

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA**

**Sacagawea Pipeline Company, LLC
16-inch Crude Oil Pipeline – McKenzie &
Mountrail Counties
Route & Corridor Adjustments**

CASE NO. PU-15-114

CERTIFICATION OF TROY ANDREWS

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF DALLAS

Troy Andrews, being first duly sworn upon oath, states and alleges as follows:

1. I am CEO of Paradigm Energy Partners, LLC, with authority to provide information on behalf of Sacagawea Pipeline Company, LLC (“Sacagawea”). I am familiar with the Sacagawea Pipeline Project (“Project”) and the above-captioned matter, and have the authority to bind Sacagawea with respect to the certification made herein.

2. That I submit this certification in accordance with North Dakota Century Code (“N.D.C.C.”) § 49-22-16.3, § 49-22-16.3(1), and § 49-22-16.3(3) in support of Sacagawea’s route and corridor adjustments for the Project.

3. That, on January 5, 2016, the North Dakota Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for the Project granting Sacagawea Certificate of Corridor Compatibility No. 177 and Route Permit No. 189 designating a corridor and route for the Project.

4. That Sacagawea now seeks to modify the approved Project route and corridor, as depicted on the maps filed herewith, under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3.

5. The attached **Exhibit A** lists the route and corridor adjustments being made pursuant to N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3. For each adjustment, **Exhibit A** identifies: (a) the location

of the adjustment; (b) the subsection of N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3 applicable to the adjustment; (c) the total length of the adjustment; (d) the length of the adjustment outside of the designated corridor (if applicable); (e) whether supplemental surveys or approvals were required for the adjustment; and (f) whether any exclusion or avoidance areas are impacted by said adjustments.

6. Maps depicting the designated corridor and route, and each corridor and route adjustment, are attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

7. No owner of real property on which an adjustment is to be located and no applicable governmental entity with an interest in the same adjustment area oppose the adjustment. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is a list of all landowners affected by the route and corridor modifications.

8. All construction activities for the route adjustments under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3(1) (Route Adjustments 4, 66, 174, 195, 246, 434, 445, 2843, 3192, and 3206) will be within the designated corridor. *See Exhibits A and B.*

9. No adjustment under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3(3) (Route Adjustments 2 and 3) is longer than one and one-half miles in length outside of the designated corridor. *See Exhibits A and B.*

10. That all route and corridor adjustments, with the exception of Route Adjustment 2, are covered by the previous studies conducted for the Project included in the original siting application. *See Exhibits A and B; see also PSC Docket No. 1.* Keitu Engineers and Consultants Inc. (“Keitu”) reviewed the survey information submitted in conjunction with the original application. In reviewing the previously submitted information, no exclusion or avoidance areas were found that will be impacted by the route and corridor adjustments. *See Exhibit A and PSC Docket No. 1.*

11. Supplemental surveys were only required for Route Adjustment 2. *See* Exhibit A. Keitu performed a supplemental survey for Route Adjustment 2 on March 23, 2016. During the supplemental survey, Keitu did not identify any exclusion or avoidance areas. *See* **Exhibit D**.

12. An Addendum II to the Class I and Class III Cultural Resource Inventory of the Paradigm Sacagawea Pipeline in McKenzie and Mountrail Counties, North Dakota was also performed to identify any cultural resource issues, which included a review of Route Adjustment 2. *See* **Exhibit E**. Exhibit E contains privileged information not suitable for internet publication.

13. The Addendum II to the Class I and Class III Cultural Resource Inventory of the Sacagawea Pipeline was submitted to the North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office (“SHPO”) for review. The SHPO concurred with a No Significant Sites Affected determination provided the adjustment remains as mapped and described. *See* **Exhibit F**.

14. For the adjustments under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3 and § 49-22-16.3(3) requiring additional temporary workspace (Temporary Workspace Adjustments 1-6), the temporary workspace adjustment areas have previously been surveyed and will not impact any known exclusion or avoidance areas. *See* Exhibit A and PSC Docket No. 1.

15. The Construction activities for the route adjustments under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3(1) will not affect any known exclusion or avoidance areas within the designated corridor. *See* Exhibit A. The studies conducted in connection with the original application for the Project cover these route adjustments, with no further studies required. *See* PSC Docket No. 1.


16. Construction activities for the route adjustments under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3(3) (Route Adjustments 2 and 3) will not affect any known exclusion or avoidance areas. *See* Exhibits A, B, and D; *see also* PSC Docket No. 1.

17. Sacagawea will comply with the Commission's orders, laws, and rules designating the corridor and designating the route.



Troy Andrews

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 27 day of May, 2016.



Notary Public

5895845-1.u0cx

