

Brian R. Bjella
100 West Broadway, Suite 250
P.O. Box 2798
Bismarck, ND 58502-2798
701.223.6585
bbjella@crowleyfleck.com

June 10, 2014

via hand delivery

Mr. Darrell Nitschke
Executive Director
North Dakota Public Service Commission
600 E. Boulevard Ave., Dept. 408
Bismarck, ND 58505-0480



Dear Mr. Nitschke:

In re: North Dakota Pipeline Company LLC
Sandpiper Project – Siting Application
Case No. PU-13-848
Our File No. 31-411-010

Enclosed for filing are an original and ten (10) copies of the following documents:

1. Supplement to Late Filed Exhibit No. 2;
2. Supplement to Late Filed Exhibit No. 4; and
3. Affidavit of Barry Simonson (part above-referenced Supplements; the original will follow by U.S. Mail).

Electronic copies of the same have also been filed with the Commission via e-mail. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Please call should you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

Brian R. Bjella

bw
Enc.

78 PU-13-848 Filed: 6/10/2014 Pages: 4
Supplement to late-filed Exhibit 2

cc: Mitch Armstrong
Brian Schmidt
Julie Prescott

North Dakota Pipeline Company, LLC's
Supplement to Late Filed Exhibit No. 2

June 10, 2014

A. Oakville Prairie Re-Route.

During the Grand Forks public hearing on North Dakota Pipeline Company LLC's ("NDPL") Application for a Certificate of Need and Route Permit for the Sandpiper Pipeline Project ("Project"), University of North Dakota ("UND") representatives requested that the Project route avoid the Oakville Environmental Research Station, located in Section 16, Township 151 North, Range 52 West, Grand Forks County. See Johnson Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2, and Seabloom Exhibit No. 1. Following the hearing, NDPL met with representatives of UND to discuss the Project and potential ways to address UND's concerns, as indicated in the joint letter submitted by NDPL and UND as Late Filed Exhibit No. 2.

Based on further discussions with UND representatives, both UND and NDPL agree that the most reasonable and practical way to fully address the parties' mutual goals and considerations is to re-route the Project to follow the route proposed by UND representatives at the Grand Forks public hearing (the "Oakville Prairie Re-Route"). Affidavit of Barry Simonson ("Simonson Aff.") at ¶ 9. By routing around the Oakville Environmental Research Station, the Project avoids any potential impact to UND's current and future research activities. *Id.* Therefore, NDPL requests that the North Dakota Public Service Commission ("Commission") approve the Oakville Prairie Re-Route described in this filing.

B. Description of Proposed Re-Route.

The proposed Oakville Prairie Re-route avoids the Oakville Environmental Research Station in Grand Forks County by routing around the Station parcel to the south. Simonson Aff. at ¶ 10. The Oakville Prairie Re-route begins at mile post ("MP") 280.3 in Section 17, Township 151 North, Range 52 West, where it turns and extends south from the original proposed route. *Id.* In the northeast corner of Section 20, Township 151 North, Range 52 West, the re-route turns and extends in a northeasterly direction, until it reconnects with the original proposed route at MP 283.5 in Section 23, Township 151 North, Range 52 West. *Id.* A map depicting the proposed re-route is provided as **Exhibit B** to the Simonson Affidavit. The Oakville Prairie Re-route is approximately 3.78 miles long. Simonson Aff. at ¶ 10. NDPL has acquired all easements needed for the re-route from the affected landowners. *Id.* at ¶ 11.

C. Analysis of Siting Criteria.

NDPL analyzed the impacts of the re-route in accordance with the Commission's siting criteria set forth in North Dakota Administrative Code, Section 69-06-08-02. Simonson Aff. at ¶ 12. NDPL has completed a desktop analysis, and no exclusion or avoidance areas are crossed by the

re-route. *Id.* NDPL will complete cultural resource field surveys along the re-route to confirm that no cultural resources are present, and will coordinate with the North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office (“SHPO”) in the review and assessment of the survey results. *Id.* at ¶ 13. If cultural resources are identified, NDPL will avoid or mitigate any impacts in accordance with SHPO recommendations. *Id.* NDPL will also complete wetland and biological field surveys along the re-route, and will coordinate with the appropriate regulatory agencies for these resources as needed. *Id.* at ¶ 14.