5.2	Impacts.....	7-11
7.5.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-12
7.6	Noise.....	7-13
7.6.1	Description of Resources.....	7-13
7.6.2	Impacts.....	7-13
7.6.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-15
7.7	Cultural and Archaeological Impacts.....	7-15
7.7.1	Description of Resources.....	7-15
7.7.2	Impacts.....	7-19
7.7.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-19
7.8	Recreational Resources.....	7-19
7.8.1	Description of Resources.....	7-19
7.8.2	Impacts.....	7-19
7.8.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-19
7.9	Effects on Land-Based Economies.....	7-20
7.9.1	Description of Resources.....	7-20
7.9.2	Impacts.....	7-20
7.9.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-21
7.10	Soils.....	7-21
7.10.1	Description of Resources.....	7-21
7.10.2	Impacts.....	7-23
7.10.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-23
7.11	Geologic and Groundwater Resources.....	7-24

7.11.1	Description of Resources	7-24
7.11.2	Impacts.....	7-25
7.11.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-26
7.12	Surface Water and Floodplain Resources.....	7-26
7.12.1	Description of Resources	7-26
7.12.2	Impacts.....	7-27
7.12.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-27
7.13	Wetlands.....	7-27
7.13.1	Description of Resources	7-27
7.13.2	Impacts.....	7-29
7.13.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-30
7.14	Vegetation	7-30
7.14.1	Description of Resources	7-30
7.14.2	Impacts.....	7-30
7.14.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-30
7.15	Wildlife.....	7-30
7.15.1	Description of Resources	7-30
7.15.2	Impacts.....	7-32
7.15.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-32
7.16	Rare and Unique Natural Resources	7-32
7.16.1	Description of Resources	7-32
7.16.2	Impacts.....	7-35
7.16.3	Mitigative Measures.....	7-36
7.17	Summary of Impacts	7-36
8.	PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION	8-1
9.	POTENTIAL PERMITS/APPROVALS	9-1
10.	FACTORS CONSIDERED	10-1
10.1	Public Health and Welfare, Natural Resources, and the Environment	10-1
10.2	Technologies to Minimize Adverse Environmental Effects	10-1
10.3	Potential for Beneficial Uses of Waste Energy.....	10-1
10.4	Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects	10-1
10.5	Alternatives to the Proposed Site	10-1
10.6	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Natural Resources	10-1
10.7	Direct and Indirect Economic Impacts.....	10-2
10.8	Existing Development Plans of the State, Local, Government and Private Entities at or in the Vicinity of the Site.....	10-2
10.9	Effect of Site on Cultural Resources.....	10-2
10.10	Effect of Site on Biological Resources	10-3
10.11	Cumulative Effects	10-3
10.12	Agency Comments.....	10-4
10.12.1	North Dakota Aeronautics Commission	10-4
10.12.2	North Dakota Department of Agriculture	10-4
10.12.3	North Dakota Department of Health	10-4
10.12.4	North Dakota Game and Fish Department.....	10-4

10.12.5	North Dakota Geological Survey.....	10-4
10.12.6	North Dakota Department of Transportation.....	10-4
10.12.7	State Historical Society of North Dakota	10-5
10.12.8	North Dakota Indian Affairs Commission.....	10-5
10.12.9	North Dakota State Land Department	10-5
10.12.10	North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department.....	10-5
10.12.11	Burleigh County Soil Conservation District.....	10-5
10.12.12	North Dakota State Water Commission.....	10-5
10.12.13	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	10-6
10.12.14	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.....	10-6
10.12.15	Other Agencies	10-6
11.	QUALIFICATIONS OF CONTRIBUTORS.....	11-1
12.	REFERENCES.....	12-1
13.	DEFINITIONS.....	13-1

FIGURES

Figure 1	Project Location
Figure 2	Project Area (Aerial)
Figure 3	Project Area (Topographical)
Figure 4	Project Impact Assumptions
Figure 5	Exclusion and Avoidance Areas
Figure 6	Wind Turbine Design Features
Figure 7	Path of Energy Diagram
Figure 8	Typical Wind Project Layout
Figure 9	Wilton IV Substation Site Plan
Figure 10	Public Lands and Easements
Figure 11	Average Daily Traffic Map
Figure 12	Photo of Typical Landscape
Figure 13	Land Cover Map
Figure 14	Prime Farmland Soil Distribution Map
Figure 15	State Soils Association Map
Figure 16	National Wetlands Inventory and Surface Waters Map

TABLES

Table 1.	Certificate Completion Checklist.....	1-2
Table 2.	Project Area Location.....	1-5
Table 3.	Project Impact Assumptions.....	1-6
Table 4.	Project Impacts.....	1-6
Table 5.	MAPP (US) Summer Season Surplus/Deficit	2-2
Table 6.	Exclusion Areas.....	3-2
Table 7.	Avoidance Areas.....	3-3
Table 8.	Selection Criteria	3-3
Table 9.	Policy Criteria	3-5
Table 10.	Setback Distances for Wind Turbines	4-2
Table 11.	Economic Impacts of Wind Project in Cavalier County, ND	7-2
Table 12.	Land Cover within the Project Area	7-4
Table 13.	Existing Daily Traffic Levels.....	7-7
Table 14.	Previous investigations conducted within 1 mi (1.6 km) of the APE for direct effects.....	7-16
Table 15.	Soil Map Units Within the Project Area	7-22
Table 16.	Wetland Features Near Project Facilities Identified During Wetland Delineation	7-28
Table 17.	Summary of Impacts and Mitigation.....	7-37
Table 18.	Potential Permits and Approvals Required for Construction and Operation of the Proposed Facility.....	9-1

APPENDICES

Appendix A	NextEra Energy, Inc. 2010 Sustainability Report
Appendix B	Design Data Report
Appendix C	Studies and Assessments <ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Wildlife Baseline Studies Report▪ Native Prairie Report▪ Whooping Crane Likelihood of Occurrence Summary▪ Licensed Microwave Report▪ Media Impact Analysis▪ Shadow Flicker Analysis▪ Acoustic Assessment
Appendix D	Agency Correspondence
Appendix E	NEPA Public Scoping Meeting Summary
Appendix F	Unanticipated Discovery Plan

This page intentionally left blank.

1. INTRODUCTION

Wilton Wind IV, LLC (Wilton IV), a subsidiary of NextEra Energy Resources, LLC (“NextEra Energy”, formerly FPL Energy, LLC), is submitting this application for a Certificate of Site Compatibility (Certificate) to construct the Wilton IV Wind Energy Center (the Project). Wilton IV submitted a letter of intent to file this application to the North Dakota Public Service Commission (PSC) on September 29, 2011. The Project is located in Burleigh County, North Dakota, (**Figures 1-3**) and would have a nameplate capacity of approximately 99 megawatts (MW) consisting of 62 GE 1.6 MW xle wind turbine generators. Additional facilities include access roads, electrical collection systems and cabling, a substation, and a construction laydown area.

The Project will interconnect to the Central Electric Power Cooperative 230kV transmission line (“CPEC Line”) and transmit power into Western Area Power Administration’s (Western) transmission system at Western’s existing Hilken Switching Station. The collection substation would include a power transformer to step up the voltage from 34.5 kV to 230 kV, enabling the interconnection to the CPEC Line. The Project substation will be located adjacent to existing substations that collect power from nearby operating wind energy projects and will connect to the existing CPEC Line via approximately 240 feet of 230-kV overhead tie line across 279th Avenue NE. The power will travel approximately 4.2 miles along the existing CPEC Line to Western’s Hilken Switching Station.

NextEra Energy develops environmentally responsible electric generation projects throughout the United States. According to NextEra’s 2010 Sustainability Report (Appendix A), NextEra is the largest generator of wind-powered electricity in North America, with more than 8,400 megawatts of capacity at 76 facilities in 17 states and three provinces in Canada as of December 31, 2010. The roughly 9,000 wind turbines are capable of producing enough emissions-free energy to power approximately 1.9 million homes. NextEra’s share of total U.S. wind energy capacity is more than 20 percent. In North Dakota specifically, NextEra Energy has developed, constructed, and operates 990 MW of generation while owning over 850 MW.

1.1 Compliance with the Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting Act Chapter 49-22

The North Dakota Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting Act (“the Act”) requires an application for a certificate to meet the criteria set forth in North Dakota Century Code (NDCC) 49-22 and Article 69-06 of the North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC). The siting of an energy conversion facility is to be made in an orderly manner compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources (NDCC 49-22-02).

Exclusion and avoidance areas and selection and policy criteria set forth in Article 69-06 have been considered by Wilton IV in the design of the Project and have been provided in this application to the extent available. In addition, sufficient Project design, wind resource and technical information have been provided for a thorough evaluation of the proposed Project. **Table 1** outlines the information required to fulfill the requirements for a certificate with the PSC (PSC 1979) and where these requirements are addressed in this document.

Table 1. Certificate Completion Checklist

State Authority	Description	Section
NDCC 49-22-08	PSC Guidelines: Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting	1.1
Section A	Description	1.0, 4.4, 6.0-6.6, 9.0
1.	Type: Describe the type of energy conversion facility proposed and provide a diagram of the major process system or a flow diagram.	1.0, 4.1, Figures 7 and 8
2.	Product: Describe in general terms and technical terms the products to be produced by the proposed facility.	1.3.2, 6.1, 6.3, Figure 6
3.	Size and Design: Provide the following description of the production capacity and design	1.3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 6.0
a.	Gross design capacity;	1.3.2
b.	Net design capacity;	1.3.2
c.	Estimated thermal efficiency of the energy conversion process and the assumptions upon which the estimate is based;	N/A
d.	The number of acres that the proposed facility will occupy; and	1.3.1, 4.3, 5.1
e.	One (1) copy of all design data reports separate from the application.	Appendix B
4.	Time Schedule: Provide the anticipated time schedule for the accomplishment of the following:	1.4
a.	Certificate of Site Compatibility;	1.4
b.	Land acquisition complete;	1.4
c.	Construction start date;	1.4
d.	Construction complete;	1.4
e.	Test operations;	1.4
f.	Commercial production date;	1.4
g.	100 percent capacity factor; and	1.4
h.	Any expansion or additions.	1.4
Section B	Studies	
	Provide a copy of any evaluative studies or assessments of the environmental impact of the proposed facility submitted to any Local, State or Federal agency.	Appendix C
Section C	Need for Facility	2.0
1.	An analysis of the need for the proposed facility based on present and projected demand for the product or products to be produced by the proposed facility, including the most recent system studies supporting the analysis of the need.	2.1
2.	A description of any feasible alternative methods of serving the need.	2.2
3.	A statement justifying any deviations from the most recent Ten-Year Plan which the proposed facility may present.	2.3
Section D	Location	1.3.1
1.	Select a study area, which includes the proposed facility site, of sufficient size to enable the Commission to evaluate the factors addressed in Section 49-22-09, NDCC.	1.3.1, 1.3.2, 10.0-10.12, Figures 1-3
2.	Discuss the utility's policies and commitments to limit the environmental impact of its facilities, including copies of board resolutions and management directives.	Appendix A
3.	Identify and map the criteria that led to the proposed facility location within the study area.	Figures 4 and 5, 1.2, 3.0
4.	Discuss in detail the relative value of each criteria and how the proposed facility location was selected giving consideration to all criteria.	3.0

State Authority	Description	Section
5.	The criteria to be evaluated shall include at a minimum all of the following which are within the study area:	3.0
	Exclusion areas;	3.1, Figure 5
	Avoidance areas;	3.2, Figure 5
	Selection criteria;	3.3
	Policy criteria;	3.4
	Design and construction limitations; and	3.5
	Economic considerations.	3.6
6.	Discuss the mitigative measures that will be taken to minimize adverse impacts which result from the location, construction, and operation of the proposed facility.	7.2.3, 7.3.3, 7.4.3, 7.5.3, 7.6.3, 7.7.3, 7.8.3, 7.9.3, 7.10.3, 7.11.3, 7.12.3, 7.13.3, 7.14.3, 7.15.3, 7.16.3, 7.17
7.	List the qualifications of the people in the various disciplines that contributed to the facility site location study	11.0
8.	Maps	Figures
	Map the criteria within the study area showing the proposed facility location. Several different criteria may be shown on each map, depending on the map scale and the density and nature of the criteria. Minimum map scale shall be ½ inch = 1 mile. All maps shall be at the same scale unless otherwise specified.	Figures
	Furnish one Mylar map, separate from the application, of the same scale as the criteria maps and showing the same basic features as the criteria maps, including the study area, but not the proposed facility location.	(PSC Staff supports not providing a Mylar map)
NDCC 49-22-09	Factors to be considered in evaluating applications and designation of sites, corridors, and routes.	10.0
1.	Available research and investigations relating to the effects of the location, construction, and operation of the proposed facility on public health and welfare, natural resources, and the environment.	10.1
2.	The effects of new energy conversion and transmission technologies and systems designed to minimize adverse environmental effects.	10.2
3.	The potential for beneficial uses of waste energy from a proposed energy conversion facility.	10.3
4.	Adverse direct and indirect environmental effects which cannot be avoided should the proposed site or route be designated.	10.4
5.	Alternatives to the proposed site, corridor or route which are developed during the hearing process and which minimize adverse effects.	10.5
6.	Irreversible and irretrievable commitments of natural resources should the proposed site, corridor, or route be designated.	10.6
7.	The direct and indirect economic impacts of the proposed facility.	10.7
8.	Existing plans of the state, local government, and private entities for other developments at or in the vicinity of the proposed site, corridor, or route.	10.8
9.	The effect of the proposed site or route on existing scenic areas, historic sites and structures, and paleontological or archaeological sites.	10.9
10.	The effect of the proposed site or route on areas which are unique because of biological wealth or because they are habitats for rare and endangered species.	10.10
11.	Problems raised by federal agencies, other state agencies, and local entities.	10.12

1.2 Flexibility in Siting

Wind facility siting is a process through which input is considered from several different entities. When considering where to locate this wind farm in North Dakota, Wilton IV identified the Project Area (see **Figures 1-3**) for further investigation. Wilton IV then conducted environmental desktop and field studies in the Project Area, the results of which are incorporated in the appropriate sections of this application, and further assessed wind resource and transmission availability and interconnection points. The identified Project Area is considered optimal from a wind resource perspective.

Wilton IV is in the process of entering into agreements with landowners that are interested in having wind turbines and associated facilities placed on their property. Simultaneously, Wilton IV has identified preliminary turbine locations based on initial site inspection, topographic maps, known environmentally sensitive areas, review of North Dakota's power plant siting exclusion and avoidance areas, and communications with local, state and federal agencies. Preliminary site plans are the commonly accepted standard for applications in other jurisdictions. Wilton IV is not seeking a permit for each wind turbine indicated on **Figure 1** through **Figure 3**. Instead, the preliminary layout indicates areas of the site with good wind resource and addresses known siting issues.

Wilton IV seeks a Certificate of Site Compatibility for the Project Area, not the specific turbine locations. Wilton IV suggests that the Certificate define the Project Area, number of turbines, and structures related to wind generation to be located within the Project Area. Within the permitted Project Area, Wilton IV proposes to locate turbines and other structures related to wind generation subject to required setbacks from environmentally sensitive areas, roads, residences, or other setbacks described in the permit.

Once the PSC issues the Certificate, Wilton IV will complete any additional studies required by the Certificate or Wilton IV's siting process, including geotechnical studies. Wilton IV will also further evaluate the Project Area based on efficient construction of the Project. In addition, Wilton IV will seek further input from landowners regarding the location of wind turbines and associated facilities. Once these additional studies and communications are completed, preliminary turbine locations will be re-evaluated for their appropriateness with the Certificate conditions and buffers. A final site plan for the Project will be submitted to the PSC prior to construction and a pre-construction meeting will be held with PSC staff to ensure that the site plan conforms to the Certificate requirements.

Wind facility siting is unique in that the Project occupies a large area and must conform to Certificate conditions while optimizing the wind resource at the site. Ideally, the Certificate provides the parameters within which the developer may optimize the Project layout. With Certificate conditions in place, the developer is able to proceed with planning and development.

Wilton IV believes that the aforementioned siting process is consistent with North Dakota siting rules and provides Wilton IV with the flexibility necessary to develop a timely, cost-effective project in an environmentally responsible manner.

1.3 Project Summary

Wilton IV evaluated wind resources in North Dakota for siting an approximately 99 MW wind generation facility. Based on this review, Wilton IV selected a Project Area approximately seven

miles southeast of Wilton, North Dakota for additional study and preparation of an application for a Certificate to the PSC. No other areas were considered for development of the Project. The proposed Project Area was identified as optimal from wind resource, transmission interconnection, environmental, and economic perspectives. The proposed Project Area was selected considering the exclusion and avoidance criteria outlined in NDAC 69-06-08.

1.3.1 Proposed Project Area

The Project Area is the location within which Wilton IV is pursuing leases from landowners. The Project Area was selected to include all areas necessary for Wilton IV to optimize the wind resource while avoiding and minimizing impacts to environmental resources. Wilton IV is currently pursuing leases in Crofte and Ghylin Townships in Burleigh County; however, the Project Area also includes a portion of Ecklund Township (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Project Area Location

County	Township Name	Township	Range	Sections
Burleigh County	Crofte	141N	79W	1-5, 8-15
	Ghylin	142N	78W	13-14, 19-27, 35-36
	Ecklund	142N	79W	24-26, 35-36

The Project Area encompasses approximately 15,752 acres (24.6 square miles) southeast of Wilton, North Dakota. The turbines will be placed throughout the Project Area. However, the Project structures will only occupy approximately 116 acres during operation (See **Tables 3 and 4 below**), or less than one percent of the total land area. **Table 3** summarizes the assumptions used to calculate impacts by Project facility. Permanent impacts are considered to be the Project footprint during operation. Temporary impacts are considered those impacts that result during construction to accommodate equipment and temporary activities outside of the areas that will remain as the Project footprint during operation. **Table 4** summarizes the estimated impact for each Project component for both construction (temporary) and operation (permanent). The Project Area and Project layout are shown on **Figures 1-3**. The impact assumptions are shown on **Figure 4**.

The portion of the Project Area in Crofte Township was previously submitted to the PSC as part of the Baldwin Wind Energy Center (WEC) (Case No. PU-09-668). The Baldwin WEC application (filed March 2010) included 37 alternate turbines in Crofte Township. These turbines were not constructed as part of the Baldwin WEC and are currently proposed to be constructed as part of this Project. The Project layout currently includes 35 turbines and one 1 alternate turbine located in Crofte Township. Of these, 29 turbines coincide with the turbine locations previously evaluated as Baldwin alternates. The remaining 6 turbines and proposed alternate have been relocated from the Baldwin alternate locations based on further engineering and environmental review and discussions with landowners. The impact discussion throughout this Site Permit application provides a total impact from proposed facilities in Crofte and Ghylin Townships; however, the impacts within Crofte Township have previously been evaluated. The Project also includes 27 and one alternate turbine locations in the portion of the Project Area in Ghylin Township.

Table 3. Project Impact Assumptions

Project Component	Temporary Disturbance (Construction Only)	Permanent Disturbance (Operation)	Total Disturbance (Temporary and Permanent)
Wind Turbines <u>a/</u>	0.3 acres per turbine	0.2 acres per turbine	0.5 acres per turbine
Access Roads <u>b/</u>	14 feet per linear foot of road	36 feet per linear foot of road	50 feet per linear foot of road
Collection Lines <u>c/</u>	50 ft per linear foot	0 feet	50 ft per linear foot
Collection Substation <u>d/</u>	0 acres	5 acres	5 acres
Construction Laydown Area <u>e/</u>	15 acres	0 acres	15 acres
<p><u>a/</u> Impacts during operation account for a 40-ft x 100-ft gravel pad with a 15-ft buffer. Impacts per turbine during construction are estimated at 0.5 acre, so net construction impact is 0.5 - 0.2 = 0.3 acre. For the purposes of the impact analysis using GIS software, a radius of 83.26 feet and 53.82 feet was used to approximate the 0.5 acres and 0.2 acres, respectively.</p> <p><u>b/</u> Easement width necessary for construction based on turbine types. Temporary and permanent impacts represent a conservative estimate of disturbance. Roads required to support crane access to turbines during operation would remain up to 36 feet wide; other access roads may be built at 18 feet or reduced later to 18 feet. Access road impacts also assume all proposed roads are new access roads and do not consider improvements to existing roads separately.</p> <p><u>c/</u> Assuming collection lines are not co-located with access roads. Where collection lines run parallel to access roads, the centerlines in the layout design include a separation distance of 150 feet, and as a result, impact buffers generally do not overlap.</p> <p><u>d/</u> Acreage based on shapefiles provided by NextEra.</p> <p><u>e/</u> Approximate acreage based on information provided by NextEra; location undetermined.</p>			

Table 4. Project Impacts

Project Component	Temporary Impact (Construction Only)	Permanent Impact (Operation)	Total Impact (Temporary and Permanent)
Wind Turbines <u>a/</u> (62 turbines, not including alternates)	18.6 acres	12.4 acres	31 acres
Access Roads <u>b/</u>	31.6 acres	98.4 acres	130 acres
Collection Lines <u>c/</u>	218.9 acres	0 acres	218.9 acres
Collection Substation	0 acres	5 acres	5 acres
Construction Laydown Area	15 acres	0 acres	15 acres
Total	284.1 acres	115.8 acres	399.9 acres
<p><u>a/</u> Assumes 62 turbines x 0.5 acres of ground disturbance during construction, 0.2 acre/turbine of that remaining as permanent. The 2 alternate turbines were not included in the calculation.</p> <p><u>b/</u> Assumes a 50-ft wide easement for roads during construction, 36 feet of that remaining during operation. Assumes total of approximately 24.6 linear miles of service roads. The overlapping area for turbines and substation were excluded from the impact calculations.</p> <p><u>c/</u> The overlapping areas between the collection line corridor buffer and the access road corridor buffer were removed from impact calculation. Approximately 13.7 miles of collection lines run parallel to the access roads, with a 150-foot distance designed between the collection line and access road centerlines. The impacts also include approximately 23.5 miles of collection lines not co-located with access roads and 8.6 miles of home run collection lines to the substation northwest of the Project Area.</p>			

1.3.2 Projected Output

The Project will have a nameplate (gross) capacity of approximately 99 MW. Assuming net capacity factors of 48 percent, the projected average annual output is estimated at 416,275 megawatt hours (MWh) per year. As with all wind projects, output is dependent upon wind resource, final design, site-specific features, and equipment.

1.4 Project Schedule

The commercial operation date is dependent upon permitting, equipment deliveries, and other development activities. Wilton IV is targeting construction for July 2012 provided all pre-construction permits and approvals have been obtained.

1. Certificate of Site Compatibility: Wilton IV anticipates the Certificate will be approved in January 2012.
2. Land Acquisition: Wilton IV anticipates that all land acquisition will be completed by November 2011.
3. Permits: Wilton IV is responsible for undertaking all required environmental studies, and will obtain all permits and licenses that are required following issuance of the Certificate. Completing permits is on the “critical path” for the Project and will allow Wilton IV to move forward with other commitments on the Project.
4. Equipment Procurement, Manufacture and Delivery: Wilton IV will order the wind turbine components as soon as practicable.
5. Construction: Construction is scheduled to begin as early as July 2012, subject to road restrictions and weather. The engineering, procurement, and construction (EPC) contractor will be responsible for completing all project construction, including roads, wind turbine assembly, electrical, and communications work. The construction will take approximately six months to complete.
6. Test and Operations: Wilton IV anticipates testing and operation to begin October 2012.
7. Commercial Operation: Wilton IV anticipates commercial operation of the Project to begin producing energy in December 2012.

1.5 Project Ownership

Wilton IV will own the entire Project and, as a result, will manage the construction of all equipment and associated facilities related to the Project. Wilton IV will likely select a third-party contractor to perform the majority of the engineering and construction (E&C) of the wind farm. Wilton IV will procure the turbine/tower package directly from a manufacturer.

This page intentionally left blank.

2. NEED FOR FACILITY

2.1 Need Analysis

Due partly to high heating demand in winter, North Dakota's per capita energy consumption is among the highest in the nation. Nearly three-tenths of North Dakota households use electricity as their primary energy source for home heating. According to the Energy Information Administration (2009), 89 percent of electricity generated in the state of North Dakota is produced from coal-fired power plants. Most of the coal used for power generation is supplied by several large surface mines in the central part of the state. Energy sources such as coal are finite and their combustion has environmental consequences.

In March 2007, North Dakota enacted legislation (H.B. 1506) adopting a voluntary renewable portfolio objective that aims to have ten percent of electricity generated from renewable sources by 2015. While the state leads the nation in potential wind power capacity, at the end of 2008, North Dakota had 714 MW of installed wind energy capacity -- 11th in the nation (Windustry 2009).

According to a March 2009 report prepared by the EmPower ND Commission, one of the state energy goals is to increase installed wind energy capacity to 5,000 MW by 2025 (EmPower ND 2009). North Dakota's goals include the following: general economic development, new wind project investments and construction, new landowner income, and new long-term jobs from broad professional services (such as wind project design, wind resource monitoring, legal and accounting services), from commercial project Operations and Maintenance (O&M), and from the manufacturing of wind turbine components. In support of this effort, NextEra Energy is cooperating with regional utilities to add wind generation to their energy portfolios.

North Dakota has been identified as having more available wind for development than any other state. In recent years, the Mid-Continent Area Power Pool (MAPP) has consistently reinforced the regional need for increased generating capacity in the coming decade. Cost fluctuations and reliability problems serve to reinforce the need for sufficient capacity, low-cost energy, and diverse generation sources. Independent power producers such as NextEra Energy are widely recognized as essential to meeting regional energy needs, stabilizing energy costs, and enhancing energy reliability. The Project offers North Dakota and the MAPP region the opportunity to add to capacity, to stabilize wholesale power prices, and to provide electricity from a clean, cost-effective renewable energy generation facility.

There is a future need for additional energy production in the MAPP region. The July 1, 2003, MAPP Load and Capability Report stated that, under the minimum reserve requirements, deficits were expected as soon as 2006. MAPP members were urged to build additional capacity in order to maintain reserve levels higher than the MAPP minimum. The most recent MAPP report available (2009) indicates that deficits are now expected by 2017 (**Table 5**), suggesting that MAPP members answered the call and additional capacity was added in recent years.

Table 5. MAPP (US) Summer Season Surplus/Deficit

Year	MW
2008	2,377.3
2009	1,522.2
2010	1,044.8
2011	939.5
2012	785.7
2013	502.8
2014	657.8
2015	524.4
2016	227.5
2017	-19.3
2018	-367.3

Source: Page III-5 of the MAPP 2009 Load and Capability Report (MAPP, 2009).

North Dakota has a unique opportunity to begin providing capacity to meet those forecasted deficits with clean, efficient, renewable energy. Once completed, the Project will be a significant source of energy for meeting the region's needs over the next 30 years.

2.2 Alternatives

Feasible technology alternatives to wind include electricity generation using coal, natural gas, or biomass. None of these alternatives were considered because these technologies do not meet the state's goal of adding new wind energy.

Although the Project will include 62 turbines, an additional 2 alternate turbine locations have been included in the Project layout in order to provide siting flexibility based on on-going environmental studies and landowner preferences.

2.3 Ten Year Plan

Wilton IV will file a Ten-Year Plan with the PSC and the Burleigh County auditor by July 2013.

3. SITE SELECTION CRITERIA

Wilton IV is evaluating the proposed 15,752-acre (24.6 square-mile) Project Area to determine the best locations for up to 62 GE 1.6 MW xle wind turbines. Siting turbines is a process through which input from several different entities is considered. The Project Area was singled out as an optimal site from environmental, wind resource, transmission, and economic perspectives. Cities are considered avoidance areas.

Wilton IV is securing voluntary wind option agreements with landowners and identified preliminary turbine locations based on site inspection, topographic maps, known environmentally sensitive areas, review of North Dakota's power plant siting exclusion and avoidance areas, review of Burleigh County and state wind siting requirements, and communications with Local, State, and Federal agencies. Wilton IV has used this siting process in recent wind turbine projects, including projects in North Dakota. Through this process, Wilton IV not only addresses environmental issues that commonly arise during project development, but also works within the parameters of State rules. North Dakota has several site selection criteria that are considered by the PSC to determine suitability of the site. Wilton IV has reviewed the criteria in Chapter 69-06-08 and has considered these criteria in Project design. These criteria are discussed in this section.

3.1 Exclusion Areas

In accordance with NDAC Section 69-06-08-01-1, the geographical areas listed in **Table 6** shall be excluded in the consideration of a site for an energy conversion facility. The area of exclusion shall include a buffer zone of a reasonable width to protect the integrity of the area. Exclusion areas are mapped for the Project Area on **Figure 5**.

3.2 Avoidance Areas

In accordance with NDAC Section 69-06-08-01-2, the geographical areas listed in **Table 7** shall not be approved as a site for an energy conversion facility unless the applicant shows that, under the circumstances, there is no reasonable alternative. In determining whether an avoidance area should be designated for a facility, the PSC may consider, among other things: the proposed management of adverse impacts; the orderly siting of facilities; system reliability and integrity; the efficient use of resources; and alternative sites. Avoidance areas are also mapped for the Project Area on **Figure 5**.

Table 6. Exclusion Areas

Exclusion Area	Present within Project Area?	Description	Section Addressed
Designated or registered national areas: parks; memorial parks; historic sites and landmarks; natural landmarks; historic districts; monuments; wilderness areas; wildlife areas; wild, scenic, or recreational rivers; wildlife refuges; and grasslands.	Present	Of these exclusion areas, only native prairie (grasslands) are present within the Project Area; however, native prairie as mapped during field surveys represents 10 percent of the Project Area, and as a result, are not considered unique grasslands for exclusion. They are considered avoidance areas.	7.7, 7.9, 7.13, 7.14, 7.15, Figures 5 and 10
Designated or registered state areas: parks; forests; forest management lands; historic sites; monuments; historical markers; archaeological sites; grasslands; wild, scenic, or recreational rivers; game refuges; game management areas; management areas; and nature preserves.	Present	A section of state land is located within the portion of the Project Area in Ecklund Township; however, there are no proposed facilities on this property. Archeological sites have been identified through field survey.	7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 7.15, 7.17, Figures 5 and 10
County parks and recreational areas; municipal parks; parks owned or administered by other governmental subdivisions; hardwood draws; and enrolled woodlands.	None	N/A	7.8
Prime farmland and unique farmland, as defined by the land inventory and monitoring division of the soil conservation service, United States department of agriculture, in 7 C.F.R. part 657; provided, however, that if the Commission finds that the prime farmland and unique farmland that will be removed from use for the life of the facility is of such small acreage as to be of negligible impact on agricultural productions, such exclusion shall not apply.	Present	Prime farmland has been avoided to the extent practical. Permanent impacts to prime farmland soils from turbine placement and access roads are expected to be up to 13 acres, which is a negligible percentage of the Project Area.	7.9, 7.10, Figure 14
Irrigated land	None	N/A	7.9
Areas critical to threatened or endangered animal or plant species	Present	The Project Area is within the whooping crane migration corridor, although little suitable wetland habitat is present compared to surrounding areas. No wetlands would be permanently affected by the Project. Also, there are no recorded whooping crane observations in the Project Area to date.	7.16
Areas where animal or plant species that are unique or rare to this state would be irreversibly damaged.	None	N/A	7.13, 7.14, 7.15, 7.16

Table 7. Avoidance Areas

Avoidance Areas	Present within Project Area?	Description and Proposed Buffer	Section Addressed
Historical resources which are not designated as exclusion areas	Present	A Class III cultural resources survey was completed for the Project. Sites with potential cultural significance have been avoided to the extent possible in designing the Project layout.	7.7
Areas within the city limits of a city or the boundaries of a military installation	None	N/A	7.3, Figures 1-3
Areas within known floodplains as defined by the geographical boundaries of the 100-year flood	None	The Project Area is located in Flood Hazard Zone D: Areas in which flood hazards are undetermined, but possible.	7.12
Areas that are geologically unstable	Present	Abandoned coal mines and mined areas are present adjacent to the Project Area, and associated sink holes are found within the Project Area. Subsidence hazards related to the potential presence of abandoned underground coal mines will be mitigated through field studies and geotechnical analyses and subsequent micrositing.	7.11
Woodlands and wetlands	Present	Permanent impacts to wetlands will be avoided. Woodland impacts are not anticipated.	7.13, 7.14, Figures 4, 12, and 15
Areas of recreational significance which are not designated as exclusion areas	None	N/A	7.8

3.3 Selection Criteria

In accordance with NDAC Section 69-06-08-01-3, a site shall be approved in an area only when it is demonstrated to the PSC by the applicant that any significant adverse effects resulting from the location, construction, and operation of the facility in that area, as they relate to the criteria listed in **Table 8**, will be at an acceptable minimum, or that those effects will be managed and maintained at an acceptable minimum.

Table 8. Selection Criteria

Selection Criteria	Potential Adverse Effects	Section Addressed
The impact upon agriculture:		
Agricultural production	Approximately 116 acres of land will be affected by 62 turbines, associated access roads, and a substation during operation. Additional temporary impacts during construction for turbine installation, road construction, cable trenching, and laydown and contractor staging would be approximately 284 acres. These impacts represent a minor portion of the land area available for agricultural production. As a result, the Project will not result in significant impacts to agricultural production.	7.3, 7.8

Selection Criteria	Potential Adverse Effects	Section Addressed
Family farms and ranches	The Project will comply with local setbacks. In Ghylin Township, turbines will be set back 1,400 feet from occupied residences. In Crofte Township, turbines will be set back 1,400 feet from occupied residences on participating properties and 1,750 feet from occupied residences on non-participating properties. Although some land area will be permanently converted to wind turbine foundations and pads, access roads, and a substation, wind lease payments to farmers will provide a supplemental source of income.	7.2, 7.3, 7.10, Figure 5
Land which the owner demonstrates has soil, topography, drainage, and an available water supply that cause the land to be economically suitable for irrigation	Participating landowners have not expressed concerns related to economically suitable irrigation on their land. Currently no irrigation is occurring within the Project Area.	7.9, 7.10, Figures 14 and 15
Surface drainage patterns and ground water flow patterns	No impacts to surface drainage patterns or groundwater flow patterns will occur.	7.11, 7.12, 7.13, Figure 16
The agricultural quality of the cropland	No impacts to the agricultural quality of the cropland are anticipated. If compaction of soils occurs during construction, Wilton IV will work with the landowners to alleviate the compaction.	7.9, 7.10
The impact upon the availability and adequacy of:		
Law enforcement	No impacts are anticipated.	7.4
School systems and education programs	No adverse effects are expected.	7.4
Governmental services and facilities	Governmental services and facilities will not be negatively affected.	7.4
General and mental health care facilities	General and mental health care facilities will not be negatively affected.	7.4
Recreational programs and facilities	No impacts are anticipated.	7.4
Transportation facilities and networks	During construction, an increase in vehicle trips per day is anticipated for the duration of the Project construction. During facility operation, no significant impacts are anticipated.	7.4, Figure 11
Retail service facilities	No adverse impacts are anticipated. Local services such as motels, restaurants, and convenience stores are likely to experience an increase in business during Project construction.	7.4
Utility services	Wilton IV will utilize station service from Central Electric, which will suggest appropriate configurations for the electrical system, and Wilton IV will abide by the recommendations to prevent impacts to the transmission system.	2.0, 6.0, 7.4
The impact upon:		
Local institutions	No impacts are anticipated.	7.4
Noise sensitive land uses	The noise sensitive land uses within the Project Area are the residences near turbine locations. The noise impacts from the Project turbines will not exceed nuisance thresholds at occupied residences.	7.6
Rural residences and businesses	The Project will comply with local setbacks. In Ghylin Township, turbines will be set back 1,400 feet from occupied residences. In Crofte Township, turbines will be set back 1,400 feet from occupied residences on participating properties and 1,750 feet from occupied residences on non-participating properties.	7.2, 7.3, 7.10, Figure 5
Aquifers	No impacts will occur.	7.11

Selection Criteria	Potential Adverse Effects	Section Addressed
The impact upon:		
Human health and safety	No impacts to human health and safety are anticipated based on the implementation of the mitigative measures discussed in Section 7.5.3 and maintenance schedules.	6.3, 6.5.2, 6.5.3, 7.5
Animal health and safety	No impacts to livestock are anticipated from construction or operation of the facility. Based on avian surveys performed to date, mean raptor use and non-raptor avian use was generally low compared to other wind facilities. Wilton IV will implement measures to avoid and minimize effects to wildlife by siting facilities away from active raptor nests and wetlands to the extent practicable. There will be no permanent impacts to wetlands, reducing impacts to migratory birds. In addition, Wilton IV will implement a post-construction Wildlife Response and Reporting System (WRSS) for the Project in order to monitor avian/turbine interaction. If whooping cranes are observed, Wilton IV will shut down specific turbines located within 1 mile of the birds, until such time as the birds are no longer observed in the area.	7.10, 7.15, 7.16, Appendix C
Plant life	The Project will result in approximately 116 acres of permanent impact. Land where the turbines will be sited is primarily undeveloped prairie.	7.9, 7.14, Figure 13
Temporary and permanent housing	Temporary housing will be utilized during construction. No adverse impacts are anticipated.	7.2
Temporary and permanent skilled and unskilled labor	No adverse effects are anticipated. Local contractors employed for construction will result in increased wages.	7.2
The cumulative effect of the location of the facility in relation to existing and planned facilities and other industrial development	Wind energy development is anticipated to have a positive cumulative impact on air quality and minimal impacts to geology, soils, water, noise, safety and health issues, and cultural resources. Socioeconomic impacts are anticipated to be positive, as the rural economy and energy production is diversified. Wind energy development removes less total land from agricultural use than other forms of development.	10.11

3.4 Policy Criteria

In accordance with NDAC Section 69-06-08-01-4, the PSC may give preference to an applicant that will maximize benefits that result from the adoption of the policies and practices listed in **Table 9**, and in a proper case may require the adoption of such policies and practices.

Table 9. Policy Criteria

Policy Criteria	Suitable Policy or Practice of Applicant	Section Addressed
Recycling of the conversion byproducts and effluents	Not applicable.	N/A
Energy conservation through location, process, and design	Wilton IV is developing the site to maximize energy output and will develop a site layout that optimizes wind resources while minimizing the impact on land resources and any potentially sensitive areas. Wind-powered electric generation is entirely dependent on the availability of the wind resource at a specific location. The energy available from the wind increases at the third power of the wind speed. In other words, a doubling of the wind speed will increase the available energy by a factor of eight times.	4.2
Training and utilization of available labor in this state for the general and	Wilton IV will use local labor to the extent practicable.	7.2

Policy Criteria	Suitable Policy or Practice of Applicant	Section Addressed
specialized skills required		
Use of a primary energy source or raw material located within the state	The energy generated at the site will utilize the wind resources of the State of North Dakota.	5.2
Non-relocation of residents	No residents will be relocated as a result of the Project.	6.5, 7.2, 7.3, 7.9
The dedication of an area adjacent to the facility to land uses such as recreation, agriculture, or wildlife management	The Project will not interfere with adjacent land uses. As such, it is not anticipated that areas adjacent will be dedicated to recreation, agriculture, or wildlife management issues.	7.3, 7.8, 7.9, 7.15, Figures 5 and 10
Economies of construction and operation	Wilton IV will utilize local contractors to the extent practicable.	7.2
Secondary uses of appropriate associated facilities for recreation and enhancement of wildlife	None.	N/A
Use of citizen coordinating committees	Wilton IV will continue to work with landowners of properties for the Project.	8.0
A commitment of a portion of the energy produced for use in this state	Energy transmitted will be injected into Western's 230 kV line at the Hilken Substation via the Ecklund Substation and will be produced entirely for use in the State of North Dakota.	2.1, 6.1
Labor relations	No labor relations will be affected.	6.5, 7.2
The coordination of facilities	Existing facilities and facility corridors were considered in the location of the wind farm and associated facilities.	3.0, 3.6
Monitoring of impacts	Wilton IV and the EPC contractor will employ best management practices (BMPs) during construction to monitor soil impacts and segregate topsoil. Storm water prevention plans will be prepared for all disturbance sites exceeding size threshold. Environmental monitors will be onsite during construction to ensure there will be no impacts to wetlands and documented archeological sites that require avoidance.	7.11, 7.15, 7.16

3.5 Design and Construction Limitations

In general, there are two design and construction limitations when building any wind farm: wind resources and landowner easements. The wind resource is essential to selecting and designing a wind farm. Wilton IV has conducted an analysis of the proposed Project Area to ensure that the site has ample wind energy to generate revenue for the wind farm. Easements allowing construction of turbine towers and transmission facilities are also critical to the Project. Wilton IV is securing voluntary land agreements with landowners necessary to develop the Project.

As shown on **Figure 5**, there are no federal lands such as U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)-administered Waterfowl Production Areas (WPA) and wetland and grassland easements within the Project Area. One section of state land occurs within the portion of the Project Area in Ecklund Township; however, there are no proposed facilities in this area. A section of state-owned property is located adjacent to the Project Area in Ghylin Township. There are no new facilities currently proposed within this property; however, Wilton IV will consider the need to utilize this property while finalizing the Project layout. There are no historically mined areas that have been identified within the Project Area. Due to the proximity to previous underground mining operations adjacent to the Project Area, sinkholes are a potential geologic hazard within the Project Area. One sinkhole

is located within the Project Area in Ghylin Township in Section 2, T141N, R79W. Subsidence hazards related to the potential presence of abandoned underground coal mines will be mitigated by thorough field studies and geotechnical analyses and subsequent micrositing.

3.6 Economic Considerations

Economics were considered when selecting a location for the Project. As discussed above, it is important to select a site with a wind resource capable of generating energy. The proposed Project Area takes advantage of the wind resource in the area. Information on the wind resource at the site is discussed in **Sections 5.2-5.3**.

Another economic factor considered is the availability of a transmission system in the vicinity of the Project. Furthermore, having permission to interconnect into an existing transmission system is essential. If no transmission system is present, the cost of interconnection increases due to the need of constructing a lengthy transmission line and large substation to an existing electricity service provider. Power generated from the Project will be delivered from the Project collection substation located in Section 20, Township 142N, Range 79W in Burleigh County and distributed via an existing Western 230-kV transmission line.

One of the most important economic considerations related to the Project is the need to qualify for the Federal production tax credit (PTC). The PTC is an income tax credit of 2.2 cents/kilowatt-hour allowed for the production of electricity from utility-scale wind turbines. This incentive was created under the Energy Policy Act of 1992. Through the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (passed in February 2009), Congress acted to provide a three-year extension of the PTC through December 31, 2012. Early approval of a Certificate is not only consistent with circumstances unique to wind project siting, but it is also essential to timing, given the uncertainty and limited duration of the Federal PTC available for wind project development.

This page intentionally left blank.

4. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED FACILITY

4.1 Wind Power Technology

As the wind passes over the blades of a wind turbine, it creates lift and causes the rotor to turn. The rotor is connected by a hub and main shaft to a system of gears, which are connected to a generator. Exact turbine models are subject to change to ensure selection of a turbine that is both cost effective and optimizes land and wind resources. Wilton IV is proposing to install up to 62 GE 1.6 MW xle turbines.

The GE 1.6 MW xle utility-grade wind turbine has a nominal nameplate rating of 1.6 MW. Each turbine will have an 80-meter (262 feet) hub height and an 82.5-meter (271 feet) rotor diameter (RD) (**Figure 6**). The GE 1.6 MW xle turbine begins operation in wind speeds of 3.5 meters per second (m/s), or 7.8 mph, and reaches its rated capacity (1.6 MW) at a wind speed of 11.5 m/s (25.7 mph). The turbine is designed to operate in wind speeds of up to 25 m/s (56 mph).

Each tower will be secured by a concrete foundation that can vary in design depending on soil conditions. A control panel inside the base of each turbine tower houses communication and electronic circuitry. Each turbine is equipped with a wind speed and direction sensor that communicates to the turbine's control system to signal when sufficient winds are present for operation. Turbines feature variable-speed control and independent blade pitch to assure aerodynamic efficiency.

The electricity generated by each turbine is brought to a pad-mounted transformer where the voltage is raised (stepped up) to power collection line voltage of 34.5 kV. The electricity is collected by a system of underground power collection lines within the Project Area (**Figure 7**). Both power collection lines and communication cables will be direct-buried on private property or public right-of-way. Typically, this infrastructure is run adjacent to the Project access roads or along public rights-of-way or easements. In cases where such infrastructure must be sited on property that is not governed by the existing wind easement and land lease options, Wilton IV will obtain easements for the necessary property.

Each wind turbine will be accessible via all-weather, aggregate-surfaced roads between 18 and 36 feet in width which will connect with public roads. At the point where the access and public roads meet, the communication and power lines will continue as underground feeder lines. The feeder system distributes power to the Project substation. **Figure 7** is a diagram of the path of energy from the wind farm to energy users and **Figure 8** shows a typical wind farm facility layout. The power will be transformed to 230 kV at a collection substation that will be constructed on Section 20 of Township 142 North, Range 79 West to the west of and adjacent to the existing Baldwin WEC collection substation (**Figures 2 and 3**). The substation is located across the street from the existing Wilton I and Wilton II Substations and will be connected to the existing CPEC Line via approximately 240 feet of rerouted overhead transmission line (**Figure 9**). The power will travel approximately 4.2 miles along an existing transmission line to Western's Hilken Switching Station.

4.1.1 Wind Energy Center Layout

Wilton IV will develop a wind farm layout that optimizes wind resource while minimizing the impact on land resources and any potentially sensitive areas. Wind-powered electric generation is entirely dependent on the availability of the wind resource at a specific location. The energy available from the wind increases at the third power of the wind speed. In other words, a doubling of the wind speed will increase the available energy by a factor of eight times. Analysis of wind direction data suggests that the optimal turbine string alignments are generally from southwest to northeast. Design of the turbine array and collection system will minimize energy loss due to wind turbine wakes and turbulence, and electrical line losses.

The Conditional Use Permit (CUP) from Ghylin Township specifies that the Project turbines must not be located within 400 feet of a public road or within 1,400 feet of any existing residence. Crofte Township has established setbacks for wind energy facilities as part of the zoning ordinance that applies to Project turbines in Crofte Township. **Table 10** lists the setbacks utilized in designing the Project layout. Wilton IV has utilized the setbacks specified in the Ghylin Township CUP and Crofte Township zoning regulations in designing the Project layout. In two instances, Wilton IV utilized greater setbacks based on experience with other wind farms. For Ghylin Township, Wilton IV utilized setbacks of 440 feet (110 percent of turbine tip height) from roads, which is greater than the CUP requirement of 400 feet. In Crofte Township, Wilton IV has utilized the same setback from occupied residences for participating residences as for Ghylin Township of 1,400 feet, which is greater than the zoning requirement of 1.1 times the turbine height (440 feet).

Table 10. Setback Distances for Wind Turbines

Setback Type	Distance
Ghylin Township	
Property Lines	440 feet (1.1 times turbine height)
Occupied Residence	1,400 feet
Roads and Overhead Transmission Lines	440 feet <u>a/</u>
Crofte Township	
Occupied Residence (participating)	1,400 feet <u>b/</u>
Occupied Residence (non-participating)	1,750 feet
Public Recreational Area (park, boat ramp, swimming beach, etc.)	2,640 feet
Property Lines (Non-Participating)	440 feet (1.1 times turbine height)
Public Roadways (federal or state), Railroads, and Overhead Utility	440 feet (1.1 times turbine height)
Public Roadways (county or township)	515 feet (1.1 times turbine height plus 75 feet)
Missouri River and Lake Oahe (Not applicable to Project)	1 mile from OHW
<u>a/</u> Wilton IV has used a larger setback from roads than the Ghylin Township permit requirement of 400 feet.	
<u>b/</u> Wilton IV has used a larger setback from occupied residences on participating properties than what is required by Crofte Township zoning regulations, which establish a setback of 1.1 times the height of the turbine from participating residences.	

As noted in Section 1.3.1, the portion of the Project Area in Crofte Township was previously submitted to the PSC as part of the Baldwin Wind Energy Center (WEC) (Case No. PU-09-668).

The Baldwin WEC application (filed March 2010) included 37 alternate turbines in Crofte Township. These turbines were not constructed as part of the Baldwin WEC and are currently proposed to be constructed as part of this Project. The Project layout currently includes 35 turbines and one 1 alternate turbine located in Crofte Township. Of these, 29 turbines coincide with the turbine locations previously evaluated as Baldwin alternates. The remaining 6 turbines and proposed alternate have been relocated from the Baldwin alternate locations based on further engineering and environmental review and discussions with landowners. The impact discussion throughout this Site Permit application provides a total impact from proposed facilities in Crofte and Ghylin Townships; however, the impacts within Crofte Township have previously been evaluated. The Project also includes 26 and one alternate turbine locations in the portion of the Project Area in Ghylin Township.

4.2 Associated Facilities

The electricity generated by each turbine is stepped up to a power collection line voltage of 34.5 kV via a pad-mounted transformer at the base of each turbine. The electricity generated at each turbine is collected by a system of underground power collection lines within the Project Area and brought to the Project substation. The Project also includes access roads. Because the Project will use the existing Wilton I and II and Baldwin O&M facilities, no new O&M facility will be constructed as part of the Project.

Wilton IV has erected one meteorological tower within the Project Area boundary and will construct up to two permanent meteorological towers as part of the Project.

4.3 Land Rights

Wilton IV is securing easements in Crofte and Ghylin Townships for the proposed 99-MW Project. Land rights will encompass the proposed wind farm and all associated facilities, including but not limited to wind and buffer easements, wind turbines, access roads, and underground collection lines.

This page intentionally left blank.

5. PROPOSED SITE

5.1 Identification of Project Area

The Project Area was selected based on its wind resource. Land-use patterns and environmentally sensitive features were considered in the site selection criteria. The Project Area boundary encompasses an area of 15,752 acres (24.6 square miles). However, the land occupied by turbines and other wind farm infrastructure during operation will be less than 1 percent of this area. It is anticipated that the area of direct land use during operation will be approximately 116 acres for the turbines, aggregate-surfaced access or service roads up to 36 feet wide, and a substation. Total land disturbance for the wind farm and infrastructure is expected to be up to approximately 400 acres, including temporary disturbance due to turbine installation, road construction and collection line trenching, and the laydown/construction staging area. See **Table 4 in Section 1.3.1** and **Section 7.0** for a detailed description of the Project Area impacts. **Figures 2-3** show proposed turbine locations, which are subject to shifts during micrositing.

5.2 Wind Resource Areas – General

The U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Wind Program and the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) published a wind resource map for the state of North Dakota. This resource map shows wind speed estimates at 50 meters above the ground and depicts the resource that could be used for utility-scale wind development. As a renewable resource, wind is classified according to wind power classes, which are based on typical wind speeds. These classes range from Class 1 (the lowest) to Class 7 (the highest). In general, at 50 meters, wind power Class 4 or higher can be useful for generating wind power with large turbines. The map indicates that North Dakota has wind resources consistent with utility-scale production. Good-to-excellent wind resource areas are located throughout North Dakota. Winds within the Project vicinity generally consist of Class 3 and 4 winds (DOE 2009).

Particular locations in the Class 3 areas could have higher wind power class values at 80 meters than shown on the 50-meter map because of possible high wind shear. The NREL wind resource map for the state of North Dakota shows the predicted mean annual wind speeds at 80-meter height presented at a spatial resolution of about 2 km. Areas with annual average wind speeds around 6.5 m/s and greater at 80-meter height are general considered to have suitable wind resources for wind development. The 80-meter map indicates wind resources in the Project vicinity have a predicted mean annual wind speed of 8 m/s or greater (DOE 2010).

5.3 Wind Characteristics in Project Area

Wilton IV utilized wind data from meteorological towers in the Project Area. Wilton IV has secured information from other long-term references to aid in correlating the wind data on-site, including 40-year re-analysis data processed by WindLogics. WindPRO and WAsP software were used to analyze the available wind data and make corrections for site effects (topography, surface roughness, and obstacles) to produce a site independent characterization of the local wind climate. The resulting local wind climate was applied in conjunction with the Project Area effects to predict the spatial wind variations in the Project Area. Various site layouts and wind turbine generator parameters can be tested to predict energy production and array efficiency in order to optimize the site layout and

turbine selection. Project site data have been compared to regional wind measurements using a parallel time period. There is good correlation between the long-term wind measurements and the short-term Project-specific wind measurements.

6. ENGINEERING AND OPERATIONAL DESIGN ANALYSIS

This section provides a summary description of the Project, which includes a description of the Project layout, turbines, electrical system, and associated facilities. A summary of this information is included in the Design Data Report (**Appendix B**). Additional design components addressed in this section are Project construction, schedule, operation, and decommissioning of the site. There are other turbines that are feasible choices for the Project Area that are available from various manufacturers and Wilton IV wishes to reserve the right to select alternative turbines representative of the 1.6-MW class of machines. Turbine type may affect the number and configuration of the turbine array. Details for the GE 1.6 MW xle turbine are presented below.

6.1 Wilton Project Layout and Associated Facilities

The Project will consist of an array of wind turbines and transformers. The turbines will be interconnected by fiber optic communication cables and 34.5 kV power collection cables within the wind farm.

Land will be graded on-site for the turbine pads. Drainage systems, access roads, storage areas, and construction/laydown areas will be installed as necessary to fully accommodate all aspects of Project construction, operation, and maintenance.

Electrical system design and interconnection details will be determined as a result of studies and discussions with Western. The Project includes a computer-controlled communications system that permits automatic independent operation, and remote supervision, thus allowing the simultaneous control of many wind turbines. Wilton IV will be responsible for project operation and maintenance for the life of the Project and will contract with the most appropriate supplier of operations and maintenance services at the time of operation, to assure timely and efficient operations.

6.2 Description of Wind Turbines

The Project is currently designed to include GE 1.6 MW xle turbines. Wilton IV reserves the right to select the most appropriate technology for the Project at the time of construction to ensure optimization of wind and land resources and cost efficiency.

6.2.1 Turbine

The Project consists of up to 62 1.6-MW turbines. The turbine begins operation in wind speeds of 3.5 m/s (7.8 mph) and reaches its rated capacity (1.6 MW) at a wind speed of 11.5 m/s (25.7 mph). The turbine is designed to operate in wind speeds of up to 25 m/s (56 mph).

The turbines have active yaw and pitch regulation and asynchronous generators. The turbines use a bedplate drive train design, where all nacelle components are joined on common structures to improve durability.

The turbines have SCADA communication technology to allow control and monitoring of the wind farm. The SCADA communications system permits automatic, independent operation and remote supervision, thus allowing the simultaneous control of many wind turbines. Operations,

maintenance and service for the Project will be structured so as to provide for timely and efficient operations. The computerized data network will provide detailed operating and performance information for each wind turbine. Wilton IV will maintain a computer program and database for tracking each wind turbine's operational history.

Other specifications of the turbines include:

- Rotor blade pitch regulation;
- Gearbox with three-stage planetary/helical system;
- Double fed three-phase asynchronous generator and an asynchronous 4-pole generator with a wound rotor;
- A braking system for each blade (three self-contained systems) and a fail-safe disc brake; and
- Yaw systems are electromechanically driven.

6.2.2 Rotor

The rotor consists of three blades mounted to a rotor hub. The hub is attached to the nacelle, which houses the gearbox, generator, brake, cooling system and other electrical and mechanical systems. The preliminary turbine design identifies an 82.5-meter (271 feet) rotor diameter, with a swept area of 5,346 square meters (57,544 square feet) and a rotor speed of 16.8 revolutions per minute (rpm).

6.2.3 Tower

The towers are conical tubular steel with a hub height of up to 80 meters (262 feet). The turbine towers, on which the nacelle is mounted, consist of three to four sections manufactured from certified steel plates. All welds are made by automatically controlled power welding machines and ultrasonically inspected during manufacturing per American National Standards Institute (ANSI) specifications. All surfaces are sandblasted and multi-layer coated for protection against corrosion. Access to the turbine is through a lockable steel door at the base of the tower.

6.2.4 Lightning Protection

Each turbine is grounded and shielded to protect against lightning. The grounding system will be installed during foundation work, and must be designed for local soil conditions. The resistance to neutral earth must be in accordance with local utility or code requirements. Lightning receptors are placed in each rotor blade and in the tower. The electrical components are also protected.

6.3 Description of Electrical System

At the base of each turbine, a step-up transformer will be installed to raise the voltage to the power collection line voltage of 34.5 kV. The power from these transformers will be run through an underground collection system consisting of various sized direct-buried cables that are generally located alongside the Project access roads. At the point where the access and public roads meet, the collection system will continue as underground lines. Eventually, all the collection system cables will terminate at an on-site collector substation, which raises the Project voltage to 230 kV and provides the necessary protection and control for interconnection to the transmission grid. The Project substation will be located west of and adjacent to the existing Baldwin WEC collection substation, which is located across the street from the existing Wilton I and Wilton II substation. If possible, the Project substation and transformer will be located within the existing footprint for the Baldwin

WEC substation and would not result in impacts to previously undisturbed areas; however, the use of an additional 5 acres adjacent to the Baldwin WEC substation have been assumed for the purpose of ground disturbance calculations in the PSC application. The Project substation will be connected to the CPEC Line via approximately 240 feet of 230-kV overhead tie line across 279th Avenue NE (**Figure 9**). The line will be connected to the pole structures at approximately 40 feet in height. Across the roadway, the minimum distance to the ground would be 26 feet. The power will travel approximately 4.2 miles along the existing CPEC Line to Western's Hilken Switching Station.

All utility protection and metering equipment will meet Wilton IV and National Electric Safety Code (NESC) standards for parallel operations. The construction manager will ensure that proper interconnection protection is established.

6.4 Wilton IV Wind Energy Center Construction

Several activities must be completed prior to the proposed commercial production date. The majority of the activity relates to equipment ordering lead-time, as well as design and construction of the facility. Below is a preliminary schedule of activities necessary to develop the Project. Pre-construction, construction, and post-construction activities for the Project include:

- Ordering of all necessary components including towers, nacelles, blades, foundations, and transformers;
- Final turbine micrositing;
- Complete survey to microsite locations of structures and roadways;
- Soil borings, testing and analysis for proper foundation design and materials;
- Complete construction of access roads, to be used for construction and maintenance;
- Construction of underground feeder lines;
- Design and construction of the Project substation;
- Installation of tower foundations;
- Installation of underground and aboveground cables;
- Tower placement and wind turbine setting;
- Acceptance testing of facility; and
- Commencement of commercial production date.

Private turbine access roads will be built adjacent to the towers, allowing access to the turbines during and after construction. These roads will be 18 to 36 feet wide and will have an aggregate surface as cover, and will be adequate to support the size and weight of maintenance vehicles. The specific turbine placement will determine the amount of private roadway that will be constructed for the Project.

During the construction phase, several types of light, medium and heavy-duty construction vehicles will travel to and from the site, as well as private vehicles used by the construction personnel. Wilton IV estimates that there will be approximately 50 additional trips per day in the area during peak construction periods. That volume will occur during the peak time when the majority of the road, foundation and tower assembly are taking place. At the completion of each construction phase this equipment will be removed from the site or reduced in number.

6.4.1 Construction Management

An EPC contractor will be primarily responsible for the construction management of the Project. The EPC contractor will use the services of local contractors, where possible, to assist in Project construction. The EPC contractor, in coordination with local contractors, will undertake the following activities:

- Securing building, electrical, grading, road, and utility permits;
- Perform detailed civil, structural and electrical engineering;
- Schedule execution of construction activities;
- Complete surveying and geotechnical investigations; and
- Forecast Project labor requirements and budgeting.

The EPC contractor also serves as key contact and interface for subcontractor coordination. The EPC contractor will oversee the installation of communication and power collection lines as well as the substation. The EPC contractor will also oversee the installation of roads, concrete foundations, towers, machines, and blades, as well as the coordination of materials receiving, inventory, and distribution. The Project will be constructed under the direct supervision of an on-site construction manager with the assistance of local contractors. The construction consists of the following tasks:

- Site development, including roads;
- Foundation excavation;
- Concrete foundations;
- All electrical and communications installation;
- Tower assembly and machine erection; and
- System testing.

The construction team will be on site to handle materials purchasing, construction, quality control, testing and start-up. The EPC contractor will manage local subcontractors to complete all aspects of construction.

Throughout the construction phase, ongoing coordination will occur between the Project development and the construction teams. The on-site Project construction manager will help to coordinate all aspects of the Project, including ongoing communication with local officials, citizens groups and landowners. Even before the Project becomes fully operational, the O&M staff is integrated into the construction phase of the Project. The construction manager and the O&M staff manager will work together continuously to ensure a smooth transition from construction through wind farm commissioning and, finally, operations.

6.4.2 Foundation Design

The wind turbines' freestanding 80-meter (262-foot) tubular towers will be connected by anchor bolts to an underground concrete foundation. Geotechnical surveys, turbine tower load specifications and cost considerations will dictate final design parameters of the foundations. Foundations for similar sized turbines are generally octagonal, approximately 40 to 60 feet across at the base, and extend seven to 10 feet below grade. The wind turbine foundation design shall be prepared by a registered professional engineer licensed to practice in the State of North Dakota.

6.4.3 Civil Works

Completion of the Project will require various types of civil works and physical improvements to the land. These civil works may include the following:

- Improvement of existing public access roads to the Project Area;
- Construction of roads adjacent to the wind turbine strings (turbine access roads) to allow construction and continued servicing of the wind turbines;
- Clearing and grading for wind turbine tower foundation installations;
- Installation of underground cabling for connecting the individual wind turbines;
- Installation of an on-site feeder system for connecting wind turbine strings for delivery to the electricity collection/metering location;
- Installation of any site fencing and security; and
- Restoration and re-vegetation of disturbed land when construction activities are completed.

Any improvements to existing public access roads will consist of re-grading and filling of the surface to allow access in inclement weather. No asphalt or other paving is anticipated. Turbine access roads will be constructed along turbine strings or arrays. These roads will be sited in consultation with local landowners and completed in accordance with local building requirements where these roads intersect with public roads. They will be located to facilitate both construction (cranes) and continued operation and maintenance. Siting roads in areas with unstable soil will be avoided wherever possible. All roads will include appropriate drainage and culverts while still allowing for the crossing of farm equipment. The roads will be 18 to 36 feet wide and will be covered with road base designed to allow passage under inclement weather conditions. The roads will consist of graded dirt and will be covered with an aggregate surface. Once construction is completed, the roads will be regraded, filled, and dressed as needed.

6.4.4 Commissioning

The Project will be commissioned after completion of the construction phase. The Project will undergo detailed inspection and testing procedures prior to final turbine commissioning. Inspection and testing will occur for each component of the wind turbines, as well as the communication system, meteorological system, obstruction lighting, high voltage collection and feeder system, and the SCADA system.

6.5 Project Operation and Maintenance

In addition to regularly scheduled on-site visits, Wilton IV and the appropriate supplier will control, monitor, operate, and maintain the Project by means of a SCADA computer software program. The operation of the entire wind farm, including discrete settings for individual turbines, is managed by the centralized SCADA system. The Project will be operated and maintained by NextEra Energy Operating Services.

The SCADA system offers access to wind turbine generation or production data, availability, meteorological, and communications data, as well as alarms and communication error information. Performance data and parameters for each machine (generator speed, wind speed, power output, etc.) can also be viewed, and machine status can be changed. There is also a “snapshot” facility that collects frames of operating data to aid in diagnostics and troubleshooting of problems.

The primary functions of the SCADA system are to:

- Monitor wind farm status;
- Allow for autonomous turbine operation;
- Alert operations personnel to wind farm conditions requiring resolution;
- Provide a user/operator interface for controlling and monitoring wind turbines;
- Collect meteorological performance data from turbines;
- Monitor field communications;
- Provide diagnostic capabilities of wind turbine performance for operators and maintenance personnel;
- Collect wind turbine and wind farm material and labor resource information;
- Provide information archive capabilities;
- Provide inventory control capabilities; and
- Provide information reporting on a regular basis.

6.5.1 Maintenance Schedule

NextEra Energy will remotely monitor the Project on a daily basis. This will be accompanied by a visual inspection by the on-site operating staff. Several daily checks will be made in the first three months of commercial operation to see that the Project is operating within expected parameters.

Once installed, the Project service and maintenance is carefully planned and divided into the following intervals:

A. First Service Inspection. The first service inspection will take place one to three months after the turbines have been commissioned. At this inspection, particular attention is paid to tightening all bolts by 100 percent, a full greasing, and filtering of gear oil.

B. Semi-Annual Service Inspection. Regular service inspections commence six months after the first inspection. The semi-annual inspection consists of lubrication and a safety test of the turbine.

C. Annual Service Inspection. The annual service inspection consists of a semi-annual inspection plus a full component check. Bolts are checked with a torque wrench. The check covers 10 percent of every bolt assembly. If any bolts are found to be loose, all bolts in that assembly are tightened 100 percent and the event is logged.

D. Two-Year Service Inspection. The two-year service inspection consists of the annual inspection, plus checking and tightening of terminal connectors.

E. Five-Year Service Inspection. The five-year inspection consists of the annual inspection, an extensive inspection of the wind braking system, checking and testing of oil and grease, balance check, and tightness of terminal connectors.

6.5.2 General Maintenance Duties

O&M field duties include performing all scheduled and unscheduled maintenance, including periodic operational checks and tests, regular preventive maintenance on all turbines, related plant facilities and equipment, safety systems, controls, instruments, and machinery, including:

- Maintenance of the wind turbines and of the mechanical, electrical power, and communications system;
- Performance of all routine inspections;
- Maintenance of all oil levels and changing oil filters;
- Maintenance of the control systems, all Project structures, access roads, drainage systems and other facilities necessary for the operation;
- Maintenance of all O&M field maintenance manuals, service bulletins, revisions, and documentation for the Project;
- Maintenance of all parts, price lists, and computer software;
- Maintenance and operation of Project substation;
- Provision of all labor, services, consumables, and parts required to perform scheduled and unscheduled maintenance on the wind farm, including repairs and replacement of parts and removal of failed parts;
- Cooperation with avian and other wildlife studies as may be required, to include reporting and monitoring;
- Management of lubricants, solvents, and other hazardous materials as required by local and/or state regulations;
- Maintenance of appropriate levels of spare parts in order to maintain equipment. Order and maintain spare parts inventory;
- Provision of all necessary equipment including industrial cranes for removal and reinstallation of turbines;
- Hiring, training, and supervision of a work force necessary to meet the general maintenance requirements; and
- Implementation of appropriate security methods.

6.5.3 Operations and Maintenance Facility

The existing O&M facility for the Wilton I, Wilton II, and Baldwin Wind Energy Centers will be used for this Project. No new O&M Facility will be required for the proposed Wilton IV Wind Energy Center.

6.6 Decommissioning and Restoration

Wilton IV will develop a Decommissioning Plan in accordance with NDCC 49-02-27 and NDAC 69-09-09. Additionally, Wilton IV has a contractual obligation to the landowners to remove the wind facilities, including foundations to a depth of three feet, when the wind easement expires. Wilton IV also reserves the right to explore alternatives regarding Project decommissioning at the end of the Project Certificate term. Retrofitting the turbines and power system with upgrades based on new technology may allow the wind farm to produce efficiently and successfully for many more years. Based on estimated costs of decommissioning and the salvage value of decommissioned equipment, the salvage value of the wind farm is expected to exceed the cost of decommissioning.

This page intentionally left blank.

7. ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

This section provides a description of the environmental conditions that exist within the Project Area. Consistent with the North Dakota Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting Act, exclusion and avoidance criteria, as well as selection and policy criteria, were considered in the selection and design of the site. To support this siting process, maps of the Project Area were generated that indicate the presence or absence of many of the criteria highlighted in NDCC 69-06-08. NextEra Energy's safety and environmental policy is included in **Appendix A**.

7.1 Description of Environmental Setting

The Project Area is located in Burleigh County in central North Dakota, a primarily rural agricultural area located approximately 7 miles southeast of Wilton, North Dakota.

7.2 Demographics

7.2.1 Description of Resources

The proposed Project is located in Burleigh County, North Dakota, a primarily rural agricultural county located east of U.S. Highway 83 and north of Interstate 94 approximately 7 miles southeast of Wilton, North Dakota and 12 miles north of Bismarck, North Dakota. There is no indication of any new residential construction on the site. Burleigh County has a population of 81,308 persons in 2010, a 17.1 percent increase from the 2000 population (U.S. Census Bureau 2011a). The county contains 1,633 square miles of land, with a density of approximately 50 persons per square mile. The majority of the county population (75 percent) resides in Bismarck, the county seat and capital of North Dakota located approximately 18 miles south of the Project (U.S. Census Bureau 2011a). The population density within the rural area surrounding the Project is therefore much lower than the county average. Approximately 92 percent of the population is composed of white persons who are not of Hispanic or Latino origin. The median age of Burleigh County residents is estimated at 37.3 years (U.S. Census Bureau 2010a). It is estimated that 13.4 percent of the county population is 65 years or older while only 6.6 percent of the population is under five years of age (US Census Bureau 2010).

There are several small cities and one unincorporated town near the Project Area. Wilton (2010 population 161) is located approximately 7 miles to the northwest; Regan (2010 population 43) is located approximately 2 miles to the north of the Project; and Wing (2010 population 152) , is located approximately 12 miles to the east. The unincorporated town of Baldwin is located approximately one mile to the southwest; census data was not available for Baldwin.

The economy of Burleigh County is primarily tied to government jobs in Bismarck. According to the 2010 U.S Census Bureau American Community Survey (2010b), over a quarter of the workforce worked in education, health, and social services, and another nine percent worked in public administration. Retail trade accounts for approximately 13 percent of the jobs in the county. Per capita income estimated in 2010 was \$28,350; median household income was \$55,489. Approximately 9.6 percent of the county population lived below the poverty level (U.S. Census Bureau 2010b), compared to 14.3 percent nationwide (U.S. Census Bureau 2011b).

Agriculture continues to play a significant role in the county’s land use and economy. In 2007, there were 1,026 farms in Burleigh County, comprising approximately 84 percent of the land area. According to the 2007 Census of Agriculture (USDA 2007), total market value of agricultural products produced in Burleigh County was \$82,236,000, 62 percent of which was from crops and 38 percent from livestock sales. The primary livestock is cattle and the principal crops include wheat and forage. Sunflowers, corn, and barley are also grown.

7.2.2 Impacts

The proposed Project would have positive economic impacts for the local population, including lease and royalty payments for participating landowners, employment, and property and sales tax revenue. A previous case study evaluated the socioeconomic impacts of a wind energy facility constructed in 2007 and 2008 in Cavalier County, northeastern North Dakota (Leistriz and Coon 2009). The study authors felt that the project area was typical of Great Plains communities where many similar wind energy projects are being constructed. Leistriz and Coon (2009) found that the 159-MW project resulted in a peak workforce of 269 workers during construction, 10 permanent jobs, and \$1.4 million in annual expenditures to local businesses and households. This includes payments to landowners totaling \$413,000 the first year, annual local property taxes to the County and school district, and direct payments for wages and materials in Cavalier County and adjacent counties. **Table 11** summarizes the economic impacts from the construction and operation of the project.

Table 11. Economic Impacts of Wind Project in Cavalier County, ND

Impact	Construction (one-time) in millions of dollars	Operation (annual) in millions of dollars
Direct	\$56.4	\$1.4
Secondary (indirect and induced)	\$169.3	\$3.0
Total	\$225.7	\$4.4

During construction, temporary housing in Cavalier County was full, and local service businesses (hotels, restaurants, etc.) experienced a short-term increase. An increase in traffic on local roadways during shift changes was noticeable. There little or no impacts to public services, as only five percent of the construction workers brought their families and most of the long-term jobs were filled locally. There were no added costs to the County, school district, or state. The increase in property taxes to the school district and the County were largely due to payments from the project, but also due to an anticipated increase in residential and property values (Leistriz and Coon 2009).

On a per-megawatt basis, the project’s economic impacts were: \$8,900 in local expenditures during construction; \$2,600 per year in landowner payments; and \$2,900 per year in property taxes. Project-specific impacts would vary based on the local availability of materials, services, and labor. Assuming comparable economic impacts for the 99-MW Wilton IV Wind Energy Center, the Project would result in \$881,000 being spent locally during construction and \$544,500 per year in landowner payments and property taxes during operation.

Up to 116 acres of the total Project Area will be permanently affected due to conversion to turbine sites, access roads, and a Project substation. Landowner compensation will be established under individual lease agreements. In general, agricultural areas surrounding each turbine can still be farmed. In addition, in an environment of uncertain and often declining agricultural prices and yields, the supplemental income provided to farmers from wind energy leases is expected to provide stability to farm incomes and thus will help assure the continued viability of farming in the Project Area. Project construction will not cause additional impacts to leading industries within the Project Area. There is no indication that any minority or low-income population is concentrated in any one area of the Project, or that the wind turbines will be placed in an area occupied primarily by any minority group.

To the extent that local contractors are used for portions of the construction, total wages and salaries paid to contractors and workers in Burleigh County will contribute to the total personal income of the region. Additional personal income will be generated for residents in the county as well as the state by circulation and recirculation of dollars paid out by the applicant as business expenditures and state and local taxes. Expenditures made for equipment, energy, fuel, operating supplies and other products and services will benefit businesses in the county and the state.

It is likely that general skilled labor is available either in the county or the state to serve the basic infrastructure and site development needs of the Project. Specialized labor will be required for certain components of wind farm development. It is likely that this labor will be imported from other areas of the state or from other states, as the relatively short duration of construction does not warrant special training of local or regional labor. Balancing the use of local contractors and imported specialized contractors will likely alleviate any labor relations issues.

No effects on permanent housing are anticipated. During construction, out-of-town laborers will likely use lodging facilities in and around the city of Bismarck. Operation and maintenance of the facility will require few laborers. Sufficient permanent housing is available within the county to accommodate these laborers.

Long-term beneficial impacts to the county's tax base as a result of the construction and operation of the wind farm will contribute to improving the local economy in this area of North Dakota. The development of wind energy in this region will be important in diversifying and strengthening the economic base of southeast North Dakota. In addition, establishing the central region of North Dakota as an important producer of renewable energy, such as wind, may spur the development of wind-related businesses in the area and in turn contribute to the economic growth in the region.

7.2.3 Mitigative Measures

Socioeconomic impacts associated with the project will be primarily positive, with an influx of wages and expenditures made at local businesses during the Project construction and an increase in the county's tax base due to construction and operation of the wind turbines and associated infrastructure. In addition, the lease payments paid to landowners will offset potential financial losses associated with removing land from agricultural production.

7.3 Land Use

7.3.1 Description of Resources

The land in Burleigh County within the Project Area boundary is primarily agricultural with scattered farmstead residences. The Project will be located on privately owned land in north central Burleigh County, seven miles southeast of Wilton. The Project proposes to install approximately 99 MW of wind power, consisting of up to 62 wind turbines within a 24.6-square mile (15,752-acre) Project Area. Current land use within the Project Area is rural agricultural, supporting both crops and livestock grazing. The Project Area is not within any city limits or within an area of any known military installation. The Project Area does not include any federal lands. One section owned by North Dakota State Land Department occurs within the Project Area in Ecklund Township; however, there are no proposed Project facilities within this property (**Figure 10**). A section of state-owned property is located adjacent to the Project Area in Ghylin Township. There are no new facilities currently proposed within this property.

Table 12 and **Figure 13** identify current land use in the Project Area based on 2006 USGS National Land Cover data. Land use in the Project Area is dominated by grassland (45 percent) and cultivated crops (48 percent). Pasture/hay lands are also present but limited, accounting for 2 percent of the Project Area. Developed/open space covered less than four percent of the Project Area, while forests and water features were each less than one percent of the Project Area.

Table 12. Land Cover within the Project Area

Land Cover	Acreage	Percent of Project Area
Grassland/Herbaceous	7,136	45
Cultivated Crops	7,558	48
Pasture/Hay	358	2
Developed, Open Space	652	4
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	35	Less than 1
Open Water	0	0
Deciduous Forest	12	Less than 1
Woody Wetlands	1	Less than 1
Evergreen Forest	0	0
Developed, Low Intensity	0	0

Source: NLCD 2006.

The USFWS has been purchasing wetland easements in the Prairie Pothole Region since 1989. Easement wetlands are part of the National Wildlife Refuge System. A wetland easement is a legal agreement that pays landowners to permanently protect wetlands. Wetlands covered by an easement cannot be drained, filled, leveled, or burned. When these wetlands dry up naturally, they can be farmed, grazed, or hayed. No signs are placed on the property and the easement does not affect hunting or mineral rights (USFWS 2009a). Based on easement data provided by the USFWS to Tetra Tech on August 17, 2011, there are no USFWS wetlands easements or other USFWS conservation easements within the Project Area (**Figure 5** and **Figure 10**).

The NRCS administers a number of conservation-based programs for private landowners. The Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) conserves soil and water resources and provides wildlife habitat by removing enrolled tracts from agricultural production, generally for a period of 10 years. These tracts cannot be hayed, tilled, seeded, or otherwise disturbed without the authorization of the NRCS. Wilton IV will work with individual landowners to help identify potential impacts to land enrolled in CRP within their properties.

The Project Area also includes properties that participate in the Private Land Open To Sportsmen (PLOTS) program. The NDGFD administers and regulates the PLOTS program to allow hunting access on private lands through lease agreements with landowners. PLOTS allow for walk-in hunting during the legal hunting season. Activities such as horseback riding, camping, baiting, driving ATVs or snowmobiles, dog training, and many others are not regulated by the PLOTS program agreement and are not allowed without landowner permission. Properties within the Project Area that participate in PLOTS occur in the northeastern portion of the Project Area in Ghylin Township (**Figure 10**).

7.3.2 Impacts

The development of the Project will not result in a significant change in land use. The development of the Project will not displace any residents or existing or planned industrial facilities. Wind turbines will be sited a minimum of 1,400 feet from occupied residences in Ghylin Township and a minimum of 1,400 feet from occupied residences on participating properties and 1,750 from occupied residences on non-participating properties in Crofte Township. The area will retain the rural sense and remote characteristics of the vicinity. At other wind developments in the upper Midwest, landowners frequently plant crops and/or graze livestock to the edge of the access roads and turbine pads. The access roads will be up to 18 to 36 feet wide and low profile to allow cross-travel by farm equipment. Wilton IV will work closely with landowners in locating access roads to minimize land use disruptions to the extent possible. Consideration will be taken in locating access roads to minimize impact on current or future row crop agriculture and environmentally sensitive areas. During the construction of the wind power facilities, additional areas may be temporarily disturbed for contractor staging areas and underground power lines. These areas will be graded to original contour and, if necessary, reseeded with appropriate vegetation.

While the permanent site layout has not yet been determined, it is estimated that installation of up to 62 turbines, and the associated access roads and collection substation, will result in the conversion of up to 116 acres of land. Wilton IV is also seeking to obtain an easement of approximately 15 acres for laydown and contractor staging areas, which will be temporarily affected during the construction phase of the Project.

Wilton IV will work with landowners enrolled in the CRP or participating in PLOTS. Wilton IV will not restrict access to the majority of the area available for hunting on properties participating in the PLOTS program, and as a result, the Project is not anticipated to affect use of the land for recreational hunting purposes.

At other wind farms, the public has expressed concerns over potential devaluation of property in and adjacent to proposed wind projects. A study published in October 2002, *"Economic Impacts of*

Wind Power in Kittitas County, Final Report,” conducted by Dr. Stephen Grover of ECONorthwest of Portland, OR, summarized survey results as follows:

“Views of wind turbines will not negatively impact property values. Based on a nationwide survey conducted of tax assessors in other areas with wind power projects, we found no evidence supporting the claim that views of wind farms decrease property values” (Grover 2002, p.2).

More recently, the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory conducted a study on the impact of wind power projects on residential property values in the U.S. (Hoen *et al* 2009). The study found that neither the view of wind facilities nor the distance of homes to those facilities is found to have any consistent, measurable, and statistically significant effect on sales prices. The study consisted of a comprehensive analysis of transaction data from 10 study areas surrounding 24 wind facilities in nine states that became operational through the end of 2005. The total study sample consisted of 7,459 sales transactions that were evaluated with various models and using data subsets based on distance to turbines, timing of sale in relation to timing of wind project announcement and construction, and view of turbines from the site. Visibility of turbines, existing amenities, and other information was also collected during field visits to the residences over the course of the three-year study period.

The results of the study indicate that while the possibility exists that individual homes have been or could be impacted, if these impacts do exist, they are either too small and/or too infrequent to result in widespread, statistically observable impacts. The study did not find evidence that prices of sales occurring after construction of the facility for homes within a mile of the nearest wind turbine are affected and found some evidence that sales occurring prior to construction are affected. Notably, the study was not able to obtain information on whether turbine easement payments or neighbor agreements were in place at any of the projects in the study sample. Such mitigation measures have become more common and create a socioeconomic benefit that is not factored into the study results.

7.3.3 Mitigative Measures

Wilton IV is working closely with landowners and seeking input from local, state, and federal agencies in locating wind turbines and access roads to minimize land use disruptions and impacts to environmentally sensitive areas to the extent possible. Operation of the wind farm will not change the land use in the Project Area. The proposed land use will not involve any ongoing industrial use of non-renewable resources or emissions into the environment.

7.4 Public Services

7.4.1 Description of Resources

Local Services

The Project is located in a lightly populated, rural area in central North Dakota. There is an established transportation and utility network that provides access and necessary services to the small cities, homesteads, and farms existing near the Project. The closest towns to the Project are Wilton and the unincorporated community of Baldwin. Bismarck, the state capital and county seat, is located approximately 12 miles southwest of the Project Area. Bismarck provides sanitary sewer, water, utility services, educational facilities, and recreational facilities and parks to its residents and

visitors. Bismarck’s local services include emergency services, ambulance service, hospitals, clinics, a landfill, and a police department.

Electrical Service

Electrical service is provided to the region by Central Power Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Roads

County and township (section line) roads characterize the existing roadway infrastructure in and around the Project. The Project Area is accessed via U.S. Highway 83, North Dakota State Road 36, and other local two-lane paved and gravel county roads.

Traffic

Existing traffic volumes on the area’s major roadways are documented in **Table 13** and **Figure 11**. Determining the specific capacity of any highway is a complex process. However, general estimates are used for planning purposes. For purposes of comparison, the functional capacity of a two-lane paved rural highway is approximately 5,000 vehicles per day, or Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT).

Additional county and township roads run through the Project Area, but no vehicle count data are available for them. In general, the North Dakota Department of Transportation (NDDOT) indicated that roads with vehicle counts under 100 AADT are rarely counted. According to NDDOT, vehicle counts on routes with no count data are likely lower than those with count data.

Table 13. Existing Daily Traffic Levels

Roadway Segment	Existing Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT)/Commercial Truck Traffic
US 83 through Wilton	6,835/820
US 83 west of Wilton	7,110/825
US 83 south of Wilton	7,550/800
SH 36 south of Wilton	475/50
SH 36 west of SH 14 near Regan	315/45
SH 36 south of SH 14 near Regan	280/45
SH 14 north of SH 36 near Regan	305/30
SH 14 south of SH 36 near Regan	430/80

Source: 2010 Traffic Volumes (NDDOT 2010).

Water Supply

Townships have limited public infrastructure services. Homes typically utilize septic systems and water wells for their household needs.

Communications

A beam path study was conducted to identify all non-federal microwave telecommunication systems within the Project Area (**Appendix C**). The Worst Case Fresnel Zone (WCFZ) was calculated for the identified microwave paths that cross the Project Area. The mid-point of a full microwave path

is the location where the widest (or worst case) Fresnel Zone occurs. The calculated WCFZ radius represents the area where planned wind turbines should be avoided, if possible. Federally operated communications systems were identified through consultation with the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) during review of the Baldwin WEC (**Appendix D**). The NTIA provided a response for a larger area that also encompasses the portion of Project Area in Ghylin Township.

An analysis of potential media interference (i.e. AM/FM radio and off-air television) was also prepared for the Baldwin WEC and encompasses the Project Area (**Appendix C**).

7.4.2 Impacts

The Project is expected to have a minimal effect on the existing services and infrastructure. The following is a brief description of the impacts that may occur during construction and operation of the Project.

Local Services

No impact is expected to local services.

Electrical Service

The Project will require station service from the local electric provider when the Project is not generating electricity.

Roads

Construction of the Project will require approximately 24.6 miles of new aggregate-surfaced access roads. During operation of the Project, the access roads will be used by operation and maintenance crews while inspecting and servicing the wind turbines. The access roads will be between towers, offset as necessary to allow for adequate crane access. One road will be required for each string of turbines. Although a 50-foot easement will be used during construction, the permanent access roads will be between 18 and 36 feet wide and low profile to allow cross-travel by farm equipment.

Traffic

The maximum construction workforce is expected to generate approximately 50 additional vehicle trips per day. Using any combination of state and county highways and other township roads throughout the Project Area, the traffic impacts are considered negligible. The capacity of any route and level-of-service to the traveling public will not be affected.

Truck access to the project site is provided by US-83 north from Bismarck, then ND-36 east. Specific additional truck routes will be dictated by delivery location. Additional operating permits will be issued by the State or County for over-sized truck movements.

Water Supply

Construction and operation of the Project will not significantly impact the water supply. The abandonment of any wells is not required for the Project. The Project will not require appropriation of surface water or permanent dewatering. Temporary dewatering of groundwater may be required during construction of turbine foundations.

Communications

Telephone and fiber optic cables within the Project Area will be located in the field by the respective utility companies prior to construction and will not be negatively affected during construction. Wilton IV has avoided the WCFZs for the FCC-licensed microwave beam paths identified in the Project Area. Consultation with the NTIA in 2009 for the Baldwin WEC resulted in one response from the federal agencies represented in the Interdependent Radio Advisory Committee (IRAC). The Department of Commerce (DOC) identified concerns regarding blockage of their radio frequency transmissions. Their response included a review of a larger area that encompasses the current Project Area. The proposed Project will be in the radar line of sight of the Bismarck, ND Weather Surveillance Radar-1988 Doppler (WSR-88D) and may impact radar data (**Appendix D**). This issue has been discussed as part of the Baldwin WEC development with the Radar Operations Center and the local Weather Forecast Office has been notified of the potential impact (Savage pers. comm. 2009).

The extent of the interference created by wind turbines on AM and FM radio and television has been gradually diminished over the past decade due to advances in turbine manufacturing and transmitter/receiver antenna design. This has reduced the impact on AM and FM radio systems to the point where only small degradation of signal is noticed a few meters from a turbine location. Coverage of AM and FM radio services are not expected to be impacted by the wind farm because there are no transmitter towers located within the Project Area and turbines will be constructed a sufficient distance from each dwelling. With the switch to Digital Television (DTV) in 2009, the concern of ghost images and flickering caused by wind turbine interference with analogue signals is no longer an issue (Media Impact Analysis, **Appendix C**).

7.4.3 Mitigative Measures

Construction and operation of the Project will be in accordance with all associated local, state, and federal permits and laws, as well as industry construction and operation standards. Due to the minor impacts expected on the existing infrastructure during project construction and operation, extensive mitigation measures are not anticipated.

Local Services

With the addition of substation and transmission capacity, no impact to local services is anticipated, and no mitigation is required. As required in the Crofte Township CUP, Wilton IV will work with local emergency service providers to develop a Fire Protection and Medical Emergency Plan for the Project.

Electrical Service

Wilton IV will purchase station service from Central Power Electric Cooperative, which will suggest appropriate configurations for the electrical system that Wilton IV will abide by to prevent impacts to the transmission system. Wilton IV has established a setback of 440 feet (110 percent the turbine height) from existing transmission lines. No additional mitigation is necessary.

Roads

Wilton IV is working closely with the landowners to locate access roads in order to minimize land-use disruptions to the extent possible. The preliminary layout of the turbines and access roads is shown in **Figures 2-3**.

Traffic

The capacity of any route and level-of-service to the traveling public will not be affected and as such, no mitigation is necessary.

Water Supply

In the event wells are abandoned, they will be sealed as required by North Dakota law. If temporary dewatering of groundwater is required during construction activities, discharge of dewatering fluid will be conducted under the requirements of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit and Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).

Communications

An underground utilities locator company will be contacted prior to construction to locate and avoid underground facilities. To the extent Project facilities cross or otherwise affect existing communications systems, Wilton IV will enter into agreements with service providers as necessary to avoid interference with their facilities. Additionally, in the event that the Project is reported to cause interference, Wilton IV will respond to the complaint and take necessary measures within 30 days of receipt of the complaint, in accordance with Crofte Township zoning regulations.

7.5 Human Health and Safety

7.5.1 Description of Resources

Air Traffic

There are three private airports and no public airports within six nautical miles of the Project Area. Nautical miles are the standard measure for aviation; one nautical mile is equal to 1.15 statute miles. The Spitzer Airport (FAA ID ND80) is located 0.8 nautical mile northwest of the Project Area near Baldwin, North Dakota. It is privately owned and permission is required prior to landing (AirNav 2011). There is no control tower. The Diamond Strip Airport (FAA ID 4NA4) is located 0.5 nautical mile west of the Project Area. The Ghylin Airport (FAA ID 5NA3) is located 5 nautical miles northeast of the Project Area near the town of Regan (Ventyx 2011). The nearest airport certified for commercial carrier operations is the Bismarck Municipal Airport (FAA ID BIS), located three nautical miles southeast of Bismarck and approximately 18 nautical miles south of the Project Area.

Electromagnetic Fields

The term electromagnetic fields (EMF) refers to electric and magnetic fields that are present around any electrical device. Electric fields arise from voltage, or electrical charges, and magnetic fields arise from current, or the flow of electricity that travels along transmission lines, electrical collection lines, substation transformers, house wiring, and electrical appliances. The intensity of the electric field is related to the voltage of the line and the intensity of the magnetic field is related to the current flow through the conductors (wire). EMF can occur indoors and outdoors. However, there are no known discernible health impacts from power lines.

Shadow flicker

A wind turbine's moving blades can cast a moving shadow on locations within a certain distance of a turbine. These moving shadows are called shadow flicker, and can be a temporary phenomenon experienced by people at nearby residences or public gathering places. The impact area depends on the time of year and day (which determines the sun's azimuth and altitude angles) and the wind turbine's physical characteristics (height, rotor diameter, blade width, and orientation of the rotor blades). Shadow flicker generally occurs during low angle sunlight conditions, typical during sunrise and sunset times of the day.

Hazardous Materials / Hazardous Waste

The site is located in a relatively rural area of North Dakota. Hazardous wastes from large industrial or commercial activities are not likely. Potential hazards may exist in rural areas from old gasoline facilities, landfill sites, and private activities. An assessment of the Project Area will be conducted in the spring of 2012 to identify any recognized environmental conditions that may exist.

Potentially hazardous materials associated with the Project include fluids found in association with turbines and substation/transformer equipment. There will be three types of fluids used in the operation of the wind turbines, all of which are petroleum products. These fluids are necessary for the operation of each turbine and include gear box oil, hydraulic fluid, and gear grease. The transformers contain mineral oil.

Security

The Project Area is located in an area that has a low population density. Construction and operation of the Project will have minimal impacts on the security and safety of the local communities.

7.5.2 Impacts

Air Traffic

The installation of wind turbines creates a potential for air traffic collision. However, no new transmission lines will be constructed as part of the Project, and the wind turbines and meteorological towers will have lighting and markings that comply with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) requirements. In addition, the FAA's review will include the evaluation of any potential interference with air traffic. The FAA issued Determinations of No Hazard for the turbines in Crofte Township in May 2010 when they were considered alternate turbines for the Baldwin WEC (**Appendix D**). These Determinations are valid through May 2012 and may be extended with permission from the FAA. Wilton IV will submit Notices of Proposed Construction or Alteration to the FAA for the turbines in Ghylin Township and any turbines that have been relocated in Crofte Township since the FAA Determinations were issued. FAA's response will be submitted once received.

Electromagnetic Fields

While the general consensus is that EMFs pose no risk to humans, the question of whether exposure to magnetic fields can cause biological responses or health effects continues to be the subject of research and debate. Based on available research on electromagnetic fields, and the distance between any turbines or collector lines and houses, the Project will have no impact to public health and safety

due to EMF (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences EMF-RAPID Program Staff, 1999).

Shadow flicker

An analysis of potential shadow flicker impacts from the Project was conducted using the WindPro software package (Tetra Tech 2011a; Appendix C). The turbine array dated September 21, 2011, which includes 62 turbines and 2 alternate locations, was included in this analysis. The WindPro analysis was conducted to determine shadow flicker impacts under realistic impact conditions (actual expected shadow flicker). This analysis calculated the total amount of time (hours and minutes per year) that shadow flicker could occur at receptors out to 1,500 meters (4,921.3 feet). Of the 84 receptors identified within approximately 1 mile of the Wilton IV turbines, 2 receptors modeled had expected shadow flicker impacts predicted for more than 30 hours per year. Both receptors are in Crofte Township, which establishes a maximum level of shadow flicker exposure at non-participating occupied residences of 30 hours per year. However, neither structure is an occupied residence (Swenson Hagen 2010). Results from the cumulative shadow flicker analysis that includes the Wilton I, II, and Baldwin WECs are discussed in Section 10.11.

The analysis assumes that the receptors all have a direct in line view of the incoming shadow flicker sunlight and does not account for trees or other obstructions which may block sunlight. In reality, the windows of many houses will not face the sun directly to be affected by the key shadow flicker impact times. As a result of the analysis, no significant shadow flicker impacts are anticipated to sensitive receptors.

Hazardous Materials / Hazardous Waste

An assessment will be conducted and results will be used to minimize risk associated with potential recognized environmental conditions that may pose a threat to human health and safety. Significant findings are not anticipated due to the known historic uses of the property. The Applicant does not anticipate generating any hazardous wastes.

Security

Project construction and operation will have minimal impacts to the security and safety of the local communities.

7.5.3 Mitigative Measures

Air Traffic

Wilton IV will submit a request to FAA to determine whether the Project layout and lighting will impact navigable airspace or communications technology used in aviation operations. The response will be forwarded when received. Wind turbines and meteorological towers will have lighting and markings according to FAA requirements that minimize any potential for air traffic impacts.

Electromagnetic Fields

Wilton IV will follow prudent avoidance methods to EMF exposure, such as encouraging conservation and distributed generation, and will continue to monitor EMF research.

Shadow flicker

The primary mitigation measure used for wind turbines is setback distance. Wilton IV is committed to a minimum 1,400-foot setback distance from all existing occupied residential structures and a minimum 1,750 setback distance from occupied residential structures on non-participating structures in Crofte Township. Because no significant impacts are anticipated, no additional mitigation is proposed at this time.

Hazardous Materials / Hazardous Waste

Since no significant findings are anticipated, no mitigation is proposed at this time. All petroleum fluids will be contained within the wind turbines and electrical equipment. Any petroleum wastes generated will be handled and disposed of in accordance with Local, State and Federal regulations.

Security

The following security measures will be taken to reduce the chance of physical and property damage, as well as personal injury, at the site:

- The towers will be placed at least 440 feet from road right-of-way and 1,400 feet from occupied homes and 1,750 feet from occupied homes on non-participating properties in Crofte Township. These distances are considered to be safe based on developer experience, and are consistent with the required local setbacks.
- Security measures will be taken during the construction and operation of the project, including temporary and permanent (safety) fencing, warning signs, and locks on equipment and wind power facilities.
- Turbines will sit on solid steel-enclosed tubular towers in which all electrical equipment will be located, except for the pad-mounted transformer. Access to the tower is only through a solid steel door that will be locked when not in use.
- Where necessary or requested by landowners, Wilton IV will construct gates or fences such as those around the collection substation.
- Wilton IV will provide educational materials to landowners within the site boundaries and upon request, to interested persons about the Project.

7.6 Noise

7.6.1 Description of Resources

The Project Area is essentially rural and agricultural. The acoustic environment is defined primarily by distant transportation noises, aircraft flyover events, farming equipment and local traffic. Wind turbine generators are currently operational on land adjacent to the Project Area. In addition to anthropogenic noise sources, the windy conditions of this site define a somewhat elevated ambient sound level, which increases with wind speed. Windy conditions can generate noise caused by the rustling of grass and tree leaves. Generally, the ambient acoustic environment in the Project Area is expected to remain relatively low.

7.6.2 Impacts

Burleigh County does not currently have noise standards or ordinances that are applicable to the Project turbines in Ghylin Township. Crofte Township, however, has established a noise limit for construction and operation of wind energy facilities of 45 dBA within 100 feet of any non-

participating occupied residence located within a mile of a turbine. At the state level, the North Dakota Administrative Code (Article 69-06-08, Section 3) requires that the potential for adverse impacts at noise sensitive receptors be assessed during the site selection process; there are no numerical decibel limits, however, or explicit definitions of the locations of compliance given either by the North Dakota PSC or any other agency at the state level. Wilton IV will employ appropriate environmental noise criteria such as the guidelines provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the generally accepted average noise impact threshold level for wind turbines of less than 48.6 dBA at any residence, day or night. Additionally, Wilton IV will employ the Crofte Township noise limits for turbines in Crofte Township.

Wind turbine generators produce noise through a number of different mechanisms roughly grouped into mechanical and aerodynamic sources. Modern wind turbines include design features that minimize mechanical sound sources. The interaction of air and the turbine blades produces aerodynamic noise through a variety of processes as air passed over and past the blades. Unlike other sound sources, wind turbines generally radiate more noise as wind speed increases. However, at elevated wind speeds the wind tends to generate significant background noise by moving trees and grasses, which can create a masking effect and may aid in reducing the audibility of wind turbine sound.

In October 2011, an acoustic engineering analysis was developed to address sound levels resulting from wind turbine operations, as well as the consideration of sound from the electrical substation and sound generated during Project construction and maintenance activities (**Appendix C**).

Wind turbine operation was analyzed for the Project layout dated September 21, 2011, which employed the GE 1.6 MW xle turbine model and the substation located in in Section 20, Township 142 North, Range 79 West. Acoustic modeling was completed at both wind turbine cut-in and maximum rotational conditions, inclusive of the entire range of future Project operational conditions. Project compliance was assessed at a total of 84 receptors near the Project Area, 44 of which were determined to be not currently in use for residential purposes. Acoustic modeling was also conducted to determine sound emissions for the Project electrical collection substation operation. The noise modeling results indicated that the received sound levels are all below the most stringent EPA guideline with the exception of two structures, which are not occupied residences (Swenson Hagen 2010). Both structures are at or above the EPA guideline of 48.6 dBA under anomalous meteorological conditions, only one of the two structures also exceeds the guideline under maximum wind speed. Results from the cumulative acoustic analysis that includes the Wilton I, II, and Baldwin WECs are discussed in Section 10.11.

Project construction may cause short-term but unavoidable noise impacts. The sound levels resulting from construction activities vary significantly depending on several factors such as the type and age of equipment, the specific equipment manufacturer and model, the operations being performed, and the overall condition of the equipment and exhaust system mufflers. Sounds generated by construction activities are typically exempt from state and local noise oversight provided that they occur within weekday, daytime periods as may be specified under local zoning or legal codes. All reasonable efforts will be made to minimize the impact of noise resulting from construction activities. The Crofte Township CUP allows construction noise levels to exceed the

established noise limit of 45 dBA within 100 feet of non-participating occupied residences except between the hours of 11 pm and 7 am.

Construction activity will generate traffic having potential noise effects, such as trucks traveling to and from the site on public roads. At the early stage of the construction phase, equipment and materials will be delivered to the site, such as hydraulic excavators and associated spreading and compacting equipment needed to form access roads and foundation platforms for each turbine. Once the access roads are constructed, equipment for lifting the towers and turbine components will arrive. Traffic noise is categorized into two categories: (1) the noise that will occur during the initial temporary traffic movements related to turbine delivery, haulage of components and remaining construction; and (2) maintenance and ongoing traffic from staff and contractors, which is expected to be minor.

7.6.3 Mitigative Measures

The primary mitigation measure used for wind turbines is setback distance. Wilton IV is committed to a minimum 1,400-foot setback distance from all existing occupied residential structures and 1,750 feet from occupied residential structures on non-participating properties in Crofte Township. This setback distance has proven sufficient and the resulting relatively low sound levels have been found to be generally acceptable, at several permitted and operational NextEra Energy wind farms located throughout the state of North Dakota.

Special conditions can occur which are difficult to predict, such as high wind shear events where there is little masking wind noise at surface level but at hub-height there is sufficient wind for energy generation. In addition, residents in homes which are poorly insulated or highly exposed in the environment with limited nearby vegetation may be subject to a higher perceptibility. If a complaint is registered and sound is measured above the 48.6 dBA level in general or above the 45 dBA level in Crofte Township on more than a rare occasion, Wilton IV can provide improved insulation, landscaping, or other appropriate candidate mitigation measures. It should be noted that the acoustic model conservatively predicts outdoor sound levels and assumes no shielding or attenuation by trees or other vegetation.

7.7 Cultural and Archaeological Impacts

7.7.1 Description of Resources

Tetra Tech provided the necessary information for the State Historical Society of North Dakota (SHSND) review by performing a Class I Literature Review and a Class III Cultural Resources Inventory. The Class I Literature Review included identifying archaeological sites and surveys within 1 mi (1.6 km) of the Project layout dated August 15, 2011 and structures, bridges, and cemeteries within 1.5 mi (2.4 km) of the turbine layout dated August 5, 2011. The Class III Cultural Resources Inventory included a pedestrian survey of the Area of Potential Effects (APE) for direct effects (developed from the aforementioned layouts) and included surveying a 250-ft (76.2-m) radius for each of the proposed turbine locations; a 250-ft (76.2 m) corridor for service roads, and a 100-ft (30.5-m) corridor for collection line routes.

Class I Literature Review

The literature review was conducted on August 26, 2011 and included identifying archaeological sites and surveys within 1 mi (1.6 km) of the Project layout dated August 15, 2011 and structures, bridges, and cemeteries within 1.5 mi (2.4 km) of the turbine layout dated August 5, 2011.

The Class I Literature Review revealed that four investigations have intersected portions of the APE for direct effects and three investigations have occurred within 1-mi (1.6 km) (**Table 14**). The largest of the surveys that intersect the APE for direct effects, *Baldwin Wind Energy Center: A Class III Cultural Resource Inventory in Burleigh County, ND and Addendums 1 and 2* (2010), includes 458 acres of the 1,040 acres of the APE for direct effects, all of which is located in Crofte Township.

Table 14. Previous investigations conducted within 1 mi (1.6 km) of the APE for direct effects

Report Title	Manuscript #	Location
Baldwin Wind Energy Center: A Class III Cultural Resource Inventory in Burleigh County, ND and Addendums 1 and 2	011608	Intersects APE for Direct Effects
Burleigh County Wind Energy Center Expansion: A Class III Cultural Resources Inventory in Burleigh County, ND	010866	Intersects APE for Direct Effects
Capital Electric Cooperative's Four Year Construction Plan: A Class II and Class III Cultural Resources Inventory in Burleigh and Sheridan Counties, ND and Addendum A	009715	Intersects APE for Direct Effects
A Class III Cultural Resource Inventory of the Five BEK Exchanges in Burleigh, Kidder, Logan, and Stutsman Counties, ND: Regan Exchange, Wind Exchange, Tuttle Exchange, Pettibone Exchange, and Wishek Exchange	010427	Intersects APE for Direct Effects
Backman Gravel Pit: A Class III Cultural Resource Inventory in Burleigh County, ND	011076	Within 1 mi (1.6 km)
Burleigh County Wind Farm: A Class III Cultural Resources Inventory in Burleigh County, ND	009587	Within 1 mi (1.6 km)
Report of Findings from an Intensive cultural Resource Inventory in Emmons, Burleigh, and McLean Counties, Conducted on Portions of a Proposed Water Project for the Burleigh Water Users Cooperative with Addendum	006636	Within 1 mi (1.6 km)

Due to the large area covered by previous surveys, 14 site leads, 9 archaeological sites, and 2 architectural history properties have been documented within 1 mi (1.6 km) of the APE for direct effects. Of these sites, only the two architectural properties, one archaeological site, and one site lead intersect the APE for direct effects. Site 32BL654, an abandoned schoolhouse, and Site 32BL655, an abandoned farmstead, were documented during the survey of the Baldwin Wind Farm and are not considered eligible for inclusion in the National Register. Site 32BL653 is a Native American cairn. Although this site has not been evaluated for inclusion to the National Register, Tetra Tech recommends that this site be avoided in addition to the creation of 100 ft (30.5 m) avoidance buffer around the site. Site 32BLx99 is the historic Croft's Post Office (artifact scatter and foundation) which is located in the North ½ of Section 4, Township 141 North, Range 79 West. The exact location of this site is not known at this time and cultural materials associated with the post office

have not been identified during the pedestrian survey associated with this project or previous surveys in the area.

Class III Pedestrian Survey

Tetra Tech conducted a Class III Pedestrian Survey of the APE for direct effects based on the turbine layout dated September 21, 2011 and the roads/collection layout dated October 3, 2011. This included surveying new locations for project facilities in Ghylin and Ecklund Townships in addition to surveying minor reroutes and three new turbine locations in Crofte Township. Within Ghylin Township, Tetra Tech surveyed the locations for 27 1.6-MW GE wind turbine generators with 1 alternate location; approximately 8 mi (12.9 km) of service roads to be constructed or improved; and approximately 9 mi (14.5 km) of electrical collection lines. Approximately 7 mi (11.3 km) of collection line were surveyed from the project facilities in Ghylin Township through Ecklund Township to the proposed substation.

Within Crofte Township, the majority of the APE for direct effects was surveyed in 2009 and 2010 as alternative locations for the Baldwin WEC. These alternative locations were not utilized for the Baldwin WEC and are now being incorporated into the Project. Since this survey was conducted very recently and has been reviewed and approved by the SHPO (ND SHPO Ref.: 09-1801), Tetra Tech did not resurvey these areas. Within Crofte Township, Tetra Tech surveyed the locations for 3 1.6-MW GE wind turbine generators, approximately 0.75 mi (1.2 km) of new service roads/collection line routes, and 3.7 mi (6 km) of reroutes for service roads/collection lines. To provide some additional layout areas and allow for some flexibility in construction, Tetra Tech surveyed a 250-ft (76.2-m) radius for each of the proposed turbine locations; a 250-ft (76.2 m) corridor for service roads, and a 100-ft (30.5-m) corridor for collection line routes.

During the pedestrian survey, Tetra Tech documented 20 archaeological sites, 3 site leads, and 22 Euro-American stone piles within the current APE for direct effects. These include 6 prehistoric stone feature sites and 3 prehistoric isolated finds; 12 historic linear stone alignments, 1 farm dump/rock pile; and 1 depression of indeterminate cultural affiliation.

New Archaeological Sites

Sites 1913.001, 1913.005, 1913.006, 1913.031, and 1913.101 consist of one or more Native American cairns and Site 1913.011 consists of two Native American stone circles. At this time, these sites have not been evaluated for their eligibility for inclusion to the National Register; however, these sites do contain intact archaeological features and may also hold traditional religious and cultural importance to regional Native American tribes which may meet the criteria for inclusion on the National Register (36 CFR 800.16[I][1]).

Sites 1913.003, 1913.007, 1913.010, 1913.012, 1913.027, 1913.103, 1913.108, 1913.111, 1913.115, 1913.118, 1913.126, and 1913.137 are Euro-American stone alignments created during agricultural field clearing activities and placed along property boundaries. Many of these sites consist of alignments and stone piles placed along the public road right-of-ways. At this time, these sites have not been evaluated for its eligibility for inclusion to the National Register; however, it is Tetra Tech's observation that these stone alignments lack length, consistency, and an association with other

historic features. Therefore, Tetra Tech does not consider these sites to represent significant archaeological resources. The one exception is Site 1913.007 the stone line consists of two segments, each approximately 230 ft (70.1 m) long, 16 ft (4.9 m) wide, and 2.5 ft (0.8 m) high. This stone alignment contrasts dramatically from others stone lines documented in the area, mainly due to its uniform width and height, and the tight arrangement of the stones. Additional research would not be necessary to determine the eligibility of this site for inclusion to the National Register.

Site 1913.113 is a Euro-American farm dump/stone pile located in a cultivated field associated with a non-extant farmstead. The dump consists of a few pieces of farm machinery intermixed with fieldstones placed in the pile during field clearing activities. At this time, Site 1913.113 has not been evaluated for its eligibility for inclusion to the National Register; however, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that additional testing would be necessary to determine the relationship of the dump to the farmstead that historically occupied the area.

Site 1913.145 consists of a depression of indeterminate cultural affiliation and two nearby Euro-American stone piles. The depression, located on the top of a small butte, measured approximately 30 ft (9 m) in diameter and was 5 ft (1.5 m) deep. The depression, excavated in bedded siltstone, did not have any obvious talus located around rim. The size of the depression, along with the bedrock type exposed on the butte, the absence of the excavated material, suggest this may have been a Euro-American quarry. The two stone piles in the vicinity of the depression consist of local fieldstone and do not appear to be associated with the depression. At this time, Site 1913.145 has not been evaluated for its eligibility for inclusion to the National Register; however, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that additional testing would be necessary to determine the relationship of the depression to historic bedrock quarrying in the area.

New Archaeological Site Leads

Site Leads 1913.148 and 1913.151 are Native American isolated finds identified within cultivated fields. Since the isolated finds are located within a cultivated field in upland setting (i.e., non-depositional setting), it is Tetra Tech's opinion that Site Leads 1913.148 and 1913.151 be recommended as not eligible for inclusion to the National Register. Site Lead 1913.026 consists of two chipped-stone flakes located within a pasture. At this time, Site 1913.026 has not been evaluated for its eligibility for inclusion to the National Register; however, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site may contain intact archaeological deposits and additional testing would be necessary to determine presence of these deposits and the actual eligibility of the site.

Euro-American Stone Piles

Tetra Tech also documented the locations of the 20 Euro-American stone piles. These piles, located adjacent to cultivated fields, appeared to have been created during field clearing activities. Upon the request of the SHPO, these sites were not recorded with the state and were not given an official site number. These sites have not been evaluated for eligibility for inclusion to the National Register and are not considered to be eligible for inclusion in the National Register by the SHPO. Two of the stone pile sites are situated on topographically-prominent locations similar to that of locations that Tetra Tech has documented Native American cairns. It is Tetra Tech's opinion that Sites 1913.014

and 1913.152 have an increased potential to contain Native American cairns underneath the fieldstones observed on the surface.

7.7.2 Impacts

Based on the layout, 20 archaeological sites, 3 site leads, and 22 Euro-American stone piles are located in the APE for direct effects. As currently designed, all six Native American stone feature sites and one isolated find (1913.026) will be avoided during construction. In addition, two Euro-American stone piles (1914.014 and 1913.152), one alignment (1913.007), the dump/stone pile (1913.113), and the depression/stone piles (1913.145) will be avoided. The cultural resources inventory report will be submitted to the North Dakota State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for comment once it is complete.

7.7.3 Mitigative Measures

The nine archaeological sites, one site lead, and two Euro-American stone piles that will be avoided during construction will be fenced to reduce the potential that they will be inadvertently disturbed. The Project will implement an Unanticipated Discovery Plan for construction of the Project (**Appendix F**).

Although there are no reservations or Bureau of Indian Affairs trust lands in Burleigh County, the following Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPO) or Tribal Cultural Preservation Officers (TCPO) may be contacted if archaeological resources or other properties of Tribal interest are identified prior to or during construction:

Tim Mentz, THPO
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe
Phone: 701.854.2120

Elgin Crows Breast, TCPO
Spirit Lake Nation
Phone: 701.996.4477

Ambrose Littleghost, THPO
Mandan, Hidatsa, and Arikara
Nation (Three Affiliated Tribes)
Phone: 701.627.4781

7.8 Recreational Resources

7.8.1 Description of Resources

Recreational opportunities in Burleigh County include hunting and wildlife observation. Review of state and federal databases indicates that no registered national wildlife refuges, state game refuges, nature preserves, county parks, or formal recreational areas are present within the Project Area. As discussed in Section 7.3, properties within the Project Area participate in the PLOTS program, which allows public access to hunting on private lands (**Figure 10**).

7.8.2 Impacts

In general, recreational impacts will be visual in nature and limited to individuals using public or private property in and near the Project Area for hunting, fishing, or nature observation.

7.8.3 Mitigative Measures

Since it is not anticipated that any significant recreational resources will be removed from service by implementation of the Project, no mitigation measures are proposed.

7.9 Effects on Land-Based Economies

7.9.1 Description of Resources

Agriculture/Farming

The majority of the Project Area is either cropland or grazing land (**Figure 13**). Current property use is almost exclusively cultivated fields planted with corn, soybeans, sunflower or wheat and limited pasture land for cattle grazing.

While the economy of Burleigh County is primarily tied to government jobs in Bismarck, agriculture continues to play a significant role in the county's land use and economy. In 2007, there were 1,026 farms in Burleigh County, comprising approximately 84 percent of the land area. According to the 2007 Census of Agriculture (USDA 2007), total market value of agricultural products produced in Burleigh County was \$82,236,000, 62 percent of which was from crops and 38 percent from livestock sales. The primary livestock is cattle and the principal crops include wheat and forage. Sunflowers, corn, and barley are also grown.

Prime farmland is the land that has the best combination of physical and chemical characteristics for producing food, feed, forage, fiber and oilseed crops. The National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) has two classifications for prime farmland. The first is where all areas of the soil series are classified prime farmland. The second is where only the drained areas of the soil series are prime farmland. The NRCS also identifies farmland of statewide and local importance, which is land that is important for the production of food, feed, fiber, forage and oilseed crops. Generally, additional farmlands of statewide or local importance include those that are nearly prime and that produce high yields of crops in an economic manner when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods. Some may produce a yield as high as prime farmland if conditions are favorable. **Table 15** lists the soils within the Project Area, including those considered prime farmland and soils of statewide or local importance. **Figure 14** shows the prime farmland soil distribution in the Project Area.

There are five prime farmland soils within the Project Area, comprising 2,466 acres or 16 percent of the Project Area; one soil type is considered prime farmland if drained, and comprises 59 acres or 0.4 percent of the Project Area. There are five soil types considered farmland of statewide importance, totaling 1,871 acres or approximately 12 percent of the Project Area.

Woodlands

Economically important forestry resources are not found in the Project Area. Trees and shrubs in the Project Area are limited to mostly windbreaks around residential properties and between fields and include species such as juneberry (*Amelanchier* sp.), leadplant (*Amorpha canescens Pursh*), and Siberian Elm (*Ulmus pumila*).

7.9.2 Impacts

Agriculture/Farming

Wind energy development removes less total land from agricultural use than other forms of development. No impacts are anticipated to animal health and safety due to the construction or operation of the wind farm and associated facilities. Except for the physical locations of the

turbines, access roads, and substation, all the land surrounding the Project facilities will be available for grazing.

Actual impacts to agricultural production will be determined once turbine and road locations are finalized. Exact impact acreages will not be known until turbine siting is finalized, but expected permanent impacts will be approximately 116 acres, including turbine foundations, access roads, and the Project substation. It is possible that some of this land is not used for agricultural purposes, thus the actual impacts to agricultural production cannot be determined until turbine and road locations are finalized.

The Project layout dated September 21, 2011 for turbines and October 3, 2011 for roads and collection includes up to 13 acres of Project infrastructure in soils classified as prime farmland and up to 13 acres in farmland soils of statewide importance, not including the collection lines, which will be buried and would be a temporary disturbance of soil. This would be a negligible impact to agricultural production in the county. As noted earlier, wind lease payments will provide farmers with a supplemental source of income, helping assure that farmers can continue to operate financially viable farms, and thus helping to assure the continuation of farming in Burleigh County.

No turbines will be placed within 1,400 feet of occupied homes and within 1,750 feet from occupied homes on non-participating properties in Crofte Township. Other impacts to homes are discussed throughout **Section 7.0**. Family farms will be affected due to the loss of land associated with the construction of the turbines and access roads. The extent of impacts will not be known until final turbine locations are determined in conjunction with the landowners.

Woodlands

No significant impacts are anticipated to woodlands.

7.9.3 Mitigative Measures

Agriculture/Farming

The wind turbines and access roads will be located so that the most productive farmland (prime farmland) will be avoided as much as practicable. Only land for the turbines, substation, and access roads will be unavailable for crop production. Wilton IV will work with landowners to minimize impacts to their land. Once the wind turbines are constructed, all land surrounding the turbines can still be farmed or grazed. All construction areas will be separated from grazing animals by temporary or permanent fencing.

Woodlands

If trees are removed as part of the Project, they will be replaced per PSC's Tree and Shrub Mitigation Specifications.

7.10 Soils

7.10.1 Description of Resources

The U.S. Department of Agriculture has mapped 38 soil map units within the Project Area (USDA 2009). These soils are primarily well-drained loams and silt loams derived from the underlying glacial deposits and, to a lesser extent, the underlying sandstones and siltstones. Twelve soil types comprise

approximately 89 percent of the Project Area (**Figure 15**). The most extensive of these are “Williams loam, undulating” (approximately 34 percent of the Project Area), “Williams loam, rolling” (approximately 13 percent), and “Arnegard and Grassna silt loams, level” (approximately 12 percent). **Table 15** provides a summary of the soil map units within the Project Area, including their acreages and percentages of the Project Area.

Table 15. Soil Map Units Within the Project Area

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Area (acres)	Percentage of Project Area	Farmland Classification
WsB	Williams loam, undulating	5,401	34.30	Not prime farmland
WsC	Williams loam, rolling	2,061	13.09	Not prime farmland
AgA	Arnegard and Grassna silt loams, level	1,908	12.12	All areas are prime farmland
SnB	Sen silt loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes	935	5.94	Farmland of statewide importance
WeE	Werner-Morton-Sen complex, 9 to 15 percent slopes	635	4.03	Not prime farmland
SnC	Sen silt loam, 6 to 9 percent slopes	583	3.70	Farmland of statewide importance
WsA	Williams loam, nearly level	512	3.25	Not prime farmland
VbC	Vebar fine sandy loam, 6 to 9 percent slopes	470	2.98	Not prime farmland
FmE	Flasher-Vebar complex, 9 to 15 percent slopes	431	2.74	Not prime farmland
WzE	Williams-Zahl loams, hilly	404	2.57	Not prime farmland
RwA	Roseglen-Tansem silt loams, nearly level	341	2.16	Not prime farmland
LeA	Lehr loam, nearly level	323	2.05	Not prime farmland
AgB	Arnegard and Grassna silt loams, gently sloping	280	1.78	All areas are prime farmland
VbB	Vebar fine sandy loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes	240	1.52	Farmland of statewide importance
GIA	Grail silt loam, level	190	1.21	All areas are prime farmland
FrF	Flasher-Vebar-Rock outcrop complex, 15 to 70 percent slopes	162	1.03	Not prime farmland
WIC	Werner-Sen loams, 6 to 9 percent slopes	131	0.83	Not prime farmland
Rc	Regan silty clay loam	102	0.65	Not prime farmland
WsD	Williams loam, hilly	84	0.54	Not prime farmland
GIB	Grail silt loam, gently sloping	83	0.53	All areas are prime farmland
RhB	Regent-Grail silty clay loams, 3 to 6 percent slopes	78	0.50	Farmland of statewide importance
Ch	Colvin silty clay loam	59	0.38	Prime farmland if drained
TeA	Tansem-Lehr loams, nearly level	55	0.35	Not prime farmland
SnD	Sen silt loam, 9 to 15 percent slopes	37	0.23	Not prime farmland
Tp	Tonka and Parnell soils	36	0.23	Not prime farmland
RgC	Regent silty clay loam, 6 to 9 percent slopes	35	0.22	Farmland of statewide importance
Bs	Belfield-Rhoades-Grail silty clay loams	32	0.20	Not prime farmland

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Area (acres)	Percentage of Project Area	Farmland Classification
NbB	Niobell-Noonan loams, undulating	31	0.20	Not prime farmland
SeA	Savage silt loam, level	19	0.12	Not prime farmland
Sv	Straw, Arnegard, and Colvin soils, channeled	18	0.12	Not prime farmland
FhC	Flasher soils, 6 to 9 percent slopes	15	0.10	Not prime farmland
WaD	Wabek soils, hilly	15	0.10	Not prime farmland
LeB	Lehr loam, undulating	12	0.08	Not prime farmland
TgB	Tansem-Roseglen silt loams, gently sloping	6	0.04	Not prime farmland
WcF	Werner complex, 15 to 35 percent slopes	6	0.03	Not prime farmland
GrA	Grail silty clay loam, level	5	0.03	All areas are prime farmland
NbA	Niobell-Noonan loams, nearly level	5	0.03	Not prime farmland
W	Water	5	0.03	Not prime farmland

Source: USDA 2009.

The majority of the soils within the Project Area (72 percent) are neither prime farmland, farmland of statewide importance, or prime farmland if drained. Approximately one percent of the Project Area is covered by soils classified as “all hydric”; the remaining area consists of partially hydric soils (i.e., soils containing hydric inclusions) (54 percent), non-hydric soils (45 percent), and unclassified soils (less than one percent). All of the soils in the Project Area (with the exception of areas mapped as “Water”, which are unrated) have low to moderate susceptibility to erosion by water (i.e. K-factors from 0.17 to 0.37). Most of the soils (88 percent) also have low to moderate susceptibility to wind erosion (i.e., USDA Wind Erosion Groups 6 or greater) (USDA 2009).

7.10.2 Impacts

The impact to soils within the Project Area will be limited to areas removed from agricultural production by occupancy of Project components, including turbines, roads, collection lines, and a Project substation. Access roads will be 18 to 36-foot wide aggregate-surfaced roadways. Estimated impacts include up to 116 acres of permanent disturbance due to turbine placement, access road construction, and a Project substation.

7.10.3 Mitigative Measures

Wind and water erosion are potential hazards for the soils found in the Project Area. To minimize erosion during and after construction, best management practices (BMPs) for erosion and sediment control will be utilized. Construction sites will maintain sediment control practices in accordance with the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Since towers will not be located on significant slopes, only non-structural practices should be required. These practices include temporary seeding, permanent seeding, mulching, filter strips, erosion blankets, and sod stabilization. If cuts are made during construction, top soil will be segregated and reapplied after final contours have been graded.

7.11 Geologic and Groundwater Resources

7.11.1 Description of Resources

South-central North Dakota lies within the Glaciated Missouri Plateau section of the Great Plains physiographic province. The Glaciated Missouri Plateau section is comprised of four physiographic districts, Burleigh County spans three of these districts: the Missouri River Trench, the Coteau Slope, and the Missouri Coteau. The Project Area is located entirely within the Coteau Slope, a glaciated bedrock slope subject to active erosion (Kume and Hansen 1965).

The physiography and surficial geology of south-central North Dakota is primarily a product of repeated glacial advances and retreats during the Wisconsin Glaciation Period (10,000 to 20,000 years before present). The topography of the Project Area is undulating with gentle relief, resulting from a moderately thin sheet (10 to 40 feet) of glacial till deposits mimicing the underlying stream-eroded bedrock topography. The Project Area is covered extensively but discontinuously by glacial till of the Quaternary Coleharbor Formation (Kume and Hansen 1965; NDGS 1980).

These surficial sediments are underlain by the Tertiary Age bedrock Cannonball and Bullion Creek Formations. These rocks are classified as sedimentary units comprised of interbedded marine sediments, including sandstones, siltstones, shales, and limestones. The Bullion Creek Formation contains beds of lignite. Bedrock is likely exposed most extensively at the eastern extent of the Project Area, along the West Branch of Apple Creek (Kume and Hansen 1965).

The most important mineral resource in Burleigh County is sand and gravel. Burleigh County was historically a major producer of sand and gravel, ranking sixth in North Dakota in 1962 (Kume and Hansen 1965). North Dakota Geological Survey (NDGS) maps (Anderson 2010) indicate that most of the viable sand and gravel operations are located in glacial outwash deposits to the west and south of the Project Area. Other surficial materials with potential economic uses in construction are also present in the county, including scoria (vesicular volcanic rock), boulders, and clay; however, none have been extracted commercially. No oil and gas production occurs in Burleigh County (Kume and Hansen 1965; DMR 2009)

Lignite (coal) from the Bullion Creek Formation is the other major mineral resource in Burleigh County (Kume and Hansen 1965). The NDGS has mapped economically viable coal deposits north of the Project Area, in T142N, R79W, Sections 4, 8 to 11, 14 to 17, and 20 to 22 (Murphy pers. comm. 2009; Murphy 2008a; Murphy 2008b). Although there are no active mines in the Project Area (Deutsch pers. comm. 2009; Johnson pers. comm. 2010), lignite was historically mined throughout the Project Area within the Bullion Creek Formation.

The PSC Reclamation and Abandoned Mine Land (AML) Division has identified a total of 32 abandoned surface and underground mines within and within proximity of the Project Area (Johnson pers. comm. 2010). The NDGS has also delineated the approximate extent of historically mined coal areas. Due to the previous underground mining operations, sinkholes are a potential geologic hazard within the Project Area. The PSC Reclamation and Abandoned Mine Land (AML) Division hired contractors to fill underground mine sinkhole in portions of T142N, R29W, Sections 33 and 34 and T141N, R79W, Section 2 (located in the east-central portion of the Project Area), where no mine maps were available (Deutsch pers. comm. 2009).

According to the NDGS, North Dakota is located in an area of very low earthquake probability. There are no known active tectonic features in south-central North Dakota and the deep basement formations underlying North Dakota are expected to be geologically stable (Bluemle 1991). This information is supported by USGS seismic hazard maps, which show that the Project Area is located in an area with very low seismic risk (USGS 2008). Related geologic hazards, such as soil liquefaction, are therefore also unlikely.

Groundwater resources in Burleigh County are available from both surficial and bedrock aquifers and are generally plentiful (Kume and Hansen 1965). Quaternary sands and gravels of alluvial and glacial outwash deposits provide the highest yields and best quality water; however, these aquifers are primarily concentrated in the south-central and northeast portions of the county, and in narrow bands along existing rivers in the rest of the county. Bedrock aquifers are more widely distributed throughout the county and provide the primary source for most domestic and stock wells. Along the eastern extent of the southern portion of the Project Area, a productive surficial aquifer is located within a narrow band of alluvial deposits along West Branch Apple Creek. With these two exceptions, the sedimentary bedrock of the Bullion Creek Formation provides the major source of groundwater in the Project Area. Water from these rocks is typically hard, but is adequate for use in domestic and stock wells. Yields are generally less than 20 gallons per minute (gpm) (Randich and Hatchett 1966).

Review of driller logs available from the North Dakota State Water Commission database indicates that at least 39 wells have been drilled within or near the Project Area, all of which are domestic wells, stock wells, or monitoring wells. Well logs indicate that static water levels in the Project Area range mainly from about 60 to 160 feet below ground surface (bgs). Along and outside the eastern edge of the southern portion of the Project Area, a few well logs describe much shallower static water levels, in surficial sediments at about 15 to 25 feet bgs (NDSWC 2011). Based on the proximity of these wells to the West Branch Apple Creek and one its tributaries, it is likely that they tap alluvial aquifers with locally elevated groundwater levels.

7.11.2 Impacts

Impacts of the Project to available mineral resources are likely to be highly limited. No sand, gravel, or coal resources are known to be actively mined in the Project Area, and economic deposits of the latter are constrained to the northern and southern margins of the two portions of the Project Area. Subsidence hazards related to the potential presence of abandoned underground coal mines will be mitigated by thorough field studies and geotechnical analyses and subsequent micrositing. Consequently, geologic hazards are unlikely to impact the Project.

Impacts to groundwater resources in the Project Area are anticipated to be minimal. Major withdrawals of groundwater will not be necessary due to the limited water supply needs of the Project. No new wells will be drilled. Based on the small amount of increased impervious surface area that would be created by Project components relative to the separation of these components and the size of the entire Project Area, the Project will likely have minimal impacts to regional groundwater recharge. Based on the generally deep water levels recorded in well logs in the area, Project construction activities such as excavation and construction of foundations are unlikely to affect groundwater quality or flow patterns. If impacts were to occur, they would likely be minor and highly localized, and unlikely to adversely affect local water supply wells. In addition, each turbine

would be located a minimal distance of 1,400 feet away from existing residential structures, thereby minimizing the risk of impacts to private wells in the area, which are assumed to be located in proximity to the structures they serve.

Development of the turbine foundations may require subsurface blasting, which could potentially fracture bedrock and affect groundwater flow in the immediate vicinity of the disturbance. In the event that subsurface blasting is required, a blasting plan would be developed and implemented to keep the impacts localized and fracture the least amount of bedrock necessary for construction. Potential disturbances due to blasting would be localized and temporary, with groundwater likely to resume its natural course of flow down gradient of the foundation. Although it is not anticipated, if dewatering of excavations is necessary, water would be discharged to the surrounding surface, allowing it to infiltrate back into the ground to minimize potential impacts.

7.11.3 Mitigative Measures

Wind turbines will be sited so as to avoid sand and gravel resources identified in the Project Area. Where sand and gravel resources cannot be avoided, Wilton IV will coordinate with landowners regarding impacts and any necessary mitigation. No other mitigation is anticipated to be necessary.

In order to mitigate any potential hazards from subsidence or sinkholes related historic coal mining operations, Wilton IV will conduct site-specific geotechnical surveys to evaluate the subsurface conditions at the location of each turbine and other significant project structures (i.e., substation, O&M building). Project components will then be microsited, as necessary, to avoid areas at risk for subsidence.

Wind turbine locations will not impact the use of existing water wells because the turbines will not be sited within 1,400 feet of occupied structures (or within 1,750 feet of occupied residences on non-participating properties in Crofte Township). In the event that subsurface blasting is required, a blasting plan would be developed and implemented to keep the impacts localized and fracture the least amount of bedrock necessary for construction. It may be necessary to pump out any accumulated groundwater in the excavation during construction. All dewatering of the excavation would be discharged to the surrounding surface, thereby allowing it to infiltrate back into the ground to minimize potential impacts.

7.12 Surface Water and Floodplain Resources

7.12.1 Description of Resources

Surface water and floodplain resources for the Project Area were identified by reviewing U.S. Geological Survey topographic maps, FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM), and USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) data. There are no major rivers or traditional navigable waters found within the Project Area. The portions of the Project Area in eastern Crofte Township, southeastern Ecklund Township and southern Ghylin Township are located in the Apple Creek watershed basin. The remainder of the Project Area is located in the Painted Woods-Square Butte watershed basin. West Branch Apple Creek originates in the southeast portion of the Ecklund Township and flows southeast toward Apple Creek. Apple Creek flows southwest into the Missouri River. The northern portions of Ecklund Township and Ghylin Township in the Painted Woods-Square Butte watershed are drained by unnamed creeks and intermittent streams that flow north

toward Painted Woods Creek. Painted Woods Creek flows west into the Missouri River. The western portions of Crofte Township and Ecklund Township are drained by unnamed creeks and intermittent streams that flow generally west toward Burnt Creek. Burnt Creek flows south-southwest into the Missouri River.

According to FEMA (2009), the Project Area is located in FEMA Map Panel ID # 38015C0225C, 38015C0250C, 38015C0425C and 38015C0450C. The Project is located entirely within FEMA Zone D. This is defined as: "Areas with possible but undetermined flood hazards. No flood hazard analysis has been conducted. Flood insurance rates are commensurate with the uncertainty of the flood risk."

7.12.2 Impacts

Construction of the wind turbines, transformer pads, and access roads will disturb land within the Project Area. The wind turbines will be built on uplands in order to avoid intermittent streams located in the lower elevations of the landscape. Access roads to the turbines will be built to avoid impacts to surface waters.

Assuming that the proposed wind turbines and associated structures are not placed in potential flooding areas, it is reasonable to assume that floodplains will not be affected and are not a significant issue from a regulatory perspective.

7.12.3 Mitigative Measures

Access roads constructed adjacent to intermittent streams and drainageways will be designed in such a manner that runoff from the upper portions of the watershed can flow unrestricted to the lower portion of the watershed. An application (Notice of Intent) to obtain coverage under the NPDES general permit for storm water discharges associated with construction activity will be submitted to the North Dakota DOH prior to construction of the project.

7.13 Wetlands

7.13.1 Description of Resources

Wetlands and riparian areas are important resources because they provide habitat utilized by both resident and migratory wildlife. Wetlands also perform a variety of hydrologic (flood attenuation and groundwater recharge) and water quality (sediment attenuation and nutrient removal) functions.

A wetland delineation was conducted for the portion of the Project in Crofte Township in the fall of 2009 and spring of 2010 and the portion of the Project in Ghylin Township, as well as additional surveys of layout modifications in Crofte Township, in the fall of 2011. Off-site (desktop) determination methods were first used to identify probable locations of wetlands and waterbodies, while on-site methods were employed to verify wetland identifications and gather information to support the assessment of probable jurisdictional determinations. The wetland delineation report will be provided to the PSC upon completion. **Table 16** lists the wetlands that were identified during field surveys. Nine potentially jurisdictional features were identified within the Project Area (**Figure 16**).

Table 16. Wetland Features Near Project Facilities Identified During Wetland Delineation

Feature ID	Feature Type <u>a/</u>	Jurisdiction <u>a/, b/</u>	Facility Type <u>a/</u>	Potential Area of Impact (acre) <u>c/, d/, e/</u>		
				Permanent	Temporary	Total
W-21	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	USACE Wetland	Service road and collection line between Turbine 37 and Turbine 38, collection line along platted 145th St NE ~0.2 mile south of platted 279th Ave NE	0.000	0.368	0.368
W-17	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	USACE Wetland	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~0.25 miles west of platted 106th St NE	0.000	0.202	0.202
W-15	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	None	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~430 feet west of platted 119th St NE	0.000	0.130	0.130
W-24	Shallow Marsh	USACE Wetland	Collection line along 266th Ave NE ~0.43 mile east of 52nd St NE	0.000	0.089	0.089
W-19	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	USACE Wetland	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~0.1 mile west of 80th St NE	0.000	0.080	0.080
W-16	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	None	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~370 feet east of platted 106th St NE	0.000	<0.001	0.000
W-04	Shallow Marsh	None	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~170 feet west of platted 145th St NE	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-05	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	Potential USACE Wetland	Turbine 41, and service road between Turbine 40 and Turbine 41	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-06	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	None	Service road between Turbine 38 and Turbine 39	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-10	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	None	Service road and collection line between Turbine 52 and Turbine 53	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-11	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	None	Service road and collection line between Turbine 52 and Turbine 53	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-18	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	USACE Wetland	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~0.25 miles west of platted 106th St NE	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-20	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	USACE Wetland	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~0.1 mile west of 80th St NE	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-22	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	USACE Wetland	Turbine 50	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-23	Seasonally Flooded Wetland	None	Collection line and service road between Turbine Alt 2 and Turbine 60	0.000	0.000	0.000
W-25	Shallow Marsh	USACE Wetland	Collection line along 266th Ave NE ~0.43 mile east of 52nd St NE	0.000	0.000	0.000

Feature ID	Feature Type <u>a/</u>	Jurisdiction <u>a/</u> , <u>b/</u>	Facility Type <u>a/</u>	Potential Area of Impact (acre) <u>c/</u> , <u>d/</u> , <u>e/</u>		
				Permanent	Temporary	Total
DS-01	Drainage Swale	None	Service road between 266th St NE and Turbine 62	n/a	n/a	n/a
DS-02	Drainage Swale	None	Turbine 48, and collection line between Turbine 48 and Turbine 49	n/a	n/a	n/a
DS-04	Drainage Swale	None	Service road and collection line between Turbine 45 and Turbine 46	n/a	n/a	n/a
DS-05	Drainage Swale	None	Collection line along platted 279th Ave NE ~0.45 mile west of platted 145th St NE	n/a	n/a	n/a
DS-06	Drainage Swale	None	Turbine Alt 1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Potential Project impacts for non-jurisdictional hydrologic features				0.000	0.130	0.130
Potential Project impacts for jurisdictional hydrologic features				0.000	0.739	0.739
Potential total Project impacts				0.000	0.869	0.869
Notes: <u>a/</u> Acronym Definitions: Alt Alternate (alternate location for turbine site) HDD Horizontal Directional Drilling NHD National Hydrography Dataset OHWM Ordinary High Water Mark USACE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers <u>b/</u> Jurisdictional status of features were based on nexus determination completed during the field evaluation, but would require final decision of significant nexus from USACE. <u>c/</u> Temporary impacts assumed to be 0.5 acres for turbines (approximately, 84-foot radius), 50 feet for access roads, and 50 feet for collection lines. Of these temporary impacts, 0.2 acres (approximately, 53-foot radius) will be permanent for turbines, and 26 feet will be permanent for access roads. <u>d/</u> Impact calculations are rounded to three significant digits. <u>e/</u> Impacts were not calculated for drainage swales as these features are not generally considered USACE jurisdictional and impacts to these features do not require notification to the USACE.						

7.13.2 Impacts

Nine potentially jurisdictional features were identified within the area of investigation that lie within the temporary disturbance buffers of collection lines and services roads (**Table 16** in Section 7.13.2). No features were identified within the permanent disturbance buffer.

The Project is below the 0.5-acre threshold for permanent wetland impact, making it eligible under the USACE Nationwide Permit (NWP) 12 for Utility Line Activities. Given the assumptions above, the Project is also below the 0.1-acre notification and mitigation thresholds of NWP 12. Application for a Section 404 Permit as well as notification to the USACE-Omaha District office is unnecessary.

A stormwater runoff permit would be obtained prior to construction. Compliance with this permit and the associated SWPPP would ensure that surface water is not adversely affected by runoff from disturbances and construction areas.

7.13.3 Mitigative Measures

Wilton IV has committed to zero permanent wetland impacts. Horizontal directional drilling will be used to install the collection lines that cross wetland features. Wetlands will be avoided during the construction phase of the Project to the extent practicable.

7.14 Vegetation

7.14.1 Description of Resources

The Project Area is a rural location with farming and livestock grazing and related agricultural operations dominating the land use. A field biologist conducted ground surveys of the portion of the Project Area in Crofte and Ghylin Townships on June 29 to 30, July 1 to 2, and August 8, 2011. The majority of the Project Area in Crofte Township was originally surveyed as part of the Baldwin WEC from September 18 to 21, 2009. The results of the previous survey were incorporated into the native prairie survey report for the 15,752-acre Project Area (**Appendix C**). Approximately 1,532 acres (10 percent of the total Project Area) were classified as native prairie and 1,897 acres (12 percent of the total Project Area) as tame grassland; the remaining acreage consisted primarily of agricultural croplands with a few residential homesteads and farmyards. The largest contiguous areas of native prairie were found in the southern part of the portion of the Project Area in Ghylin Township. Trees and shrubs in the Project Area are limited to mostly windbreaks around residential properties and between fields and include species such as juneberry (*Amelanchier* sp.), leadplant (*Amorpha canescens Pursh*), and Siberian Elm (*Ulmus pumila*).

7.14.2 Impacts

Based on the layout dated September 21, 2011, 10 turbines (and one alternate location) would be located in native prairie. Access road construction will result in the greatest effects to native vegetation resulting in permanent loss of these habitats where they occur along selected routes. Installation of the proposed buried collector system will result in some temporary effects to native and non-native grasslands. Where disturbance is significant, effects can be mitigated by reseeding the trenched areas with native grasses following completion of construction activities.

7.14.3 Mitigative Measures

Wilton IV will work to avoid and to minimize impacts to existing trees and shrubs. Trees and shrubs anticipated to be cleared will be inventoried for replacement. Tree replacement will be on a 2 to 1 basis with 2-year-old saplings; shrub replacement will be on a 2 to 1 basis with stem cuttings. Trees and shrubs will be replaced by the same species or similar species, according to the PSC Tree and Shrub Mitigation Specifications.

7.15 Wildlife

7.15.1 Description of Resources

Based on issues identified at wind generation sites throughout the U.S., those species of greatest concern are federally or state-protected species, avian species, and bats that may occur in the Project Area.

Avian Species

Avian use surveys were conducted in the fall of 2008 and the spring of 2009 for an area slightly larger than the current Project Area (WEST 2009; **Appendix C**). The surveys included 18 point count locations. Another fall avian use survey for the Project Area is currently underway to obtain a second season of fall avian migration data. The 14-week survey began in mid-August 2011 and will continue through mid-November 2011. The results will be made available once the survey is complete.

Based on the 2009 survey (WEST 2009), waterfowl use was highest in the spring, and raptor use was highest in the summer and lowest in the fall. Three species (3.9% of all species) composed approximately 49 percent of the observations: sandhill crane (*Grus canadensis*), Canada goose (*Branta canadensis*), and red-winged blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*). The most common raptors were red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*) and northern harriers (*Circus cyaneus*). Five active nests (three red-tailed hawk and two unidentified hawk) were mapped.

Two bird species of primary interest to wind energy development in the central and north-central United States are whooping cranes (*Grus americana*) and sharp-tailed grouse (*Tympanuchus phasianellus*). No whooping cranes or sharp-tailed grouse leks (mating displays) were observed during the surveys, although individual grouse were observed.

During the avian surveys, incidental mammal observations were recorded. The most abundant mammal species recorded was white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) with 164 individuals observed within 15 groups. Six coyote (*Canis latrans*), two white-tailed jack rabbits (*Lepus townsendii*), one fox squirrel (*Sciurus niger*), and one thirteen-lined ground squirrel (*Spermophilus tridecemlineatus*) were also observed.

In a site visit conducted in October 2009, American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*), northern harriers, and red-tailed hawks were observed, in addition to one flock of sandhill cranes, several ring-necked pheasants (*Phasianus colchicus*), horned larks (*Eremophila alpestris*), dark-eyed juncos (*Junco hyemalis*), and several species of sparrows. No site-specific amphibian, reptile, or mammal surveys were conducted within the Project Area.

Bats

According to the USGS Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center (2006), there are nine bat species that can be found in North Dakota, including the little brown bat (*Myotis lucifugus*), silver-haired bat (*Lasiomyotis noctivagans*), big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*), eastern red bat (*Lasiurus borealis*), hoary bat (*Lasiurus cinereus*), western long-eared myotis (*M. evotis*), western small-footed myotis (*M. ciliolabrum*), Keen's myotis (*M. keenii*), and long-legged myotis (*M. volans*).

Bats typically use farm buildings and dead/dying trees with cavities and loose bark as roosting and maternity habitat, and use riparian corridors and wetlands as feeding habitat. During the October 2009 site visit, no abandoned farm buildings were observed and trees were limited to mostly windbreaks around residential properties and between fields, providing few options for roosting bats. Further, neither riparian corridors nor wetlands are present in the Project Area in significant amounts, indicating that the Project Area may not be very attractive to bats, although they could still pass through the region during migration.

7.15.2 Impacts

In general, most wildlife species do not use disturbed agricultural land as their primary habitat. As a result, there will be minimal impact to most species. Cranes, geese, and blackbirds all utilize agricultural land, however, especially during migration and in the winter. Potential impacts to sensitive species are discussed in more detail in **Section 7.16.2** below.

7.15.3 Mitigative Measures

Wilton IV has conducted environmental studies of the Project Area to aid in the initial placement of turbines, roads, and associated facilities to avoid or minimize impacts to wildlife and habitat. The following measures will be used, to the extent practicable, by Wilton IV to help avoid potential impacts to wildlife in the Project Area during selection of the turbine locations and subsequent development and operation:

- Siting access roads and turbines away from wetlands, waterbodies, and native prairies to the greatest extent practicable;
- Other than a 240-foot tie line across 279th street from the Project substation to the CPEC Line, no overhead power lines will be used;
- Minimizing the use of lights on turbines when practicable in accordance with state, federal, and local requirements;
- Restricting construction and/or operation activities due to active raptor nests; mapping and flagging raptor nests found during construction; placing turbines as far away from raptor nests as project and engineering constraints permit and avoid removal of trees;
- Minimizing impacts to native vegetation and wetlands during design and construction of turbines and associated infrastructure;
- Reseeding or planting disturbed areas with native material;
- Enhancing existing degraded habitat, where practicable, through the removal and replacement of invasive species with plants native to the site;
- Developing a management plan to prevent the spread of noxious weeds throughout the Project Area or adjacent areas during construction and ongoing operations; and
- Implementing a Wildlife Response Reporting System (WRRS) once turbine construction is completed. The WRRS will include protocols for field technicians to report and document avian mortalities during routine maintenance operations.

7.16 Rare and Unique Natural Resources

7.16.1 Description of Resources

The Endangered Species Act (ESA), as administered by the USFWS, mandates protection of species federally listed as threatened or endangered and their associated habitats. The ESA makes it unlawful to “take” a listed species. Take is defined as “to harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture or collect or attempt to engage in any such conduct.” Significant modification or degradation of listed species’ habitats is considered “harm” under ESA regulations. Projects that have such potential will require consultation with USFWS and may require special permitting or mitigation measures to avoid or reduce impacts to these species. Candidate species receive no statutory protection from the USFWS; however, they do receive full protection once listed.

In its August 2011 reply to Western's consultation letter (**Appendix D**), the USFWS identified five wildlife species protected by the ESA that had the potential to occur in the vicinity of the Project: pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*) – Endangered; interior least tern (*Sterna antillarum*) – Endangered; whooping crane (*Grus Americana*) – Endangered; gray wolf (*Canis lupus*) – Threatened; and piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*) - Threatened with Designated Critical Habitat in Project vicinity. The USFWS also identified one candidate species, the Sprague's pipit (*Anthus spragueii*).

Whooping Crane

The whooping crane is protected by both federal and state laws in the United States. It was considered endangered in the United States in 1970 and the endangered listing was 'grandfathered' into the ESA in 1973. Under the North Dakota comprehensive wildlife conservation strategy guide, a level three species of conservation priority is a species of moderate priority but is believed to be peripheral or non-breeding in North Dakota (Hagen et al. 2005). State listing carries no regulatory protection in North Dakota, however.

One self-sustaining wild population of whooping cranes currently exists in the world. Members of this population breed primarily within the boundaries of Wood Buffalo National Park in Canada and migrate through the central United States in route to the wintering grounds at Aransas National Wildlife Refuge along the Gulf Coast of Texas. This flock is referred to as the Aransas-Wood Buffalo National Park Population. Due to intensive management, this population has increased from 15 birds in 1941 to 279 as of the end of spring migration in 2011 (WCCA 2011).

Whooping cranes undertake a 5,000-mile annual round-trip migration from the breeding area in Canada to the wintering area in Texas. Individuals depart the breeding ground in Canada and travel south through Alberta, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and reach the wintering ground on the Texas coast. The migration route is well defined and 94 percent of all observations occur within a 200-mile wide corridor during spring and fall migration (CWS and USFWS 2007). The Project Area is centrally located in the migration corridor. There were no recorded observations of whooping cranes within the Project Area, although 79 observations have occurred within the 35-mile surrounding buffer area from 1961 to 2007. No whooping cranes were observed during 2008-2009 avian surveys (WEST 2009) and no whooping cranes have been observed to-date during the fall 2011 survey.

Pallid sturgeon

The pallid sturgeon historically occupied the Mississippi and Missouri rivers and their major tributaries (USFWS 1990a). The reason for decline of the sturgeon has been water control and development projects on the Mississippi and Missouri rivers. The sturgeon still occupies portions of the main stem of the Missouri River.

Interior least tern

The interior population of the least tern was listed as endangered species in 1985 (USFWS 1985a). This tern nests on barren sandbars on the Missouri River and feeds on small fish in the river (USFWS 1990b). In North Dakota, the interior least tern is primarily found on sandbars on the Missouri River between the Garrison Dam and Lake Oahe, and on the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers upstream of Lake Sakakawea (USFWS 2008).

Piping Plover

The Great Plains population of the piping plover was listed as a threatened species in 1985 (USFWS 1985b). The plover nests in 23 counties in North Dakota, primarily in alkali wetlands in the Missouri Coteau with some on the Missouri River. Reasons for decline of the piping plover include habitat loss and nest depredation in the wetlands. The main reason for decline of the species along the Missouri River is habitat loss due to water development projects (e.g., Fort Peck Dam, Garrison Dam, and Oahe Dam) and loss of wetlands due to agriculture and other developments.

Critical habitat for the piping plover was listed on September 11, 2002 (USFWS 2002a), and includes the entire length of the Missouri River in North Dakota and the following locations in Burleigh County: Lake Arena, Long Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Rachel Hoff Waterfowl Production Area, and Rath Waterfowl Production Area.

Gray wolf

The gray wolf was listed as an endangered species in 1978 (USFWS 1978). In 2003, the USFWS downgraded the two northern subpopulations (western and eastern distinct population segments) to threatened (USFWS 2003). While additional decisions regarding the western populations of gray wolf have been made more recently, the eastern population remains listed as threatened. Once common in forested habitats throughout North Dakota, the last confirmed sighting in the state was 1991, although there have been more recent but unconfirmed reports of sightings in the Turtle Mountains in the north-central portion of the state.

State-listed Species

Although state-listed species in North Dakota receive no regulatory protection, the North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD) has identified 100 species of conservation priority, or those in greatest need of conservation in the state (NDGFD 2008). They are categorized into three levels according to the need to conserve them:

- Level I - Species in greatest need of conservation.
- Level II - Species in need of conservation, but have had support from other wildlife programs.
- Level III - Species in moderate need of conservation, but are believed to be on the edge of their range in North Dakota.

The interior least tern is a Level I species, the piping plover and pallid sturgeon are Level II species, and the whooping crane and gray wolf are Level III species. In a letter dated August 12, 2011 regarding the proposed Project, the NDGFD did not list particular species of concern that may be found in the Project Area; rather, the agency noted that disturbance of native prairie and wetlands are of primary concern with regard to wind energy development (**Appendix D**). During the avian surveys (WEST 2009), 17 species of conservation priority were observed.

Native Prairie Habitats

Native prairies serve as a vital ecological resource by improving water quality, providing erosion control, and supporting a diverse population of plants and animals. However, due to the native prairies' fertile soils and predominantly flat topography, large portions of the native prairie have been converted to agricultural lands. This wide spread loss of native prairie makes this an ecosystem

of conservation concern and one of the most endangered ecosystems in North America (Samson et al. 2004).

Native prairies are important habitat used by prairie grouse (e.g., sharp-tailed grouse, greater prairie chicken) for lekking, nesting, brood rearing, and wintering. Grouse lek habitat is classified as open, short grass vegetation with minimal amounts of agriculture. Development in grouse lekking habitat could result in direct habitat loss, habitat loss through avoidance, predator facilitation, and construction-related disturbance. Most prairie grouse are considered gamebirds and are often managed locally by state fish and game agencies for hunting purposes. As discussed in **Section 7.14**, a native prairie survey was conducted for the Project (**Appendix C**).

The native prairie survey also included a review of potential Dakota skipper habitat within the Project Area. While the USFWS has not indicated that the Dakota skipper, a species of butterfly that is a federal candidate species, has been recorded within Burleigh County, the native prairie survey included this species due to its potential to occur in North Dakota grasslands. The field biologist classified approximately 632 acres (18 percent of the total grassland habitat and 4 percent of the Project Area) as Excellent/Likely habitat for this species.

7.16.2 Impacts

The Project would not affect water quantity or quality in the Missouri River or its major tributaries. It is unlikely that the sturgeon would occur in the ephemeral streams in the Project Area, and the Project is therefore unlikely to affect the pallid sturgeon.

The Project is located more than five miles to the east of interior least tern habitat, the Project Area contains no sizeable rivers with sandbars, and Project development will not affect water quantity or quality in the Missouri River or its major tributaries. Therefore, the Project will have no impact on breeding interior least terns. Furthermore, the limited extent of wetlands close to the Project and the low likelihood that existing wetlands (e.g., farm ponds) contain enough fish to attract foraging terns suggests that the likelihood of terns occurring near the Project is very low. There will be no new transmission lines as part of the Project (with the exception of a 240-foot tie line across 279th Avenue NE) and all new electrical collection lines will be buried. In the highly unlikely event of this species occurring in the Project Area, the potential for collisions with transmission lines will be eliminated. To date, no interior least tern fatality has been reported at a wind farm. No interior least terns were observed during the fall 2008 and spring 2009 avian surveys (WEST 2009) or during the fall 2011 surveys conducted to date.

There are no alkali lakes within 0.5 mile of the Project, eliminating the possibility of piping plovers breeding in the Project Area. The closest parcel of designated critical habitat to the Project (the Missouri River) is over five miles away; breeding piping plover rarely travel more than one mile from their nest sites during the breeding season (USFWS 2003b), thereby minimizing the potential for piping plovers to occur on site while foraging during the breeding season. In the highly unlikely event of this species occurring in the Project Area, the avoidance of permanent wetland impacts and the burying of all new utility lines will minimize potential impacts. To date, no piping plover fatality has been reported at a wind farm and no piping plover were observed during the fall 2008 and spring 2009 avian surveys (WEST 2009) or during the fall 2011 surveys conducted to date. As a result, the Project is unlikely to adversely affect the piping plover. As there would be no

construction in designated critical habitat and no changes to water quantity or quality associated with the Project, the Project will not result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat.

Wilton IV has commissioned a detailed likelihood of occurrence assessment for whooping cranes (see summary in **Appendix C**). The results of this assessment indicate that the likelihood of crane occurrence within the Project Area is low based on the lower proportion of suitable wetland habitat within the Project Area compared with the surrounding area. Although the Project is located within the 75-percent of observations migration corridor, there are no historical records of whooping cranes occurring within the Project Area (there are sightings within 35 miles). Potential roosting habitat is not limiting on the landscape, thereby minimizing the impact of this potential habitat loss. Based on the low magnitude of potential habitat loss, the low probability of site usage, and the avoidance and minimization measures (e.g., buried collection systems, no permanent impacts to wetlands), the potential for an adverse effect on whooping cranes is low. Other minimization measures that are included in the Project include:

- Modification or curtailment of construction activities within 1 mile of whooping cranes observed onsite during the construction phase of the Project, and leaving birds undisturbed until they are no longer observed within the wind farm boundaries;
- Post-construction monitoring during spring and fall whooping crane migration seasons (spring: April 1 to May 15; fall: September 10 to October 31) for 3 years post-construction to detect the presence of whooping cranes within the Project Area. If a whooping crane is observed, Wilton IV will shut down specific turbines located within 1 mile of the birds, until such time as the birds are no longer observed in the area; and
- Shutting down all turbines if a dead whooping crane or sandhill crane is found in the Project area, since the area may be utilized by additional cranes.

The Project is unlikely to affect current gray wolf habitat, and there has not been a confirmed wolf sighting in North Dakota since 1991.

7.16.3 Mitigative Measures

Wilton IV will avoid the resources identified to the extent practicable. Avoidance/minimization practices are discussed in **Sections 7.14.3** and **7.15.3**.

7.17 Summary of Impacts

Table 17 summarizes the resources that will be affected as a result of the Project and the appropriate mitigation.

Table 17. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation

Resource	Impact	Mitigation
Socioeconomics	Primarily positive due to increased expenditures during construction and the long term benefits of lease payments and an increased tax base of the county due to property taxes.	N/A
Land Use	Approximately 116 acres of land will be affected by 62 turbines, associated access roads, and a substation during operation. Temporary impacts during construction for turbine installation, road construction, cable trenching, and laydown and contractor staging would be approximately 284 acres.	Wilton IV will work with landowners and regulatory agencies to minimize impacts of the Project.
Public Services	No impacts are anticipated.	Wilton IV will utilize station service from the local electrical utility and will abide by the recommendations to prevent impacts to the transmission system.
Human Health and Safety	No impacts are anticipated.	Turbines will be lighted to comply with FAA requirements. A variety of security measures will be implemented to reduce the chance of physical and property damage.
Noise	No impacts are anticipated to noise-sensitive resources (occupied residences).	Wilton IV will locate turbines so the maximum level of 48.6 dBA is not exceeded at occupied residences. Wilton IV will also comply with the Crofte Township noise limit of 45 dBA within 100 feet of non-participating occupied residences within 1 mile of a turbine.
Visual	Visual impacts will occur. The impacts are based on a subjective human response, and there are existing wind energy facilities in the Project vicinity.	Wilton IV will work with landowners to site turbines. They will not be located in environmentally sensitive areas. Existing infrastructure will be used where possible. Cut and fill areas will be minimized and mitigated as appropriate.
Cultural and Archaeological	No impacts to previously identified cultural resources are anticipated.	Wilton IV has conducted a Class III inventory for the proposed Project. Turbines and other Project facilities were micrositied to avoid impacts to archaeological sites. The nine archaeological sites, one site lead, and two Euro-American stone piles that were identified during Project surveys will be evaluated for significance in consultation with SHPO and avoided as necessary.
Recreational Resources	No impacts are anticipated.	Since no significant recreational resources will be removed from service due to the Project, no mitigation measures are proposed.
Land Based Economies	Approximately 116 acres of land will be permanently affected. Temporary impacts include 284 acres for turbine installation, road construction, cable trenching, and laydown and contractor staging	Wilton IV will work with landowners to minimize impact to their land.
Soils	Same as above.	BMPs for erosion and sediment control will be utilized to minimize wind and water erosion at the site. Only land needed for the facility will be permanently affected. Temporarily disturbed areas will be restored.
Geologic and Groundwater Resources	No impacts to groundwater resources are anticipated.	N/A

Resource	Impact	Mitigation
Surface Water and Floodplain Resources	Access roads and turbines will be located and constructed in such a manner that no impacts are anticipated.	Impacts to surface waters will be avoided. Wilton IV will implement BMPs to minimize erosion and sedimentation at the site.
Wetlands	No permanent impacts are anticipated.	Horizontal directional drilling will be used where necessary to avoid impacts to wetlands from collection line trenching during construction.
Vegetation	<p>Approximately 116 acres of land will be permanently affected; an additional 284 acres will be temporarily disturbed during construction.</p> <p>Based on the layout dated September 21, 2011, 10 turbines (and 1 alternate) will be located in native prairie.</p>	Wilton IV will avoid existing trees and shrubs as practicable and will use BMPs during construction and operation to minimize impacts. If impacts to trees or shrubs cannot be avoided, the individual trees or shrubs will be replaced. Temporarily disturbed areas will be reseeded per USFWS and NRCS recommendations. Native prairie will be avoided to the extent practicable and will be reseeded using native prairie mix.
Wildlife	Potential avian and bat collisions may occur, but are anticipated to be relatively few.	A variety of mitigative measures will be implemented, as discussed in Section 7.15.3 . Wilton IV's WRRS will be implemented after construction of the Project as described in Section 7.15.3 .
Rare and Unique Natural Resources	The Project Area is in the whooping crane migration corridor, although little suitable wetland habitat is present. No other federally listed species are expected to be affected by the Project.	The Project Area is in the whooping crane migration corridor, although little suitable wetland habitat is present. No other federally listed species are expected to be affected by the Project.

8. PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION

Per Section 69-06-01-05 of the PSC's administrative rules, Wilton IV and its representatives have contacted key local, state and federal agencies to inform them of the Project and for assistance in identifying concerns or issues within the Project Area. Agency correspondence and responses received as of November 4, 2011 are included in Appendix D. Section 10.12 provides a summary of responses received from agencies.

Additionally, because the Project will interconnect to Western's power grid, Western is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). A public scoping meeting was held on July 26, 2011 to inform the public about the Project and to solicit comments in support of the EIS preparation. Agency correspondence received during the public scoping period from July 20 through September 6, 2011 is also included in **Appendix D** and summarized in Section 10.12. A summary of the public meeting is included in **Appendix E**.

Principal stakeholders in the Project are landowners that have entered or will be entering into agreements with Wilton IV to provide wind rights for the Project. Wilton IV will continue to meet with County officials as the Project moves forward and Wilton IV seeks any necessary local permits (e.g. building permit).

This page intentionally left blank.

9. POTENTIAL PERMITS/APPROVALS

The federal and state permits or approvals that have been identified as potentially required for the construction and operation of the Project are shown in **Table 18**. Permits dependent on the final site layout will be applied for after receiving PSC approval, but prior to construction.

Table 18. Potential Permits and Approvals Required for Construction and Operation of the Proposed Facility

Agency	Type of Approval	Status*	Need
Federal Approvals			
Western Area Power Administration	NEPA Record of Decision	1	Public scoping meeting held July 26, 2011. Draft EIS currently underway.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	Section 7 Consultation under Endangered Species Act	1	Federal nexus. Western will consult with USFWS in accordance with Section 7. Biological Assessment underway.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	Nationwide Permit	3	Wetland delineation complete.
Federal Aviation Administration	Form 7460-1, Notice of Proposed Construction	1	Notice and approval are required for structures over 200 feet in height. FAA approval of lighting and marking of turbines is required.
State of North Dakota			
Public Service Commission	Certificate of Site Compatibility	1	Required for construction of generation facility over 0.5 MW in size.
North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office	Section 106 Consultation under the National Historic Preservation Act	1	Federal nexus. Class I and Class III surveys complete; report is underway and will be submitted to SHPO for review.
North Dakota Department of Health	NPDES Permit: General Construction Storm Water	2	Required for disturbance of over 1 acre of land. Must prepare a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP).
North Dakota Highway Patrol	Overheight/Overweight Permit	2	Permit required for hauling construction equipment and materials on State Highways.
North Dakota Department of Transportation	Road Approach/Access Permit	2	Permit required for construction of access roads from State Highways.
	Utility Permit/Risk Management Documents	2	Permit required for utility crossings on State Highway ROW.
Local Permits			
Crofte Township	Conditional Use Permit	1	CUP issued on September 30, 2011 (see Appendix D).
	Building Permit	2	One month review required of all building permit submittal items.
Ghylin Township	Conditional Use Permit	1	CUP issued on October 11, 2011 (see Appendix D).
	Building Permit	2	Required prior to construction.
* Status Explanation: 1 Applied and/or Decision Pending 2 Will Apply Once Certificate is Received 3 Final Layout will Determine Whether Permit/Approval is Needed			

This page intentionally left blank.

10. FACTORS CONSIDERED

The North Dakota Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting Act lists 11 factors to guide the Commission in the evaluation and designation of the site of the facility.

10.1 Public Health and Welfare, Natural Resources, and the Environment

The preceding sections discuss the research and investigations relating to the effects of the proposed facility on public health and welfare, natural resources, and the environment. These effects and the proposed mitigation to minimize these effects are summarized in **Section 7.17**.

10.2 Technologies to Minimize Adverse Environmental Effects

Wilton IV will utilize the most current technologies that minimize impacts to the environment. Current wind turbine technologies, including the equipment and siting tools, optimize the wind and land resources.

10.3 Potential for Beneficial Uses of Waste Energy

This factor is not applicable to this Project. No waste energy is created using wind energy.

10.4 Unavoidable Adverse Environmental Effects

Unavoidable adverse environmental effects may include the visual impacts associated with the Project, as well as those impacts related to the placement of Project facilities and the use of the land within the site. The visual character of the site will be changed due to the construction of the Project; however, the existing landscape already includes three operating wind energy facilities. In order to construct the facility, access roads and turbine pads are necessary for the operation and maintenance of the facility. The preliminary turbine, access road, collection line, and substation layout is expected to impact approximately 116 acres of land during operation. Approximately 284 acres of land will be temporarily affected due to collection line trenching and laydown and contractor staging areas.

10.5 Alternatives to the Proposed Site

No alternatives were considered for the development of the Project. Wilton IV believes that the proposed site is the most viable alternative. Wilton IV is committed to being flexible on the preliminary site layout and will work closely with landowners and to examine all reasonable alternatives to the preliminary site layout.

10.6 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Natural Resources

Irreversible and irretrievable resource commitments are related to the use of nonrenewable resources and the effects that the use of these resources have on future generations. Irreversible effects primarily result from use or destruction of a specific resource that cannot be replaced within a reasonable timeframe. Irretrievable resource commitments involve the loss in value of an affected resource that cannot be restored as a result of the action. There are few commitments of resources associated with this Project that are irreversible and irretrievable, but these include those resources primarily related to construction. Construction of the Project will necessitate a one-time expenditure of funds, which is not retrievable.

Labor and natural resources will be used in the fabrication and preparation of construction materials. These materials are usually not retrievable. Construction resources that will be used include aggregate resources, concrete, steel, and hydrocarbon fuel. Each steel turbine requires the construction of a concrete base 40 to 60 feet across and 7 to 10 feet thick. Access roads will require aggregate resources for their construction and maintenance. During construction, vehicles will be traveling to and from the site, utilizing hydrocarbon fuels. These resources are not in short supply, and their use will not have an adverse effect on the availability of these resources. In addition, the anticipated economic benefits of the Project will balance the irretrievable commitment of resources resulting from the construction of the Project (see **Section 10.7**).

10.7 Direct and Indirect Economic Impacts

Economic impacts include impacts associated with the temporary disturbance of up to 284 acres of land due to turbine installation, road construction and collection line trenching, and the laydown/construction staging area. Permanent impacts will be lower, at approximately 116 acres. In general, agricultural areas surrounding each turbine can still be farmed, and landowner compensation will be established by individual lease agreements

The remaining direct and indirect economic impacts are primarily positive. Wind energy development removes less total land from agricultural use than other forms of development. The rural economy and energy production in the county and state is diversified. To the extent that local contractors are used for portions of the construction, total wages and salaries paid to contractors and workers in Burleigh County will contribute to the total personal income of the region. Additional personal income will be generated for residents in the county and the state by circulation and recirculation of dollars paid out by the Applicant as business expenditures and state and local taxes. Expenditures made for equipment, energy, fuel, operating supplies, and other products and services benefit businesses in the county and the state.

Long-term beneficial impacts to the county's tax base as a result of the construction and operation of the wind farm will contribute to improving the local economy in this area of North Dakota. The development of wind energy in this region will be important in diversifying and strengthening the economic base of central North Dakota. Additional revenues are expected from property and income taxes.

Continuing to establish the central region of North Dakota as an important producer of alternative energy sources may spur the development of wind-related businesses in the area, in turn contributing to economic growth in the region.

10.8 Existing Development Plans of the State, Local, Government and Private Entities at or in the Vicinity of the Site

No conflicts are anticipated with existing state and local government and private entities' development plans.

10.9 Effect of Site on Cultural Resources

As described in Section 7.7, Tetra Tech conducted a Class III Pedestrian Survey for archeological resources. Based on the turbine layout dated September 21, 2011 and the roads/collection layout dated October 3, 2011, 20 archaeological sites, 3 site leads, and 22 Euro-American stone piles are

located in the APE for direct effects. As currently designed, the nine archaeological sites, one site lead, and two Euro-American stone piles that may potentially be eligible for the NRHP will be avoided during construction. The cultural resources inventory report will be submitted to the North Dakota State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) for comment once it is complete.

Wilton IV is committed to minimize impacts to these resources and will avoid these resources and any additional resources identified throughout the life of the Project. If avoidance is not possible, Wilton IV will work with the North Dakota SHPO to mitigate potential impacts.

10.10 Effect of Site on Biological Resources

Wilton IV will implement measures to avoid and minimize effects to biological resources at the proposed site. The impact of the Project on wildlife is expected to be minimal. There is potential for avian and bat collisions with facility turbines or meteorological towers. The site will be designed to minimize those impacts. Detailed discussion of potential impacts and proposed mitigation measures on biological resources is provided in Section 7.14 (Vegetation), Section 7.15 (Wildlife), and Section 7.16 (Rare and Unique Natural Resources).

10.11 Cumulative Effects

Wind energy development is anticipated to have a positive cumulative impact on air quality, and minimal impacts to geology, soils, water, noise, safety and health issues, and cultural resources. Socioeconomic impacts are anticipated to be positive, as the rural economy and energy production is diversified. The principal resources of concern for cumulative impacts are anticipated to be land use and vegetation, wildlife, and visual resources. With the increase in land being used for wind energy generation activities, farming may decrease slightly. The cumulative impacts will be a concern for the rural communities that have historically made their living from agricultural activities. The additional income from wind development on their land, however, may make it more feasible for farmers to keep most of their land in agricultural uses rather than being developed for residential, commercial, or industrial uses. Wind energy development removes less total land from agricultural use than other forms of development.

A cumulative impact assessment was conducted for noise and shadow flicker based on a total of 194 turbines, including 64 (62 and 2 alternate) Wilton IV turbines and 130 operating turbines from the Wilton I, II, and Baldwin WECs on residences within approximately 1 mile from these projects (**Appendix C**). The cumulative noise analysis found that the 48.6 dBA threshold was exceeded under anomalous meteorological conditions at nine receptors. Two of these receptors are the same receptors where the noise threshold is exceeded by modeling the Project turbines only (Section 7.6). The remaining seven receptors are either unoccupied structures or participating residences. The cumulative shadow flicker analysis results in four receptors with greater than 30 hours per year in addition to the 2 receptors that exceed this threshold when modeling the Project turbines only. These receptors are also either unoccupied structures or participating residences. Given the distances from the receptors where the noise and shadow flicker limits are exceeded to the Project turbines, the exceedances appear to result from proximity to other project turbines rather than from the cumulative effect of Wilton IV turbines

With regard to the cumulative impacts to wildlife, there is a concern that even if no wetlands and other sensitive habitat are directly affected by wind energy projects, the wetlands surrounding the

projects will no longer be used by wildlife, and particularly to whooping cranes. Wilton IV has committed to zero permanent impacts to wetlands, and no new transmission line construction.

10.12 Agency Comments

Agencies were contacted in October 2011 to comment on the Project in support of the application to the PSC (**Appendix D**). Of the agencies listed in Section 69-06-01-05 of the PSC's administrative rules, query letters were sent to agencies that have traditionally responded to requests for comment on wind energy projects. Agencies were also invited to comment on the Project in August 2011 as part of the public scoping process in accordance the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (**Appendix D and Appendix E**). The following summaries of comments received apply to the proposed Wilton IV Wind Energy Center.

10.12.1 North Dakota Aeronautics Commission

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Aeronautics Commission on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.2 North Dakota Department of Agriculture

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Department of Agriculture on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.3 North Dakota Department of Health

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Department of Health on October 17, 2011. The Department response dated October 28, 2011 indicated that environmental impacts from the proposed construction are expected to be minor and can be controlled by recommended construction methods.

10.12.4 North Dakota Game and Fish Department

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Game and Fish Department on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application. However, the NDGFD provided comments on the Project during the NEPA public scoping in a letter dated August 12, 2011. The NDGFD expressed that disturbance to native prairie is their primary concern with wind power development and requested that impacts to native prairie, as well as wetlands and existing drainage, be avoided to the extent possible. The NDGFD also requested that avian and bat mortality monitoring be implemented for the life of the Project.

10.12.5 North Dakota Geological Survey

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Geological Survey on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.6 North Dakota Department of Transportation

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Department of Transportation on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.7 State Historical Society of North Dakota

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Historical Society of North Dakota, which acts as the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for North Dakota, on October 17, 2011. The SHPO provided a response in a letter dated October 19, 2011. SHPO recommended that Class I, II, and III surveys be conducted for the Project and that, as a federal undertaking, consultation include tribal nations, ND Indian Affairs, and managers or owners of properties maintained for recreational or scenic value, including the NDDOT regarding any Scenic Byways. As part of the Class III inventory, SHPO requested that NDCRS site updates be submitted on all sites resurveyed. The SHPO provided a similar letter in response to the NEPA public scoping dated July 28, 2011.

10.12.8 North Dakota Indian Affairs Commission

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Indian Affairs Commission on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.9 North Dakota State Land Department

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota State Land Department on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.10 North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application. However, the ND Parks and Recreation Department provided comments on the Project during the NEPA public scoping in a letter dated July 26, 2011. The North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department noted that the Project as defined does not affect state park lands managed by the Department or Land and Water Conservation Fund recreation projects. The agency also provided a map of the results from the natural heritage biological conservation database; however, the area reviewed by the agency is outside of the Project Area. Wilton IV will contact the Department for clarification and anticipates the response to the October 17, 2011 query letter. The Department also requested that biological studies be conducted and that areas affected during construction be revegetated with species native to the Project Area.

10.12.11 Burleigh County Soil Conservation District

A query letter was sent to the Burleigh County Soil Conservation District on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application.

10.12.12 North Dakota State Water Commission

A query letter was sent to the North Dakota State Water Commission on October 17, 2011. The agency provided a response in a letter dated October 26, 2011. The response indicated that the Project Area is not located in an identified floodplain and that no sole-source aquifers have been designated in North Dakota. The agency noted that all water material associated with the Project must be disposed of properly and that the Project sponsor is responsible for ensuring that local, state, and federal agencies are contacted for any required approvals, permits, and easements.

10.12.13 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

While not required by Section 69-06-01-05 of the PSC's administrative rules, a query letter was sent to the USFWS on October 17, 2011. A response has not been received as of the writing of this application. However, the USFWS provided comments on the Project during the NEPA public scoping in a letter dated August 25, 2011. The USFWS provided a list of species and designated critical habitats for Burleigh County, a map of the North Dakota Whooping Crane Migration Corridor, and a copy of the USFWS Interim Wind Turbine Siting Guidelines. The USFWS recommended that studies be conducted an Avian Protection Plan or an Avian and Bat Protection Plan be developed to address concerns under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The USFWS also recommended that high value habitats such as native prairie, wetlands, wooded draws, and riparian forests be avoided whenever possible and that construction avoid the waterfowl/wildlife breeding season to the extent practicable. The USFWS confirmed that there are no WPAs within the proposed Project Area.

An Avian and Bat Protection Plan and a Biological Assessment are underway as part of Section 7 consultations with Western.

A copy of this permit application will be submitted to the USFWS.

10.12.14 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

While not required by Section 69-06-01-05 of the PSC's administrative rules, a query letter was sent to the USACE on October 17, 2011. The USACE responded in a letter dated October 21, 2011. The USACE also provided comments on the Project during the NEPA public scoping in a letter dated July 20, 2011. The USACE noted their regulatory authority under Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and provided a copy of a permit application to be submitted for the Project if a Section 10/404 permit is required.

10.12.15 Other Agencies

Other agencies that provided comments during the public scoping period included the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The NRCS expressed their responsibility under the Farmland Protection Policy Act and recommended that impacts to wetlands be avoided. The USEPA recommended that impacts several environmental resources be addressed in the NEPA process and that the appropriate agencies be consulted.

11. QUALIFICATIONS OF CONTRIBUTORS

Name Project Role	Education and Professional Experience
JOHN DIDONATO Vice President, Project Development NextEra Energy Resources	<p>John will lead negotiation of all key commercial agreements associated with the project including the PPA. John directs all wind energy development efforts in the Mid-Continent region (excluding Texas). Since 2000, John has developed over 3,300 MW of generation projects for NextEra Energy. He has directed development efforts and negotiated the PPAs for all of the wind projects that NextEra Energy has developed and constructed in the Dakotas, which will total nearly 1,000 MW by the end of 2011. Additionally, he also directed development efforts and negotiated nearly all of the critical agreements for the 680 MW Calhoun Energy Center, a gas fired simple cycle facility located in Oxford, Alabama. Over the past nine years with NextEra, John has led or played a major role in the development or acquisition of over \$3 billion in electric generation assets utilizing wind and clean natural gas technologies.</p> <p>Bachelor's degree, Kent State University. Master's degree, Florida Atlantic University</p>
SCOTT SCOVILL Director, Project Development NextEra Energy Resources	<p>Scott joined NextEra Energy in July 1998 and serves as NextEra's lead developer for North Dakota. Scott has over 12 years of experience in various roles with NextEra Energy. He spent over five years as a financial analysis and two years holding the position of Business Manager for a number of our wind generating assets in California.</p> <p>Scott is responsible for the development of over 800 MW of wind generation in North Dakota which includes 130 MW of rate-based wind for other utilities. He is directly responsible for negotiating all commercial contracts and securing landowner agreements. He is the lead for obtaining all state, local, and environmental permits.</p> <p>Scott served 10 years in the United States Navy, much of that time stationed on the USS Nimitz, until he was honorably discharged (Petty Officer, First Class). He holds a BS in Finance from Florida Atlantic University and a Master of Finance from Palm Beach Atlantic University.</p>
ALLEN WYNN Environmental Project Manager NextEra Energy Resources	<p>Mr. Wynn has over 15 years of experience preparing NEPA documents and permitting for large linear projects and energy facilities.</p> <p>B.S., Southwest Texas State University, Natural Resource and Environmental Studies</p>
DICK RAUSCH Construction Project Manager NextEra Energy Resources	<p>Provided input on route from a "constructability" perspective.</p>
TOM FACTOR Land Easement Specialist/ Route Mapping NextEra Energy Resources	<p>Representing NextEra Energy Resources on wind resource, landowner discussions and selection of corridor.</p>
TED WEISSMAN Land Easement Specialist NextEra Energy Resources	<p>Representing NextEra Energy Resources on landowner discussions and selection of corridor.</p>
BRIAN BJELLA Attorney for Applicants Crowley Fleck PLLP	<p>Applicant's counsel.</p> <p>J.D. and Bachelor's degree, both from University of North Dakota.</p>

Name Project Role	Education and Professional Experience
TRACEY DUBUQUE, P.E. Project Manager Tetra Tech EC, Inc.	<p>Ms. Dubuque has ten years of experience in the environmental consulting business. She has experience preparing and securing environmental permits for energy-related facilities, coordinating and managing biological and cultural field surveys, and contributing to National and State Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documentation. Ms. Dubuque manages siting studies, prepares environmental permits, and conducts consultation with local, state and federal stakeholders for wind energy.</p> <p>Bachelor's degree in Civil Engineering, Merrimack College.</p>
IRINA GUMENNIK Environmental Planner Tetra Tech EC, Inc.	<p>Ms. Gumennik has five years of experience preparing and securing environmental permits for large infrastructure and energy-related facilities, conducting socioeconomic and I analyses, and contributing to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) documents. She also has public involvement experience.</p> <p>Bachelor's Degree in Environmental Science and Public Policy, Harvard University</p>
KIMBERELY GORMAN GIS Analyst and Project Manager Tetra Tech	<p>Ms. Gorman is a Certified GIS Professional with ten years of professional work experience in GIS/GPS design, analysis, and application. Ms. Gorman provides GIS analysis, GPS support, data conversion, editing and management, and cartographic production for the numerous project applications including NEPA analysis, critical issues analysis, siting for wind energy projects, TMDL modeling, contamination assessments, fuels reductions analysis, soils assessments, trails scoping, and cultural resource and critical ecosystem mapping.</p>
TIM DOUGHERTY GIS Analyst Tetra Tech	<p>Mr. Dougherty prepared the application figures, impact calculations, and other GIS tasks.</p> <p>Mr. Dougherty has five years of professional experience as a GIS Analyst, including but not limited to database design and management; GIS data conversion, development, migration, and integration; GIS/GPS Deployment. His expertise is in GIS projects involving utility systems, energy planning/siting, asset management, infrastructure, and constraint/impact analysis.</p>
ADAM HOLVEN Archaeologist Tetra Tech	<p>Mr. Holven led the Class I and Class III Cultural Resources Inventory for the Project.</p> <p>He has extensive archaeological field experience, including large-scale multi-square mile cultural resource surveys for wind farms in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota.</p>
KATHY BELLRICHARD Wetlands Biologist Tetra Tech	<p>Ms. Bellrichard led the wetlands delineation surveys for the Project. She is trained in wetland delineation and has conducted wetland surveys and completed delineations in North Dakota, South Dakota, and Minnesota.</p>

12. REFERENCES

- AirNav. 2011. Spitzer Airport ND80, FAA Information Effective 20 October 2011. Accessed online October 28, 2011 at <http://www.airnav.com/airport/ND80>.
- Anderson, F. J., 2010, Locations of Sand and Gravel Sites in North Dakota, North Dakota Geological Survey.
- Bluemle, J.P. 1991. The face of North Dakota (Revised Edition). North Dakota Geological Survey, Educational Series 21, Bismarck, North Dakota.
- Canadian Wildlife Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [CWS and USFWS]. 2007. International recovery plan for the whooping crane. Ottawa: Recover of the Nationally Endangered Wildlife (RENEW), and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Albuquerque, NM.
- Deutsch, J. 2009. Director, Reclamation and AML Divisions, North Dakota Public Service Commission. Personal communication October 13, 2009.
- EmPower ND. 2009. 2008-2025 Comprehensive State Energy Policy. Revised March 17. Available online at <http://www.communityservices.nd.gov/uploads%5Cresources%5C626%5Cempower-nd-policy-03.17.09.pdf>, accessed on January 7, 2010.
- Energy Information Administration. 2009. North Dakota Quick Facts. http://tonto.eia.doe.gov/state/state_energy_profiles.cfm?sid=ND#overview, accessed October 2011.
- FEMA. 2009. FEMA Map Service Center. <http://www.msc.fema.gov/webapp/wcs/stores/servlet/FemaWelcomeView?storeId=10001&catalogId=10001&langId=-1>, accessed September 2011.
- Grover, S. 2002. Economic Impacts of Wind Power in Kittitas County, Final Report. Funded by State of Washington Office of Trade and Economic Development and the Energy Foundation. ECONorthwest. Portland, OR. 20 pp.
- Hagen, S.K., P.T. Isakson, and S.R. Dyke. 2005. North Dakota Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. North Dakota Game and Fish Department. Bismarck, ND. 454 pp. <http://www.gf.nd.gov/conservation/cwcs.html>
- Hoen, B, R Wisner, P Cappers, M Thayer, and G Sethi. 2009. The Impact of Wind Power Projects on Residential Property Values in the United States: A Multi-Site Hedonic Analysis. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Accessed online December 2, 2009 at <http://newscenter.lbl.gov/press-releases/2009/12/02/wind-power-property-values/>.
- Johnson, Bruce. 2010. GIS Specialist, North Dakota Public Service Commission. Personal communication February 19, 2010.
- Kume, J. and D.E. Hansen. 1965. Geology and groundwater resources of Burleigh, North Dakota, Part I: Geology. North Dakota Geological Survey, Bulletin 42, Grand Forks, North Dakota.

- Available online:
<https://www.dmr.nd.gov/ndgs/out%20of%20print/Bulletins/Bulletin%2042.pdf>, accessed on October 7, 2011.
- Leistriz, F. Larry and Randal C. Coon. 2009. "Socioeconomic Impacts of Developing Wind Energy in the Great Plains." *Great Plains Research* 10 (Spring 2009): 3-12.
- Mid-Continent Area Power Pool. 2009. Mid-Continent Area Power Pool Load and Capability Report. St. Paul, MN. <http://nocapx2020.info/wp-content/uploads/2009/08/2009-mapp-lc.pdf>
- Murphy, E.C. 2009. State Geologist, North Dakota Geological Survey. Personal communication September 29, 2009.
- Murphy, E.C. 2008a. Lignite Reserves, Baldwin Quadrangle, North Dakota. Available online: https://www.dmr.nd.gov/ndgs/Coalmaps/pdf/24k/blwn_c.pdf, accessed on September 29, 2009.
- Murphy, E.C. 2008b. Lignite Reserves, Grass Lake Quadrangle, North Dakota. Available online: https://www.dmr.nd.gov/ndgs/Coalmaps/pdf/24k/grsl_c.pdf, accessed on March 3, 2010.
- Murphy, E.C. 2008c. Lignite Reserves, Wilton Quadrangle, North Dakota. Available online: https://www.dmr.nd.gov/ndgs/Coalmaps/pdf/24k/wltn_c.pdf, accessed on March 3, 2010.
- North Dakota Department of Transportation. 2010 State Traffic Counts. <http://www.dot.nd.gov/road-map/traffic/index.htm>, accessed September 2011.
- North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD). 2008. Wildlife Action Plan. Available online at: <http://gf.nd.gov/conservation/cwcs.html>, accessed on December 4, 2009.
- North Dakota Geological Survey (NDGS). 1980. Surface Geology. Available online: <http://web.apps.state.nd.us/hubdataportal/srv/en/main.home>, accessed on October 7, 2011.
- North Dakota Public Service Commission (PSC). 1979. PSC Guidelines: Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting. November.
- North Dakota State Water Commission (NDSWC). 2011. ND State Water Commission Map Service. Available online: <http://mapservice.swc.state.nd.us/>, accessed October 2011.
- Randich, P.G. and Hatchett, J.L. 1966. Geology and groundwater resources of Burleigh, North Dakota, Part III: Ground Water Resources. North Dakota Geological Survey, Bulletin 42, Grand Forks, North Dakota.
- Samson, F.B., F.L. Knopft, and W.R. Ostlie. 2004. Great Plains ecosystems: Past, present and future. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 32:6-15.
- Savage, Lowell (Crosby). 2009. Site Assessment Analyst, NextEra Energy. Personal communication December 4, 2009.

- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) 2007. 2007 Census of Agriculture, County Profile, Burleigh County.
http://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/2007/Online_Highlights/County_Profiles/North_Dakota/cp38015.pdf, retrieved December 2, 2009.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2009. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) for Burleigh County, North Dakota. Available online: <http://SoilDataMart.nrcs.usda.gov/>, accessed October 2011.
- U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 2009. North Dakota 50-Meter Wind Resource Map, last updated March 17, 2009.
http://www.windpoweringamerica.gov/maps_template.asp?stateab=nd and
http://www.nrel.gov/gis/data_wind.html. Accessed October 2011.
- U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 2010. North Dakota – Annual Average Wind Speed at 80 m, updated October 8, 2010.
http://www.windpoweringamerica.gov/wind_resource_maps.asp?stateab=nd. Accessed October 2011.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) North Dakota Ecological Field Services Office. 2008. Interior least tern. Accessed on May 19, 2009.
www.fws.gov/northdakotafieldoffice/endspecies/species/least_tern.htm.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1978. Reclassification of the gray wolf in the United States and Mexico, with determination of critical habitat in Michigan and Minnesota. Federal Register 43:9607-9615.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1985a. Determination of endangered status for the interior population of the least tern. Federal Register 50:21792.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1990a. Final rule to list the pallid sturgeon as an endangered species. Federal Register 55:36641-36647.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1990b. Recovery plan for the Interior population of the least tern (*Sterna antillarum*). USFWS, Twin Cities, MN.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2002a. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Designation of Critical Habitat for the Northern Great Plains Breeding Population of the Piping Plover; Final Rule. Federal Register 67:57637-57717.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2003. Final Rule To Reclassify and Remove the Gray Wolf From the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife in Portions of the Conterminous United States; Establishment of Two Special Regulations for Threatened Gray Wolves; Final and Proposed Rules. Federal Register 68:15803-15875.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2009a. Wetland Easements.
<http://www.fws.gov/longlake/wetland%20easements.htm>, accessed December 2, 2009.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1985b. Determination of endangered and threatened status for the piping plover. Federal Register 50:50720-50734.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center. 2006. Small Mammals of North Dakota. <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/mammals/mammals/bats.htm>, accessed December 7, 2009.

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2008. National Seismic Hazard Maps. – 2008. Available online: <http://gldims.cr.usgs.gov/website/nshmp2008/viewer.htm>, accessed on October 7, 2011.

Ventyx. 2011. Database available by subscription. Last update provided to Tetra Tech July 2011.

Western Ecosystems Technology, Inc. (WEST). 2009. Draft Report, Wildlife Baseline Studies for the Wilton Expansion Wind Resource Area. August 22.

Whooping Crane Conservation Association (WCCA). 2011. Whooping Crane Current Reports – Whooping Crane Numbers, February 29, 2011. Available online at : <http://whoopingcrane.com/whooping-crane-numbers/>.

13. DEFINITIONS

AADT	Average Annual Daily Traffic
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
APE	Area of Potential Effects
Asynchronous Generator	A cage-wound generator, also called an induction generator, used to generate alternating current
BMPs	Best Management Practices; prevents soil erosion and sedimentation
Capacity	The capability of a system, circuit, or device for storing electronic charge
Certificate	Certificate of Site Compatibility
Class I Cultural Resources Inventory	Existing data inventory – a large-scale review and compilation of known cultural resource data
Class II/III Cultural Resources Inventory	Field inventory to identify cultural resources that could be affected by project facilities within the Project Area
Aggregate Surface	Road cover used for proposed access roads
Commission or PSC	North Dakota Public Service Commission
CRP	Conservation Reserve Program
DA	Department of the Army
dBa	A-weighted decibel
Distribution	Relatively low-voltage lines that deliver electricity to the retail customer's home or business
DOE	US Department of Energy
Electromechanical	Of, relating to, or being a mechanical process or device actuated or controlled electrically; especially being a transducer for converting electrical energy to mechanical energy
EMF	Electromagnetic Field
EPC	Engineering, procurement, and construction
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
ESA	Endangered Species Act or Environmental Site Assessment
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Maps
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
Ft	Foot/Feet
GE	General Electric
Gearbox	An assembly of parts including the speed-changing gears and the propeller shaft by which the power is transmitted from an automobile engine to a live axle; the speed-changing gears in such an assembly
Generator	A machine by which mechanical energy is changed into electrical energy
Geotechnical	A science that deals with the application of geology to engineering
Hub	The central part of a circular object (as a wheel or propeller)
Interconnection	To be or become mutually connected
kV	kilovolt
kW	kilowatt

MW	megawatt
M	meter
m/s	meter per second
MAPP	Mid-Continent Area Power Pool
Micrositing	The process in which the wind resources, potential environmentally sensitive areas, soil conditions, and other site factors, as identified by local, state and federal agencies, are evaluated to locate wind turbines and associated facilities.
mph	miles per hour
Nacelle	A streamlined enclosure (as for an engine), which houses the gearbox, generator, brake, cooling system and other electrical and mechanical systems
NDDOT	North Dakota Department of Transportation
NESC	National Electric Safety Code
NDAC	North Dakota Administrative Code
NDCC	North Dakota Century Code
NDGFD	North Dakota Game and Fish Department
NDPRD	North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department
NHID	Natural Heritage Inventory Database
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRCS	National Resource Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory
NWP	Nationwide Permit
O&M	Operations and maintenance
Pitch	The action or a manner of pitching; especially an up-and-down movement
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
Project, the	Wilton IV Wind Energy Center
PSC or Commission	North Dakota Public Service Commission
PTC	Production Tax Credit
REC	Recognized Environmental Condition
Resistance	The opposition offered by a body or substance to the passage through it of a steady electric current
Rotor	The rotor consists of three blades mounted to a rotor hub
RD	Rotor Diameter: Diameter of the rotor from the tip of a single blade to the tip of the opposite blade
ROW	Right-of-Way
rpm	Revolutions per minute
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisitions (communications technology)
SHPO	North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office
Step-up Transformer	A transformer that increases voltage
Substation	A subsidiary station in which electric current is transformed
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
Torque	A force that produces or tends to produce rotation or torsion; also a measure of the effectiveness of such a force that consists of the

Transformer	product of the force and the perpendicular distance from the line of action of the force to the axis of rotation : a turning or twisting force An electrical device by which alternating current of one voltage is changed to another voltage
Transmission	An assembly of parts including the speed-changing gears and the propeller shaft by which the power is transmitted from an automobile engine to a live axle; the speed-changing gears in such an assembly
USACE	US Army Corps of Engineers
USEPA	US Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service
UT	Unincorporated Township
WMD	Wetland Management District
WPA	Waterfowl Protection Area
Yaw	To deviate erratically from a course (as when struck by a heavy sea); especially to move from side to side: to turn by angular motion about the vertical axis

This page intentionally left blank.

FIGURES

Appendix A
NextEra Energy, Inc. 2010 Sustainability Report

Appendix B
Design Data Report

Appendix C
Studies and Assessments

Appendix D
Agency Correspondence and Public Comments

Appendix E
NEPA Public Scoping Meeting Summary

Appendix F
Unanticipated Discovery Plan
