




SMITH PORSBORG
Schweigert Armstrong Moldenhauer & Smith

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

January 18, 2018



VIA HAND DELIVERY

Public Service Commission
Attention: Darrell Nitschke
600 East Boulevard Avenue, Dept. 480
Bismarck, ND 58505-0480

In re: Northern States Power Company
Advance Prudence - Biomass PPAs
Application
PU-17-270

Northern States Power Company
Deferred Accounting - Biomass PPAs
Application
PU-17-271

Northern States Power Company
Deferred Accounting - Laurentian PPA Termination
Application
PU-17-322

- Sheldon A. Smith*
- Scott K. Porsborg****
- Suzanne M. Schweigert*
- Mitchell D. Armstrong**
- Stacy M. Moldenhauer**
- David J. Smith**
- Brian D. Schmidt*
- Tyler J. Malm*
- Sarah E. Wall*▲
- Austin T. Lafferty*
- Ian J. Arendt*

Dear Mr. Nitschke:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced matter please find the original and seven copies of the following:

1. Settlement Agreement; and
2. Affidavit of Service.

* Licensed in North Dakota

** Licensed in Minnesota

• Licensed in South Dakota

▲ Licensed in Montana

* Board Certified Civil Trial Specialist by National Board of Trial Advocacy

27 **PU-17-322** Filed: 1/18/2018 Pages: 12
Settlement Agreement

33 **PU-17-271** Filed: 1/18/2018 Pages: 12
Settlement Agreement

33 **PU-17-270** Filed: 1/18/2018 Pages: 12
Settlement Agreement

www.smithporsborg.com

122 E. Broadway Avenue Bismarck, ND 58501 P.O. Box 400

Phone (701) 258-0630 Fax (701) 258-0631

December 26, 2017

Page 2

Advocacy Staff and NSP have reached a settlement on the issues scheduled for hearing on February 2. Testimony in support of the settlement will be filed in the near future. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,



MITCHELL D. ARMSTRONG
Special Assistant Attorney General for
Public Service Commission Advocacy Staff

amh

enclosures

cc: ALJ Ward (via e-mail only) (w/enc.)
Illona Jeffcoat Sacco (via e-mail only) (w/enc.)
Zeviel Simpser (via e-mail only) (w/enc.)
Dave Sederquist (via e-mail only) (w/enc.)

PSC.3

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY
ADVANCE PRUDENCE — BIOMASS PPAS
APPLICATION

CASE No. PU-17-270

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY
DEFERRED ACCOUNTING — BIOMASS PPAS
APPLICATION

CASE No. PU-17-271

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY
DEFERRED ACCOUNTING — LAURENTIAN PPA TERMINATION
APPLICATION

CASE No. PU-17-322

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Settlement Agreement (this Agreement) is entered into as of the 18th day of January, 2018 by and between Northern States Power Company, a Minnesota corporation, doing business as Xcel Energy (Xcel Energy, NSP, or the Company) and North Dakota Public Service Commission Advocacy Staff (Advocacy Staff, and together with Xcel Energy, the Parties, and each a Party). The Parties have entered into this Agreement to address the Company's requests in the above referenced cases and to implement jointly supported outcomes. The Parties believe that, through this Agreement, they will be able to more efficiently address the issues in the above referenced Cases.

I. BACKGROUND

On June 30, 2017, Xcel Energy filed an Application for an Advanced Determination of Prudence (ADP) for proposed transactions relating to the Company's power purchase agreements (PPAs) for the Benson Power, LLC (Benson Power (f/k/a Fibrominn)) biomass plant (Benson facility), the Pine Bend biogas plant (Pine Bend facility), and the Hennepin Energy Recovery Center (HERC). Specifically, the proposed transactions are to (1) terminate the PPA with Benson Power (Benson PPA), acquire the Benson facility, and subsequently close the facility (Benson Transaction); (2) terminate the PPA with the Pine Bend facility (Pine Bend PPA) early through a series of negotiated terms (Pine Bend Transaction); and (3) extend the terms of the HERC PPA on more favorable terms (HERC Transaction, and collectively with the Benson Transaction and Pine Bend Transaction, the Proposed Transactions). The Company's ADP Application for the Proposed

Transactions was designated as Case No. PU-17-270 by the North Dakota Public Service Commission (Commission).

Also on June 30, 2017, the Company filed an Application for Authority for Deferred Accounting that would allow the Company to defer recognition for the transactional, plant closing, and other associated costs of the Benson Transaction and Pine Bend Transaction. More specifically, the Company requested that the following costs be deferred in a regulatory asset: (1) the North Dakota share of costs necessary to terminate the Benson PPA, acquire the plant, and shut it down, and also the North Dakota share of the operations and maintenance (O&M) costs necessary to run the plant as it is shut down in an orderly fashion; and (2) the North Dakota share of the termination payment of \$1,050,000 for the Pine Bend PPA. The Company also requested that it be allowed to include a cost of capital return on the regulatory asset created by the requested deferrals. The Commission designated the Company's request for deferred accounting as Case No. PU-17-271.

On August 10, 2017, the Company filed an Application for Authority for Deferred Accounting that would allow the Company to defer recognition of the costs of terminating its current PPA with the Laurentian Energy Authority, LLC (Laurentian or LEA) (the Laurentian PPA or LEA PPA) with a series of buyout payments (Laurentian Transaction). Specifically, the Company requested that it be authorized to accumulate the costs incurred to terminate the Laurentian PPA in a regulatory asset and that it be allowed to include a cost of capital return on the asset. The costs to be deferred in the regulatory asset for the Laurentian PPA relate only to the North Dakota share of the PPA termination payments totaling \$108,500,000. The Commission designated the Company's request for deferred accounting for the Laurentian PPA termination as Case No. PU-17-322.

On November 29, 2017, the Commission issued a Notice of Consolidated Hearing in the above captioned Cases. Pursuant to the Notice of Consolidated Hearing, the above captioned Cases were consolidated for hearing and the issues to be considered are: (1) is NSP's proposal to terminate its biomass power purchase agreement with Benson Power, LLC, acquire the Benson facility and subsequently close the facility prudent?; (2) is NSP's proposal to terminate its power purchase agreement with Pine Bend biomass facility prudent?; (3) is NSP's proposal to extend the terms of its Hennepin Energy Recovery Center power purchase agreement prudent?; (4) should the Commission grant deferred accounting treatments for the costs of the proposed Benson transactions?; and (5) should the Commission grant deferred accounting treatments for the costs of terminating the Laurentian and Pine Bend Power Purchase Agreements?

On December 26, 2017, Advocacy Staff submitted the Direct Testimonies of consultants Mr. James Heidell and Mr. Joel Jeanson. Mr. Heidell recommended that

the Company's request for ADPs for the Pine Bend Transaction and the Laurentian Transaction be granted without qualification. Mr. Heidell recommended that the Company's requested ADP for the Benson Transaction be granted subject to the disallowance of the transaction costs for the reimbursement to the City of Benson for the stranded costs of certain water, wastewater, and electric distribution assets. Mr. Heidell recommended that the Company's request for an ADP for the HERC Transaction be denied. Mr. Jeanson recommended that the Commission consider allowing Xcel Energy to recover the costs of the Pine Bend Transaction and Laurentian Transaction through the Fuel Clause Rider (FCR) rather than through a deferred asset. Mr. Jeanson also recommended that the costs of the Benson Transaction be converted to a regulatory asset and amortized over the remaining 11 years of the existing contract with no return. He further recommends approving recovery through the FCR immediately, with the option to revisit whether to include the costs in base rates and provide a return on investment during the next rate case.

Advocacy Staff and Xcel Energy have engaged in discovery and several settlement discussions. This Agreement is the result of those discussions and a mutual interest for the efficient prosecution and disposal of the above captioned matters. In light of such discussions and for the mutual promises made to each other, and for other good and valuable consideration, the Parties agree as follows:

II. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

A. Grant of ADPs.

Advanced Determinations of Prudence for the Pine Bend Transaction, Laurentian Transaction, and the Benson Transaction are granted as follows:

1. The ADP for the Pine Bend Transaction is granted with no conditions;
2. The ADP for the Laurentian Transaction is granted with no conditions; and
3. The ADP for the Benson Transaction is granted for all anticipated costs of the Benson Transaction but for the costs to reimburse the City of Benson for certain stranded water, wastewater, and electric distribution assets as described by the Company and identified by Mr. Heidell.

B. Grant of Deferred Accounting Authority.

The Parties agree that Xcel Energy has met the standard for deferred accounting for the Pine Bend Transaction, Laurentian Transaction, and Benson Transaction.

The Parties agree that Xcel Energy's requests for deferred accounting for the Laurentian Transaction and Pine Bend Transaction is granted and recovery of the regulatory asset shall be as provided in Section II.C of this Agreement. The parties further agree that the Company's biomass portfolio has been the subject of several proceedings and of concern to the Commission. To that end, the terms of the March 9, 2016, Order Approving Settlement in Case No. PU-12-813 *et al.* apply to any revenues collected under this Agreement for the Laurentian, Benson (a/k/a FibroMinn), and Pine Bend Transactions.

The Parties further agree that the Company is permitted to establish a regulatory asset for the costs of the Benson Transaction for which an ADP is granted pursuant to Section II.A of this Agreement; that recovery of such regulatory asset will be amortized equally over the 132 months following the closing of the Benson Transaction as provided for in Section II.C of this Settlement and that the Company shall be able to recover a five percent (5%) rate of return to reflect the time value of the amortization.

C. Recovery of Transaction and other Deferred Costs.

The Company shall recover through the FCR the costs of the Pine Bend Transaction and Laurentian Transaction as they are incurred, in the month they are incurred. The Company shall recover through the FCR the costs of the Benson Transaction, consistent with the deferral and amortization schedule provided for in Section II.B of this Agreement.

D. Withdrawal of ADP Application for HERC Transaction.

The HERC Transaction is, among other things, subject to the approval of both the Commission and the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission (MPUC). On December 28, 2017, the MPUC issued an order rejecting the HERC Transaction in MPUC Docket No. E002/M-17-532. In light of this, the Company believes that its application for an ADP for the HERC Transaction is now moot. Consequently, the Company's Application for an Advanced Determination of Prudence for the HERC Transaction is withdrawn.

III. OTHER TERMS AND CONDITIONS

A. Basis of Settlement.

This Agreement is a negotiated agreement subject to approval by the Commission. This Agreement does not establish any principle or precedent for this or any future proceeding. The Parties reserve for themselves all rights at law and equity not specifically addressed by this Agreement.

B. Support for Settlement.

The Parties agree to affirmatively support and advocate for the Commission's adoption of this Agreement without modification. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, this Section IV.B shall be binding on the Parties as of the date first written above.

C. Effect of Negotiations.

All offers of settlement and discussions related to this Agreement are privileged and may not be used in any manner in connection with proceedings in the above referenced Cases or otherwise, except as provided by law or by mutual agreement of the Parties. In the event the Commission does not adopt this Agreement, it shall not constitute part of the record in this proceeding and no part thereof may be used by any Party for any purpose in the above referenced Cases or in any other.

D. Applicability and Scope.

This Agreement shall be binding on the Parties, and their successors, assigns, agents, and representatives. Consistent with the Commission's settlement guidelines, this Agreement does not set policy or overturn precedent. This Agreement shall not constitute an agreement, admission, or determination by any of the Parties as to the merits of any specific allegation or contention made by the Parties in the above referenced Cases. This Agreement supersedes all previous agreements in the above referenced Cases. This Agreement is not intended to impact the final outcome of any other pending Case before the Commission, including without limitation, the Company's proposal for a Resource Treatment Framework in Case Nos. PU-12-813, *et. al.*

E. Effective Date.

This Agreement shall be effective on the date of the Commission Order approving this Agreement.

F. Modification.

If the Commission modifies or conditions approval of this Agreement, it shall be deemed terminated if either Party files a letter with the Commission within three (3) business days of the date of such order stating that a condition or modification to this Agreement is unacceptable to such Party.

G. Counterparts.

This Agreement may be executed in counterparts by Xcel Energy and Advocacy Staff, each of which when so executed will be an original, but all of which together will constitute one and the same instrument.

CONCLUSION

The Parties have agreed to the foregoing terms to resolve outstanding issues in the above captioned proceedings and provide for the efficient prosecution of unresolved issues. These terms are a result of negotiations between the Parties, are in the public interest, and will result in just and reasonable rates. For these reasons, the Parties urge the Commission to approve this Agreement.

[SIGNATURE PAGE FOLLOWS]

Authorized representatives of the Parties having caused this Settlement Agreement to be duly executed as of the date first set forth above.

Northern States Power Company,
a Minnesota corporation

North Dakota Public Service Commission
Advocacy Staff

By: _____

By: *Mitchell D. Amstutz*

Its: _____

Its: *Counsel*

**[SIGNATURE PAGE TO
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
CASE NOS. PU-17-270, PU-17-271, PU-17-322]**

EXECUTION VERSION

Authorized representatives of the Parties having caused this Settlement Agreement to be duly executed as of the date first set forth above.

Northern States Power Company,
a Minnesota corporation

North Dakota Public Service Commission
Advocacy Staff

By:  _____

By: _____

Its: *RVP of Rates + Regulatory Affairs* _____

Its: _____


[SIGNATURE PAGE TO
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
CASE NOS. PU-17-270, PU-17-271, PU-17-322]

Patrick J. Ward
Zuger, Kirmis, & Smith
P.O. Box 1695
Bismarck, ND 58502-1695
pward@zkslaw.com

Zeviel Simpser
Briggs and Morgan, P.A.
2200 IDS Center
80 South Eight Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2157
zsimpser@briggs.com


Dave Sederquist
Sr. Consultant, Regulatory & Finance
Xcel Energy
2302 Great N Drive
Fargo, ND 58102
dave.sederquist@xcelenergy.com


Illona Jeffcoat-Sacco
General Counsel
600 E. Blvd. Ave. Dept. 408
Bismarck, ND 58505-0480
ijs@nd.gov



Mitchell D. Armstrong

Subscribed and sworn before me this 18th day of January, 2018.





Notary Public
Burleigh County, North Dakota