

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA**

**Sacagawea Pipeline Company, LLC
16-inch Crude Oil Pipeline – McKenzie County
Route Adjustment and Modification to Facility**

CASE NO. PU-15-744

CERTIFICATION OF TROY ANDREWS

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF DALLAS

Troy Andrews, being first duly sworn upon oath, states and alleges as follows:

1. I am CEO of Paradigm Energy Partners, LLC, with authority to provide information on behalf of Sacagawea Pipeline Company, LLC (“Sacagawea”). I am familiar with the approximately fifteen (15) mile, 16-inch diameter crude oil pipeline (“Project”) and the above-captioned matter, and have the authority to bind Sacagawea with respect to the certification made herein.

2. That, on May 24, 2016, the North Dakota Public Service Commission (“Commission”) issued its Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for the Project granting Sacagawea Certificate of Corridor Compatibility No. 186 and Route Permit No. 198 designating a corridor and route for the Project. The Certification Relating to Order Provisions – Transmission Facility Siting (“Order Certification”) executed by Sacagawea was incorporated by reference and attached to the Order.

3. The Project includes thirteen (13) miles of transmission pipeline originating from a location near Johnson’s Corner, North Dakota and terminating at the Keene Crude Oil Terminal owned by Paradigm Midstream Services.

4. The Project also includes a two (2) mile segment originating from Johnson's Corner, North Dakota to an interconnection with the Dakota Access Pipeline. The modifications that are the subject of this certification are located on the two mile Johnson's Corner to Dakota Access segment.

5. That I submit this certification in accordance with North Dakota Century Code ("N.D.C.C.") § 49-22-16.3(3) and Paragraph 32 of the Order Certification in support of Sacagawea's route adjustment for the Project.

6. As noted, Sacagawea now seeks to modify the approved Project route and corridor under N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3(3) and Order Certification Provision 32, as depicted on the map filed herewith. The modifications to the Project are collectively referred to as the Johnson's Corner to Dakota Access Pipeline Reroute ("Reroute")

7. The attached **Exhibit A** lists information regarding the Reroute made pursuant to N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3 and the Order Certification. Exhibit A identifies: (a) the locations of the Reroute; (b) the subsection of N.D.C.C. § 49-22-16.3 and the Order Certification provision applicable to the Reroute; (c) the total length of the Reroute; (d) the length of the Reroute outside of the designated corridor; (e) whether supplemental surveys or approvals were required for the Reroute; and (f) whether any exclusion or avoidance areas are impacted by the Reroute.

8. A map depicting the designated corridor and route, and the route and corridor adjustment for the Reroute, is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

9. No owner of real property on which the Reroute is to be located and no applicable governmental entity with an interest in the same area oppose the Reroute. Attached hereto as **Exhibit C** is the landowner information for the landowners affected by the Reroute.

10. The Reroute occurred in direct response to requests from the applicable landowner. At the time of filing the Application and at the hearing, Dakota Access had not finalized its plans with Sacagawea for the connection. The connection has now been finalized, and Dakota Access has requested the Project be connected as modified in **Exhibit B**.

11. The Reroute is not longer than one and one-half miles in length outside of the designated corridor. *See Exhibits A and B.*

12. A supplemental survey was required for the Reroute. *See Exhibit A.*

13. Keitu performed a supplemental survey for the Reroute on June 30, 2016. During the supplemental survey, Keitu did not identify any exclusion or avoidance areas. *See **Exhibit D**.*

14. An Addendum to the Class III Cultural Resource Inventory of the Amendment to Johnsons Corner Connector line in McKenzie County, North Dakota (“Class III Addendum”) was also performed to identify any cultural resource issues for the Reroute. *See **Exhibit E**.* No cultural resources were encountered during the inventory, and a finding of *No Historic Properties Affected* was recommended for the reroute.

15. The Class III Addendum was submitted to the North Dakota State Historic Preservation Office (“SHPO”) for review. The SHPO found the report acceptable and determined a good faith effort was made to identify and avoid impacts to Significant Sites provided the adjustment remains as described and mapped. *See **Exhibit F**.*

16. Construction activities for the Reroute will not affect any known exclusion or avoidance areas. *See Exhibit A, B, D, E and F.*

17. Sacagawea will comply with the Commission’s orders, laws, and rules designating the corridor and designating the route.



Troy Andrews

Troy Andrews

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 8th day of September, 2016.

Jason Ross Stelzer

Notary Public



59562948_1.docx