

Patrick Ward

From: Patrick Ward
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 4:08 PM
To: 'Kevin Pranis'
Cc: DKrause@fredlaw.com; Zachary Pelham
Subject: RE: Question regarding submission of intervener brief or proposed findings of fact

Mr. Pranis:

I ordered that the company file its proposed findings by May 3. I will permit you an opportunity to submit your closing brief and suggested findings no later than April 29.

From: Kevin Pranis [mailto:kpranis@liunagroc.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 3:48 PM
To: Patrick Ward <PWard@zkslaw.com>
Cc: DKrause@fredlaw.com; Zachary Pelham <zep@pearce-durick.com>
Subject: Question regarding submission of intervener brief or proposed findings of fact

Your Honor,

Thanks again for allowing us to participate as interveners and providing guidance on the process.

My organization has also participated in an intervener in the Sandpiper case and other pipeline related matters before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission. In that capacity, in addition to participating in hearings we have filed briefs, comments, proposed findings of fact, etc.

I was unclear whether the role of an intervener in a ND PSC case was limited to participation in the formal hearing so I called Mr. Pelham to ask, and he indicated that, in some cases, interveners have submitted briefs and/or proposed findings of fact.

If possible, we would like the opportunity to submit a brief laying out our view of the case and arguments related to the findings of fact and order for the Commission's consideration. Please let me know if we would be allowed to submit a brief, and, if so, if there are deadlines or other information we should be aware of as we prepare our brief.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated.

Regards,

Kevin Pranis

Kevin Pranis
Marketing Manager – MN/ND
LIUNA Great Lakes Region
651.653.9776 (office)
612.224.6464 (cell)
kpranis@liunagroc.com

Patrick Ward

From: Kevin Pranis <kpranis@liunagroc.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 20, 2016 3:48 PM
To: Patrick Ward
Cc: DKrause@fredlaw.com; Zachary Pelham
Subject: Question regarding submission of intervener brief or proposed findings of fact

Your Honor,

Thanks again for allowing us to participate as interveners and providing guidance on the process.

My organization has also participated in an intervener in the Sandpiper case and other pipeline related matters before the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission. In that capacity, in addition to participating in hearings we have filed briefs, comments, proposed findings of fact, etc.

I was unclear whether the role of an intervener in a ND PSC case was limited to participation in the formal hearing so I called Mr. Pelham to ask, and he indicated that, in some cases, interveners have submitted briefs and/or proposed findings of fact.

If possible, we would like the opportunity to submit a brief laying out our view of the case and arguments related to the findings of fact and order for the Commission's consideration. Please let me know if we would be allowed to submit a brief, and, if so, if there are deadlines or other information we should be aware of as we prepare our brief.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated.

Regards,

Kevin Pranis

Kevin Pranis
Marketing Manager – MN/ND
LIUNA Great Lakes Region
651.653.9776 (office)
612.224.6464 (cell)
kpranis@liunagroc.com