	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SCS Carbon Transport LLC Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline Project Sting Application PU-22-391	1 2 3 4 5	Service Commission. Also on the call is Public Utilities Director Victor Schock. ALJ HOGAN: And you guys can tell me but, Mr. Jorde or Mr. Leibel, who would you like me to direct it to?
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SCS Carbon Transport LLC Case No. Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391	3 4 5	ALJ HOGAN: And you guys can tell me but, Mr. Jorde or Mr. Leibel, who would you like me to direct
	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SCS Carbon Transport LLC Case No. Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391	4 5	Mr. Jorde or Mr. Leibel, who would you like me to direct
	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SCS Carbon Transport LLC Case No. Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391	5	
	SCS Carbon Transport LLC Case No. Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391		it to?
	Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391	6	
	Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391	_	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, Brian Jorde and Steve
	rioject Siting Application	7	Leibel here for intervening landowners.
		8	ALJ HOGAN: All right. And Mr. Bakke.
		9	MR. BAKKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Randy
	TRANSCRIPT OF TELEPHONIC PREHEARING CONFERENCE	10	Bakke on behalf of Burleigh County.
	May 16, 2024	11	ALJ HOGAN: And Mr. Zomer.
		12	MR. ZOMER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Pat
		13	Zomer on behalf of the City of Bismarck.
	APPEARANCES	14	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you all. I
		15	will note that I know for certain Mr. Pranis wasn't able
	BRET DUBLINSKE and TYLER GLUDT, Fredrikson & Byron, PA, JESS VILSACK, General Counsel, and LEE BLANK	16	to make this prehearing conference, but he did not
	on behalf of Applicant SCS Carbon Transport LLC	17	anticipate I'm not sure if he's going to appear or
	RANDALL J. BAKKE, Bakke Grinolds Wiederholt, on behalf of Burleigh County	18	not at the technical hearing, but he did not anticipate
	STEVE J. LEIBEL, Knoll Leibel LLP, on behalf of Intervenors/Landowners	19	offering any further witnesses.
	BRIAN E. JORDE, Domina Law Group, on behalf of	20	And I know our office had contact with
	Intervenors/Landowners PATRICK T. ZOMER, Moss & Barnett, on behalf of the	21	Mr. Braaten, and it's my understanding he does not
	City of Bismarck	22	anticipate offering any further witnesses at the
	ZACHARY PELHAM, Special Assistant Attorney General Advisory Counsel to the Public Service Commission	23	technical hearing as well so I assume he chose not to
		24	attend today's prehearing.
		25	Before we talk about the technical hearing,
			PAGE 3
1	ALJ HOGAN: It is 2:04 p.m. on May 16, 2024.	1	since I have everybody on the line, I'm just going to
2	This is the time and date scheduled for a prehearing	2	ask just ask shortly about the next upcoming hearing,
3	conference in Public Service Commission Case No.	3	which is May 28th in Wahpeton.
4	PU-22-391, OAH File No. 20230002. This prehearing	4	Mr. Jorde, I know I received a motion for you in
5	conference was scheduled on my behalf to discuss the	5	that. It's my understanding you plan on attending. And
6	upcoming technical hearing to commence on May 28th,	6	do you anticipate presenting any witnesses at that
7	2024.	7	hearing?
8	I think we will start by having everybody state	8	MR. JORDE: Yes. Landowner witnesses, Your
9	their appearance for the record and who they are	9	Honor.
10	representing. And I'll maybe start with you should I	10	ALJ HOGAN: Do you know how many you're going to
11	direct it to you, Mr. Dublinske?	11	have?
12	MR. DUBLINSKE: Sure, Your Honor, that's fine.	12	MR. JORDE: You know, I can tell you in probably
13	Bret Dublinske of Fredrikson & Byron on behalf of SCS	13	a moment but close to ten, I would say, but it would be
14	Carbon Transport.	14	all landowner witnesses, no experts, no non-landowner
15	ALJ HOGAN: And you're appearing this afternoon	15	supporting witnesses.
16	along with Tyler Gludt; correct?	16	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
17	MR. DUBLINSKE: Correct. Tyler Gludt is also	17	And, Mr. Dublinske, do you does Summit
18	appearing for SCS Carbon Transport.	18	anticipate offering any witnesses at the Wahpeton
19	ALJ HOGAN: And on behalf of Summit we also have	19	hearing?
20	Jess Vilsack and Lee Blank that have joined our	20	MR. DUBLINSKE: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
21	conference this afternoon.	21	Just to clarify, you said the 28th. That's actually the
	Mr. Pelham, did you want to state your	22	24th; correct?
22	appearance for the record?	23	
			ALLHOGAN: Voc Landlagiza The 24th year
22 23			ALJ HOGAN: Yes, I apologize. The 24th, yep,
	MR. PELHAM: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon. Zachary Pelham on behalf of the Public	24 25	ALJ HOGAN: Yes, I apologize. The 24th, yep, the Wahpeton hearing is. And I'll just ask if any of the other parties

	ND PUBLIC SERV	-			
1	anticipate offering witnesses for Wahpeton.	1	time for those witnesses. I know that's sometimes hard		
2	All right. And then one other matter I want to	2	to do especially at this stage so I appreciate the		
3	address before we talk about the technical hearing so	3	effort that was put into those.		
4	that I don't forget is I received a motion earlier this	4	Is there anybody else looking to call witnesses		
5	week from Mr. Zomer about admitting into evidence	5	at the technical hearing that didn't file a list with		
6	Summit's response to interrogatories. And I'll just go	6	me?		
7	around and ask if there's any objection to that motion.	7	All right. So with that in mind, I think it		
8	Mr. Dublinske, did you have any objection?	8	makes sense and I do anticipate issuing something that		
9	MR. DUBLINSKE: No, Your Honor.	9	kind of puts some guidelines for allocating time for		
10	ALJ HOGAN: And, let's see, Mr. Pelham.	10	this hearing. Four days is a lot of time, but given		
11	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	11	what has been prefiled, I think we're still exceeding		
12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	12	the available time. So I do want to put something out		
13	MR. JORDE: No, Your Honor.	13	there that helps the parties or guides them in prepping		
14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Bakke.	14	what they plan to present at that hearing.		
15	MR. BAKKE: No, Your Honor.	15	And as far as order, I'll maybe say this before		
16	ALJ HOGAN: All right. So I will admit that as	16	I kind of get input from all the parties. My thought		
17	an exhibit. I might just add it to the exhibit list	17	and you guys can tell me if you have other thoughts that		
18	after the Wahpeton hearing to avoid doing it twice, and	18	might work better than what I'm thinking, but I think we		
19	I'll just include it in there as an admitted exhibit	19	would start with I know Summit has one more witness		
20	along with any other exhibits that are accepted at that	20	it wants to present. So I think it makes sense to start		
21	hearing.	21	with Summit's witness and cross-examination of Summit's		
22	All right. And then moving on to the technical	22	witnesses, and then we would move to intervenor		
23	hearing, just some thoughts from me before we kind of	23	witnesses and go from there.		
24	get into a discussion about that hearing. And I have	24	So that's kind of the order that I had in my		
25	received and reviewed all the letters that were filed	25	mind, but I would like to hear some thoughts from the		
	PAGE 5		PAGE 7		
	I AGL J				
1	this week.	1	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to		
1 2		1 2			
	this week.		parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to		
2	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for	2	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time.		
2	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days	2	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if		
2 3 4	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you	2 3 4	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first.		
2 3 4 5	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid	2 3 4 5	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what		
2 3 4 5 6	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So	2 3 4 5 6	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as		
2 3 4 5 6 7	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to	2 3 4 5 6 7	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests,		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that addressed the concerns about scope of hearing or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first scheduled event that he would be back for and available		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that addressed the concerns about scope of hearing or admissibility of evidence. And I know that's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first scheduled event that he would be back for and available would be June 4th at Linton.		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that addressed the concerns about scope of hearing or admissibility of evidence. And I know that's not sometimes a bright line type of thing, but that's the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first scheduled event that he would be back for and available would be June 4th at Linton. So I'll in terms of our case, our intent is		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that addressed the concerns about scope of hearing or admissibility of evidence. And I know that's not sometimes a bright line type of thing, but that's the guidance I can give on that at this time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first scheduled event that he would be back for and available would be June 4th at Linton. So I'll in terms of our case, our intent is to put up the one witness that was not available at the		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that addressed the concerns about scope of hearing or admissibility of evidence. And I know that's not sometimes a bright line type of thing, but that's the guidance I can give on that at this time. With that in mind and I thank you all for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first scheduled event that he would be back for and available would be June 4th at Linton. So I'll in terms of our case, our intent is to put up the one witness that was not available at the hearing and have the rest of the folks that were at the		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this week. And the Commission has set aside four days for this hearing so they anticipate finishing in four days so I think that is the primary goal. I would you know, they set aside four days so that we could avoid having a day that goes super late into the evening. So my thought and my plan is that each day we will try to end around 5, 6:00 or somewhere in that neighborhood. So I think the parties should plan on not going late into the evening. That's not the Commission's intent for this hearing. I know there was some concerns about the scope of the hearing or scope of admissible evidence. And obviously the Commission issued a notice of hearing that stated the issues as specified, but I have received from the Commission that its intent for evidence to be limited to any deficiencies noted in the original order and, secondly, to any to the issue of siting related to the supplemental reroute filings. So I hope that addressed the concerns about scope of hearing or admissibility of evidence. And I know that's not sometimes a bright line type of thing, but that's the guidance I can give on that at this time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	parties on the order and then also any ideas on how to allocate time. So I'll maybe start with you, Mr. Dublinske, if you want to go first. MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor. And what you said you envision is largely what we anticipated as well. Obviously, we will have the witnesses that were presented at the at the hearing available for cross-examination. We will, as you noted, have one additional witness. And, again, the rest of them are just cross and will largely be determined by the amount of time that the other parties want to take with those. I will say that there have been some requests, some that are more specific than others, about particular Summit witnesses. One of them, without getting into right at the moment the appropriateness of those additional witnesses, but Alex Lange, in any event, is out of the country and off work and unavailable during the technical hearing. The first scheduled event that he would be back for and available would be June 4th at Linton. So I'll in terms of our case, our intent is to put up the one witness that was not available at the		

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND PUBLIC SERV		E COMMISSION
1	ALJ HOGAN: And I think I I'm not sure if it	1	and then go on to landowner intervenors.
2	was stated outright, Mr. Dublinske, in your letter, but	2	Also, Mr. Bakke and I, I think, can work
3	it's my understanding Summit would like the opportunity	3	together to streamline as best we can our both cross
4	to potentially provide rebuttal witnesses after	4	you know, things can come up so we can't, like,
5	intervenors present their witnesses.	5	perfectly plan for that, but our cross and our
6	MR. DUBLINSKE: That is correct, Your Honor.	6	presentation. We're going to have to work with each
7	We've talked a little bit about the technical hearing	7	other's schedule and the schedule of witnesses. So
8	and about at Wahpeton. We haven't talked at all about	8	we'll do that to be streamlined.
9	Linton and whether or not other parties intend to put	9	And then I'd also like to bring up you know,
10	witnesses on in Linton, but we would like the	10	I've got Mr. Dublinske's May 15th letter to you here
11	opportunity and believe it would be appropriate for	11	where he's concerned about the timeline. And I would
12	Summit to have the opportunity to put on a rebuttal case	12	note that Mr. Dublinske and I worked, I think, well
13	if it so chooses at the conclusion; save a little time	13	together during the much larger docket in Iowa.
14	at the end of Linton for us to be able to do that.	14	I previously offered to Mr. Bender that
15	ALJ HOGAN: Are you requesting rebuttal time as	15	landowners could present some of their witnesses via
16	part of technical hearing too or are you saving that for	16	prefiled testimony and then the option that that that
17	Linton, just so I'm clear on what you're telling me?	17	live cross could be waived in order to save time.
18	MR. DUBLINSKE: No, no, that we believe that	18	Mr. Dublinske and I were able to reach stipulation on a
19	would make more sense after the conclusion of all of the	19	large number of witnesses in Iowa and save probably a
20	opposing testimony. And my understanding is there will	20	couple of days at the time. I didn't get a response
21	per as Mr. Jorde said earlier, there will at least be	21	back from Summit on that. I tried a second time.
22	some at Wahpeton, I don't know if there will be any at	22	Didn't get a response back. So I assume they're not
23	Linton, but just to ensure that the rebuttal case is, in	23	interested in that.
24	fact, rebutting all of the available evidence and	24	But with Mr. Dublinske taking a more active
25	commentary, we would request that that be at the end of	25	role, I would like to put that to him if that process is
	PAGE 9		PAGE 11
1	the day and in Linton.	1	something we could do, because there's a handful of
2	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding,	2	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to
2	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at	2	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may
2 3 4	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me	2 3 4	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained
2 3 4 5	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten	2 3 4 5	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time,
2 3 4 5 6	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I	2 3 4 5 6	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're
2 3 4 5 6 7	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my	2 3 4 5 6 7	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or Mr. Leibel or myself, in my opinion, because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony, and then if there's any requests on behalf of Summit to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or Mr. Leibel or myself, in my opinion, because the technical hearing was set aside not for an extension of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony, and then if there's any requests on behalf of Summit to have those witnesses actually come in and testify, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or Mr. Leibel or myself, in my opinion, because the technical hearing was set aside not for an extension of Summit's case in chief but, instead, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony, and then if there's any requests on behalf of Summit to have those witnesses actually come in and testify, that you would make them available, is the way I understood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or Mr. Leibel or myself, in my opinion, because the technical hearing was set aside not for an extension of Summit's case in chief but, instead, for cross-examination of the Summit witnesses that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony, and then if there's any requests on behalf of Summit to have those witnesses actually come in and testify, that you would make them available, is the way I understood it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or Mr. Leibel or myself, in my opinion, because the technical hearing was set aside not for an extension of Summit's case in chief but, instead, for cross-examination of the Summit witnesses that previously testified.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony, and then if there's any requests on behalf of Summit to have those witnesses actually come in and testify, that you would make them available, is the way I understood it. MR. JORDE: That would be fine. I mean, I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the day and in Linton. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And it's my understanding, and I can't tell you where but I know this, if it was at the last prehearing or something Mr. Braaten said to me in the hearing in Mandan, but I believe Mr. Braaten intends to call witnesses at the Linton hearing. I don't know who they are or how many, but that's my understanding. MR. DUBLINSKE: It was my understanding as well, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Maybe next I'll go to you, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Sure. So I guess I would say, Your Honor, that any new Summit witness, and I think it's Mr. Olson, that testifies at the technical hearing, none of that time should count against Mr. Bakke or Mr. Leibel or myself, in my opinion, because the technical hearing was set aside not for an extension of Summit's case in chief but, instead, for cross-examination of the Summit witnesses that previously testified. So I have no problem with him testifying, that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	something we could do, because there's a handful of witnesses I would be fine, you know I always like to have them live because there's other things that may come out but you know, prefiled, they're constrained to that, but I'd be willing, in the interest of time, Your Honor, to work with Mr. Dublinske if they're willing to admit prefiled testimony would be admitted, waive objection, and just have that stand. Obviously, they can rebut that testimony if they so choose in their rebuttal. So, with that, I don't know if it's appropriate for Mr. Dublinske to respond, but, again, I'm happy to try to shave some time off this if we can. ALJ HOGAN: And I was going to ask you about this because I think it was in your prefiling, Mr. Jorde, but your thought is prefile the testimony, and then if there's any requests on behalf of Summit to have those witnesses actually come in and testify, that you would make them available, is the way I understood it. MR. JORDE: That would be fine. I mean, I'd have to know very soon because I mean, if we're going

PAGE 10

25 have previously testified and get through cross of them,

25 "This is the testimony, here's a signed affidavit, this

PAGE 12

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

is it," and then he can say, "No, I disagree, I'm not we're maintaining an objection," and then obviously all 2 having to do it in the blind." But I have, like I said, 2 of this goes to the weight. We don't have a jury here. a few that we could probably cut out just like we did in I mean, I'm fine with that. I'm not saying to say it 4 Iowa. But if he were to say, "No, I don't agree," then comes in and they have no -- they can't maintain any 5 I'm going to bring them live, we're going to go through type of an objection to the content. I'm just trying to 6 the whole rigamarole. I'm not confined to the prefiled 6 streamline the process. 7 7 testimony that I sent to Mr. Dublinske. It could go way But I'm happy to use all four days, there's no 8 beyond that because now I'm bringing them live. And 8 problem there so... But I just put the offer out there. 9 MR. PELHAM: Judge, if I may just --9 then they can cross, of course. 10 10 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dublinske, thoughts on that ALJ HOGAN: Go ahead. MR. PELHAM: -- comment on this topic. 11 11 issue? 12 MR. DUBLINSKE: Sure, Your Honor. Happy to 12 You know, the concept of prefiled testimony is 13 respond to that. 13 not new with the Commission. It's often done. In fact, 14 The Iowa process is significantly different. 14 it's already been done in this case. Summit has done a You know, we had another full round of written prefiled 15 good job of using prefiled testimony to speed up the 15 16 testimony to rebut the prefiled testimony of Mr. Jorde's 16 process. The process in which has been explained that 17 witnesses and others. So I think that's a significant 17 -- that apparently occurred in Iowa may -- may work in 18 difference. And here with the relative compression of 18 Iowa, but I -- I, quite frankly, see no reason why some 19 19 the rest of the timeline, I think it would be of the testimony could be filed as prefiled and then 20 20 have the witness testify live for a more limited period challenging to add another round of prefiled written 21 testimony to address the lengthy list of folks that 21 of time to make everything more efficient and allow 22 Mr. Jorde submitted. And that's a significant concern 22 cross-examination. That is commonly done. 23 23 And it's been discussed in prior prehearing for us in terms of doing something similar to what we 24 did in Iowa. 24 conferences as to whether or not we're going to do it or 25 The other one is just a matter of the relevance 25 not, whether or not the ALJ, whether or not you would PAGE 15 of some of those witnesses to begin with. A good 1 order that to be done for certain witnesses. 2 example, for example, are their witnesses on Mr. Jorde's 2 So I do think that prefiled is a viable option 3 list that talk about broad, general issues about carbon 3 here and should be seriously considered for efficiency 4 dioxide or about Satartia, for example. There is sake. It may be the lesser of two evils of potentially 5 nothing unique to the issues on reconsideration that are 5 limiting the amount of time for each particular party, 6 strictly about the addressing of deficiencies that, for 6 whether or not intervenor or otherwise, in the 7 example, Satartia has anything new to offer. There was 7 proceeding. Thank you. 8 Satartia evidence, Satartia testimony in the original 8 ALJ HOGAN: And I'll maybe -- I agree with what 9 set of hearings, which is obviously still part of this 9 Mr. Pelham said and I feel like, after going through the 10 record. 10 first round of hearings, especially with the landowner 11 So at this time, between broader concerns about 11 parties, they have similar concerns. So I don't think 12 relevance and about the lack of an ability meaningfully 12 it's maybe the most productive use of time if we have 15 13 without a significant burden on Summit to prepare 13 landowners that get up and say nearly similar 14 written rebuttal, we would not be willing to make the 14 complaints. I think if we could handle some of that 15 same deal that we made in Iowa. 15 with prefiled testimony, that would be great and I think 16 16 ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I appreciate the that would be efficient. 17 idea as well. We are maybe a little too far down the 17 And the commissioners read the prefiled 18 road to do that, but certainly if you -- either one of testimony. And, in fact, I think they like that, if 18 19 you thinks of another way to handle that that would be 19 they can read it and get a better idea of what the 20 expedient, always open ears. 20 testimony is prior to the hearing, I think they find 21 21 that very helpful. So I'll just put that out there. Mr. Jorde. 22 22 MR. JORDE: If I could just add, we wouldn't be Maybe, Mr. Jorde and Mr. Dublinske, you guys can 23 asking them to waive -- I mean a relevance objection; 23 talk some more about potentially doing something like

PAGE 14

24

25

that to alleviate some of the time we spend in the

actual hearing. I think that would be appreciated by

PAGE 16

24

25

right? I mean, if they think "Yeah, this testimony, I

don't need to cross this person, we'll let it in, but

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the Commission and myself. So maybe we can just leave MR. DUBLINSKE: So let me start with the second 2 it at that and move forward. 2 of those questions. Our understanding of the technical 3 Let's see. Next, Mr. Bakke, thoughts on order 3 hearing, that it was not meant to be a re-creation of a 4 for the hearing and any potential -- or how to structure 4 full normal hearing and would be hybrid. We are not 5 potential limitations for time allocation. planning on bringing all the witnesses back up to North 6 MR. BAKKE: Thank you, Your Honor. I agree with 6 Dakota. They are in a variety of places. Many of them 7 Mr. Jorde in terms of Summit witnesses and in relation 7 will be participating remotely. 8 8 ALJ HOGAN: Okay. to our cross-examination. 9 9 On the issue of rebuttal, I'm not going to be in MR. DUBLINSKE: Mr. Daum and Mr. Dillon, I do 10 Linton on June 4. I'm not available June 4. I have 10 not know. I know that Mr. Lange is unavailable. I do 11 11 another -- I have depositions scheduled that day out of not know for sure Mr. Daum or Mr. Dillon. I will 12 state so I cannot attend. But any rebuttal to our 12 confirm that and can let everybody on the distribution 13 witnesses or witnesses during the technical hearing in 13 list know as soon as possible on both of those. 14 Bismarck should come during the available dates that 14 Let me just back up, however, a little bit on 15 have been set aside here. 15 the issue of the scope here. Again, we have a limited 16 In terms of the order of the witnesses, I agree 16 amount of time. I understand that certainly our 17 with Mr. Jorde that he and I can work that out to, 17 witnesses are subject to being crossed on anything that 18 hopefully, be as streamlined as possible. 18 they said, but there was no prior objection to that 19 19 One clarification I would request in relation to testimony coming in and, you know, we were trying to be 20 20 the issues to be addressed at the technical hearing, you narrowly responsive to the issues that the Commission 21 know, looking at the portions of the April 22nd, 2024, 21 itself had raised. That doesn't re-open the entire 22 in terms of Summit witnesses, you know, they went well 22 hearing and the breadth of everything that was discussed 23 beyond the issues identified by the PSC as issues to be 23 in the original hearing. 24 addressed at this hearing. For instance, they talked 24 The other thing I would say about Linton and our 25 about carbon sequestration, corn production and prices, 25 ability to put on a rebuttal case, you know, we have 1 enhanced oil recovery, and then they -- Mr. Dublinske 1 made accommodations for purported conflicts. We have 2 just raised Satartia. Satartia could very well be a 2 our own conflicts. Mr. Bender is not going to be 3 line of questioning based on the testimony that was 3 available for the technical hearing. We're going to go 4 offered by Summit's witnesses at April 22nd, 2024. ahead, nonetheless. But Mr. Bakke had not previously 5 So I'm assuming we get full opportunity to 5 raised an unavailability in Linton. I think it just 6 makes sense that rebuttal goes last. That's generally cross-examine their witnesses. I understand 7 Mr. Dublinske wants to apparently restrict that or -- or 7 what rebuttal is. But unless one of the other Summit 8 present our own witnesses on the topics that were 8 folks (indiscernible) someone knows for sure, I'll get 9 9 addressed. Obviously, our witnesses are not going to back to this group as soon as possible on Daum and 10 10 Dillon. offer the same testimony as Summit's witnesses did in 11 11 this. As part of our response to that, they will be ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. 12 talking about concerns with a CO2 leak and all those 12 Mr. Zomer, I understand you're not planning to 13 other issues that they were addressing on April 22nd. 13 offer any witnesses at the technical hearing. Are you 14 14 looking to cross-examine any of Summit's witnesses that So to the extent that testimony was allowed, 15 which it was, we should be allowed to fully 15 testified at the prior hearing? 16 16 cross-examine those witnesses. And I just want to make MR. ZOMER: No, Judge Hogan, we are not going to 17 sure that -- you know, from Mr. Dublinske that 17 participate in any of the live hearings for Mr. Dillon and Mr. Daum, D-A-U-M, will be available at 18 cross-examination or offering our own witnesses. 18 19 the hearing, the technical hearing, starting on 19 ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Thank you. 20 20 April 28th. All right. And, Mr. Pelham, on behalf of the 21 21 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dublinske, were -- well, maybe Commission, thoughts on order of the hearing and 22 22 I'll ask this in two parts. Those specific individuals, potential time allocation. 23 23 are they going to be at the hearing? And, secondly, do MR. PELHAM: The order, I think, is pretty well 24 you know, are the witnesses from the last hearing, are 24 set out. I mean, I think it makes sense what's been discussed. As far as the time limitations, I mean in they going to be in person or by video conference? 25 25

PAGE 20

PAGE 18

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV	1		
1	some ways I hesitate to set them, but, I mean, we've got	1	going to have.	
2	practical considerations.	2	I've had hearings before where I've assigned	
3	And I'm not going to repeat what I said about	3	hours to parties. I don't think that works in this case	
4	prefiled testimony but, quite frankly, that's going to	4	because we're obviously going to have questions from the	
5	speed things up. There's a lot of testimony that can be	5	commissioners and Commission staff and stuff like that.	
6	covered. It can be written out in question form and	6	So I envision something more general, that each	
7	answered. The Commission does read it. I know staff	7	party is going to get a day or a day and a half to	
8	reads it. It is preferred. And it would significantly	8	present their case and then you're going to have to make	
9	cut down on the amount of direct testimony and would	9	arrangements to fit within that time so that we finish	
10	allow for a better crafted, more efficient cross from	10	within four days. So that's kind of what I'm thinking	
11	both sides, which, in this instance, it's an interesting	11	as far as that goes. And I will, hopefully, get	
12	situation because the cross is can be very well	12	something out early next week for that.	
13	tailored in the sense that the testimony has already	13	So, with that said, I'll just go around the room	
14	been received and will have some time to tailor that.	14	and see if there's any other issues that we need to	
15	So I really think and would stress the importance and	15	discuss before we conclude this afternoon.	
16	really the request for prefiled testimony to speed	16	Mr. Dublinske, did you have anything else you	
17	things up.	17	wanted to discuss this afternoon?	
18	As far as the evidentiary issues, I think that's	18	MR. DUBLINSKE: I don't think so, Your Honor.	
19	going to be for you, Judge, at the time of the hearing	19	Just one point relevant to sort of division of time.	
20	and to hear certain objections. There's been motions in	20	Mr. Jorde made the point that it's his view that any new	
21	limine that have been filed and they haven't been ruled	21	direct examination that we do of the one remaining	
22	on so I'm not sure that now is the time to talk about	22	witness doesn't count against their time, which	
23	evidentiary issues.	23	obviously is only relevant if we end up dividing up	
24	Thank you.	24		
25	ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, regarding the evidentiary	25	the record, that additional direction will be quite	
	PAGE 21		PAGE 23	
1	issues, it's really hard to kind of make those	1	brief. In the grand scheme of the four days, that will	
2	statements in abstract or without having the testimony	2	not amount to much. So this is largely for the	
3	right in front of you. I can't really say this is	3	presentation that and the cross-examination that the	
4	allowed, this isn't allowed when I don't know what the	4	other parties were not available to make at the original	
5	testimony is going to be.	5	hearing, but there won't be much of that new direct	
6	And, you know, I agree that there's concerns of	6	examination to factor into the time calculus.	
7	limiting this to what the matter was re-opened for.	7	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Dublinske, refresh my	
8	That said, administrative hearings are designed to be	8	memory. For Mr. Olson, you Summit already prefiled	
9	maybe more loose with the relevance issues than maybe a	9	his testimony; correct?	
10	court proceeding or something. So I guess my thought is	10	MR. DUBLINSKE: Correct.	
11	always, if it's questionable whether or not it's	11	ALJ HOGAN: Okay.	
12	relevant or it should come in, it generally comes in.	12	MR. DUBLINSKE: I think.	
13	But, again, I have concerns about going down	13	Ty, is that right?	
14	roads that the Commission doesn't have jurisdiction over	14	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, this is Tyler Gludt.	
15	or that the case was not re-opened to address. So I	15	That is incorrect.	
16	just encourage everybody to read the order again and to	16	MR. DUBLINSKE: Incorrect.	
17	have a good understanding of the issues the Commission	17	MR. GLUDT: We have not prefiled testimony from	
18	has already said this matter was re-opened to address.	18	Mr. Olson, but it is certainly something that we'll	
19	And that's about as good of guidance as I can give at	19	explore leading up to the 28th here. And we'll try to	
20	this point and we're just going to have to address that	20	get that in as soon as possible.	
21	when it comes up at the hearing.	21	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	
22	As far as time allocation, like I said before, I	22	Mr. Jorde, any other issues you wanted to	
1	have concerns. We've got four days, which is a lot of	23	discuss this afternoon?	
23	have concerns. We we got four days, willer is a for or	1		
23 24	time, but after seeing the prefiled witness list,	24	MR. JORDE: Well, I guess point of clarification	
		24 25	MR. JORDE: Well, I guess point of clarification or food for thought on this dividing up days. I mean,	
24	time, but after seeing the prefiled witness list,			

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

it looks like it's just Burleigh County and the these witnesses and their availability. 2 landowners so I -- there's four days. I think Mr. Bakke 2 And then I would just note for the record in 3 and myself and Mr. Leibel can figure out how to get our 3 relation to Mr. Lange, you know, I did indicate I wanted 4 case presented. to call him as a witness because of his involvement in 5 I don't really like the idea of dividing up a the issue of emergency preparedness and response to a 6 6 day because we're going to be presenting -- I mean, CO2 leak. He was the person from Summit on that issue. 7 7 Mr. Bakke might have a witness, then I might have a And in relation to Mr. Dublinske's comment about 8 witness based on all the schedules we're juggling. And 8 Linton, I've always indicated to Your Honor and to 9 so I -- I mean, there's four days. I mean, I think the 9 everyone else that I would not be participating this 10 order is we're done at whatever; 6 p.m. on the fourth 10 time in Linton. So it's not like I was disclosing that 11 11 day we're done. Doesn't matter if you have 20 more for the first time today. 12 12 And I guess the final area is in terms of witnesses, you're out. 13 And I guess what I'm saying is I don't think 13 exhibit lists. Is it the Court's request that we simply 14 trying to tighten it up to something that's a little 14 submit those and the exhibits on the morning of the 15 hearing like has been done in the past and have copies more artificial makes sense. I think it's just "You two 15 16 guys figure it out. And if one of you guys didn't get 16 available for the commissioners and for Your Honor and 17 your witnesses presented, too bad, so sad." 17 for the attorneys? 18 Does that make sense? Because if you say, 18 ALJ HOGAN: That's fine with me. I can tell 19 "Well, you have Tuesday" or "You have Wednesday," it's, 19 you, if you prefile them, I think the commissioners 20 20 like, okay, half my witnesses aren't available on generally review anything that's prefiled. So, you 21 21 Wednesday. I mean, it just gets a little cumbersome. I know, if it's something you think should be reviewed by 22 mean, we're all professionals here. I think we can get 22 them prior to the hearing, I can't guarantee they will, 23 this done in time. 23 but in my experience they do review the prefiled 24 ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Jorde, I appreciate that 24 materials before the hearing. So if that's something 25 and I concur that it gives you less flexibility in 25 you'd like them to review, I suggest prefiling it. PAGE 25 PAGE 27 1 MR. BAKKE: Okay. 1 making those arrangements. I just feel that, in prior 2 hearings, the amount of time set aside for the hearings, 2 ALJ HOGAN: But I would request one hard copy, 3 I don't know that that's worked terribly well. And so I 3 and you can bring that to the hearing, that's fine, for 4 just -- I just don't want to walk into this hearing with 4 me. 5 5 no guidelines. And I'm going to set some framework. If MR. BAKKE: And for the commissioners as well? 6 you guys want to work out something else among that, 6 ALJ HOGAN: Yes. 7 7 that's fine, but I think we need some general time MR. PELHAM: If you want them to look at it. 8 frames on how time is going to work for this hearing. 8 Judge, I will say that it is a hybrid hearing so 9 That's just my thought. 9 it really should be filed -- prefiled --10 10 Okay. Mr. Bakke, any other issues you wanted to ALJ HOGAN: Yeah. 11 11 MR. PELHAM: -- in advance. Because there may discuss this afternoon? 12 MR. BAKKE: Well, in relation to the last 12 be -- I know that there's at least one commissioner on 13 comments about some time frames, I agree with Mr. Jorde. 13 one day, that they are going to be appearing remotely. 14 14 I know one day I will be appearing remotely. So I think It wouldn't be feasible to just have an assigned day, 15 for example, for Burleigh County and an assigned day for 15 your -- I would request that your order require that 16 intervenor landowners, because I know we already had 16 they be filed within so many days of the technical 17 some conflicts by witnesses if it was to be a single 17 hearing. 18 day, but I think Mr. Jorde and I can work that out. 18 ALJ HOGAN: That's a good --19 I understand perhaps time frames by a number of 19 MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor --20 20 hours or something like that total over the course of ALJ HOGAN: Thanks. 21 21 the four days. But Mr. Jorde and I have been working MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, if I could just 22 22 together before this prehearing conference to set up a respond to an issue that Mr. Jorde and Mr. Bakke raised. 23 23 schedule between us and it's going to work just the way You know, I would ask Your Honor to consider -- we don't 24 he said, that he'll have one witness, I may have one, he 24 have any objection if Mr. Jorde and Mr. Bakke want to may have two in a row. It's all about the scheduling of 25 sort of shift back and forth on whose witness is up. I 25 PAGE 28

	ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
1	understand that we're trying to facilitate schedules and	1	MR. PELHAM: Victor.		
2	squeeze in. I would say that if they can provide us	2	MR. SCHOCK: Go ahead, Zach.		
3	with some amount of notice what order they think they	3	MR. PELHAM: Maybe you got a better answer than		
4	will have for each of the given days, that will allow us	4	I do.		
5	to move more efficiently through cross-examination and	5	I mean, in my mind, if it's prefiled in advance		
6	to prepare for the right witnesses that are up at the	6	and available, then bringing copies to the hearing would		
7	right times. And to a point Mr. Pelham was making	7	be appropriate. It's just this last hearing we had with		
8	earlier, there's just a lot of these little things that	8	Summit's witnesses, my recollection was they were		
9	will help make everything move more efficiently.	9	exhibits were already part of the record so there		
10	So I guess my request, whether it's to them or	10	weren't additional documents physically provided. So I		
11	it's to you, is that if they end up doing sort of mix	11	don't think that anyone's hand is going to get slapped		
12	and match and sort of a random order, if we can know	12	if there's not seven copies, is my thought on it.		
13	that in advance, that would be helpful.	13	Victor, I don't know if you have any thoughts on		
14	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	14	that.		
15	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, can I say something on	15	MR. SCHOCK: I would agree to the point that we		
16	that?	16	do the prefiled testimony, if we're filing that		
17	ALJ HOGAN: Sure. Go ahead.	17	testimony ahead of time, we're getting those hard copies		
18	MR. JORDE: So first the exhibits. Since it's	18	beforehand, then I agree, I don't see a necessity to		
19	I know there's a rule that says I can't even	19	bring additional hard copies to a hearing for that kind		
20	remember how many copies, is it seven or nine or	20	of example.		
21	whatever but of hard copies, but for the technical	21	But I would say, if we do not do prefiled		
22	hearing can we file them electronically, or even though	22	testimony, anything you bring would be a concern. I		
23	everyone's remote, we still have to deliver them	23	mean, I know some of those commissioners prefer to work		
24	somewhere even though they're not going to get to the	24	off of paper versus looking at some electronic copy on a		
25	people? What are your thoughts on that?	25	laptop or a tablet or something. So I would just		
_	PAGE 29 PAGE 31				
1	ALJ HOGAN: And I actually wasn't aware that one	1	caution against only relying upon electronic.		
2	of the commissioners wasn't going to be there at the	2	ALJ HOGAN: So to clarify, Mr. Schock, if you		
3	actual hearing for one of the days. So for sure they	3	prefile, you got to send some hard copies in?		
_					
4	need to be prefiled. And I'm you should still bring	4	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard		
5	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if	5	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies.		
5 6	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things.	5 6	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at		
5	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question.	5 6 7	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either		
5 6 7 8	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and	5 6 7 8	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two?		
5 6 7 8 9	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the	5 6 7 8 9	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes.		
5 6 7 8 9	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to	5 6 7 8 9	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde?		
5 6 7 8 9 10	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees.		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you.		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket.		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally and everyone have access to them right there, that the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're not at the actual hearing.		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally and everyone have access to them right there, that the hard copy multiple hard copy procedure is maybe	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're not at the actual hearing. MR. LEIBEL: Judge, one thing I wanted to bring		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally and everyone have access to them right there, that the hard copy multiple hard copy procedure is maybe redundant and ineffective and unnecessary. I'm just	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're not at the actual hearing. MR. LEIBEL: Judge, one thing I wanted to bring to your attention before we break is we did file today a		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally and everyone have access to them right there, that the hard copy multiple hard copy procedure is maybe redundant and ineffective and unnecessary. I'm just putting that out there.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're not at the actual hearing. MR. LEIBEL: Judge, one thing I wanted to bring to your attention before we break is we did file today a motion for, at the Wahpeton hearing, that Mr. Jorde		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally and everyone have access to them right there, that the hard copy multiple hard copy procedure is maybe redundant and ineffective and unnecessary. I'm just putting that out there. ALJ HOGAN: And I don't know. That's maybe a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're not at the actual hearing. MR. LEIBEL: Judge, one thing I wanted to bring to your attention before we break is we did file today a motion for, at the Wahpeton hearing, that Mr. Jorde could appear without local counsel, and I just wanted to		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hard copies to the hearing, I would think, especially if we're talking like maps and those types of things. MR. JORDE: And maybe that was a poor question. For the technical hearing only I intend to be remote and certainly a handful of witnesses will be if not the majority. So I was kind of tailoring my comment just to the virtual nature of those hearings. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Repeat your question then. MR. JORDE: Yeah. Like when we show up in person and everyone's sitting there, you know, all the commissioners, everyone, you know, you hand out the hard copy, okay, that's all fine and good. But for the virtual setting, I mean I'm not going to be able to "Mr. Pelham, here's your hard copy" type of deal. So I'm just thinking that filing them digitally and everyone have access to them right there, that the hard copy multiple hard copy procedure is maybe redundant and ineffective and unnecessary. I'm just putting that out there.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. SCHOCK: Correct. Original plus seven hard copies. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So it doesn't have to come at the hearing, but the hard copies need to come either before or at the hearing, one of the two? MR. SCHOCK: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Does that help, Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: I think so. We're killing trees. Either way, it's just a matter of what day we're killing them on. But, yeah, I get it. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: We're old fashioned up here in Bismarck. We like our paper. But if you prefile the hard copies, then you scan and put them into the docket. So I think that helps with the remote nature of this hearing, is that they would be accessible on the docket for people to pull them up on their computer if they're not at the actual hearing. MR. LEIBEL: Judge, one thing I wanted to bring to your attention before we break is we did file today a motion for, at the Wahpeton hearing, that Mr. Jorde		

```
won't be a -- I don't know if anyone is going to object.
                                                                          recent exhibit list that's filed with the PSC is going
 2
     but I just wanted to bring that to your attention.
                                                                      2
                                                                          to have the exhibits that were accepted at the last
 3
             ALJ HOGAN: Yep, I saw it. I have an order
                                                                      3
                                                                          hearing. And it did not duplicate exhibit numbers. I
 4
     drafted. It's going to be granted. It will probably
                                                                      4
                                                                          don't recall off the top of my head what I used for
 5
     not go out until next week because I'm out of the office
                                                                      5
                                                                          numbers, but I believe it was something different.
 6
                                                                      6
     tomorrow, but, yes, you're all good. I saw it and it's
                                                                                  MR. BAKKE: Okay. Great.
                                                                      7
 7
     -- it will be approved. So I think that's what we did
                                                                                  ALJ HOGAN: And then as far as Mr. Bender's
 8
     last time in Wahpeton anyway so...
                                                                      8
                                                                          filing and Mr. Boeshans' testimony and Moldenhauer's
 9
             MR. LEIBEL: Thank you.
                                                                      9
                                                                          testimony, as far as I know, a transcript has not been
10
             ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Zomer, any other
                                                                     10
                                                                          filed. The audio's available on the docket, I believe,
11
                                                                     11
     issues you wanted to discuss this afternoon?
                                                                          but I'm assuming this is something that Summit had
12
             MR. ZOMER: No, Your Honor.
                                                                     12
                                                                          transcribed or did themselves.
13
             ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Pelham, anything else we
                                                                     13
                                                                                   But, Mr. Dublinske, did you want to address that
14
     need to discuss?
                                                                     14
                                                                          at all?
15
                                                                     15
                                                                                  MR. DUBLINSKE: Sure, Your Honor. So first of
             MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
16
             ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you all for
                                                                     16
                                                                          all, my understanding is that Mr. Bender did respond to
17
                                                                     17
                                                                          Mr. Bakke this morning, but I think our position on that
     joining this afternoon --
18
             MR. BAKKE: Your Honor.
                                                                     18
                                                                          is that if Mr. Bakke does not believe or questions the
19
                                                                     19
             ALJ HOGAN: Go ahead.
                                                                          reduction to writing that we had in our filing, the best
20
                                                                     20
             MR. BAKKE: Your Honor, I'm sorry. This is
                                                                          source of this is already available to him and to the
21
                                                                          Commission and is public, and that is the original
     Randy Bakke. I didn't want to jump in between the
                                                                     21
22
     different parties --
                                                                     22
                                                                          recording from the hearing. That is publicly available
23
                                                                     23
                                                                          through the Commission. That was our source of
             ALJ HOGAN: Sure.
24
             MR. BAKKE: -- discussing things, but I had sent
                                                                     24
                                                                          material. And, again, that is available, has been
25
     an email -- there was a response filed by Mr. Bender
                                                                     25
                                                                          available to Mr. Bakke. And we would suggest that if
                                                                          there are any questions about what was said or what we
 1
     earlier this week providing part of Chad Moldenhauer's
                                                                      1
 2
     testimony at the April 22nd, 2024, hearing, and I had
                                                                      2
                                                                          represented was said, that folks go back to that
 3
     written to Mr. Bender asking for a copy of just that
                                                                      3
                                                                          original audio source.
 4
     portion of the transcript which contained
                                                                                  ALJ HOGAN: Yeah. And, again, I believe the
 5
     Mr. Moldenhauer's testimony and I never heard back. And
                                                                      5
                                                                          audio is available on the docket. I haven't looked
 6
     perhaps Mr. Dublinske can confirm that they'll provide
                                                                          recently, but they're usually posted right to the docket
 7
     that to us.
                                                                      7
                                                                          and you can click on them and listen to them. So that
 8
             What I'm trying to assess is -- and it's going
                                                                      8
                                                                          would be the source of that. And as far as I know, a
 9
                                                                      9
     to depend on Mr. Boeshans' testimony, whether I need to
                                                                          transcript has not been made or become part of the
10
                                                                     10
                                                                          docket.
     call Mr. Moldenhauer as a rebuttal witness, but kind of
11
                                                                     11
     the starting point is to be able to see just his
                                                                                  So any other issues we need to discuss?
                                                                     12
12
     testimony. And I think the PSC should be able to -- in
                                                                                  MR. SCHOCK: If I may, Your Honor.
13
     assessing that response that Mr. Bender filed, should be
                                                                     13
                                                                                  ALJ HOGAN: Go ahead.
14
     able to see the complete Moldenhauer testimony. I
                                                                     14
                                                                                  MR. SCHOCK: This is just a couple of
15
     understand it wasn't very long, but I would request that
                                                                     15
                                                                          organizational questions. So I think I caught in there
16
     Mr. Dublinske provide that to us.
                                                                     16
                                                                          that the City of Bismarck doesn't intend to attend any
17
             And then the second item is I just want to make
                                                                     17
                                                                          of the hearings in person. Is there any other party who
                                                                     18
                                                                          doesn't intend on attending either Wahpeton or Linton?
18
     sure there's no duplication of exhibits. You know, I
19
     wasn't at the April 22nd hearing, but were there
                                                                     19
                                                                          Okav.
                                                                     20
20
     Exhibits 1 through 6 marked at that hearing which would
                                                                                  MR. BAKKE: I won't -- this is Randy Bakke. I
21
                                                                     21
     be using the same numbers as 1 through 6 earlier in this
                                                                          won't be at Linton or at Wahpeton.
22
                                                                     22
     matter so that we'd have duplicate 1 through 6?
                                                                                  MR. SCHOCK: Okay. That's helpful.
23
             ALJ HOGAN: I have made -- I'd used one exhibit
                                                                     23
                                                                                  MR. ZOMER: (Indiscernible) from the city won't
24
                                                                     24
                                                                          be -- the city is not going to attend any of the
     list as we've gone on and I just add to it after every
25
     hearing. So if you go on the PSC docket, the most
                                                                     25
                                                                          hearings.
                                                        PAGE 34
                                                                                                                             PAGE 36
```

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	MR. SCHOCK: Okay. Appreciate that.	1	week so that leaves us a ton of time to figure this out.
2	And then as far as so for the technical	2	So, Mr. Jorde, could you maybe just send a
3	hearings, we have provisions arranged for remote	3	letter in requesting maybe just start with Wahpeton
4	participation for witnesses and attorneys and	4	since that's probably the most imminent. If you could
5	commissioners. However, for Linton and Wahpeton, is	5	maybe just send a letter giving us an idea of how many
6	there any need for a similar setup for remote witnesses	6	potential parties or witnesses you could or would call
7	or remote participation?	7	by video conference if that was available and asking if
8	MR. JORDE: Well, I would chime in. If that's	8	that's available. And then maybe that can be passed
9	an option and if it looks like it's just going to be	9	along to the Commission and, I'm sure, to Mr. Schock and
10	Steve and/or I at those hearings, other than Summit,	10	he can do some investigation to see if we can facilitate
11	then that would potentially alleviate any crunch on the	11	that.
12	four days. Because I'm trying to cram in all our	12	MR. JORDE: I can. In my mind, I was thinking,
13	witnesses really on the technical hearing.	13	again, because it's so soon, it might be hard to
14	So that's a long way of saying, if that option	14	coordinate, but I was thinking more as a possibility for
15	is available, then that could, I mean, really alleviate,	15	the June 4th hearing. And I don't know if that would be
16	I think, any pressure on the technical hearing,	16	something Summit would like, if they're going to put on
17		17	rebuttal.
18	especially specifically as to the June opening that up for June 4th.	18	But in any event, if how about this? If we
19	MR. SCHOCK: So we can make those arrangements.	19	intend to do that for Wahpeton, I'll get something to
	_	20	
20	It's I mean, depending on the venue, it's a little more challenging. I mean, Wahpeton's pretty decent.	21	you right away. If not, we'll look towards Linton, if that's okay.
22	There's a good size area there where we can set the	22	ALJ HOGAN: Sure. I think
23	screens. Linton, we're using a different venue that I'm	23	I assume, Victor, we're at are we at the
24	not familiar with so I can't speak to how simple that	24	College of Science again in Wahpeton?
25	setup is going to be.	25	MR. SCHOCK: Yes. Same place as last time.
23	PAGE 37	23	PAGE 39
	17.62.67		17.62.00
1	And part of the complication is I'm using an	1	ALL HOGAN: I would be shocked if they couldn't
1 2	And part of the complication is I'm using an outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for	1 2	ALJ HOGAN: I would be shocked if they couldn't
2	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for	2	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at.
2	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how	2	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility,
2 3 4	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a	2 3 4	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the
2 3 4 5	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we	2 3 4 5	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we
2 3 4 5 6	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more	2 3 4 5 6	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if
2 3 4 5 6 7	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit	2 3 4 5 6 7	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to speak on that piece of it. I just need to know if we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think the Commission will probably have to discuss it and see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to speak on that piece of it. I just need to know if we need to if I need to have my sound contractor make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think the Commission will probably have to discuss it and see if that's something they want to allow or not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to speak on that piece of it. I just need to know if we need to if I need to have my sound contractor make preparations for it or not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think the Commission will probably have to discuss it and see if that's something they want to allow or not. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I was just going to respond to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to speak on that piece of it. I just need to know if we need to if I need to have my sound contractor make preparations for it or not. So I guess I'll turn it back to you, Judge, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think the Commission will probably have to discuss it and see if that's something they want to allow or not. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I was just going to respond to that. There is no order saying these are the people you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to speak on that piece of it. I just need to know if we need to if I need to have my sound contractor make preparations for it or not. So I guess I'll turn it back to you, Judge, if you want to discuss about the if it's going to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think the Commission will probably have to discuss it and see if that's something they want to allow or not. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I was just going to respond to that. There is no order saying these are the people you have to or you're excluded from bringing at any hearing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	outside vendor that's providing the sound and video for these things so I don't have perfect clarity of how simple that is. I mean, witnesses, we do that on a regular basis and it's not a major issue, but when we have participation from attorneys, it's a little more challenging because there's a little a little bit more speaking going on. So I guess I can't give you certainty if that's going to work well or not. MR. JORDE: So should we make a motion or give notice if we're requesting that? I just want to be clear what you're looking for in terms of an answer there. MR. SCHOCK: Yeah. I guess I don't know that it's something that we've historically specifically allowed or disallowed so I'm not going to speak to whether it's permitted or not. Maybe the judge wants to speak on that piece of it. I just need to know if we need to if I need to have my sound contractor make preparations for it or not. So I guess I'll turn it back to you, Judge, if you want to discuss about the if it's going to be permitted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	facilitate that at that venue given where it's at. And, yeah, I mean if that's a possibility, Mr. Jorde, I I guess I'd have to run it by the Commission too, but I think that's a good idea if we could have some of your witnesses testify there. And if that alleviates time issues for the 22nd, that is great too. MR. DUBLINSKE: Respectfully, Your Honor, I think we should have some concern of using Wahpeton and Linton as part of a relief valve from the technical hearing. You know, the Commission had expressed four days. That was not really what Wahpeton and Linton were intended to be for, is to sort of circumvent the time that was set for the technical hearing. So for what it's worth, I think we would have some concerns about that. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Well, that's noted. I think the Commission will probably have to discuss it and see if that's something they want to allow or not. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I was just going to respond to that. There is no order saying these are the people you have to or you're excluded from bringing at any hearing. So the people that we may bring to the technical hearing

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND PUBLIC SERV	ICE COMMISSION
1	again, if it adds flexibility, I think it should be	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
2	considered.	
3	ALJ HOGAN: I agree. It wasn't stated	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss.
4	specifically. I think the Commission did express their	
5	intent that a bulk of the hearing, or most of it, was	I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified
6	supposed to happen at that first Bismarck or Mandan	electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic
7	hearing, and then those remote hearings were supposed to	sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional
8	be more for landowners within the affected landowners	skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am
9	in that geologic area and public comment. I think	only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings.
10	that's kind of what they had envisioned. But, obviously	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the
11	things have changed since the notice of hearing was	parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such
12	first issued.	attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.
13	So I think, at the end of the day, their number	Dated this date of October 20, 2025.
14	one priority is going to try to get going to be to	
15	try to allow everybody ample opportunity to present its	
16	case. So that's as much as I can say without further	LISA A. HULM, CET-783
17	consultation with them on what they're going to allow	
18	with those other hearings. All right.	
19	MR. SCHOCK: I would agree with your	
20	characterization of that, Judge, I mean just based on	
21	their previous work sessions, that the remote hearings	
22	at Wahpeton and Linton were primarily intended for	
23	affected landowners in those areas. The Mandan hearings	The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to the reproduction of the same by any
24	were really for the to get out all the technical	means, unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying transcriber.
25	details and now the technical hearings were intended for	
1	PAGE 41	PAGE 43
2	that purpose.	
3	Now, again, similar to you, I can't speak for where their thoughts may lie on this, but I would	
4	assume, based on earlier discussions, they wouldn't be	
5	terribly interested in spending a great deal of time in	
6	those locations on other more technical matters.	
7	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Any other issues we need	
8	to discuss?	
9	Well, thank you to everybody for joining in	
10	today. And I will note for the record it's 3:04 p.m.	
11	and that will conclude our prehearing conference.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

25

1	30:3, 32:20	8:6, 22:25	В	burden [1] - 14:13
-	add [4] - 5:17, 13:20,	anyway [1] - 33:8		Burleigh [3] - 3:10,
	14:22, 34:24	apologize [1] - 4:23		25:1, 26:15
1 [3] - 34:20, 34:21,	additional [5] - 8:10,	appear [2] - 3:17,	bad [1] - 25:17	Byron [1] - 2:13
34:22	8:17, 23:25, 31:10,	32:24	Bakke [17] - 3:8, 3:10,	
15 [1] - 16:12	31:19	appearance [2] - 2:9,	5:14, 10:16, 11:2,	С
15th [1] - 11:10	address [6] - 5:3,	2:23	17:3, 20:4, 25:2,	C
16 [1] - 2:1			25:7, 26:10, 28:22,	
10[1] 2.1	13:21, 22:15, 22:18,	appearing [4] - 2:15,	28:24, 33:21, 35:17,	calculus [1] - 24:6
2	22:20, 35:13	2:18, 28:13, 28:14	35:18, 35:25, 36:20	cannot [1] - 17:12
2	addressed [4] - 6:20,	appreciate [4] - 7:2,		carbon [2] - 14:3,
	17:20, 17:24, 18:9	14:16, 25:24, 37:1	BAKKE [11] - 3:9,	17:25
20 [1] - 25:11	addressing [2] - 14:6,	appreciated [1] -	5:15, 17:6, 26:12,	
20230002 [1] - 2:4	18:13	16:25	28:1, 28:5, 33:18,	Carbon [2] - 2:14,
	adds [1] - 41:1	appropriate [3] - 9:11,	33:20, 33:24, 35:6,	2:18
2024 [5] - 2:1, 2:7,	administrative [1] -	12:11, 31:7	36:20	case [11] - 8:22, 9:12,
17:21, 18:4, 34:2	22:8	appropriateness [1] -	based [4] - 18:3, 25:8,	9:23, 10:19, 15:14,
22nd [6] - 17:21, 18:4,	admissibility [1] -	8:16	41:20, 42:4	19:25, 22:15, 23:3,
18:13, 34:2, 34:19,	6:21	approved [1] - 33:7	basis [1] - 38:5	23:8, 25:4, 41:16
40:7	admissible [1] - 6:13	April [6] - 17:21, 18:4,	become [1] - 36:9	Case [1] - 2:3
24th [2] - 4:22, 4:23	admit [2] - 5:16, 12:7		beforehand [1] -	caught [1] - 36:15
28th [5] - 2:6, 4:3,		18:13, 18:20, 34:2,	31:18	caution [1] - 32:1
4:21, 18:20, 24:19	admitted [2] - 5:19,	34:19	begin [1] - 14:1	certain [3] - 3:15,
2:04 [1] - 2:1	12:8	area [3] - 27:12, 37:22,	behalf [8] - 2:5, 2:13,	16:1, 21:20
	admitting [1] - 5:5	41:9		•
3	advance [3] - 28:11,	areas [1] - 41:23	2:19, 2:25, 3:10,	certainly [4] - 14:18,
3	29:13, 31:5	arranged [1] - 37:3	3:13, 12:17, 20:20	19:16, 24:18, 30:9
	affected [2] - 41:8,	arrangements [3] -	Bender [6] - 11:14,	certainty [1] - 38:9
3:04 [1] - 42:10	41:23	23:9, 26:1, 37:19	20:2, 33:25, 34:3,	Chad [1] - 34:1
310 1[1]	affidavit [1] - 12:25	artificial [1] - 25:15	34:13, 35:16	challenging [3] -
4	afternoon [11] - 2:15,	aside [5] - 6:2, 6:5,	Bender's [1] - 35:7	13:20, 37:21, 38:7
4	2:21, 2:25, 3:9, 3:12,	10:18, 17:15, 26:2	best [2] - 11:3, 35:19	changed [1] - 41:11
	23:15, 23:17, 24:23,	assess [1] - 34:8	better [5] - 7:18,	characterization [1] -
4 [2] - 17:10	26:11, 33:11, 33:17		16:19, 21:10, 30:25,	41:20
4th [3] - 8:21, 37:18,		assessing [1] - 34:13	31:3	chief [1] - 10:19
39:15	agree [10] - 13:4, 16:8,	assigned [3] - 23:2,	between [3] - 14:11,	chime [1] - 37:8
00.10	17:6, 17:16, 22:6,	26:14, 26:15	26:23, 33:21	choose [1] - 12:10
5	26:13, 31:15, 31:18,	assume [4] - 3:23,	beyond [2] - 13:8,	chooses [1] - 9:13
5	41:3, 41:19	11:22, 39:23, 42:4	17:23	chose [1] - 3:23
	ahead [7] - 15:10,	assuming [2] - 18:5,		
5 [1] - 6:8	20:4, 29:17, 31:2,	35:11	Bismarck [5] - 3:13,	circumvent [1] - 40:14
	31:17, 33:19, 36:13	attend [4] - 3:24,	17:14, 32:15, 36:16,	city [2] - 36:23, 36:24
6	Alex [1] - 8:17	17:12, 36:16, 36:24	41:6	City [2] - 3:13, 36:16
	alleviate [3] - 16:24,	attending [2] - 4:5,	bit [3] - 9:7, 19:14,	clarification [2] -
	37:11, 37:15	36:18	38:7	17:19, 24:24
6 [4] - 25:10, 34:20,	alleviates [1] - 40:7	attention [2] - 32:22,	Blank [1] - 2:20	clarify [2] - 4:21, 32:2
34:21, 34:22	allocate [1] - 8:2	33:2	blind [1] - 13:2	clarity [1] - 38:3
6:00 [1] - 6:8	allocating [1] - 7:9	attorneys [3] - 27:17,	Boeshans' [2] - 34:9,	clear [2] - 9:17, 38:13
	allocation [3] - 17:5,	37:4, 38:6	35:8	click [1] - 36:7
٨	20:22, 22:22		Braaten [3] - 3:21,	close [1] - 4:13
Α		audio [2] - 36:3, 36:5	10:4, 10:5	CO2 [2] - 18:12, 27:6
	allow [6] - 15:21,	audio's [1] - 35:10	breadth [1] - 19:22	
ability [2] - 14:12,	21:10, 29:4, 40:20,	availability [1] - 27:1	break [1] - 32:22	College [1] - 39:24
19:25	41:15, 41:17	available [24] - 7:12,		coming [1] - 19:19
able [7] - 3:15, 9:14,	allowed [5] - 18:14,	8:8, 8:20, 8:23, 8:25,	Bret [1] - 2:13	commence [1] - 2:6
11:18, 30:17, 34:11,	18:15, 22:4, 38:17	9:24, 12:19, 17:10,	Brian [1] - 3:6	comment [4] - 15:11,
34:12, 34:14	amount [7] - 8:11,	17:14, 18:18, 20:3,	brief [1] - 24:1	27:7, 30:10, 41:9
•	16:5, 19:16, 21:9,	24:4, 25:20, 27:16,	bright [1] - 6:22	commentary [1] - 9:25
abstract [1] - 22:2	24:2, 26:2, 29:3	31:6, 35:10, 35:20,	bring [10] - 11:9, 13:5,	comments [1] - 26:13
accepted [2] - 5:20,	ample [1] - 41:15	35:22, 35:24, 35:25,	28:3, 30:4, 31:19,	Commission's [1] -
35:2	answer [2] - 31:3,	36:5, 37:15, 39:7,	31:22, 32:21, 33:2,	6:10
access [1] - 30:20	38:13	39:8	40:24, 40:25	commissioners [9] -
accessible [1] - 32:18	answered [1] - 21:7	avoid [2] - 5:18, 6:5	bringing [4] - 13:8,	16:17, 23:5, 27:16,
accommodations [1]	anticipate [8] - 3:17,	aware [1] - 30:1	19:5, 31:6, 40:23	27:19, 28:5, 30:2,
- 20:1	3:18, 3:22, 4:6, 4:18,		broad [1] - 14:3	30:15, 31:23, 37:5
active [1] - 11:24	5:1, 6:3, 7:8		broader [1] - 14:11	commonly [1] - 15:22
actual [3] - 16:25,	anticipated [3] - 6:25,		bulk [1] - 41:5	complaints [1] - 16:14
	unitioipated [3] - 0.23,		[.]	- 10.14
1	i .	i .	i e	ii.

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

complete [1] - 34:14 complication [1] -38.1 compression [1] -13:18 computer [1] - 32:19 concept [1] - 15:12 concern [3] - 13:22, 31:22, 40:10 concerned [1] - 11:11 concerns [9] - 6:12, 6:20, 14:11, 16:11, 18:12, 22:6, 22:13, 22:23. 40:16 conclude [2] - 23:15, 42:11 conclusion [2] - 9:13, 9:19 concur[1] - 25:25 conference [8] - 2:3, 2:5, 2:21, 3:16, 18:25, 26:22, 39:7, 42:11 conferences [1] -15:24 confined [1] - 13:6 confirm [2] - 19:12, 34:6 conflicts [3] - 20:1, 20:2, 26:17 consider [1] - 28:23 considerations [1] -21:2 considered [2] - 16:3, constrained [1] - 12:4 consultation [1] -41:17 contact [1] - 3:20 contained [1] - 34:4 content [1] - 15:5 contractor [1] - 38:20 coordinate [1] - 39:14 copies [12] - 27:15, 29:20. 29:21. 30:5. 31:6, 31:12, 31:17, 31:19, 32:3, 32:5, 32:7, 32:16 **copy** [7] - 28:2, 30:16, 30:18, 30:21, 31:24, 34:3 corn [1] - 17:25 correct [7] - 2:16, 2:17, 4:22, 9:6, 24:9, 24:10. 32:4 counsel [1] - 32:24 count [2] - 10:16, 23:22 country [1] - 8:18 County [3] - 3:10, 25:1, 26:15

couple [2] - 11:20,

36:14 course [2] - 13:9, 26:20 court [1] - 22:10 Court's [1] - 27:13 covered [1] - 21:6 crafted [1] - 21:10 cram [1] - 37:12 creation [1] - 19:3 cross [22] - 7:21, 8:9, 8:11, 8:25, 10:20, 10:24, 10:25, 11:3, 11:5, 11:17, 13:9, 14:25, 15:22, 17:8, 18:6, 18:16, 20:14, 20:18, 21:10, 21:12, 24:3, 29:5 cross-examination [8] - 7:21, 8:9, 10:20, 15:22, 17:8, 20:18, 24:3, 29:5 cross-examine [3] -18:6, 18:16, 20:14 crossed [1] - 19:17

D

crunch [1] - 37:11

cumbersome [1] -

cut [2] - 13:3, 21:9

25:21

Dakota [1] - 19:6 date [1] - 2:2 dates [1] - 17:14 Daum [4] - 18:18, 19:9, 19:11, 20:9 **DAUM** [1] - 18:18 days [18] - 6:2, 6:3, 6:5, 7:10, 11:20, 15:7, 22:23, 23:10, 24:1, 24:25, 25:2, 25:9, 26:21, 28:16, 29:4, 30:3, 37:12, 40:13 deal [3] - 14:15, 30:18, 42:5 decent [1] - 37:21 deficiencies [2] -6:17, 14:6 deliver [1] - 29:23 depositions [1] -17:11 designed [1] - 22:8 details [1] - 41:25 determined [1] - 8:11 difference [1] - 13:18 different [4] - 13:14, 33:22, 35:5, 37:23 digitally [1] - 30:19 Dillon [4] - 18:18, 19:9, 19:11, 20:10 dioxide [1] - 14:4

direct [5] - 2:11, 3:4, 21:9, 23:21, 24:5 direction [1] - 23:25 Director [1] - 3:2 disagree [1] - 13:1 disallowed [1] - 38:17 disclosina [1] - 27:10 discuss [11] - 2:5, 23:15, 23:17, 24:23, 26:11, 33:11, 33:14, 36:11, 38:23, 40:19, discussed [3] - 15:23, 19:22, 20:25 discussing [1] - 33:24 discussion [1] - 5:24 discussions [1] - 42:4 distribution [1] -19:12 dividing [3] - 23:23, 24:25, 25:5 division [1] - 23:19 docket [8] - 11:13, 32:16, 32:18, 34:25, 35:10, 36:5, 36:6, 36:10 documents [1] - 31:10 done [9] - 15:13, 15:14, 15:22, 16:1, 25:10, 25:11, 25:23, 27:15 down [3] - 14:17, 21:9, 22:13 drafted [1] - 33:4

2:13, 4:17, 5:8, 8:3, 9:2, 11:12, 11:18, 11:24, 12:6, 12:12, 12:24, 13:7, 13:10, 16:22, 18:1, 18:7, 18:17, 18:21, 23:16, 24:7, 34:6, 34:16, 35:13 DUBLINSKE [19] -2:12, 2:17, 4:20, 5:9, 8:5, 9:6, 9:18, 10:9, 13:12, 19:1, 19:9, 23:18, 24:10, 24:12, 24:16, 28:19, 28:21, 35:15, 40:9 Dublinske's [2] -11:10, 27:7 duplicate [2] - 34:22,

Dublinske [24] - 2:11,

11:13, 17:13, 17:14 Ε

duplication [1] - 34:18

during [4] - 8:19,

early [1] - 23:12 ears [1] - 14:20

35:3

efficiency [1] - 16:3 efficient [3] - 15:21, 16:16, 21:10 efficiently [2] - 29:5, 29:9 effort [1] - 7:3 either [4] - 14:18, 32:7, 32:12, 36:18 electronic [2] - 31:24, 32:1 electronically [1] -29:22 elsewhere [1] - 40:25 email [2] - 12:24, 33:25 emergency [1] - 27:5 encourage [1] - 22:16 end [6] - 6:8, 9:14, 9:25, 23:23, 29:11, 41:13 enhanced [1] - 18:1 ensure [1] - 9:23 entire [1] - 19:21 envision [2] - 8:6, 23:6 especially [4] - 7:2, 16:10, 30:5, 37:17 event [3] - 8:18, 8:20, 39:18 evidence [6] - 5:5,

envisioned [1] - 41:10 evening [2] - 6:6, 6:10 6:13, 6:16, 6:21, 9:24, 14:8 evidentiary [3] -

21:18, 21:23, 21:25 evils [1] - 16:4 examination [10] -7:21, 8:9, 10:20, 15:22, 17:8, 20:18, 23:21, 24:3, 24:6, 29.5 examine [3] - 18:6,

18:16, 20:14 example [6] - 14:2, 14:4, 14:7, 26:15, 31:20 exceeding [1] - 7:11 excluded [1] - 40:23

exhibit [7] - 5:17, 5:19, 27:13, 34:23, 35:1. 35:3 Exhibits [1] - 34:20 exhibits [6] - 5:20, 27:14, 29:18, 31:9, 34:18, 35:2 expedient [1] - 14:20 **experience** [1] - 27:23

experts [1] - 4:14 explained [1] - 15:16 explore [1] - 24:19

express [1] - 41:4

expressed [1] - 40:12 extension [1] - 10:18 extent [1] - 18:14

F

facilitate [3] - 29:1, 39:10. 40:2 fact [3] - 9:24, 15:13, 16:18 factor [1] - 24:6 familiar [1] - 37:24 far [10] - 7:15, 14:17, 20:25, 21:18, 22:22, 23:11, 35:7, 35:9, 36:8. 37:2 fashioned [1] - 32:14 feasible [1] - 26:14 few [1] - 13:3 figure [3] - 25:3, 25:16, 39:1 file [3] - 7:5, 29:22, 32:22 File [1] - 2:4 filed [9] - 5:25, 15:19, 21:21, 28:9, 28:16, 33:25, 34:13, 35:1, 35:10 filing [4] - 30:19, 31:16, 35:8, 35:19 filings [1] - 6:19 final [1] - 27:12 fine [9] - 2:12, 10:23, 12:2, 12:21, 15:3, 26:7, 27:18, 28:3, 30:16 finish [1] - 23:9 finishing [1] - 6:3 first [9] - 8:4, 8:19, 10:23, 16:10, 27:11, 29:18, 35:15, 41:6, 41:12 fit [1] - 23:9 flexibility [2] - 25:25, folks [4] - 8:24, 13:21, 20:8, 36:2 food [1] - 24:25 forget [1] - 5:4 form [1] - 21:6 forth [1] - 28:25 forward [1] - 17:2 four [13] - 6:2, 6:3, 6:5, 7:10, 15:7, 22:23, 23:10, 24:1, 25:2, 25:9, 26:21, 37:12, 40:12 fourth [1] - 25:10 frames [3] - 26:8,

26:13, 26:19

framework [1] - 26:5

frankly [2] - 15:18,

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

21:4
Fredrikson [1] - 2:13
Friday [1] - 32:25
front [1] - 22:3
full [3] - 13:15, 18:5,
19:4
fully [1] - 18:15

G

general [3] - 14:3, 23:6, 26:7 generally [3] - 20:6, 22:12, 27:20 geologic [1] - 41:9 given [3] - 7:10, 29:4, 40.2 Gludt [3] - 2:16, 2:17, 24:14 GLUDT [2] - 24:14, 24:17 goal [1] - 6:4 grand [1] - 24:1 granted [1] - 33:4 great [4] - 16:15, 35:6, 40:7, 42:5 **group** [1] - 20:9 guarantee [1] - 27:22 guess [10] - 10:13, 22:10. 24:24. 25:13. 27:12, 29:10, 38:9, 38:15, 38:22, 40:4 guidance [2] - 6:23, 22:19 guidelines [2] - 7:9, 26:5 guides [1] - 7:13 guys [6] - 3:3, 7:17,

Н

16:22, 25:16, 26:6

half [2] - 23:7, 25:20 hand [2] - 30:15, 31:11 handful [2] - 12:1, handle [2] - 14:19, 16:14 happy [3] - 12:12, 13:12. 15:7 hard [16] - 7:1, 22:1, 28:2, 29:21, 30:5, 30:15, 30:18, 30:21, 31:17, 31:19, 32:3, 32:4, 32:7, 32:16, 39:13 head [1] - 35:4 hear [2] - 7:25, 21:20 heard [1] - 34:5 hearing [89] - 2:6,

3:18, 3:23, 3:25, 4:2, 4:7, 4:19, 4:24, 5:3, 5:18, 5:21, 5:23, 5:24, 6:3, 6:11, 6:13, 6:14, 6:20, 7:5, 7:10, 7:14, 8:8, 8:19, 8:24, 8:25, 9:7, 9:16, 10:5, 10:6, 10:15, 10:18, 16:20, 16:25, 17:4, 17:13, 17:20, 17:24, 18:19, 18:23, 18:24, 19:3, 19:4, 19:22, 19:23, 20:3, 20:13, 20:15, 20:21, 21:19, 22:21, 24:5, 26:4, 26:8, 27:15, 27:22, 27:24, 28:3, 28:8, 28:17, 29:22, 30:3, 30:5, 30:8, 31:6, 31:7, 31:19, 32:7, 32:8, 32:18, 32:20, 32:23, 32:25, 34:2, 34:19, 34:20, 34:25, 35:3, 35:22, 37:13, 37:16, 39:15, 40:12, 40:15, 40:23, 40:24, 41:5, 41:7, 41:11 hearing's [1] - 38:25 hearings [17] - 14:9, 16:10, 20:17, 22:8, 23:2, 26:2, 30:11, 36:17, 36:25, 37:3, 37:10, 41:7, 41:18, 41:21, 41:23, 41:25 help [2] - 29:9, 32:10 helpful [3] - 16:21, 29:13, 36:22 helps [2] - 7:13, 32:17 hesitate [1] - 21:1 historically [1] - 38:16 Honor [33] - 2:12, 2:24, 3:6, 3:9, 3:12, 4:9, 4:20, 5:9, 5:13, 5:15, 8:5, 9:6, 10:10, 10:14, 12:6, 13:12, 17:6, 23:18, 23:24, 24:14, 27:8, 27:16, 28:19, 28:21, 28:23, 29:15, 33:12, 33:15, 33:18, 33:20, 35:15, 36:12, 40:9 hope [1] - 6:19

hopefully [2] - 17:18, hours [2] - 23:3, 26:20 hybrid [2] - 19:4, 28:8

idea [5] - 14:17, 16:19, 25:5, 39:5, 40:5 ideas [1] - 8:1

identified [1] - 17:23 imminent [1] - 39:4 importance [1] - 21:15 include [1] - 5:19 incorrect [2] - 24:15, 24:16 indicate [1] - 27:3 indicated [1] - 27:8 indiscernible [2] -20:8, 36:23 individuals [1] - 18:22 ineffective [1] - 30:22 input [1] - 7:16 instance [2] - 17:24, 21:11 instead [1] - 10:19 intend [5] - 9:9, 30:8, 36:16, 36:18, 39:19 intended [3] - 40:14, 41:22, 41:25 intends [1] - 10:6 intent [4] - 6:10, 6:16, 8:22, 41:5 interest [1] - 12:5 interested [2] - 11:23, 42:5 interesting [1] - 21:11 interrogatories [1] -5:6 intervening [1] - 3:7 intervenor [3] - 7:22, 16:6, 26:16 intervenors [2] - 9:5, 11:1 investigation [1] -

39:10

involvement [1] - 27:4 lowa [8] - 11:13, 11:19, 13:4, 13:14, 13:24, 14:15, 15:17, 15:18

issue [8] - 6:18, 13:11, 17:9, 19:15, 27:5, 27:6, 28:22, 38:5

issued [2] - 6:14, 41:12

issues [20] - 6:15, 14:3, 14:5, 17:20, 17:23, 18:13, 19:20, 21:18, 21:23, 22:1, 22:9, 22:17, 23:14, 24:22, 26:10, 33:11, 36:11, 40:7, 42:7

issuing [1] - 7:8 item [1] - 34:17 itself [1] - 19:21

J

Jess [1] - 2:20 job [1] - 15:15 joined [1] - 2:20 joining [2] - 33:17, 42.9 Jorde [24] - 3:4, 3:6, 4:4. 5:12. 9:21. 10:12, 12:16, 13:22, 14:21, 16:22, 17:7, 17:17, 23:20, 24:22, 25:24, 26:13, 26:18, 26:21, 28:22, 28:24, 32:10, 32:23, 39:2, 40:4 JORDE [17] - 3:6, 4:8, 4:12, 5:13, 10:13, 12:21, 14:22, 24:24, 29:15, 29:18, 30:7, 30:13, 32:11, 37:8, 38:11, 39:12, 40:21 Jorde's [2] - 13:16, 14:2 Judge [4] - 20:16, 21:19, 38:22, 41:20 judge [4] - 15:9, 28:8, 32:21, 38:18

K

juggling [1] - 25:8

June [6] - 8:21, 17:10,

37:17, 37:18, 39:15

jurisdiction [1] - 22:14

jump [1] - 33:21

jury [1] - 15:2

killing [2] - 32:11, 32:12 kind [10] - 5:23, 7:9, 7:16, 7:24, 22:1, 23:10, 30:10, 31:19, 34:10, 41:10 knows [1] - 20:8

L

lack [1] - 14:12

landowner [5] - 4:8. 4:14, 11:1, 16:10 landowners [8] - 3:7, 11:15, 16:13, 25:2, 26:16, 41:8, 41:23 Lange [3] - 8:17, 19:10. 27:3 laptop [1] - 31:25 large [1] - 11:19 largely [3] - 8:6, 8:11, 24:2 larger [1] - 11:13 last [8] - 10:4, 18:24, 20:6, 26:12, 31:7, 33:8, 35:2, 39:25 late [2] - 6:6, 6:9 leading [1] - 24:19 leak [2] - 18:12, 27:6

least [2] - 9:21, 28:12 leave [1] - 17:1 leaves [1] - 39:1 Lee [1] - 2:20 LEIBEL [2] - 32:21, 33:9 Leibel [4] - 3:4, 3:7, 10:17, 25:3 lengthy [1] - 13:21 less [1] - 25:25 lesser [1] - 16:4 letter [4] - 9:2, 11:10, 39:3, 39:5 letters [1] - 5:25 lie [1] - 42:3 limine [1] - 21:21 limitations [2] - 17:5, 20:25 limited [3] - 6:17, 15:20, 19:15 limiting [2] - 16:5, 22:7 line [3] - 4:1, 6:22, 18:3 Linton [21] - 8:21, 9:9, 9:10, 9:14, 9:17, 9:23, 10:1, 10:6, 17:10, 19:24, 20:5, 27:8, 27:10, 36:18, 36:21, 37:5, 37:23, 39:20, 40:11, 40:13, 41.22 list [8] - 5:17, 7:5, 13:21, 14:3, 19:13, 22:24, 34:24, 35:1 listen [1] - 36:7 lists [2] - 6:25, 27:13 live [6] - 11:17, 12:3, 13:5, 13:8, 15:20, 20:17 local [1] - 32:24

M

looks [2] - 25:1, 37:9

locations [1] - 42:6

looked [1] - 36:5

looking [5] - 7:4,

loose [1] - 22:9

38:13

look [2] - 28:7, 39:20

17:21, 20:14, 31:24,

maintain [1] - 15:4 maintaining [1] - 15:1 major [1] - 38:5 majority [1] - 30:10 Mandan [3] - 10:5, 41:6, 41:23 maps [1] - 30:6 marked [1] - 34:20 match [1] - 29:12 material [1] - 35:24

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

materials [1] - 27:24 matter [7] - 5:2, 13:25, 22:7, 22:18, 25:11, 32:12. 34:22 matters [1] - 42:6 mean [24] - 12:21, 12:22, 12:23, 14:23, 14:24, 15:3, 20:24, 20:25, 21:1, 24:25, 25:6, 25:9, 25:21, 25:22, 30:17, 31:5, 31:23, 37:15, 37:20, 37:21, 38:4, 40:3, 41:20 meaningfully [1] -14:12 meant [1] - 19:3 memory [1] - 24:8 might [5] - 5:17, 7:18, 25:7. 39:13 mind [5] - 6:24, 7:7, 7:25, 31:5, 39:12 mix [1] - 29:11 Moldenhauer [2] -34:10, 34:14 Moldenhauer's [3] -34:1, 34:5, 35:8 moment [2] - 4:13, 8:16 morning [2] - 27:14, 35:17 most [4] - 16:12, 34:25, 39:4, 41:5 motion [5] - 4:4, 5:4, 5:7, 32:23, 38:11 motions [1] - 21:20 move [4] - 7:22, 17:2, 29:5, 29:9 moving [1] - 5:22 multiple [1] - 30:21

Ν

narrowly [1] - 19:20 nature [2] - 30:11, 32:17 nearly [1] - 16:13 necessity [1] - 31:18 need [13] - 14:25, 23:14, 26:7, 30:4, 32:7, 33:14, 34:9, 36:11, 37:6, 38:19, 38:20, 42:7 neighborhood [1] never [1] - 34:5 new [5] - 10:14, 14:7, 15:13, 23:20, 24:5 next [6] - 4:2, 10:11, 17:3, 23:12, 32:25, 33:5 nine [1] - 29:20

non [1] - 4:14 non-landowner [1] -4.14 none [1] - 10:15 nonetheless [1] - 20:4 normal [1] - 19:4 North [1] - 19:5 note [4] - 3:15, 11:12, 27:2, 42:10 noted [3] - 6:17, 8:9, 40:18 nothing [1] - 14:5 notice [4] - 6:14, 29:3, 38:12, 41:11 number [3] - 11:19, 26:19, 41:13 numbers [3] - 34:21, 35:3, 35:5

0

OAH [1] - 2:4

object [1] - 33:1

objection [9] - 5:7, 5:8, 5:11, 12:8, 14:23, 15:1, 15:5, 19:18, 28:24 objections [1] - 21:20 obviously [10] - 6:14, 8:7, 12:9, 14:9, 15:1, 18:9, 23:4, 23:23, 32:25, 41:10 occurred [1] - 15:17 offer [4] - 14:7, 15:8, 18:10. 20:13 offered [2] - 11:14, 18:4 offering [5] - 3:19, 3:22, 4:18, 5:1, office [2] - 3:20, 33:5 often [1] - 15:13 oil [1] - 18:1 old [1] - 32:14 Olson [3] - 10:15, 24:8, 24:18 one [24] - 5:2, 7:19, 8:9, 8:15, 8:23, 13:25, 14:18, 17:19, 20:7, 23:19, 23:21, 25:16, 26:24, 28:2, 28:12, 28:13, 28:14, 30:1, 30:3, 32:8, 32:21, 34:23, 41:14 ones [1] - 40:25 open [2] - 14:20, 19:21 opened [3] - 22:7, 22:15, 22:18 opening [1] - 37:17 opinion [1] - 10:17

opportunity [5] - 9:3,

9:11, 9:12, 18:5, 41:15 opposing [1] - 9:20 option [4] - 11:16, 16:2, 37:9, 37:14 order [17] - 6:17, 7:15, 7:24, 8:1, 11:17, 16:1, 17:3, 17:16, 20:21, 20:23, 22:16, 25:10, 28:15, 29:3, 29:12. 33:3. 40:22 organizational [1] -36:15 original [7] - 6:17, 14:8, 19:23, 24:4, 32:4, 35:21, 36:3 otherwise [1] - 16:6 outright [1] - 9:2 outside [1] - 38:2 own [3] - 18:8, 20:2, 20:18

P

p.m [3] - 2:1, 25:10, 42:10 paper [2] - 31:24, 32:15 part [8] - 9:16, 14:9, 18:11, 31:9, 34:1, 36:9, 38:1, 40:11 participate [1] - 20:17 participating [2] -19:7, 27:9 participation [3] -37:4, 37:7, 38:6 particular [2] - 8:15, parties [12] - 4:25, 6:9, 7:13, 7:16, 8:1, 8:12, 9:9, 16:11, 23:3, 24:4, 33:22, 39:6 parts [1] - 18:22 party [3] - 16:5, 23:7, 36:17 passed [1] - 39:8 past [1] - 27:15 pat [1] - 3:12 Pelham [9] - 2:22, 2:25, 5:10, 16:9, 20:20, 29:7, 30:18, 30:25, 33:13 PELHAM [10] - 2:24, 5:11, 15:9, 15:11, 20:23, 28:7, 28:11, 31:1, 31:3, 33:15 people [4] - 29:25, 32:19, 40:22, 40:24 per [1] - 9:21 perfect [1] - 38:3 perfectly [1] - 11:5 perhaps [2] - 26:19,

34.6 period [1] - 15:20 permitted [2] - 38:18, 38:24 person [5] - 14:25, 18:25, 27:6, 30:14, 36:17 physically [1] - 31:10 piece [1] - 38:19 place [1] - 39:25 places [1] - 19:6 plan [5] - 4:5, 6:7, 6:9, 7:14, 11:5 planning [2] - 19:5, 20:12 **plus** [1] - 32:4 point [7] - 22:20, 23:19, 23:20, 24:24, 29:7, 31:15, 34:11 poor[1] - 30:7 portion [1] - 34:4 portions [1] - 17:21 position [1] - 35:17 possibility [2] - 39:14, 40:3 possible [4] - 17:18, 19:13, 20:9, 24:20 posted [1] - 36:6 potential [4] - 17:4,

presentation [2] -

presented [3] - 8:8,

presenting [2] - 4:6,

pressure [1] - 37:16

previous [1] - 41:21

previously [4] - 10:21,

10:25, 11:14, 20:4

primarily [1] - 41:22

prices [1] - 17:25

primary [1] - 6:4

priority [1] - 41:14

problem [2] - 10:22,

procedure [1] - 30:21

proceeding [2] - 16:7,

process [5] - 11:25,

13:14, 15:6, 15:16

production [1] - 17:25

productive [1] - 16:12

provide [4] - 9:4, 29:2,

professionals [1] -

22:10

25:22

34:6, 34:16

pretty [2] - 20:23,

37:21

11:6, 24:3

25:4. 25:17

17:5, 20:22, 39:6 provided [1] - 31:10 potentially [4] - 9:4, providing [2] - 34:1, 16:4, 16:23, 37:11 38:2 practical [1] - 21:2 **provisions** [1] - 37:3 Pranis [1] - 3:15 **PSC** [4] - 17:23, 34:12, prefer [1] - 31:23 34:25, 35:1 PU-22-391 [1] - 2:4 preferred [1] - 21:8 prefile [4] - 12:16, public [2] - 35:21, 27:19, 32:3, 32:15 41:9 prefiled [26] - 7:11, Public [3] - 2:3, 2:25, 11:16, 12:4, 12:7, 13:6, 13:15, 13:16, publicly [1] - 35:22 13:20, 15:12, 15:15, pull [1] - 32:19 15:19, 16:2, 16:15, purported [1] - 20:1 16:17, 21:4, 21:16, purpose [1] - 42:1 22:24, 24:8, 24:17, put [12] - 7:3, 7:12, 27:20, 27:23, 28:9, 8:23. 9:9. 9:12. 30:4, 31:5, 31:16, 10:24, 11:25, 15:8, 31:21 16:21, 19:25, 32:16, prefiling [2] - 12:15, 39:16 27:25 puts [1] - 7:9 prehearing [8] - 2:2, putting [1] - 30:23

2:4. 3:16. 3:24. 10:4.

15:23, 26:22, 42:11

preparations [1] -

prepare [2] - 14:13,

preparedness [1] -

prepping [1] - 7:13

present [7] - 7:14,

7:20, 9:5, 11:15,

18:8, 23:8, 41:15

38:21

29.6

27:5

Q

questionable [1] -22:11 questioning [1] - 18:3 questions [5] - 19:2, 23:4, 35:18, 36:1, 36:15 quite [3] - 15:18, 21:4, 23:25

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

schedules [2] - 25:8,

R

raised [4] - 18:2, 19:21, 20:5, 28:22 random [1] - 29:12 Randy [3] - 3:9, 33:21, re [5] - 19:3, 19:21, 22:7, 22:15, 22:18 re-creation [1] - 19:3 re-open [1] - 19:21 re-opened [3] - 22:7, 22:15, 22:18 reach [1] - 11:18 read [4] - 16:17, 16:19, 21:7, 22:16 reads [1] - 21:8 really [10] - 21:15, 21:16, 22:1, 22:3, 25:5, 28:9, 37:13, 37:15, 40:13, 41:24 reason [1] - 15:18 rebut [2] - 12:9, 13:16 rebuttal [13] - 9:4, 9:12, 9:15, 9:23, 12:10, 14:14, 17:9, 17:12, 19:25, 20:6, 20:7, 34:10, 39:17 rebutting [1] - 9:24 received [5] - 4:4, 5:4, 5:25, 6:15, 21:14 recent [1] - 35:1 recently [1] - 36:6 recollection [1] - 31:8 reconsideration [1] -14:5 record [7] - 2:9, 2:23, 14:10, 23:25, 27:2, 31:9, 42:10 recording [1] - 35:22 recovery [1] - 18:1 reduction [1] - 35:19 redundant [1] - 30:22 refiled [1] - 6:25 refresh [1] - 24:7 regarding [1] - 21:25 regular [1] - 38:5 related [1] - 6:18 relation [5] - 17:7, 17:19, 26:12, 27:3, 27:7 relative [1] - 13:18 relevance [4] - 13:25, 14:12, 14:23, 22:9 relevant [3] - 22:12, 23:19, 23:23 relief [1] - 40:11 relying [1] - 32:1 remaining [1] - 23:21 remember [1] - 29:20 remote [8] - 29:23,

30:8, 32:17, 37:3,

37:6, 37:7, 41:7, 41:21 remotely [3] - 19:7, 28:13, 28:14 repeat [2] - 21:3, 30:12 represented [1] - 36:2 representing [1] -2:10 request [8] - 9:25, 17:19, 21:16, 27:13, 28:2, 28:15, 29:10, requesting [3] - 9:15, 38:12, 39:3 requests [2] - 8:13, 12:17 require [1] - 28:15 reroute [1] - 6:19 respectfully [1] - 40:9 respond [5] - 12:12, 13:13, 28:22, 35:16, 40:21 response [7] - 5:6, 11:20, 11:22, 18:11, 27:5, 33:25, 34:13 responsive [1] - 19:20 rest [3] - 8:10, 8:24, 13:19 restrict [1] - 18:7 review [3] - 27:20, 27:23, 27:25 reviewed [2] - 5:25, rigamarole [1] - 13:6 road [1] - 14:18 roads [1] - 22:14 role [1] - 11:25 room [1] - 23:13 round [3] - 13:15, 13:20, 16:10 route [1] - 12:23 row [1] - 26:25 rule [1] - 29:19 ruled [1] - 21:21

S

run [1] - 40:4

sad [1] - 25:17

sake [1] - 16:4 Satartia [6] - 14:4, 14:7, 14:8, 18:2 save [4] - 9:13, 11:17, 11:19, 12:23 saving [1] - 9:16 saw [2] - 33:3, 33:6 scan [1] - 32:16 schedule [3] - 11:7, 26:23 scheduled [4] - 2:2, 2:5, 8:20, 17:11 scheduling [1] - 26:25 scheme [1] - 24:1 Schock [4] - 3:2. 30:25, 32:2, 39:9 SCHOCK [12] - 31:2, 31:15, 32:4, 32:9, 36:12, 36:14, 36:22, 37:1, 37:19, 38:15, 39:25, 41:19 Science [1] - 39:24 scope [4] - 6:12, 6:13. 6:20, 19:15 screens [1] - 37:23 SCS [2] - 2:13, 2:18 second [3] - 11:21, 19:1, 34:17 secondly [2] - 6:18, 18:23 see [9] - 5:10, 15:18, 17:3, 23:14, 31:18, 34:11, 34:14, 39:10, seeing [1] - 22:24 send [4] - 12:24, 32:3, 39:2, 39:5 sense [8] - 7:8, 7:20, 9:19, 20:6, 20:24, 21:13, 25:15, 25:18 sent [2] - 13:7, 33:24 sequestration [1] -17:25 seriously [1] - 16:3 Service [2] - 2:3, 3:1 sessions [1] - 41:21 set [12] - 6:2, 6:5, 10:18, 14:9, 17:15, 20:24, 21:1, 26:2, 26:5, 26:22, 37:22, 40:15 setting [1] - 30:17 setup [2] - 37:6, 37:25 seven [3] - 29:20, 31:12, 32:4 shave [1] - 12:13 shift [1] - 28:25 shocked [1] - 40:1 **shortly** [1] - 4:2 show [1] - 30:13 sides [1] - 21:11 signed [1] - 12:25 significant [3] - 13:17, 13:22, 14:13 significantly [2] -13:14, 21:8 similar [5] - 13:23, 16:11, 16:13, 37:6, 42:2 simple [2] - 37:24, 38:4

single [1] - 26:17 siting [1] - 6:18 sitting [1] - 30:14 situation [1] - 21:12 size [1] - 37:22 slapped [1] - 31:11 so.. [2] - 15:8, 33:8 someone [1] - 20:8 sometimes [2] - 6:22, 7:1 somewhere [2] - 6:8, 29:24 soon [5] - 12:22, 19:13, 20:9, 24:20, 39:13 sorry [1] - 33:20 sort [5] - 23:19, 28:25, 29:11, 29:12, 40:14 sound [2] - 38:2, 38:20 source [4] - 35:20, 35:23, 36:3, 36:8 speaking [1] - 38:8 specific [2] - 8:14, 18:22 specifically [3] -37:17, 38:16, 41:4 specified [1] - 6:15 speed [3] - 15:15, 21:5, 21:16 spend [1] - 16:24 spending [1] - 42:5 squeeze [1] - 29:2 staff [2] - 21:7, 23:5 stage [1] - 7:2 stand [1] - 12:8 start [7] - 2:8, 2:10, 7:19, 7:20, 8:3, 19:1, 39:3 starting [2] - 18:19, 34:11 state [3] - 2:8, 2:22, 17:12 statements [1] - 22:2 Steve [2] - 3:6, 37:10 still [4] - 7:11, 14:9, 29:23, 30:4 stipulation [1] - 11:18 streamline [2] - 11:3, 15:6 streamlined [2] - 11:8, 17:18 stress [1] - 21:15 strictly [1] - 14:6 structure [1] - 17:4 stuff [1] - 23:5 subject [1] - 19:17 submit [1] - 27:14 submitted [1] - 13:22 suggest [2] - 27:25, 35.25

Summit [20] - 2:19,

4:17, 7:19, 8:15, 9:3, 9:12, 10:14, 10:20, 11:21, 12:17, 14:13, 15:14, 17:7, 17:22, 20:7, 24:8, 27:6, 35:11, 37:10, 39:16

Summit's [8] - 5:6, 7:21, 10:19, 18:4, 18:10, 20:14, 31:8

super [1] - 6:6

supplemental [1] - 6:19

supporting [1] - 4:15

supposed [2] - 41:6, 41:7

tablet [1] - 31:25 tailor [1] - 21:14 tailored [1] - 21:13 tailoring [1] - 30:10 technical [30] - 2:6, 3:18, 3:23, 3:25, 5:3, 5:22, 7:5, 8:19, 9:7, 9:16, 10:15, 10:18, 17:13, 17:20, 18:19, 19:2, 20:3, 20:13, 28:16, 29:21, 30:8, 37:2, 37:13, 37:16, 40:11, 40:15, 40:24, 41:24, 41:25, 42:6 ten [1] - 4:13 terms [7] - 8:22, 13:23, 17:7, 17:16, 17:22, 27:12, 38:13 terribly [2] - 26:3, 42:5 testified [3] - 10:21, 10:25, 20:15 testifies [1] - 10:15 testify [3] - 12:18, 15:20, 40:6 testifying [1] - 10:22 testimony [42] - 9:20, 11:16, 12:7, 12:9, 12:16, 12:25, 13:7, 13:16, 13:21, 14:8, 14:24, 15:12, 15:15, 15:19, 16:15, 16:18, 16:20, 18:3, 18:10, 18:14, 19:19, 21:4, 21:5, 21:9, 21:13, 21:16, 22:2, 22:5, 22:25, 24:9, 24:17, 31:16, 31:17, 31:22, 34:2, 34:5, 34:9, 34:12, 34:14, 35:8, 35:9 themselves [1] - 35:12 thinking [5] - 7:18, 23:10, 30:19, 39:12, 39:14

simply [1] - 27:13

May 16, 2024, Prehearing conference ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

thinks [1] - 14:19 thoughts [9] - 5:23, 7:17, 7:25, 13:10, 17:3, 20:21, 29:25, 31:13, 42:3 tighten [1] - 25:14 timeline [2] - 11:11, 13:19 today [3] - 27:11, 32:22, 42:10 today's [1] - 3:24 together [3] - 11:3, 11:13, 26:22 tomorrow [1] - 33:6 ton [1] - 39:1 top [1] - 35:4 topic [1] - 15:11 topics [1] - 18:8 total [1] - 26:20 towards [1] - 39:20 transcribed [1] - 35:12 transcript [3] - 34:4, 35:9, 36:9 Transport [2] - 2:14, 2:18 trees [1] - 32:11 tried [1] - 11:21 try [5] - 6:7, 12:13, 24:19, 41:14, 41:15 trying [6] - 15:5, 19:19, 25:14, 29:1, 34:8, 37:12 Tuesday [1] - 25:19 turn [1] - 38:22 twice [1] - 5:18 two [5] - 16:4, 18:22, 25:15, 26:25, 32:8 Ty [1] - 24:13 Tyler [3] - 2:16, 2:17, 24:14 type [3] - 6:22, 15:5,

U

30:18

types [1] - 30:6

unavailability [1] -20:5 unavailable [2] - 8:19, 19:10 understood [1] -12:19 unique [1] - 14:5 unless [1] - 20:7 unnecessary [1] -30:22 up [25] - 8:23, 10:24, 11:4, 11:9, 15:15, 16:13, 19:5, 19:14, 21:5, 21:17, 22:21, 23:23, 24:19, 24:25, 25:5, 25:14, 26:22,

28:25, 29:6, 29:11, 30:13, 32:14, 32:19, 37:18 upcoming [2] - 2:6, 4:2 Utilities [1] - 3:2

V

valve [1] - 40:11 variety [1] - 19:6 vendor [1] - 38:2 venue [3] - 37:20, 37:23, 40:2 versus [1] - 31:24 via [1] - 11:15 viable [1] - 16:2 Victor [4] - 3:2, 31:1, 31:13, 39:23 video [3] - 18:25, 38:2, 39:7 view [1] - 23:20 Vilsack [1] - 2:20 virtual [2] - 30:11, 30:17

7:4, 7:22, 7:23, 8:7, 8:15, 8:17, 9:4, 9:5, 9:10, 10:6, 10:20, 10:24, 11:7, 11:15, 11:19, 12:2, 12:18, 13:17, 14:1, 14:2, 16:1, 17:7, 17:13, 17:16, 17:22, 18:4, 18:6, 18:8, 18:9, 18:10, 18:16, 18:24, 19:5, 19:17, 20:13, 20:14, 20:18, 25:12, 25:17, 25:20, 26:17, 27:1, 29:6, 30:9, 31:8, 37:4, 37:6, 37:13, 38:4, 39:6, 40:6 works [1] - 23:3 worth [1] - 40:16 writing [1] - 35:19 written [5] - 13:15, 13:20, 14:14, 21:6, 34:3

Ζ

Zach [1] - 31:2 Zachary [1] - 2:25 Zomer [5] - 3:11, 3:13, 5:5, 20:12, 33:10 ZOMER [4] - 3:12, 20:16, 33:12, 36:23

W

Wahpeton [18] - 4:3,

9:8, 9:22, 32:23,

4:18, 4:24, 5:1, 5:18,

33:8, 36:18, 36:21, 37:5, 39:3, 39:19, 39:24, 40:10, 40:13, 41:22 Wahpeton's [1] -37:21 waive [2] - 12:8, 14:23 waived [1] - 11:17 walk [1] - 26:4 wants [3] - 7:20, 18:7, 38:18 ways [1] - 21:1 Wednesday [2] -25:19, 25:21 week [7] - 5:5, 6:1, 23:12, 32:25, 33:5, 34:1, 39:1 weight [1] - 15:2 whole [1] - 13:6 willing [3] - 12:5, 12:7, 14:14 witness [16] - 6:25, 7:19, 7:21, 8:10, 8:23, 10:14, 10:23, 15:20, 22:24, 23:22, 25:7, 25:8, 26:24, 27:4, 28:25, 34:10 witnesses [61] - 3:19, 3:22, 4:6, 4:8, 4:14, 4:15, 4:18, 5:1, 7:1,