STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

SCS Carbon Transport LLC
Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline
Project Siting Application

Case No. PU-22-391

TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC PREHEARING CONFERENCE April 4, 2023

APPEARANCES

LAWRENCE BENDER, Fredrikson & Byron, P.A., on behalf of Applicant SCS Carbon Transport LLC

BRIAN E. JORDE, Domina Law Group, on behalf of Intervenors/Landowners

STEVE J. LEIBEL, Knoll Leibel LLP, on behalf of Intervenors/Landowners

KEVIN PRANIS, on behalf of Intervenor Laborers
District Council of Minnesota and North Dakota (LIUNA)

ZACHARY PELHAM, Special Assistant Attorney General Advisory Counsel to the Public Service Commission

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE HOGAN: It is

April 4th, at 8:03 a.m. This is the time and date

scheduled for a prehearing conference in the PSC file -
or Case Number PU-22-391. This is a siting application

of SCS Carbon Transport LLC.

I scheduled this prehearing conference to discuss our upcoming hearing in this matter on April 11th, 2023, in Wahpeton, North Dakota, which is a hearing set to discuss portions of the project located in Cass and Richland counties.

Appearing for our prehearing this morning on behalf of the Applicant is Attorney Lawrence Bender.

Representing the Public Service Commission is Attorney Zachary Pelham. On behalf of a number of intervenors are Attorneys Steven Leibel and Brian Jorde. Kevin Pranis is appearing on behalf of LIUNA, intervenor. And then, finally, Victor Schock who is part of the Public Service Commission staff.

So we had a prehearing conference before the last hearing in Gwinner, North Dakota, and that seemed to work well to kind of know where everybody was at about witnesses and who was going to testify at the hearing so I thought we'd do that again just so that we're all on the same page going into the Wahpeton hearing.

1 So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Bender. 2 just assume that you are maybe going to handle the 3 Wahpeton hearing similar to what you did in Gwinner in that presenting limited testimony as just a brief 4 5 overview of the project and then allowing questioning? 6 MR. BENDER: That's correct, Your Honor. 7 ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And, Mr. Pelham, I assume you 8 won't be offering any evidence or witnesses at this hearing either? 9 10 MR. PELHAM: Morning, Judge. Actually, the 11 Commission is planning on calling a witness to talk 12 about drain tile. His name is Dr. Thomas Scherer, 13 S-C-H-E-R-E-R. He's a professor at NDSU. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. 14 15 MR. PELHAM: I don't anticipate, you know, his 16 testimony lasting very long, but the Commission does 17 want to get on the record some information regarding 18 drain tile and the impact the line would essentially 19 have on drain tile. 20 ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Mr. Pranis, I know you 21 presented testimony and presented a witness at the 22 Gwinner hearing. Were you planning to offer any further 23 testimony at the next hearing? MR. PRANIS: No, Your Honor. I have to be out 24 25 of town for that hearing so I won't be able to be

```
present. And, you know, so if any of our members
1
2
     attend, they would just participate as members of the
3
     general public.
             ALJ HOGAN: All right.
4
             MR. PRANIS: No plans for witnesses.
5
             ALJ HOGAN: All right. And then I saved
6
     intervenors for last. I don't know who wants to speak,
7
8
     Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde?
             MR. JORDE: Brian Jorde here.
9
             We plan to have a handful of folks, Your Honor.
10
11
     I don't know the exact number but, you know, five or so.
12
             ALJ HOGAN: And were you planning to be there,
13
     Mr. Jorde? Are you planning to handle the hearing
14
     similar to Gwinner? Or what were you thinking?
15
             MR. JORDE: Well, that's -- it's kind of up in
16
     the air right now, but either Mr. Leibel or myself would
17
     be there so one of us would physically be there to walk
18
     them through. So, you know, more of the Q and A as
     opposed to just testimony.
19
20
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay. That sounds good.
21
             MR. BENDER: Your Honor?
22
             ALJ HOGAN: Yes. Go ahead.
23
             MR. BENDER: This is Lawrence Bender.
24
             I received an email yesterday from Mr. Jorde,
25
     and if I understood the email correctly, he was planning
```

on calling as witnesses some of the individuals who offered testimony in Gwinner. And I told him that I would talk with my client to see if we were going to have any questions for those witnesses. And if he calls the witnesses that presented evidence in Gwinner, my client has decided that we will not have any questions for those witnesses.

So I don't think -- if Mr. Jorde is planning on calling those individuals and I don't have any questions, I think it's inappropriate that they have an opportunity to speak twice.

ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And was that your intent, Mr. Jorde, to recall witnesses that appeared in Gwinner?

MR. JORDE: Perhaps one on this drain tile issue. And, actually, it makes more sense now, given that the PSC is going to have an individual speaking on that topic, so, you know, we'll have -- we're going to have Mr. Dotzenrod, the gentleman who spoke about it the last time, there and available and may have a few short words from him.

ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Bender, if Mr. Dotzenrod limits his testimony as, for lack of a better description, but as like rebuttal to what the Commission's witness is going to testify about regarding the drain tile, do you have any objection to that?

1 MR. BENDER: No, I don't have any objection to 2 that, Your Honor. 3 ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Well, that sounds 4 good. 5 Mr. Jorde, since I have you on the line, I just wanted to let you know that -- because I know you have a 6 7 lot of intervenors that have filed -- or you're 8 representing a lot of intervenors in this case. And I 9 can tell you that the commissioners have expressed to me 10 concern already about the length of the hearing, that 11 second hearing date for Bismarck. And I think they're 12 willing -- if you're willing to bring some of them to 13 perhaps the Linton hearing to try to take some of the 14 load off of that Bismarck day, I think that would be a 15 good idea. 16 And I'm not making you commit to anything today, 17 but I just want to let you know that that was kind of 18 the feeling I got from the commissioners. 19 MR. JORDE: Yes. My response to that would be, 20 you know, to the extent that folks are willing and able 21 to travel away from where they're located and do that, I 22 think that makes sense. 23 I would say, for the record, I think it's

problematic when there's a predefined limit timewise on

an issue that's so important. And so, you know, I think

24

25

1 even the one extra day or I guess the one additional 2 hearing day that we're planning to have, it's -- I don't 3 think it's appropriate to, frankly, have a concern about 4 how many people are interested in exercising their due process rights. I think what we should be talking about 5 6 is looking at additional days to make sure people fit it 7 in as opposed to already inconveniencing those folks 8 more and having them travel elsewhere. 9 That's what I'd like to get on the record, Your

That's what I'd like to get on the record, Your Honor.

ALJ HOGAN: Sure. Yep, and I --

MR. BENDER: Your Honor, if I may just quickly respond.

ALJ HOGAN: Sure.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BENDER: I mean, Mr. Jorde had an opportunity to bring witnesses. I know we didn't get to that. I don't know if he had them there or not. He had an opportunity to present witnesses in Gwinner, which he did. He's now going to have another opportunity to present witnesses in Wahpeton. You know, it seems to me that that is sufficient, that we don't have to provide him with another opportunity in Linton or to try to reset our schedule in Bismarck to accommodate him having the opportunity to present three or four times.

ALJ HOGAN: Okay.

MR. JORDE: So my response to that is this has nothing to do about my opportunity. I'm just a tool and a mouthpiece for people that are directly affected, their property and constitutional rights being directly affected, and this is a process for the people. It's the Public Service Commission, not the pipeline service commission.

And the dates that were set were set prior to any intervention deadline and prior to all of our intervenors coming into the matter. And so the schedule was set when I think there were maybe two parties to the case and not 50 or however many there are now.

So with all due respect to Mr. Bender, if it's going to be a process for the public, it certainly would be appropriate to at least consider extending the time for an additional hearing perhaps in the Bismarck area.

all right. Yeah, it's my understanding that the Commission has determined that those are the amount of days that they're willing to set aside for the hearing. I really have no control over that other than to allow Mr. Jorde, on behalf of your clients, to put your objection and your thoughts on the record and build your record for that. And, likewise, Mr. Bender has his opportunity to put his response in the record.

But my understanding at this point, the -- oh, 1 2 yeah, I don't know who spoke but --MR. PELHAM: I didn't mean to interrupt you. 3 ALJ HOGAN: No. Go ahead. 4 5 MR. PELHAM: If I could just briefly respond on behalf of the Commission. 6 7 The Commission sets hearing dates based upon the 8 application as to when it's received. The application was received and it was publicly available for quite 9 10 some time before the hearings were set. And the 11 Administrative Code, as well as the Century Code, 12 provide for the rules of procedure as to any member of 13 the public to intervene. 14 So for purposes of the hearings that have been 15 set, I would note that we're going to be having five 16 hearings for this pipeline spread across North Dakota, 17 giving citizens the ability to communicate, to testify, 18 to retain attorneys if they should like. The Commission is -- it is absolutely providing these hearings for the 19

And I just want to make it clear that there's no objection, there's no motions on the record objecting to anything that has been set. And barring that, these are the hearings that have been set.

20

21

22

23

24

25

public.

The Commission wants to hear from everyone, but

at the same time there -- there are, you know,

procedural rules in place that have to be followed.

And, also, everyone is entitled to have those procedural rules applied equally so it's not advantaging one or the other. So I just want to make that clear from the Commission standpoint.

The Dakota Access Pipeline didn't have five hearings. It had three. This particular line is going to have five hearings across the state of North Dakota. Due process is preserved undoubtedly.

And if any party wishes to object, the rules provide for a process to do that. I don't think today is the time to be objecting.

And, quite frankly, if there is a motion, then the Commission will take a look at that. But for purposes of the hearings that have been set by the Commission, they have all been in compliance with procedural rules, the Administrative Code, and the Century Code.

Thank you.

MR. JORDE: Your Honor, I have to respond to that. And I don't know if Mr. Pelham misinterpreted my comments.

We have no problem with the process as it currently has occurred. I'm simply saying, in response

to the notion that because of the individuals who weren't able to speak in Bismarck, may not still be able to on the one day that has been set aside in June, my simple response is, if there's more people standing in the queue, I don't think we can artificially cut them off, and I think it may be wise to consider having an additional overflow date just in case. That's simply what I'm saying.

ALJ HOGAN: All right.

MR. PELHAM: Thank you, Mr. Jorde. I appreciate that. And I didn't take it as far as maybe I seemed. I just wanted to make it clear. And certainly we -- the Commission wants -- absolutely wants everyone to be able to testify and to provide evidence and documents on this line. But at the same time there certainly are realities, and those realities are time constraints. So I think having these prehearing conferences are helpful.

You know, if it -- there's certain aspects that the Commission just simply doesn't have control over but at the same time does have to have a process in place to allow for the hearing to proceed and for the applicant to present its case and also for the intervenors to present its case. And I believe that's going to be completed. I do believe that that will be fulfilled. But appreciate your point on that.

```
ALJ HOGAN: I haven't seen the Commission's
1
2
     travel schedules yet or travel arrangements for next
3
     week's hearing.
             Mr. Schock, are we going to have a hard 9:00 end
4
5
     date again, do you know? I assume so.
             MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. We'll have the same flight
6
7
     restrictions where our pilot is limited so we'll have to
8
     shut down at a similar --
9
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay.
10
             MR. SCHOCK: -- sometime between 8 and 8:30.
11
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay.
12
             MR. SCHOCK: Yeah.
13
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So, yeah, we'll -- that's
14
     kind of what we have, 9:00 in the morning to 8, 8:30 at
15
     night. But I think the commissioners are willing to
16
     stay there until the last minute if they need to. So
17
     just encourage specifically the intervenors to use that
18
     time if they can.
19
             Mr. Jorde or Mr. Leibel, refresh my memory. Did
     you file another motion to intervene for another client
20
21
     last week Friday?
22
             MR. JORDE: Steve, do you want to take that?
                                                            Ι
23
     think that's right.
24
             MR. LEIBEL: I believe that's right.
                                                   This is
25
     Steve. I'm just checking. I think there was one more
```

```
person, a Mr. Tiegs, James Tiegs. I am just looking.
1
2
     Yes, there was one.
3
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's
     any -- rather than setting a time frame to respond to
4
5
     that, is there any objection to the motion to intervene,
     Mr. Bender?
6
7
             MR. BENDER: No objection.
8
             ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
9
             MR. PELHAM: No objection.
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Then I'll get an order out
10
11
     granting that one as well. It likely will not be today,
12
     and with the weather we're short-staffed so it might be
13
     Friday before I get that out, but I will get that out
14
     this week.
15
             Are there other issues we need to discuss this
16
     morning?
17
             MR. BENDER: Your Honor, Mr. Jorde indicated --
18
     and I'll try to get the words correctly. He indicated
     that he'd probably call five or more witnesses possibly.
19
20
     Is there any possibility that we could get a list of
21
     those witnesses before the hearing, perhaps later today
22
     or tomorrow?
23
             MR. JORDE: Well, I don't know exactly who they
24
     -- who they're going to be. I mean, I can tell you the
25
     ones that -- you know, Mr. Dotzenrod, his mom, Rose, and
```

James Tiegs, the individual we just talked about, and I think there might be a couple more, but I, frankly, have to check and double-check with folks. So those are the only three that I can share with you right now.

MR. LEIBEL: And, Lawrence, to address that a little bit more specifically, I believe it's all landowners.

MR. BENDER: Okay. Well, Your Honor, if we could get a list as soon as possible, I think that would be appropriate. You've always asked me ahead of time, and today you asked the Commission for a list of their witnesses, and we've always provided you that. I think we should -- you know, the intervenors could provide us the same courtesy.

ALJ HOGAN: Yeah. If you're able to do that,
Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, it just helps move things along
at the hearing if everybody knows who the parties are
that are planning to testify.

Do you know when the final decision on that is going to be made?

MR. JORDE: Well, honestly, the "final" final decision is probably the day before, but I'll have a better idea after talking with Steve later today. And we're each trying to coordinate some folks. So we can certainly give Mr. Bender an updated "may call" list,

```
but it won't be finalized to the "will call" until
1
2
     probably the day before.
3
             ALJ HOGAN: Okay. That's fine. Do you want to
     send your "may call" list to the whole group too?
4
5
             MR. JORDE: Sure, we will certainly do that,
6
     Your Honor.
7
             ALJ HOGAN: Because I think the commissioners,
8
     at least some of them, if they know who's planning to
9
     testify, they'll look at the maps pertaining to their --
     where they own land and things like that. So it's
10
11
     helpful for them too.
12
             MR. JORDE: Yep, makes sense.
13
             ALJ HOGAN: All right. Any other issues we need
14
     to discuss this morning?
             All right. Hearing none, I will note for the
15
16
     record it is 8:23 a.m. That will conclude our
17
     prehearing conference for this morning.
18
             Thank you all for calling in, for our productive
19
     conversation, and I hope everyone has a nice day today.
             MR. BENDER: Thank you, Your Honor.
20
21
             ALJ HOGAN: Thanks.
22
             MR. JORDE: Thank you.
23
             ALJ HOGAN: Bye.
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss.

I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings.

I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.

Dated this date of August 4, 2025.

Lisa A. Hulm
LISA A. HULM, CET-783

The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to the reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying transcriber.

4	9:8	15:5	directly [2] - 8:3, 8:4	6
1	applied [1] - 10:4	check [2] - 14:3	discuss [4] - 2:7, 2:9,	G
	appreciate [2] - 11:10,	checking [1] - 12:25	13:15, 15:14	
11th [1] - 2:8	11:25	citizens [1] - 9:17	documents [1] - 11:14	general [1] - 4:3
	appropriate [3] - 7:3,	clear [3] - 9:21, 10:5,	Dotzenrod [3] - 5:18,	gentleman [1] - 5:18
2	8:15, 14:10	11:12	5:21, 13:25	given [1] - 5:15
-	April [2] - 2:2, 2:8	client [3] - 5:3, 5:6,	double [1] - 14:3	granting [1] - 13:11
	area [1] - 8:16	12:20	double-check [1] -	group [1] - 15:4
2023 [1] - 2:8	arrangements [1] -	clients [1] - 8:22	14:3	guess [1] - 7:1
	12:2	• •	down [1] - 12:8	Gwinner [8] - 2:20,
4	artificially [1] - 11:5	Code [4] - 9:11, 10:18,	Dr [1] - 3:12	3:3, 3:22, 4:14, 5:2,
		10:19		5:5, 5:13, 7:18
445 0.0	aside [2] - 8:20, 11:3	coming [1] - 8:10	drain [5] - 3:12, 3:18,	0.0, 0.10, 7.10
4th [1] - 2:2	aspects [1] - 11:18	comments [1] - 10:23	3:19, 5:14, 5:24	Н
_	assume [3] - 3:2, 3:7,	Commission's [2] -	due [3] - 7:4, 8:13,	П
5	12:5	5:23, 12:1	10:10	
	attend [1] - 4:2	commissioners [4] -		handful [1] - 4:10
50 [1] - 8:12	Attorney [2] - 2:12,	6:9, 6:18, 12:15,	E	handle [2] - 3:2, 4:13
	2:13	15:7		hard [1] - 12:4
	attorneys [1] - 9:18	commit [1] - 6:16	-141	hear [1] - 9:25
8	Attorneys [1] - 2:15	communicate [1] -	either [2] - 3:9, 4:16	
	available [2] - 5:19,	9:17	elsewhere [1] - 7:8	hearing [23] - 2:7, 2:9,
8 _[2] - 12:10, 12:14	9:9	completed [1] - 11:24	email [2] - 4:24, 4:25	2:20, 2:23, 2:25, 3:3,
• •		_ compliance [1] -	encourage [1] - 12:17	3:9, 3:22, 3:23, 3:25,
8:03 [1] - 2:2	В	10:17	end [1] - 12:4	4:13, 6:10, 6:11,
8:23 [1] - 15:16	U	concern [2] - 6:10, 7:3	entitled [1] - 10:3	6:13, 7:2, 8:16, 8:20
8:30 [2] - 12:10, 12:14		conclude [1] - 15:16	equally [1] - 10:4	9:7, 11:21, 12:3,
9:00 [2] - 12:4, 12:14	barring [1] - 9:23	conference [4] - 2:3,	essentially [1] - 3:18	13:21, 14:17, 15:15
	based [1] - 9:7		evidence [3] - 3:8, 5:5,	hearings [8] - 9:10,
	behalf [5] - 2:12, 2:14,	2:6, 2:19, 15:17	11:14	9:14, 9:16, 9:19,
	2:16, 8:21, 9:6	conferences [1] -	exact [1] - 4:11	9:24, 10:8, 10:9,
	BENDER [10] - 3:6,	11:17	exactly [1] - 13:23	10:16
	4:21, 4:23, 6:1, 7:12,	consider [2] - 8:15,	-	helpful [2] - 11:17,
Α		11:6	exercising [1] - 7:4	15:11
	7:15, 13:7, 13:17,	constitutional [1] -	expressed [1] - 6:9	helps [1] - 14:16
a m (a) 0:0 15:16	14:8, 15:20	8:4	extending [1] - 8:15	honestly [1] - 14:21
a.m [2] - 2:2, 15:16	Bender [8] - 2:12, 3:1,	constraints [1] - 11:16	extent [1] - 6:20	Honor [12] - 3:6, 3:24,
ability [1] - 9:17	4:23, 5:21, 8:13,	control [2] - 8:20,	extra [1] - 7:1	4:10, 4:21, 6:2, 7:10
able [6] - 3:25, 6:20,	8:24, 13:6, 14:25	11:19		7:12, 10:21, 13:17,
11:2, 11:13, 14:15	better [2] - 5:22, 14:23	conversation [1] -	F	
absolutely [2] - 9:19,	between [1] - 12:10	15:19		14:8, 15:6, 15:20
11:13	Bismarck [5] - 6:11,	coordinate [1] - 14:24		hope [1] - 15:19
Access [1] - 10:7	6:14, 7:23, 8:16,	correct [1] - 3:6	far [1] - 11:11	
accommodate [1] -	11:2		few [1] - 5:19	I
7:23	bit [1] - 14:6	correctly [2] - 4:25,	file [2] - 2:3, 12:20	
additional [4] - 7:1,	Brian [2] - 2:15, 4:9	13:18	filed [1] - 6:7	idea (a) 6:45 44:00
7:6, 8:16, 11:7	brief [1] - 3:4	counties [1] - 2:10	final [3] - 14:19, 14:21	idea [2] - 6:15, 14:23
address [1] - 14:5	briefly [1] - 9:5	couple [1] - 14:2	finalized [1] - 15:1	impact [1] - 3:18
Administrative [2] -		courtesy [1] - 14:14	finally [1] - 2:17	important [1] - 6:25
9:11, 10:18	bring [2] - 6:12, 7:16 build [1] - 8:23	cut [1] - 11:5	fine [1] - 15:3	inappropriate [1] -
			fit [1] - 7:6	5:10
advantaging [1] - 10:4	bye [1] - 15:23	D	five [5] - 4:11, 9:15,	inconveniencing [1] -
affected [2] - 8:3, 8:5		_		7:7
ahead [3] - 4:22, 9:4,	С		10:7, 10:9, 13:19	indicated [2] - 13:17,
14:10		Dakota [5] - 2:8, 2:20,	flight [1] - 12:6	13:18
air [1] - 4:16	Corbon to 0:5	9:16, 10:7, 10:9	folks [5] - 4:10, 6:20,	individual [2] - 5:16,
allow [2] - 8:21, 11:21	Carbon [1] - 2:5	date [4] - 2:2, 6:11,	7:7, 14:3, 14:24	14:1
	case [5] - 6:8, 8:12,	11:7, 12:5	followed [1] - 10:2	individuals [3] - 5:1,
allowing [1] - 3:5	11:7, 11:22, 11:23	dates [2] - 8:8, 9:7	four [1] - 7:24	5:9, 11:1
			frame [1] - 13:4	
amount [1] - 8:19	Case [1] - 2:4	uays 2 - 1.0. 0.19		information [1] - 3:17
amount [1] - 8:19 anticipate [1] - 3:15	Case [1] - 2:4 Cass [1] - 2:10	days [2] - 7:6, 8:19	frankly [3] - 7:3.	
amount [1] - 8:19 anticipate [1] - 3:15 appeared [1] - 5:13		deadline [1] - 8:9	frankly [3] - 7:3, 10:14. 14:2	intent [1] - 5:12
amount [1] - 8:19 anticipate [1] - 3:15 appeared [1] - 5:13 appearing [2] - 2:11,	Cass [1] - 2:10	deadline [1] - 8:9 decided [1] - 5:6	10:14, 14:2	interested [1] - 7:4
amount [1] - 8:19 anticipate [1] - 3:15 appeared [1] - 5:13 appearing [2] - 2:11, 2:16	Cass [1] - 2:10 Century [2] - 9:11, 10:19	deadline [1] - 8:9 decided [1] - 5:6 decision [2] - 14:19,	10:14, 14:2 Friday [2] - 12:21,	
applicant [1] - 11:21	Cass [1] - 2:10 Century [2] - 9:11, 10:19 certain [1] - 11:18	deadline [1] - 8:9 decided [1] - 5:6 decision [2] - 14:19, 14:22	10:14, 14:2 Friday [2] - 12:21, 13:13	interested [1] - 7:4
amount [1] - 8:19 anticipate [1] - 3:15 appeared [1] - 5:13 appearing [2] - 2:11, 2:16	Cass [1] - 2:10 Century [2] - 9:11, 10:19	deadline [1] - 8:9 decided [1] - 5:6 decision [2] - 14:19,	10:14, 14:2 Friday [2] - 12:21,	interested [1] - 7:4 interrupt [1] - 9:3

intervenor [1] - 2:16 intervenors [8] - 2:14, 4:7, 6:7, 6:8, 8:10, 11:22, 12:17, 14:13 intervention [1] - 8:9 issue [2] - 5:15, 6:25 issues [2] - 13:15, 15:13

J

James [2] - 13:1, 14:1
Jorde [14] - 2:15, 4:8,
4:9, 4:13, 4:24, 5:8,
5:13, 6:5, 7:15, 8:21,
11:10, 12:19, 13:17,
14:16
JORDE [12] - 4:9,
4:15, 5:14, 6:19, 8:1,
10:21, 12:22, 13:23,
14:21, 15:5, 15:12,
15:22
Judge [1] - 3:10
June [1] - 11:3

Κ

Kevin [1] - 2:15 **kind** [4] - 2:21, 4:15, 6:17, 12:14 **knows** [1] - 14:17

L

lack [1] - 5:22 land [1] - 15:10 landowners [1] - 14:7 last [5] - 2:20, 4:7, 5:19, 12:16, 12:21 lasting [1] - 3:16 Lawrence [3] - 2:12, 4:23, 14:5 least [2] - 8:15, 15:8 **LEIBEL** [2] - 12:24, 14:5 **Leibel** [5] - 2:15, 4:8, 4:16, 12:19, 14:16 length [1] - 6:10 likely [1] - 13:11 likewise [1] - 8:23 limit [1] - 6:24 limited [2] - 3:4, 12:7 limits [1] - 5:21 line [4] - 3:18, 6:5, 10:8, 11:15 **Linton** [2] - 6:13, 7:22 list [5] - 13:20, 14:9, 14:11, 14:25, 15:4 LIUNA [1] - 2:16 **LLC** [1] - 2:5 load [1] - 6:14

located [2] - 2:9, 6:21 look [2] - 10:15, 15:9 looking [2] - 7:6, 13:1

М

maps [1] - 15:9 matter [2] - 2:7, 8:10 mean [3] - 7:15, 9:3, 13:24 member [1] - 9:12 members [2] - 4:1, 4:2 memory [1] - 12:19 might [2] - 13:12, 14:2 minute [1] - 12:16 misinterpreted [1] -10:22 mom [1] - 13:25 morning [6] - 2:11, 3:10, 12:14, 13:16, 15:14, 15:17 motion [3] - 10:14, 12:20, 13:5 motions [1] - 9:22 mouthpiece [1] - 8:3 move [1] - 14:16

Ν

name [1] - 3:12 **NDSU**[1] - 3:13 need [3] - 12:16, 13:15, 15:13 next [2] - 3:23, 12:2 nice [1] - 15:19 night [1] - 12:15 none [1] - 15:15 North [4] - 2:8, 2:20. 9:16. 10:9 note [2] - 9:15, 15:15 **nothing** [1] - 8:2 notion [1] - 11:1 Number [1] - 2:4 number [2] - 2:14, 4:11

0

object [1] - 10:11 objecting [2] - 9:22, 10:13 objection [7] - 5:25, 6:1, 8:22, 9:22, 13:5, 13:7, 13:9 occurred [1] - 10:25 offer [1] - 3:22 offered [1] - 5:2 offering [1] - 3:8 one [9] - 4:17, 5:14, 7:1, 10:4, 11:3, 12:25, 13:2, 13:11
ones [1] - 13:25
opportunity [8] - 5:11,
7:16, 7:18, 7:19,
7:22, 7:24, 8:2, 8:24
opposed [2] - 4:19,
7:7
order [1] - 13:10
overflow [1] - 11:7
overview [1] - 3:5
own [1] - 15:10

Р

page [1] - 2:24

part [1] - 2:17

participate [1] - 4:2

particular [1] - 10:8

parties [2] - 8:11,

party [1] - 10:11

10:22, 13:8

PELHAM [6] - 3:10,

3:15, 9:3, 9:5, 11:10,

Pelham [4] - 2:14, 3:7,

people [5] - 7:4, 7:6,

14:17

8:3, 8:5, 11:4 perhaps [4] - 5:14, 6:13, 8:16, 13:21 person [1] - 13:1 pertaining [1] - 15:9 physically [1] - 4:17 pilot [1] - 12:7 pipeline [2] - 8:6, 9:16 Pipeline [1] - 10:7 place [2] - 10:2, 11:20 plan [1] - 4:10 **planning** [9] - 3:11, 3:22, 4:12, 4:13, 4:25, 5:8, 7:2, 14:18, 15:8 plans [1] - 4:5 point [2] - 9:1, 11:25 portions [1] - 2:9 possibility [1] - 13:20 possible [1] - 14:9 possibly [1] - 13:19 Pranis [2] - 2:16, 3:20 PRANIS [2] - 3:24, 4:5 predefined [1] - 6:24 prehearing [6] - 2:3, 2:6, 2:11, 2:19, 11:17, 15:17 present [6] - 4:1, 7:18, 7:20, 7:24, 11:22, 11:23 presented [3] - 3:21, 5:5 presenting [1] - 3:4 preserved [1] - 10:10

problem [1] - 10:24

10:3, 10:18 procedure [1] - 9:12 proceed [1] - 11:21 process [7] - 7:5, 8:5, 8:14, 10:10, 10:12, 10:24, 11:20 productive [1] - 15:18 professor [1] - 3:13 project [2] - 2:9, 3:5 property [1] - 8:4 provide [5] - 7:21, 9:12, 10:12, 11:14, 14:13 provided [1] - 14:12 **providing** [1] - 9:19 PSC [2] - 2:3, 5:16 **PU-22-391** [1] - 2:4 **public** [4] - 4:3, 8:14, 9:13. 9:20 **Public** [3] - 2:13, 2:17, 8:6 publicly [1] - 9:9 purposes [2] - 9:14, 10:16 put [2] - 8:22, 8:24

problematic [1] - 6:24

procedural [3] - 10:2,

Q

questioning [1] - 3:5 questions [3] - 5:4, 5:6, 5:10 queue [1] - 11:5 quickly [1] - 7:12 quite [2] - 9:9, 10:14

R

rather [1] - 13:4 realities [2] - 11:16 really [1] - 8:20 rebuttal [1] - 5:23 received [3] - 4:24, 9:8. 9:9 record [8] - 3:17, 6:23, 7:9, 8:23, 8:25, 9:22, 15:16 refresh [1] - 12:19 regarding [2] - 3:17, 5:24 representing [2] -2:13, 6:8 reset [1] - 7:23 respect [1] - 8:13 respond [4] - 7:13, 9:5, 10:21, 13:4 response [5] - 6:19, 8:1, 8:24, 10:25, 11:4 restrictions [1] - 12:7

retain [1] - 9:18 Richland [1] - 2:10 rights [2] - 7:5, 8:4 Rose [1] - 13:25 rules [5] - 9:12, 10:2, 10:4, 10:11, 10:18

S

S-C-H-E-R-E-R [1] -3:13 saved [1] - 4:6 schedule [2] - 7:23, 8:10 scheduled [2] - 2:3, 2:6 schedules [1] - 12:2 Scherer [1] - 3:12 SCHOCK [3] - 12:6, 12:10, 12:12 Schock [2] - 2:17, 12:4 SCS [1] - 2:5 second [1] - 6:11 see [1] - 5:3 send [1] - 15:4 sense [3] - 5:15, 6:22, 15:12 **Service** [3] - 2:13, 2:18, 8:6 service [1] - 8:6 set [11] - 2:9, 8:8, 8:11, 8:19, 9:10, 9:15, 9:23, 9:24, 10:16, 11:3 sets [1] - 9:7 setting [1] - 13:4 share [1] - 14:4 **short** [2] - 5:19, 13:12 short-staffed [1] -13:12 shut [1] - 12:8 **similar** [3] - 3:3, 4:14, 12:8 simple [1] - 11:4 simply [3] - 10:25, 11:7, 11:19 siting [1] - 2:4 **sometime** [1] - 12:10 soon [1] - 14:9 sounds [2] - 4:20, 6:3 speaking [1] - 5:16 specifically [2] -12:17, 14:6 spread [1] - 9:16 staff [1] - 2:18 staffed [1] - 13:12 standing [1] - 11:4 standpoint [1] - 10:6 **start** [1] - 3:1

state [1] - 10:9

stay [1] - 12:16

Steve [3] - 12:22, 12:25, 14:23 Steven [1] - 2:15 still [1] - 11:2 sufficient [1] - 7:21

Т

testify [6] - 2:22, 5:24, 9:17, 11:14, 14:18, 15:9 testimony [7] - 3:4, 3:16, 3:21, 3:23, 4:19, 5:2, 5:22 thinking [1] - 4:14 **Thomas** [1] - 3:12 thoughts [1] - 8:22 three [3] - 7:24, 10:8, 14:4 **Tiegs** [3] - 13:1, 14:1 tile [5] - 3:12, 3:18, 3:19, 5:14, 5:24 timewise [1] - 6:24 today [7] - 6:16, 10:12, 13:11, 13:21, 14:11, 14:23, 15:19 tomorrow [1] - 13:22 tool [1] - 8:2 topic [1] - 5:17 town [1] - 3:25 **Transport** [1] - 2:5

U

travel [4] - 6:21, 7:8,

try [3] - 6:13, 7:22,

12:2

13:18 trying [1] - 14:24 twice [1] - 5:11 two [1] - 8:11

understood [1] - 4:25 undoubtedly [1] -10:10 **up** [1] - 4:15 upcoming [1] - 2:7 updated [1] - 14:25

V

Victor [1] - 2:17

W

Wahpeton [4] - 2:8, 2:24, 3:3, 7:20 walk [1] - 4:17 wants [4] - 4:7, 9:25, 11:13 weather [1] - 13:12

week [2] - 12:21, 13:14 week's [1] - 12:3 whole [1] - 15:4 willing [5] - 6:12, 6:20, 8:19, 12:15 wise [1] - 11:6 wishes [1] - 10:11 witness [3] - 3:11, 3:21, 5:23 witnesses [14] - 2:22, 3:8, 4:5, 5:1, 5:4, 5:5, 5:7, 5:13, 7:16, 7:18, 7:20, 13:19, 13:21, 14:12 words [2] - 5:20, 13:18

Υ

yesterday [1] - 4:24

Ζ

Zachary [1] - 2:14