

NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Application for Certificate of Corridor Compatibility

Plains Pipeline, L.P.
Nelson Take-Off to Ross Pipeline Project

Prepared by E3 Environmental, LLC

February 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 1

SECTION 1: DESCRIPTION2

1.1 Purpose of Facility.....2

1.2 Type and Size of Facility2

1.2.1 Type2

1.2.2 Size2

1.2.3 Length.....3

1.2.4 Location3

1.2.5 Aboveground Facilities.....3

1.3 Project Schedule.....3

1.3.1 Certificate of Corridor Compatibility3

1.3.2 Route Permit3

1.3.3 Construction Schedule4

SECTION 2: STUDIES5

2.1 Corridor5

2.2 Environmental Desktop Analysis5

2.2.1 Wildlife Inventory5

2.2.2 Wetland and Waterbodies Analysis7

2.2.3 Tree/Sapling/Shrub Analysis7

2.3 Agency Consultations7

2.3.1 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service7

2.3.1.1 Federally Protected Species Review7

2.3.1.2 Migratory Bird Treaty Act Consultation.....9

2.3.1.3 Bald and Golden Eagle protection Act Consultation9

2.3.1.4 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Managed Lands10

2.3.2 U.S. Farm Service Agency10

2.3.3 North Dakota Game and Fish Department.....10

2.3.4 North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department.....10

2.3.5 North Dakota Department of Trust Lands.....11

2.3.6 North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office.....11

2.3.7 North Dakota Department of Health11

2.3.7.1 NDDoH Pollution Discharge Elimination System.....11

2.3.8 U.S. Army corps of engineers.....12

SECTION 3: NEED FOR FACILITY 13

3.1 Analysis of Need Based on Present and Projected Demand, Including System Studies.....13

3.2 Description of Feasible Alternative Methods of Serving the Need14

SECTION 4: LOCATION 16

4.1 Corridor 16

4.2	Identify and Map Criteria	16
4.3	Exclusion Area Inventory and Analysis.....	17
4.3.1	Federal Resource Review	17
4.3.2	State Resource Review.....	18
4.3.3	County Resource Review	18
4.3.4	Areas Critical to the Life Stages of Threatened and Endangered Animal or Plant Species	18
4.3.5	Areas where Animal or Plant Species that are Unique or Rare to this State would be Irreversibly Damaged	18
4.4	Avoidance Area Inventory and Analysis	19
4.4.1	Federal Resource Review	19
4.4.2	State Resource Review.....	20
4.4.3	Historical Resources not Meeting Exclusion Area Criteria.....	20
4.4.4	Areas of Known Geologic Instability	20
4.4.5	Areas Within 500-Feet of a Residence, School or Place of Business ..	20
4.4.6	Reservoirs and Municipal Water Supplies.....	21
4.4.7	Water Sources for Organized Rural Water Districts	21
4.4.8	Irrigated Land.....	21
4.4.9	Areas of Recreational Significance which are not Designated as Exclusion Areas	21
4.5	Selection Criteria.....	21
4.5.1	Agricultural Impact Assessment	21
4.5.2	The Impacts Upon	23
4.6	Policy Criteria.....	24
4.6.1	Policies and Commitments to Limit Environmental Impact.....	24
4.6.2	Location and Design	24
4.6.3	Training and Utilization of Available Labor in This State for the General and Specialized Skills Required	25
4.6.4	Economies of Construction and Operation	25
4.6.5	Use of Citizen Coordinating Committees.....	25
4.6.6	Commitment of a Portion of the Transmitted Product for Use in this State.	25
4.6.7	Labor Relations	25
4.6.8	The Coordination of Facilities	25
4.6.9	Monitoring of Impacts.....	26
4.6.10	Utilization of Existing and Proposed Rights-of-Way and Corridors	26
4.6.11	Other Existing or Proposed Transmission Facilities	26
SECTION 5: MITIGATIVE MEASURES		27
5.1	Location	27
5.2	Construction	27
5.3	Operation	28
SECTION 6: LIST OF PREPARERS.....		29

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Engineering Documents

Appendix B: Project Maps

Appendix C: Consultations

Appendix D: Natural Resources Report

Appendix E: Cultural Resources Report

Appendix F: 10-Year Plan

Appendix G: Unanticipated Discovery Plan

INTRODUCTION

The Nelson Take-off to Ross Pipeline Project (NRP or Project) is a new 16.89-mile, 10.75-inch-outside diameter crude oil pipeline that will originate from the Plains Pipeline, L.P. (Plains) Robinson Lake 8" Pipeline System (Robinson System) and extend westward to connect with the Ross Rail Terminal (Ross Terminal.) The entire Project is located in Mountrail County, North Dakota. The proposed Transmission Facility Corridor (Corridor) is comprised of both private and public land.

Plains is submitting to the North Dakota Public Service Commission (Commission or PSC) a single consolidated application for a Certificate of Corridor Compatibility and Route Permit for the NRP.

The application provides the information required by:

- North Dakota Century Code, Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Siting Act, Chapter 49-22-08; and
- North Dakota Administrative Code, Article 69-06-04, Certificate of Site or Corridor Compatibility.

The information presented in this application is organized according to the format prescribed in the Commission's Application Guidelines for a Certificate of Site or Corridor Compatibility, which divides the information into the following six main categories:

SECTION 1: DESCRIPTION

SECTION 2: STUDIES

SECTION 3: NEED FOR FACILITY

SECTION 4: LOCATION

SECTION 5: MITIGATIVE MEASURES

SECTION 6: LIST OF PREPARERS

SECTION 1: DESCRIPTION

1.1 PURPOSE OF FACILITY

The Bakken Formation is a rock unit from the Late Devonian to Early Mississippian age occupying about 200,000 square miles of the subsurface of the Williston Basin, covering parts of Montana, North Dakota, and Saskatchewan. The formation is entirely in the subsurface, and has no surface outcrop. Oil was first produced from the Bakken more than 50 years ago, but production at that time was primarily from a few vertical wells. In the late 1980's when horizontal technology became available, oil production significantly expanded.

The total recoverable amount of Bakken Shale oil reserves are subject to interpretation and speculation. Studies conducted by the North Dakota Department of Mineral Resources (NDDMR) in 2008 and 2010 indicate that 4.0 to 6.3 billion barrels of recoverable reserves are available in North Dakota's Bakken and Three Forks formations. Information from the NDDMR indicates that oil production has increased dramatically over the three years from nearly 110,000 barrels per day (bpd) in 2007 to nearly 386,600 bpd in June, 2010 (NDDMR, 2010). Oil production is expected to increase by approximately 200,000 to 300,000 bpd by 2015.

The major constraint in transporting oil from North Dakota to refining centers is the lack of pipeline capacity. Several major projects have been planned to address the growing volumes of crude oil, but pipeline capacity is not expected to keep pace with production until early 2013 leaving incremental volumes to find alternative transportation methods, primarily rail.

The Project would provide crude oil transportation service from, the Robinson System to the Ross Terminal. From the Ross Terminal the product will be shipped throughout the United States.

Plains estimate that the Project will cost approximately \$13.6 million to develop. The Project will occur entirely in Mountrail County, and will include the installation of 16.89 miles of new crude oil pipeline and related capital improvements.

1.2 TYPE AND SIZE OF FACILITY

1.2.1 TYPE

The NRP is a transmission pipeline. The steel pipeline will meet U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) regulations, specifically the design, installation, pressure testing, operations and maintenance requirements as outlined in 49 CFR Part 195.

1.2.2 SIZE

The NRP will be a 10.75-inch outside diameter steel pipe. The pipe installed will meet the following minimum specifications: API 5L PSL2 Grade X52 line pipe with a nominal wall thickness of 0.250 inches; the nominal wall thickness will increase to

0.344 inches for specific locations such as road and railroad crossings. The maximum operating pressure (MOP) of the pipeline will be 1,480 pounds of pressure per square inch gauge (psig).

The proposed Project will include one (1) mainline block valve and one (1) mainline check valve which are collectively referred to as mainline valves (MLV). These facilities will be installed to meet DOT regulations and will allow for the isolation of select segments of the pipeline for inspection and maintenance purposes.

The valves to be installed will be 10-inch American National Standards Institute (ANSI) 600, flange end by flange end, through conduit, slab gate valves with motor operators for remote actuation. All valves installed in the pipeline will be manufactured in accordance with API Standard 6D. The MOP of each valve will be 1,480 psig.

1.2.3 LENGTH

The proposed NRP is approximately 16.89 miles in total length, all of which will be located in Mountrail County, North Dakota.

1.2.4 LOCATION

The Project will be located in Mountrail County, North Dakota, originating approximately 1.3 miles south-southeast of the city of Stanley, moving generally west, passing approximately 1.4 miles south of the city of Ross and terminating north of the town of Manitou. Please refer to maps provided in Appendix B.

1.2.5 ABOVEGROUND FACILITIES

Two (2) aboveground facilities are planned as a part of the NRP.

- Mainline Check Valve: Section 31 of Township 156N, Range 91W; and
- 88th Ave. Mainline Block Valve: Section 33 of Township 156N, Range 92W.

1.3 PROJECT SCHEDULE

1.3.1 CERTIFICATE OF CORRIDOR COMPATIBILITY

Plains is seeking a Certificate of Corridor Compatibility in or before May 2012.

1.3.2 ROUTE PERMIT

Plains is submitting the application for a Route Permit in February 2012, concurrently with this application for Certificate of Corridor Compatibility. The two applications have been combined to form this Consolidated Application.

Plains is seeking a Route Permit in or before May 2012.

1.3.3 CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

Plains has scheduled construction to commence as early as May 1, 2012. Pipeline construction is expected to take approximately three (3) months to complete. Pipeline commissioning will be conducted once construction is complete and shall prepare the pipeline for placement into service. Restoration will begin in 2012 and shall continue as long as seasonal conditions allow, these efforts shall be temporarily suspended as necessary during frozen or saturated conditions, resuming in 2013. Plains will continue restoration efforts until final restoration has been achieved which is anticipated to occur in 2013.

SECTION 2: STUDIES

2.1 CORRIDOR

Plains selected the proposed Corridor based upon several criteria designed to conform with PSC siting requirements and avoid and minimize socioeconomic and environmental impacts, while maximizing the benefits to local resource developers in the Williston Basin. The selection process was also influenced by the location of existing assets.

Plains has completed advance routing analysis as a function of the Project development. The planning narrowed potential alignments to that presented in this Consolidated Application. A benefit of this approach is to narrow the focus of supporting Corridor studies to the minimum required while demonstrating to the Commission that State siting criteria can be satisfied within the constrained study area. The proposed Corridor is a one-mile wide area that is centered upon the proposed pipeline alignment (*e.g.*, one-half mile on either side of the proposed pipeline). The proposed Corridor and preferred Route are illustrated on the maps located in Appendix B.

A comprehensive desktop analysis of the Corridor was augmented with consultations with the Federal and State agencies identified below, as well as a Class I Cultural Resource Inventory. The results of this environmental analysis are summarized below. Records of consultations with the agencies listed below are provided in Appendix C.

- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
- U.S. Farm Service Agency (FSA)
- North Dakota Game and Fish Department (NDGFD)
- North Dakota Parks and Recreation-Natural Heritage Program (NDPRD)
- North Dakota Department of Trust Lands (NDDTL)
- North Dakota State Preservation Office (SHPO)
- North Dakota Department of Health (NDDoH)
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE)

2.2 ENVIRONMENTAL DESKTOP ANALYSIS

2.2.1 WILDLIFE INVENTORY

Land use throughout the Corridor is dominated by agriculture (*e.g.*, cultivated crops or rangeland) and as such, the landscape has been modified to promote agricultural production. The most common landscape modifications result in the loss of natural

habitats which often includes tilling of native grasslands as well as the modification of wetlands and waterbodies. These changes to the landscape influence the wildlife inhabiting the area.

Various agencies were consulted regarding the potential occurrence of protected or sensitive species and their critical habitats within the Corridor. Wildlife species inhabiting or present in the Corridor are those commonly associated with the northwestern North Dakota region. The composition of mammals, birds, amphibian and reptiles that potentially occur in the Corridor are typical of a mixed grass, dry prairie system. While some species have increased with agricultural development, others have declined. The greatest degree of species richness can be expected to be associated with native prairie, wetlands, prairie potholes and lakes, and the riparian corridor along the waterways.

Species diversity associated with agricultural areas will be constrained by the lack of suitable native habitat and will often be limited to remnant habitats such as uncropped swales, or incised watercourses. Species may also be found utilizing marginal habitats adjacent to active agricultural field areas, such as the edges of crop fields, road ditches, and on the uncut banks and beds of unimproved county roads. Quality wildlife habitat in the Corridor is limited and wildlife species composition reflects the extensively modified landscape.

Approximately 160 wildlife species are resident or seasonal visitors to the Missouri River ecosystem, and hundreds of native fish species live in the mainstream and tributaries. Some of the animal species commonly found in the Missouri River ecosystem include various mammals, such as beaver, muskrat, eastern cottontail, elk, moose, mule deer, white-tailed deer, and pronghorn; various song birds; waterfowl species, such as mallard and Canada goose; upland birds, such as crows, woodpeckers, and sharp-tailed grouse; water birds, including grebes, plovers, and yellow-headed blackbirds; and various raptors, including golden eagles and bald eagles.

Plains engaged various Federal and State agencies in Project-specific consultations to identify potential occurrences of sensitive species or their critical habitat(s) (please see Appendix C for complete record of agency consultations). In addition to agency consultations and desktop analysis, Plains also commissioned field studies of the Corridor and more specifically the proposed Route to record the presence or absence of sensitive species or their critical habitats. The information gathered from these surveys was used for final routing, permitting, and mitigation planning where necessary. The results of these studies are included in Appendix D and proposed mitigation is detailed in SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the application for a Route Permit.

2.2.2 WETLAND AND WATERBODIES ANALYSIS

Desktop analysis of aerial photography and National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps was used to evaluate the location and extent of mapped wetlands and waterbody features within the Corridor. In general the Corridor was moderately populated with mapped wetland features, an estimated 43 wetland basins were identified as potentially occurring along the proposed centerline. The inventory of waterbodies within the proposed Corridor found two (2) waterbodies (i.e., ponds); one (1) named waterway (i.e.; Little Knife River) and its smaller unnamed intermittent tributary. Routing has taken these features into consideration and has avoided direct impacts where practicable.

Plains commissioned additional field studies of the Corridor and more specifically the proposed Route to delineate wetland and waterbody boundaries. The information gathered from these surveys was used for final routing, permitting, and mitigation planning where necessary. The results of these studies are included in Appendix D and proposed mitigation is detailed in SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the application for a Route Permit.

2.2.3 TREE/SAPLING/SHRUB ANALYSIS

Desktop analysis of aerial photography was used to evaluate the location and extent of woody vegetation within the Corridor. The density of the woody cover was sparse, and appears to be typically either associated with a cultivated windrow feature or a natural feature, such as a waterbody, or more commonly with significant topographic relief, such as defined banks or incised drainage ways. Plains commissioned additional studies of the Corridor and more specifically the proposed route to inventory woody vegetation, study avoidance mitigation and inventory proposed impacts for mitigation. The results of these studies are included in Appendix D and proposed mitigation is detailed in SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the application for a Route Permit.

2.3 AGENCY CONSULTATIONS

2.3.1 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

The USFWS administers several programs designed to identify and protect special status plant and animal species and critical habitats. E3 Environmental, LLC (E3), on behalf of Plains, requested a Project review of the Corridor by USFWS on December 30, 2011; agency response is pending.

2.3.1.1 FEDERALLY PROTECTED SPECIES REVIEW

Under authority of the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the USFWS has identified and maintains a list of species and critical habitats that have been afforded protection under the ESA. The ESA provides a program for the conservation of threatened and endangered plants and animals and the habitats that they inhabit.

On behalf of Plains, E3 provided technical assistance with protected species review and subsequent consultations with the USFWS. E3 reviewed USFWS published data and identified the following listed species and the potential for the species to occur within the Corridor.

- Whooping crane (*Grus americana*) – Endangered
- Least tern (*Sterna antillarum*) – Endangered
- Piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*) – Threatened
- Pallid sturgeon (*Scaphirhynchus albus*) – Endangered
- Gray wolf (*Canis lupus*) – Endangered

E3 reviewed the available information that described the life history, critical habitats, and conservation measures associated with each species to assess the potential effects of the Project on these resources. The results of the assessment are provided below:

Whooping crane:

The Aransas Wood Buffalo Population of whooping cranes engages in semi-annual migration through North Dakota. This flock breeds in the Wood Buffalo National Park in Alberta and Northwest Territories, Canada, and winters in the Aransas National Wildlife Refuge in Texas. This species has been closely studied and monitored in recent years due to its small, fragile population. North Dakota provides migratory habitat for the species, providing roosting and feeding opportunities during migration. During migration, the species is most closely associated with larger wetland complexes for roosting habitat, typically using adjacent uplands to forage.

Least tern:

The interior population(s) of the least tern has historically been associated with large river systems for breeding and migratory habitats. Breeding birds are known to breed in colonies, utilizing sandbar habitat common to larger rivers. The Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers are frequently cited by the USFWS as locations within the region that are known to host breeding populations of the least terns. The Project does not cross either river and the entire Project is more than 15 miles away from the Missouri River. No suitable habitat is present within the Corridor.

Piping plover:

The piping plover (plover) is associated with shorelines along small alkaline lakes, large reservoir beaches, and river islands and adjacent sand pits. Breeding birds select wide beaches with highly clumped vegetation covering less than 25 percent of the area. The Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers are frequently cited by the USFWS as locations within the region that are known to host breeding populations of the plovers.

The Project does not cross either river and the entire Project is more than 15 miles away from the Missouri River. No suitable habitat is present within the Corridor.

Pallid sturgeon:

In North Dakota, there are reaches of the Missouri River that have been cited as providing suitable habitat for the sturgeon. The Project does not cross the Missouri River and the entire Project is more than 15 miles away from the river. No suitable habitat is present within the Corridor.

Gray wolf:

Since 1978, the gray wolf has been managed by the USFWS as an endangered species under the guidelines of the ESA. In North Dakota it is generally accepted that the wolf was extirpated in the 1920's or 1930's. Since that time there have been sporadic reports, which authorities attribute to individual animals dispersing from larger populations in Minnesota or Manitoba. Currently there are no known viable populations of wolves in the Corridor.

2.3.1.2 MIGRATORY BIRD TREATY ACT CONSULTATION

On December 30, 2011 E3, on behalf of Plains, initiated consultation with the USFWS with respect to several topics that fall under the purview of the USFWS including the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA). The management of MBTA concerns correspond with the regional timing associated with annual phenology of migratory species. In North Dakota, it is generally acknowledged that MBTA species of concern may be present in North Dakota from February 1 through July 15 annually. Hence, MBTA mitigation may be required if construction will take place during this timeframe. The current project schedule suggests that this may be the case. Plains will continue to consult with agencies as necessary regarding this subject and shall develop MBTA mitigation as required (see Appendix C for related consultations, and SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the Route Permit Application for additional details regarding proposed mitigation).

2.3.1.3 BALD AND GOLDEN EAGLE PROTECTION ACT CONSULTATION

The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) prohibits anyone without a permit from taking a bald or golden eagle, including their parts, nests, or eggs. The BGEPA defines "take" as pursue, shoot, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, molest, or disturb. The BGEPA also addresses impacts resulting from human-induced alterations occurring around previously used nesting sites.

On December 30, 2011, E3, on behalf of Plains, initiated BGEPA consultations with the USFWS seeking confirmation of presence or absence of known nesting locations for either eagle species within the Corridor. A response from the USFWS is pending (see Appendix C for related consultations).

Plains augmented agency consultation with field surveys to confirm the presence or absence of eagle's nests within ½ mile of the proposed Route. These efforts were conducted between September and November of 2011. Field biologists confirmed the absence of active eagle nests within the surveyed corridor. The results of these studies are fully detailed in Appendix D.

2.3.1.4 U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE MANAGED LANDS

On December 30, 2011, E3, on behalf of Plains, initiated consultations with the USFWS seeking confirmation of the presence or absence of USFWS managed lands within the Corridor. A response from the USFWS is pending (see Appendix C for related consultations).

2.3.2 U.S. FARM SERVICE AGENCY

On December 22, 2011, E3, on behalf of Plains, consulted with the local FSA office to confirm the presence or absence of Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) or Grassland Reserve Program lands within the proposed Corridor. Agency response is pending (see Appendix C for related consultations).

2.3.3 NORTH DAKOTA GAME AND FISH DEPARTMENT

The NDGFD exercises oversight and management of the state's game species and certain state-managed lands (*e.g.*, PLOTS Program). On December 2, 2011, E3 initiated Project-specific consultations with NDGFD and requested a Project review seeking confirmation regarding the presence or the absence of both state-managed lands and wildlife concerns within the proposed Corridor. On December 30, 2011 the NDGFD responded confirming the absence of both state-managed lands and wildlife concerns within the proposed Corridor.

The NDGFD also requested that Plains minimize impacts to native prairies or wooded draws when constructing the pipeline or creating construction access. In addition, the agency noted that the Corridor included National Wetland Inventory (NWI) mapped waterbody features. The agency suggested avoidance of all of these features when practicable, and restoration and reclamation of disturbed features crossed by the Project to avoid permanent impacts. See Appendix C for a copy of the correspondence.

2.3.4 NORTH DAKOTA PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

The NDPRD Natural Resource Division's scope of authority and expertise covers recreation and biological resources (in particular rare species and ecological communities). The NDPRD also maintains a database comprised of the location and recorded occurrences of plant and animal species of special concern. The NDPRD authority includes management of state park lands and Land and Water Conservation Funded recreation projects.

On December 2, 2011, E3 initiated Project-specific consultations with NDPRD and requested a Project review seeking confirmation regarding the presence or the absence of managed lands, ecological resources, rare species or their critical habitats.

On January 11, 2011 the NDPRD confirmed the absence of managed lands, ecological resources; rare species and their critical habitats (refer to Appendix C for related consultation).

2.3.5 NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF TRUST LANDS

The NDDTL is in charge of managing surface acres and mineral interests held in trust for various schools and institutions.

Plains is seeking a surface easement from NDDTL to cross Section 36, Township 156 North, Range 93 West, and Mountrail County, North Dakota. The NDDTL has suggested co-locating the NRP with other pipelines. Easement negotiations are ongoing between Plains, the NDDTL and landowners (see Appendix C for a copy of the correspondence).

2.3.6 NORTH DAKOTA STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE

The SHPO is responsible for managing the historic and archaeological resources of the state; as such, the SHPO maintains records of all previously recorded cultural resources within the state. Plains commissioned, SWCA, Inc. (SWCA), to conduct a Class I Cultural Resource Inventory of the Corridor, and background research was conducted on September 7, 2011. The purpose of a Class I Cultural Resource Inventory is to review the existing records maintained by the SHPO. Research conducted by SWCA for the NRP identified one (1) previously recorded cultural resource site located within the proposed Corridor. These results of this inventory were used to assess Corridor compatibility for routing and later for Route refinement and preparation for field studies.

Please refer to Appendix C for related agency consultations, Appendix E for Cultural Resource Survey Reports and SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the Route Permit application for proposed mitigation measures.

2.3.7 NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

The NDDoH administers regulatory programs governing certain water discharges. Plains is currently in the process of preparing NDDoH permit application materials to acquire the requisite approval with respect to water discharges.

2.3.7.1 NDDOH POLLUTION DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

The NDDoH administers the North Dakota Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NDPDES) a regulatory program that regulates and issues permits for water discharges, such as construction stormwater, site dewatering and hydrostatic discharge permits. Plains will obtain the following NDPDES permits from the NDDoH.

Construction Stormwater: Plains will be seeking coverage under NDR10-0000 *Authorization to Discharge Under the North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System* general permit for construction sites as required when disturbing an area greater than five (5) acres. A project-specific erosion control plan referred to as Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) will be prepared and maintained on-site for the duration of the Project. Plains will properly implement the SWPPP which will be designed to manage run-off and trench dewatering discharges in a manner that will minimize exposure to chemicals, waste, and petroleum products, as well as describe erosion control measures designed to minimize off-site transfer of sediments.

Hydrostatic test water discharges: Plains will be seeking coverage under NDG07-0000 *Authorization to Discharge Under the North Dakota Pollutant Discharge Elimination System* general permit for various temporary discharges, including both construction site dewatering and hydrostatic test water discharges.

2.3.8 U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

The COE administers regulatory programs governing certain activities involving Waters of the United States. The COE has been delegated authority under the Clean Water Act by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to regulate activities that may result in discharges to these waters. The COE has developed the Nationwide Permit (NWP) program which it uses to regulate various activities including Utility Line Activities. Plains will be seeking coverage under NWP No. 12 Utility Line Activities prior to engaging in regulated activities and shall observe permit conditions while conducting pipeline construction in regulated waterbodies.

SECTION 3: NEED FOR FACILITY

3.1 ANALYSIS OF NEED BASED ON PRESENT AND PROJECTED DEMAND, INCLUDING SYSTEM STUDIES

The development of hydrocarbon production in the Williston Basin has increased significantly in recent years due to advancements in deep horizontal directional drilling techniques and subsequent oil extraction in the Bakken and Three Forks shale formations. The total recoverable amount of Bakken Shale and Three Forks oil reserves is subject to interpretation and speculation. Studies conducted by the NDDMR and U.S. Geologic Survey (USGS) in 2008 and 2010 indicate that 4.0 to 6.3 billion barrels of recoverable crude oil reserves may be available in North Dakota's deep shale formations. Oil production statistics from the Bakken and Three Forks formations indicate that oil production has increased dramatically over the past three years from nearly 110,000 bpd in 2007 to nearly 386,600 bpd in June, 2010. Oil production is expected to increase by an additional 200,000 to 300,000 bpd by 2015.

The major constraint in transporting oil from North Dakota to refining centers in the United States is the lack of pipeline capacity. Interstate pipelines offering delivery out of the Bakken Formation are few. To relieve the pipeline constraints, several major projects have been planned to address the growing volumes of crude oil, but pipeline capacity is not expected to keep pace with production until early 2013 leaving incremental volumes to find alternative transportation methods, primarily rail.

Enbridge Energy, Tesoro Logistics, LP, and True Companies, Inc., currently provide oil pipeline transport services from the Bakken Formation to refining centers and storage areas; however, only Tesoro Logistics, LP, and Enbridge Energy have existing pipeline systems in the Project area. Enbridge Energy and True Companies are also proposing construction of new pipeline facilities that are expected to be operational by 2013. These pipelines will connect to existing cross-country pipelines and will have a capacity of approximately 165,000 bpd when operational, which is well short of daily production that is expected by 2015.

Additional transportation of oil from the Bakken Formation is provided by tanker truck to railroads. Railroads are currently transporting approximately 20 percent of the Bakken Formation production to tank farms and refining facilities located in Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, California and other states. North Dakota has eight (8) railroad loading and unloading facilities, with an additional three sites planned for construction. Of these, the closest operating facility is located in Ross, North Dakota.

Savage Services (Savage) and Rangeland Energy, LLC (Rangeland), have announced future railroad loading and unloading developments. Savage's proposal is to construct a Trenton Railport Williston Basin Crude and Materials Multiuser Station near Trenton; however, the project is only in the development stage. The Savage proposal, will not provide service in the foreseeable future and is located approximately 50 miles

from the Project. Rangeland's project will be constructed in 2012 in Epping, North Dakota; however, it is located approximately 30 miles from the Project. Utilization of either of these options would require significant movement of crude oil by tanker truck over public roadways, a public safety issue that the Project would avoid.

With the constraints to the existing transportation infrastructure in the Project area, construction of the Project would provide Plains with a mechanism to manage the crude oil transported so as to determine the schedule and destination in a way that will allow producers to obtain the highest market value.

3.2 DESCRIPTION OF FEASIBLE ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF SERVING THE NEED

The Project will deliver an average of 30,000 bpd of crude oil from the Robinson System to the Ross Terminal for transport to refineries in the Midwest or Southwest, with a maximum capacity to transport up to 47,000 bpd. Plains identified and evaluated several project alternatives; however, none of these alternatives effectively satisfied the Project objective. These alternatives included:

- No Action Alternative;
- Trucking Alternative; and
- Rail Alternative.

No Action Alternative:

A No Action Alternative would leave the region constrained by limited transport capacity for safe and reliable transmission of crude oil to markets. Overall, regional crude oil production would continue to be constrained by the limited transmission capacity to refining facilities and would continue to depress market pricing for crude oil regionally. This alternative is not desirable. For these reasons, Plains rejected a *No Action Alternative*.

Trucking Alternative:

This scenario was reviewed and eliminated due to the volumes of crude oil that will be shipped by the NRP. The design capacity of the NRP is 47,000 bpd or 1,974,000 gallons per day of crude oil. The typical load limit is approximately 10,000 gallons per truck. Thus, it will require 198 trucks per day to provide an equivalent shipping capacity, an average of 8.25 trucks every hour for 24 hours a day. This volume of truck traffic is not logistically feasible; it would cause an unacceptable amount of heavy vehicle traffic for the area residents, as well as additional wear and tear on the infrastructure. Any disruption in the trucking capacity due to seasonal load restrictions on roads, inclement weather, or road repairs would immediately impact production in the region. This alternative is not desirable. For these reasons, Plains rejected a *Trucking Alternative*.

Rail Alternative:

Rail transportation of crude oil is generally an accepted alternative to other methods of surface transportation. Plains' asset management has determined that a rail terminal at the western terminus of the proposed Project would provide the greatest long-term strategic development to the movement of locally produced crude out of the region. Existing rail infrastructure is readily available at this location and proximity to other existing assets owned or operated by Plains would provide an integrated approach to transmission of locally produced crude. This analysis included the evaluation of developing a rail terminal at the eastern terminus of the Project. There are several factors that dissuaded the pursuit of this alternative: first, there is not sufficient space available at the eastern terminus to site a rail loading rack; secondly the siting and construction of a minimum of 12 miles of rail spur would result in permanent environmental impacts associated with the construction and operation of this above-ground feature; finally, the combination of financial, logistic, and timing constraints are not compatible with the proposed Project. Plains determined that to maximize the benefits of the Project while minimizing impacts, it is advantageous to make use of existing infrastructure where available.

SECTION 4: LOCATION

4.1 CORRIDOR

Plains has identified a preferred Corridor, which is a one-mile-wide area centered upon the proposed pipeline Route. The selection of the proposed Corridor was a multi-disciplinary effort that included socio economic, environmental, logistics, engineering and financial considerations. The Corridor described in this application provides Plains with the opportunity to access existing operating assets, minimizes landowner impacts, and minimizes environmental impacts.

Plains owns and operates several assets in the region. The operations of these assets are conducted in a manner that maximizes overall value of the resource, which benefits regional stakeholders (producers, royalty owners, and the state, through tax revenues). Furthermore, the Corridor was developed to take advantage of available transmission capacity at the Ross Terminal.

Plains has initiated agency consultations, and performed internet-based research and desktop analysis of the Corridor. These efforts were augmented by site visits, including natural and cultural resource field surveys. These results are discussed in detail in the application for a Route Permit.

4.2 IDENTIFY AND MAP CRITERIA

The information presented in this section was developed to demonstrate compliance with the Commission's siting criteria for transmission facilities. Plains has conducted a thorough inventory of the Corridor and evaluated the resources that occur within it to sufficiently assess the compatibility of the Project with the PSC's siting criteria. The following sections identify and discuss the presence or absence of siting criteria within the Corridor. Where siting criteria are identified, the location of each is shown on the maps in Appendix B.

4.3 EXCLUSION AREA INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

Exclusion areas are geographical areas that should be excluded from consideration when siting an energy transmission facility. A proposed corridor may contain exclusion areas, but the exclusion area may not encompass more than fifty (50) percent of the corridor width at any point, unless there is no reasonable alternative. The following table and text identify and discuss exclusion areas identified within the Corridor.

Exclusion Area	Within Corridor
Federal	
National Parks or Memorial Parks	No
Historic Sites, Districts, or Landmarks	Yes
Natural Landmarks or Monuments	No
Wilderness Areas	No
State	
Historic Sites, Monuments, or Historical Markers	No
Archaeological Sites	Yes
Parks	No
Nature Preserves	No
County	
Parks	No
Recreation Areas	No
Municipal Parks	No
Other	
Areas Critical to the Life Stages of Threatened or Endangered Animal or Plant Species	No
Areas where Animal or Plant Species that are Unique or Rare to this State would be Irreversibly Damaged	No

4.3.1 FEDERAL RESOURCE REVIEW

Plains initiated consultations with various Federal agencies and has conducted a comprehensive review of published information. Plains concluded that no national parks or memorial parks; natural landmarks or monuments; and no Federally designated wilderness areas will be crossed or will be affected by the Project. Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of this document for a comprehensive discussion of Plains' consultations and Appendix C for reference.

Plains completed a Class I Cultural Resource Inventory of the Corridor. A subsequent Class III Cultural Resource Inventory was conducted of the survey corridor. These efforts identified a newly recorded segment of the historic Great Northern Railway. Plains' will avoid impacts to this site. Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of this document for a comprehensive discussion of Plains' related consultations, and

Appendices D and E for copies of field survey reports. Mitigation details are discussed in SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the Route Permit Application.

4.3.2 STATE RESOURCE REVIEW

Plains has confirmed through a combination of agency consultations, review of publicly-available information and field studies the absence of state parks, historic sites, monuments, historical markers, or nature preserves within the proposed Corridor.

Plains completed a Class I Cultural Resource Inventory of the Corridor. A subsequent Class III Cultural Resource Inventory was conducted of the survey corridor. These efforts confirmed the absence of historic districts or landmarks of Federal interest. Two (2) sites were identified and characterized as potentially eligible sites under the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA). Plains' final routing will avoid impacts to these sites. Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of this document for a comprehensive discussion of Plains' related consultations, and Appendix E for copies of field survey reports. Mitigation details are discussed in SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the Route Permit Application.

4.3.3 COUNTY RESOURCE REVIEW

Plains has confirmed through a combination of agency consultations, review of publicly-available information and field studies the absence of county parks or recreation areas, municipal parks, or parks owned by other subdivisions of government bodies within the proposed Corridor. Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of this document for a comprehensive discussion of Plains' consultations and Appendix C for documentation of agency consultations.

4.3.4 AREAS CRITICAL TO THE LIFE STAGES OF THREATENED AND ENDANGERED ANIMAL OR PLANT SPECIES

Plains has conducted a comprehensive desktop review of the Corridor; these efforts were augmented with agency consultations and additional Plains-commissioned field surveys of the proposed Corridor to confirm presence or absence of critical habitat.

Please refer to Appendix C for documentation of the agency consultation as well as SECTION 2: Route Analysis and Findings of the application for a Route Permit for details of the field studies.

4.3.5 AREAS WHERE ANIMAL OR PLANT SPECIES THAT ARE UNIQUE OR RARE TO THIS STATE WOULD BE IRREVERSIBLY DAMAGED

Plains has engaged in Federal and State agency consultations, reviewed published information regarding critical habitat, and conducted a desktop analysis of the Corridor for the purpose of assessing potential environmental impacts. Based on these studies, Plains has concluded that neither protected species nor their critical habitats are present within the Corridor. Please refer to SECTION 2: Route Analysis and Findings of the application for a Route Permit for a detailed description of the field

studies, Appendix C for supporting documentation of agency correspondence, and Appendix D for complete survey results.

4.4 AVOIDANCE AREA INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

Avoidance areas are geographical areas that shall not be considered in the routing of a transmission facility unless, under the circumstances, it is shown that there is no reasonable alternative. A proposed corridor may contain avoidance areas, but may not encompass more than fifty (50) percent of the corridor width at any point, unless there is no reasonable alternative. The following table and text identify and discuss avoidance areas within the proposed Corridor.

Avoidance Area	Within Corridor
Federal	
Historic Districts	No
Wildlife Areas	No
Wild, Scenic or Recreational Rivers	No
Wildlife Refuges	No
Grasslands	No
State	
Wild, Scenic, or Recreational Rivers	No
Game Refuges or Game Management Areas	No
Forests or Forest Management Lands	No
Grasslands	No
Other	
Other Historic Resources not meeting Exclusion Areas criteria	No
Areas of Known Geologic Instability	No
Areas within 500-Feet of a Residence, School, or Place of Business	No
Reservoirs and Municipal Water Supplies	No
Water Sources for Organized Rural Water Districts	No
Irrigated Land (not applicable to underground facilities)	NA
Areas of Recreational Significance which are not designated as Exclusion Areas	No

4.4.1 FEDERAL RESOURCE REVIEW

Plains managed a comprehensive review of publicly available information, Project-specific agency consultations and field studies of the proposed Corridor, which indicated the absence of designated or registered historic districts, wildlife areas or refuges, grasslands, or wild, scenic, or recreational rivers within the Corridor. Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of this document for a comprehensive discussion of

Plains' consultations; Appendix C for supporting documentation of agency correspondence; and Appendix D for complete survey results.

4.4.2 STATE RESOURCE REVIEW

Plains conducted a review of publicly available information and has concluded that there are no designated or registered state game refuges, game management areas, management areas, forests, forest management lands, grasslands, or wild, scenic, or recreational rivers within the Corridor.

4.4.3 HISTORICAL RESOURCES NOT MEETING EXCLUSION AREA CRITERIA

Plains commissioned a Class I Cultural Resource Inventory of the Corridor, and Class III Cultural Resource Inventory of the proposed pipeline Route. These studies identified and confirmed the presence of potential historical resources. Researchers searched relevant records at the State Historical Society of North Dakota and other available sources for information regarding previously recorded historic and prehistoric sites located within the Corridor. Background research was conducted on September 7, 2011. Based upon these efforts, Plains has concluded that there are no additional historical resources located within the Corridor that meet the avoidance criteria.

Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of this document for a comprehensive discussion of Plains' consultations. See also Appendices C and E.

4.4.4 AREAS OF KNOWN GEOLOGIC INSTABILITY

Landslides are virtually nonexistent in the project area, which can be described as gently rolling glacial topography. A total of 888 landslides were identified by the North Dakota Geological Survey (NDGS) in the Stanley area, an area that occupies approximately 1,536 square miles and encompasses the majority of Mountrail County. The landslides area impacts an area of 4,915 acres or approximately 0.005 percent of the area; however, the landslides are well concentrated in two areas with the greatest topographic relief, specifically the lower reaches of the White Earth and Little Knife rivers. No landslides or topography that is susceptible to landslides is included in the Project Corridor.

Additionally, North Dakota has not experienced an earthquake of sufficient magnitude to damage steel welded pipe or structural steel structures in recorded history. Sinkholes are known to occur in the region, but these are related to subsurface mining activities as opposed to limestone dissolution. Plains has determined that no mining activities are located in the Corridor or proposed Route.

4.4.5 AREAS WITHIN 500-FEET OF A RESIDENCE, SCHOOL OR PLACE OF BUSINESS

Plains utilized aerial photography to identify structures located within 500 feet of the proposed pipeline alignment. Field surveys were conducted of each structure to characterize the structure as rural residence, school or place of business. Plains

confirmed that there are no occupied structures located within 500 feet of the proposed alignment.

4.4.6 RESERVOIRS AND MUNICIPAL WATER SUPPLIES

Plains has confirmed that the Corridor does not contain reservoirs or municipal water supply sources.

4.4.7 WATER SOURCES FOR ORGANIZED RURAL WATER DISTRICTS

Plains has confirmed that the Corridor does not contain water sources that are utilized by organized rural water districts.

4.4.8 IRRIGATED LAND

This criterion does not apply to underground transmission facilities; as such, it is not applicable to this Project.

4.4.9 AREAS OF RECREATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE WHICH ARE NOT DESIGNATED AS EXCLUSION AREAS

Plains has confirmed that the Corridor does not contain any other areas of Recreational Significance.

4.5 SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria require assessment of the environmental impacts and alterations to land use that may result from the siting of the proposed Project. Plains has successfully avoided or minimized negative effects to the maximum extent practicable.

4.5.1 AGRICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Agricultural Production: The Project will temporarily impact approximately 135 acres of private land in North Dakota. Once the construction is complete, the land will be restored to its pre-construction contours and land use, to the extent practicable. Plains will provide payments to landowners for crop loss resulting from Project construction.

The installation of two (2) MLV will permanently impact an estimated 0.04 acres per site; as such, an estimated total of 0.08 acres of agricultural lands will be removed from agricultural production.

Family Farms and Ranches: The Project will temporarily impact approximately 135 acres of private land in North Dakota. Prior to clearing, landowner fences will be braced and cut to allow the passage and operation of equipment; temporary gates and fences will be installed to control livestock where necessary. Once construction is complete, the land will be restored to its pre-construction contours and land use to the extent practicable. Fences and gates impacted by the Project will be replaced in accordance with landowner agreements. Plains will negotiate easements with all affected landowners.

The Project will have minimal impact to lifestyle or farm/ranch operations once construction has been completed. Buried pipelines will not impact typical farm or ranch operations, and those areas directly impacted by construction will be restored to their pre-construction condition to the extent practicable. Direct impacts are anticipated to be limited to approximately 0.08 acres associated with the two (2) MLV installations, as previously described.

Lands Suitable for Irrigation: This section is not applicable to buried pipelines (69-06-08-02.2h).

Surface Drainage: During restoration, those areas that were disturbed during construction shall be restored, the local topography shall be restored to its original contours, vegetation shall be reestablished, and impacts shall be minimal and temporary.

Ground Water: Groundwater resources in the Project Corridor include sedimentary rocks of the Dakota Group of the creataceaus age, the Fort Union Group of Tertiary age and glacial drift of Quaternary age. The Dakota Group which underlies the region includes mostly limestone and dolomite. The thickness of the Dakota Group is varied throughout the region but on average it is 375 feet thick. The water pumped from the Dakota Group is too saline for many uses. The Fort Union Formation which underlies the region includes the lower Tertiary aquifer consisting of alternating beds of sandstone, siltstone, and claystone. The thickness of the Fort Union Formation in the region is variable, but in most locations it is approximately 300 feet deep. The sandstone beds of the Fort Union are coarse-grained and permeable. Wells finished in bedrock typically yield up to 50-100 gallons per minute, and wells finished in glacial drift can yield 300 gallons per minute

The majority of the region is covered by relatively thin drift and only very local aquifers exist above the Fort Union Group. Water levels in these local aquifers compare with the regional water table or piezometric surface, which parallels the land surface in a very general way. Groundwater divides are in the general areas of the surface-water divides.

Well data has been recorded by the State Water Commission for the area. This data indicates that groundwater is located between 15-120 feet below the surface.

Subsurface excavations associated with the Project will not extend to more than 10 feet below the ground surface. At that depth, the Project will not intersect the groundwater table, nor will the Project alter recharge rates or the infiltration, permeability, or percolation of water into the groundwater reservoir. Additionally, the lateral movement and groundwater quality will not be affected by construction of the Project.

Surficial aquifers along streams and wetlands may be affected, but surficial aquifers are localized and typically do not provide a domestic water supply. Impacts to surficial aquifers will be minor and short term.

4.5.2 THE IMPACTS UPON

Noise-Sensitive Land Uses: The Project is located in a rural setting, effectively isolating it from the majority of sensitive receptors. Once constructed and in-service, normal pipeline operations are not audible.

Visual Effect on Adjacent Areas: The proposed Project will include the installation of two (2) MLV. MLVs are small above ground features. Each valve assembly occupies approximately 0.04 acres with exposed piping and appurtenances that may be up to 6 feet in height. These facilities will be enclosed within fences that are padlocked shut against vandalism. Each location is clearly marked with a small placard that details ownership and contact information. Visible piping and equipment are finished and maintained with white painted surfaces. These features are common throughout the landscape and are not obtrusive; no other permanent aboveground features are to be installed for the Project.

Extractive and Storage Resources: This Project will not impact any extractive or storage resources.

Wetlands, Woodlands, and Wooded Areas: A comprehensive desktop review of published data, including aerial photography and NWI data, was conducted to assess the presence or absence of wetlands, woodlands, and wooded areas. The review of the proposed Corridor confirmed the presence of these resources. Plains commissioned field surveys to identify and record the locations of these resources along the proposed Route. The results of these field studies will be used to determine a preferred alignment to minimize impacts to wetlands, woodlands, and wooded areas. Please refer to SECTION 2: Studies of the Corridor Certificate Application for a comprehensive discussion of Plains' consultations. See also Appendices C and D. Mitigation details are discussed in SECTION 4: Mitigative Measures of the Route Permit Application.

Radio and Television Reception, and other Communication or Electronic Control Facilities: Plains does not anticipate that the Project will impact radio, television, or other electronic control facilities.

Human Health and Safety: Plains' corporate Health and Safety policy meets or exceeds Federal and State laws, rules and regulations and is enforced equally with respect to both Plains' and contractor employees. The implementation of this policy promotes a safe and healthy workplace during construction and operation of all Plains' assets.

The design of NRP has incorporated the use of MLVs at regular intervals. The purpose of the MLV is to segment the system and allow for the isolation of select portions of the

system to facilitate maintenance in a safe and controlled manner. Additionally, in the event of an abnormal operating condition, MLVs can be closed as necessary to prevent an uncontrolled release of crude oil. Finally, the operation of the NRP will be continuously monitored via Plains' Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition system, which is designed to shut in any section that exhibits abnormal operating parameters.

Animal Health and Safety: The wildlife currently inhabiting the Corridor are common and are generally mobile. The local wildlife inhabitants will be temporarily displaced by the Project without a measurable impact to the viability of these populations. No species of special concern are anticipated to experience direct impacts due to construction or operation of the Project.

Plant Life: All impacts will be temporary in nature and disturbed areas will be returned to pre-construction conditions, to the extent practicable. No species of special concern will be impacted by the Project.

4.6 POLICY CRITERIA

4.6.1 POLICIES AND COMMITMENTS TO LIMIT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

Plains will comply with requirements contained in the Corridor Certificate and Route Permit. Plains will conduct its activities with the objectives of providing a healthful and safe workplace for its employees, and preventing accidents and environmental incidents. All persons and firms providing service to Plains are required to conduct their work in compliance with environmental conditions, permit authorizations, and applicable regulations, and will be held accountable for their actions in that regard. Plains is committed to conducting its business in compliance with all applicable environmental laws and regulations. These laws, regulations and standards are designed to safeguard the environment, human health, wildlife, and natural resources.

4.6.2 LOCATION AND DESIGN

The Project will be located in Mountrail County, North Dakota, originating approximately 1.3 miles south-southeast of the city of Stanley, moving generally west, passing approximately 1.4 miles south of the city of Ross and terminating north of the town of Manitou. Please refer to maps provided in Appendix B.

The pipe will be a 10.75-inch outside diameter pipe. The pipe installed will meet the following minimum specifications: API 5L PSL2 X52 line pipe with a nominal wall thickness of 0.250 inches; the nominal wall thickness will increase to 0.344 inches for specific locations such as road and railroad crossings. The MOP of the pipeline will be 1,480 psig.

The proposed Project will include two (2) MLVs located in North Dakota. These MLVs will be installed to meet DOT regulations and will allow for the isolation of select segments of the pipeline for inspection and maintenance purposes.

The MLVs to be installed will be 10-inch ANSI 600, flange end by flange end, through conduit, slab gate valves with motor operators for remote actuation. All MLVs installed in the pipeline will be manufactured in accordance with API Standard 6D. The MOP of each valve will be 1,480 psig.

4.6.3 TRAINING AND UTILIZATION OF AVAILABLE LABOR IN THIS STATE FOR THE GENERAL AND SPECIALIZED SKILLS REQUIRED

The construction labor pool utilized by the Project will be primarily comprised of a non-local workforce because pipeline construction is a specialized niche construction market that relies extensively on skilled trades with specific knowledge of pipeline construction techniques. The primary contractor will be a non-local contractor, supplying specialized skilled labor. Plains will draw upon the local labor force to supply general laborers. The workforce is anticipated to reach a peak of approximately 75 personnel of which up to 10 percent could be local hires.

4.6.4 ECONOMIES OF CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION

The Project represents an opportunity to enhance existing infrastructure through the construction of approximately 16.89 additional miles of pipeline. In doing so, Plains will gain access to existing rail shipping capacity with only nominal construction investment. The Project represents a total investment of approximately \$13.6 million for the installation of pipeline and appurtenant facilities in Mountrail County, North Dakota. Once constructed and in-service, the continued costs of maintenance and operation of the proposed pipeline are expected to be minimal.

4.6.5 USE OF CITIZEN COORDINATING COMMITTEES

Through its long-term corporate presence in the region, Plains has established and maintains a good relationship with the local community officials and the local population. These relationships provide multiple grass roots communication channels to inform local residents regarding the developments associated with the Project.

4.6.6 COMMITMENT OF A PORTION OF THE TRANSMITTED PRODUCT FOR USE IN THIS STATE.

The proposed Project will interconnect with existing facilities. The products that are currently handled, transferred, and shipped at these facilities are currently delivered to markets located out of the state.

4.6.7 LABOR RELATIONS

Plains maintains positive labor relations with its staff and contract work force and does not anticipate encountering any adverse labor relations on this Project. The labor market in the Project area is supportive of the oil and gas industry.

4.6.8 THE COORDINATION OF FACILITIES

Plains owns and operates all of the affected facilities; thus, coordination will be seamless and executed from within Plains' internal management systems.

4.6.9 MONITORING OF IMPACTS

Plains has operated pipeline gathering and station assets in the area since 2004 and through these operations has established and maintained positive landowner and community relationships throughout the region. Plains' operations reflect its commitment to corporate citizenship standards that are founded on integrity. Plains will monitor landowner concerns, if any, through its Land Department and will respond to all reasonable concerns. Similarly, Plains will monitor community concerns and will respond to all reasonable concerns brought to its attention by local community leaders. Plains is currently in the process of selecting a primary contractor for the construction of the Project, and will coordinate with this contractor with respect to the oversight responsibilities for construction activities. Environmental responsibilities shall be coordinated in the same manner.

4.6.10 UTILIZATION OF EXISTING AND PROPOSED RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND CORRIDORS

The NRP route will parallel existing or proposed pipelines for approximately 91% (15.4 miles) of the total 16.89 miles of pipeline right-of-way. See Appendix A for engineering drawings (Drawings 2026-M-0001 through 2026-M-0006) depicting these locations.

4.6.11 OTHER EXISTING OR PROPOSED TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

The NRP was designed to transport a maximum of 47,000 bpd of crude to the Ross Terminal for distribution throughout the United States. Market conditions and demand for transmission capacity will be taken into consideration when evaluating future development timing. No other transmission facilities are currently planned. Appendix F contains Plains' 10-Year Plan.

SECTION 5: MITIGATIVE MEASURES

5.1 LOCATION

The selection of the proposed Corridor was a multi-disciplinary effort that included socio economic, environmental, logistics, engineering and financial considerations. The Corridor described in this application meets the siting criteria, minimizes Project length, and utilizes existing Plains' assets, avoiding the need to build additional assets and thereby minimizing collateral environmental impacts.

Landowner considerations also factored into the Corridor selection. The proposed Corridor limits the number of potentially affected landowners while providing potential routing opportunities that would further minimize individual impacts to current land practices. All affected landowners will be compensated for Project impacts through negotiated easement agreements and payments for seasonal crop losses.

The proposed Corridor selection was influenced by environmental studies that suggested the area lacked sensitive features, such as critical wildlife habitat, major wetlands or waterbodies, or other unique environmental features. The proposed Corridor will allow routing options that will further minimize waterbody crossings and potentially avoid at least one waterbody crossing entirely. In addition to these routing considerations, compliance with environmental permits procured for the Project will serve to effectively mitigate the impacts of construction along the final approved route. Standard pipeline construction techniques will involve temporary impacts, but long-term or permanent impacts will be avoided through implementation of modern construction techniques, adherence to permit requirements, and avoidance of sensitive features identified during routing studies.

Plains owns and operates several assets in the region. Planning and development of these assets are conducted in a manner that maximizes the benefits to the region's resources. The proposed Corridor and Route will allow Plains to draw upon existing pipeline and facility assets in the region.

5.2 CONSTRUCTION

Construction of the proposed Project will be conducted in an orderly sequence designed to complete the Project in the minimum amount of time required to safely prepare the site, install the pipeline and restore the areas disturbed by construction.

Construction is estimated to require a minimum of 90 days from initial clearing to commissioning, with restoration to immediately follow. Construction techniques will be employed that minimize the area of ground disturbance, off-site deposition of sediments and long-term impacts to agricultural productivity. Construction activities shall conform to all applicable permit stipulations; these requirements are mandated by the agency and implemented by the Project sponsor for the purpose of minimizing impacts to the environment.

Restoration will immediately follow Project construction. Final grading will restore the original contours of the land, to the extent practicable. Disturbed areas will be prepared for re-seeding and restoration will be coordinated to meet landowner and applicable agency specifications.

5.3 OPERATION

Once constructed and put into service, the proposed Project will operate continuously, delivering crude oil from Plains' Robinson System to the Ross Terminal. Normal pipeline operations are imperceptible to the general public, as they are silent, buried and therefore not visible, and require only minimal aboveground activity. Standard operating procedures will conform to applicable DOT requirements, which include regular pipeline monitoring and periodic inspection; additionally, routine maintenance of the right-of-way will likely be required on a regular basis to remain in compliance.

SECTION 6: LIST OF PREPARERS

Mark Bordelon, P.E.

Senior Project Engineer

Plains All American Pipeline, L.P., 333 Clay Street, Suite 1600, Houston, TX 77002

M.S. Business Administration, University of Houston; and B.S. Civil Engineering, Louisiana State University – Baton Rouge. Mr. Bordelon is Senior Project Engineer with 27 years of experience in the pipeline industry. As a Senior Project Engineer he has managed various natural gas and crude oil pipeline construction projects. His experience and technical expertise includes ten years of experience with Williams Gas Pipeline divided between Pipeline Design and Operations Technical Support; three (3) years experience as a consultant in pipeline engineering and construction management; four years experience in terminal project engineering design, construction and project management; one year experience managing environmental, health and safety; and ten years experience in pipeline project engineering.

William McCarthy, C.W.B.

Senior Environmental Compliance Analyst

E3 Environmental, LLC, 817 Vandalia Street, St. Paul, MN 55114

M.S. Wildlife Biology, University of Minnesota – Twin Cities; and B.S. Wildlife Biology, Michigan State University. Mr. McCarthy is an environmental compliance analyst with 15 years of environmental consulting experience working with various energy assets and regulatory agencies. As a compliance analyst he has managed the environmental requirements for facility siting, pipeline routing, federal licensing, and various federal, state and local permits. Mr. McCarthy is a certified wildlife biologist and in this role conducts and coordinates field studies, agency consultations, mitigation and avoidance plans.

Katie Schmidt, EIT

Environmental Engineer and Compliance Analyst
E3 Environmental, LLC, 817 Vandalia Street, St. Paul, MN 55114

B.S. Civil Engineering with an emphasis in Environmental Engineering-Iowa State University. Ms. Schmidt has pursued a career focused on regulatory compliance. Her experience includes providing permitting and compliance support associated with maintaining assets for safe and reliable distribution and transmission of energy throughout the continent. Ms. Schmidt has developed a broad working knowledge of NPDES construction stormwater compliance by working with distribution systems located in MN, OK, TX, LA and AR. Ms Schmidt also has extensive experience working with transmission assets involving COE permitting, ESA and SHPO consultations.

Judith Cooper. Ph.D.

Archaeologist/ Principle Investigator
SWCA, Inc., 116 North 4th Street, Suite 200, Bismarck, ND 58501

Ph.D. and M.A. Anthropology, Southern Methodist University and B.A. Anthropology, Pennsylvania State University. Dr. Cooper has over ten years of experience in North American archaeology and has worked on field (survey, testing, and recovery) and research projects in the northern Great BPE and Rocky Mountains. Dr. Cooper is experienced in federal and state cultural resources law and regulations, including Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act. As the Cultural Resources Lead in the SWCA's Bismarck office, she serves as a member of multi-disciplinary project teams to assure cultural resource concerns are appropriately addressed during the regulatory process.