



**STATE
HISTORICAL
SOCIETY
OF NORTH DAKOTA**

Jack Dalrymple
Governor of North Dakota

**North Dakota
State Historical Board**

Margaret Puetz
Bismarck - President

Gereld Gerntholz
*Valley City - Vice
President*

Albert I. Berger
Grand Forks - Secretary

Calvin Grinnell
New Town

Diane K. Larson
Bismarck

Chester E. Nelson, Jr.
Bismarck

A. Ruric Todd III
Jamestown

Sara Otte Coleman
*Director
Tourism Division*

Kelly Schmidt
State Treasurer

Alvin A. Jaeger
Secretary of State

Mark Zimmerman
*Director
Parks and Recreation
Department*

Grant Levi
*Director
Department of
Transportation*

Claudia J. Berg
Director

*Accredited by the
American Alliance
of Museums since 1986*

June 8, 2016

Mr. Adam C. Holven
Senior Archaeologist
Tetra Tech Inc.
2001 Killebrew Drive
Suite 141
Bloomington, MN 55425

NDSHPO REF.: 15-1414b PSC Brady Wind LLC, NextEra Energy Resources, LLC; Brady Wind Energy Center, Stark County, North Dakota, Class III Cultural Resources Inventory Report: Addendum
PSC: PU-15-690

Dear Adam:

We have reviewed project correspondence and documentation for: 15-1414b "Addendum to: Class III Cultural Resource Inventory Brady Wind Energy Center, Stark County, North Dakota," by Adam C. Holven, Jason Reichel, and Britt McNamara (Tetra Tech, May 2016) and find it acceptable. We concur with the recommendations presented in Section 4 of the report and with a "No Significant Sites Affected" determination provided the stipulated avoidances occur and that the associated recommendations in Table 5 (attached) are followed, (i.e., collection line HDD deeper than six (6) feet at F6 mapped location crossing meandering tributary stream).

Thank you and we look forward to further consultation and to review of outstanding project documentation. If you have questions please contact either Paul Picha ppicha@nd.gov at (701) 328-3574 or Susan Quinnell squinnell@nd.gov at (701) 328-3576.

Sincerely,

Claudia J. Berg
State Historic Preservation Officer (North Dakota)

and

Director, State Historical Society of North Dakota
enc. as stated

Table 5: Summary of Recommendations for Avoidance

Site No.	Map Reference & Location	Recommendations	Eligibility Determination and Summary
32SK1161	F9 South of T38	Artifact scatter is located outside of the Survey Corridor. Erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer adjacent to the Survey Corridor.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site.
32SK1191	F6 Collection Line West of Highway 22	Avoid impacting the artifact scatter by utilizing HDD to install the collection line under the site and erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site.
32SK1193	G5 T28	Avoid impacting the artifact scatter and erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site.
32SK1194	G6 East of T40	Artifact scatter is located outside of the Survey Corridor. Erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer adjacent to the Survey Corridor.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site.
32SK1195	B2 Service Road northwest of T74	Avoid impacting the artifact scatter and erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site.
32SKx422	F2 Collection Line east of T10	Avoid impacting the site lead and erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site lead.
32SKx457	G8 T52	Avoid impacting the site lead and erect a snow fence along the avoidance buffer.	Since no formal evaluation of significance has been conducted, it is Tetra Tech's opinion that the site lead should be considered undetermined for the NRHP at this time. Avoidance is recommended for this site lead.