May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION SCS Carbon Transport LLC CO2 Pipeline Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Project Siting Application May 24, 2024 Wahpeton, North Dakota APPEARANCES Commissioners Randy Christmann, Sheri Haugen-Hoffart, and Substitute Decisionmaker Timothy J. Dawson LAWRENCE BENDER and TYLER GLUDT, Fredrikson & Byron, PA, on behalf of Applicant SCS Carbon Transport LLC BRIAN E. JORDE, Domina Law Group, on behalf of Intervenors/Landowners STEVE J. LEIBEL, Knoll Leibel LLP, on behalf of Intervenors/Landowners $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left($ ${\tt ZACHARY\ PELHAM,\ Special\ Assistant\ Attorney\ General\ Advisory\ Counsel\ to\ the\ Public\ Service\ Commission}$ CONTENTS INTERVENOR/LANDOWNER WITNESSES KARLA WALOCH

BEN DO	ITZENROD
ROSE I	OTZENROD Direct Examination by MR. LEIBEL
JAMES	TIEGS Direct Examination by MR. JORDE
	PUBLIC TESTIMONY
	LIANNE ROCKSTAD 155
	JOSH GESSELE 173
	CHAD PETERSON 196
	TRACEY WILKIE 210
	TROY MAHLER 214
	ANDREW MAUCH 222
	RYAN CARTER 234
	SHANNON NEUMAYER 244
	JARED HAGERT 249
	MIKE BRANDENBURG 259
	TERESA BAUER 278
	LA VERNE KOENIG 288
	PAUL KUZEL 294

	Orect Examination by MR. JORDE. Cross Examination by MR. GLUDT . Cross Examination by MR. PELHAM . Questions by COMMISSION Redirect Examination by MR. JORDE		:	:	:	35 36 39 47
RANDAL:	L WALOCH Direct Examination by MR. JORDE . Cross Examination by MR. PELHAM . Questions by COMMISSION Redirect Examination by MR. JORDE Recross Examination by MR. PELHAM	:	:	:	:	53 64 65 69 70
LOREN :	STAROBA Direct Examination by MR. JORDE . Cross Examination by MR. GLUDT . Cross Examination by MR. SCHOCK . Questions by COMMISSION . Redirect Examination by MR. JORDE Recross Examination by MR. GLUDT	:		:	:	72 96 97 99 103 104
JEANNE	LUGERT Direct Examination by MR. JORDE . Cross Examination by MR. GLUDT . Cross Examination by MR. SCHOCK . Questions by COMMISSION	:	:	:	:	123
DIANE :	ZAJAC Direct Examination by MR. LEIBEL Cross Examination by MR. PELHAM . Questions by COMMISSION					150
VALERA	HAYEN Direct Examination by MR. JORDE . Cross Examination by MR. GLUDT . Cross Examination by MR. SCHOCK .					316

ALJ HOGAN: Good morning. My clock shows 9:00so I think we're going to get started. My name is Hope Hogan and I'm the administrative law judge that's been designated upon the request of the Public Service Commission to serve as a hearing officer for today's hearing. This is the time, date, and place, May 24th. 2024, at 9 a.m. set by the notice of filing and notice of hearing issued by the North Dakota Public Service Commission on March 21st, 2024, for a hearing in Case 10 No. PU-22-391. This hearing is being held today at the Harry Stern and Ella Stern Cultural Center on the campus 12 of the North Dakota State College of Science in 13 Wahpeton, North Dakota. 14 As we begin today, I'd ask that everybody please check your cell phones to make sure they're either 15 16 silenced or turned off so that we don't have cell phone 17

I know that most people in attendance for this hearing have strong feelings regarding this case and the subject matter of this case. I'd ask that everybody please refrain today from any clapping, cheering, or any verbal outburst during our hearing. This is an administrative hearing and we would like to maintain decorum. Please be respectful of others today even if you disagree with the content of their testimony or

PAGE 4

18

19

21

22

23

25

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	comments.	1	The Commission has re-opened this case, which
2	We have several attendance sheets today. I	2	means all the evidence that was previously accepted into
3	believe there's one up on the witness table here, one	3	the record at the previous hearings, including
4	over at the corner of the stage, and then there's one	4	testimony, remains a part of the record. The purpose of
5	out in the lobby. I'd ask that you please sign the	5	this hearing is to address the deficiencies noted in the
6	attendance sheet. The Commission would like to keep a	6	order and route adjustments and not to receive duplicate
7	record of everybody that attended today's hearing.	7	information or evidence that was previously received.
8	Likewise, if you'd like to offer public comments or	8	Likewise, the Commission's order outlines or has
9	testimony today, I'd ask that you please mark that on	9	already determined the issues that the Commission
10	the attendance sheet. That helps me know how many	10	believes it has jurisdiction over and the issues it does
11	people plan to testify and to allocate time accordingly	11	not have jurisdiction over and outlined the deficiencies
12	today.	12	in the application.
13	We will take a lunch break today along with a	13	I'm now going to ask the parties to make their
14	15-minute morning and afternoon break. I do not know	14	appearance for the record, and I'll start with you,
15	how long our hearing will last today, but we will take	15	Mr. Gludt.
16	those breaks as we proceed today.	16	MR. GLUDT: Thank you, Your Honor. Tyler Gludt,
17	This hearing concerns the application of SCS	17	Fredrikson & Byron, PA, appearing today on behalf of the
18	Carbon Transport LLC for a certificate of corridor	18	Applicant, SCS Carbon Transport LLC. Sitting to my
19	compatibility and route permit concerning approximately	19	right is Lawrence Bender, also of Fredrikson & Byron,
20	320 miles of carbon dioxide pipeline ranging from 4.5-	20	PA.
21	to 24-inch diameter with associated facilities in the	21	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.
22	counties of Burleigh, Cass, Dickey, Emmons, Logan,	22	Mr. Pelham.
23	McIntosh, Morton, Oliver, Richland, and Sargent.	23	MR. PELHAM: Good morning. Zachary Pelham,
24	On August 4th, 2023, the Commission issued	24	special assistant attorney general on behalf of the
25	findings of fact, conclusions of law and an order	25	Public Service Commission. To my left is Victor Schock
	PAGE 5		PAGE 7
1	denying the application.	1	who's the public utilities director. Mr. Schock may
1 2	denying the application. On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued	1 2	who's the public utilities director. Mr. Schock may have questions today. Thank you.
2	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued	2	have questions today. Thank you.
3	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for	3	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct
2 3 4	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration.	2 3 4	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde?
2 3 4 5	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus	2 3 4 5 6 7	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources? And number three. Will construction, operation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously it would be a lot simpler and more convenient for us to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources? And number three. Will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously it would be a lot simpler and more convenient for us to just do all this kind of stuff in Bismarck, but I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources? And number three. Will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location minimize adverse human and environmental impact while	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously it would be a lot simpler and more convenient for us to just do all this kind of stuff in Bismarck, but I think I know myself, but I think other commissioners that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources? And number three. Will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location minimize adverse human and environmental impact while ensuring continuing system reliability and integrity and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously it would be a lot simpler and more convenient for us to just do all this kind of stuff in Bismarck, but I think I know myself, but I think other commissioners that I've served with and those that preceded us have all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources? And number three. Will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location minimize adverse human and environmental impact while ensuring continuing system reliability and integrity and ensuring that the energy needs are met and fulfilled in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously it would be a lot simpler and more convenient for us to just do all this kind of stuff in Bismarck, but I think I know myself, but I think other commissioners that I've served with and those that preceded us have all felt it's really important to be out and hear from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On September 15th, 2023, the Commission issued an order granting the Applicant's petition for reconsideration. This hearing has been scheduled to focus primarily on adjustments in Dickey, Sargent, Cass, and Richland counties. The Notice of Filing and Notice of Hearing specifies the issues to be considered in this hearing and will focus on proposed route adjustments and supplemental filings and deficiencies noted in the Commission's August 18th order and include the following questions: First, will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location produce minimal adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare of the citizens of North Dakota? Number two. Is the proposed facility compatible with environmental preservation and the efficient use of resources? And number three. Will construction, operation, and maintenance of the facility at the proposed location minimize adverse human and environmental impact while ensuring continuing system reliability and integrity and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have questions today. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do I direct questions to Mr. Jorde? MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Your Honor and all. Brian Jorde and Steve Leibel on behalf of intervening targeted landowners. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. We will now take opening comments from the commissioners, and I'll start with you, Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. And you covered a lot of what I was going to talk about, about decorum, because it is really important. And so we appreciate the audience's respect that has been shown throughout this and other proceedings for decades at the PSC. The other thing I want to point out is obviously it would be a lot simpler and more convenient for us to just do all this kind of stuff in Bismarck, but I think I know myself, but I think other commissioners that I've served with and those that preceded us have all

1 2 3 4 5 6			
3 4 5	cover large areas. And recognizing that that can be	1	ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Ms. Waloch. I'll have
4 5	inconvenient on a given day for people to get to	2	you start by maybe if you want to spell your first
5	Bismarck, that is why we have always been supportive of	3	and last name.
	this concept of having at least some hearings out along	4	KARLA WALOCH: Okay. My first name is Karla,
6	the route.	5	K-A-R-L-A, Waloch, W-A-L-O-C-H.
	I will tell you this is one of my favorite	6	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Waloch, before you testify
7	places we've ever been, such a nice facility, except for	7	this morning, I'm required by law to advise you on the
8	one thing, and this is my last comment. If you come up	8	penalties for perjury in the state of North Dakota.
9	and testify, this stage edge behind that chair really	9	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum
10	makes me nervous. So don't just roll away from that	10	fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or
11	table and roll too far. You might have a hard landing,	11	both.
12	I expect. So be a little cautious about that.	12	Do you understand what perjury is?
13	But we look forward to hearing from you all.	13	KARLA WALOCH: I do.
14	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.	14	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
15	Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	15	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Good morning,	16	in this case today?
17	everyone. It's so good to see people here and very	17	KARLA WALOCH: I do.
18	interested in this project and I look forward to hearing	18	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
19	from many of you today.	19	Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.
20		20	
21	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Mr. Dawson.	21	MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you, Your Honor.
22		22	
23	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you,	23	
24	Your Honor. Good morning, everyone. I am Timothy Dawson and	24	
25		25	
23	I am the substitute decisionmaker here today filling in PAGE 9	23	PAGE 11
1	for, or the substitute for, Commissioner Fedorchak. I	1	KARLA WALOCH,
2	hope to have a good hearing.	2	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
3	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	3	follows:
4	For our hearing today I will start with the	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	intervenors' witnesses. And my intent is to go through	5	BY MR. JORDE:
6	all those witnesses before we go to public testimony.	6	Q. Can you please state your name?
7	If that does get extremely long, if we go past noon, we	7	A. Karla Waloch.
8	might talk about doing some public testimony right after	8	Q. And your land is located in Sargent County
"	lunch, but we'll start with that plan and see how we go.	9	that's targeted for this proposed hazardous pipeline?
9	And just to confirm, Mr. Gludt, Summit's not	10	
9 10			
10		11	A. That is correct.
10 11	offering any witnesses today; is that correct?	11 12	A. That is correct.Q. All right. And I understand you have some
10 11 12	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor.	12	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read
10 11 12 13	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's	12 13	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right?
10 11 12 13 14	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss.	12 13 14	 A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct.
10 11 12 13	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt.	12 13	 A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead.
10 11 12 13 14 15	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss.	12 13 14 15	 A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant.	12 13 14 15 16	 A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west, section 14 of the northeast quarter. I'm a landowner
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: I will have some things later, but I	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west, section 14 of the northeast quarter. I'm a landowner directly impacted by the proposed pipeline and a concerned community member who values the safety of our
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west, section 14 of the northeast quarter. I'm a landowner directly impacted by the proposed pipeline and a concerned community member who values the safety of our environment and residence. The proposed pipeline is
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: I will have some things later, but I think we can get started, Your Honor.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west, section 14 of the northeast quarter. I'm a landowner directly impacted by the proposed pipeline and a concerned community member who values the safety of our
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: I will have some things later, but I think we can get started, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Then I will turn to you,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west, section 14 of the northeast quarter. I'm a landowner directly impacted by the proposed pipeline and a concerned community member who values the safety of our environment and residence. The proposed pipeline is alarmingly close to my property, within a hundred feet
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	offering any witnesses today; is that correct? MR. GLUDT: That's correct, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And I'll just ask if there's any other preliminary matters we need to discuss. Mr. Gludt. MR. GLUDT: None from the Applicant. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: I will have some things later, but I think we can get started, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Then I will turn to you, Mr. Jorde, if you want to call your first witness.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That is correct. Q. All right. And I understand you have some statements that might be more efficient if you read those; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. Okay. Please go ahead. A. Okay. So as Mr. Jorde said, my property is in Sargent County, in township 131 north, range 56 west, section 14 of the northeast quarter. I'm a landowner directly impacted by the proposed pipeline and a concerned community member who values the safety of our environment and residence. The proposed pipeline is alarmingly close to my property, within a hundred feet of my calving pasture and just a half a mile from my

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

for explosions and leaks is a constant worry that I a carbon pipeline which primarily benefits a few meets 2 should not have to bear for corporate profit. 2 that criteria. 3 3 We have invested hundreds of hours and thousands Summit claims they will provide emergency 4 4 training for CO2 dispersion, but no amount of training of dollars into our land. It's our home, our resources will reassure me that help would arrive in 5 livelihood, and our legacy. We should not allow it to 6 time. Our first responders are volunteers with other 6 be jeopardized by a pipeline that prioritizes corporate 7 7 jobs and we just don't know how quickly they could reach interests. Every piece of land holds significance to 8 us. And they're also our friends and we would never 8 someone, whether it's lakefront property or farmland, 9 9 want to put them in harm's way. Additionally, emergency and it deserves to be protected and respected. 10 vehicles might not function without oxygen. 10 And as farmers, we truly understand what's best 11 11 I consulted my sisters who are healthcare for our land and prefer not to have strangers surveying 12 12 it, but despite that, we did have surveyors come. And professionals. I have a physician, a physician's 13 13 assistant, and a respiratory therapist as sisters, and I they claim they don't drive over crops, but they drove 14 asked them about the effects of CO2 poisoning from a 14 across our alfalfa twice. 15 15 pipeline rupture. They informed me that even with It's also embarrassing to have a lawsuit show up 16 minimal exposure it can cause increased respiratory 16 under my name on the North Dakota public court search. 17 17 rate, tachycardia, cardiac arrhythmias, impaired This type of legal action feels intrusive and unfair 18 consciousness, dyspnea, cough, dizziness, chest pain, 18 19 and headache, and, of course, extreme exposures can lead 19 This lawsuit and pipeline have been more than an 20 to death by asphyxiation. 20 inconvenience. They've been potentially distressing. 21 Given these risks, I've considered options such 21 It has stolen away time and money from us. Today is my 22 22 as scuba gear, an electric bicycle or an electric birthday and I can think of hundreds of other things 23 23 vehicle for my husband and me. However, frequent that I would rather be doing right now. It's my 24 gatherings with family and friends, including children 24 grandkids' first day of summer vacation, for example. 25 25 And I think it seems like carbon activists initiated and grandchildren, add concerns about evacuation for a PAGE 15 1 crowd. I don't know what I would do. Like an electric 1 this project without considering people's property and 2 2 bus maybe? safety. This priority should have been collaborating 3 3 Given what a disaster it would be if the with landowners to establish a suitable route. 4 pipeline ruptured, prevention is key. But as a farmer, Summit's poor business practices raise serious 5 5 concerns about their integrity and reliability. They despite planning and maintenance, there's always a risk. 6 The CO2 pipeline that ruptured in Mississippi caused 6 have a history of neglecting to pay bills and they're 7 7 people to collapse up to 16 miles away. And the facing a \$15 million lawsuit over a canceled contract. 8 proposed North Dakota pipeline could hold more than 8 Their business practices raise serious questions about 9 twice as much CO2. Add that to the North Dakota weather 9 their integrity and reliability. Landowners and 10 and it could be disastrous. Imagine a rupture at ten 10 communities should question why we would want to do 11 degrees below zero with strong winds. Who knows how far 11 business with a company that files lawsuits and fails to 12 12 fulfill financial obligations. the deadly plume could extend. 13 13 And it's also frustrating to me that farmers are And I just don't understand how the citizens of 14 14 North Dakota will benefit from this pipeline. The North disproportionately affected by this pipeline. It 15 disrupts our land and soil and raises safety concern due 15 Dakotans' politicians' stance on climate change is 16 to the proximity of our pasture and home. We shouldn't 16 confusing. They seem to support the carbon pipeline but 17 bear the responsibility of the carbon fight alone. 17 their voting records on the Green New Deal seem 18 Well, that is, if we even believe carbon is a factor in 18 contradictory. This raises questions about the 19 global warming or maybe we're even skeptical about 19 motivations behind the pipeline. Who's going to benefit 20 20 from this project? Maybe the Summit employees? global warming. But I encourage everyone here to 21 reflect on their personal responsibility in reducing 21 Politicians? Foreign investors? I don't believe it 22 22 their carbon footprint. Farmers already do their part. will be the citizens of North Dakota. 23 One acre of corn eliminates eight tons of CO2. 23 I think some of our politicians are motivated by 24 Eminent domain should only be used for projects 24 personal gain or self interest. I think Summit's

25

lobbying efforts and political corrections are how it's

PAGE 16

25

that truly serve the public interest. I fail to see how

	TID I ODLIG OLITY		
1	gotten this far. I know there's even been ethics	1	the Vculeks were testifying, they were talking about
2	commission complaints filed. And if the pipeline can't	2	their potato warehouses. And I know it seemed you were
3	stand on its own merits and needs politicians being	3	surprised that they were worth like half a million in
4	influenced by a special interest group, then it's	4	their warehouse. Well, I'm just going to say that our
5	probably not worth it.	5	cattle are probably worth about 600,000 where they are
6	I haven't seen any evidence from Summit that	6	in their pasture very close to the pipeline. So just
7	would prove that it's good for the citizens of North	7	yeah.
8	Dakota. Supposedly they feel this would support the	8	Okay. And I know even Doug Burgum said, "Just
9	price of corn, but ethanol has been in existence for	9	say no and they'll move to your neighbor and your
10	several years and the price of corn has still gone up	10	neighbor can get the big check. Because that's what's
11	and down. As a farmer who's watched corn markets for	11	happening in North Dakota. It's been moved a thousand
12	40 years, I've noticed that the market is influenced by	12	times." So as the words to quote our governor.
13	a multitude of factors, including weather and world	13	And I thank you for your time and consideration
14	supply and demand. Carbon capture is unlikely to be a	14	and for coming this far and not having to go to
15	direct or significant driver of corn prices on its own.	15	Bismarck. Appreciate that.
16	And the track record for failed carbon capture	16	Q. Okay. I've got some questions right now.
17	projects speaks for itself. Many have been canceled,	17	A. Yes, sir.
18	failed, or underperformed. I think waiting for more	18	Q. I appreciate that.
19	safety regulations would be in the state's best	19	You had mentioned Summit individuals had been on
20	interest.	20	your field driving through your alfalfa two different
21	And I hope that pressure from oil companies for	21	times; is that right?
22	enhanced oil recovery isn't the reason the project would	22	A. Correct. The same day.
23	get approved. I see other methods are showing promise	23	Q. Oh, the same day.
24	for EOR using gas, not CO2, and that's even cheaper,	24	A. Uh-huh.
25	from what I understand.	25	Q. Okay. Back and forth. And they didn't
	PAGE 17		PAGE 19
1	And I know the Public Service Commission is	1	compensate you for that?
2	charged with the siting of energy conversion and	2	A. No.
		_	
3	transmission facilities under the North Dakota Siting	3	Q. Okay. And then you mentioned eminent domain.
3	transmission facilities under the North Dakota Siting Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to	3 4	Q. Okay. And then you mentioned eminent domain.You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of
	_		
4	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to	4	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of
4 5	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's	4 5	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent
4 5 6	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion.	4 5 6	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that?
4 5 6 7	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to	4 5 6 7	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this	4 5 6 7 8	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for
4 5 6 7 8 9	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents	4 5 6 7 8 9	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain?
4 5 6 7 8 9	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community	4 5 6 7 8 9	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of Bismarck and four other owners landowners that I can	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol pipeline?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of Bismarck and four other owners landowners that I can think of have successfully secured reroutes for the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol pipeline? A. Correct.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of Bismarck and four other owners landowners that I can think of have successfully secured reroutes for the pipeline, I believe it's time to address the possibility	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol pipeline? A. Correct. Q. Right. And can you think of any energy need
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of Bismarck and four other owners landowners that I can think of have successfully secured reroutes for the pipeline, I believe it's time to address the possibility of a reroute for my property as well.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol pipeline? A. Correct. Q. Right. And can you think of any energy need that storing CO2 or transmitting CO2 from an ethanol
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of Bismarck and four other owners landowners that I can think of have successfully secured reroutes for the pipeline, I believe it's time to address the possibility of a reroute for my property as well. Additionally, construction near our pasture	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol pipeline? A. Correct. Q. Right. And can you think of any energy need that storing CO2 or transmitting CO2 from an ethanol plant to underground storage, what energy that's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Act. However, a carbon capture pipeline which aims to store CO2 does not even seem to fall under the PSC's jurisdiction since it's not energy, in my opinion. I'm asking the Public Service commissioners to consider the serious risks and negative impacts of this pipeline. We must prioritize the safety of residents over corporate profits. Please safeguard our community from unnecessary harm. And while the reroute isn't my first choice, it is the least bad option. And my lawyer has sent a proposed reroute letter to Summit's lawyers. Surveyors have already been on our land. And my adjacent landowners have signed easements that I'm that I believe they have. Considering that residents of Bismarck and four other owners landowners that I can think of have successfully secured reroutes for the pipeline, I believe it's time to address the possibility of a reroute for my property as well. Additionally, construction near our pasture could spook our cows and they could become frightened	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	You understand that if the PSC doesn't approve both of the pending applications, then there will be no eminent domain. Do you understand that? A. Correct. Yes. Q. Okay. So you see the PSC as the trigger for eminent domain? A. Yes. Q. And then you mentioned energy as one of the hurdles Summit has to jump over here. It's hurdle number well, it's a subpart of hurdle number three, and that is that in order for the PSC to approve this project or I should say this pipeline, not the project, the pipeline, it has to be ensuring that energy needs are met. You understand this is not an ethanol pipeline? A. Correct. Q. Right. And can you think of any energy need that storing CO2 or transmitting CO2 from an ethanol plant to underground storage, what energy that's creating?

1	ND PUBLIC SERV	1	
	said that they will permanently sequester, so this is	1	and your family predominantly prepared regarding
2	never going to be used for any energy. Do you	2	reroutes; is that right?
3	understand that?	3	A. Correct.
4	A. Correct, yes.	4	Q. And just to be clear, you and your husband owned
5	Q. All right. So would you agree it's impossible	5	land and then your husband's mother, Shirley, owns a
6	for the PSC then to grant either the corridor	6	separate parcel very nearby?
7	certificate or the route permit because Summit cannot	7	A. Correct, yes.
8	satisfy that energy prong?	8	Q. And all the parcels are being targeted?
9	A. Yes, I believe so.	9	A. Yes.
10	Q. And you understand that Summit talks about the	10	Q. Now when you put this letter together, it looks
11	project but that the PSC is only looking at a pipeline.	11	like you took some time looking at the maps and
12	They're not looking at the carbon capture process and	12	identifying potential reroute locations of individuals
13	any good or bad that might have and they're not looking	13	who have already signed up with Summit; is that right?
14	at the storage; correct?	14	A. Correct.
15	A. Yes, correct.	15	Q. All right. And since March 28th when this
16	Q. All right. So you mentioned about a reroute.	16	letter was transmitted to counsel for Summit, have they
17	And you've been a part of this since before Summit filed	17	contacted you to talk about this?
18	their 2022 application; is that right?	18	A. No.
19	A. Yes, correct.	19	Q. And you understand on May 21st, almost two
20	Q. And has Summit come to you at any time since	20	months later and just conveniently three days before
21	then, since you've become an intervenor, since they were	21	this hearing, Summit sent a letter saying, well, they
22	denied last year and said, "You know what? Here's some	22	would need to survey and they're interested in working
23	reroute proposals we'd like to share with you"?	23	with you?
24	A. They haven't come to me.	24	A. Yes, I did see that.
25	Q. Okay. Have they come to your husband?	25	Q. So three days before you're set to testify, the
	PAGE 21		PAGE 23
1	A. One person asked to survey one time and that was	1	first time in two-plus years is when they send a letter
2	before the judge granted that. So he said no at that	2	like that; is that right?
3	time.	3	A. Yes, correct.
4	Q. Right. And so specifically since the permit was	4	Q. And they claim that they left 12 voicemails and
5	denied and the PSC order found that Summit didn't meet	5	visited your residence nine times. What do you think
6	their burdens of landowner concerns, has Summit reached	6	about that?
7	out to you to try to find a solution?	7	
		I -	A. Absolutely not that I know of. I don't
8	A. No.	8	A. Absolutely not that I know of. I don't possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her
8 9	A. No.Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any		-
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her
9	Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any	8 9	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not
9	Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property?	8 9 10	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a
9 10 11	Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property?A. Yes.	8 9 10 11	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number
9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to 	8 9 10 11 12	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact
9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you 	8 9 10 11 12 13	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you?
9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you there, ma'am, the big one, the three-ring binder right 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either. Q. All right. So from the date in August of 2023
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you there, ma'am, the big one, the three-ring binder right there. See the blue folder on top of it there?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either. Q. All right. So from the date in August of 2023 when the PSC denied the pipeline proposed route that
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you there, ma'am, the big one, the three-ring binder right there. See the blue folder on top of it there? A. Oh, here. Q. Yep. Sorry. A. Uh-huh. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either. Q. All right. So from the date in August of 2023 when the PSC denied the pipeline proposed route that targeted you, there hasn't been any resolution, any
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you there, ma'am, the big one, the three-ring binder right there. See the blue folder on top of it there? A. Oh, here. Q. Yep. Sorry. A. Uh-huh. Q. If you could turn to Tab No. 5. And I'll	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either. Q. All right. So from the date in August of 2023 when the PSC denied the pipeline proposed route that targeted you, there hasn't been any resolution, any attempt to try to work with you, find solutions, or be open for dialogue, as Mr. Powell likes to talk about? A. No.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you there, ma'am, the big one, the three-ring binder right there. See the blue folder on top of it there? A. Oh, here. Q. Yep. Sorry. A. Uh-huh. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either. Q. All right. So from the date in August of 2023 when the PSC denied the pipeline proposed route that targeted you, there hasn't been any resolution, any attempt to try to work with you, find solutions, or be open for dialogue, as Mr. Powell likes to talk about?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. And you're aware they haven't sent me any solution or any reroutes for your property? A. Yes. Q. Now you've been tried to continue to try to enter into a dialogue here, and on March 28th, 2024, you I sent a letter that you and I and your family had helped create about potential reroutes; is that right? A. Yes, correct. Q. And there's a white binder in front of you there, ma'am, the big one, the three-ring binder right there. See the blue folder on top of it there? A. Oh, here. Q. Yep. Sorry. A. Uh-huh. Q. If you could turn to Tab No. 5. And I'll	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	possibly they were at my mother-in-law's or called her once, but they definitely were not Q. And you understand when Summit claims it makes a contact, if a third party or agent just dials a number and hangs up, they consider that an attempt to contact you? A. Oh, okay. Q. Okay. All right. A. But I still don't know of anything like that either. Q. All right. So from the date in August of 2023 when the PSC denied the pipeline proposed route that targeted you, there hasn't been any resolution, any attempt to try to work with you, find solutions, or be open for dialogue, as Mr. Powell likes to talk about? A. No.

1	No. 5, the letter we've been speaking about.	1	home is an intelligent location; correct?
2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objection?	2	A. Correct.
3	MR. GLUDT: No objection.	3	Q. All right. Now beyond Summit, has anyone else
4	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	4	on Summit's behalf provided you such evidence to give
5	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	5	faith to this Commission who is charged with locating
6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Exhibit LO-5 is	6	intelligently locating infrastructure if 2,640 feet is a
7	received.	7	safe distance? Have you seen any other information to
8	MR. JORDE: Thank you.	8	suggest that?
9	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Now if you can turn to Tab	9	A. No.
10	No. 10 in that book, please, you had mentioned some	10	Q. Now there's been some talk that PHMSA and
11	concerns about safety and proximity. And you're no	11	Mr. Powell gets into it here in his April 2024
12	doubt aware that Mr. Powell, in his April 2024	12	submission, that PHMSA, the federal agency that looks
13	testimony, talks about safety and proximity and all	13	over construction and maintenance and operation
14	these things. And so to address that, have you been	14	standards, that somehow that prevents the PSC from
15	made aware of the April 3rd CO2 leak in Louisiana?	15	considering safety in any regard. Are you familiar with
16	April 3rd, 2024.	16	those arguments generally?
17	A. Yes. I just saw this yesterday.	17	A. No.
18	Q. Yes. And does that concern you, that this type	18	Q. Okay. All right. Would you agree that
19	of infrastructure, and here a major, well-financed,	19	considering safety and risk and potential hazards to
20	funded corporation, ExxonMobil, like Denbury before it	20	existing structures and potential future development is
21	in Satartia, continue to have these safety gaps and	21	a critical factor to consider when either green-lighting
22	problems?	22	or demanding reroutes of hazardous infrastructure?
23	A. Yes, more than ever.	23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And did you become aware that it took more than	24	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 10.
25	two hours to fix the leak and calls to the company went	25	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 10, Mr. Gludt?
	PAGE 25		PAGE 27
4	unanswered and that there was no safety personnel on	1	MR. GLUDT: We're going to object. It's another
1			
2	site for the company?	2	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have
		2	
2	site for the company?		article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have
2	site for the company? A. Yes.	3	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the
2 3 4	site for the company? A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a	3 4	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article.
2 3 4 5	site for the company? A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet	3 4 5	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius?	3 4 5 6	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the
2 3 4 5 6 7	site for the company? A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's	3 4 5 6 7 8	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route;	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No. Q. All right. And have you heard of any such	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No. Q. You had mentioned North Dakota's governor. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No. Q. All right. And have you heard of any such evidence like that to prove that the location is an intelligent one in relation to your home?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No. Q. You had mentioned North Dakota's governor. You understand he's been a very outspoken proponent to try
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No. Q. All right. And have you heard of any such evidence like that to prove that the location is an intelligent one in relation to your home? A. Say that again.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No. Q. You had mentioned North Dakota's governor. You understand he's been a very outspoken proponent to try to push this Commission to approve this project; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No. Q. All right. And have you heard of any such evidence like that to prove that the location is an intelligent one in relation to your home? A. Say that again. Q. Yes. So Summit hasn't provided you any	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No. Q. You had mentioned North Dakota's governor. You understand he's been a very outspoken proponent to try to push this Commission to approve this project; correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No. Q. All right. And have you heard of any such evidence like that to prove that the location is an intelligent one in relation to your home? A. Say that again. Q. Yes. So Summit hasn't provided you any information to suggest that locating their proposed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No. Q. You had mentioned North Dakota's governor. You understand he's been a very outspoken proponent to try to push this Commission to approve this project; correct? A. Correct. Q. And you had referenced statements he had made
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. And did you become aware that there was a shelter in place order for a quarter mile or 1,320 feet just from this pump site and a radius? A. Yes. Q. You had mentioned that your home and that's your home where you live with your husband is approximately 2,640 feet from the proposed route; correct? A. Yes. Yep. Q. Has Summit at any time shared with you any data of any kind to suggest that if there was a rupture or unintended release from their pipeline, if it's located near your home, that it would not be capable of reaching your home? A. No. Q. All right. And have you heard of any such evidence like that to prove that the location is an intelligent one in relation to your home? A. Say that again. Q. Yes. So Summit hasn't provided you any	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	article. Foundation, hearsay, relevance. We don't have the author of the article here to talk about the substance of the article. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to the article. ALJ HOGAN: I'll note the objection. I am going to admit the article just because we've admitted into the record similar materials. But I will instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if anything, to give to this type of evidence in light of objections made. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Ma'am, related to that article and the reporting and the contents therein, are you aware of if Summit or any of its representatives have ever challenged the statements in that article or suggested they're untrue in any way? A. No. Q. You had mentioned North Dakota's governor. You understand he's been a very outspoken proponent to try to push this Commission to approve this project; correct? A. Correct.

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

States where, basically, if you're having issues with A. Uh-huh. 2 Summit, quote, "Just say no and they'll move it to your 2 **Q.** Is that a yes? 3 neighbor and your neighbor can get the big check," 3 A. Yes. 4 quote, and then continuing, quote, "because that's 4 Q. And then you also mentioned that you didn't 5 what's happening in North Dakota. It's been moved a 5 believe this -- either the reroutes or the current 6 thousand times," end quote. 6 routes and the targeting of your property and all these 7 Now when you heard your governor make those 7 things, that it lacks support broadly in North Dakota. 8 statements, that "Good gosh, just tell them to move it 8 Is that a statement that you made? 9 9 and they'll move it, this isn't a big deal," does that A. Correct. I have seen -- or I didn't make -- but 10 fit with your experience? 10 I've seen polls that just don't -- it lacks support in 11 A. No. 11 North Dakota. 12 Q. Now would you agree that it's certainly 12 Q. And you understand that one of Summit's many 13 reasonable, if they're asking for the extreme rights of 13 hurdles is whether this, the pipeline, not the idea of 14 locating over 350 miles of hazardous pipeline 14 carbon capture, but the pipeline itself only, if that infrastructure to connect to exactly one North Dakota produces minimal adverse impacts to the welfare of the 15 15 16 business, the Tharaldson Ethanol plant, that if you 16 citizens at large. You understand that? 17 don't want it on your property, Summit should reroute 17 A. Uh-huh. Q. Yes? 18 around you like they claim they can? 18 19 A. Yes. A. Yes. 19 20 Q. And if this were to be approved, which I know 20 **Q.** And so is your point that when there's polls and 21 when the citizens are speaking at large that they don't 21 you hope it is not, but if this were to be approved, 22 22 would you agree it would be a reasonable condition that support this, that that would weigh against that 23 the approval would be conditioned upon Summit finding a 23 element? reroute off of your property? 24 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes. 25 Q. And then I'll have you turn to the tab in front PAGE 29 PAGE 31 1 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 15, the of you, Tab 16, and I'll represent that's a true and 2 article where Governor Burgum has quoted the quote that 2 accurate copy of a Bismarck Tribune article from 3 I referenced, which is on page 3 of Exhibit 15. 3 February 28th of 2024. And is -- is that reporting and 4 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 15? the poll data in there consistent with your 5 understanding that the state at large doesn't support MR. GLUDT: Same objection, Your Honor. 5 6 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 6 this? 7 MR. PELHAM: I don't think it's relevant at all, 7 A. Yes, yes. 8 but I don't have an objection. 8 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 16. 9 9 ALJ HOGAN: I agree, I have the same concerns ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objections? 10 10 about relevance and foundation for these exhibits. And MR. GLUDT: Same objections. 11 I know we have several articles that are going to be 11 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 12 12 offered today, and I'm going to allow them into the MR. PELHAM: I'll join in the objection. 13 record for the simple fact that we've already allowed 13 ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will reiterate my 14 similar materials into the record and I'm just going to 14 comments that I made regarding LO-15, but I will admit 15 instruct the Commission to weigh what relevance, if any, 15 16. 16 to give this type of evidence given objections which I 16 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 17 do have -- I think have some weight. 17 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And then continuing on, ma'am, 18 Exhibit 18 in front of you there talks about an So with that said, I am going to admit LO-15. 18 19 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Well, and you, ma'am, agree 19 investigation of secret meetings that Summit is 20 with the governor that if -- you should have the right, 20 summonsing various elected officials to. Did you become 21 as he says, to say "no." And if you just tell these 21 aware of those actions? 22 22 gentlemen "no," they'll move it and they can build their A. Yes. I saw this probably right after it 23 pipeline and move on; right? 23 happened. 24 A. Correct, yes. 24 Q. And does that fit into your objections about the 25 Q. Seems reasonable, doesn't it? 25 lack of transparency from plume modeling, safety, risk

PAGE 32

PAGE 30

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	analysis, you know, potential reroutes, now, you know,	1	$\boldsymbol{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}$ And I don't have any more questions. Thank you.
2	secret meetings with politicians, does that all fit into	2	A. Okay. Thank you.
3	kind of the Summit MO, so to speak?	3	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
4	A. Yes.	4	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.
5	Q. And does that concern you as a citizen that, if	5	CROSS EXAMINATION
6	this pipeline were so wonderful, that they're having	6	BY MR. GLUDT:
7	such a difficult time being transparent about the issues	7	Q. Morning, Ms. Waloch.
8	surrounding it?	8	A. Good morning.
9	A. Yes. Absolutely.	9	Q. Do you know about what time you retained
10	Q. All right.	10	Mr. Jorde as your counsel?
11	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 18.	11	A. Sometime in 2022.
12	ALJ HOGAN: Same objections, Mr. Gludt?	12	Q. Okay. And do you would you be surprised that
13	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.	13	the first time Summit received a letter from Mr. Jorde
14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	14	concerning potential reroutes on your property was his
15	MR. PELHAM: Yes.	15	March 28th letter
16	ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I'm going to have	16	A. I would not be surprised with that.
17	similar comments regarding relevance and weight of the	17	Q. Okay. Have you read the letter that Summit
18	that I think the objections do have weight, but I	18	submitted in response?
19	will admit 18.	19	A. Yes, I have.
20	MR. JORDE: Thank you.	20	Q. And you're aware that Summit's willing to has
21	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And then continuing on, the	21	reviewed your reroute proposals and is willing to make
22	next exhibit, Exhibit 19, I think you had mentioned an	22	accommodations?
23	ethics commission looking into complaints filed relative	23	A. Yes. I saw that just a couple days ago.
24	to those secret Summit meetings. Is that also	24	Q. Are you willing to engage with Summit on
25	concerning to you?	25	potential reroutes?
	PAGE 33		PAGE 35
1	A. Yes. Yes, it is.	1	A. I would ask my legal counsel to be with me and
2	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19.	2	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes.
3	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt?	2	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support
2 3 4	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.	2 3 4	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired
2 3 4 5	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	2 3 4 5	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route
2 3 4 5 6	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County?
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar	2 3 4 5	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of the issues, the negatives, the risks, the adverse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of the issues, the negatives, the risks, the adverse potential impacts when Summit doesn't provide you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning A. Yes. Q. On the second page of that letter that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of the issues, the negatives, the risks, the adverse potential impacts when Summit doesn't provide you information and you have to go find it all on your own?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning A. Yes. Q. On the second page of that letter that your attorney sent to Mr. Bender
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of the issues, the negatives, the risks, the adverse potential impacts when Summit doesn't provide you information and you have to go find it all on your own? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning A. Yes. Q. On the second page of that letter that your attorney sent to Mr. Bender A. Okay. Yep.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of the issues, the negatives, the risks, the adverse potential impacts when Summit doesn't provide you information and you have to go find it all on your own? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Well, happy birthday to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 My husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning A. Yes. Q. On the second page of that letter that your attorney sent to Mr. Bender A. Okay. Yep. Q. So that second page, the first sentence there,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 19. ALJ HOGAN: Similar objections, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I will have similar findings regarding this exhibit, but I will admit 19. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, you've been you and your family and your husband and your mother-in-law have been dealing with this for a couple years. Now has that taken a toll on you, dealing with this and not really getting answers and not having any progress here with Summit suggesting reroutes or alternatives? A. Yes. I've had sleepless nights and I've missed time away from grandkids and things. You know, when you're looking up things or you know, just taken a lot of time. Q. And has it taken more time to become informed of the issues, the negatives, the risks, the adverse potential impacts when Summit doesn't provide you information and you have to go find it all on your own? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	my husband and my mother-in-law, yes. Q. Okay. And then just one more regarding support for the project. Are you aware that Summit has acquired easements for approximately 81.55 percent of the route in Sargent County? A. Yes. I would be aware of that. And I think a lot of the people don't live there and it would be easier to sign, I believe. MR. GLUDT: That's all the questions I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Yes. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning and happy birthday, Ms. Waloch. A. Thank you. Q. Exhibit 5 on the tab, if you wouldn't mind turning A. Yes. Q. On the second page of that letter that your attorney sent to Mr. Bender A. Okay. Yep.

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	already signed an easement with Summit and have been	1	A. No.
2	willing to have the pipeline on their property."	2	Q. Okay.
3	So I guess I'm just having a little bit of a	3	A. No.
4	hard time understanding that, if you've signed an	4	Q. And the Exhibit 10, which was the news article,
5	easement, now you're saying here today that you're	5	looks like there's a big picture of Exxon. Do you know
6	opposed to the project. Can you explain that a little	6	whether or not Summit Summit had any involvement with
7	bit?	7	that Louisiana
8	A. We have not signed. Our neighbors have.	8	A. I do not know if they did or not.
9	Q. Oh, I see.	9	Q. They didn't do you know if they own an
10	A. Yeah.	10	interest or anything like that? That's my question.
11	Q. Oh, I see, the above okay, my confusion.	11	A. I do not.
12	A. Right. Yeah.	12	Q. Okay. Thank you, ma'am. I don't have any other
13	Q. Okay. Well, that helps me	13	questions for you.
14	A. We've yeah, yeah. We've had	14	A. Okay.
15	Q. So you have not signed an easement, your family	15	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.
16	has not signed an easement with Summit?	16	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Well, good morning and
17	A. Correct.	17	happy birthday.
18	Q. You've been in	18	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19	A. Correct. It's our neighbors. I know some have	19	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So kind of an apology
20	come over and talked to us and	20	at first. I really try to be diligent about going
21	Q. All right.	21	through things in advance, but I'm sorry, we never
22	A. So yeah.	22	received this big binder nor some other filings that are
23	Q. And that you reference in your testimony,	23	in here until yesterday and we had two meetings
24	ma'am, ethics complaints. Did you file any ethics	24	yesterday.
25	A. I did not.	25	THE WITNESS: Okay.
	PAGE 37		PAGE 39
	1 AGE 31		
1	Q. No. Okay.	1	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me
1 2		1 2	
	Q. No. Okay.		COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me
2	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your	2	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something.
2	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You	3	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay.
2 3 4	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the	2 3 4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a
2 3 4 5	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these	2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm
2 3 4 5 6	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. No. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and my calving pasture is on this quarter, but we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger. Q. Well, how do you what information do you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and my calving pasture is on this quarter, but we have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger. Q. Well, how do you what information do you have on that? Just from what your attorney is telling you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and my calving pasture is on this quarter, but we have neighbors that would be on the east of it, and then my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger. Q. Well, how do you what information do you have on that? Just from what your attorney is telling you? A. Well, maybe. But I also think that if the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and my calving pasture is on this quarter, but we have neighbors that would be across the road, that it all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger. Q. Well, how do you what information do you have on that? Just from what your attorney is telling you? A. Well, maybe. But I also think that if the project wasn't approved, it would go away. Q. Okay. Understood. You don't have any formal legal training or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and my calving pasture is on this quarter, but we have neighbors that would be across the road, that it all comes in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. No. Okay. And as far as the jurisdiction of the PSC, your attorney asked you a couple questions on that. You understand that the Century Code provides the jurisdiction that the Commission has on these proceedings; right? A. Yes, yes. Q. And as far as any policy decisions related to climate change, carbon capture, you understand that the Commission doesn't have any policy, rules as to those topics? A. Yes. I understand that. Q. And as far as eminent domain, which was also mentioned in your testimony, ma'am, you understand that the Commission does not make determinations related to eminent domain? A. Right, correct. But like my attorney said there, the trigger. Q. Well, how do you what information do you have on that? Just from what your attorney is telling you? A. Well, maybe. But I also think that if the project wasn't approved, it would go away. Q. Okay. Understood.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so help me understand something. THE WITNESS: Okay. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I go back to a different filing that we've had all this time but I'm trying to correlate the two, the map, when you said that you're on the northeast quarter of section 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: did you and so this proposal kind of just cuts through the very southeast corner of that quarter; right? THE WITNESS: Correct. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Were you citing that quarter specifically because that's the quarter upon which you live or do you own, like, the quarter to the east of it where it comes in from or the quarter to the south of it that it exits through? THE WITNESS: It I live on this quarter and my calving pasture is on this quarter, but we have neighbors that would be across the road, that it all comes in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay.

1	land to the east or the south of this quarter where	1	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Prior to that you
2	THE WITNESS: East or not to the east, but my	2	received communication from Summit regarding they want
3	mother-in-law is to the south.	3	to route the pipeline on your land; correct?
4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And so it's the	4	THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	land because as I'm trying to read through your	5	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Did you respond to
6	proposed reroute	6	that letter?
7	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	7	THE WITNESS: We went to a meeting in Oakes,
8	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it appears that it	8	North Dakota.
9	doesn't just get it off of that corner of your property,	9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. But did
10	it appears to try and move it by, like, a half a mile	10	you
11	away. Am I understanding that correctly?	11	THE WITNESS: Oh, did we respond?
12	THE WITNESS: Yeah, and I'm I think so. My	12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yeah, did you
13	husband could answer that better. I'm thinking that, to	13	respond saying "not interested"? I mean, how did you
14	hook up with our neighbor's, that's kind of how he drew	14	respond to Summit's initial letter that you received?
15	this map.	15	THE WITNESS: Well, I don't think it was a
16	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. I don't think	16	question. It was just that this is what we're doing so
17	oh, okay. I see there are some maps at the end of	17	I we didn't respond immediately.
18	this filing too. So I'll have a chance to review that	18	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What do you mean
19	further. Okay.	19	by "what we're doing"?
20		20	THE WITNESS: I believe the Summit just said
21	So one other thing I wanted to touch on. I'm sure it's just a simple mistake, but on No. 18	21	-
22		22	here's what we're doing, this pipeline is going here,
	THE WITNESS: Exhibit 18?		this is the route, we have some maps and
23	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Correct.	23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So they
24	THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay.	24	laid out the proposal. Would you say the letter was a
25	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: As I'm recalling it,	25	proposal on the route which impacted your land?
	PAGE 41		PAGE 43
1	you kind of agreed with the statement from Mr. Jorde	1	THE WITNESS: It wasn't a proposal in terms of
1 2	you kind of agreed with the statement from Mr. Jorde about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by	1 2	THE WITNESS: It wasn't a proposal in terms of "Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just
			• •
2	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by	2	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just
2	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians.	2	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess
2 3 4 5	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the	2 3 4 5	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received
2 3 4 5 6	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that	2 3 4 5 6	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit
2 3 4 5 6 7	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess one of my questions for you is we go from you retained
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I want to clarify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess one of my questions for you is we go from you retained Mr. Jorde in 2022 and it isn't until 2024 that there's correspondence with Summit regarding a reroute. What happened during that time? I mean, why I hear your passion. Where's the communication saying "I don't want this and please reroute it"? Help me understand, as a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I want to clarify something based upon your testimony and how you answered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess one of my questions for you is we go from you retained Mr. Jorde in 2022 and it isn't until 2024 that there's correspondence with Summit regarding a reroute. What happened during that time? I mean, why I hear your passion. Where's the communication saying "I don't want this and please reroute it"? Help me understand, as a landowner, why that time period lapses.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I want to clarify something based upon your testimony and how you answered Summit and all that. So you hired Mr. Jorde in 2022.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess one of my questions for you is we go from you retained Mr. Jorde in 2022 and it isn't until 2024 that there's correspondence with Summit regarding a reroute. What happened during that time? I mean, why I hear your passion. Where's the communication saying "I don't want this and please reroute it"? Help me understand, as a landowner, why that time period lapses. THE WITNESS: Well, I think we were waiting to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about this illegal lobbying effect, as he put it, by politicians. THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Can I point you to the second page of that THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: kind of about just a little lower than the middle of that gray box. THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who was invited to that? THE WITNESS: Legislators only. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to make that clear. I don't know why the word "politicians" as opposed to "legislators" was used, but if it was supposed to cast suspicion on this agency, there's no evidence that we were invited to this; correct? THE WITNESS: Oh. No. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I want to clarify something based upon your testimony and how you answered	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	"Do you agree or would you agree with this?" It just was information in COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess what I'm trying to get at, Karla, is when you received that correspondence from Summit THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: did you respond back? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So what time period when did you receive that first letter? THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. It seemed like it was nice outside. Maybe summer of 2022. I don't remember for sure. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I guess one of my questions for you is we go from you retained Mr. Jorde in 2022 and it isn't until 2024 that there's correspondence with Summit regarding a reroute. What happened during that time? I mean, why I hear your passion. Where's the communication saying "I don't want this and please reroute it"? Help me understand, as a landowner, why that time period lapses.

1			
	And then when it was denied, we hoped it wasn't going to	1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	happen, I guess is my biggest thing. We kept hoping	2	BY MR. JORDE:
3	that it wouldn't happen.	3	Q. At no time were you suggesting or are you aware
4	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So I look	4	we've ever suggested that any of the three commissioners
5	at it as you just took no action, that there was no	5	of this body sitting up here have done anything illegal
6	action taken on your behalf on proposing a reroute or	6	or or that they shouldn't have done; correct?
7	working with Summit.	7	A. No. No. I've appreciated all of their hearings
8	THE WITNESS: I guess we just hoped it went	8	that they've let us speak and their time and
9	away. I mean, you know, hoped that it wasn't going to	9	consideration. So no.
10	go through.	10	Q. All right. So in terms of, you know,
11	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Okay.	11	politicians or elected officials or people receiving
12	THE WITNESS: I guess I didn't feel like it was	12	money from Summit in campaigns and Summit allies,
13	our job to you know, they said this is it and nobody	13	etcetera, we're not talking about the PSC at all?
14	we never had anybody in our residence again and	14	A. No. Absolutely not.
15	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for	15	Q. All right. Very good.
16	the clarification. I appreciate that.	16	Now, you were just asked some questions about
17	You said your calving well, I think my final	17	whether you retained legal assistance to help you with
18	question. Is it your understanding and I would say	18	this legal quagmire that you're faced with here. And
19	it's my understanding that you're willing to work	19	that was in 2022. But before that, when Summit
20	with Summit right now on a proposed reroute?	20	presented this to you and then you took time out of your
21	THE WITNESS: If if we had to, that would be	21	day and gas and you drive up to the meeting and you sit
22	the least bad option, yes.	22	there, that presentation by Summit wasn't "Hey, you
23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you.	23	know, we're thinking about this, if you're interested,
24	No further questions.	24	talk to us and maybe we would put it on you." It's
25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	25	"This is the route, this is what is happening, and this
	PAGE 45		PAGE 47
1	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you,	1	is your land and it's coming on you"?
2	Your Honor.	2	A. Yes.
3	To go back to this section 14 in the southwest	3	Q. Okay. It wasn't I mean, Summit likes to put
4			
	quarter, who owns that?	4	this "Oh, we want to engage with you and have this"
5	quarter, who owns that? THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter?	4 5	this "Oh, we want to engage with you and have this" there was never any of that?
5	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter?	5	there was never any of that?
5 6	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah.	5 6	there was never any of that? A. No.
5 6 7	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law.	5 6 7	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you
5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is	5 6 7 8	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean
5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest	5 6 7 8 9	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the
5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence?	5 6 7 8 9	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years?
5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No.	5 6 7 8 9 10	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. A. No.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Are you aware that for almost a year I've been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yeah.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Are you aware that for almost a year I've been trying to negotiate standard easement language with this
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yeah. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: That's my only	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Are you aware that for almost a year I've been trying to negotiate standard easement language with this company and they stopped talking to me about five months
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yeah. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: That's my only question. Happy birthday.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Are you aware that for almost a year I've been trying to negotiate standard easement language with this company and they stopped talking to me about five months ago?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yeah. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: That's my only question. Happy birthday. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Are you aware that for almost a year I've been trying to negotiate standard easement language with this company and they stopped talking to me about five months ago? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: Southwest quarter? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's my mother-in-law. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. Is there a residence there at the bottom in the southwest quarter? Is there a residence? THE WITNESS: No. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. I'm just trying to make THE WITNESS: Oh. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: the maps. THE WITNESS: That yeah. Yeah, that would be some non-relative. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yeah. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: That's my only question. Happy birthday. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	there was never any of that? A. No. Q. And then when Summit's counsel says are you willing to engage with Summit, what does that even mean and why haven't they tried to engage with you in the last two years? A. I don't know. I guess they just Q. Okay. Has your address changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Do you think my email or address has changed in the last two years? A. No. Q. Are you aware that for almost a year I've been trying to negotiate standard easement language with this company and they stopped talking to me about five months ago? A. Yes. Q. All right. And so we were making zero progress

	ND PUBLIC SERV		_ 001/11/1001014
1 ne	ver sent me the option contract language and	1	not talking to you unless we have a survey." That's
2 cor	mmunication stopped	2	what's happening; right?
3	MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor.	3	A. Right.
4 Mr	. Jorde's testifying.	4	Q. Okay.
5	ALJ HOGAN: Yep.	5	A. Yes.
6	MR. JORDE: I'm asking her if she knows.	6	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 13.
7	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Do you know that?	7	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 13?
8	A. No.	8	MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.
9	Q. I think you misunderstood	9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
10	A. I'm sorry.	10	MR. PELHAM: Well, I'm not sure that there's
11	Q. Okay.	11	foundation, but no objection.
12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, let's not talk about what	12	ALJ HOGAN: I agree on the foundation, but given
13 you	u've done. I mean, if you want to put that in a legal	13	there's no objection, I will admit 13.
14 filir	ng, that's fine, but I don't think it's appropriate	14	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. And then moving
	ask this witness about it.	15	onto the you were asked some questions about
16	MR. JORDE: Okay.	16	jurisdiction and, you know, what the PSC's narrow focus
17	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have I on your behalf, as the	17	is or isn't. Is it your simple belief that without a
	outhpiece for a client, tried to have been working	18	route there wouldn't be eminent domain? You're not
	wards potential easement language related to this	19	going to condemn rights that you don't have and in a
1	oject?	20	project you can't build?
21	A. Yes, you have.	21	A. Right. It's just my simple belief.
22	Q. All right. Thank you.	22	Q. All right. Thank you.
23	MR. JORDE: And I'm happy to submit all those	23	And if the PSC's jurisdiction is only the start
	nails if anyone cares.	24	of a pipeline that has to connect to other equipment and
25	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) In terms of just a little	25	then the end of the pipeline before it gets into any
	PAGE 49		PAGE 51
	mple of that, Exhibit 13, can you return to Tab 13 in	1	type of underground storage, and we're only looking at
	ont of you there? And I don't want to get too deep	2	this linear pipe, you would agree that it's impossible,
	o this, but in any event you can see and, again,	3	based on Summit's business model, for them to jump over
	I do is on behalf of clients. You understand that;	4	the hurdles necessary to be granted a certificate of
5 rig	ht? A. Yes.	5 6	corridor and a route permit? A. Yes.
7		7	
8 so	Q. All right. Without you, I can't do anything	8	MR. JORDE: All right. I don't have anything further. Thank you.
9	A. Uh-huh. Yes.	9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any additional questions?
10	Q. There's some recounting here of we haven't been	10	MR. GLUDT: Nothing further, Your Honor.
	aking any progress, and on the top of page 2, on your	11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
	any progress, and on the top of page 2, on your		AD HOGAN. Pil. Felliatti.
12 011	d others' behalf. I asked them "Hey, let's let's	12	MR PELHAM: I don't Thank you
13 ha	d others' behalf, I asked them "Hey, let's let's	12 13	MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you.
	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a	13	ALJ HOGAN: Any further
14 wa	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back	13 14	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor.
14 wa 15 and	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to	13 14 15	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart?
14 wa 15 and 16 tal	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right?	13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No.
14 wa 15 and 16 tall 17	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I	13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
14 wa 15 and 16 tall 17 18 do	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I an't know what where it is.	13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No.
14 wa 15 and 16 tal 17 18 do 19	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I on't know what where it is. Q. Well, and better than that, when they drew the	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: None, Your Honor.
14 wa 15 and 16 tal 17 18 do 19 20 line	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I wit know what where it is. Q. Well, and better than that, when they drew the e across North Dakota, they didn't have the survey,	13 14 15 16 17 18	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you,
14 wa 15 and 16 tal 17 18 do 19 20 line 21 did	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I wit know what where it is. Q. Well, and better than that, when they drew the e across North Dakota, they didn't have the survey, it they?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you, Ms. Waloch. And happy birthday.
14 wa 15 and 16 tal 17 18 do 19 20 line	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I wit know what where it is. Q. Well, and better than that, when they drew the e across North Dakota, they didn't have the survey, it they? A. Right.	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you,
14 wa 15 and 16 tall 17 18 do 19 20 line 21 did 22 23	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I wit know what where it is. Q. Well, and better than that, when they drew the e across North Dakota, they didn't have the survey, it they?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you, Ms. Waloch. And happy birthday. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14 wa 15 and 16 tal 17 18 do 19 20 line 21 did 22 23 24 the	ve a conversation, send us some options, we can do a alkthrough on reroutes, etcetera." And they come back d say, "Unless we have a survey, there's nothing to k about" basically; right? A. Yes. I see that. But they have a survey so I wit know what where it is. Q. Well, and better than that, when they drew the e across North Dakota, they didn't have the survey, it they? A. Right. Q. Okay. So they were able to do the route that	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Any further COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Nor do I, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you, Ms. Waloch. And happy birthday. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Next witness.

	IND PUBLIC SERV	-	
1	ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Waloch. I'll have	1	A. That is correct.
2	you start by stating your full name for the record and	2	Q. Okay. So you took quite a bit of time to really
3	spelling your last name.	3	think and consider that and essentially take away those
4	RANDALL WALOCH: My full name is Randall Waloch,	4	arguments about putting it on some unwilling landowner.
5	W-A-L-O-C-H.	5	And then, with that, sir, if you want to just
6	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Waloch, were you in the	6	kind of explain what your thought process was and what
7	room this morning when I went through the penalties for	7	you did.
8	perjury?	8	A. Okay. What goes on, my mom has another quarter
9	RANDALL WALOCH: Yes, I was.	9	which would be up on the section 12, would be the
10	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	10	southeast quarter of that. So by
11	is?	11	Q. Hold on. And just and just for the record,
12	RANDALL WALOCH: Yes, I do.	12	you're doing great, but you're on page 3 of Exhibit 5,
13	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	13	is that correct, looks like, the first page of the maps?
14	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	14	A. Well, let's go to 5. That one is going to be a
15	in this case today?	15	better look for you.
16	RANDALL WALOCH: I do.	16	Q. Okay. Page 5. And then you're doing great. Is
17	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	17	the map we're looking at orientated where the top of the
18	Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.	18	page is north and the bottom is south?
19	MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor.	19	A. That's correct.
20	RANDALL WALOCH,	20	Q. Okay. And so if you want to help the Commission
21	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	21	understand, if you could just maybe use directions as
22	follows:	22	opposed to, like, "there," words to just kind of help
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION	23	them as you describe it. Okay. Go ahead.
24	BY MR. JORDE:	24	A. Okay. Back on section 12, if you change the
25	Q. Will you please state your name?	25	angle in that quarter there and you cut across, you can
	PAGE 53		PAGE 55
1	A. Randy Randall Waloch.	1	change this whole thing and we have a lot of sloughs
_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I -	
2	Q. And, Mr. Waloch, I don't want to go over all the	2	and stuff out there too. So to go around them or find a
2	Q. And, Mr. Waloch, I don't want to go over all the ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but		and stuff out there too. So to go around them or find a spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here
3	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but	3	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here
3 4	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss	3 4	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just
3 4 5	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything	3 4 5	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already
3 4 5 6	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed?	3 4 5 6	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets
3 4 5	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything	3 4 5	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom.
3 4 5 6 7 8	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is	3 4 5 6 7 8	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and your proposed reroutes and before you get into that,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross potentially if this route were worked on is land where
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and your proposed reroutes and before you get into that, I just want to clarify that you took the time to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross potentially if this route were worked on is land where easements already or land owned by people who already
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and your proposed reroutes and before you get into that, I just want to clarify that you took the time to consider potential reroutes where the land being	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross potentially if this route were worked on is land where easements already or land owned by people who already have easements with Summit?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and your proposed reroutes and before you get into that, I just want to clarify that you took the time to consider potential reroutes where the land being impacted is land already owned by folks that already	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross potentially if this route were worked on is land where easements already or land owned by people who already have easements with Summit? A. That's correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and your proposed reroutes and before you get into that, I just want to clarify that you took the time to consider potential reroutes where the land being impacted is land already owned by folks that already have signed and are participating in this project;	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross potentially if this route were worked on is land where easements already or land owned by people who already have easements with Summit? A. That's correct. Q. All right. And your wife mentioned the least
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ground we covered, obviously, with your wife there, but do you have anything specific that you'd like to discuss you feel that she left out or to help clarify anything that was discussed? A. The only thing I'd probably if you wanted to know some more about the land and where the pipeline is running, I could do that for you a little Q. Thank you. I didn't want to presume that was where you were headed, but that's exactly what I'd like to do. And Exhibit 5, that binder in front of you, there's a Tab 5. Exhibit 5 is the letter and, frankly, the maps that you and your family put together showing potential reroutes. Yeah, No. 5, I think you've got it there. And so is that it? Let me help you. Here we go. Okay. So if we can maybe help the Commission understand a little bit better the lay of the land and your proposed reroutes and before you get into that, I just want to clarify that you took the time to consider potential reroutes where the land being impacted is land already owned by folks that already	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	spot that would be easier to go, my angles I've got here on this paper, it did that very well, I think, by just changing the angle on two quarters that are already signed up for it that it's going across anyway. Gets rid of the quarter that we have over there, my mom. And it goes around my section 14 on that northeast and it goes around both half on section 14 too. By going down the angle and cutting back, it ends up on the same spot and the pipeline come across on the top and it ends up going on the bottom, ends up in the same two spots. It just takes a different angle. There's only one elbow in it. And there's less elbows probably than what they go in the first place. Q. Okay. So to recap there, I mean you've drawn what essentially are two straight lines that shows one bend in kind of the southern portion of that map, page 5 of Exhibit 5. And then the land that it would cross potentially if this route were worked on is land where easements already or land owned by people who already have easements with Summit? A. That's correct.

	ND PUBLIC SERV		_ 001/11/1100101 1
1	and your wife's property but also your mother's	1	A. Some of this will probably duplicate on this
2	property. It's still in the area. But is this	2	being what we just got done talking to so but I'll do
3	something you could could live with if you had to?	3	it the same way.
4	A. Yes.	4	Good morning. I'll start by saying that my
5	Q. All right. Okay. Anything else about kind of	5	number one concern is safety. I know many others who
6	the lay of the land or your thought process or	6	live close to the proposed pipeline route also share
7	methodology here that you think is important for the	7	this concern. The proposed pipeline is taking toxic
8	Commission to understand as they digest this?	8	waste from four other states. Do we really want to risk
9	A. Well, I think it just gets away from me, for one	9	safety for our people, our water, our food, and our
10	thing, from my cattle and all that stuff, if there was a	10	lifestyle for just one ethanol plant in North Dakota?
11	rupture or anything like that. And the next thing is,	11	In addition to safety, I have a few other
12	by doing the line I've got, honestly, I feel it's a	12	concerns I would like to share with you. I'm a farmer,
13	better route anyway just from the standpoint of any	13	a cattle rancher. We raise crops, livestock. The
14	construction company that will want to go through there;	14	proposed pipeline route's less than a half mile from my
15	you got less sloughs you're trying to work around or	15	house and runs alongside my calving pasture. In the
16	anything else. You got nice straight lines and one	16	event of a rupture, my cattle would would either be
17	elbow. It's better than what they proposed in the first	17	starved of oxygen or they would not survive the blast.
18	place, in my opinion.	18	I have a verified copy from my insurance company that
19	Q. And how many years of your life have you been	19	states it could not cover any losses incurred if this
20	familiar with this ground?	20	happens. How could I make up for the \$600,000 economic
21	A. 60 probably. I don't know.	21	loss with no insurance coverage and 50 years' of
22	Q. Okay. 60. And so you obviously know the	22	breeding genetics experience?
23	difficulties of working the ground, the problems and the	23	As a farmer and rancher, I'm a good steward of
24	challenges that can come up, and you believe that what	24	the land and I have spent my entire life making land
25	you're suggesting here routes around some of those	25	more productive by minimum tillage, no tillage, and
	PAGE 57		PAGE 59
1	natural-existing challenges?	1	planting cover crops. With these practices, they will
2	A. I do.	2	increase organic matter in the soil which will result in
3	Q. Now, did your wife say that your cattle yard is	3	the soil being able to hold more water and air which
4	approximately a hundred foot from the current proposed	4	will increase the yield. By putting in a pipeline, will
5	hazardous pipeline route?	5	destroy fertile topsoil and cause severe compaction
6	A. Yes, that's correct.	6	which would decrease yields for years or forever. For
7	Q. And do you have quite a bit of investment there?	7	an example, there's a pipeline approximately 15 miles
8	I suppose you think of that that cattle yard as a	8	west of where I live and you can still see where the
9	business, your cattle business; correct?	9	line runs several years later. I'm positive that this
10	A. Exactly.	10	will devaluate my property by having a hazard pipeline
11	Q. And so when Summit was putting together their	11	on it.
12	statistics of if they are within 500 feet of a business	12	Water is a precious resource which makes the
13	or residence, they didn't include you and they haven't	13	amount of consumption needed for a pipeline a huge
14	requested a waiver, have they?	14	concern. With an ethanol plant in Casselton and Fargo's
15	A. No.	15	population growth, will there be enough water necessary
16	Q. Okay. And that business, that cattle and the,	16	for living?
17	roughly, 600,000 market value of cattle you have there,	17	Have we considered the outcome of this
18	that's a significant portion of the livelihood that you	18	project is approved and then fails who is going to be
19	and your family depend on; correct?	19	financially and environmentally responsible?
20	A. That's correct.	20	Q. Okay. Thank you. I just have a couple
21	Q. Okay. All right, sir, is there anything else	21	follow-up on that.
22	you want to share with the Commission before I let you	22	The value piece. You know, others have talked
23	go?	23	about that. And in your neck of the woods out there,
24	A. No. I was just going to read something else.	24	would you agree that there's probably a handful of
25	Q. Sure. Go ahead.	25	families that tend to make the market if a parcel comes
	PAGE 58		PAGE 60

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	up at auction or for sale?	1	But, anyway, I'm done with that line of
2	A. Yes, that's correct.	2	questioning. I just have one more here.
3	Q. And I take it that you wouldn't be purchasing or	3	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) On that insurance piece, is
4	bidding on any land that had Summit's hazardous CO2	4	what I've taken from your discussion there on insurance
5	pipeline on it; is that correct?	5	is that
6	A. Yes, that's correct.	6	MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. The
7	Q. And so if you take just one person and then	7	Commission's August 4th, 2024, order says the Commission
8	maybe others out of a small market, is it reasonable to	8	does not have or found that the issue relating to
9	conclude that that could negatively impact values?	9	insurance and Summit's indemnity has been satisfied.
10	A. Yes. That would happen.	10	ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I just looked at the order
11	For an example, if you go back in history in	11	and the Commission made a specific finding regarding
12	years, you've got land that was bought up by the	12	liability insurance requirements. So we don't need to
13	government for sloughs and the wildlife thing. And	13	cover that with any further testimony.
14	nowadays, if there's land that comes up for sale, one of	14	MR. JORDE: Well, Your Honor, with respect,
15	their first ads on there is that line "it has no	15	unfortunately, in Mr. Powell's April 22nd testimony to
16	wildlife easements."	16	this Commission he put it in at issue. Again, on page 4
17	Q. And that's because the easements or the	17	of his March 4th letter that he attached his prefiled
18	prohibitions and the restrictions of what can and can't	18	testimony. And he has a specific section that he gets
19	be done on land when there is an existing easement or	19	into related to insurance and liability insurance, and
20	some type of infrastructure creates a negative value, in	20	we need to be able to address that.
21	your view; correct?	21	ALJ HOGAN: Well, I'm not going to allow further
22	A. That's correct.	22	testimony because the Commission has already addressed
23	Q. You mentioned water briefly. And this hasn't	23	it in their order. The purpose of these hearings are to
24	come up much, but you're aware, if this project is	24	address deficiencies the Commission found in its order
25	approved, that Summit is going to be sucking up maybe a PAGE 61	25	and proposed reroute. So I would please ask that you PAGE 63
	PAGE 01		
1		1	
1 2	billion gallons a year in water across the project at	1 2	move on.
2	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know	2	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the
	billion gallons a year in water across the project at		move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he
2	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that?	2	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the
2 3 4	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes.	2 3 4	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on
2 3 4 5	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is	2 3 4 5	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be
2 3 4 5 6	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained	2 3 4 5 6	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it	2 3 4 5 6 7	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings. MR. JORDE: You know, and you're right, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings. MR. JORDE: You know, and you're right, Your Honor, some of it has. It's just that Summit opened the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings. MR. JORDE: You know, and you're right, Your Honor, some of it has. It's just that Summit opened the door with Mr. Powell's filing where they go into safety	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Mr. Waloch. I'm just wondering,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings. MR. JORDE: You know, and you're right, Your Honor, some of it has. It's just that Summit opened the door with Mr. Powell's filing where they go into safety and proximity and emergency and why carbon sequestration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Mr. Waloch. I'm just wondering, your wife talked a little bit about this and was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings. MR. JORDE: You know, and you're right, Your Honor, some of it has. It's just that Summit opened the door with Mr. Powell's filing where they go into safety and proximity and emergency and why carbon sequestration and they go into all these things and just last month	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Mr. Waloch. I'm just wondering, your wife talked a little bit about this and was questioned on it, but I'm wondering what contact, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	billion gallons a year in water across the project at all the ethanol plants to cool the CO2. Did you know that? A. Yes. Q. And are you also aware that because CO2 is volatile, there has to be a constant pressure maintained at all times in the pipeline, they can't just shut it off or let the CO2 sit in the pipeline? Did you know that? A. Yes. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to put an objection again. Mr. Jorde is testifying and he's just asking his witness if he agrees. We can exponentially expedite these proceedings if we would move past those types of questions. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And I believe this is ground that's been previously testified to and provided to the Commission at previous hearings. MR. JORDE: You know, and you're right, Your Honor, some of it has. It's just that Summit opened the door with Mr. Powell's filing where they go into safety and proximity and emergency and why carbon sequestration	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	move on. MR. JORDE: Then landowners move to strike the entirety of Mr. Powell's prefiled testimony given he violated the restrictions that you're putting on landowners right now and we would ask that exhibit be stricken from the record. ALJ HOGAN: If you want me to consider that, you'll need to file a written motion for that consideration after this hearing. MR. JORDE: Okay. We will do that. Thank you. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, anything else that we should cover? A. No. Q. Okay. Thank you so much for coming. Appreciate it. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: None, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Mr. Waloch. I'm just wondering, your wife talked a little bit about this and was

PAGE 64

PAGE 62

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	A. The only contact I had was a guy stopped in my	1	There's no buildings in that north half of
2	yard one day and all he wanted me to do is sign a paper	2	section 23, are there?
3	for to survey.	3	THE WITNESS: No, there's not.
4	Q. Do you recall about when that would have been?	4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then as you
5	A. It would have probably been earlier in '22.	5	exit that and get over into the northeast quarter of
6	Q. Okay. Just in terms of a timeline here, there's	6	section 22, it does sure appear that there are some
7	testimony from your wife that Mr. Jorde was retained in,	7	there's a looks like a full farm there in the
8	she believed, maybe the summer of 2022. Would that have	8	southwest corner of that northeast quarter. In your
9	been the contact with the individual who stopped and	9	proposal, how far are you from that farm?
10	wanted you to sign survey rights, was that before you	10	THE WITNESS: I'm guessing it's going to come
11	retained Mr. Jorde or after?	11	out in that corner. You're only going to be a couple
12	A. It was before that.	12	hundred feet in from that corner. But I'll tell you,
13	Q. Okay. And aside from that contact, have you had	13	where that's hooking back up, it already hooks in the
14	any other contact with any Summit employees or	14	pipeline that's already existing that they got going
15	representatives?	15	across there. That's why it goes back at that angle and
16	A. No, I have not.	16	hits that same one.
17	Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay.
18	questions. Mr. Schock may.	18	THE WITNESS: So it's just on the other side of
19	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.	19	the road, is where it hooks back up again.
20	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Well, first of all,	20	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. All right.
21	I'll say I never had much of a green thumb for the	21	Thank you. No other questions.
22	planting side, but before this job I raised cattle so	22	THE WITNESS: Okay.
23	I've got to ask, what kind of cattle do you raise? You	23	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
24	mentioned your genetics.	24	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Is it your
25	THE WITNESS: Mostly Simmental.	25	understanding by Summit Carbon Solutions earlier, they
	PAGE 65		PAGE 67
1	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. I want to talk	1	stated that they're willing to work with you guys
2	make sure I'm understanding your reroute proposal.	2	regarding your reroute proposal?
3	THE WITNESS: Okay.	3	THE WITNESS: Yes.
4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So you pushed this a	4	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just want
5	little further east where it's coming past you.	5	to ask hopefully, that can happen, but you talked
6	THE WITNESS: Correct.	6	about your land use right now and I just want to make
7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And that gets it over	7	certain of it. Crop and cattle; correct?
8	into that southwest quarter of section 13. And am I	8	THE WITNESS: Correct.
9	understanding you right, that you're saying that that	9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That's your
10	landowner has already signed other easements?	10	current use. Do you have any future use of your land?
11	THE WITNESS: Yes, that's correct.	11	THE WITNESS: As of what?
12	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And then you go all	12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Any plans to, say,
13	the way across the north half of section 23. And the	13	we're going to try something different, you're
14	same thing there, that landowner or landowners have	14	researching for your future use of your land?
15	signed other easements?	15	THE WITNESS: Nope. We're going to stick to the
16	THE WITNESS: Their their family has for	16	same what we've been the whole time.
17	sure. Whether it's totally this one exactly, but their	17	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.
18	family farms all that and this, I think I believe	18	THE WITNESS: It's been working.
19	it's his sister who ends up with this stuff here. But,	19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Well, that's
20	yes, they've already signed on the top side and over on	20	great. Have you talked with your proposal, have you
21	this other section to the west of that 23	21	talked to the other people about your proposal and that
22	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: There's no	22	you have submitted it?
23	THE WITNESS: where it's going to hook up.	23	THE WITNESS: No, I have not.
24	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Excuse me. Sorry to	24	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Okay. Just
25	interrupt.	25	one last comment. It's your wife's birthday. You
1	PAGE 66		PAGE 68

	ND PUBLIC SERV	. •	
1	should take her out for dinner.	1	A. We have cell phones now.
2	THE WITNESS: Probably be a good idea.	2	Q. Cell phones, okay, yeah. See, that dates me
3	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I have no further	3	too. But desk or anything set up in those shops?
4	questions. Thank you.	4	A. Yes. There is one out there.
5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?	5	Q. Okay. And is it your testimony, sir, that that
6	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions,	6	is an occupied business structure then?
7	Your Honor. Thank you.	7	A. Yes.
8	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Jorde?	8	Q. All right. Thank you.
9	MR. JORDE: Just one or two questions.	9	ALJ HOGAN: Any other questions from
10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	10	commissioners or Mr. Dawson?
11	BY MR. JORDE:	11	All right. Thank you, Mr. Waloch.
12	Q. So I appreciate the point that Mr. Pelham's	12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	making, and that's our point. So, you know, whether you	13	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, could I have one
14	hired me or not, I mean I have an email, I have an	14	follow-up on Mr. Pelham's last question
15	address, I have a phone number. Summit hasn't come to	15	ALJ HOGAN: If I allow you to ask a follow-up,
16	you with any type of options, any type of "Hey, we have	16	then I've got to go around the room again so I prefer
17	all these easements signed up, we could maybe do this or	17	not.
18	this." They haven't suggested solutions; is that	18	MR. JORDE: Okay. I guess is the preference a
19	correct?	19	hard no?
20	A. That's correct.	20	ALJ HOGAN: Yes.
21	Q. All right. Thank you. Nothing further.	21	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I'll ask someone
22	Appreciate it, sir.	22	else.
23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions?	23	Thank you, sir. I appreciate it.
24	MR. GLUDT: Nothing, Your Honor.	24	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Next witness.
25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	25	MR. JORDE: Loren Staroba, please.
	PAGE 69		PAGE 71
1	MR. PELHAM: I did. I missed one earlier. I	1	ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Staroba. I'll
2	apologize.	2	have you start by stating your full name for the record
2	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION	2	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name.
2 3 4	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM:	2 3 4	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is
2 3 4 5	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business	2 3 4 5	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A.
2 3 4 5 6	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures	2 3 4 5 6	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the
2 3 4 5 6 7	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this	2 3 4 5 6 7	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	apologize. RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot. Q. Do you have an office in any of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. LOREN STAROBA,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot. Q. Do you have an office in any of those structures?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. LOREN STAROBA, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot. Q. Do you have an office in any of those structures? A. We do a lot of work out of the shop. It's not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. LOREN STAROBA, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot. Q. Do you have an office in any of those structures? A. We do a lot of work out of the shop. It's not really a good office, but, yes, it's we make a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. LOREN STAROBA, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot. Q. Do you have an office in any of those structures? A. We do a lot of work out of the shop. It's not really a good office, but, yes, it's we make a lot of calls there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. LOREN STAROBA, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, you testified related to your business being close to the proposed line. Are there structures that are occupied that your business utilizes on this section 14 that you own? A. Yes. We live there and all our farm buildings are there. Q. Do you know the distance from the proposed line as far as any business business structures that are located on your property? A. To my thinking, I'm guessing it's under a half mile. Q. Okay. And specifically, can you tell us what structures you're talking about? A. I got a shop and pole barns and machine sheds and a house and barn, another cattle barn, feedlot. Q. Do you have an office in any of those structures? A. We do a lot of work out of the shop. It's not really a good office, but, yes, it's we make a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. LOREN STAROBA: Yes. Good morning. My name is Loren Staroba. And my last name is S-T-A-R-O-B-A. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Staroba, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? LOREN STAROBA: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? LOREN STAROBA: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? LOREN STAROBA: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. LOREN STAROBA, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION

	ND PUBLIC SERV		_ oommooror
1	your targeted land is located.	1	A. That's correct.
2	A. My name is Loren Staroba and the properties that	2	Q. All right. And did you take these actions
3	we have are in two different sections. One in section 2	3	because you hadn't heard from them, there was nothing
4	of the northwest quarter of section 130-151.	4	going on so from their side of finding solutions so
5	Q. And then just help us out, that's Richland	5	you tried to make another attempt at it?
6	County; correct?	6	A. There was nothing from Summit at all as far as a
7	A. That is Richland County, yes.	7	proposed route, reroute. There was nothing.
8	Q. Okay. And then another parcel?	8	And I guess I've kind of made a list and a
9	A. It is in section 35, and that's part of the east	9	timeline of what has actually happened from Summit since
10	half of section 132-51.	10	the very beginning, and I'd like to go through that so
11	Q. All right. Very good.	11	you don't have to ask the questions when these things
12	Now, sir, you and your wife, Diane, are both	12	happened, you know.
13	formal intervenors in this	13	But our first contact with SCS with was by
14	A. Yes, we are.	14	certified mail from Erik Schovanec in August of '21. It
15	Q. And I don't want to go over every single	15	was vague and showed a pipeline only on one section,
16	guestion that I asked the Walochs, which may be similar	16	section 35.
17	to you given that we're all working together, but, just	17	In February of '22 an easement offer was
18	generally, do you share the concerns that the Walochs	18	arrived by certified mail, and Bruce Johnson (phonetic),
19	presented in their testimony?	19	representing SCS, for a pipeline on section 35 and then
20	A. Yes, I do.	20	only a temporary construction easement on section 2. We
21	Q. And in terms of the back-and-forth we had about	21	responded to their land agent, Catherine Johnson
22	not being contacted by Summit, not having that	22	(phonetic), that we were not interested in another
23	Summit's not presenting solutions or potential reroutes	23	pipeline on our property.
24	or providing you with new options, is that all true for	24	Section 35, as I testified in the last hearing
25	you as it was the Walochs?	25	in Wahpeton, has two pipelines there already. And we
	PAGE 73		PAGE 75
		1 .	
1	A. It's all true. And it's one of the main reasons	1	have had many adversely affected crop production and
1 2	A. It's all true. And it's one of the main reasons I'm here today.	1 2	have had many adversely affected crop production and due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality
			. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
2	I'm here today.	2	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality
2	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that	2	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45
2 3 4	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year	2 3 4	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want
2 3 4 5	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you?	2 3 4 5	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And
2 3 4 5 6	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically
2 3 4 5 6 7	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts,	2 3 4 5 6 7	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission. And did we want to work with a company that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you? A. I do. Q. Very good. And, sir, you actually personally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission. And did we want to work with a company that can't even get the county correct? And then basically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you? A. I do. Q. Very good. And, sir, you actually personally prepared the map which is page 3 and 4 of that letter;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission. And did we want to work with a company that can't even get the county correct? And then basically we thought, with the lack of professionalism and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you? A. I do. Q. Very good. And, sir, you actually personally prepared the map which is page 3 and 4 of that letter; is that correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission. And did we want to work with a company that can't even get the county correct? And then basically we thought, with the lack of professionalism and the obvious errors and the misrepresentations of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you? A. I do. Q. Very good. And, sir, you actually personally prepared the map which is page 3 and 4 of that letter; is that correct? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission. And did we want to work with a company that can't even get the county correct? And then basically we thought, with the lack of professionalism and the obvious errors and the misrepresentations of our properties, was Summit trustworthy? And we didn't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I'm here today. Q. And, sir, I believe you sat, maybe not in that chair but in that location almost approximately a year ago, didn't you? A. That's correct. Q. And in that year period there's been no efforts, at least that we're aware of, that Summit tried to address a single concern that you shared; is that correct? A. That's true. There's been very minimal response unless we initiated it. Q. And you might be going to Exhibit No. 3, which is the April 23rd, 2024, letter with another reroute; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. Q. Okay. And do you have a copy in front of you? A. I do. Q. Very good. And, sir, you actually personally prepared the map which is page 3 and 4 of that letter; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. And then you provided that map to me and then we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	due to compaction, water drainage, and soil quality issues. And I I talked about that, that after 25, 45 years later we still have problems. So we don't want another one. That was what my testimony was. And that's what we told them. That was already in basically February '22. Then on July 22 and July 29 we received FedEx letters from the Fredrikson Law Firm stating we must give voluntary access for Summit to survey. The thing is, on those survey permission forms, they gave the wrong county name and all the contact numbers were incorrect. So basically, they wanted us to give permission in Dickey County. We live in Richland County. And I've got papers and it's in the records. So we felt we didn't we couldn't legitimately grant them survey permission. And did we want to work with a company that can't even get the county correct? And then basically we thought, with the lack of professionalism and the obvious errors and the misrepresentations of our properties, was Summit trustworthy? And we didn't want to do business with them. And we told them that. We

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

representation from Brian Jorde of the Domina Law Group.
 And then on August 23rd Summit served us papers at our
 front door suing us for access to survey our property.

And, again, I'd like to reiterate this. All four copies of the survey access form, Exhibit A, called it Dickey County and had all the incorrect phone numbers. And those are all included in those lawsuit papers. So I guess we didn't have a real good feeling of professionalism at that point. And they -- basically, there was in the actual lawsuit in these other forms, they give us the proper descriptions, but they still called it Dickey County.

And then after they sued us, September 13, '22, we received a second easement offer from Julie DiMeo, their North Dakota road manager, and basically they added an additional pipeline on section 2 after we had been sued for access. So -- and through all of this there wasn't any notice of a change from them to give us a heads-up. There was nothing.

Then eight months later, May of '23, with no contact at all from SCS through us and through our lawyer, we -- we did hire David Piper, a local West Fargo attorney through that firm, to initiate contact with Summit to move the pipeline in section 2 from our land. And that's because the pipeline came in at just

PAGE 77

one corner and we thought, okay, let's go and get -- run
 it diagonally through the road. We'll at least get that
 from them, we thought. And we were not negotiating
 anything on section 35, but we thought if we can work
 with them to get it through section 2.

After considerable effort and conversations with neighbors and personal expense, we offered a solution to run it through diagonally crossing through the road. Our attorney, David Piper, had conversations with the rural manager, Julie DiMeo. And the current PSC map of section 2 shows that change, but we have nothing in writing. There's no easement offered. There was nothing.

So we have seen or heard nothing addressed in section 35 since Summit sued us. The only communication we had was to the lawsuit but nothing to changing anything.

There was a slight change in the angle of the
pipeline that you see on the map now. It was higher up.
And that happened after Terry Goerger, our neighbor,
signed an easement. There was never any question from
Summit saying, "Well, where do you think it might want
to go? What can we do, you know, to help you out?" No.

So there's been two, three changes that they made
 without any contact with us and asking us or even

telling us what they were doing.

Then in March 2024 our attorney, Steve Leibel, filed a letter from the -- filed with the PSC Document No. 463 and he asked that Summit -- and said that Summit had not contacted us to address our concerns on section 35. He also filed Document 466, a list of interrogatory questions for them to identify the studies, the reports, the analysis, the reroutes that they proposed and any agreed on, negotiations, discussions with us, or other measures initiated or considered by Summit with respect to our property.

Then on March 22nd Summit answered with a boilerplate response. They said they had no obligation to reroute the pipeline which would require introducing new landowners to the project, amending other existing easements, and moving the route approximately .6 miles outside the existing corridor. They had no explanation to us and to you for any reroute they may have considered and come up with .6 miles. I just don't see it. And they've never said -- there's been nothing.

So they have -- they have rerouted much further than .6 miles many times. The Bernhardts, 8.3 miles in Emmons County; Doolittles, 3.9 miles in Emmons County; the Vculeks, 4.3 miles in Dickey County and also 8 point miles in Richland County to get it completely off their

PAGE 79

PAGE 80

land, they did that; and Dotzenrods, 2.2 miles in
 Richland County. That's all in Document 411. They made
 huge efforts to address the concerns of these landowners
 only because the PSC has singled out -- the PSC singled
 them out.
 In April of this year, '24, fairly recently, on

In April of this year, '24, fairly recently, on the 23rd of April, our attorney, Brian Jorde, submitted a letter to Summit attorney Lawrence Bender stating our concerns on the pipeline. We did propose an alternate route on section 35 along the south edge of our property, requested a response from Summit, but have heard nothing. Our letters to Bender and the maps of our two tracts is included in the packet we have presented to you.

Actually, there's been a letter now from Bender
-- excuse me, from Summit, from Lawrence Bender, that
they have responded to that. Basically, we sent this
letter hoping to get a response from them a month ago.
We heard nothing. They just -- I don't know if they
ignored or they just didn't think they needed to talk to
us. We heard nothing until basically when we filed with
the PSC this letter, then "Oh, I guess we better say
something." So they -- whatever that's worth, that's
what happened.

We feel that they really have made no efforts to

PAGE 78

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	address our concerns in section 35. They have it	1	you know, Mr. Jorde filed the letter to Summit without
2	appears they have no responsibility to consider our	2	including Summit's response letters. Those response
3	position to protect our property. And we're asking the	3	letters were all filed with the Commission, I believe,
4	PSC to recognize our voiced concerns as you have with	4	yesterday. So I have no objection to this entering the
5	other landowners along Summit proposed property. And I	5	evidence as long as the response letters also come in.
6	think it's just that, you know, in your in your PSC	6	ALJ HOGAN: How did I didn't see that. Was
7	order, you had said that Summit has not taken the steps	7	it, like, one docket number or multiples?
8	to address the outstanding legitimate impacts expressed	8	MR. GLUDT: I didn't it was yesterday. I
9	by landowners during the public comment or demonstrated	9	don't know which docket number
10	why a reroute is not feasible. We're asking that you	10	ALJ HOGAN: Okay.
11	would do that or that they would do that, because \mathbf{I}	11	MR. GLUDT: it ended up at, Your Honor.
12	would think that's their obligation.	12	ALJ HOGAN: Okay.
13	I know on the on the when we suggested a	13	MR. GLUDT: But it was and just to clarify
14	route, they are they are I've done some research.	14	the timing on this, our filing, Mr. Jorde had already
15	I've been to the courthouse and I seen that the property	15	prefiled his exhibits and we had seen his letters to his
16	owners on each side of us have signed agreements. So	16	clients and so we felt it necessary to get our response
17	when they say that their route is .6 miles outside the	17	letters on the record.
18	existing corridor, whatever I've calculated, it's less	18	ALJ HOGAN: Maybe this is a better way to ask
19	than .4 miles. And they're saying that there are no	19	it. Did you file it with, like, a letter? Is it part
20	they'd have to have new property owners. They said	20	of
21	that. I don't see that.	21	MR. JORDE: It's 576.
22	But what where did they get their	22	ALJ HOGAN: 576. Okay. And now I have it in my
23	information? They haven't showed us at all. They have	23	hand so okay.
24	not, through any of this, proposed a separate route, any	24	Mr. Pelham, any objection to Landowner
25	kind of a reroute. There's been nothing. So it isn't	25	Exhibit 3?
	PAGE 81		PAGE 83
1	up to us, and it shouldn't have been up to us to propose	1	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
2	that, because they had been given the order that they	2	ALJ HOGAN: All right. So I will admit 3.
3	should they should deal with us. There's been	3	Mr. Jorde, any objection to admitting as an
4	nothing. So that's our main complaint here.	4	exhibit the May 22rd letter from Mr. Bender with the
5			exhibit the May 23rd letter from Mr. Bender with the
_	And I'd like to reiterate with what Governor	5	response letters?
6	And I'd like to reiterate with what Governor Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give	5 6	•
7			response letters?
	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give	6	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if
7	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State	6	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here.
7 8	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the	6 7 8	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to
7 8 9	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been	6 7 8 9	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I
7 8 9 10	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a	6 7 8 9 10	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they
7 8 9 10 11	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should	6 7 8 9 10 11	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing
7 8 9 10 11 12	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we	6 7 8 9 10 11	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what we sent and of the alternatives you spent time	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay. MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what we sent and of the alternatives you spent time proposing; is that correct?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay. MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: So I'm going to admit that as
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what we sent and of the alternatives you spent time proposing; is that correct? A. Yes, it is.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay. MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: So I'm going to admit that as SCS R-15. And I have one copy here, Mr. Jorde, if you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what we sent and of the alternatives you spent time proposing; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. MR. JORDE: We would offer Landowner Exhibit No.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay. MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: So I'm going to admit that as SCS R-15. And I have one copy here, Mr. Jorde, if you want to look at it over the break.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what we sent and of the alternatives you spent time proposing; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. MR. JORDE: We would offer Landowner Exhibit No. 3, that letter.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay. MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: So I'm going to admit that as SCS R-15. And I have one copy here, Mr. Jorde, if you want to look at it over the break. MR. JORDE: Well, yeah, I would need to I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Burgum said, "If you don't want it, give it to give the big check to your neighbor." And also State Representative Mike Brandenburg testified at the Burleigh County meeting in April '22, he said he's been telling Summit, if we don't if a excuse me if a landowner doesn't want the pipelines, that Summit should stay away from them. And he says there's nothing and we don't if we want nothing to do with it, that's our right. We may have to accept the ramifications, and I'm not sure what he meant by ramifications, but that's what we did. And nothing's happened. Q. And, sir, the April 23rd, 2024, letter you've been discussing, that's a true and accurate copy of what we sent and of the alternatives you spent time proposing; is that correct? A. Yes, it is. MR. JORDE: We would offer Landowner Exhibit No. 3, that letter. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 3?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	response letters? MR. JORDE: Well, number one, I don't know if the requisite amount of copies have been provided here. Number two, I didn't know if we just file something to the docket, then we can get it into evidence. So I guess I would object. I don't have an objection if they want to do it properly, but just referencing to a filing and I don't have a copy of the exhibit, apparently they didn't care to bring them here, so I would object on those on those grounds. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that objection is noted. I am going to admit as let's see. I have the last Summit exhibit labeled SCS R-14 so I would label this as R-15, if that's acceptable. Okay. MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: So I'm going to admit that as SCS R-15. And I have one copy here, Mr. Jorde, if you want to look at it over the break. MR. JORDE: Well, yeah, I would need to I mean, we're going to have to go over it now since it

	TID I ODLIO OLITO		_ 001/11/11001011
1	we can get into can I use that copy since Summit	1	didn't have a survey, did they, on your land?
2	failed to provide one for us? Thank you.	2	A. No.
3	All right. Let me find whatever letter they're	3	Q. Okay. And when they were here last year and
4	referencing here. This might take me a few minutes.	4	were saying this is the route, we're confident in the
5	How far in is it?	5	route, they didn't have a survey on their land; correct?
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not too far in.	6	On your land; correct?
7	(Pause)	7	A. They didn't have a survey either when they moved
8	MR. JORDE: All right. So oh, I'm sorry. Is	8	it three times. There was no survey.
9	it my am I still going? I got confused there.	9	Q. Okay. And so this idea of, you know, three days
10	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay, sir, so we your	10	ago we get the letter, "Oh, well, yeah, we'd love to
11	letter, Exhibit 3, was admitted into evidence. And that	11	talk to you, we need a survey," they haven't had a
12	was April 23rd. And I know on your letter, at the	12	survey for all of the moving around they've done, but
13	bottom of page 1, not only do you have capable Mr.	13	now they need it. Does that seem a little disingenuous?
14	Leibel here, myself, you then also hired yet another	14	A. I think it's disingenuous. And who's to say
15	attorney, David Piper, to try to get this figured out.	15	they haven't received a survey already? I'd like to ask
16	And Summit hasn't worked with any of us; is that	16	that question. I don't suppose I can, but would they
17	correct?	17	honestly say they haven't surveyed it?
18	A. David Piper has worked with Summit.	18	Q. So have they provided you any survey that they
19	Q. I phrased that inartfully. Have they provided	19	may have done on your property?
20	reroutes to you?	20	A. No, they have not. They haven't even said it's
21	A. No.	21	surveyed.
22	Q. Okay. All right. And then, let's see, that was	22	Q. Okay. And they don't say what kind of survey
23	April 23rd. And then we filed these with the PSC so now	23	they need, it's just a general survey so they're not
24	the world knows about them. And then three days ago	24	specific.
25	apparently we get a letter here from Mr. Bender that's	25	Now, sir, their counsel is sitting right here,
	PAGE 85		PAGE 87
1	now I don't know if these are all in evidence, but I	1	their executives are all sitting. We got the CEO, the
2	guess yours is in evidence. What does he say? Anything	2	COO, general counsel right behind you. We're going to
3	relevant here? Let's see.	3	take a break here in a little bit. We could just hammer
4	Okay. Now so they they say they've reviewed	4	out a deal right then. We've got them all here.
5	the your reroute proposal and they believe that maybe	5	Wouldn't you agree?
6	accommodations can be made, but you've been trying this	6	A. I guess we could talk about it. I'd I just
7	for over a year, haven't you?	7	don't understand why they haven't given us a chance to
8	A. Easily over a year.	8	at least give us a proposal or suggested anything. It's
9	Q. Okay. So when we let the PSC know what's going	9	just been one of the things that this is where it's
10	on, now three days ago Summit gets the letter. Do you	10	going to be and you have no choice.
11	feel that's a little disingenuous?	11	Q. And we heard that concern from you a year ago,
12	A. Yes.	12	their project was denied on the basis that they hadn't
13	Q. All right. And now, again, they are using the	13	listened to landowner concerns, and here we are a year
14	survey excuse to see if the reroutes are feasible, but	14	later; right?
15	the reroutes you're suggesting, where are they going?	15	A. That's right.
16	Are they going off of your property or where are they	16	Q. Okay. Sir, is there anything else related to
17	going?	17	your proposed reroute it's quite detailed and
18	A. No, not completely. As the least worst I	18	organized that you think the Commission should know
19	guess that's one way to put it to move it to the	19	so they could consider either denying this because
20	bottom edge of our property so it doesn't angle across	20	Summit's failed for the last year to do anything for
21	like it has with the other two pipelines. And we've	21	you, to work with you, and/or if they were to
22 23	seen it for years. And then basically our suggestion	23	conditionally approve to require rerouting around or off
24	Okay, And same questions as I asked to the	23	your property? Is there anything else you'd like the Commission to know?
25	Q. Okay. And same questions as I asked to the	25	A. I guess I'd just like to say that it is not
23	Walochs. When Summit drew this route years ago, they PAGE 86	20	PAGE 88
1	FAGE 00	Ī	FAGE 88

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV		
1	going off our property completely. And the research I	1	whether it is for the public good or not. We figured
2	have done has the landowners on either side have	2	we've got two pipelines. We've given given ourselves
3	signed easements so there's something that could be	3	or given something up for the team twice. And is it
4	worked with possibly. And like I said before, I'm not	4	right to do it another time?
5	sure why that would be my obligation to even do that or	5	Q. Sir, just a little housekeeping. You mentioned
6	even propose a different route. That should be Summit's	6	the Summit reroutes around, I believe, the Doolittles
7	problem. That should be their if they want to work	7	and the Bernhardts. In that binder in front of you, or
8	with us willingly. We've seen nothing.	8	you might have a copy, but in the binder, at Tab 21, I
9	Q. So in terms of that, let me get this straight.	9	just have a couple maps I want to touch on briefly. Tab
10	The reroute you're proposing in Exhibit 3 depicted	10	21. And I'll represent to you and the Commission that
11	there, that still leaves some of the hazardous pipeline,	11	those are true and accurate copies taken from the Summit
12	that proposed route, still on property you own. Despite	12	filing and compiled in this Landowner Exhibit 21.
13	all of your other concerns you've previously expressed,	13	Do you recognize those generally, sir?
14	you have shown a willingness to work with them, haven't	14	A. I do.
15	you?	15	Q. Yes. And you had referenced actually the exact
16	A. I have. I first well, we would prefer it	16	number of miles and the reroutes in your testimony
17	stay off our property, and we've said that all along,	17	relative to the Doolittle property and the Bernhardt
18	but we're willing to at least consider something like	18	property; is that correct?
19	this.	19	A. Yes. They came from these actual documents.
20	Q. And in terms briefly just of the safety aspects,	20	Q. Very good.
21	Mr. Powell in his April 24 testimony touches on it, in	21	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-21.
22	the last year Summit hasn't done anything to make you	22	ALJ HOGAN: I'm going to ask first, are these
23	more aware or satisfy or put you at ease of any of your	23	already in the record?
24	safety or risks, concerns, or any of those things, have	24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I believe they are, Your
25	they?	25	Honor. I can't say with a hundred percent certainty,
	PAGE 89		PAGE 91
	•		
1	A. No, they have not.	1	but I believe these were at least part, at some point,
2	A. No, they have not.Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago,	2	of the petition for reconsideration.
2	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago,	2	of the petition for reconsideration.
2	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still	2	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good
2 3 4	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day?	2 3 4	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket,
2 3 4 5	 Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And 	2 3 4 5	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as
2 3 4 5 6	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our	2 3 4 5 6	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred.	2 3 4 5 6 7	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife said this. You know, we got a two-year-old	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And the point being here, sir,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife said this. You know, we got a two-year-old granddaughter, and at 99 years, you know, she's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And the point being here, sir, just visually so we don't have to search through some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife said this. You know, we got a two-year-old granddaughter, and at 99 years, you know, she's going to be what, 102. And she's going to be burdened with this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And the point being here, sir, just visually so we don't have to search through some other filling, is that the PSC called out and I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife said this. You know, we got a two-year-old granddaughter, and at 99 years, you know, she's going to be what, 102. And she's going to be burdened with this pipeline, the safety of it and whatever, and the scars	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And the point being here, sir, just visually so we don't have to search through some other filing, is that the PSC called out and I don't mean that negatively, but they noted Mr. Doolittle and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife said this. You know, we got a two-year-old granddaughter, and at 99 years, you know, she's going to be what, 102. And she's going to be burdened with this pipeline, the safety of it and whatever, and the scars across the land. So that's one of the things that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And the point being here, sir, just visually so we don't have to search through some other filling, is that the PSC called out and I don't mean that negatively, but they noted Mr. Doolittle and the Bernhardts, I think, by name in the August 4th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. So the same concerns you had a year ago, including the lack of dialogue on a reroute, are still consistent and still true to this day? A. They're still true to this day, you know. And I've said before we have two pipelines going across our property already and the land is scarred. It's scarred. It is. And this would be a different area across our property. And another pipeline, a third pipeline, would also establish another corridor. And you as the PSC understand, I'm sure, once one pipeline is in, it's usually easier to get another one or two. It's just and we've heard that many times. So we're establishing another corridor. And this this easement is for 99 years. And I think of what excuse me. I think of what we're leaving in legacy to our grandchildren. And my wife said this. You know, we got a two-year-old granddaughter, and at 99 years, you know, she's going to be what, 102. And she's going to be burdened with this pipeline, the safety of it and whatever, and the scars across the land. So that's one of the things that really is kind of bugging us.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the petition for reconsideration. MR. JORDE: Well, and I guess that begs a good question. So they may have been filed to the docket, but I don't think anyone they've been received as evidence. And I'm just trying to button that up. ALJ HOGAN: I think they were part of the map book that was previously filed by Summit, if I'm not mistaken, and Summit can correct me. I guess for ease of reference today, is there any objection to admitting them as part of this as labeled as this exhibit? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, let's see, what number was this? 21? 21 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And the point being here, sir, just visually so we don't have to search through some other filing, is that the PSC called out and I don't mean that negatively, but they noted Mr. Doolittle and the Bernhardts, I think, by name in the August 4th, 2023, denial order. And are you showing these as

```
1
     be done?
                                                                            have to pay that, but somebody does to the counties.
 2
         A. Yes. I believe it can be done if Summit wants
                                                                       2
                                                                           And I've been told it's going to have to come out of the
 3
                                                                       3
                                                                           general fund in North Dakota. Well, who funds the
 4
         Q. And Mr. Powell, in his new direct testimony, was
                                                                       4
                                                                            general fund? We taxpayers. So we're paying for it
 5
     asked -- in his written testimony was asked a question
                                                                           that way. And we're paying for it having it across our
 6
     about, you know, can you reroute around all landowners,
                                                                       6
                                                                           land. So I -- I don't feel that's right.
 7
                                                                       7
     and he said no, they cannot. Do you take that to be
                                                                                    And to ensure the energy needs, this pipeline is
 8
                                                                       8
     they will not? They may not want to do it, but
                                                                           a pipeline that is not an ethanol pipeline. It's a CO2
 9
     obviously if the PSC said, "We're not giving you a
                                                                       9
                                                                           pipeline. CO2 hasn't anything with energy. It's going
10
     permit unless you accommodate Mr. Staroba --"
                                                                      10
                                                                           to be sequestered. And you're to route a pipeline. And
11
             MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Here we are,
                                                                      11
                                                                           all this other things about all the -- all the money
12
     it's the same testimony coming from Mr. Jorde and asking
                                                                      12
                                                                           that's going to be made and for the State and it's going
13
                                                                      13
     his client if he agrees.
                                                                           to be passed on and it's going to help corn prices and
14
                                                                      14
                                                                           all that, you know, that's not something that you should
             ALJ HOGAN: Well, and again, I don't think this
                                                                      15
15
     witness can testify about what Mr. Powell's motivations
                                                                            even -- or have to even be concerned about.
16
                                                                      16
     were or the substance of the statements he made so I
                                                                                    I mean, that's what they're selling this thing
17
                                                                      17
     don't think that's a proper question for this witness.
                                                                           as. It's a project, not a pipeline. They're
18
             MR. JORDE: Okay.
                                                                      18
                                                                           transferring a hazardous waste product for sequestration
19
         Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, do you believe that Summit
                                                                      19
                                                                            underground. And I guess that's my feeling. I just
20
                                                                      20
     cannot accommodate you?
                                                                           think Summit has to prove all these things, and I'm not
21
                                                                      21
                                                                           sure they have.
         A. No.
22
                                                                      22
         Q. And the evidence before us proves that, when
                                                                                Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have anything further.
23
                                                                      23
                                                                                    ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
     pushed by someone and a body more powerful than,
24
     unfortunately, we are, they do accommodate, don't they?
                                                                      24
                                                                                    MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.
25
                                                                      25
         A. They do and they have.
                                                         PAGE 93
                                                                                                                               PAGE 95
 1
                                                                       1
                                                                                                CROSS EXAMINATION
         Q. Mr. Staroba, was there anything else that you
 2
     may have left out that you want to add before I let you
                                                                       2
                                                                           BY MR. GLUDT:
 3
     -- before I turn you over for cross?
                                                                       3
                                                                                Q. Mr. Staroba, are you aware Summit has made
 4
         A. I guess I'd just like to make a point on the PSC
                                                                           hundreds, if not thousands, of reroutes for landowners
 5
     has to consider the adverse effects of our environment
                                                                       5
                                                                           that are not mentioned in the PSC's August 4th, 2024,
 6
                                                                       6
     among the welfare of our citizens. That's part of the
 7
     -- what you told us that you need to consider. And I'd
                                                                       7
                                                                                A. I'm aware they've made reroutes. I'm not aware
 8
     just like to say that I -- I think this pipeline is far
                                                                       8
                                                                           if they proposed any reroutes to someone like me.
 9
     more than an inconvenience for us and for a lot of
                                                                       9
                                                                                Q. And as far as the picking a route without
10
     people in the state for whatever reasons, like I stated,
                                                                      10
                                                                           surveys, are you aware that Summit has adjusted its
11
     the crop loss, the corridor establishment and such.
                                                                      11
                                                                           route hundreds, if not thousands, of times based on
12
                                                                      12
             And another thing is one of the questions that
                                                                           cultural resource and other surveys that it has done?
13
     has to be considered is if it's efficient use of
                                                                      13
                                                                                A. Yes. And they're saying they have to have a
14
                                                                      14
     resources and for environmental preservation and if
                                                                           survey before they're even considering doing something
15
     there's -- it will ensure the energy needs are met and
                                                                      15
                                                                           on our land.
16
     fulfilled. I have safety concerns. I think a lot of us
                                                                      16
                                                                                Q. Is that something you'd be agreeable to, to --
17
     do.
                                                                      17
                                                                                A. Would I be? I'd have to speak with my -- my
18
             And as far as efficient use of resources, I look
                                                                      18
                                                                           attorney, but I don't feel they need it. They don't
19
     at the 40 -- the 45Q, the billions of dollars that are
                                                                      19
                                                                            need a survey. They've already done everything. And
20
     going into this project and the ten-year tax exemptions
                                                                      20
                                                                           you can't even tell me if they've done a survey on our
21
     that the State gives. So basically we're paying for it
                                                                      21
                                                                           land already with the lawsuit that -- that is still
22
                                                                      22
     individually and everyone three ways. You know, we're
                                                                           happening. They were given by the judge the -- the
23
                                                                      23
     paying income tax for the 45Q tax credits. And the
                                                                           right to go out and survey. And they've been in the
24
                                                                      24
     ten-year exemption, basically that's coming out of our
                                                                           area. We don't live there. So I'd just like someone to
25
     pocket, you know, as far as taxpayers. Summit doesn't
                                                                           tell me if they've surveyed it or not.
                                                                                                                               PAGE 96
```

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	Q. All right. So your testimony today is that you	1	proposing to kind of keep it along the property line,
2	will not allow Summit to survey your property?	2	along your south property line, but then move onto the
3	A. I don't think they need to.	3	neighbor's parcel to make the connection to go north is
4	Q. Okay. Thank you. No further questions.	4	what that's what it looked like to me. Is that what
5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	5	you're suggesting?
6	MR. PELHAM: Thank you for your testimony, sir.	6	A. That's correct. That's what this map is
7	I don't have any questions for you. Victor Schock may.	7	showing.
8	CROSS EXAMINATION	8	Q. Okay. No further questions. Thank you.
9	BY MR. SCHOCK:	9	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.
10	Q. Just a couple here real quick. So I can see on	10	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm looking at our map
11	the map here, so section 35-132-51, kind of going from	11	book that was filed in I don't know when was this, in
12	northwest to southeast, I can see a scar going diagonal	12	January, the new map book. I think that might be laying
13	across the property there. I'm assuming that's one of	13	on your table there. And it doesn't hardly appear that
14	the pipelines you're referring to?	14	this even is in section 2 except for the very west end
15	A. They're both there side-by-side in the corridor.	15	like maybe just across the ditch. Or am I
16	Q. Okay. When were those put in? Do you know?	16	THE WITNESS: You'd have to go back probably to
17	A. Okay. The one pipeline is the Dome pipeline.	17	an a different map, an earlier map. Because it
18	It's an LP pipeline. It was put in 1975. The other	18	basically came down into our property and that angled on
19	one's an Alliance natural gas pipeline in 1984. So what	19	right angle on the very northwest corner, right
20	you're seeing there is the issues that still exist 25	20	angle, and then went off into the into the west
21	and 45 years later.	21	across the county road. That was the second easement we
22	Q. Sure. And I guess I don't know those those	22	were offered. And that was that that was shown on
23	projects kind of predate my time with the Commission so	23	maps earlier, on the PSC maps that they submitted.
24	I don't know with certainty. So the Dome line, you're	24	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Just the other
25	saying that's an LP line?	25	thing, not really a question but I just want to say land
	PAGE 97		PAGE 99
1	A. What I understand, it's an LP.	1	gets disrupted, it's, you know, arguable whether it ever
1 2	A. What I understand, it's an LP. Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of	1 2	gets disrupted, it's, you know, arguable whether it ever gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In
2	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission.	2	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil,
2 3 4 5	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not	2 3 4 5	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to
2 3 4 5 6	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one.	2 3 4	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay. A. And that pipeline, if you do the measuring, it's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay. A. And that pipeline, if you do the measuring, it's over 700 feet away from the farmstead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being here. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay. A. And that pipeline, if you do the measuring, it's over 700 feet away from the farmstead. Q. Sure. And then I forget which page your reroute 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being here. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay. A. And that pipeline, if you do the measuring, it's over 700 feet away from the farmstead. Q. Sure. And then I forget which page your reroute was on, but it looked to me like you were proposing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being here. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay. A. And that pipeline, if you do the measuring, it's over 700 feet away from the farmstead. Q. Sure. And then I forget which page your reroute was on, but it looked to me like you were proposing to stay on your property for you know, right now it goes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being here. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. Thank you, Loren. You testified at the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You could be right. I don't have knowledge of those those two lines specifically, but I'm assuming that one likely wasn't permitted by this Commission. Alliance likely was in that time frame, but I'm not familiar with that one. A. I can't tell you that. Q. Sure. I just know we have pretty significant reclamation standards and segregation to prevent that kind of a situation from occurring long term. My other question is so your proposed reroute in that section looks like it takes it pretty closer than what it already is to a farmstead A. Okay. Q that's there. Do you have you spoke to that landowner about your proposed reroute? A. I have not. It I do know that the landowners have an easement already for the other parts of it. Q. Okay. A. And that pipeline, if you do the measuring, it's over 700 feet away from the farmstead. Q. Sure. And then I forget which page your reroute was on, but it looked to me like you were proposing to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gets back to perfect or but I will tell you this. In the last 11 years we have significantly enhanced the requirements for separation of topsoil from subsoil, things like that, and lessening compaction compared to what used to happen even two decades ago much less three or four decades ago. So I am hopeful that pipelines that are being built in North Dakota and and I mean, I've seen evidence of this in many cases, typically have better productivity than what you saw on old pipeline easements from the '70s and '80s. THE WITNESS: I I believe that probably is the intention and might have been the intention when they put the other pipelines in COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying THE WITNESS: but does that happen? COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: We are trying to get that better and better so Okay. No other questions. Thank you for being here. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you.

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		2 001111111001011
1	THE WITNESS: I did.	1	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Okay. I
2	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And your testimony	2	have no further questions. Thank you for your time.
3	had to do with the same issues; correct?	3	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?
4	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	4	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No, Your
5	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Did you propose a	5	Honor.
6	reroute at the first hearing?	6	Thank you for testifying today and I have no
7	THE WITNESS: No. At the first hearing we	7	questions.
8	basically said we we would take it off our land.	8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect?
9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. And	9	MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.
10	okay. So I'm going to go through this kind of timeline	10	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11	to clarify something. So we denied the we issue an	11	BY MR. JORDE:
12	order of denial. It's re-opened. Okay.	12	Q. Following up on some of those questions, so a
13	I want to address a supplemental filing. The	13	year ago when you were here you wanted you expressed
14	PSC Request for Supplemental Filing and Data Request 3,	14	interest in having the pipeline proposed route moved off
15	it's Docket 409, and I'm going to read what we requested	15	of your land completely; correct?
16	of Summit Carbon Solutions. 3.1 it's actually 3.1.3,	16	A. That's correct.
17	and it states "Measures taken to address all landowners	17	Q. All right. And now so everyone knew that.
18	who testified regarding concerns during the hearings."	18	And now in the reroute letter that you took your time
19	So you testified that we mentioned certain	19	and no one's compensating you to do that, you put it
20	landowners and there was reroutes.	20	together, and it the vast majority of your reroute
21	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	21	proposal is off of your property; correct?
22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And I just wanted	22	A. Yes.
23	to say that as this Commission in our responsibility,	23	Q. Okay. So that's been the same for a year;
24	that we're looking at measures taken to address all	24	right?
25	landowners who testify regarding concerns during the	25	A. Yes.
	PAGE 101		PAGE 103
1	hearings.	1	Q. Okay. There's been no mystery that if they
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.	2	wanted to work with you to move all or as much as
3	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So I just want to	3	humanly possible off of your property; right?
4	I wanted to assure you and landowners that we do our	4	A. That's correct.
5	due diligence, we just don't identify certain landowners	5	Q. Okay. And they didn't contact you to and
6	and	6	send you any maps or reroutes in the last year trying to
7	THE WITNESS: Okay.	7	do that, did they?
8	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You know, so	8	A. They did not.
9	THE WITNESS: And I just wanted to point out	9	Q. Okay. Now, this this survey issue, you
10	can I answer?	10	understand the Supreme Court well, certainly you do.
11	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes.	11	The Supreme Court right now, on a case that you're a
12	ALJ HOGAN: Yep.	12	part of it, is looking at the legalities of this very
13	THE WITNESS: Oh. I just wanted to point out	13	survey issue. So you understand there's other
14	that we haven't been we haven't been addressed.	14	complications in your situation; correct?
15	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. But is it	15	A. Yes, there are.
16	now your I mean, the understanding that you have sent	16	Q. And so would you agree that using the survey now
17	through your attorney, Jorde, a letter with proposed	17	as of May 21st, 2024, and this letter that was just
18	reroutes; correct?	18	admitted by Summit's counsel, kind of convenient way in
19	THE WITNESS: We did that a month ago.	19	that you're handcuffed by that existing appeal; right?
20	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. And now	20	A. That's correct.
21	that was just allowed into exhibit, a response from	21	Q. Okay. Nothing further. Thank you.
22	Summit that they welcome the opportunity to engage with	22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions?
23	you regarding proposed reroutes. That's your	23	RECROSS EXAMINATION
24	understanding here?	24	BY MR. GLUDT:
25	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that finally.	25	Q. Just a quick follow-up, Mr. Staroba, on David
	, andorotanianing to tride initially	_ ا	
	PAGE 102		PAGE 104

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	Piper. Can you explain why you hired separate counsel?	1	people can plan accordingly.
2	MR. JORDE: Well, hold on. I'm going to object.	2	And, again, I'll just remind all the
3	I don't know the answer, but I'm going to object it's	3	everybody in attendance, if you haven't signed the
4	not relevant and that it could invade the	4	sign-in sheet, I would ask that you please do that,
5	attorney-client privilege.	5	particularly if you want to testify today so that I have
6	MR. GLUDT: Just why, Your Honor. I'm not	6	a better idea of how many people wish to provide public
7	asking for any privileged information.	7	testimony today.
8	MR. JORDE: That's fine. And then I withdraw.	8	All right. Mr. Jorde, you want to call your
9	I withdraw the objection.	9	next witness?
10	A. I won't give you privileged information, but it	10	MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	actually happened because Attorney Bender, at the Linton	11	Landowners call Jeanne Lugert.
12	meeting, was visiting Vculeks were giving their	12	ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Ms. Lugert. Can you
13	their presentation, and they had been working with a	13	state your full name for the record and spell your last
14	lawyer, and Mr. Bender asked them who their lawyer was	14	name?
15	and they said Piper. So we felt, okay, here's someone's	15	JEANNE LUGERT: Jeanne Lugert, L-U-G-E-R-T.
16	local that actually Summit was going to contact and	16	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Lugert, were you in the
17	would possibly work with so that's why we hired him.	17	room this morning when I went through the penalties for
18	Q. And you had mentioned, it seemed like you were	18	perjury?
19	alluding to that some changes were made as a result of	19	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes.
20	Mr. Piper's engagement, right, to the route?	20	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
21	A. In section 2, with him visiting back and forth	21	is?
22	with Julie DiMeo, that we were able to actually,	22	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes.
23	hopefully, route it through the intersection at an angle	23	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
24	and but we have yet to hear anything as far as an	24	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
25	easement or or any approval or so what so much of	25	in this case today?
	PAGE 105		PAGE 107
4			
1	that. You know, we just have nothing in writing.	1	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes.
2	Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's	2	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.
2	Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration,	2	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.
2 3 4	Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2?	2 3 4	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT,
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2?A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that.	2 3 4 5	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was 	2 3 4 5 6	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to testify or no? No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to testify or no? No. ALJ HOGAN: We're going to take our a short 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. So we did some heavy lifting with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to testify or no? No. ALJ HOGAN: We're going to take our a short break. Since it's almost 11:15, let's try to shoot for 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. So we did some heavy lifting with the first couple witnesses and I don't want to cut you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to testify or no? No. ALJ HOGAN: We're going to take our a short break. Since it's almost 11:15, let's try to shoot for a ten well, we'll convene at 11:25. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. So we did some heavy lifting with the first couple witnesses and I don't want to cut you short by any means, but do you agree with the concerns,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to testify or no? No. ALJ HOGAN: We're going to take our a short break. Since it's almost 11:15, let's try to shoot for a ten well, we'll convene at 11:25. (Recess) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. So we did some heavy lifting with the first couple witnesses and I don't want to cut you short by any means, but do you agree with the concerns, the reservations, and the issues expressed by your prior
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. But you're aware that the route that's currently before the Commission on reconsideration, there is no pipe in section 2? A. I'm aware of that, yes. And I said that. Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Piper was successfully engaged with Summit to do that for you? A. I believe it's fair, yes. MR. GLUDT: Okay. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any other questions? MR. PELHAM: No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Any other Commissioner questions or Mr. Dawson? No. All right. Thank you, Mr. Staroba. THE WITNESS: You're welcome. Thank you. MR. JORDE: And, Loren, does Diane want to testify or no? No. ALJ HOGAN: We're going to take our a short break. Since it's almost 11:15, let's try to shoot for a ten well, we'll convene at 11:25. (Recess) ALJ HOGAN: And just so everybody knows, I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JEANNE LUGERT: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. JEANNE LUGERT, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Good morning. Please state your name. A. Jeanne Lugert. Q. And Marvin is your husband; is that right? A. Correct. Q. And Marvin testified approximately a year ago in this building? A. Correct. Q. All right. And, ma'am, your land is located in Richland County; correct? A. Correct. Q. All right. So we did some heavy lifting with the first couple witnesses and I don't want to cut you short by any means, but do you agree with the concerns, the reservations, and the issues expressed by your prior fellow landowners?

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV	1	
1	some type of solution, something to try to make this	1	a thousand feet. That's not a very far distance and
2	easier or more palpable for you and your family, has	2	there's going to be a problem. There's people there.
3	Summit taken any actions to suggest any type of reroutes	3	There's older people. How are they going to get away if
4	or any modifications over the last year?	4	there's a problem? There's a couple there with three
5	A. No.	5	small kids. How are they going to get away? Fossil
6	Q. All right. And, ma'am, you recently at least	6	fuel vehicles will not work in a carbon a CO2
7	it looks like here on April 23rd in working with	7	environment. They won't work. You are not going to be
8	myself and Mr. Leibel, prepared some reroute proposals	8	able to leave.
9	since you hadn't heard from Summit; is that correct?	9	In the Mississippi issue there were people that
10	A. Correct.	10	ended up a lot of people that ended up in a hospital
11	Q. And, ma'am, if you can turn, in that white	11	and have continuing issues to this day because of that
12	binder in front of you there, to Tab 4, I'll represent	12	leak from that carbon pipeline. And we're worried about
13	that's a true and accurate copy of the April 23, 2024,	13	our neighbors and where things should go.
14	letter; is that correct?	14	And so this route reroute I gave to the agent
15	A. Yes.	15	from Summit that was talking to us. It would have been
16	MR. JORDE: All right. I will offer Landowner	16	the end of '21, beginning of '22 when Summit first
17	Exhibit No. 4.	17	started talking to us about getting an easement to put
18	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 4, Mr. Gludt?	18	this pipeline across two pieces of our land. It was
19	MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.	19	that long ago. And they really didn't really want to
20	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	20	listen to us about anything. There was no
21	MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor.	21	back-and-forth response from them on this at all.
22	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 4 is accepted.	22	And then it got to the point where, in '22, we
23	MR. JORDE: Thank you, Your Honor.	23	decided that we were done talking to Summit because they
24	And as a point of clarification, when Mr. Gludt	24	weren't listening to us, not on the reroute issue but
25	offered this stack of response letters, was the offer	25	also not on our concerns that we had as far as land
	PAGE 109		PAGE 111
1	just to Staroba since we were talking Staroba, or was	1	damage and depth of installation and so forth. All of
2	this entire pack received in evidence?	2	those were issues we were talking about with Summit and
2	this entire pack received in evidence? ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack.	2	those were issues we were talking about with Summit and they weren't listening to us.
	·		
3	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack.	3	they weren't listening to us.
3 4	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get	3 4	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm.
3 4 5	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second.	3 4 5	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped
3 4 5 6	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in	3 4 5 6	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding
3 4 5 6 7	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if	3 4 5 6 7	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with?	3 4 5 6 7 8	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the
3 4 5 6 7 8	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do not live there. And I felt, in order to look after the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our land, but if it ends up that we have to, we have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do not live there. And I felt, in order to look after the welfare of our neighbors, which is one of the things	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our land, but if it ends up that we have to, we have concerns that we wish would be met.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do not live there. And I felt, in order to look after the welfare of our neighbors, which is one of the things you're supposed to do, is to move that location a little	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our land, but if it ends up that we have to, we have concerns that we wish would be met. Q. And are those concerns generally are are
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do not live there. And I felt, in order to look after the welfare of our neighbors, which is one of the things you're supposed to do, is to move that location a little bit so that it moved it further away.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our land, but if it ends up that we have to, we have concerns that we wish would be met. Q. And are those concerns generally are are discussed in this letter, Exhibit 4, in trying to be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do not live there. And I felt, in order to look after the welfare of our neighbors, which is one of the things you're supposed to do, is to move that location a little bit so that it moved it further away. If there is a leak or a rupture anywhere near	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our land, but if it ends up that we have to, we have concerns that we wish would be met. Q. And are those concerns generally are are discussed in this letter, Exhibit 4, in trying to be neighborly and trying to move it away from this disabled
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: The entire pack. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. So then we'll get to Summit's letter in a second. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) All right. So, ma'am, if you're looking at letter pardon me, Exhibit No. 4 in front of you, can you talk a little bit about the proposed reroute you and your husband have come up with? A. Okay. The reroute actually doesn't reroute technically on our piece of land. What it reroutes is the neighboring piece of land to move it further away from a farmstead, from neighbors of ours. It was not much more than the 500 feet and it really wasn't a good spot. The blue line that I drew on the map would put it further between the two inhabited farmsteads so it was more centered. My understanding is that neighbor has signed an easement, but they are an absentee landowner, they do not live there. And I felt, in order to look after the welfare of our neighbors, which is one of the things you're supposed to do, is to move that location a little bit so that it moved it further away.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they weren't listening to us. So we decided to sign with Mr. Jorde's firm. That was in '22. And at that time Summit stopped talking to us. They we have had we have heard nothing from Summit personally and it's my understanding that our lawyer has not heard anything from Summit in that amount of time, up until April when we sent the letter with this reroute suggestion in it and and that was the last that's all I know of since in '22. Q. So, ma'am, a year ago or so, Marvin, your husband, testified and obviously made it clear and before that via your formal intervention that you didn't want any portion of this route on your property; correct? A. That is correct. We would prefer that it not be built at all. If it is ends up being approved that it can be built, we would prefer it not be on any of our land, but if it ends up that we have to, we have concerns that we wish would be met. Q. And are those concerns generally are are discussed in this letter, Exhibit 4, in trying to be

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	A. Correct.	1	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
2	Q. Okay. But to be clear, because there's I	2	MR. PELHAM: I would agree with Mr. Gludt. I
3	don't know if it's a misunderstanding or what, but to be	3	would object. Also, the there's been no there's
4	clear, Summit's known for over a year that basically any	4	no opportunity for any cross-examination of this witness
5	other of the infinite possible routes around you would	5	in North Dakota.
6	have been suitable for you; correct?	6	ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I am not going to admit
7	A. Correct.	7	Exhibit Landowner 9.
8	Q. Okay. All right. But you didn't hear from	8	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is it concerning to you, ma'am,
9	them. Then you took your time, money, and frustration	9	that Mr. Burns suffered symptoms over 3,000 feet away
10	and put this letter together in April. And it looks	10	from the rupture site?
11	like they responded three days ago; is that right?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. Yes. I received a copy of Summit's letter by	12	MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. He's now
13	email last night at a quarter to 5.	13	getting his testimony in by questioning.
14	Q. Okay. And the letter's dated May 21st, which is	14	THE WITNESS: May I answer?
15	three days ago. And in that letter, did they suggest	15	ALJ HOGAN: Not yet.
16	any reroutes? Did they say, you know, "Good news. For	16	THE WITNESS: Okay.
17	the last year plus we've actually been talking to the	17	ALJ HOGAN: Repeat your objection, please.
18	people around you and we've been making progress"?	18	MR. GLUDT: Mr. Jorde's attempting to get
19	A. No. I don't see that in here.	19	Mr. Burns' testimony into evidence by repeating it out
20	Q. Okay. Are you aware if there's anyone	20	loud and questioning the witness about it. It's
21	preventing Summit from trying to find a reroute over the	21	improper.
22	last year?	22	ALJ HOGAN: Correct. I think you can ask
23	A. Not to my knowledge.	23	general questions about safety, but I don't think you
24	Q. All right. So your concerns and those shared by	24	I'm not going to allow you to reference this prefiled
25	your husband a year ago, those are still in place, but	25	testimony directly.
	PAGE 113		PAGE 115
_		-	
1	kind of like the previous testifiers, if this Commission	1	MR. JORDE: Okay.
2	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is	2	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of
2	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you?	2	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on
2 3 4	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct.	2 3 4	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or
2 3 4 5	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and	2 3 4 5	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there
2 3 4 5 6	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the	2 3 4 5 6	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and
2 3 4 5 6 7	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 9.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I have people who are chemistry majors and have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 9. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objection?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I have people who are chemistry majors and have backgrounds in physics and they can give me a plume
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 9. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objection? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I have people who are chemistry majors and have backgrounds in physics and they can give me a plume model any time I ask, make it based on the size of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 9. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objection? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to object on the same basis. No foundation. This was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I have people who are chemistry majors and have backgrounds in physics and they can give me a plume model any time I ask, make it based on the size of the pipeline, the amount of gas and the pressure it's under,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is going to approve, the basis of this reroute is important to you? A. Correct. Q. Okay. All right. Now, you had mentioned and referenced some concerns also about the location, the proximity, the safety, and you referenced folks from the Satartia incident that had been experiencing issues. Are you referring to some of the individuals that provided affidavit testimony in the Iowa Utility Board's hearing? A. Yes. Q. Can I have you turn to Exhibit 9, Tab 9, in front of you, please? And is this sworn affidavit of Mr. Burns, a Satartia, Mississippi, victim, the evidence that you were speaking of referencing? A. Correct. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit No. 9. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objection? MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor. I'm going to object on the same basis. No foundation. This was admitted in the Iowa hearings. Mr I don't even know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Have you become aware of reports from Satartia, in your research based on Summit's refusal to provide any safety dispersion or other modeling data to you, that individuals there suffered adverse symptoms of CO2 from 3,000 feet and further away from the rupture site? A. Yes, I'm aware. Q. Okay. And that concerns you, does it not? A. Yes. I was a first responder on an ambulance crew so I've had some medical training. And CO2 is one of the things you learn about and the difficulties of what it does to you and how it affects you. And I would not want to be anywhere near a pipeline that had the potential to rupture 500 one of the reasons I wanted the reroute is because 500 feet isn't far enough. And we don't know because they won't tell us what their plume model is. And I'm not asking because I have people who are chemistry majors and have backgrounds in physics and they can give me a plume model any time I ask, make it based on the size of the pipeline, the amount of gas and the pressure it's under, and how far it is from the shut-off valves. They can

PAGE 116

	ND PUBLIC SERV		E COMMINISSION
1	And I know what carbon dioxide does. It sits on	1	A. We have cattle but not on our two particular
2	the ground. It's not going to blow away. It's going to	2	pieces of land, no.
3	run into the low areas. And it's not a good thing.	3	Q. So there was some questions earlier about
4	Vehicles will not run.	4	business, you know, you need waivers for 500 feet from a
5	There was a meeting in Mantador in early 2022	5	of a business. Would you agree with the prior
6	and there were representatives of Summit there. And	6	Ms. Waloch's testimony and Mr. Waloch, that their cattle
7	some of these concerns were brought up. And they really	7	and the cattle operation is a business?
8	didn't answer the questions as to how much training	8	A. Yes.
9	local first responders and fire departments and so on	9	Q. And you understand that type of business
10	were going to get. It seemed like the safety of the	10	requires you to be outside and not confined within a
11	people around the pipeline really wasn't their concern.	11	building; correct?
12	That's not what was given to us at that meeting.	12	A. Yes.
13	And I have not well, I haven't checked with	13	Q. So the idea that we only look at buildings
14	my local fire department lately to see if they have	14	within 500 feet and not businesses and not other living
15	heard anything more, but the last time I checked, and	15	things, do you have a problem with that?
16	that was over six months ago, the local fire department	16	A. Well, I guess yes, I believe that and a
17	has not yet heard anything as far as additional training	17	farmer's place of business is in his tractor. That's
18	or additional safety equipment and so forth that was	18	not going to be 500 feet or more if he happens to be
19	going to be provided.	19	tilling across that piece of ground or running his
20	Q. Ma'am, you mentioned 500 feet. Are you	20	combine across that piece of ground. He's not going to
21	referencing the statute that if a hazardous pipeline	21	be even 500 feet away. That's that's a farmer's
22	such as this was to be located less than 500 feet or	22	place of business, is the cab in his tractor or his
23	within 500 feet of a residence or a business, that a	23	combine. Yeah.
24	waiver would have to be obtained? Is that the 500 feet	24	Q. Have you come to understand that it's Summit's
25	you're referencing?	25	claim that sheltering in place, i.e., shelter in a
	PAGE 117		PAGE 119
1	A. Yes. That's my understanding, it should be at	1	structure in place, is the safety guidance that is being
2	least 500 feet away.	2	given if there were an unintended release?
3	Q. Okay. Now do you think that if Summit obtained	3	A. I understand that it has been in the past, yes.
4	waivers from those landowners, that then now there's no	4	Q. And so would you conclude from that that
5	problem, nothing to be worried about because they	5	sheltering in place, from their view, seems to be safer
6	complied with that law?	6	than someone working out in the yard in the cattle
7	A. A waiver isn't going to save you if there's gas	7	business and being exposed without the protection of a
8	in your house.	8	structure?
9	Q. And is a waiver going to reduce the tax and the	9	A. Yes.
10	cost on the first responders and budgetary constraints	10	Q. Related to your proposed reroute, is there
11	of communities now confronted with the new risks of	11	anything else that the Commission should know about that
12	these pipelines?	12	that reroute? And I guess to reiterate, you're
13	A. No.	13	still, in your proposed reroute, leaving a portion of
14	Q. Okay. So you would agree the 500 feet is simply	14	the proposed route on your land even though you're
15	an arbitrary number in a statute, but it does nothing to	15	opposed for all the reasons we've discussed?
16	alleviate the real world concerns if there's an	16	A. Correct.
17	unintended release; right?	17	Q. Okay. And that, again, would be the least worst
18	A. That's correct.	18	option, you're not wanting this project to go through,
19	${f Q}.\;\;$ I think your husband mentioned this last year,	19	but, again, if it was going to, despite your efforts,
20	but I just want to be sure. From your standpoint, is it	20	you'd like the Commission to consider that reroute?
21	your concern that the value of your land and land with a	21	A. That's correct.
22	CO2 pipeline operated by Summit would go down if this	22	Q. All right. Is there anything else that you want
23	project were to be approved?	23	to share?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. Well, one of the points of discussion you had
25	Q. All right. Are there any cattle near you?	25	listed was the environment of North Dakota. And I
	PAGE 118		PAGE 120
_		_	

	ND I ODLIG OLIV	1	
1	wanted to speak to that a little bit. The environment	1	including mine.
2	of our particular farmland, which is the environment of	2	Q. All right. And if Summit if the tax dollars
3	all farmland, which most of North Dakota, their business	3	collected by the counties are going to offset money that
4	is farming, I have several maps that I did that show	4	Summit would pay, would you agree that the taxpayers are
5	from Google Earth historical maps that show the damage	5	losing, are going in the negative?
6	that was done to land by the two particular pipelines	6	A. Yes.
7	that were put in previously, which was the Dome pipeline	7	Q. And same for the 45Q tax credits?
8	and the Alliance Pipeline. As Mr. Staroba testified,	8	A. Yes.
9	they are side-by-side. They're about a hundred feet	9	Q. I don't think I have any more questions of you.
10	apart. You can see the scar. It's been 45 years since	10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
11	that pipeline was put in.	11	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.
12	And, yes, I understand Mr. Christmann said that	12	CROSS EXAMINATION
13	you guys are trying to do a better job of requiring	13	BY MR. GLUDT:
14	pipelines to do a more diligent job of reclaiming that	14	Q. Thank you, Ms. Lugert for being here. Regarding
15	land so that there is less damage going forward. We	15	the crop damage issue that you just spoke of, are you
16	have a wetland or a pothole on our piece of land that	16	aware that Summit's easement will compensate every
17	wasn't there before the pipeline was put in. It's up	17	landowner for that for the crops lost during that
18	next to where they bored underneath train tracks.	18	construction and beyond for several years?
19	Alliance came in and put in approximately 25 large dump	19	A. Sir, the money that Summit offered us when they
20	truck loads of dirt into that pothole. It is still	20	were talking to us didn't come close to what would
21	there to this day and it still holds water. It still	21	compensate us for that crop loss and for the annual rent
22	causes trouble. The scar on the land is still there.	22	on a 99-acre (sic) lease of those acreages. It wasn't
23	It's not going away very soon.	23	anything. And since I haven't heard a single thing from
24	Several things I looked up information, and it	24	Summit since, I have no idea what you're going to do.
25	takes a hundred years to rebuild one inch of topsoil.	25	Q. You've seen a copy of the May 21st, 2024,
	PAGE 121		PAGE 123
1	When you disturb this ground and get it mixed up, it's	1	response to Mr. Jorde's letter? I believe he said he's
2	not going to be as good.	2	read a copy of that; right?
3	I did a little bit of figuring the other day and	3	A. Yes.
4	the amount of land that would be taken out of production	4	Q. Are you willing to grant Summit survey access so
5	just during construction is about 15 and a half acres on	5	they can determine if that reroute your suggested
6	the two pieces of land that we have. It's not a great	6	reroute is possible?
7	deal, but it is 15 acres. And this year we plan to put	7	A. Sir, if you look at this map, you will note that
8	soybeans on those two pieces of ground. Based on an	8	the reroute isn't even on our property. Why do you need
9	average yield of 50 to 60 bushels of soybeans, based on	9	to survey my property in order to look at this reroute?
10	the price the other day of \$11 and I forget how many	10	Q. I apologize. My understanding was that at least
11	cents, the amount that we would lose during construction	11	a portion of the pipe was still on your property.
12	is \$10,000 on that 15 acres. That's \$10,000 we don't	12	A. Oh, the portion of the pipeline is still across
13	have to spend at the grocery store or the hardware	13	our property. What I was asking for is a reroute of the
14	store. That affects those store owners' bottom lines	14	where the pipeline runs on neighboring property to
15	every bit as much as it affects us farmers. This	15	pull it further away from an inhabited farmstead.
16	project is going to affect everyone in North Dakota, not	16	Actually, three different farmsteads.
17	just those who have land that it's being routed on.	17	Q. Okay.
18	Sorry.	18	MR. GLUDT: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
19	Q. To pick up on that, I think Mr. Staroba talked	19	Thank you.
20	about the ten-year property tax abatement and then the	20	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
21	45Q tax credits and then obviously sacrificing your land	21	MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you.
		22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock?
22	and your legacy. Does that concern you that you're		
22 23	and your legacy. Does that concern you that you're being asked to subsidize this potential pipeline	23	CROSS EXAMINATION
	,	23 24	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK:
23	being asked to subsidize this potential pipeline		
23 24	being asked to subsidize this potential pipeline in three different ways?	24	BY MR. SCHOCK:

	IND PUBLIC SERV	
1	A. Sure.	1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: We have a year
2	Q that you have been referencing?	2 lapse where there's no communication from you as a
3	A. Yes. We own the middle 53 acres of the	3 property landowner to Summit or Summit to you.
4	northwest quarter, section 3-131-51 in Richland County.	4 THE WITNESS: Correct.
5	We also own the west half of the northwest quarter of	5 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can't answer
6	section 5-131-51.	6 for Summit and I'm not asking you to, but why the delay?
7	Q. Okay. So I just want to get a little bit	7 THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
8	narrower on the so the middle of	8 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. Why didn't
9	A. Section 3.	9 you reach out within that year saying, you know, this
10	Q the middle 50 acres?	10 case is re-opened, nothing's been resolved, you know,
11	A. Yep.	11 it's outstanding. So why no communication within that
12	Q. It's tough to identify specifically so if you	12 year on your behalf? I mean
13	could help me a little bit.	13 THE WITNESS: Partly because we really wanted it
14	A. Okay. That map was which one?	14 to just go away. We didn't really want to deal with it
15	ALJ HOGAN: Exhibit 4.	15 because we don't want the pipeline at all. We feel that
16	A. 4. Okay. The "R" and the "C" in Richland	16 it is unnecessary. It is no real value to the public.
17	County	17 We will pay if something happens, but we're not going to
18	Q. Yes.	18 make any money off it. It's not going to make energy,
19	A okay, it's inside a slightly darker rectangle	19 whether it's natural gas or LP or oil, none of that is
20	.,	20 going to benefit us because it doesn't carry any of
21	of green. Q. Okay. Where it says	
22	,	,
23	A. That darker green rectangle Q. There's an "MP"	, , ,
24		
25	A. Yep.	
25	Q. Where it says "MP 3," is that PAGE 125	25 There are other ways. Cover crops. There are PAGE 127
_	A. Yes.	
1		1 wetlands and various different other ways to absorb and
3	Q. That's your parcel of	2 use the carbon that is emitted by these ethanol plants.3 It doesn't have to be transported from four, five states
ာ	A. Yes.	
4		
4	Q. Okay.	4 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are
5	Q. Okay. A. Yes.	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to
5 6	Q. Okay.A. Yes.Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline.
5 6 7	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your
5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions.
5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can call me 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your concerns; right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can call me "Sheri."	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your concerns; right? A. Uh-huh.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can call me "Sheri." ALJ HOGAN: No, I won't do that. 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your concerns; right? A. Uh-huh. Q. All right. Is that a yes?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can call me "Sheri."	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your concerns; right? A. Uh-huh. Q. All right. Is that a yes? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can call me "Sheri." ALJ HOGAN: No, I won't do that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I want to talk 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your concerns; right? A. Uh-huh. Q. All right. Is that a yes? A. Yes. Q. And then the PSC order specifically finds that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. A. Yes. Q. That helps significantly. Okay. So you own that parcel in this image at least you own that parcel, but the rest of where the blue line is, that's someone else's property? A. Correct. Q. Okay. That's all I have. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That pretty much answered my questions so I'm good. Thank you for being here, though. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Haugen-Hoffart. That's fine. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You can call me "Sheri." ALJ HOGAN: No, I won't do that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I want to talk 	 anyway. There are ways to get around doing it that are actually probably better for the environment than to have a pipeline. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your answer. I appreciate it. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony today. THE WITNESS: Okay. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. So over more than a year ago Summit knew of your concerns; right? A. Uh-huh. Q. All right. Is that a yes? A. Yes.

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	minimal adverse impacts on the welfare of the citizens,	1	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any additional questions?
2	which is you; right? Is that a yes?	2	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.
3	A. Yes.	3	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
4	Q. And in that whole time since then Summit hasn't	4	MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor.
5	contacted you and they're the one with the burden of	5	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Lugert.
6	proof; right?	6	It's about noon. We'll try to do one more
7	A. Correct.	7	witness.
8	Q. Okay. Now do you think it's your job to chase	8	MR. JORDE: We'll call Diane Zajac. And Mr.
9	them around and spend your time "Well, what about this?"	9	Leibel will be handling this questioning.
10	and then we don't get a response, "And what about this?"	10	ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Ms. Zajac. I'll have
11	and we don't get a response? Don't you think it's their	11	you state your full name for the record and
12	job as the applicant to present something to you based	12	DIANE ZAJAC: It is Diane, Diane Louise Zajac.
13	on the concerns that they've known for over a year?	13	ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name?
14	A. Correct. Because I gave them that information	14	DIANE ZAJAC: Z-A-J-A-C.
15	more than a year ago, basically about two years ago.	15	ALJ HOGAN: Is your first name spelled
16	Q. Okay. And now over a month ago you sent them a	16	D-I-A-N-N?
17	very detailed letter consistent with concerns they have	17	DIANE ZAJAC: No.
18	known for over a year, and then three days ago they send	18	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Tell me how it's spelled.
19	a vague letter that "Gosh, golly, we'd sure love to work	19	DIANE ZAJAC: That's how I spelled it since I
20	with you"; right?	20	was 16. My mother didn't like it, but she did it
21	A. Yes.	21	traditionally. And I was in a car accident with my
22	Q. Okay. How does that feel? Does that "Oh, my	22	brother and I had plastic surgery and they put the
23	gosh, yay, finally they're working with us"? Does it	23	French spelling down and I'm, like and I liked it.
24	feel a bit disingenuous to you?	24	It's an E.
25	A. Well, yes. It's like a day late and a dollar	25	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And one N?
	PAGE 129		PAGE 131
1	short.	1	DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, one N.
2	Q. Now, about this survey nonsense. They put the	2	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Ms. Zajac, were you in the
3	original line across your property without a survey of	3	room
4	your property; right?		
	, , , ,, ,	4	DIANE ZAJAC: NO, I Was NOL I Was Stuck III the
5	A. Correct.	5	DIANE ZAJAC: No, I was not. I was stuck in the rain.
5 6	_		·
	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three	5	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required
6	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right?	5 6	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury.
6 7	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right?A. So they say.	5 6 7	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a
6 7 8	 Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've 	5 6 7 8	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury.
6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've 	5 6 7 8 9	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years'
6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've 	5 6 7 8 9	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both.
6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on 	5 6 7 8 9 10	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is?
6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add? A. No. I pretty much touched on what I had	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add? A. No. I pretty much touched on what I had Q. All right.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add? A. No. I pretty much touched on what I hadQ. All right. A I guess.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add? A. No. I pretty much touched on what I had Q. All right. A I guess. Q. Thank you, ma'am. I don't have anything	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL:
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add? A. No. I pretty much touched on what I had Q. All right. A I guess. Q. Thank you, ma'am. I don't have anything further. 	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. Hi, Diane. In preparation for your testimony
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Okay. But now they need a survey as of three days ago; right? A. So they say. Q. Okay. All right. So I addressed earlier, we've got the executives, counsel, they're right here. We've got lawyers right here. I mean, ready to cut a deal on this. I mean, all they have to do is agree to this reroute, the majority of which is on other folks', right, or agree to look at it. Do you think they need a survey on your land to see if a reroute would work on land you don't own? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. Do you have anything else you'd like to add? A. No. I pretty much touched on what I had Q. All right. A I guess. Q. Thank you, ma'am. I don't have anything	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	rain. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, then I'm required by law to advise you on the penalties for perjury. Perjury is a Class C felony punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DIANE ZAJAC: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. DIANE ZAJAC, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL:

			= 001/11/11/001014
1	A. Yes. I cut it down. I had done a lot of	1	taught to respect the land and to respect others. I
2	research and I cut it down.	2	grew up in the generation where a handshake did the job,
3	Q. Okay. I'm going to pass	3	your contract was made. And I'm a daughter of Victor
4	A. But that's fine.	4	and Frances Zajac and I'm here to keep my promise to
5	Q a copy of this.	5	both of them.
6	A. And forgive the misspelling with some words that	6	This land this pipeline is not good at all.
7	went together. At Mantador reception is very poor so	7	And I've got other things to say about it. The land
8	and this will be very quickly.	8	the farmland that we were on was created the farm by
9	Q. And, Diane, would you would you like would	9	the knowledge that my father was a had a
10	you be okay if I asked you some questions instead of the	10	fifth-grade education. My mother had an eighth-grade
11	we're handing it out	11	education. I am the third generation; inherited the
12	A. Yes.	12	land in August of '22 when she died on my birthday. And
13	Q. so the commissioners will be able	13	she reminded me every time I went down to Florida to
14	A. No problem.	14	take care of her when my sister and brother would go on
15	Q to read your exact stuff and I'll just ask	15	vacation, "Don't you ever forget the promise that you
16	you some questions?	16	promised dad on his deathbed and on my that I'm
17	A. Okay.	17	telling you the same thing now." And so I'm here to
18	Q. So your property is in Richland County; is that	18	keep it. No amount of money would ever would I ever
19	correct?	19	let a pipeline go on the land.
20	A. Richland County, Liberty Grove.	20	I want to tell you first about some of the
21	Q. Okay. Do you have a more specific township or	21	experiences I've had with Summit if that's okay. I
22	section?	22	inherited the land, it was already transferred when I
23	A. Liberty Grove is the township. And oh,	23	got the first I went to the first meeting in August
24	shoot, I should have brought the description. Oh, I	24	of '21 that they had at the Mantador Fire Department.
25	no, I didn't (indiscernible) map. When I was at the	25	The renter of mom's land, Darrel Wahler (phonetic),
	PAGE 133		PAGE 135
1	courthouse yesterday, I wanted to know if because I	1	called me and said that they had called a meeting so I
2	was told Tuesday by Brian that I wasn't on the it	2	went to it. And I went home and I discussed it and
3	wasn't on my land. Well, the map I got a week not	3	everything at our annual meeting.
4	even a week ago said it was. So I went in to check and	4	They the first papers I got about the map
5	I found out I followed it all back and found out that	5	my father died, as I said, in 2004. The papers came
6	it's my sister that they negotiated with.	6	under his trust. No reason why. Because my mother and
7	Q. Okay. Well, and let me ask you first, do you	7	I I was after dad died, we had the funeral on
8	oppose this Summit Carbon pipeline?	8	Monday, and Tuesday, 8:00, we were in Kragness's
9	A. Yes, I do. I promised my father on his deathbed	9	transferring everything because I was going to only be
10	and he died in 2004. And he said he fought	10	home for three days and go back and mom wanted all the
11	they wanted to put the pipeline on nice, rich Richland,	11	paperwork done before I left. Well, came in his name.
12	Red River Valley soil, because 26 miles out is where the	12	Then when they when my brother got served, okay, same
13	river went beyond. And he said, "Why would you put a	13	thing. It came on my dad's name.
14	gas line pipe there?" And he said, "You get the land,	14	Q. And when you're saying it came on your dad's
15	you see that there's no pipeline on it. All it will do	15	name, you're saying the court papers you were served
16	is destroy it."	16	with
17	Q. Okay. Can you tell us, I guess, the specific	17	A. Yes. The court papers came on my dad's, Victor
18	reasons why you believe this pipeline will provide an	18	Zajac irrevocable trust. And died in 2004. It was
19	adverse effect? And let's first start with the	19	done. And all the land was transferred to my mother's
20	property.	20	irrevocable trust.
21	A. Well, first of all, I was brought up I'll	21	And it's interesting. Because if you got an
22	sort of just go lightly over this. I can memorize it.	22	irrevocable trust and you want to check something
23	We were taught that everything exists on this planet,	23	legally, you go to the county seat or you go to the
24	has a genesis in land. Cannot do anything without land.	24	courthouse and you ask for the title, and they'll tell
25	You cannot sustain yourself without land. We were	25	you whose all the land's under, what they paid in taxes
	PAGE 134		PAGE 136

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	and everything else. That was obviously not done.	1	A. Okay. But they
2	Not only did the day my brother was served	2	MR. LEIBEL: right to testify
3	with my dad's papers, the server, the court server, had	3	A. Yeah.
4	his secretary, because he wasn't in the office at the	4	MR. LEIBEL: generally about the pipeline
5	time, sign for a paper, for a family, a couple that were	5	also.
6	fighting also the Summit CO2 in the western part of the	6	A. Yeah.
7	state. And I didn't know that. Okay. I, to this day,	7	Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) And so let me ask that
8	was never served, only my brother was served.	8	question while we're looking for the map. Can you
9	Q. And I'm going to	9	explain your objection to or the adverse effect that
10	A. You can tell I'm getting emotional.	10	you believe this pipeline will have more generally on
11	Q. No, that's okay. And that's why I'm going to	11	the state?
12	ask some questions to help you with this a little bit.	12	A. Well, I first of all, let me tell you, I
13	Do you feel like you've Summit has heard your	13	worked my way through college. And you think
14	concerns and taken	14	differently if you got a bachelor's degree and you think
15	A. Absolutely not. When I first started getting	15	differently outside more outside the box if you got a
16	the paperwork I've had almost I bet you I've had	16	master's degree or a doctor's degree. Okay.
17	13 calls from them. I had letters, three letters, and I	17	And I've done a lot of research. And before
18	wrote them back, "Don't you ever put that on my	18	starting a project or starting a meeting or wanting to
19	pipeline don't you ever step on my parents' property	19	get a new project going, you consult the people or
20	without consulting me first."	20	gather the people where you want something to happen.
21	Okay. As soon as and then they even had the	21	The farmers were never notified. They were told I
22	nerve to negotiate with my sister back in '23 after the	22	got a map. That's it.
23	after the land was already transferred into our	23	I went to the meeting. The guy said that's
24	irrevocable trust which was acquired from my parents'	24	where it's going. And I said I don't want it on my
25	irrevocable trust.	25	land. I said, number one, we freshly tiled it, and I
	PAGE 137		PAGE 139
1	MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, I would just object	1	said I asked my renter, Darrel Wahler, about how far
2	here because I'm not sure who owns the land, where the	2	the frost line went down this year. He said it went
3	land is. Perhaps Mr. Leibel can	3	down almost four over a little bit over four feet
4	A. The land is	4	down. Well, what happens if the frost brings up rocks?
5	MR. PELHAM: ask the witness to give a	5	It brings up the pipeline. And I've talked to
6	description of where the land is, where the line is	6	(indiscernible) with the pipeline and said they have the
7	proposed to come through so that we have a clear	7	problem every spring, that it's coming up and they have
8	understanding of exactly who's the owner of the land and	8	to push it down.
9	where it is where the line is proposed to go through.	١	•
10		9	Safety is another concern. I'm glad you asked
	A. Brian, do you have a map, one of the maps? Mine	10	Safety is another concern. I'm glad you asked that question because I had that all down here that I
11	A. Brian, do you have a map, one of the maps? Mine I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here?		
11 12		10	that question because I had that all down here that I
	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here?	10 11	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an
12	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic)	10 11 12	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's
12 13	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay.	10 11 12 13	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating
12 13 14	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the	10 11 12 13 14	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I
12 13 14 15	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can	10 11 12 13 14 15	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are
12 13 14 15 16	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land,
12 13 14 15 16 17	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of MR. LEIBEL: And I guess to generally respond to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain comes in? Because you look out there now on Highway 3,
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of MR. LEIBEL: And I guess to generally respond to Mr. Pelham's objection	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain comes in? Because you look out there now on Highway 3, there's water on swimming on both sides of Highway 3.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of MR. LEIBEL: And I guess to generally respond to Mr. Pelham's objection A county road.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain comes in? Because you look out there now on Highway 3, there's water on swimming on both sides of Highway 3. Q. Diane, do you have a concern that flooding or
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of MR. LEIBEL: And I guess to generally respond to Mr. Pelham's objection A county road. MR. LEIBEL: I think that she we'll try to	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain comes in? Because you look out there now on Highway 3, there's water on swimming on both sides of Highway 3. Q. Diane, do you have a concern that flooding or variations in the soil could cause strain on the
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of MR. LEIBEL: And I guess to generally respond to Mr. Pelham's objection A county road. MR. LEIBEL: I think that she we'll try to find the map.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain comes in? Because you look out there now on Highway 3, there's water on swimming on both sides of Highway 3. Q. Diane, do you have a concern that flooding or variations in the soil could cause strain on the pipeline in certain areas?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I left at home with the paperwork. Or is it in here? It's in Liberty County (sic) Q. Okay. A right at the Q. Just wait. Brian is going to see if he can A. Okay. Q find that map. A. To the south of MR. LEIBEL: And I guess to generally respond to Mr. Pelham's objection A county road. MR. LEIBEL: I think that she we'll try to find the map. A. Okay.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that question because I had that all down here that I was going to talk about. If a pipeline ruptures and an explosion occurs, a gas CO2 gas emerges. It's odorless, it's colorless, and it's asphyxiating intensity. And it you can suffocate from it. And I don't want a farmer on my father's and my mother's land, a farmer who's a renter, die because farmers are concentrated. They're focused on getting the crop in. Do you plant it quick? Do you combine before the rain comes in? Because you look out there now on Highway 3, there's water on swimming on both sides of Highway 3. Q. Diane, do you have a concern that flooding or variations in the soil could cause strain on the pipeline in certain areas? A. Well, it can reduce my income on rent. Okay.

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Q. So you've addressed the safety. Do you have any evacuate people that lived in that little farming town 2 experience -- and you mentioned rent where a pipeline 2 and they had to take to the hospital 200 people. And in the article, it -- I have an article that tells you will cause damage to topsoil --A. Okay. 4 exactly when they got the call and how long it took for 5 Q. -- or to yield? it to be responded. The EMT and the sheriff didn't even 6 A. Yes. If it ruptures -- even putting it in, all 6 know the pipeline existed. Not at all. 7 7 right, damage to topsoil. When they put in the **Q.** Okay. So to clarify, we want to ensure, you 8 8 want this pipeline at least 500 yards from your pipeline, the gas pipeline in '84, North Dakota has a 9 law that obviously they didn't enforce because of the 9 property? A. Or better. 10 fact that the clay was mixed with the topsoil and thrown 10 11 11 back in. All right. I've heard several of my friends Q. Okay. 12 12 talk about it. So that reduces your -- you destroy the A. Now, the way the trees are there, my nephew said 13 soil. It takes a good -- you can't farm it. 13 that that's -- my little dip in there is mine. If it is, you're not allowed on it. 14 And if -- if a CO2 pipeline would rupture, CO2 14 15 mixed with moisture is carbonic acid. What does 15 Q. Okay. Do you have -- and we'll have to keep it 16 carbonic acid do? You can't grow it anymore. You can't 16 pretty brief, but do you have any -- you know, any final 17 17 grow anything on it, on the land, because it's comments you'd like to make to the Commission? 18 worthless. Won't grow anything. And there's -- I've 18 A. Yes. I'll just go to the back page. It was 19 got articles that I wrote off the -- everything's in it 19 like maybe about 12 minutes to do this. 20 20 that I've presented here. Okay. I do not support Summit CO2. As of the 21 21 Q. Now, in one of the issues -- so I think it's -early '70s when margarine hit the market, some of us can 22 22 remember Chiffon margarine. Okay? They said it tasted and the Commission, if they look at their -- your 23 23 testimony, I feel pretty confident they're going to just like butter. Okay? 24 understand that you oppose the pipeline generally. 24 They're going to tell us that this is energy. 25 25 A. Okay. But I wanted to tell you generally about This is not energy. This is pure CO2 capture. And the 1 the profit. Crop loss. Iowa University did a study and only ones that are going to profit from this is them, 2 2 found the following: crop yield right of way were large gas, fossil fuel, and oil (indiscernible) things. 3 reduced by an average of 20 percent for soybeans and 3 Because it's not going to be used for anything else. 4 15 percent for corn during the first, second season crop It's not energy. It's not taking care of our environment. They're going to use it to pump out gas. 5 and they're compared to non-disturbed land. 5 6 6 If you think about you're going to have this And then they're going to use extra water. And 7 7 heavy equipment digging up and all this heavy equipment the last three years we've had droughts in this area, 8 -- and most of those farmers around here have tiles and 8 because I know I signed the CRP papers to allow the 9 they want to cut through all these tiles. That's 9 farmers to farm the land up in north -- in Towner County 10 ridiculous. It costs \$65,000 to just put in 80 acres' 10 and Devils Lake area and also down 80 acres that my 11 worth of tiles. 11 brother owns that we had (indiscernible). 12 12 And if you remember, the National Dairy Q. And, Diane, so -- and I know that's all in your 13 statement. If the PSC decides to approve the pipeline, 13 Association came out with the best commercial I ever 14 14 would you request them to ensure that it's off your saw. They said it's not nice to fool Mother Nature. 15 property? 15 You want to screw around with the CO2 and ruin our 16 A. Well, my -- all right. My nephew and I went out 16 ecosystem? That's exactly what you guys are going to 17 and checked it because my sister -- it was moved off my 17 do. And I told my older brother last night some things 18 land from the south where the tiling was and it was 18 that I predict that was going to happen if they get that 19 moved right on the survey line where my property line is 19 -- if they get it. 20 over this way. And I'm saying I want it at least 500 to 20 Now what I want -- what do I want the PSC to do? 21 1,200 yards off my boundary, my line, because of how it 21 I want them -- since you're in charge of all the 22 22 would affect and absorb if a tube leaked. pipelines, I looked it up, of telling the size of them 23 23 and the strength of them and everything else, I want you If you remember Mississippi, that tube where the 24 CO2 ruptured was 23 miles from this town and it affected 24 -- North Dakota people, residents, do not need minimum 25 the town and it affected the soil. And they had to 25 safety when we got a dangerous product coming into our

PAGE 144

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV	. •	
1	state. We want the maximum safety so there's never a	1	MR. LEIBEL: at the
2	pipe rupture or anything. And I know that the PSC votes	2	THE WITNESS: Because I just got into town
3	on that and designs it. Okay? So that's what I want.	3	yesterday so
4	The other thing is I when I became a nurse, I	4	MR. LEIBEL: And what's our last number, Brian?
5	took the Nightingale Pledge. And the end of the pledge	5	ALJ HOGAN: I see 28. Does that sound right?
6	said, just like the MD pledges, to do no harm to any	6	MR. JORDE: This would be 29.
7	patient or anybody you treat.	7	MR. LEIBEL: Okay. I would like to designate
8	You guys are elected officials. And I just	8	that as Landowner Exhibit 29 and move the admission.
9	found out a couple weeks ago because there's a big	9	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection?
10	case going on where the EMT guy stole over \$3.1 million	10	MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.
11	out of the EMT funds and taking doing all this stuff	11	ALI HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
12	with his family and everything. And they said he	12	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
13	said, "Well, I didn't take an oath." And the judge	13	ALJ HOGAN: All right. LO-29 is received. And
14		14	-
	said, "Doesn't make any difference. You abide by what's	15	were you able to identify a township
15	innate." It's innate that you do not harm your		THE WITNESS: Liberty township.
16	constituents or do harm to anyone. So it is just like	16	ALJ HOGAN: range, section?
17	me.	17	THE WITNESS: Liberty Grove Township.
18	So I'm going to tell you, one farmer dies if	18	MR. JORDE: Unfortunately, there's no names, of
19	because you didn't vote or didn't see the things that	19	course, on here but
20	the farmers see, that's one too many. I remember when I	20	THE WITNESS: It's Liberty Grove.
21	lost my first patient. I can still see it. And it was	21	MR. JORDE: No, that's not on here either. Of
22	only because she had a massive heart attack. And I	22	course, I think this might be you.
23	still couldn't bring her back. But it's still on my	23	THE WITNESS: Okay. Richland County.
24	shoulders. And it's not a burden you want to carry, I'm	24	Waxweilers (phonetic) put the thing down here on the
25	telling you, it's not a burden.	25	Marti (phonetic) side of the road. Because this
	PAGE 145		PAGE 147
1	You want to be so I'm asking you to please do	1	separates Barney from Richland from Liberty Grove
2	the right thing. We don't need you know, one I	2	down that way.
3	the right thing. We don't need you know, one I don't understand this. One company, one we have one	2 3	down that way. MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the
	, ,		,
3	don't understand this. One company, one we have one	3	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the
3 4	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit,	3 4	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement
3 4 5	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here	3 4 5	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with
3 4 5 6	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this	3 4 5 6	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on.	3 4 5 6 7	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if
3 4 5 6 7 8	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this,	3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it. THE WITNESS: No, no. It's it's authentic.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with Senator Jeff Magrum and 31 other legislators that, on
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it. THE WITNESS: No, no. It's it's authentic. It's authentic.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with Senator Jeff Magrum and 31 other legislators that, on Tuesday, stood on the Bismarck pallet and they all
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it. THE WITNESS: No, no. It's it's authentic. It's authentic. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel, was this labeled as an	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with Senator Jeff Magrum and 31 other legislators that, on Tuesday, stood on the Bismarck pallet and they all signed a letter, and I think we need to support our
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it. THE WITNESS: No, no. It's it's authentic. It's authentic. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel, was this labeled as an exhibit?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with Senator Jeff Magrum and 31 other legislators that, on Tuesday, stood on the Bismarck pallet and they all signed a letter, and I think we need to support our legislators, to investigate they're asking the North
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it. THE WITNESS: No, no. It's it's authentic. It's authentic. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel, was this labeled as an exhibit? MR. LEIBEL: No. I was going to offer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with Senator Jeff Magrum and 31 other legislators that, on Tuesday, stood on the Bismarck pallet and they all signed a letter, and I think we need to support our legislators, to investigate they're asking the North Dakota attorney general to investigate Summit's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	don't understand this. One company, one we have one in Casselton, ethanol plant, that's invested in Summit, number one, and then we've got 56 others coming in here that you want to fill our beautiful state with this poisonous gas? I don't understand what's going on. And if you look at Summit can I go into this, what I showed you, just briefly? Q. I think we've got you've put it in your summary. A. Summit deals with companies that have committed fraud against the United States, number one. Like NextGen ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Zajac, I'm going to stop you right there. If it's in here, I'm not going to have you THE WITNESS: Yeah. ALJ HOGAN: about it. THE WITNESS: No, no. It's it's authentic. It's authentic. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel, was this labeled as an exhibit?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, maybe we could, at the conclusion of the hearing tomorrow, I can supplement with send the Court a letter with THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. LEIBEL: the specific townships, if that's acceptable. ALJ HOGAN: Is that acceptable for commissioners? Commission? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: There's COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess it will have to be, but it doesn't allow us to cross-examine ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, right. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: based on the map. THE WITNESS: There's one other thing I'd like the PSC to think about. I would like you to join with Senator Jeff Magrum and 31 other legislators that, on Tuesday, stood on the Bismarck pallet and they all signed a letter, and I think we need to support our legislators, to investigate they're asking the North

	TID I OBEIO CEITT		2 001/11/1001011
1	North Dakota that says you cannot have a foreign entity	1	with her. Is the pipeline and I know you want it to
2	that's an adversary to the United States or any state.	2	be at least 500 yards from your property.
3	And that would include China, South Korea, Saudi Arabia.	3	THE WITNESS: I actually don't want it to be on
4	And they had sent it to them on Tuesday of this week.	4	any of our property
5	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Leibel, if you want	5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Right, but at a
6	to follow up on location and ownership, I think that's	6	minimum you said something about at least 500 but
7	maybe the best way forward.	7	right now is it on your property or is it on your
8	MR. LEIBEL: Judge, I just remembered, I believe	8	sister's?
9	that she was a party to yeah, here's the legal	9	THE WITNESS: Well, it's hard if you look at
10	description was a party to the litigation involving	10	that map, it's very hard because it's very vague. Even
11	survey, which means I would have a cross-reference of a	11	at the courthouse they said they couldn't even read the
12	legal description. I'm just waiting for it to load up.	12	print and that. It looks like, when we took a
13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor, if it	13	magnifying glass out, that it was on land where I had
14	moves things, I don't really need any I just have one	14	land surveyed, it's on the property line and it goes
15	question and it really doesn't matter where the land is.	15	over to the north. But I do not want it anyplace close
16	ALJ HOGAN: Okay.	16	to my land.
17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So I don't know about	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I haven't been able to
18	others. Maybe we don't really need it right now.	18	find what Mr. Leibel said, but 131-51, section 4
19	MR. LEIBEL: I could I could tell it. I	19	THE WITNESS: 31, isn't it? I thought he said
20	found it. So it's township 131 north, range 51 west,	20	31.
21	section 4, northwest quarter and the west 70 rods of the	21	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: No.
22	northeast quarter. And the other piece of property is	22	THE WITNESS: 131? No?
23	township 132 north, range 50 west, section 33, the	23	MR. LEIBEL: And, Commissioner, I
24	northwest quarter.	24	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: It goes through
25	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.	25	131-51-2 going north and south. I don't see how it
	PAGE 149		PAGE 151
1	MR. LEIBEL: Sorry I didn't have that.	1	would go it would be like two
2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel, do you have any other	2	THE WITNESS: No. It's going east and west.
3	questions?	3	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Well, whatever, we
4	MR. LEIBEL: I have no further questions.	4	don't have a map of it so
5	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Gludt, any	5	THE WITNESS: It crosses the road
6	questions?	6	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I have no more
7	MR. GLUDT: No questions, Your Honor.	7	questions, Your Honor.
8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	8	THE WITNESS: comes over.
9	MR. PELHAM: Yes.	9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel, did you have any
10	CROSS EXAMINATION	10	MR. LEIBEL: No. I was just going to respond to
11	BY MR. PELHAM:	11	the commissioner, but that's okay if he has no
12	Q. Ms. Zajac, thanks for being here today. There	12	questions.
13	was some mention in your testimony of an irrevocable	13	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
14	trust and a trust from your parents. And you testified	14	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions.
15	that your parents had passed on. Are you the current	15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
16	legal owner of the property	16	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
17	A. Yes.	17	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Any redirect,
18	Q or of the trust? You are? Okay.	18	Mr. Leibel?
19	A. They served my brother, yes.	19	MR. LEIBEL: No.
20	Q. Okay. And you gave the description so I don't	20	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you.
21	have any other questions. Thank you.	21	THE WITNESS: Okay. I do have copies of the
		22	articles that I've got this from
22	ALI FOGAN; Mr. Schock?		
22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock? Commissioner Christmann.	23	ALJ HOGAN: Okav.
23	Commissioner Christmann.	23 24	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: that I've researched and
23 24	Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So I was really	24	THE WITNESS: that I've researched and
23	Commissioner Christmann.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV		
1	couldn't carry them all.	1	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yes, I I was.
2	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you for your	2	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
3	testimony.	3	is?
4	THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.	4	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I do.
5	ALJ HOGAN: And it's 12:26 so we're going to	5	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
6	take our lunch break. Let's break until 1:00.	6	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
7	(Recess)	7	in this case today?
8	ALJ HOGAN: All right. If everybody wants to	8	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Correct.
9	make their way back to their seats, we're going to get	9	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
10	started again. And as I mentioned this morning, we are	10	LIANNE ROCKSTAD,
11	going to start our afternoon with public testimony.	11	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
12	And before we start with public testimony, I	12	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: First of all, given what you
13	just have a few instructions regarding giving public	13	just said, I took quite a bit out about safety and
14	testimony at today's hearing. The Commission very much	14	everything. There's no need to duplicate that.
15	would like to hear from impacted landowners. If you do	15	I'm talking about Richland County, Viking
16	choose to testify, I'll have you come up to the witness	16	Township, and it is Viking Township, legal description
17	table here. You will be sworn in like the other	17	section 3, T135N, R51W. And the other quarter of land
18	witnesses that we had this morning. All parties along	18	is section 14, T135N, R51W.
19	with the commissioners will be allowed to ask you	19	First, thank you to the Commission. I'll make
20	questions regarding your testimony.	20	this as brief as I can. And I discovered last year when
21	If you are a landowner, if you could have the	21	I testified in Bismarck, and I did not know that until
22	property description for your land available and provide	22	that time, that my brother, who is a 50 percent owner,
23	that at the beginning of your testimony, that would be	23	has an attorney and I do not. So I just want to remind
24	helpful. Likewise, if you represent an entity or a	24	the Commission of that right now. I'm telling my story.
25	group, please identify who you are representing.	25	On October 7th, 2021, at 2 p.m., I was driving
	PAGE 153		PAGE 155
1	This is an evidentiary hearing. This is not an	1	past my home quarter, which was planted with corn, when
2	opportunity to ask questions to other witnesses or to	2	a surveyor with a rod walked out of the center of my
3	the Commission. Public testimony is your opportunity to	3	field. I approached him and I asked him what he was
4	provide information to the commissioners.	4	doing on my heavily-posted land. He refused to say. We
5	And as I stated this morning, the Commission	5	stood there uncomfortably. I told him I was going to
6	re-opened this case. So if you previously testified,	6	call the Richland County sheriff and that he could tell
7	you do not need to come and repeat that testimony. Your	7	both of us at the same time what he was doing on my
8	prior testimony is still part of the record for this	8	land. He said he worked for Carbon Express pipeline. I
9	case. Likewise, if your prior testimony or somebody	9	asked him where his vehicle was. He said he would get
10	else that's testified in this hearing has already stated	10	dropped off, do his work, and when he was completed he
11	your concerns or similar concerns, I would ask that you	11	was to call for a pickup. This was a totally covert
12	please do not repeat those concerns and just let the	12	operation.
13	Commission know that you agree or have similar concerns.	13	I called the NDSU Ag Department within the hour
14	And I would ask that you please limit your	14	and I asked them if they had heard about this company or
15	testimony to ten minutes. If you are going longer than	15	knew anything about them. They reported back to me that
16	ten minutes, I will ask that you wrap up your testimony.	16	a Tom Bray with TRC said that they were only on land
17	Okay. I've got to find my witness sheet here	17	that they were given permission. This is completely
18	real quick. There it is, got it. All right.	18	false. I did not provide Carbon Express permission to
19	Good afternoon. Can I have you state your full	19	be on my land. They were trespassing. It is by sheer
20	name for the record?	20	coincidence that I observed what happened.
21	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Lianne Rockstad. And that's	21	Little did I know back in October of 2021 that a
22	L-I-A-N-N-E. Rockstad, R-O-C-K-S-T-A-D.	22	company I've never heard of or its investors along with
23	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Rockstad, were you in the	23	ethanol plant owners and the State of North Dakota were
24	room this morning when I went through the penalties for	24	making plans to lay a hazmat pipeline across my land.
25	perjury?	25	Nearly a year ago I testified in Bismarck. My
1	PAGE 154		PAGE 156

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

priority was and remains to not have a hazmat pipeline with a witness, that they would look into my request of 2 on my land. I stated at that time that my family has 2 moving the pipeline. As of May 16th of this year, they 3 farmed and improved this land for almost a hundred 3 did not have an alternate route for either parcel nor 4 years. My grandfather emigrated from Norway 149 years 4 had they looked for one. 5 ago. He came to North Dakota to farm and provide for 5 Lastly, in that conversation -- and I couldn't 6 his family. His youngest child, my mother, farmed with 6 decide if I should say this or not, but I am. Lastly, 7 horses and milked cows. Each generation has been an 7 in that conversation, he asked me if I even knew what 8 excellent steward of the land, ensuring it would provide 8 the unit of measure of a rod was, knowing that I had well for the succeeding generation of heirs. just testified as a farmer. I always wonder if he would 9 9 10 I'm 71 years old and I currently live in my farm 10 have asked a male farmer that same question. 11 11 home on this very same land. I do not want to live This proposed \$8 billion project with investors 12 1,800 feet from the pipeline. Carbon Solutions says it 12 receiving millions upon millions of dollars in federal 13 is completely safe until it isn't. I'm here today 13 tax credits -- please hold on to this thought just for a 14 because I'm trying to protect myself and my family for 14 second, hold on to the \$8 billion. In the 1950s my 15 15 the next 99 years or the next four generations as my mother milked cows. She got 10 gallons of milk a day 16 grandfather and my mother did for me. 16 from those cows. Ten gallons. The second offer and the 17 You know, we've talked a lot about Summit 17 most current that Summit Carbon Solutions offered me for 18 Carbon. They're entrepreneurs, they're investors, 18 a 99-year easement on my land is the exact equivalent to 19 they're looking for the largest return on their 19 10 gallons of milk a week. That is what they think my 20 20 investment. My family has been invested in this very family and my heirs born and unborn are entitled to. 21 21 Ten gallons of milk a week in today's dollars. I don't same land for almost a hundred years. My family will 22 keep -- we will keep this investment while Summit Carbon 22 know anybody -- I don't know anybody here that would 23 23 accept that offer. I know this doesn't even sound will sell their investment as soon as their federal tax 24 credits are to expire. How many times will the pipeline 24 believable, but I have it in writing. 25 be sold in the next 99 years? 25 When Summit says they have signed 80 percent of **PAGE 157** 1 North Dakotans, 80 percent of North Dakotans, I really I am here to defend my property rights and 2 protect my investment from entrepreneurs who have 2 wonder how many senior citizens took what was my initial 3 nothing, absolutely nothing, other than object at the 3 offer of three gallons of milk a week because they 4 profit from an unwilling seller. trusted Summit to be fair. 5 5 Summit wants a half-a-mile easement through the I did not talk about compensation last year. I 6 center of my best-producing quarter of land; the 6 did not talk about it. I talked about my first 7 best-producing quarter, they're coming down right in the 7 priority, which was not having the pipeline on my land. 8 middle. And two miles further north they do a road 8 This is still my priority. 9 9 crossing and capture the quarter of land for another In The Forum in June of '23, like other parties 10 half-mile easement, a quarter of land that I stated a 10 have stated, Burgum was interviewed about that pipeline 11 year ago is perfectly poised for development within the 11 and he stated, and this is the quote, "The important 12 12 thing is that they have an opportunity in that process next four to seven years. It's on a hardtop road, the 13 rural water is already in place across the entire 13 to be heard and fairly compensated." Burgum added that 14 breadth of it, and it's in one of the two 14 hundreds and hundreds of changes to the route in North 15 fastest-growing school districts southwest of Horace. 15 Dakota have been done. "If people didn't want the big 16 After my presentation last year in Bismarck, 16 check, they had the opportunity to let their neighbor 17 Mr. Powell had a representative come ask to meet me in 17 get the big check." the hallway afterwards. I took that meeting with a 18 That is not a big check. That is not even close 18 19 witness. He asked me if I was ready to sign the 19 to a big check. 20 20 easement and I said "no" for all the previous reasons So, Public Service Commission, I do want my 21 21 stated in my talk. I reiterated that the entire quarter voice heard. I do not want the pipeline on my land. 22 would be completely impacted from development from the 22 And I do not want the equivalent of 10 gallons of milk a 23 23 week for a 99-year easement. pipeline within its boundary. I reiterated that I could

PAGE 158

24

25

That's it.

ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.

PAGE 160

24

25

not have a pipeline come through the best-producing

guarter that I owned. Mr. Powell said, and he said this

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	Mr. Gludt, any questions?	1	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Correct.
2	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.	2	MR. JORDE: And that you've lived there for many
3	Ms. Rockstad, is your brother James Rockstad?	3	years?
4	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: He is.	4	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Correct.
5	MR. GLUDT: And are you aware that Mr your	5	MR. JORDE: Okay. Have you seen any data
6	brother, James Rockstad, through Mr. Jorde, his counsel,	6	supplied to you by Summit or anyone working on Summit's
7	has proposed reroutes on your property?	7	behalf to lead you to believe that 1,800 feet from their
8	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Nobody's talked to me about	8	proposed pipeline is a safe distance if there were to be
9	any route, different routes. I talked to I called on	9	a rupture or release?
10	May 17th and talked to Charlie Adams. He said he did	10	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Could you repeat that?
11	not see a route change. He got ahold on May 20th of	11	MR. JORDE: Yes. Do you have any information
12	Justin Studsrud. Mr. Studsrud called me and	12	provided to you by Summit or anyone working on their
13	Mr. Studsrud told me that day that the route was the	13	behalf that has given you comfort or led you to conclude
14	same. That's what he told me.	14	that it's safe for you to have a home within 1,800 feet
15	MR. GLUDT: If	15	of a high-pressurized CO2 pipeline?
16	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And just to backtrack for a	16	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Well, I don't feel it would be
17	moment, Mr. Powell told me he would look into the	17	safe, but I promised the judge I wasn't going to go into
18	alternate routes and I never heard another word. I	18	the safety here.
19	never heard one word.	19	MR. JORDE: Okay. So is the answer "no," they
20	And the reason I didn't go contact and go	20	provided you no information in that regard?
21	searching for these people this last year is because the	21	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: To the best of my knowledge,
22	closest the closest family member I have is	22	but I can't swear to it that they haven't provided me.
23	undergoing cancer this last year and I go back and forth	23	MR. JORDE: Okay. You mentioned that your land
24	to Rochester all the time. But nobody came to talk to	24	is development land. Is that the entire property or is
25	me, nobody left me a note, and nobody visited my	25	it portions of the property you believe are
	PAGE 161		PAGE 163
1	farmstead.	1	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Is it okay if he talks to me
2	MR. GLUDT: Okay. If as you're aware,	2	when he's not my attorney?
3	there's been some correspondence. Your brother has	3	ALJ HOGAN: Yep. He's representing parties that
4	through your attorney offered	4	are individuals who are party to the case so they
5	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Okay. I have not received	5	have the opportunity to ask you questions.
6	MR. GLUDT: I understand that.	6	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Okay. I apologize. Okay.
7	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: any correspondence.	7	MR. JORDE: You had mentioned yeah, I'm
8	MR. GLUDT: I understand that, ma'am. I'm not	8	trying to help you, by the way, just to clear the air
9	trying to argue with what you do or do not know. I just	9	here.
10	want you to be aware that he has submitted reroute	10	You mentioned your land is development land.
11	proposals and Summit has responded that it may be	11	And I just want to
12	willing to accommodate that and would need survey access	12	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: One of the quarters is
13	for your property to survey potential reroute areas. Is	13	development land.
14	that something you would agree to?	14	MR. JORDE: That's why I wanted to be specific.
15	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I, apparently, without my	15	So one of the is it one of the potentially affected
16 17	knowledge accommodated that October 7th of 2021 when the	16 17	corridors or pardon me quarters or is it adjacent
	surveyor walked out of the center of my cornfield.	18	to an affected quarter? I'm just trying to
18 19	MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank		LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Okay. It is on quarter
20	YOU.	19 20	we're talking about section 3, T135N, R51W, the north
21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde or Mr. Leibel, any questions?	21	quarter. That is the developmental quarter. And I have documentation from a real estate company from over a
22	MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.	22	year ago stating that the development the financials
1 44	MIN. JUNDE. 165. HIGHK YOU.	23	for that quarter, that it would be worth \$30,000 an acre
	Malam did I get it right that you live in a		TOT CHAL GUALTEL, CHALLE WOULD DE WOLLI DOVIDOU ALL ACIE
23	Ma'am, did I get it right that you live in a	24	
23 24	residence 1,800 feet from the proposed hazardous	24 25	for the quarter for development.
23		24 25	

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		_ 001/11/11001011
1	witness in Bismarck. I told him the value of the land	1	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: That's correct.
2	and that I had the paperwork with me. And exactly what	2	MR. PELHAM: Okay. So you and your brother, the
3	he said was "We've paid way more than that." That's	3	property that you gave the description for you own as
4	what he said. That was his answer.	4	tenants in common equally?
5	MR. JORDE: Okay. But his have you received	5	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Equally.
6	any documentation where Summit has agreed to route away	6	MR. PELHAM: All right. And any other family
7	from that so as not to take away your development	7	members own any interest in that property?
8	opportunity?	8	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: No.
9	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: No. No, I have not.	9	MR. PELHAM: Just you and your brother?
10	MR. JORDE: Okay. And how long ago was that	10	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Just the just the two of
11	conversation where you made it clear face-to-face to	11	us.
12	Mr. Powell that you had the	12	MR. PELHAM: I see. What is it what is the
13	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: It was the June meeting, the	13	reroute that you're requesting?
14	first part of June last year.	14	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Number one, I'm requesting it
15	MR. JORDE: Okay.	15	off my land. I'm requesting it off my land.
16	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: In Bismarck. It was in	16	MR. PELHAM: Okay.
17	Bismarck.	17	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: The future of that what I
18	MR. JORDE: So for almost a year Summit's known	18	referred to as that north quarter is the future of
19	directly from you of those concerns and they haven't	19	that land, it's four to seven years away from being
20	sent you any type of proposed reroutes or solutions?	20	developed. You know, like I stated, the water has gone
21	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Nothing to myself. Nothing.	21	through it. It's in the fastest-growing school district
22	MR. JORDE: Okay. Have you talked to your	22	in southwest
23	brother, James? Do you know if he's received anything?	23	MR. PELHAM: Is it
24	I haven't seen anything.	24	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: North Dakota.
25	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Well, I'm positive you've	25	MR. PELHAM: Is it is it in the diversion
	PAGE 165		PAGE 167
1	talked to him since 2012, and that's the last time I've	1	area?
2	talked to him.	2	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: No.
3	MR. JORDE: Oh, okay. All right. Very good.	3	MR. PELHAM: No.
4	And so from no source have you seen any	4	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: No, no. It's past the
5	potential reroutes that Summit would be willing to do?	5	diversion. I'm 20 minutes from Horace.
6	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I have seen no reroutes. And	6	MR. PELHAM: Fair enough.
7	Mr. Powell and I talked about that. We talked about the	7	And as far as the development, you mentioned the
8	best-producing quarter of land and we talked about the	8	real estate. I don't need to know the intricate
9	developmental quarter.	9	details, but have you had a conversation with anyone
10	MR. JORDE: And so I think it's probably stating	10	telling you that if this pipeline is is installed in
11	the obvious, but do you believe that if a hazardous CO2	11	this land, that it cannot be developed?
12	pipeline was located on your land, that that would	12	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I told Mr. Powell that.
13	significantly reduce the value of not only the easement	13	MR. PELHAM: I understand you told him that, but
14	where it's located but the adjacent and surrounding	14	has anyone told you that?
15	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: It would destroy it. It would	15	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Say that again.
16	destroy it. And that's why I went through the trouble	16	MR. PELHAM: Has anyone told you when you're
17	of getting that to bring it to Bismarck to show, was	17	talking about the development of the property for future
18	that the value of that land, it would totally destroy	18	development in the next four to seven years, you
19	the development.	19	testified to that
20	MR. JORDE: I don't have anything further.	20	LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yes.
21	Thank you.	21	MR. PELHAM: I'm just wondering and you've
22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	22	said you had some conversations with real estate
23	MR. PELHAM: Yes, thank you.	23	developers. Has anyone told you that in the event that
24	Ms. Rockstad, did you say the last time you	24	the pipeline is built, that it will not be able to be
25	talked to your brother was in 2012?	25	developed?
1			·
	PAGE 166		PAGE 168

1 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: It won't be able to be 2 developed in the manner that it was projected. 3 MR. PELHAM: And who's told you that? 4 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: The real estate company. 5 MR. PELHAM: And you said primarily you don't 6 want the pipeline. Is it no way, no how, you're 7 completely opposed to the pipeline and you would not be 8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 14 On the south quarter, which is section 14, okay, 15 two miles further south than the developing quarter. It's 2 two miles further south. 3 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I've got them 4 map. 5 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on the south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 14 On the south quarter, which is section 14, okay, 15 two miles further south. 3 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I've got them 4 map. 5 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on the south, it's on the east side of County 29. 16 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 17 come on the west side of me on County 29. 18 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 19 home is 1,800 feet	ne added
3 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I've got them 4 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: The real estate company. 5 MR. PELHAM: And you said primarily you don't 6 want the pipeline. Is it no way, no how, you're 7 completely opposed to the pipeline and you would not be 8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I've got them 4 map. 5 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on the 8 south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	ne added
4 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: The real estate company. 5 MR. PELHAM: And you said primarily you don't 6 want the pipeline. Is it no way, no how, you're 7 completely opposed to the pipeline and you would not be 8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 4 map. 5 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on the south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	ne added
5 MR. PELHAM: And you said primarily you don't 6 want the pipeline. Is it no way, no how, you're 7 completely opposed to the pipeline and you would not be 8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 5 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yeah. 6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on th 8 south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	added
6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 completely opposed to the pipeline and you would not be 8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you. 7 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on the 8 south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	added
7 completely opposed to the pipeline and you would not be 8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 17 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: And when it comes on the 8 south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	added
8 agreeable to any reroutes at all if proposed by the 9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 8 south, it's on the east side of County 29. And then 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	added
9 company? 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I am not agreeable to any 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 9 when they're north of me, they do a road crossing and 10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 12 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 13 home is 1,800 feet	added
10 come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 14 come on the west side of me on County 29. 15 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you come on the west side of me on County 29. 16 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you come on the west side of me on County 29. 17 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you come on the west side of me on County 29. 18 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That come on the west side of me on County 29. 19 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That come on the west side of me on County 29. 10 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That come on the west side of me on County 29. 11 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you have been completely as the properties of the come on the west side of me on County 29. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That come on the west side of me on County 29. 13 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That come on the west side of me on County 29. 14 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you have been completely as the properties of the completely as the properties of the completely as the complet	added
11 reroute on the north quarter, the developing quarter. 12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 14 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm glad you 15 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 16 home is 1,800 feet	
12 That is the greatest inheritance for my heirs. That 13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 14 that because I forgot to ask my question. You said you 15 home is 1,800 feet	
13 piece of land is the greatest inheritance. 13 home is 1,800 feet	ır
14 On the south quarter, which is section 14 okay. 14 LIANNE DOCKSTAD: Correct, And I'm on the	
14 Charles South quarter, which is section 14, oray, 14 ELANNE ROCKSTAD. CONTECT. And I'll on the	north
15 that comes down the center nearly the center of the 15 quarter.	
16 best-producing quarter. If if I was absolutely, 16 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: You live on the	ne north
17 positively forced, it probably could be moved to the 17 quarter?	
18 west along County 29. 18 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Correct. Section 3.	
19 MR. PELHAM: You testified you haven't had any 19 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank	cyou.
20 contact with your brother. Have you had any contact 20 ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	
21 with your brother's attorney related to the proposed 21 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I just ha	ave a
22 reroute? 22 question regarding the development land that you talk	ed
23 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I'm not even sure what his 23 about in working with a real estate agency. Are there	
24 name is. 24 any plans for that land?	
25 MR. PELHAM: Okay. So I'll take that as a "no." 25 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Yeah, there are plans. V	Ve
PAGE 169	PAGE 171
1 Fair enough. I don't have any other questions for you. 1 were waiting for the township zoning. And there are	
2 Thank you. 2 plans for the land.	
3 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Thank you. 3 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	And you've
4 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? 4 been told by this real estate agent that if	
5 Commissioner Christmann. 5 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: I've got the paperwork h	iere,
6 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: You mentioned the 6 yeah.	
7 sections where you have property. Do you own those 7 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	And you've
8 whole sections or a quarter in each? 8 been told that if the pipeline goes through, those	
9 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: The quarters in each section. 9 development plans will be no longer	
10 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So on 10 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Exactly.	
11 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: We're talking two quarters and 11 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: execu	ıted?
12 one quarter in each section. 12 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Exactly.	
13 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Well, in section 3 13 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	
14 it's the northeast quarter. 14 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: It totally diminishes the	
15 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: In section 3, that is the 15 project.	
16 north quarter, the quarter that's poised for 16 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	
17 development. 17 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Thank you.	
18 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And in section 14, 18 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank y	ou.
19 it's the north 19 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	
20 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: That's the best-producing 20 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No	questions.
21 farmland. 21 ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Rockst	ad.
22 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: But is it the 22 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Thank you for your time	
23 northwest quarter or the southwest quarter of 14? 23 ALJ HOGAN: Do we have other parties that w	ish
24 LIANNE ROCKSTAD: Section 14 is the south 24 to provide public comments or public testimony?	
25 quarter. It's two miles further north I mean, two 25 Good afternoon. Can I get your name and if y	/ou
PAGE 170	PAGE 172

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

want to spell your last name for the record, please. On April 14th, 2024, there was a system upset at JOSH GESSELE: Name is Josh Gessele, 2 2 Blue Flint that caused the need for them to vent off 3 G-E-S-S-E-L-E. 3 their CO2 collection and compression system. That day 4 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gessele, were you in the room 4 the wind was blowing mildly from the east which blew the 5 earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? plume of venting gas straight towards Coal Creek. 6 JOSH GESSELE: Yes, I was. 6 Employees at Coal Creek started to smell strong odors 7 ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury 7 that were described as smelling like burning rubber and 8 is? 8 electrical fire mixed with other smells. At first 9 JOSH GESSELE: Yes, I do. 9 people were looking for fires because pure CO2 is 10 ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential 10 supposed to be colorless and odorless. After no fires 11 11 penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth were found, it was realized that the smell was coming 12 in this case today? 12 from the venting gas at Blue Flint. 13 JOSH GESSELE: I do. 13 The ethanol plant has been in operation 14 ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. 14 since 2007. And April 14th, 2024, is the first time 15 this type of strong odor had ever been observed at Coal JOSH GESSELE, 15 16 being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 16 Creek coming from Blue Flint. 17 JOSH GESSELE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. 17 The venting lasted, roughly, eight hours. 18 My name is Josh Gessele, as I have stated. I live at 18 During the event, employees in the power plant started 19 647 188th Avenue Northeast, near the town of Baldwin, in 19 to experience headaches, feel nauseous, and have dizzy 20 20 Glenview Township of Burleigh County. The legal spells, along with burning sensations in their eyes and 21 description is section 26, 141 north, 80 west. And my 21 airways. Multiple employees had to go home because of 22 22 property is the east half of the west half of the the severity of their symptoms. A couple employees 23 23 northeast quarter. It can be found on page 52 of the missed a multiple days of work recovering. One employee 24 map book. The proposed pipeline route runs on the north 24 ended up going to the hospital days later because his 25 side of 180th Avenue Northeast, less than 2,000 feet 25 symptoms were not improving. At the hospital he was from my house, which is on the south side of 180th told they did not know what to treat him for because of 1 2 Avenue. 2 the uncertainty of what gas he was hit with. We had no 3 At the April 22nd hearing in Mandan you heard 3 idea what was in that gas. 4 testimony from my two neighbors, Lynette Dunbar and 4 I personally was not at the power plant on 5 State Representative SuAnn Olson. I agree with both of 5 April 14th as it was my day off, but I was at the power 6 their testimonies and, along with them, I also do not plant on May 8th, 2024, when another Blue Flint CO2 7 want to see this pipeline siting permit approved. 7 compression system venting occurred with an east wind. 8 I only started taking notice of this proposed 8 What happened on April 14th was repeated with the same 9 9 pipeline when I ran across teams of surveyors on 180th effect of making Coal Creek employees sick, the only 10 10 Avenue during the summer of 2023. After learning that difference being this time it was not a system upset at 11 11 they were there surveying a route for the Summit Blue Flint that caused the need for venting, but it was 12 pipeline, I started to research the project so I could 12 a controlled shutdown of their CO2 system for scheduled 13 form a proper opinion. 13 maintenance. 14 But I want to start off by sharing with you an 14 What did I learn from this experience? I 15 experience that has drastically affected my opinion of 15 learned that even though the gas stream may be 16 this project. I make electricity as a control room 16 99 percent CO2 exiting the ethanol plants, the other 17 operator at Rainbow Energy Center, Coal Creek Station, 17 1 percent is composed of gases that are much more toxic which applies steam to and is across the fence from Blue than CO2. According to the National Institute for 18 18 19 Flint Ethanol. I've been a plant operator at Coal Creek 19 Occupational Safety and Health, the immediately 20 since 2005. Blue Flint was built in 2007 and Blue 20 dangerous to life and health, or IDLH, concentration of 21 21 CO2 is 40,000 parts per million. Gases included in the Flint's CO2 capturer and injection system came online in 22 22 November of 2023. Us at Coal Creek were told that it 1 percent are gases such as hydrogen sulfide with an 23 was pure CO2 that was being collected and injected from 23 IDLH of 100 parts per million; dimethyl sulfate which is 24 ethanol plants like Blue Flint. It turns out that is 24 a carcinogen and has an IDLH of 7 parts per million; 25 misleading. 25 ethyl acetate with an IDLH of 2,000 parts per million;

PAGE 176

PAGE 174

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

acetaldehyde, a carcinogen with a a low-water crossing. This crossing is closed by the 2 2,000-parts-per-million IDLH; and ethyl and methyl 2 county nearly every year during the snowmelt runoff or 3 alcohols with IDLHs of 3,300 and 6,000 parts per million 3 even during heavy rain events when Burnt Creek swells 4 respectively. This is just to name a few. There are and runs across 188th Avenue. With all 11 homes on 5 188th Avenue being located on the west side of the 6 6 During normal operation, in order to clean their crossing and their only access out of their homes is 7 7 discharge gas, I learned that ethanol plants are 188th Avenue, any pipeline leak near Highway 83 could 8 required to either scrub or flare off their discharge 8 leave people trapped with no route of escape during 9 gas depending on the design of the plant. I also 9 certain times of the year. 10 10 learned, though, that ethanol plants are not required to I agree with Bailey Elkins' testimony from 11 clean their discharge gas in any way if it is being 11 April 22nd when she said that having the pipeline so 12 compressed and injected underground. This information 12 close would impact one's ability to feel safe in their 13 has been verified to me by the North Dakota Department 13 own home. Ideally, an accident wouldn't happen, but it 14 of Environmental Quality. 14 also could happen at any time. This would always be on 15 15 So that would mean in the Summit pipeline 58 my mind, and with being located so close to the pipeline 16 ethanol plants' worth of unfiltered gases or more, if 16 and so far away from emergency services, it would be 17 17 Summit's dreams come true, would be pumped past my house necessary for my family to have a plan to survive, 18 and the houses of my neighbors and the houses of 18 expecting no help to arrive soon. 19 19 everyone else that is near this pipeline in North Like Bailey, I would also worry about that 20 20 Dakota. After seeing and experiencing the effects of pipeline route becoming a corridor within which more 21 21 being gassed by one ethanol plant, the idea of having pipelines would be built. During the April 22nd hearing 22 the exhaust of 58 plants in one pipeline seems crazy. 22 in Mandan, Commissioner Christmann asked the question of 23 23 The January 2002 Minot anhydrous ammonia spill Jon Schmidt what was meant by the words "one or both 24 was five railcars' worth of gas. That gas cloud 24 pipelines on the setback waivers signed by landowners." 25 traveled five miles and extended 300 feet into the air. 25 Could this be a clue to Summit's long-term plans? **PAGE 177 PAGE 179** 1 If this pipeline gets built with the flow Summit plans 1 Marvin and Wyonne are a couple in their 90s that live 2 to put through it, there will be 167 railcars' worth of 2 about a half mile to the northeast of my house on 188th Avenue Northeast. They signed a setback waiver with 3 gas running past my house every minute. 3 4 Why does Summit want to run all the gas up to Summit and now the Summit pipeline is proposed to run 5 North Dakota anyway? Besides North Dakota, there are 5 less than 400 feet from their house. One such pipeline 6 6 also saline formations in Illinois, Nebraska, and that close is bad enough. I couldn't imagine two. 7 7 Kansas, and unmineable coal seams in Iowa that could I think we should use caution before falling for 8 possibly be used to store this -- to store these gases. 8 the carbon intensity score which is created by the 9 Besides the threat to people, there are other 9 Department of Energy-owned Argonne National Labs and the 10 reasons why I think this pipeline is a bad idea. My 10 California Air Resources Board. This will make energy 11 wife raises chickens, ducks, and geese. Some of the 11 costs rise, and I don't think we want to adopt anything 12 12 birds she raises are rare like Ancona ducks and Cotton that would make energy costs higher for everybody. 13 Patch geese which are both considered threatened by The 13 Most ethanol plants nowadays are owned by 14 14 Livestock Conservancy. There are less than a thousand profit-sharing companies, corporations, not farmers. 15 breeding birds of these species in the U.S. with an 15 The ethanol plants will still go where they can get the 16 estimated global population of less than 5,000 birds. 16 cheapest corn, which might be out of state. So more 17 And she also has very specific breeding programs for 17 expensive ethanol does not automatically translate into 18 some of her chickens that sometimes require many years 18 more money for North Dakota corn farmers. It could be 19 of work to achieve certain genetic traits that are 19 that the state's corn farmers just end up paying higher 20 desirable for certain breeds of chickens. A loss of 20 energy and input costs while corn prices fluctuate 21 21 these birds could be an unrecoverable situation. within the same range they always have. 22 22 The pipeline is proposed to be on the north side It is my estimate the economic benefits to North 23 23 of 188th Avenue Northeast with a main line valve near Dakota that are projected from this project will go 24 24 the junction of 188th and U.S. Highway 83. The east mostly to big business and big state government, leaving the state's corn farmers disappointed. Nowadays it 25 25 outlet of 188th Avenue, the road crosses Burnt Creek at

PAGE 180

	ND PUBLIC SERV	-	2 001111111001011
1	seems like big business just uses the state government	1	MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.
2	as its personal ATM which makes more money for big	2	Sir, you said you live less than 2,000 feet from
3	business and state government. The North Dakota State	3	where this proposed hazardous pipeline would be routed;
4	Government is the state's biggest receiver of oil	4	correct?
5	royalties and would stand to be the biggest receiver of	5	JOSH GESSELE: That's correct.
6	CO2 royalties being paid per ton of gas injected. And	6	MR. JORDE: And without revealing too many
7	the state government does not like to share money with	7	details, do you live there with your family?
8	those that are supposed to be its owners, the citizens.	8	JOSH GESSELE: Yeah. My wife and three kids.
9	Do Summit's economic estimates take into account	9	MR. JORDE: And at any point in this process has
10	the cost to the taxpayers who will be footing the bill	10	Summit reached out to you or sent a mailing and shared
11	for Summit's state property taxes and 45Q payments?	11	with you, you know, "Mr. Gessele, don't worry, here's
12	The lust for money can make otherwise good	12	the evidence that you will be safe and your little kids
13	people do evil things. The pursuit of money should	13	will be safe in case our pipeline fails"?
14	never be at the expense of knowingly putting an innocent	14	JOSH GESSELE: No.
15	person's well-being at risk. This is a situation we	15	MR. JORDE: Do you think, sir, it would be an
16	find ourselves in with the Summit Carbon Solutions	16	important well, would it be important for you to have
17	pipeline.	17	that type of information, at least be able to vet it,
18	As of May 2023 Summit had initiated more than 80	18	consider it, review it, to make you help you sleep
19	eminent domain lawsuits in South Dakota. Those	19	better at night?
20	landowners could be forced to put this pipeline on their	20	JOSH GESSELE: Yeah. I would like to see an
21	land and accept the risk to their health and safety so	21	emergency management plan from Summit. I was able to
22	Summit investors can get richer off the landowners'	22	locate emergency management plans for the Dakota Gas CO2
23	hand-earned tax dollars. Everything in our lives is in	23	pipeline and the former Navigator pipeline projects
24	the landscape of good and evil, whether we realize it or	24	online, but I couldn't find anything for Summit.
25	not, usually to varying degrees. Forcing someone to put	25	MR. JORDE: So related to that Navigator data
	PAGE 181		PAGE 183
1	a pipeline filled with toxic gas on their land or	1	that's been online, some in South Dakota, some in
2	locating such a pipeline close to people who have no	2	Illinois, are you aware that their research and reports
3	choice but to accept the risks or maybe don't know the	3	show that an 8-inch diameter CO2 pipeline
4	risks is working on the side of evil, is not taking care	4	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
5	of our fellow human beings.	5	We're talking about the Navigator pipeline now.
6	In conclusion, I would like to see the denial of	6	ALJ HOGAN: Yes. Let's move on, please.
7	this permit for the Summit Carbon Solutions pipeline.	7	MR. JORDE: How is this how is this not
8	Innocent people's safety should not be sacrificed for	8	relevant? It's going to the proximity of his home and
9	financial gain. But if for some reason you find	9	the intelligence of this body locates it and these are
10	yourself feeling like you want to approve it, I ask	10	publicly-available facts that show that anything within
11	that, in the spirit of safety for your fellow North	11	even 2,000 feet of a residence is an unintelligent place
12	Dakota citizens, that you hold off making a decision	12	to locate it?
13	until after PHMSA releases their new standards and after	13	ALJ HOGAN: I have asked you to move on. I
14	the 2025 North Dakota legislative session has convened	14	believe all the ground that we've talked about has
15	so that lessons learned from Satartia can be applied to	15	already been presented to the Commission so please move
16	this project as well as any new rules from the	16	on.
17	legislature.	17	MR. JORDE: Sir, are you talking about the
18	Thank you for holding this hearing. I know	18	you're talking about the reroute portion. Are you
19	holding as many hearings as you have on this topic is	19	concerned also about the reroute portion around
20	not something that you are required to do so I	20	Bismarck, not just your area?
21	appreciate you for giving everybody a chance to speak.	21	JOSH GESSELE: Well, the reroute portion runs
22	I will now accept any questions.	22	past my house.
23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?	23	MR. JORDE: Okay. So then I want to talk about
24	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	24	the reroute which is what these hearings were designated
		۱	
25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?	25	to talk about. And in terms of the reroute, are you

```
aware that an 8-inch diameter pipeline --
                                                                           benefits if we can't talk about the costs. So --
 2
             MR. GLUDT: Same objection.
                                                                       2
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: Again, Mr. Jorde, I said if you're
 3
             ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, I have asked you to move
                                                                       3
                                                                           making a request to strike testimony, you're going to
 4
     on. I'm not going to allow further questions about the
                                                                       4
                                                                           have to file a motion after this hearing. But my bigger
 5
     Navigator pipeline.
                                                                           concern is that this -- we've had several witnesses this
 6
                                                                       6
             MR. JORDE: I'm not asking about Navigator.
                                                                           morning that have addressed these concerns and we don't
 7
             ALJ HOGAN: Well, you're asking a question
                                                                       7
                                                                           need to continue to go over the same concerns, as I've
 8
     that's going right around what I've asked you to move on
                                                                       8
                                                                           mentioned several times already.
                                                                       9
 9
     from.
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: So for purposes of appeal, I have
10
             MR. JORDE: Okay. I just want to be clear for
                                                                      10
                                                                           the duty to create a record and attempt to create a
11
                                                                      11
     the record then that we are not allowed in these
                                                                           record on these points, and I'm making an offer of proof
12
     hearings to talk about the Summit reroute and concerns
                                                                      12
                                                                           as to these findings and I believe the rules entitle me
13
     about safety relative to the reroute.
                                                                      13
                                                                           to make an offer of proof.
14
             ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gessele has expressed his
                                                                      14
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: I think for appeal purposes it's
15
                                                                           going to be sufficient if you point to the fact that I
     concerns about safety and I don't believe this is a
                                                                      15
16
     productive use of our time to keep going over the same
                                                                      16
                                                                           have specifically asked the parties and the public not
17
     information again and again.
                                                                      17
                                                                           to repeat the same concerns over and over again.
18
             MR. JORDE: Sir, do you think that it's in the
                                                                      18
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Well, then my
19
     best interest of the citizens and the welfare of North
                                                                      19
                                                                           last question on that is, sir, have you heard anyone
20
                                                                      20
     Dakotans that none of the citizens know the risk profile
                                                                           testify today specifically about concerns relative to
21
                                                                      21
     of this pipeline?
                                                                           safety of the Bismarck reroute?
22
                                                                      22
             JOSH GESSELE: I don't know if I understood the
                                                                                   JOSH GESSELE: Not of the Bismarck reroute, no.
23
                                                                      23
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, I can't talk about it,
     question. Could you repeat it?
24
             MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, are you aware that only
                                                                      24
                                                                           but, anyway, I would have if I would have been allowed
25
     the PSC has Summit's secret information and no one else.
                                                                      25
                                                                           to do that.
                                                                       1
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: And I would just like to note that
 1
     not even the parties affected, the people involved, have
 2
     been allowed to see the dispersion modeling to vet if
                                                                       2
                                                                           the purpose of this particular hearing was designated to
 3
     they're good, if they're bad, what model inputs, if
                                                                       3
                                                                           not address the Bismarck portion of this pipeline but
     they're good or bad, the potential release scenarios, we
                                                                           the portion that's down in this area of the state.
                                                                       5
 5
     haven't been allowed to see any of that? Are you aware
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: And I understand that, but the
 6
     of that?
                                                                       6
                                                                           testimony came in and I believe I have the right to
 7
             JOSH GESSELE: I don't know who has the
                                                                       7
                                                                           examine the witness on what's now in the record so --
 8
     information.
                                                                       8
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: Do you have any other questions?
 9
             MR. JORDE: All right. And have you been
                                                                       9
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: I'm thinking.
10
                                                                      10
     allowed to see any of that?
                                                                                   Now you mentioned about sequestration space.
11
                                                                      11
             JOSH GESSELE: No.
                                                                           Were you saying that you believed in Iowa there's a
12
             MR. JORDE: Okay. Now you talked about economic
                                                                      12
                                                                           sequestration space such that this pipeline wouldn't
     benefits. Would you agree with me that the tax credits,
13
                                                                      13
                                                                           need to be sited in North Dakota? Is that what you're
     the upwards of $18 billion in tax credits, should be
14
                                                                      14
                                                                           saying?
15
     considered a cost to this project and not a benefit?
                                                                      15
                                                                                   JOSH GESSELE: I believe it's being researched
16
             MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object
                                                                      16
                                                                           to use unmineable coal seams as a sequestration space
17
     again. I believe this was mentioned in the Commission's
                                                                      17
                                                                           for CO2.
18
     August 4th, 2023, order, that they don't have
                                                                      18
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: Thank you.
19
     jurisdiction over high-level policy matters like the 45Q
                                                                      19
                                                                                   In front of you there's a binder right there.
20
     taxes. It's also been covered multiple times and I
                                                                      20
                                                                           If you could grab that white binder and I'm going to
21
                                                                      21
                                                                           have you look at Tab No. 28, please. I think you might
     think we can move on.
22
                                                                      22
                                                                           -- I think you -- yeah, Tab 28, the first page should
             ALJ HOGAN: I agree. Can we please move on?
23
             MR. JORDE: Okay. Then I'm going to move to
                                                                      23
                                                                           look something like this.
24
     strike all of Dan Pickering's testimony and his prefiled
                                                                      24
                                                                                   Let me help you out. Let's see.
25
     testimony where he gets into the economics and the
                                                                      25
                                                                                   All right. I'm showing you, sir, what's been
                                                        PAGE 186
                                                                                                                              PAGE 188
```

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	marked as Landowner Exhibit 28. I'll represent to you	1	JOSH GESSELE: Yeah. Yes.
2	that these are maps, Summit maps, directly off their	2	MR. JORDE: Are you aware of the capacity of a
3	website. Have you had an occasion to see any of these	3	24-inch diameter pipeline in terms of the CO2 that would
4	maps or familiarize yourself with Summit's route	4	travel near your house every day?
5	generally?	5	JOSH GESSELE: I've seen the projected flow
6	JOSH GESSELE: I've seen I've seen maps like	6	numbers.
7	this for the route, yes.	7	MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. Are you aware
8	MR. JORDE: Okay. I'd offer Exhibit LO-28.	8	that the volume that a 24-inch diameter pipeline can
9	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 28?	9	transport is 15 times greater
10	MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.	10	MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor.
11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	11	MR. JORDE: than a
12	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	12	MR. GLUDT: Mr. Jorde's testifying again and
13	ALJ HOGAN: 28 is received.	13	asking the witness to agree with him or to at least
14	MR. JORDE: Thank you.	14	acknowledge his testimony.
15	And I want to just make sure we bring some	15	MR. JORDE: What's the problem with that?
16	illustration to, I believe, the points you were making.	16	ALJ HOGAN: I agree with that.
17	You can see on page 1 where the proposed route dips down	17	MR. JORDE: How is that an improper question?
18	into South Dakota and then Vs back up into North Dakota	18	This is cross. This is not my witness.
19	headed towards that box which is the proposed	19	ALJ HOGAN: Can we just move on, Mr. Jorde?
20	sequestration area. Is that your understanding?	20	You're asking him all this information that's already in
21	JOSH GESSELE: Yeah. Yep, that's my	21	the record.
22	understanding.	22	MR. JORDE: Okay. I'm just curious if he knew
23	MR. JORDE: Okay. And then just to conclude	23	that. He expressed his concerns. It's a yes or no
24	this line of questioning, if the sequestration was done	24	answer.
25	elsewhere, anywhere else other than that in North	25	ALJ HOGAN: I've asked you to move on.
	PAGE 189		PAGE 191
	PAGE 109		PAGE 191
1	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need	1	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to
1 2		1 2	
	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need		MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to
2	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up	2	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection?
2	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area?	2	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes.
2 3 4	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again.	2 3 4	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done	2 3 4 5	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you
2 3 4 5 6	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all	2 3 4 5 6	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample MR. PELHAM: What was the air sample?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it? JOSH GESSELE: I don't understand the question, I guess.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it? JOSH GESSELE: I don't understand the question,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample MR. PELHAM: What was the air sample? JOSH GESSELE: of the different gases that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it? JOSH GESSELE: I don't understand the question, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think it's obvious. That's okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample MR. PELHAM: What was the air sample? JOSH GESSELE: of the different gases that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it? JOSH GESSELE: I don't understand the question, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think it's obvious. That's okay. And then the proposed diameter that's going by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample MR. PELHAM: What was the air sample? JOSH GESSELE: of the different gases that were MR. PELHAM: Right, I know, but what were the gas samples? What was released? Was it CO2? Was it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it? JOSH GESSELE: I don't understand the question, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think it's obvious. That's okay. And then the proposed diameter that's going by your home with your young kids there is 24 inches in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample MR. PELHAM: What was the air sample? JOSH GESSELE: of the different gases that were MR. PELHAM: Right, I know, but what were the gas samples? What was released? Was it CO2? Was it JOSH GESSELE: It was 99 percent CO2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dakota, would you agree with me there would be no need for that entire left-hand V of the route heading up towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Say that again. MR. JORDE: Yes. If the sequestration was done in Iowa, for instance, we could save about half of all the miles of the Summit hazardous pipeline route as it enters back into North Dakota from South Dakota and heads towards the sequestration area? JOSH GESSELE: Yeah, it would less MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I don't think and I don't think he has the foundation to offer an opinion on that. MR. JORDE: Okay. So if the sequestration area didn't need to exist, do you think there would be a need for a pipeline to it? JOSH GESSELE: I don't understand the question, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think it's obvious. That's okay. And then the proposed diameter that's going by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. So I just want the record to be clear, are you sustaining the objection? ALJ HOGAN: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you for your time. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: Sir, you testified as to the event at the Blue Flint Ethanol plant. Did you participate in the investigation as to what happened? Were you told what happened? How do you know what was released? JOSH GESSELE: We were able to see in the air sample MR. PELHAM: Who's "we"? JOSH GESSELE: Us at Coal Creek. MR. PELHAM: Okay. JOSH GESSELE: Blue Flint presented us with an air sample MR. PELHAM: What was the air sample? JOSH GESSELE: of the different gases that were MR. PELHAM: Right, I know, but what were the gas samples? What was released? Was it CO2? Was it

	IND PUBLIC SERV		2 001/11/11/001014
1	JOSH GESSELE: There are many other	1	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
2	MR. PELHAM: Do you know if that was a planned	2	Thanks for coming today.
3	release or was it maintenance or what was going on there	3	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
4	with that?	4	Do we have others that wish to testify?
5	JOSH GESSELE: The April the April event was	5	Come on up. You're next.
6	a system upset where they had some kind of problem with	6	CHAD PETERSON: I do have testimony.
7	their system where it required them to vent it.	7	ALJ HOGAN: Sure. Thank you.
8	MR. PELHAM: Was that released from the pipe or	8	CHAD PETERSON: Got your protocol.
9	was it released from their facility?	9	ALJ HOGAN: Yep. You just want to start it
10	JOSH GESSELE: Near their compression building	10	there? We'll pass it around.
11	which is on the east side of Coal Creek.	11	CHAD PETERSON: I gave 10 copies. That should
12	MR. PELHAM: I don't have any other questions	12	be enough to make the rounds. If not, I can provide it
13	for you. Thank you, sir.	13	electronically as well.
14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?	14	ALJ HOGAN: Sure. Can I get your name for the
15	Commissioner Christmann.	15	record?
16	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I think just one. I	16	CHAD PETERSON: Yes. My name is Chad Peterson.
17	couldn't keep up with the land description. I	17	ALJ HOGAN: And do you want to spell your last
18	appreciate you having it, but I couldn't write fast	18	name?
19	enough. I think you said section 26 of 141-80, the east	19	CHAD PETERSON: P-E-T-E-R-S-O-N.
20	half of the west half of what quarter?	20	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Peterson, were you in the
21	JOSH GESSELE: Let's see here. It's the fifth	21	room when I went through the penalties for perjury?
22	house from Highway 83, I guess. Find my sheet. East	22	CHAD PETERSON: Not the initial one, but I heard
23	half of the west half of the northeast quarter.	23	the second one, Judge.
24	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So you have 40 acres	24	ALJ HOGAN: Perfect. And do you understand what
25	there?	25	perjury is?
	PAGE 193		PAGE 195
1	JOSH GESSELE: That's correct.	1	CHAD PETERSON: I certainly do.
2	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And you're on the	2	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
3	south side of the road and the pipeline the pipeline	3	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
4	is not on your property; correct?	4	in this case today?
5	JOSH GESSELE: Correct.	5	CHAD PETERSON: Absolutely.
6	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And then you're	6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
7	about if I'm figuring these out right, you're about a	7	CHAD PETERSON,
8 9	half mile west and across the road from the person that you referenced that signed the waiver?	8 9	being first duly sworn, testified as follows: CHAD PETERSON: Okay. Thank you, Commissioners,
10	,		
11	JOSH GESSELE: That's correct, yeah.	10 11	for taking time today. My name is Chad Peterson. I'm
12	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Got it. Thank you for being here.	12	currently the chair of the Cass County Commission just north of here.
13	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	13	
14	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you.	14	I've got three items I want to touch on. I'm not going to read my testimony because I think it's
15	Josh, thank you for your public testimony. This	15	between timeliness. Please do read it. I always say my
16	is all the public is welcome. So were you at the	16	testimony is pretty darn awasome so take your time if
17	Bismarck hearing on April 22nd?	17	you have a chance to read through it and you'll be
18	JOSH GESSELE: No. I was not able to attend	18	utterly impressed.
19	that day. I was at work.	19	So I have three basic points: Safety, planning,
20	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. And because	20	and then value-added ag. And the first two are fairly
21	of that, is that why you chose to come here and testify?	21	tied together.
22	JOSH GESSELE: That's correct. Yeah.	22	So, again, Chad Peterson, Cass County
23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I have no	23	Commission. The Cass County Commission has not or
24	further questions.	24	has in the past dealt with pipelines. This is not
25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?	25	our first experience with someone coming in. We've
	PAGE 194	-~	PAGE 196
1	I AGE 194	l	I AGE 190

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

dealt with Cenex NuStar. And I'll touch on why that's their initial application, the reason being the location 2 important in the second portion but first and foremost, didn't work for either -- well, state, to be honest with 3 you, but then state, county, and our townships. They 4 We have been kept abreast of everything were going to place this terminal in a very rural area, 5 happening within this pipeline and the potential of this 5 hard to access, and knowing full well there would be 6 pipeline since its infancy. So we've actually had 6 little or no maintenance on an ongoing basis. During 7 people attending our county commission meetings, make 7 the construction there would be heavy amounts of trucks, 8 themselves available to the county commission for any 8 heavy amounts of people there. We'd be ripping up county roads and township roads. And our friends that 9 questions but, most importantly and coincidentally, just 9 10 talk to us do not have the resources to maintain those less than a month ago we actually had a briefing from 10 11 11 them in Casselton. Inclusive of that was our law roads so we asked them to move -- again, rejected, asked 12 enforcement community, our first responders, and our 12 them to move their terminal, which they willingly did. 13 emergency operations team. So that basically -- not 13 So, again, the important part in there is we 14 basically. That was a briefing on what would happen 14 don't just sign off on things just because someone shows 15 15 should something bad transpire. up. We have been working very well, both our Cass 16 And there's two important points to make there. 16 County Highway Department and our water resource 17 First and foremost, you'll see the second page which 17 districts, with Carbon Summit to make sure everything 18 I'll touch on in a moment. My testimony should have two 18 they were doing didn't impact our county, didn't impact 19 19 pages. our water resource district. So we've been -- they've 20 20 First was on a promise of ongoing education. been a very, very cooperative partner in this project. 21 Obviously, we all have workforce issues. Or not 21 Item three, I've been in North Dakota my whole 22 22 obviously, but we do have workforce issues. We will life. I've watched us grow and produce widgets and 23 have a constant turnover of those people that are 23 export them to other states for other states to make 24 working within our law enforcement community, our first 24 money on them. We all know the term "value-added ag." 25 responders, as well as emergency. So they have -- they 25 We just created an investment --**PAGE 199** 1 1 have given us the promise to keep our friends educated MR. JORDE: I'm going to object as relevance of 2 and in a timely fashion. 2 any value-added ag to whether or not this Commission 3 Item two, they've actually offered to invest 3 should site a pipeline. 4 resources in our county emergency services, and that's 4 ALJ HOGAN: I've allowed similar testimony. So 5 that second page. It's a \$50,000 investment plus a 5 as long as you can do it briefly. Mr. Peterson --6 thousand dollars a mile, which I think is 22 miles. I'm 6 CHAD PETERSON: Very briefly. 7 7 going to memory, though. So there's a substantive ALJ HOGAN: -- go ahead. 8 tens-of-thousand-dollar investment in our emergency 8 CHAD PETERSON: It's more of just a quick bounce 9 9 services to be able to respond in a timely fashion. of where the site's located. 10 10 So, again, safety being paramount, that's the So value-added ag, I've watched it my whole 11 11 life. We have incentivized the creation of a \$400 first most -- first and most important item I want to 12 address. 12 million Casselton -- in Casselton, just inside 13 Item two, planning. Back to the Cenex and 13 Casselton, a \$400 million soybean processing plant to 14 NuStar pipeline. I've been in office now 12 -- this is 14 help our farmers grow. I'm paralleling that to our 15 going in my twelfth year. This is my first pipeline --15 friends literally right across the street from the 16 the Cenex NuStar was my first pipeline interaction. We 16 soybean plant is Tharaldson Ethanol. This is one of the 17 have more that's gone through Cass in the past. But 17 reasons why this pipeline is being built, support 18 when Cenex NuStar approached us -- and this is to sort 18 value-added ag. 19 of show you that we don't just sign off on everything 19 We can all agree or disagree what's happening on 20 carte blanche if someone would show up with what is a 20 a national level. It is what it is. We need to support 21 21 our ag producers. How do we do that? With value-added needful thing and a good idea. Cenex NuStar approached 22 22 us with a siting solution for a terminal. So that's ag and things that allow them to perpetuate their 23 where all the oil would come and be temporarily stored 23 successes into perpetuity. 24 should something bad transpire there too. 24 Please read my testimony. Again, I'd advocate

PAGE 198

25

for the creation of this pipeline, allow the permitting

PAGE 200

The county commission rejected their permit on

25

1 2			
2	to transpire.	1	MR. JORDE: Yeah. No, it helps a lot.
	If you have any questions, please contact me.	2	So anything you know was is complete hearsay
3	Second page has all my contact info. I'm open for any	3	because you weren't personally there; correct?
4	questions at any given time and I'm open for questions	4	CHAD PETERSON: You could say that. I guess I
5	now.	5	would my response to that would be I also trust my
6	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?	6	department heads, communicate with my department heads.
7	MR. GLUDT: No questions.	7	They assured me things are adequate and they are not at
8	Thank you, Commissioner.	8	all worried.
9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?	9	MR. JORDE: Sure. So the people that saw the
10	MR. JORDE: Yeah, I've got several questions.	10	data that have concluded or think it's adequate aren't
11	Number one, did the Cass County Commission pass	11	here, can't be cross-examined, and we have no idea what
12	a resolution or take a vote allowing you to come here	12	they even looked at, do we?
13	and speak on their behalf?	13	CHAD PETERSON: I think if they fair
14	CHAD PETERSON: No. I actually have freedom of	14	question. I think if they had a concern, they would
15	speech under the First Amendment and I'm here on my own,	15	have shared that with me.
16	not testifying on behalf of the county commission, just	16	MR. JORDE: Okay. But you don't know that.
17	the experiences of the county commission on things I	17	CHAD PETERSON: They would have shared it with
18	witnessed.	18	me, sir.
19	MR. JORDE: Okay. Because you introduced	19	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. And what is the
20	yourself as the chair of the Cass County Commission, but	20	level of experience of your first responders with CO2
21	to be clear, you are not speaking on behalf of the	21	leaks and containment?
22	commission whatsoever, are you?	22	CHAD PETERSON: I think that speaks to the
23	CHAD PETERSON: Very fair question. That is	23	education of the well, the ongoing education that the
24	correct, sir.	24	Carbon Summit folks would be producing.
25	MR. JORDE: Now you said, in terms of safety,	25	MR. JORDE: Okay. And what have they provided
	PAGE 201		PAGE 203
1	that, quote, "They've kept us abreast of all things	1	them?
2	safety." Is that your statement?	2	CHAD PETERSON: To your last question, I would
3	CHAD PETERSON: Correct.	3	ask if you're looking for specificity, I would look
4	MR. JORDE: Okay. What were the results of the	1	
		4	to them directly.
5	dispersion modeling?	5	to them directly. MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you
5 6	·		·
	dispersion modeling?	5	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you
6	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's	5 6	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county
6 7	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is	5 6 7	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what
6 7 8	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective	5 6 7 8	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually
6 7 8 9	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can	5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct?
6 7 8 9 10	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings	5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct.
6 7 8 9 10 11	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential.	5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust our department heads to lead us, our sheriff and our	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my prior question. Is it true that they have zero
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust our department heads to lead us, our sheriff and our our emergency services managers to lead us. So they	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my prior question. Is it true that they have zero experience containing a CO2 release or spill or rupture?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust our department heads to lead us, our sheriff and our our emergency services managers to lead us. So they were at these meetings, attended them personally. I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my prior question. Is it true that they have zero experience containing a CO2 release or spill or rupture? CHAD PETERSON: I think that would be fair.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust our department heads to lead us, our sheriff and our our emergency services managers to lead us. So they were at these meetings, attended them personally. I actually spoke to my sheriff, coincidentally, on the way	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my prior question. Is it true that they have zero experience containing a CO2 release or spill or rupture? CHAD PETERSON: I think that would be fair. MR. JORDE: Okay. So they're looking at
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dispersion modeling? MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust our department heads to lead us, our sheriff and our our emergency services managers to lead us. So they were at these meetings, attended them personally. I actually spoke to my sheriff, coincidentally, on the way down here, and they were satisfied with whatever you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my prior question. Is it true that they have zero experience containing a CO2 release or spill or rupture? CHAD PETERSON: I think that would be fair. MR. JORDE: Okay. So they're looking at something in Chinese saying, "It looks good to us";
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Jorde's attempting to gain or elicit testimony that is information that is currently subject to our protective order and I believe Mr or Commissioner Peterson can testify that the information shared at those meetings was confidential. ALJ HOGAN: I'll just ask you, Mr. Peterson, was it confidential in nature these what the question is asking you about? CHAD PETERSON: Judge, I can speak to that. What I do so the governance model in Cass County is very different than other counties. We trust our department heads to lead us, our sheriff and our our emergency services managers to lead us. So they were at these meetings, attended them personally. I actually spoke to my sheriff, coincidentally, on the way down here, and they were satisfied with whatever you distributed to them. This is just the last meeting.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you're here saying you personally because you're not here by the county feel great about everything, but you can't tell me what these people that give you the confidence have actually seen; correct? CHAD PETERSON: Not correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. What have they seen? CHAD PETERSON: They have seen what they have seen has not made them worried enough to tell me, as a commissioner, "Do not support this." And they would. Much like the front example of Cenex NuStar. We don't just arbitrarily sign off on things. MR. JORDE: Okay. And then I'll go back to my prior question. Is it true that they have zero experience containing a CO2 release or spill or rupture? CHAD PETERSON: I think that would be fair. MR. JORDE: Okay. So they're looking at something in Chinese saying, "It looks good to us"; correct?

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right.	1	MR. JORDE: Did it help that on May 17th Summit
2	CHAD PETERSON: It wasn't a yes, sir. That was	2	said they'd give you 50,000 bucks?
3	you embellishing, me agreeing with you embellishing.	3	CHAD PETERSON: That would have been awesome. I
4	MR. JORDE: Okay. Is that helpful, do you	4	have to report anything given to me by public report.
5	think?	5	I've never been given a penny by anyone.
6	CHAD PETERSON: I think so.	6	MR. JORDE: No. In your exhibit now on May 17th
7	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. And so you	7	they've said they'll give \$50,000 to the commission, the
8	weren't at any of these meetings, but you feel good	8	county.
9	about the safety.	9	CHAD PETERSON: They're not giving it to the
10	And then you talked about, what was it,	10	commission. They're giving it to our emergency
11	value-added ag. And I'm just curious, sir, the	11	responders.
12	pipeline, from where the pipeline starts and a CO2	12	MR. JORDE: Okay.
13	molecule gets in it and then it's going to travel to the	13	CHAD PETERSON: Which, if you want to give
14	end of the pipeline before it gets out of the pipeline,	14	\$50,000, sir, I would take it, sir. Please. And I will
15	what's the value-added ag in that sequence?	15	allocate it appropriately as well.
16	CHAD PETERSON: If it can allow Tharaldson	16	MR. JORDE: Okay. I'm sure you will.
17	Ethanol plant to maintain operations into perpetuity,	17	CHAD PETERSON: Public record. I will, sir.
18	that is the value-added ag.	18	MR. JORDE: All right. So bottom line is you
19	MR. JORDE: Okay. And you understand that for	19	didn't testify before, May 17th letter, 50 grand, and
20	it to get from the Tharaldson Ethanol plant, it has to	20	here you are testifying. Is that the timeline?
21	go to encapture equipment and do all sorts of things	21	CHAD PETERSON: If I may, Judge? Interestingly
22	that is not in the jurisdiction and has nothing to do	22	enough
23	with these proceedings.	23	MR. JORDE: No. Is that the timeline, yes or
24	So I want to go back to the pipeline itself.	24	no?
25	How does the pipeline standing alone do anything with	25	CHAD PETERSON: No.
	PAGE 205		PAGE 207
1	value-added ag?	1	MR. JORDE: Okay.
1 2	value-added ag? CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to	2	MR. JORDE: Okay. CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough
	-		·
2	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to	2	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough
2	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity.	3	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further
2 3 4	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you	2 3 4	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the	2 3 4 5	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree.	2 3 4 5 6	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to
2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here to speak?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for questioning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here to speak? CHAD PETERSON: Not that I'm aware of, sir, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for questioning. MR. JORDE: Got it. Okay. Appreciate you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here to speak? CHAD PETERSON: Not that I'm aware of, sir, no. MR. JORDE: Not that you're aware of. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for questioning. MR. JORDE: Got it. Okay. Appreciate you. Thanks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here to speak? CHAD PETERSON: Not that I'm aware of, sir, no. MR. JORDE: Not that you're aware of. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for questioning. MR. JORDE: Got it. Okay. Appreciate you. Thanks. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here to speak? CHAD PETERSON: Not that I'm aware of, sir, no. MR. JORDE: Not that you're aware of. Okay. And then have you ever spoke at a PSC proceeding in favor of this before today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for questioning. MR. JORDE: Got it. Okay. Appreciate you. Thanks. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAD PETERSON: It allows Tharaldson Ethanol to maintain operations into perpetuity. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't think you understand there's a missing carbon capture piece in the middle there, but that's okay. CHAD PETERSON: I think we can agree to disagree. MR. JORDE: All right. Tell me everything you know about carbon capture. MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. MR. PELHAM: That's broad. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained. MR. JORDE: All right. Good. I didn't want to hear it anyway. Okay. So in terms of are any of the other board members or commission members going to come here to speak? CHAD PETERSON: Not that I'm aware of, sir, no. MR. JORDE: Not that you're aware of. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CHAD PETERSON: Interestingly enough MR. JORDE: Okay. I don't have anything further then. Thank you. CHAD PETERSON: Can I answer the question, Judge? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, you can. CHAD PETERSON: So I was actually scheduled to testify a year ago here, but the hearings drew on because of, I think, your belaboring points over and over to the degree where I didn't have the time to show up. So I was supposed to be here a year ago, but I was not able to attend. Does that help? MR. JORDE: Yeah. How about did you send anything in writing in the meantime or were you unable to do that too? CHAD PETERSON: I did not. My hope was to testify in person, sir, be available to you for questioning. MR. JORDE: Got it. Okay. Appreciate you. Thanks. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?

	IND PUBLIC SERV	_	
1	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.	1	acre, like \$30,000 an acre, \$32,000 an acre. I'd just
2	Commissioner Christmann.	2	like to remind you there's one treaty that we were
3	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Well, we as I said	3	offered 10 cents and that still hasn't been paid.
4	from the very beginning, we try and get around. We	4	So there's a lot of things that aren't being
5	never did have one in Cass County. I do appreciate you	5	addressed, I'll say, but that isn't really the Public
6	coming down to Wahpeton.	6	Service Commissioners' job, but, for me, it is. You
7	CHAD PETERSON: Thank you for the time, sir.	7	know, when we're talking about storing carbon underneath
8	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	8	the best farmland in the world we always say, you
9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions.	9	know, we're the breadbasket of the world. Why would we
10	CHAD PETERSON: Commissioner, thank you.	10	risk that? Why would we risk new technology and put the
11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	11	water further at risk, the land, the winged ones, the
12	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.	12	four-legged, the swimmers, the crawlers, all of us, we
13	Thank you.	13	live here together. And it's time we work around
14	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you, Mr. Peterson.	14	partisan lines and make sure everybody's voices are
15	CHAD PETERSON: Thank you, Judge.	15	being heard.
16	ALJ HOGAN: Good afternoon. Could I get your	16	I read Carbon Summit Solutions, in their
17	name?	17	contract contacts into looking into sacred sites
18	TRACEY WILKIE: Tracey L. Wilkie,	18	and burial sites and our treaties that have yet to be
19	sixth-generation Wilkie of Scottish settlers.	19	honored and yes, you know, whether those are being taken
20	ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name for me?	20	into consideration, and if they are, who like I'd
21	TRACEY WILKIE: W-I-L-K-I-E.	21	like to see listening sessions instead of going to one
22	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Wilkie, did you previously	22	person and getting a blanket statement from everybody.
23	testify?	23	I don't know how many of you have seen
24	TRACEY WILKIE: I have not.	24	destruction that happens along these pipelines, but it's
25	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. And did you hear me go	25	very traumatic to the land. And I don't know if
	PAGE 209		PAGE 211
1	through the penalties for perjury earlier?	1	anybody's ever heard a tree being tripped from the
2	TRACEY WILKIE: The second time I did.	2	ground by a big machine, but it sounds like a scream.
3	ALJ HOGAN: All right. And do you understand	3	It's horrible.
4	what perjury is?	4	I'd like to find alternative ways for us to work
5	TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do.	5	together. And I hope north I guess I don't want to
6	ALL HOCAN: And being adviced of the notential	6	repeat anything anybody said earlier because everybody
7	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	6	repeat arrything arrybody said earlier because everybody
1 '	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	7	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly
8	-	_	
_	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	7	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly
8	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	7 8	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future
8 9	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do.	7 8 9	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our
8 9 10	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	7 8 9 10	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the
8 9 10 11	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE,	7 8 9 10 11	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you
8 9 10 11 12	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	7 8 9 10 11	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together
8 9 10 11 12 13	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and responsibility as an Anishinaabe woman to take a stand	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very dangerous. I don't want to see the pipeline to what
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and responsibility as an Anishinaabe woman to take a stand against further destruction of our homelands. And when	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very dangerous. I don't want to see the pipeline to what accidents could happen and the dangers.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and responsibility as an Anishinaabe woman to take a stand against further destruction of our homelands. And when I say "our homelands," I mean all our homelands. It's a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very dangerous. I don't want to see the pipeline to what accidents could happen and the dangers. And I hope North Dakotans use their voices this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and responsibility as an Anishinaabe woman to take a stand against further destruction of our homelands. And when I say "our homelands," I mean all our homelands. It's a responsibility of mine to be a good neighbor to one	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very dangerous. I don't want to see the pipeline to what accidents could happen and the dangers. And I hope North Dakotans use their voices this election season. And I'll ask any questions or
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and responsibility as an Anishinaabe woman to take a stand against further destruction of our homelands. And when I say "our homelands," I mean all our homelands. It's a responsibility of mine to be a good neighbor to one another and not take away private landowners' rights and	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very dangerous. I don't want to see the pipeline to what accidents could happen and the dangers. And I hope North Dakotans use their voices this election season. And I'll ask any questions or answer any questions.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TRACEY WILKIE: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TRACEY WILKIE, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TRACEY WILKIE: First of all, I'm a 100th generation North Dakotan and I'd like to honor my ancestors and my relatives that were brought here to Wahpeton, North Dakota, for the U.S. boarding schools after forced assimilation. It is my duty and responsibility as an Anishinaabe woman to take a stand against further destruction of our homelands. And when I say "our homelands," I mean all our homelands. It's a responsibility of mine to be a good neighbor to one another and not take away private landowners' rights and be threatened with eminent domain.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gave really good testimony. And I enjoyed particularly the two people that are looking out for future generations because, as Anishinaabe people, it's our responsibility to look look for look out for the next seven generations. So they're looking out for, you know, their people and, yeah, we're all in it together and I'd just like to see other options. And the last gentleman that spoke from Cass County, I was a first responder for 20 years. I worked in the criminal justice system but and I did some reading about first responders. And that's very, very dangerous. I don't want to see the pipeline to what accidents could happen and the dangers. And I hope North Dakotans use their voices this election season. And I'll ask any questions or answer any questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	MR. JORDE: No. Thank you very much.	1	behalf of my parents. They live in Sargent County,
2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	2	Shuman Township, section 12, township 131, range 54.
3	MR. PELHAM: Good afternoon, Ms. Wilkie. I'm	3	I was here last year and I spoke, and we talked
4	just wondering and I understand you're opposed to the	4	about how no one from Summit had contacted us about the
5	pipeline. Do you own property along or near any of the	5	pipeline coming by. After that meeting we did receive
6	proposed route?	6	documentation dated 4/28 of '23 that showed a map of the
7	TRACEY WILKIE: I don't own property along the	7	pipeline. The pipeline runs perpendicular to our
8	proposed route.	8	homestead. It's on the north side of the road. Both
9	MR. PELHAM: Okay. And are you a resident of	9	landowners have signed the agreement with Summit. But
10	Richland County?	10	the situation was, is the pipeline was 519 feet from my
11	TRACEY WILKIE: I'm a resident of Cass County.	11	parents' bedroom. And so they included verbiage stating
12	MR. PELHAM: Cass County. Okay.	12	that since it was past 500 feet, they didn't need to be
13	TRACEY WILKIE: Yes.	13	contacted. So
14	MR. PELHAM: Thank you for being here. I don't	14	I'm sorry, I wrote down
15	have any other questions.	15	The pipe comes across to the north of my
16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.	16	parents' place at an angle. And last year, on the map,
17	Commissioner Christmann.	17	the proposed right of way crossed the road onto my
18	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That's two in a row.	18	onto mom and dad's ground. And as of this morning after
19	Thank you also as well as your commission chairman,	19	my sister and I spoke with Charlie Adams from Summit, it
20	thank you for coming down from Cass County.	20	appears that they have moved that right of way to the
21	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	21	north.
22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Tracey, thank you	22	Part of what my parents do is is the
23	so much for your time and your input and I have no	23	farmstead they live on the farmstead, but they rent
24	questions.	24	out the livestock operation to a gentleman who raises
25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	25	registered Quarter Horses. Some of them the value of
	PAGE 213		PAGE 215
1	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.	1	those horses are over 200,000. So it was it was our
1 2	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions. Thank you.	1 2	intention that that none of the areas that the horses
2	Thank you.	2	intention that that none of the areas that the horses
2	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie.	2	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears
2 3 4	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you.	2 3 4	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that.
2 3 4 5	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the	2 3 4 5	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is
2 3 4 5 6	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide?	2 3 4 5 6	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent
2 3 4 5 6 7	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it. I lay awake at night thinking about this every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it. I lay awake at night thinking about this every day. I mean, this is where I grew up. I'm concerned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TROY MAHLER: I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it. I lay awake at night thinking about this every day. I mean, this is where I grew up. I'm concerned for my parents and and just wondering "Am I going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it. I lay awake at night thinking about this every day. I mean, this is where I grew up. I'm concerned for my parents and and just wondering "Am I going to come over the hill and is there going to be an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TROY MAHLER,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it. I lay awake at night thinking about this every day. I mean, this is where I grew up. I'm concerned for my parents and and just wondering "Am I going to come over the hill and is there going to be an exposure?" I won't know. So I'm real apprehensive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Wilkie. TRACEY WILKIE: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Can I get a show of hands of the amount of the other individuals that want to provide? Okay. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? TROY MAHLER: My name is Troy Mahler, M-A-H-L-E-R. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahler, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? TROY MAHLER: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TROY MAHLER: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TROY MAHLER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	intention that that none of the areas that the horses would be in would be within the 500 feet, and it appears that it's closer to that. The other thing that was new this year is there's a there's a main line valve that is adjacent to it's I guess you would call it, it's the furthest-east valve in Sargent County. And that's at the half-mile line, which is the eastern border of of mom and dad's ground. It's a pasture now but the horses do go out there. And that's about 180 feet, is what I thought they showed me this morning. And we were just wondering if that valve could be moved if they moved that valve a quarter mile to the east, it would put it right along a blacktop road which would benefit Summit and it would benefit us both, so that's part of it. I lay awake at night thinking about this every day. I mean, this is where I grew up. I'm concerned for my parents and and just wondering "Am I going to come over the hill and is there going to be an exposure?" I won't know. So I'm real apprehensive about that. So that's kind of what I'm talking about.

	ALTHOCAN T. I		
1	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.	1	receive from Summit, and it was I believe it was a
2	Mr. Gludt, any questions?	2	blanket five-page letter from the testimony that I got
3	MR. GLUDT: No questions, Your Honor.	3	that we did last year, was just generalities about
4	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	4	safe transport and property it was nothing specific
5	MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.	5	to us. It was sent to, I'm guessing, everyone that was
6	What was the distance you said from the	6	here.
7	residence to the proposed route?	7	MR. JORDE: Okay. And I should be a little more
8	TROY MAHLER: It's 519 feet.	8	specific. It's your parents you're here and worried
9	MR. JORDE: Okay. But then to be fair, did you	9	about. Did they receive any dispersion modeling or
10	say that Summit then has given you some guarantee that	10	analysis or risk assessment to make them feel any
11	it's moved or	11	better?
12	TROY MAHLER: The pipeline from my from the	12	TROY MAHLER: They have not received anything.
13	house because the house sits, I would you would	13	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I don't have
14	call it like in the middle of the property. The	14	anything further. Thank you.
15	backside of the because the pipe comes across at an	15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
16	angle. The backside is what was crossing onto our	16	MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you.
17	ground. But it appears that that has been moved. They	17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.
18	showed us a picture this morning of where it was moved.	18	MR. SCHOCK: Just real briefly.
19	So they evidently have moved it.	19	So the proposed route is not on your folks'
20	MR. JORDE: Okay. If we can accept that as true	20	property; is that correct?
21	and as what isn't going to change, have you been able to	21	TROY MAHLER: It is that is correct. It is
22	figure out the distance now from the moved proposed	22	not.
23	route?	23	MR. SCHOCK: And their the parcel that they
24	TROY MAHLER: It's about 250 feet, but the	24	own, from what I see on the map here, I think it's the
25	livestock still goes up to the edge of the road. So	25	northwest quarter of section 12-131
	PAGE 217		PAGE 219
1	it's technically, it's still within the 500 feet on	1	TROY MAHLER: That's correct.
2	the backside of the property. Where the house is, it	2	MR. SCHOCK: 54?
3	appears to be okay but it's on it's where the	3	Okay. No further questions. Thank you.
4	easement comes down at an angle on the west side of the	4	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.
5	property.	5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm pretty sure I'm on
6	MR. JORDE: Okay. So you think maybe it's moved	6	the right spot on the map, but I thought you said
7	now substantially away from the home or did you get a	7	Richland County
8	number?	8	TROY MAHLER: No. It's Sargent County.
9	TROY MAHLER: I did not get a number.	9	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Then I then
10	MR. JORDE: Okay. Is it more than a mile away?	10	I know I'm right.
11	TROY MAHLER: No. It's hundreds of feet.	11	If I understood your testimony right, you talked
12	MR. JORDE: Oh, shoot. So it's still within	12	to Mr. Adams who showed you that route-wise there was a
13	hundreds of feet	13	change. And then was this the first time you brought up
14	TROY MAHLER: Yes.	14	moving that valve further east?
15	MR. JORDE: of the residence.	15	TROY MAHLER: This is the first time we knew
16	TROY MAHLER: Yeah. The proposed last year's	16	about it.
17	proposed route came onto ground. The right of way would	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And so you
18	cross over the pasture where the horses are. Now it's	18	TROY MAHLER: The valve wasn't on the plans that
19	it's I think it was a hundred and some feet to the	19	we saw last year.
	north of that.	20	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. I didn't catch
20		21	that before. So you made him aware of that request
20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. And I take it you haven't		
		22	if
21	MR. JORDE: Okay. And I take it you haven't		if TROY MAHLER: Yes. He he took down my name
21 22	MR. JORDE: Okay. And I take it you haven't been provided any dispersion modeling or risk assessment	22	
21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. And I take it you haven't been provided any dispersion modeling or risk assessment or any of that kind of data to help with your sleepless	22 23	TROY MAHLER: Yes. He he took down my name
21 22 23 24	MR. JORDE: Okay. And I take it you haven't been provided any dispersion modeling or risk assessment or any of that kind of data to help with your sleepless nights?	22 23 24	TROY MAHLER: Yes. He he took down my name and number and he's going to check into it to see if it

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV	101	_ 001/11/11001011
1	better for them. It's more access. It's on a county	1	climate for northern corn.
2	blacktop road versus coming up a township road and then	2	Our organization and state leaders have spent
3	having to create access in the middle of the dividing	3	much time and effort on the issue of this particular
4	line between two parties. It would be in their best	4	project. That being said, our comments here today are
5	interest to move it to the east.	5	on the general issue of carbon capture and sequestration
6	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Got it. Thank you for	6	of carbon dioxide generated at the state's ethanol
7	being down here. We have a good Cass County delegation	7	plants here at the state. Our board is comprised of 14
8	here today.	8	corn growers from seven districts across the state, 3
9	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	9	at-large growers, and 3 industry representatives. We
10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Troy, I have no	10	are in constant communication with our fellow corn
11	questions, but I want to say thank you for looking out	11	producers all over North Dakota which helps us keep
12	for your mom and dad.	12	keeps us our finger on the pulse of what our growers
13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	13	need.
14	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you. No	14	When talking about CCS, we have always tried to
15	questions.	15	stay grounded by considering what will best support not
16	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you, Mr. Mahler.	16	only our current market demand but also going into the
17	TROY MAHLER: Thank you.	17	future. Initially, discussions centered around climate
18	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Who would like to go	18	change, specifically what should be done with carbon
19	next?	19	dioxide from agricultural and industrial processes, what
20	Come on up.	20	constitutes excessive carbon, and who is responsible for
21	ANDREW MAUCH: I also have copies	21	addressing it. During this time, two of our state's
22	ALJ HOGAN: Perfect. Thank you. All right.	22	five ethanol plants have developed their own CCS
23	Can I get your name?	23	projects thanks to their location to suitable rock
24	ANDREW MAUCH: Andrew Mauch. My last name is	24	formations for subsurface sequestration. The other
25	spelled M-A-U-C-H.	25	three are evaluating their options with at least one
	PAGE 221		PAGE 223
1	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mauch, were you in the room	1	joining the five-state effort.
2	earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury?	2	As more markets adopt low-carbon fuel
3	ANDREW MAUCH: I was.	3	standards
4	ALJ HOGAN: Do you understand what perjury is?	4	MR. JORDE: I'm going to have to just interpose
5	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes.	5	for the record an objection to relevance to the entirety
6	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	6	of this testimony and where we're headed. Thank you.
7	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	7	ALJ HOGAN: Your objection is noted, but I'm
8	in this case today?	8	going to overrule the objection.
9	ANDREW MAUCH: I do.	9	But I will note that since we have a copy of it,
10	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	10	you don't need to read it for us. If you'd prefer to
11	ANDREW MAUCH,	11	just, you know, highlight a couple points, that would be
12	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	12	sufficient as well.
13	ANDREW MAUCH: Thank you, guys, for being here	13	ANDREW MAUCH: Okay. I'll just continue a
14	and allowing us this opportunity. My name is Andrew	14	little bit here.
15	Mauch. I'm a farmer, corn grower, and landowner near	15	With the low-carbon fuel standards, ethanol
16	Mooreton in Richland County here. I have a signed	16	plants must lower their carbon intensity scores to
17	agreement and I also rent two other quarters from other	17	remain competitive. Canada, California, and Oregon have
18	tenants that have signed agreements. I'm also the	18	enacted such policies and other states and countries
19	president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association.	19	have as well. For us as farmers, this is this is an
20	The North Dakota Corn Growers Association is a	20	opportunity that, to me, is a once-in-a-lifetime
21	state-based organization driven by corn producers across	21	opportunity to be able to I'll back up.
22	the state representing the interests of corn farmers in	22	The sustainable aviation fuel process that is
23	North Dakota by engaging with both state and federal	23	coming on, that is going to create a once-in-a-lifetime
24	public officials on key issues that affect our growers.	24	opportunity for corn farmers to be able to play or to
25	Our mission is to create a healthy and profitable	25	be able to provide corn for that market. We won't see
1 -	PAGE 222		PAGE 224
			17.02 227

	TID I ODLIO OLITO		
1	another market demand development in my lifetime, I	1	significantly reduce our carbon intensity of
2	don't believe. We have groups groups that we work	2	the ethanol produced to sell into low-carbon
3	with all over the world and locally too that are saying	3	fuel markets. We support the state's
4	this this is where the future is going.	4	ethanol plants' business decisions to
5	And as a farmer myself, I mean, I look into the	5	develop their own carbon pipelines when
6	future for myself, the rest of my career, my kids'	6	feasible and their ability to partner on a
7	career, and I believe that this if we don't be	7	joint carbon pipeline to lower their carbon
8	able to play in this market, our price of corn is	8	intensity score. It is also imperative that
9	dramatically going to just be decreased.	9	the process used to complete these projects
10	There's such an impact with agriculture in the	10	show respect for landowners' property
11	state of North Dakota. I have some stats in my	11	rights, including land infrastructure
12	testimony, the creating jobs and money, and one out of	12	reclamation and continued stewardship. We
13	every five jobs in the state of North Dakota is	13	believe eminent domain, while sometimes
14	supported by agriculture. So this doesn't necessarily	14	necessary, should be used as the law allows
15	affect just rural communities and landowners, it affects	15	as a tool of last resort. Therefore, we
16	everybody here in North Dakota.	16	support carbon capture, transportation
17	And without this, being able to lower our CI	17	technology, and sequestration that increases
18	scores, we will not be able to have be able to go	18	the profitability and financial
19	into that sustainable aviation fuel market. As of right	19	sustainability of North Dakota corn
20	now, there's one sustainable aviation fuel plant in	20	procedures.
21	Georgia who is importing their ethanol from Brazil	21	And this was voted on unanimously by our board.
22	because our corn-based ethanol does not meet the	22	And I guess, with that, I will open it up to
23	standards for that, which, to me, is a great injustice	23	questions.
24	to our American corn farmer, that we are good at	24	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
25	producing corn, we're good at producing ethanol, let's	25	Mr. Gludt, any questions?
	PAGE 225		PAGE 227
1	be a part of the solution and move forward here	1	MR. GLUDT: No questions. Thank you.
1 2	be a part of the solution and move forward here domestically.	1 2	MR. GLUDT: No questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?
2	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota
2	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota.	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want
2 3 4	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol	2 3 4	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were
2 3 4 5	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the	2 3 4 5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers'
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	domestically. As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association supports opportunities for current and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what? They go out of business? Or what do you think would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association supports opportunities for current and future market development of North Dakota	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what? They go out of business? Or what do you think would happen?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association supports opportunities for current and future market development of North Dakota value-added corn products. Because it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what? They go out of business? Or what do you think would happen? ANDREW MAUCH: I believe that if they don't go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association supports opportunities for current and future market development of North Dakota value-added corn products. Because it is becoming increasingly evident that to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what? They go out of business? Or what do you think would happen? ANDREW MAUCH: I believe that if they don't go lower, they will not have markets so our price of corn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association supports opportunities for current and future market development of North Dakota value-added corn products. Because it is becoming increasingly evident that to be able to market to existing and growing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what? They go out of business? Or what do you think would happen? ANDREW MAUCH: I believe that if they don't go lower, they will not have markets so our price of corn will drop significantly because the demand for the corn
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As a college graduate 20 years ago, I was able to come back to the farm kind of because of the ethanol boom and what that created for us here in North Dakota. I believe the sustainable aviation fuel market is the next opportunity for, say, my kids to come back to the farm. So looking at the future, to me, that's that's amazing. I will say as president of the North Dakota Corn Growers Association, our top one of our top values in our organization is to protect private property rights. And without getting into the details of this project, we are pleased that eminent domain has not been in North Dakota thus far. In closing, I'm going to read just a little statement that our we had a board meeting last week and I'd like to say what we agreed to in the statement: North Dakota Corn Growers Association supports opportunities for current and future market development of North Dakota value-added corn products. Because it is becoming increasingly evident that to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, it looks like you've got North Dakota well, you do have the letterhead here. And I just want to be clear so there's not confusion. You were authorized to come and speak on the Corn Growers' behalf; is that correct? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: Thank you. Now you had stated and, again, I don't know if this is your personal belief or the Corn Growers' belief, but you can specify that, or maybe both, that carbon intensity scores, you believe, must go lower. That was your statement? ANDREW MAUCH: Correct. MR. JORDE: And would you then therefore would you therefore state that if the carbon intensity scores of ethanol plants don't go lower, then what? They go out of business? Or what do you think would happen? ANDREW MAUCH: I believe that if they don't go lower, they will not have markets so our price of corn

	ND PUBLIC SERV	-	
1	MR. JORDE: Okay.	1	MR. JORDE: ethanol from Brazil?
2	ANDREW MAUCH: They will not be able to sell to	2	ANDREW MAUCH: Correct.
3	other countries, other states that have these low-fuel	3	MR. JORDE: And is that one of the projects that
4	standards.	4	Mr. Rastetter is involved with down there in Brazil?
5	MR. JORDE: Okay. But do you think that ethanol	5	ANDREW MAUCH: I'm not aware of I guess I
6	plants would go out of business, go bankrupt, if this	6	don't know that.
7	350 miles of pipeline from one ethanol plant in North	7	MR. JORDE: Okay. Do you know if his Brazilian
8	Dakota is not built?	8	operations are directly competing with North American,
9	ANDREW MAUCH: I guess I wouldn't speculate on	9	specifically United States, corn growers, farmers?
10	that. I guess I don't know what the future holds as far	10	ANDREW MAUCH: I guess I don't know his
11	as, you know, government policies or things that come	11	involvement, I guess, one way or the other.
12	out one way or the other.	12	MR. JORDE: Okay. Okay. I think that's all.
13	MR. JORDE: Okay. And you would agree there's	13	Thank you. Appreciate it.
14	maybe infinite number of factors that can affect the	14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
15	price of corn?	15	MR. PELHAM: Just briefly.
16	ANDREW MAUCH: Correct.	16	Sir, I'm just wondering, you're here testifying
17	MR. JORDE: Okay. And you would agree that the	17	on behalf of your association. I'm wondering, does the
18	price of corn has fluctuated from, you know, \$1 back in	18	proposed line impact any property that you own in
19	the 1960s up to touching \$8 a bushel without this carbon	19	Richland County?
20	capture pipeline; correct?	20	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, it does.
21	ANDREW MAUCH: That is correct. But I also, I	21	MR. PELHAM: And is the line located how
22	guess, I'll say too, as my job as a as a fellow corn	22	close is the proposed line located to property you own?
23	grower and part of the North Dakota Corn Growers, I mean	23	ANDREW MAUCH: Well, it's on property I own.
24	our job is to kind of try to be able to create more	24	And my farm where I live is three-quarters of a mile
25	demand any way we possibly can. And I feel like this is	25	away or so, roughly.
	PAGE 229		PAGE 231
1	an opportunity to create more demand.	1	MR. PELHAM: Okay. So you signed an easement
2	MR. JORDE: Do you know are you expecting	2	with Summit already?
3	MR. JORDE: Do you know are you expecting that other crops will be taken out of production so that	3	with Summit already? ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have.
3	that other crops will be taken out of production so that	3	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have.
3 4	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any	3 4	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns
3 4 5	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess.	3 4 5	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process?
3 4 5 6 7 8	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on	3 4 5 6	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns
3 4 5 6 7	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess.	3 4 5 6 7	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that? ANDREW MAUCH: Sure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you give me the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that? ANDREW MAUCH: Sure. MR. JORDE: Okay. Now, you had mentioned	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you give me the legal description of the property that you own that has
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that? ANDREW MAUCH: Sure. MR. JORDE: Okay. Now, you had mentioned sustainable fuel down in Georgia and they're bringing up	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you give me the legal description of the property that you own that has the easement?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that? ANDREW MAUCH: Sure. MR. JORDE: Okay. Now, you had mentioned sustainable fuel down in Georgia and they're bringing up corn, I think did you say corn ethanol or, no,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you give me the legal description of the property that you own that has the easement? ANDREW MAUCH: Yes. 132-50, Barney Township,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that? ANDREW MAUCH: Sure. MR. JORDE: Okay. Now, you had mentioned sustainable fuel down in Georgia and they're bringing up corn, I think did you say corn ethanol or, no, that would be sugarcane	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you give me the legal description of the property that you own that has the easement? ANDREW MAUCH: Yes. 132-50, Barney Township, section 32. It would be the southwest quarter.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that other crops will be taken out of production so that corn more corn can be grown, do you have any statistics on that, if this project was to go through? ANDREW MAUCH: I don't have any statistics on that, I guess. MR. JORDE: Okay. Would you agree right now there's kind of a rotation and there's only so much land to grow so much corn? Would you agree with that? ANDREW MAUCH: Yep, I would agree with that. And typically as the price of corn will say, goes up, that a lot of time brings other crops with it, goes up also. MR. JORDE: Right. Inflation; right? Inflation, rising prices can affect other rising prices? Okay. And so higher corn prices are bad for the consumer; right? Inflation is bad for the consumer. Haven't we learned that? ANDREW MAUCH: Sure. MR. JORDE: Okay. Now, you had mentioned sustainable fuel down in Georgia and they're bringing up corn, I think did you say corn ethanol or, no,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ANDREW MAUCH: Yes, I have. MR. PELHAM: Okay. All right. Any concerns, any issues that you had with Summit during the process? ANDREW MAUCH: Yeah, there was a lot of concerns we had, but I guess I feel like our negotiations, if you will, or talks with them went really well. I mean, two out of the three fields that impacted me as one I own, the other two I rent, two of them have drain tile on them so we had a lot of concerns about that. And I feel that all of my concerns have been ratified. MR. PELHAM: Any relation to Cody? ANDREW MAUCH: He is my nephew. MR. PELHAM: Okay. Cool. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Go Bison. ANDREW MAUCH: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you give me the legal description of the property that you own that has the easement? ANDREW MAUCH: Yes. 132-50, Barney Township,

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	Growers board, did you say it's 13 members?	1	to accomplish this. The CO2 project will allow us to
2	ANDREW MAUCH: 14 members. And then we have	2	decrease our CI score by 30 points, roughly. With that
3	three at large and three industry reps as well.	3	drop, it will allow us to participate in the 45Z as part
4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And did you say	4	of the IRA. We also have other projects that will
5	that your statement was unanimously approved?	5	decrease our score by
6	ANDREW MAUCH: Unanimously adopted, the	6	MR. JORDE: I'm sorry. For purposes of the
7	statement I read. That's in the testimony. Yep.	7	record again, I'm going to need to object to relevance
8	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Thank you for being	8	on all of this testimony. Thank you.
9	here.	9	ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted.
10	ANDREW MAUCH: Yep. And the 14 is made up of	10	You can continue.
11	seven different districts all around the state.	11	RYAN CARTER: We also have other projects that
12	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	12	will decrease our score by 15-plus points that will
13	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you.	13	allow us to participate in the 40B SAF markets. Today
14	Chairman Christmann knows I'm going to say this,	14	we have a growing SAF market but not a low enough score
15	"Go UND." Andrew, thank you for your testimony. I have	15	to sell into it. But without the Summit project, these
16	no questions.	16	are these other projects won't get done as they won't
17	ANDREW MAUCH: Thank you.	17	financially make sense as we need to have the CO2
18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	18	facility to set the stage as it will substantially lower
19	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for	19	our CI score. Without this project, we potentially face
20	your testimony. No questions.	20	potentially face negative impacts on our company
21	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you so much.	21	which could also impact our economic growth in our
22	ANDREW MAUCH: Thank you.	22	communities and schools.
23	ALJ HOGAN: Who would like to go next?	23	Thank you.
24	Come on up. Can I get your name?	24	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
25	RYAN CARTER: Ryan Carter.	25	Mr. Gludt, any questions?
	PAGE 233		PAGE 235
1	ALJ HOGAN: Normal spelling, C-A-R-T-E-R?	1	MR. GLUDT: No questions, Your Honor.
2	RYAN CARTER: T-E-R.	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?
3	ALJ HOGAN: All right. And, Mr. Carter, were	3	MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.
4	you in the room earlier when I went through the	4	Sir, I appreciate you stressing the word
5	penalties for perjury?	5	"potentially face." And you didn't come here to testify
6	RYAN CARTER: Yes.	6	that Tharaldson is going to go out of business if this
7	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	7	pipeline isn't built. Is that fair?
8	is?	8	RYAN CARTER: Yes.
9	RYAN CARTER: Yes.	9	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. And you said
10	ALJ HOGAN: Being advised of the potential	10	you're looking into right now other types of things that
11	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	11	can reduce your CI score?
12	in this case today?	12	RYAN CARTER: Correct.
13	RYAN CARTER: Yes.	13	MR. JORDE: And those would be kind of on-site
14	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	14	types of projects that wouldn't require any linear
15	RYAN CARTER,	15	infrastructure; correct?
16	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	16	RYAN CARTER: There would be infrastructure,
17	RYAN CARTER: So I'll keep this short.	17	yes.
18	Mr. Mauch and Mr. Peterson obviously had quite a bit of	18	MR. JORDE: Okay. But they wouldn't require a
19	what I would have.	19	hazardous pipeline?
20	So my name is Ryan Carter and I serve as the COO	20	RYAN CARTER: Technically, natural gas.
21	of Tharaldson Ethanol. Today I'm here to talk about how	21	MR. JORDE: Okay. So why you tell me, what
22	important the Summit project is to North Dakota ethanol	22	are you you mentioned a 15 point reduction that
23	and ag industries.	23	you're working on right now. What are those projects
24	As our industry continues to get challenged to	24	you're working on?
25	decarbonize our facilities, we have to figure out ways	25	RYAN CARTER: Okay. So let me kind of start

```
from the top. When we built Tharaldson Ethanol, that
                                                                          opportunities.
 2
     plant was going to be a coal-fired facility. We ended
                                                                      2
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Okay.
 3
     up changing that to a natural gas facility.
                                                                      3
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: Right now there's one opportunity.
 4
     Technically, then we had a default at a 98.6 CI score.
                                                                      4
                                                                          And we don't -- actually, most of the ethanol industry
 5
             Over the last -- I've been there since 2011.
                                                                      5
                                                                          does not qualify for that today.
                                                                      6
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: And 45Z market, what's your
 6
     Over those -- that period of time we have decreased our
 7
     CI score down to a 69. We are basically stuck at this
                                                                      7
                                                                          understanding of how many years the 45Z market is
 8
     69 except for maybe some little ones, like a 15 or a 2
                                                                      8
                                                                          available to you?
                                                                      9
 9
     point or a 5 point that won't get us down to the 50
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: Right now 45Z is the law for '25,
10
     point CI score that gets us into the 45Z, which
                                                                     10
                                                                          '26, '27. Hopefully, it gets extended with this next
11
     incentivizes us but also gives us economic growth and
                                                                     11
                                                                          administration coming in, but there's no promises.
12
     capital to keep moving and improving the efficiencies of
                                                                     12
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Right. And so it's for three years.
13
     our facility.
                                                                     13
                                                                          Summit's projected -- even if approved by all the
14
             So the 15 point would get us down to that 39 --
                                                                     14
                                                                          various states, they wouldn't be in service until 2026,
     or, excuse me, the 30 point Summit line would get us
15
                                                                     15
                                                                          so that's not giving you a very long runway on the 45Z,
16
     down to 39. The 15 would get us down to 24. You got to
                                                                     16
17
     be at a 25 CI score to qualify into the SAF market.
                                                                     17
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: I don't know exactly what the --
18
             We have other projects that we're looking at,
                                                                     18
                                                                          the timeline will be with this delay.
19
                                                                     19
     that potentially doing post-combustion carbon capture,
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. And Tharaldson,
20
                                                                     20
     that would get us sub five with a goal at Tharaldson to
                                                                          your owner or -- or some affiliate is also the
21
     be net zero in the near future.
                                                                     21
                                                                          wealthiest man in North Dakota. Is that your
22
             MR. JORDE: Okay. So to -- and as the COO, did
                                                                     22
                                                                          understanding?
23
                                                                     23
     you say the chief operating officer?
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: Absolutely.
24
             RYAN CARTER: Correct.
                                                                     24
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: All right. And would you agree that
25
             MR. JORDE: Okay. So you know one of the
                                                                     25
                                                                          for your project to benefit in the way you've talked
 1
     leading, I guess, negatives on CI score can be the use
                                                                      1
                                                                          about it, potentially benefit from a carbon capture
 2
     or intensive use of natural gas. You're aware of that?
                                                                      2
                                                                          storage, that Summit wouldn't have to necessarily store
 3
             RYAN CARTER: Correct.
                                                                      3
                                                                          in South Dakota; correct? Would you agree with that?
 4
             MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you doing any projects
                                                                      4
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: Repeat that question.
 5
     that would reduce the natural gas utilization or deal
                                                                      5
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Okav. If we assume that there's --
 6
     with the natural gas in a way that that could reduce
                                                                          if we assume, A, it's relevant and, B, there are some
                                                                      6
 7
     your CI score?
                                                                      7
                                                                          potential benefits, and then, C, that weighs in favor of
 8
             RYAN CARTER: Yeah. So recently here we just
                                                                      8
                                                                          granting this project, it doesn't -- the benefits that
 9
                                                                      9
     started up a high protein facility which took down two
                                                                          you might receive don't depend on where the carbon is
10
                                                                     10
                                                                          sequestered; correct?
     of our rotary dryers down at the plant so we reduce
11
                                                                     11
     natural gas at that standpoint.
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: Correct.
12
                                                                     12
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. And so the
             We also have been looking at CHP, so it's
13
     combined heat and power. Electricity is the highest
                                                                     13
                                                                          benefits you might receive given that you're on the far
14
     factor when it comes to a CI score so we are going to
                                                                     14
                                                                          eastern part of the state doesn't depend on 350 miles of
15
     start producing our own -- potentially producing our own
                                                                     15
                                                                          pipeline in North Dakota. The pipeline could
16
     electricity if we can get this Summit line in to allow
                                                                     16
                                                                          potentially go -- drop down to Minnesota and then into
17
     us to then move on with another $90 million capital
                                                                     17
                                                                          Iowa; correct?
18
                                                                     18
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: As long as we're hooked up to it.
19
             MR. JORDE: And when you reference the SAF, the
                                                                     19
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Right. Okay. All right. And you
20
     sustainable aviation fuel market, do you have somewhere
                                                                     20
                                                                          understand here today, in this whole proceeding, we're
21
     in mind that you would be selling to? Do you have any,
                                                                     21
                                                                          only talking about the pipeline as the facility? Do you
22
                                                                     22
     like, partnerships in place or --
                                                                          know that?
23
             RYAN CARTER: Hopefully, eventually, with what
                                                                     23
                                                                                  RYAN CARTER: Sure.
24
     they're looking at on the growth over the next ten years
                                                                     24
                                                                                  MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Do you think it's
25
     on the SAF market, I hope there's a lot of
                                                                          justifiable for 350-plus miles of hazardous pipeline to
                                                       PAGE 238
                                                                                                                            PAGE 240
```

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	be introduced in this state to hook up to your plant and	1	radius you buy?
2	your plant only in North Dakota?	2	RYAN CARTER: I'd have to look at those numbers.
3	RYAN CARTER: Others had opportunities.	3	I don't want to
4	MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're the only one	4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: It's not really
5	that	5	important for us anyway but
6	RYAN CARTER: I'm only worried about Tharaldson	6	RYAN CARTER: It's a lot.
7	Ethanol.	7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I guess the ag side
8	MR. JORDE: Right. Got it. Okay. All right.	8	comes out of things.
9	Thanks. I appreciate it.	9	RYAN CARTER: So and kind of how our how
10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	10	our system works is we're partnered with Clifford
11	MR. PELHAM: Sir, do you own any property along	11	elevators so they procure all of our corn. We buy all
12	the proposed line?	12	that corn. So they own multiple facilities within
13	RYAN CARTER: I do not.	13	probably a 75-mile radius of the plant as well. So, I
14	MR. PELHAM: Okay. No further questions. Thank	14	mean, they're it's kind of a you know, a
15	you.	15	partnership that we have that a contract with them, I
16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.	16	guess.
17	Commissioner Christmann.	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other
18	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Tell me I don't	18	questions. Thanks.
19	want to hear about, like, some standout that hauls corn	19	RYAN CARTER: Thanks.
20	500 miles or something to you, but what's kind of the	20	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
21	circle where you get the vast majority of your corn?	21	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I have no
22	RYAN CARTER: So at Tharaldson, we bring in	22	questions.
23	about 60 million bushels of corn a year. We've got the	23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
24	best basis best basis around the area with multiple	24	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
25	commercial facilities. So we bring in about from	25	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
	PAGE 241		PAGE 243
1	about 125 miles of radius of the ethanol plant. We	1	RYAN CARTER: All right. Thanks. Have a good
2	bring in a lot of shuttles off the RVW. So, I mean,	2	weekend.
3	it's it's a substantial amount of corn.	3	ALJ HOGAN: Who would like to go next?
4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I see on the map	4	Good afternoon. Can I get your name?
5	there's an ethanol plant that looks kind of east of here	5	SHANNON NEUMAYER: My name is Shannon Neumayer,
6	about in Minnesota. So I presume a fair amount of the	6	N-E-U-M-A-Y-E-R. I don't live in North Dakota. I live
7	Minnesota corn goes there? Or do you get a lot from	7	in Minnesota. I live in Grand Rapids.
8	Minnesota too?	8	ALJ HOGAN: Hang on, I've got to swear you in.
9	RYAN CARTER: You know, we do get there's one	9	Were you in the room earlier when I went through the
10	particular farmer that hauls to Fergus Falls and also	10	penalties for perjury?
11	hauls to us. And it all comes down to basis. I mean,	11	SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, ma'am.
12	if a farmer can can justify the cost of hauling it an	12	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
13	extra 50 miles to get an extra 15 cents per bushel for	13	is?
14	his corn, they're going to do it.	14	SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, ma'am.
15	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so 150-mile	15	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
16	radius, did you say?	16	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
17	RYAN CARTER: Yep.	17	in this case today?
18	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So you're way up north	18	SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, ma'am.
40	of Grand Forks, pretty much to Canada	19	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
19	DVAN CARTER W	20	SHANNON NEUMAYER,
20	RYAN CARTER: We get some customers down from		
	even the Devils Lake area.	21	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
20		21 22	being first duly sworn, testified as follows: SHANNON NEUMAYER: I live in Grand Rapids,
20 21	even the Devils Lake area.		
20 21 22	even the Devils Lake area. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And have you	22	SHANNON NEUMAYER: I live in Grand Rapids,
20 21 22 23	even the Devils Lake area. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And have you ever thought, in that circle then, you know, would that	22 23	SHANNON NEUMAYER: I live in Grand Rapids, Minnesota. I'm here on behalf of the International

1	representing heavy equipment operators here in North	1	MR. JORDE: Okay. What do you attribute that
2	Dakota as well as Minnesota and South Dakota.	2	to?
3	Our union has extensive experience building and	3	SHANNON NEUMAYER: Just relationships we built
4	maintaining pipeline infrastructure. We support	4	with Summit between the trades and and ourselves
5	pipelines because they're the most efficient and safest	5	so
6	way to transport liquids and gases, but we believe	6	MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. And I'm sorry,
7	pipelines should be built by experienced contractors	7	did you say you were pipefitters or what's what was
8	with well-trained and paid workers.	8	your group?
9	In this case we have confidence that Summit will	9	SHANNON NEUMAYER: Operating engineers.
10	utilize contractors and workers that are ready to build	10	MR. JORDE: Operating engineers. Very good.
11	this pipeline in a way that is protective of the public	11	All right.
12	and the environment. We also believe this project will	12	And how many North Dakota residents in your
13	benefit local workers and the local economy. We believe	13	group would, you believe, be working on this project?
14	the project as proposed meets criteria under state law,	14	SHANNON NEUMAYER: I don't have that I don't
15	and we would encourage the Commission to approve the	15	have that number. I I do know that we represent
16	project which will benefit workers, the environment, and	16	15,000 members in the tri-state area.
17	the economy.	17	MR. JORDE: Okay.
18	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	18	SHANNON NEUMAYER: I don't have the number of
19	Mr. Gludt, any questions?	19	of residents in any of the states.
20	MR. GLUDT: No questions. Thank you.	20	MR. JORDE: Okay. So in terms of, you know,
21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	21	North Dakota tax-paying citizens that might also be
22	MR. JORDE: Yes.	22	members that then might also have the ability to work on
23	Sir, was your does your group have a contract	23	this project, we don't we don't have those numbers?
24	with Summit?	24	SHANNON NEUMAYER: No.
25	SHANNON NEUMAYER: So we don't Summit hires a	25	MR. JORDE: Okay. Thank you. I don't have
	PAGE 245		PAGE 247
1	contractor that we provide labor to.	1	anything further.
1 2	contractor that we provide labor to. MR. JORDE: Okay.	1 2	anything further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
	·		· -
2	MR. JORDE: Okay.	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
2	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you,
2 3 4	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor.	2 3 4	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you.
2 3 4 5	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I	2 3 4 5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit	2 3 4 5 6	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann.
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were	2 3 4 5 6 7	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter advocating for union workers who were not a part of it,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared Hagert, H-A-G-E-R-T.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter advocating for union workers who were not a part of it, and then, I guess, apparently now they've struck a deal?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared Hagert, H-A-G-E-R-T. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Hagert, were you in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter advocating for union workers who were not a part of it, and then, I guess, apparently now they've struck a deal? You're aware of that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared Hagert, H-A-G-E-R-T. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Hagert, were you in the room when I went through the penalties for perjury?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter advocating for union workers who were not a part of it, and then, I guess, apparently now they've struck a deal? You're aware of that? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared Hagert, H-A-G-E-R-T. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Hagert, were you in the room when I went through the penalties for perjury? JARED HAGERT: I was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter advocating for union workers who were not a part of it, and then, I guess, apparently now they've struck a deal? You're aware of that? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. And so do you now have more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared Hagert, H-A-G-E-R-T. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Hagert, were you in the room when I went through the penalties for perjury? JARED HAGERT: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. SHANNON NEUMAYER: We do have agreements with Summit that it will be done with union labor. MR. JORDE: Okay. And you're aware or I should ask it in the form of a question. When Summit first filed its proposal here, do you know if they were intending to use union labor, any of your members? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes, they they were. It's always been that they are going to use part of us. That portion has grown exponentially since this has come along. MR. JORDE: Okay. Are you familiar with a company called, is it Pumpco? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yep. MR. JORDE: Okay. And are you aware that another entity, LIUNA, had intervened in this matter advocating for union workers who were not a part of it, and then, I guess, apparently now they've struck a deal? You're aware of that? SHANNON NEUMAYER: Yes. MR. JORDE: Okay. And so do you now have more potential jobs going to union and your members than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, sir. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not, but thank you for being here, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but thank you, Shannon. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thanks for coming today. No questions. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. Good afternoon. Could I get your name? JARED HAGERT: Good afternoon. My name is Jared Hagert, H-A-G-E-R-T. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Hagert, were you in the room when I went through the penalties for perjury? JARED HAGERT: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is?

	TID I OBLIC CLITT		
1	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	1	enhanced oil recovery through the utilization of carbon.
2	in this case today?	2	And I know in my testimony I referenced coal,
3	JARED HAGERT: Yes.	3	and I referenced coal because of the capturing and
4	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	4	sequestration portion, you know, with the emissions
5	JARED HAGERT,	5	helps them stay in business. And any decision by this
6	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	6	body does impact the ability, you know, with carbon
7	JARED HAGERT: All right. Since I have	7	pipelines going forward in that industry.
8	submitted written testimony, I'll do my best to	8	But beyond that, in my service in the North
9	summarize it. It's rather short to begin with, but	9	Dakota House of Representatives I serve as chair of the
10	thank you for this opportunity.	10	Interim Tax Committee and am the current vice chair of
11	My name is Jared Hagert. I do serve citizens of	11	the House Finance and Taxation Committee. And in those
12	District 20 in North Dakota in the House of	12	duties we do we have studied how large scale
13	Representatives. In addition to that, I am a farmer, a	13	infrastructure projects like this do benefit communities
14	fourth-generation farmer by Emerado, North Dakota.	14	and the citizens of those communities. Albeit, you
15	That's in Grand Forks County. I also run a certified	15	know, the tax collections that are generated from the
16	seed conditioning business that processes most of our	16	infrastructure do occur at the local level and are kept
17	commodities that we grow on the farm like wheat and	17	almost entirely by those respective political
18	soybeans for resale to retailers and other farmers.	18	subdivisions and because the project footprint does
19	Prior prior to my service in the legislature,	19	touch nine counties in North Dakota, residents
20	I did spend nearly 20 years representing North Dakota	20	throughout North Dakota will directly benefit from this
21	soybean farmers on state and national boards with a	21	project.
22	focus on international building international and	22	So as such, I respectfully request that the
23	domestic demand for soybeans and soy products. And one	23	Public Service Commission approve Summit's project as it
24	of the things that that we focused on in my tenure	24	is a critical step in unlocking the Bakken and the
25	with the United Soybean Board, an organization that	25	future of North Dakota.
	PAGE 249		PAGE 251
1	represents 500,000 U.S. soybean farmers, is	1	And I'll stand for any questions.
2	sustainability. And this pipeline is core to the	2	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.
3	sustainability issue, I believe.	3	Mr. Gludt, any questions?
	So as a farmer, ag business owner, and industry		
4	30 d3 d farmer, ag basiness owner, and madsay	4	MR. GLUDT: No questions. Thank you.
5	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive,	4 5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?
	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers	_	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.
5	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded	5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just
5 6 7 8	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy.	5 6 7 8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right?
5 6 7 8 9	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the	5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct.
5 6 7 8 9	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two	5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last
5 6 7 8 9 10	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline	5 6 7 8 9 10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window most
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window mostmost likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window most most likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon markets like sustainable aviation fuel, this pipeline	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window most most likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process. MR. JORDE: Okay. Because certainly, obviously,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon markets like sustainable aviation fuel, this pipeline represents an opportunity to lower carbon scores for	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window most most likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process. MR. JORDE: Okay. Because certainly, obviously, you can't use CO2 that's permanently sequestered for
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon markets like sustainable aviation fuel, this pipeline represents an opportunity to lower carbon scores for for those providers while also adding value to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window mostmost likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process. MR. JORDE: Okay. Because certainly, obviously, you can't use CO2 that's permanently sequestered for enhanced oil recovery, so you're looking a little longer
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon markets like sustainable aviation fuel, this pipeline represents an opportunity to lower carbon scores for for those providers while also adding value to the bottom line of agricultural producers.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window most most likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process. MR. JORDE: Okay. Because certainly, obviously, you can't use CO2 that's permanently sequestered for enhanced oil recovery, so you're looking a little longer in the horizon; is that right?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon markets like sustainable aviation fuel, this pipeline represents an opportunity to lower carbon scores for for those providers while also adding value to the bottom line of agricultural producers. On the energy side, although not utilized	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window mostmost likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process. MR. JORDE: Okay. Because certainly, obviously, you can't use CO2 that's permanently sequestered for enhanced oil recovery, so you're looking a little longer in the horizon; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. Correct. I think at
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	advocate, I know that, in order to survive and thrive, we do need to provide products that our customers demand. And right now those products that are demanded are sustainable fuels and low-emission energy. We have agriculture and energy in in the state of North Dakota are the number one and two industries. And this pipeline would provide a lifeline to the energy and ag markets. MR. JORDE: I just have to insert my objection, Your Honor, relevance, to this testimony. ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted. You can continue. JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you. Being that the markets are focused on decarbonization and to gain access to those lower-carbon markets like sustainable aviation fuel, this pipeline represents an opportunity to lower carbon scores for for those providers while also adding value to the bottom line of agricultural producers.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you. Sir, I just want to be clear, you're here just speaking on your own personal behalf; is that right? JARED HAGERT: Correct. MR. JORDE: Okay. I think one of the last things you just said was unlocking the Bakken. And what did you mean by that, sir? JARED HAGERT: Well, you know, as we're talking about the pipeline in this hearing, that represents an ability to move the amounts of carbon into sequestration right now for the current regulatory regime, but as that changes and as time moves on, there is a window most most likely a window to add enhanced oil recovery into into the process. MR. JORDE: Okay. Because certainly, obviously, you can't use CO2 that's permanently sequestered for enhanced oil recovery, so you're looking a little longer in the horizon; is that right?

```
Helms had referenced that, in order for Bakken output to
                                                                     1
                                                                                JARED HAGERT: Well, through -- through, you
 2
     maintain where it's at, it -- you know, the -- the
                                                                     2
                                                                         know, dividend payments.
 3
     carbon pipelines will be what are needed to keep the
                                                                     3
                                                                                MR. JORDE: Okay. And then your testimony here,
 4
     Bakken strong into the late 2030s when projected output
                                                                     4
                                                                        to access low-carbon fuel markets, do you understand
 5
     is in decline.
                                                                        that there's very few low-carbon fuel markets in the
 6
                                                                     6
                                                                        U.S., United States?
             MR. JORDE: And just for the record, who is Lynn
                                                                     7
7
     Helms? What office?
                                                                                JARED HAGERT: There are currently.
 8
            JARED HAGERT: Lynn Helms is the head of -- I am
                                                                     8
                                                                                MR. JORDE: Have you kind of thought through
9
     blanking on it right now off the top of my head, but he
                                                                    9
                                                                        what would happen if this were to be approved and
     is head of the mineral -- Department of Mineral
10
                                                                    10
                                                                        there's a rush of access from the current ethanol plants
11
                                                                    11
     Resources. There it is.
                                                                        hooked up to this pipeline to the low-carbon fuel
12
             MR. JORDE: Okay. That's right. I think that's
                                                                    12
                                                                        markets, what that would do to ethanol prices elsewhere?
13
     riaht.
                                                                    13
                                                                                JARED HAGERT: Elsewhere? What do you mean
14
             And then, sir, do you personally have any land
                                                                    14
                                                                        elsewhere?
15
     where this proposed pipeline would cross?
                                                                    15
                                                                                MR. JORDE: Well, for the plants that aren't a
16
             JARED HAGERT: I don't.
                                                                    16
                                                                        part of any carbon capture, storage, and weren't selling
17
             MR. JORDE: Okay. How far, if you know, do you
                                                                    17
                                                                        into low-carbon fuel markets, have you done any analysis
18
     reside from the nearest point to this proposed route?
                                                                    18
                                                                        on the effect on the consumer, of prices, if that were
19
                                                                    19
             JARED HAGERT: Probably about 80 miles.
                                                                        to occur?
20
             MR. JORDE: Okay. And then you've got a
                                                                    20
                                                                                JARED HAGERT: So you're asking about -- just so
21
                                                                    21
     background in farming. Do you or have you ever sold
                                                                        I'm clear, you're asking about ethanol plants that would
22
                                                                    22
     corn to any of the North Dakota ethanol plants?
                                                                        not be selling into -- into the sustainable aviation
23
                                                                    23
                                                                        fuel market and what their prices would be or what
             JARED HAGERT: I have, yes.
24
             MR. JORDE: Okay. Have you ever sold to the
                                                                    24
                                                                        impact it would have?
25
     Tharaldson plant?
                                                                    25
                                                                                MR. JORDE: So what I'm getting at -- I'm just
                                                                                                                          PAGE 255
1
             JARED HAGERT: I -- I have indirectly through --
                                                                        trying to see if you've done any thinking on the
2
     through the --
                                                                     2
                                                                        opposite side, the flip side. So your claim is that
 3
             MR. JORDE: Elevator?
                                                                     3
                                                                        this -- I'm just reading here, "This pipeline will
 4
             JARED HAGERT: -- elevators, yes.
                                                                        enable our ethanol --"
                                                                     5
 5
             MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. Do you know if
                                                                                I'm assuming you mean North Dakota -- do you
6
     Tharaldson has made any promise to share part of any of
                                                                     6
                                                                        mean North Dakota ethanol?
                                                                     7
7
     the profits they would get by reducing their CI score
                                                                                JARED HAGERT: North Dakota ethanol.
8
     directly with the farmers? Are they going to be paying
                                                                     8
                                                                                MR. JORDE: "-- North Dakota ethanol to be
9
     more for grain? Do you know anything like that?
                                                                    9
                                                                         decarbonized to access greater low-carbon fuel markets."
10
             MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. I
                                                                    10
                                                                                What about everybody else? Have you looked at
11
                                                                    11
     think Mr. Jorde is trying to get into agreements between
                                                                        if there's actually negative adverse effects on those
12
     Summit and Tharaldson and this is not the appropriate
                                                                    12
                                                                        other plants that wouldn't participate in this project?
13
     witness to answer.
                                                                    13
                                                                                JARED HAGERT: I think in -- in the operation of
                                                                        the market, you know, each plant has its own decisions
14
             MR. JORDE: He can just say "I don't know," I
                                                                    14
15
     mean.
                                                                    15
                                                                        to make that way. You know, Tharaldson has made the
             ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer if he
16
                                                                    16
                                                                        decision to hook up to it. Others haven't, and they
17
     knows.
                                                                    17
                                                                         each have their own reasons and I couldn't speculate on
             JARED HAGERT: You know, as far as any specific
18
                                                                    18
19
     agreements, rising demand usually increases corn price.
                                                                    19
                                                                                MR. JORDE: Okay. Fair enough. Thank you. I
20
     So, you know, through -- through that, through the
                                                                    20
                                                                         don't have anything further.
21
     function of the market, would be one way. Also, if you
                                                                    21
                                                                                ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
22
                                                                    22
     owned any shares in any of the co-op, you would benefit
                                                                                MR. PELHAM: No questions. Thank you.
     from -- from that.
23
                                                                    23
                                                                                ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.
24
             MR. JORDE: If prices go up is what you're
                                                                    24
                                                                                Commissioner Christmann.
25
                                                                    25
                                                                                COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm just curious,
     saying.
                                                      PAGE 254
                                                                                                                          PAGE 256
```

	IND PUBLIC SERV	ī	
1	Representative Hagert, is Emerado up in that area way in	1	start by stating your full name for the record.
2	northeast North Dakota that has been showing up as	2	MIKE BRANDENBURG: My name is Michael
3	pretty dry this spring?	3	Brandenburg.
4	JARED HAGERT: Not as dry as as we were last	4	MR. JORDE: And I'm going to object to this
5	summer. So Emerado is directly south of the Grand Forks	5	testimony. I believe this gentleman testified recently,
6	Air Force Base.	6	maybe on April 22nd.
7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Because my	7	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yeah, I have new information.
8	NDAWN shows 1.69 inches of rain last night and today	8	ALJ HOGAN: All right.
9	S0	9	MIKE BRANDENBURG: I will not repeat myself.
10	JARED HAGERT: Yeah. We we've been blessed	10	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. I appreciate that.
11	with an abundance of moisture these last few days.	11	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Promise.
12	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Good for you. Thanks	12	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Brandenburg, were you in
13	for coming down, Representative Hagert, and I have no	13	the room when I went through the penalties for perjury?
14	other questions.	14	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yes.
15	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	15	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thanks for your	16	is?
17	testimony. I have no questions.	17	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yes.
18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	18	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
19	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you. No	19	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
20	questions.	20	in this case today?
21	JARED HAGERT: All right. Thank you for your	21	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yes, I do.
22	time.	22	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
23	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.	23	MIKE BRANDENBURG,
24	We're going to take our afternoon break, but	24	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
25	before we do that, can I just get a show of hands of	25	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yeah, thank you. And thank
	PAGE 257		PAGE 259
1	anybody else that wishes to testify?	1	you, Commissioners, as well as the people attending.
2	Four, five. Okay. Thank you.	2	The point that I would like to make and point
3	Let's see. Let's reconvene	3	I'd like to point out is, first of all, I am a
4	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, I just wanted to	4	fourth-generation farmer and my grandfather, great
5	clarify. I had three clients show their hands in case	5	grandfather homesteaded in 1902. So I have a long
6	you were just asking about the public testimony.	6	history of agriculture in my blood and and it goes
7	ALJ HOGAN: Yes. Thank you for that	7	back to what's made everything work.
8	clarification.	_	
	Cidiffication.	8	But the one point that's been talked about today
9	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three,	9	But the one point that's been talked about today that has not really been pointed out is, in those
9 10		-	,
	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three,	9	that has not really been pointed out is, in those
10	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful.	9	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant.
10 11	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to	9 10 11	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn.
10 11 12	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return	9 10 11 12	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know
10 11 12 13 14 15	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that	9 10 11 12 13	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we	9 10 11 12 13 14	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also,
10 11 12 13 14 15	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it. MR. JORDE: Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they can do it. And they're not they're right beside it.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it. MR. JORDE: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: We'll reconvene at 3:25.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they can do it. And they're not they're right beside it. Other than Spiritwood and (indiscernible), they're about
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it. MR. JORDE: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: We'll reconvene at 3:25. (Recess)	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they can do it. And they're not they're right beside it. Other than Spiritwood and (indiscernible), they're about 50 miles away from it.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it. MR. JORDE: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: We'll reconvene at 3:25. (Recess) ALJ HOGAN: All right. If people want to start	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they can do it. And they're not they're right beside it. Other than Spiritwood and (indiscernible), they're about 50 miles away from it. The other thing is, too is down that
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it. MR. JORDE: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: We'll reconvene at 3:25. (Recess) ALJ HOGAN: All right. If people want to start making their way back to their chairs. It's 3:25 so	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they can do it. And they're not they're right beside it. Other than Spiritwood and (indiscernible), they're about 50 miles away from it. The other thing is, too is down that pipeline goes down into South Dakota for a reason.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So just public testifiers. Let's see, three, four. Okay. All right. That's helpful. We will when we come back, we're going to finish with the public testimony and then we'll return to landowner intervenors. And I will, again, note that we need to be out of here by 7:30 so as speedily as we can go through that MR. JORDE: We will get that done. ALJ HOGAN: we appreciate it. MR. JORDE: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: We'll reconvene at 3:25. (Recess) ALJ HOGAN: All right. If people want to start making their way back to their chairs. It's 3:25 so we're going to get going again.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that has not really been pointed out is, in those 352 miles of pipeline, it's not for one ethanol plant. We know that the Wahpeton fructose plant needs corn. They got a problem. They got to do something. We know that Hankinson isn't onboard yet, but they got a problem; they got to get their score down too. Also, Spiritwood is another one. So there's three plants that do not have a solution to their problem that do have to get that carbon score down and, with this pipeline, they can do it. And they're not they're right beside it. Other than Spiritwood and (indiscernible), they're about 50 miles away from it. The other thing is, too is down that pipeline goes down into South Dakota for a reason. There's five ethanol plants going all the way from

```
ethanol plants that are going to be benefitted by this
                                                                         see that they can hit that aviation fuel market and
                                                                         they're looking at the aviation fuel market -- I'm glad
 2
     pipeline to take it out and to western North Dakota and
 3
     into the future too for possible oil recovery too. And
                                                                         you asked that question, because currently, right now,
 4
     so I think that's important to be pointed out as
                                                                         we produce 15 billion gallons of ethanol in the United
 5
     testimony today because it's not just for one ethanol
                                                                         States. With the aviation fuel market, just nationally,
 6
     plant.
                                                                     6
                                                                         it's 20 billion gallons potential. A hundred billion
 7
             And, also, Summit Solutions is a lifeline for
                                                                     7
                                                                         gallons internationally. So if you're dealing now with
 8
     ethanol in this state. I've lived through that
                                                                     8
                                                                         15 billion gallons of ethanol and you can expand that
 9
     dollar-bushel corn. I've enjoyed $7.78 corn too. But I
                                                                     9
                                                                         more than double when aviation fuel -- and you got to
10
     can tell you, as 50 years in farming, those ethanol
                                                                    10
                                                                         get down to -- as Ryan Carter explained from the ethanol
11
                                                                    11
     plants that brought a guaranteed 50 cents a bushel to my
                                                                         industry, if you're going to have that market, you're
12
     bottom line. There are times, when the basis is right,
                                                                    12
                                                                         going to have to get down there.
13
     it can hit a buck a bushel.
                                                                    13
                                                                                 And Brazil is taking our market away right now.
14
             And so I would -- what would happen without the
                                                                    14
                                                                         I mean, we have ethanol coming from Brazil going to
     ethanol plants in this state as well as in northern
                                                                    15
                                                                         California because we don't have the carbon score. And
15
16
     South Dakota? We would definitely have a setback. And
                                                                    16
                                                                         so this carbon score, this pipeline, taking -- dealing
17
     so the Summit Solutions pipeline is important to ethanol
                                                                    17
                                                                         with the CO2 emissions is going to enable us to have
18
     as Tundra to the coal plants. It's a lifeline project.
                                                                    18
                                                                         those markets that we don't have right now.
19
                                                                    19
     Tundra is a lifeline to the coal plants and Summit
                                                                                 MR. JORDE: Okay. So then is the answer that --
20
                                                                    20
     Solutions is a lifeline to the ethanol plants and to the
                                                                         I mean, to my question, you don't have any information
21
     future of this state.
                                                                    21
                                                                         about some new contracts of these other eight ethanol
22
                                                                    22
             This state runs on three things: Agriculture,
                                                                         plants with Summit; correct?
                                                                    23
23
     energy, and all the rest. And you pretty much can break
                                                                                 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Well, Mr. Jorde, what I can
24
     it down to a third energy, a third agriculture, and a
                                                                    24
                                                                         tell you is that our family hauls a lot of bushels of
25
     third everything else. And that's how North Dakota
                                                                    25
                                                                         corn to the ethanol plant in Spiritwood as well as the
                                                      PAGE 261
     runs. And I -- I sit on the -- I'm a representative
 1
                                                                     1
                                                                         local co-ops. We haul them there and, during harvest
 2
     from District 28 and I sit on the Appropriations
                                                                     2
                                                                         time, to our current Edgeley co-op as well as Verona,
 3
     Committee so I know the numbers pretty good.
                                                                     3
                                                                         because you can't sit in line for more than two hours
 4
             And so I just wanted to share that for the
                                                                         when you're harvesting. And then, in the offseason, we
 5
     record because I think it's -- has not been really
                                                                     5
                                                                         haul the rest of it to the ethanol plant. And that
 6
     clarified today.
                                                                     6
                                                                         ethanol plant is the profitability on our farm.
 7
             So, with that, I would answer any questions.
                                                                     7
                                                                                 MR. JORDE: All right. So you have no knowledge
 8
             ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
                                                                     8
                                                                         of any other plants being contracted with Summit;
 9
                                                                     9
                                                                         correct? Yes or no.
             MR. GLUDT: No questions. Thank you.
10
                                                                    10
             ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?
                                                                                 MIKE BRANDENBURG: No.
11
                                                                    11
                                                                                 MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. So the statement
             MR. JORDE: Yes. Thank you.
12
             Sir, do you -- do you sit on the boards of any
                                                                    12
                                                                         nine ethanol plants will benefit from this pipeline,
13
     ethanol plants?
                                                                    13
                                                                         that's just pure speculation on your part; correct?
14
                                                                    14
                                                                                 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Well, Mr. Jorde, you could
             MIKE BRANDENBURG: No, I do not.
15
             MR. JORDE: All right. Because you made the
                                                                    15
                                                                         speculate it, but if anybody is -- can make money doing
16
     statement that nine ethanol plants will benefit from
                                                                    16
                                                                         it, they're going to sign on.
17
     this pipeline, but you don't have any information that
                                                                    17
                                                                                 MR. JORDE: Well, sir, they've had three years
18
     any of the other plants are contracted with this
                                                                    18
                                                                         and it hasn't happened; right?
19
     particular project, do you?
                                                                    19
                                                                                 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Well, you know, Mr. Jorde,
20
             MIKE BRANDENBURG: Well, I can -- what I see
                                                                    20
                                                                         you look at the market and you can look at the world and
21
     with that, Mr. Jorde, is that there's nine ethanol
                                                                    21
                                                                         you can look at whether you agree with what's going on
22
     plants along the pipeline and some have joined in, some
                                                                    22
                                                                         in the East Coast or the West Coast, but that's where
23
     have not.
                                                                    23
                                                                         the population is and that's where the -- they're asking
24
                                                                    24
                                                                         for this to happen and so the votes -- to where it
             But the question I was asked earlier about, you
25
     know, ethanol plants, they're good businessmen. They
                                                                    25
                                                                         matters.
                                                      PAGE 262
                                                                                                                           PAGE 264
```

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Do I agree with everything that's going on here? president of the North Dakota Corn Growers? 2 No. But do I agree with what those people want? The 2 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yes, I was. customer is who you have to please, and that's what they 3 MR. JORDE: And so you heard them, when asked by 4 4 me "If this project doesn't go through, are you going to 5 MR. JORDE: So when corn was \$1 a bushel, was 5 go bankrupt or are you going to go out of business," and 6 6 that because there wasn't a Summit Carbon pipeline? they -- they didn't give me an affirmative answer to 7 7 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Oh, Mr. Jorde, when I was that; correct? 8 8 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yeah, I -- and that's younger and it was 40 years ago, I was -- I was in the 9 National Guard, keeping food on the table, and that's 9 probably one of the reasons why I'm up here. Because I 10 all the price was. And when we had Jimmy Carter and 10 can tell you right now, if we lose those ethanol 11 11 embargo and -- and when corn went from 5 bucks -- I can plants -- I can tell you 20 years ago when we had a 12 remember, in '75, corn was around 4 and a half bucks and 12 little ethanol plant up in Grafton that Harold Newman 13 hitting five bucks and we -- I told my dad, I said, 13 had and we tried to get -- you know, that was our ribbon 14 "We're in a new era, things are going to change, the 14 we hung on the wall saying, "Yeah, we got an ethanol farms are going to grow, we're never going to go back," 15 plant." Now we've got six ethanol plants in the state 16 and then came the embargo and corn was down to a buck 16 grinding over half of our corn and it's taken -- it's 17 within a year. It was less than two bucks. And we 17 been a blessing. 18 almost went broke. 18 It's taken the -- if you look at the agriculture 19 19 And -- so I've lived through these markets, I've and how it has improved, corn prices have broughten 20 20 lived through these political confrontations, and it's wheat up, corn prices have broughten soybeans up. 21 21 You've got the corn-soybean rotation. It's a world all about living through it and surviving those times. 22 And you got to have some equity when that happens, 22 market. It's not just North Dakota with walls around 23 23 because if you lose the equity, you've got nothing left. it. It's the United States. It's the world. It's 24 That's why a lot of people did go broke. 24 everybody that we have to answer to in this day and age. 25 MR. JORDE: And then in 2012 when corn spiked to 25 Because you can Google anybody. I mean, there's over \$7, was that because there wasn't a carbon pipeline 1 1 people -- we can find out what's going on in Russia. We 2 in this state? 2 can find out what's going on in China. We can find out 3 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Oh, Mr. Jorde, it didn't 3 what's going in other countries and all around the 4 spike in 2012. It spiked in 2019 when Covid hit and world. All you got to do is Google it. It's there. 5 everybody was scared and everybody was buying. I don't 5 And then what we're doing. 6 know. The markets went wild. We had a war over in the 6 And that's one of the reasons we've lost a lot 7 Ukraine and Russia and then they had the Black Sea issue 7 of our markets. You know, we've lost over 20 percent of 8 and -- and if I could have rubbed a crystal ball, I 8 our export markets in the last couple of years, and one 9 9 would have contracted three years out because it would of the reasons is because Brazil is embracing carbon 10 have been smarter, but I didn't do that because I wasn't 10 capture. Because when these buyers and traders go out 11 11 smart enough. and buy their products, they don't just buy corn. They 12 12 buy wheat, they buy soybeans, they buy barley, they buy MR. JORDE: So you agree that -- I mean, you 13 keep talking about all these global and world events and 13 all kinds of products. 14 massive macro events, and that's really what affects 14 And you keep listening to the reports. We went 15 corn price; right? 15 from \$7 corn a couple years ago, three years ago, down 16 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Absolutely, Mr. Jorde. It's 16 to now \$4 corn. Beans have dropped four bucks. Wheat 17 world events that -- I mean, Europe has been doing 17 has went from nine bucks to six bucks. There's a -- and 18 carbon capture, other countries are doing carbon 18 that just puts a tight -- yeah, it hurts. And if the 19 capture. The world is -- I mean, we're -- we're doing 19 farm economy is not good in North Dakota, it's not going 20 carbon capture because that's what -- today is what is 20 to be good for North Dakota. 21 21 on the menu. That's where the money is going. And, you MR. JORDE: And corn price, commodity prices, 22 22 know, whether you agree with it or not, that's where ebb and flow. That's just something that --23 it's at. 23 MIKE BRANDENBURG: Absolutely. 24 24 MR. JORDE: -- expect; right? You don't expect MR. JORDE: And were you here for the testimony 25 of the COO of the Tharaldson plant and then the 25 that to change? **PAGE 266 PAGE 268**

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

```
1
             MIKE BRANDENBURG: It's going to go up and down.
                                                                            raise corn. We have ethanol -- all the ethanol plants
 2
     up and down. But if you have a better -- one thing
                                                                            are in my district or just outside of it, and they all
 3
     we're losing which I think is important to point out is
                                                                        3
                                                                            are saying the same thing. Without the ethanol plants,
 4
     that with the electronic vehicles, the EVs that are
                                                                        4
                                                                            I don't want to go back to $2 corn or $3 corn. Because
 5
     happening -- and you go into the bigger cities, yeah,
                                                                            all we'll have then to feed a bunch of cattle. And
 6
     you know, they're -- it's a good thing because they have
                                                                        6
                                                                            there's not enough cattle to get rid of all the corn
 7
     -- I've been in California when I was a kid and, you
                                                                        7
                                                                            because we didn't produce the amount -- we probably
 8
     know, the pollution, the smog, I understand those
                                                                        8
                                                                            produced 150 million bushels of corn 20 years ago. Now
 9
     people. Out here we don't have that problem.
                                                                        9
                                                                            we're producing 400 million bushels, 350 to 400. If you
                                                                            have a good year, maybe more. And with the yields and
10
             I mean, we -- it's a partnership. When you can
                                                                       10
11
     find a new market -- and we need to have that new market
                                                                       11
                                                                            the technology, we might be hitting 450, maybe 500
12
     with aviation fuel. Because if we can't -- you got a
                                                                       12
                                                                            million bushels some day. We got to have a place for
13
     whole bunch of rich people flying planes around,
                                                                       13
                                                                            that corn to go. And -- and the ethanol plants are that
14
     polluting the earth, and here we are trying to bring
                                                                       14
                                                                            -- that place.
                                                                       15
15
     things together and do the right thing and be -- be good
                                                                                    MR. JORDE: And so then do you agree with
16
     stewards of the land as well as for the air. And so
                                                                       16
                                                                            Governor Burgum that if a landowner doesn't want to be a
17
     they need to get their carbon score down on these
                                                                       17
                                                                            part of this project, they just say "no" and Summit will
18
     high-intensity planes that use jet fuel. And all we're
                                                                       18
                                                                            go around you?
19
                                                                       19
     doing is -- really is putting blends of ethanol in that
                                                                                    MIKE BRANDENBURG: I've actually said that last
20
                                                                       20
                                                                            time when I testified. I said that if the farmer
     we did 30 years ago with gasohol.
21
                                                                       21
             And so if we can hit that market and expand the
                                                                            doesn't want it, the landowner doesn't want it, go
22
                                                                       22
     corn market -- because we're -- we're going to lose some
                                                                            somewhere else. Go around them. Go -- there's always a
23
                                                                       23
     on the -- on the gasoline and diesel fuel. And if we
                                                                            place around them.
24
     can replace that with the aviation fuel market, it's a
                                                                       24
                                                                                    I mean, you're down to basically 15, 20 percent
25
                                                                       25
                                                                            left. And a lot of them are just waiting to see what
     win-win for everybody.
                                                        PAGE 269
 1
             MR. JORDE: When did you first become aware of
                                                                        1
                                                                            happens here. And there's some that are saying "no" and
                                                                            "never-never" but -- and some of them are good
 2
     this application, this Summit application, that was
                                                                        2
 3
     filed back in 2022?
                                                                        3
                                                                            negotiators. You know, us farmers are good businessmen.
 4
             MIKE BRANDENBURG: Mr. Jorde, I suppose it was a
                                                                            We know how to run a business. We've done it all our
 5
     couple years ago before our last session.
                                                                        5
                                                                            life. And they just want to be treated right.
 6
             MR. JORDE: Okay.
                                                                        6
                                                                                    MR. JORDE: And you had said that Summit is the
 7
             MIKE BRANDENBURG: And, see, I've got 113 miles
                                                                        7
                                                                            future of North Dakota. And for you, sir, to make that
 8
     of this in my district.
                                                                        8
                                                                            statement, if this project didn't happen, would South
 9
                                                                        9
             MR. JORDE: Uh-huh.
                                                                            Dakota take over North Dakota? Or, I mean, what?
10
             MIKE BRANDENBURG: And so I've dealt with a lot
                                                                       10
                                                                            There's no future anymore?
11
                                                                       11
     of people that -- you know, that had a lot of concerns.
                                                                                    MIKE BRANDENBURG: No, no. Mr. Jorde, if Summit
12
                                                                       12
     And that first group that came out, landmen, yeah, the
                                                                            doesn't happen, the ethanol plants up here probably
13
     stories that you're hearing, they were bad. But Summit
                                                                       13
                                                                            won't have a market to sell their ethanol in the future
14
     fired them all and they hired new people.
                                                                       14
                                                                            years. And the ethanol plants down south, if they get
             And they're trying to negotiate with these
15
                                                                       15
                                                                            something going down there -- we have Red Trail and we
16
     people. But if you won't talk to them, you can't
                                                                       16
                                                                            have Blue Flint that do have formations so they -- but I
17
     negotiate with them. So somebody's got to talk. I mean
                                                                       17
                                                                            don't want to haul my corn 150 miles. I mean, I can
     80 -- over 80 percent of them signed up. Had a group in
18
                                                                       18
                                                                            haul it to Spiritwood for 50 miles.
19
     Dickey County that was with an attorney and -- about 30
                                                                       19
                                                                                    If you put a truck on the road and you start
20
     farmers. And they -- they came to an agreement. And
                                                                       20
                                                                            making a bunch of trips -- when we started hauling to
21
                                                                       21
     that's my concern, is that those people -- that the
                                                                            the ethanol plant in Spiritwood -- we got five trucks
22
                                                                       22
     landowners are treated fair and paid a good price. And
                                                                            and we can make four trips a day. That's if you get up
23
                                                                       23
                                                                            early and get rolling and get right away and you start
     that's my concern of the people I represent.
24
                                                                       24
                                                                            hauling bushels. And, you know, you get wore out after
             Also, I'm concerned about farmers that live
     (indiscernible) -- because I'm in corn country. We
25
                                                                            the day. So why do I want to go 150?
```

PAGE 272

PAGE 270

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV	101	
1	MR. JORDE: Have you ever considered a future	1	Valley but not like southwest North Dakota; right?
2	where you're growing crops other than corn or	2	MIKE BRANDENBURG: We're right at the edge of
3	significantly reducing corn, or do you think you're	3	the Coteau Hills. We're on corn country, but we're
4	married to corn?	4	right on the edge of corn country. But we can raise
5	MIKE BRANDENBURG: In my lifetime, I think I	5	some really good corn, and that's if the rain gets over
6	used to raise all wheat and barley and sunflowers. And	6	the hills sometimes.
7	then the birds came and took away the flowers. You	7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: So you mentioned early
8	know, black birds, you know, they're pretty tough on	8	on when you were reflecting back 40-plus years, you
9	flowers, especially in the Prairie Pothole Region. And	9	mentioned wheat.
10	then the corn came and then the rock roller came with	10	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yeah.
11	the soybeans and the new technology, and it's taken our	11	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Now here at the end
12	farm to a whole different level. It really has. The	12	you were talking about raising a lot of corn. And so
13	corn and soybean rotation is a good rotation too for	13	maybe you sort of covered it, but how as a as a
14	both the weeds and for diseases.	14	percentage of what you grow on your farm I'm
15	MR. JORDE: And you've run a good operation and	15	imagining your farm has probably grown like most have,
16	been profitable without this pipeline, haven't you?	16	but as a percentage for you and to the extent you know
17	MIKE BRANDENBURG: At this point we have, but,	17	on your neighbors, how has it evolved? Like what
18	Mr. Jorde, the new rules that have come in with the	18	percentage wheat versus corn would you say on those
19	carbon score, which affects both the ethanol plants, the	19	MIKE BRANDENBURG: 40 years ago, maybe even 30,
20	agriculture, it also affects the coal plants dealing	20	we were I would say 40 years ago we were all wheat
21	with the carbon capture and also affects the future of	21	and barley and sunflowers. And now we're 50 percent
22	this state. And it's because of federal regulations	22	corn and 50 percent soybeans. And once in a while, if
23	that have happened that we're sitting here today.	23	we have, you know, a rotation issue with some weeds,
24	I mean, I wish if I could rub a crystal ball,	24	we'll put in a few hundred acres of wheat, just to take
25	I wish we could go back and just say, "Hey, leave us	25	care of that. So our corn and my neighbors are the
_	PAGE 273		PAGE 275
1	alone and we'll keep doing what we're doing," but it's	1	same way. The wheat crop is kind of a it's
2	not didn't happen and the people the people on the	2	non-existent in our part of the country because it's
3	East Coast and the West Coast where the votes are said	3	more corn and soybeans in our area.
4	this is what we're going to do.	4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so is that because
5	MR. JORDE: I don't have anything further.	5	corn and soybeans are just so much easier or more fun or
6	Thank you.	6	is it because the market has called for that change?
7	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	7	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Corn and soybeans are not
8	MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions. Thank	8	easier than wheat. I can tell you that, Commissioner.
9	you.	9	It used to be pretty nice. We'd be done, you know, and
10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.	10	we can you know, we could go deer hunting. You know,
11 12	Commissioner Christmann.	11 12	we used to deer hunt. You know, I don't even get the
13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Representative	13	gun out anymore because we're all sitting in the
14	Brandenburg, you said something early on and then you	14	combine. But we let our neighbors hunt. It's it's
15	might have answered my question here toward the end. A little basis of what I'm trying to get at. So I come	15	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Evolution has become
16	from western North Dakota. I'll tell you I get down to	16	because of the what the market demanded; right?
17		17	MIKE BRANDENBURG: The market is demanding and
1 . ,	a place like Wahneton and I look at this flat fortile		
18	a place like Wahpeton and I look at this flat, fertile, wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1 300 miles		
18 19	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles	18 19	and so we we and I farm with three of my sons. I've not three other brothers that are farming. I not
19	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right?	19	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got
19 20	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right? MIKE BRANDENBURG: Edgeley.	19 20	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got nephews that are farming. You know, we you know, our
19 20 21	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right? MIKE BRANDENBURG: Edgeley. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: At Edgeley.	19 20 21	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got nephews that are farming. You know, we you know, our farm has has evolved into a good good-sized farm,
19 20 21 22	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right? MIKE BRANDENBURG: Edgeley. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: At Edgeley. MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yep.	19 20 21 22	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got nephews that are farming. You know, we you know, our farm has has evolved into a good good-sized farm, and it's because of corn and beans that has allowed it
19 20 21 22 23	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right? MIKE BRANDENBURG: Edgeley. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: At Edgeley. MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yep. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Kind of in between.	19 20 21 22 23	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got nephews that are farming. You know, we you know, our farm has has evolved into a good good-sized farm, and it's because of corn and beans that has allowed it to happen.
19 20 21 22	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right? MIKE BRANDENBURG: Edgeley. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: At Edgeley. MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yep. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Kind of in between. MIKE BRANDENBURG: Same thing.	19 20 21 22	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got nephews that are farming. You know, we you know, our farm has has evolved into a good good-sized farm, and it's because of corn and beans that has allowed it to happen. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: No other questions.
19 20 21 22 23 24	wet ground and I feel like I've traveled 1,300 miles instead of 300. But you farm at Ellendale; right? MIKE BRANDENBURG: Edgeley. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: At Edgeley. MIKE BRANDENBURG: Yep. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Kind of in between.	19 20 21 22 23 24	I've got three other brothers that are farming. I got nephews that are farming. You know, we you know, our farm has has evolved into a good good-sized farm, and it's because of corn and beans that has allowed it to happen.

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	towns.	1	model of the CO2 in the event of a pipeline breach?
2	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	2	What are they hiding? Don't emergency responders need
3	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Hello,	3	this information to know what they're facing when a
4	Representative.	4	breach occurs?
5	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Hi.	5	When the CO2 pipeline in Mississippi breached,
6	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You don't have to	6	the plume traveled 16 miles. That was a 24-inch
7	be afraid.	7	pipeline at 1,400 PSI, which I believe are the same
8	MIKE BRANDENBURG: I'm not afraid. I just	8	specifications proposed by Summit for this project, but
9	wonder what you're going to ask.	9	that pipeline at the time of the event was only half
10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No. You know,	10	filled. How far would that plume travel from a full
11	you've touched on you've testified before and I'm not	11	pipeline? How would a release notice be given? If the
12	going to ask you a question based on your prior	12	plume traveled 16 miles, every citizen in every city and
13	testimony to move things along so I have no further	13	town along the pipeline is in immediate danger of
14	questions.	14	possible death by asphyxiation since CO2 displaces
15	MIKE BRANDENBURG: Oh, thank you.	15	oxygen.
16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?	16	Emergency response teams have not been trained
17	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.	17	to respond to a breach and, to my knowledge, they do not
18	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you, Representative.	18	have the necessary equipment. So who pays for the
19	MIKE BRANDENBURG: I hope I didn't repeat myself	19	equipment, the additional training, and the additional
20	but I probably touched on some areas that	20	personnel if there aren't enough volunteers in rural
21	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.	21	communities? Does our medical community have the
22	All right. Who would like to go next?	22	capacity to provide the necessary treatment in the event
23	Good afternoon. Can I get your name?	23	of a breach?
24	TERESA BAUER: Teresa Bauer, B-A-U-E-R.	24	The newspaper article also failed to mention the
25	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Bauer, were you in the room	25	potential for CO2 to contaminate our water sources. It
	PAGE 277		PAGE 279
1	earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury?	1	is unknown how CO2 will impact rivers, reservoirs,
2	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I was.	2	lakes, and aquifers.
3	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	3	Will the CO2 remain sequestered? The article
4	is?		
4		4	also did not mention how unstable geophysical topography
5		4 5	also did not mention how unstable geophysical topography will be addressed during construction and, more
	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential		
5	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do.	5	will be addressed during construction and, more
5 6	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	5 6	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift,
5 6 7	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	5 6 7	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how
5 6 7 8	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	5 6 7 8	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this
5 6 7 8 9	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do.	5 6 7 8 9	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this
5 6 7 8 9 10	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	5 6 7 8 9	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui
5 6 7 8 9	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article that I thought would recap the meeting. Granted, there	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally across the road from it? If even one rural family loses
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article that I thought would recap the meeting. Granted, there was a lot of material to cover, but I remember being	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally across the road from it? If even one rural family loses their lives because they didn't know a breach occurred
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article that I thought would recap the meeting. Granted, there was a lot of material to cover, but I remember being disappointed that the writer failed to mention what I	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally across the road from it? If even one rural family loses their lives because they didn't know a breach occurred or emergency responders couldn't reach them in time, is
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article that I thought would recap the meeting. Granted, there was a lot of material to cover, but I remember being disappointed that the writer failed to mention what I thought was the most glaring safety concern voiced at	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally across the road from it? If even one rural family loses their lives because they didn't know a breach occurred or emergency responders couldn't reach them in time, is that an acceptable incidental cost of doing business?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article that I thought would recap the meeting. Granted, there was a lot of material to cover, but I remember being disappointed that the writer failed to mention what I thought was the most glaring safety concern voiced at the hearing; namely, why won't Summit release their	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally across the road from it? If even one rural family loses their lives because they didn't know a breach occurred or emergency responders couldn't reach them in time, is that an acceptable incidental cost of doing business? It seems to me that Summit stands to make a lot
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? TERESA BAUER: Yes, I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. TERESA BAUER, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: TERESA BAUER: I will be brief. I have seven paragraphs, and some of it might seem repetitive. Bear with me. Thank you for your time today and for allowing me to give my personal testimony. I attended the public hearing held in Mandan and I noticed the press was there so I looked forward to reading the newspaper article that I thought would recap the meeting. Granted, there was a lot of material to cover, but I remember being disappointed that the writer failed to mention what I thought was the most glaring safety concern voiced at	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	will be addressed during construction and, more importantly, over the life of the project. We know how frost and torrential rain can cause land to shift, erode, and slide. We bury people deeper than this pipeline project proposes to lay their pipe. Will this pipeline turn towns and cities into a North Dakota Maui disaster? Currently, this proposed pipeline project will lay pipe within four miles of a populated area of Bismarck, Mandan, Lincoln, and Wahpeton. It is much closer to other inhabited structures along the remainder of the pipeline. What is the cost to ranchers if there is a breach and hundreds of cattle die? How do landowners recover their lost property value when the pipeline doesn't cross their land but they are literally across the road from it? If even one rural family loses their lives because they didn't know a breach occurred or emergency responders couldn't reach them in time, is that an acceptable incidental cost of doing business?

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV		
1	I know this paragraph is repetitive so I will not repeat	1	seemingly forced landowners to accept unwanted easements
2	it.	2	and strip their rights away from them. I have only
3	We know electrical grid reliability is an issue.	3	scratched the surface of the material gathered in this
4	This project will add load to North Dakota's system. Is	4	group's posts, but I can tell you it is fascinating
5	it correct to say that Summit and all electrical system	5	reading.
6	users will share the cost of the additional load put on	6	In summary, the experiment of sequestering this
7	the system by Summit's proposed pipeline project? Is	7	massive amount of CO2 should not be done using North
8	this fair? Will it result in higher electrical rates?	8	Dakota citizens. The PSC Commission is tasked with
9	If the electrical system is compromised by winter storms	9	protecting community safety. And I know you do not take
10	or an overload, who loses power first? Is it the	10	that lightly. I encourage you to remember your
11	ranchers' tank water heaters? Is it the electricity	11	commitment and responsibility to the citizens of North
12	going to rural homes? Is it our community schools? Our	12	Dakota. I believe this project is a money grab by
13	hospitals?	13	climate change activists. I encourage you to choose
14	Have landowners who signed easement agreements	14	wisely.
15	with Summit sold their land for the price of an	15	Thank you.
16	easement? Let me repeat that. Have landowners who	16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
17	signed easement agreements with Summit sold their land	17	MR. GLUDT: Briefly, Your Honor.
18	for the price of an easement?	18	Ms. Bauer, are you familiar with Chapter 38-22
19	I encourage you to listen to the recording of	19	of the North Dakota Century Code?
20	the May 20th Burleigh County Commission meeting.	20	TERESA BAUER: That actually was one of the
21	Commissioner Bitner does a detailed explanation of how	21	chapters referenced by Commissioner Bitner during the
22	the pore space storage facility is transferred to the	22	May 20th meeting.
23	State and how this also transfers the surface land area	23	MR. GLUDT: Are you aware that within that
24	too. This land transfer will move ownership of the land	24	provision of the Century Code, that it's only title to
25	to the State and allow Summit to walk away from the	25	the carbon dioxide that transfers to the State and that
	PAGE 281		PAGE 283
1	project and leave all decommissioning costs with the	1	no other property rights are transferred to the State?
2	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will	2	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding,
2	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project?	2	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at
2 3 4	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit	2 3 4	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century
2 3 4 5	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the	2 3 4 5	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the
2 3 4 5 6	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends.	2 3 4 5 6	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space.
2 3 4 5 6 7	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money	2 3 4 5 6 7	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more information about the pipeline project to visit Burleigh	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And that's one of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more information about the pipeline project to visit Burleigh County Opposing Carbon Capture on Facebook. It was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And that's one of the specific areas the Commission has already determined it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more information about the pipeline project to visit Burleigh County Opposing Carbon Capture on Facebook. It was intriguing to read about Governor Burgum's involvement	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And that's one of the specific areas the Commission has already determined it does not have jurisdiction over so I would ask that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more information about the pipeline project to visit Burleigh County Opposing Carbon Capture on Facebook. It was intriguing to read about Governor Burgum's involvement in the project, about the politics behind the scenes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And that's one of the specific areas the Commission has already determined it does not have jurisdiction over so I would ask that you refrain from any more questions or testimony regarding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more information about the pipeline project to visit Burleigh County Opposing Carbon Capture on Facebook. It was intriguing to read about Governor Burgum's involvement in the project, about the politics behind the scenes, whose family members are employed by which companies,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And that's one of the specific areas the Commission has already determined it does not have jurisdiction over so I would ask that you refrain from any more questions or testimony regarding PHMSA requirements.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	State. Does this mean all North Dakota taxpayers will pay for decommissioning this project? As a sidenote, if I remember correctly, Summit transfers the land to the State about the time that the federal money for this project ends. The debate here seems to be between big money interests and North Dakota citizens who want assurance their safety will not be compromised. Why the rush? Why not wait for the new federal regulations regarding CO2 pipelines? If the new federal regulations increase safety requirements to the point that it negates building this pipeline because of increased expenditures, then maybe it shouldn't be built now. Is this pipeline project being rushed through before new federal regulations for projects of this size and scope are put in place in the hope that this pipeline project will be grandfathered in? I encourage those of you who want more information about the pipeline project to visit Burleigh County Opposing Carbon Capture on Facebook. It was intriguing to read about Governor Burgum's involvement in the project, about the politics behind the scenes,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	TERESA BAUER: Well, it's my understanding, according to Mr. Bitner, if his testimony is correct at the meeting, that due to a 2009 change to the Century Code, that the land surface cannot be separated from the pore space. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Are you aware that PHMSA doesn't have any rules that require the dispersion modeling be confidential? TERESA BAUER: I was not aware of that and MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We got a pretty good recap of a lot of prior testimony and we let it come in as we believe it should, it's public testimony, but to now to go through a lengthy cross-examination on a lot of repetitive testimony I think is we could use our time better. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. And that's one of the specific areas the Commission has already determined it does not have jurisdiction over so I would ask that you refrain from any more questions or testimony regarding

	ND PUBLIC SERV	-	
1	So what was your answer? You didn't know? You	1	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
2	said you didn't know?	2	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
3	ALJ HOGAN: No. I asked you I'm sustaining	3	Thank you.
4	the objection.	4	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Bauer.
5	MR. JORDE: Oh, you sustained the objection.	5	TERESA BAUER: Thank you.
6	Okay. All right. So just as an offer of proof then,	6	ALJ HOGAN: Do we have anybody else that wishes
7	I'm making that as an offer of proof because it's the	7	to provide public testimony?
8	State that oversees that and the Feds have no role in	8	Yep, come on up.
9	determining what's confidential and Summit could provide	9	Oh, we've got two. Come up, sir.
10	these to all of us if it wanted to. So	10	Sir, if you want to start making your way up
11	MR. PELHAM: This witness would have testified	11	too, I saw you stand up over there. Yep, if you want to
12	to that? That's your offer of proof?	12	make your way up, start making your way up.
13	MR. JORDE: I can do it with other witnesses.	13	All right. Good afternoon. Could I have your
14	MR. PELHAM: Okay.	14	name?
15	MR. JORDE: Yeah.	15	LA VERNE KOENIG: La Verne Koenig.
16	MR. PELHAM: This witness can't testify to that,	16	ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name for me?
17	Mr. Jorde. An offer of proof properly made is a witness	17	LA VERNE KOENIG: K-O-E-N-I-G.
18	who would testify to something that is overruled as an	18	ALJ HOGAN: And were you in the room earlier
19	objection. That's the proper way to do it.	19	when I went through the penalties for perjury?
20	MR. JORDE: Yep. I'll bring several then during	20	LA VERNE KOENIG: Yes.
21	our technical hearings.	21	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
22	Thank you, ma'am. I appreciate it.	22	is?
23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	23	LA VERNE KOENIG: Yep.
24	MR. PELHAM: Yes.	24	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
25	Ms. Bauer, where do you live in Burleigh County?	25	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
	PAGE 285		PAGE 287
1	TERESA BAUER: I live north of 1804 and west of	1	in this case today?
2	83, within the north Bismarck ETA, approximately less	2	LA VERNE KOENIG: Yes.
3	than four miles south of the pipeline, the proposed	3	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.
4	pipeline.	4	LA VERNE KOENIG,
5	MR. PELHAM: Okay. And do you own any property,	5	being first duly sworn, testified as follows:
6	ma'am, that is proposed to be crossed by the pipeline?	6	LA VERNE KOENIG: I'm La Verne Koenig. I'm a
7	TERESA BAUER: I do not.	7	
8			spokesperson for a petition that we're circulating. One
	MR. PELHAM: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. I don't	8	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent
9	have any other questions for you.	9	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically
10	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?	9	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to
10 11	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann.	9 10 11	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth
10 11 12	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that.	9 10 11 12	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment.
10 11 12 13	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest	9 10 11 12 13	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation
10 11 12 13 14	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute?	9 10 11 12 13 14	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all
10 11 12 13 14 15	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other questions, but thanks for coming all the way over here.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates. We're also expanding that, that I'm not for
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other questions, but thanks for coming all the way over here. TERESA BAUER: Thank you.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates. We're also expanding that, that I'm not for or against this pipeline. I'm neutral. But I'm saying
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other questions, but thanks for coming all the way over here. TERESA BAUER: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates. We're also expanding that, that I'm not for or against this pipeline. I'm neutral. But I'm saying before they can use eminent domain or any of that type
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other questions, but thanks for coming all the way over here. TERESA BAUER: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Hi, Teresa. I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates. We're also expanding that, that I'm not for or against this pipeline. I'm neutral. But I'm saying before they can use eminent domain or any of that type of seizure, it has to be put to a vote of the people
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other questions, but thanks for coming all the way over here. TERESA BAUER: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Hi, Teresa. I have no questions for you, but thank you for your	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates. We're also expanding that, that I'm not for or against this pipeline. I'm neutral. But I'm saying before they can use eminent domain or any of that type of seizure, it has to be put to a vote of the people statewide, that the people agree that it's a good deal,
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have any other questions for you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I caught most of that. Did you say about four miles south of the newest reroute? TERESA BAUER: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other TERESA BAUER: That's as near as I can determine with the Summit maps based on their scale. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other questions, but thanks for coming all the way over here. TERESA BAUER: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Hi, Teresa. I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the issues is to repeal and replace the eminent domain provision of our Constitution that's basically unconstitutional because they allowed these companies to go on your property in violation of the Fourth Amendment. And then it says in there that the compensation shall be determined or ascertained by a jury, but all the people that have experienced eminent domain have never been given a jury trial. And it doesn't matter if it's for the pipeline or the water boards, these utility lines and all that stuff. Nobody's been given a jury trial like the Constitution mandates. We're also expanding that, that I'm not for or against this pipeline. I'm neutral. But I'm saying before they can use eminent domain or any of that type of seizure, it has to be put to a vote of the people

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

```
property until there's been a jury trial had to give
                                                                           13,000 miles on my car going throughout the state.
 2
     them that permission. But any landowner that's against
                                                                           because this is all statewide issues. Stop the
 3
     that doesn't have to let them go on even if they do
                                                                           diversion, stop this -- because it's our tax dollars.
 4
     until all appeals processes have been utilized.
                                                                           It's just a gift to these people that we have to
 5
             The second thing is we own the land. It's my
                                                                           continue paying more and more taxes because everything
 6
                                                                       6
     land. Why are they telling me how much you're going to
                                                                           is going to go up in time.
                                                                       7
 7
     give me for my land? We go to the gas pump. We have to
                                                                                   You know, they -- there's a Drain 11. When they
 8
     pay what the gas pump says. We buy electricity, we have
                                                                       8
                                                                           said -- started that, that was a $4 billion -- $4
 9
     to pay what they say. Why do -- why are the people
                                                                       9
                                                                           million project. Now before --
10
                                                                      10
     being treated like they're inferior, that these
                                                                                    MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
11
                                                                      11
     multi-million dollar projects are only about bringing
                                                                           We're outside the scope of this hearing at this point.
12
     income revenue in?
                                                                      12
                                                                           I'd ask that you ask the witness to testify specifically
13
             And our state legislature's taken rights of the
                                                                      13
                                                                           as to the pipeline application and the permit that's
14
     people away from them so -- and many of them are
                                                                      14
                                                                           applied before the Commission. Thank you.
15
                                                                      15
     attorneys. So we got a consequence for that is we're
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, if you could limit your
16
     going to take away all your law licenses. It's going to
                                                                      16
                                                                           comments to just this pipeline, I'd appreciate that.
17
     be invalidated and voided until you can recite the North
                                                                      17
                                                                                    LA VERNE KOENIG: Well, like I'm saying, it
18
     Dakota Constitution in total and taking the bar exam
                                                                      18
                                                                           should be -- eminent domain is unconstitutional. They
19
     again. And then, because we know that you're not
                                                                      19
                                                                           should have to put it to a vote of the people before any
20
                                                                      20
     honest, we're going to make you recite that. That
                                                                           pipeline can be even thought about. If we put this on
21
                                                                      21
     recitation has to be audio and video recorded, time and
                                                                           the ballot, I'm sure that the people are going to vote
22
     date stamped.
                                                                      22
                                                                           eminent domain down and we're going to have a new set of
23
                                                                      23
             We're also -- I don't know how many people of
                                                                           rules for that. And so whatever you guys decide, you
24
     you are appointed or how many are elected, but we're
                                                                      24
                                                                           know, maybe wait until November, December, and see what
25
     going to expand that term limit thing to everybody.
                                                                      25
                                                                           the outcome of the general election is.
                                                        PAGE 289
                                                                                                                              PAGE 291
                                                                       1
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
 1
     Appointed two terms and you're history. You know, it's
 2
     going to be applied retroactively so that --
                                                                       2
                                                                                   Mr. Gludt, any questions?
 3
             You know, people get -- there's too much money
                                                                       3
                                                                                   MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.
 4
     going under the table about these special interest
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.
                                                                       5
 5
     projects. In our eminent domain process, we're saving
                                                                                   MR. JORDE: No. Thank you very much.
 6
     that when they cross my land or your land or whoever's
                                                                       6
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
                                                                       7
 7
     land, they pay you 1 percent of their gross revenue
                                                                                    MR. PELHAM: No questions. Thank you.
 8
     annually.
                                                                       8
                                                                                   ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.
 9
                                                                       9
             There won't be no taking that with -- I heard
                                                                                    Commissioner Christmann.
                                                                                    COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: You said wait until
10
                                                                      10
     some people say 99-year leases. That won't be
11
                                                                      11
     happening. We're going to change that so it's got to be
                                                                           November to see what the result of the election is. Is
12
     a term limit. Like this one lady said that sometime in
                                                                      12
                                                                           your petition -- do you have the signatures and it's
13
     maybe the next hundred years this pipeline company could
                                                                      13
                                                                           turned in that it's going to be on the ballot this year?
14
     sell it to others -- other companies. We're going to
                                                                      14
                                                                           Because --
15
     put a provision in there that once that -- if they sell
                                                                      15
                                                                                    LA VERNE KOENIG: Not yet. We haven't got --
16
     that pipeline to somebody else, that that terminates all
                                                                      16
                                                                           well, we had almost 45 people on there, but then the
17
     -- any easements they may have.
                                                                      17
                                                                           petition was 40-some pages long. And so when we started
18
                                                                           shortening it up, some of them didn't like that. And
             But we're also -- like in our wind generation
                                                                      18
19
     plants and all that, 20 percent of that revenue has to
                                                                      19
                                                                           then I also found out we had to have affidavits and some
20
     stay in the state to lower our price. There's no reason
                                                                      20
                                                                           people said, "No, we don't want to do that." But -- but
21
                                                                      21
     that we're paying three and a half dollars a gallon for
                                                                           we had too many -- like Fargo against the diversion. I
22
     gas when, you know, we can make more money selling it on
                                                                      22
                                                                           said no, this has got to be a statewide issue. So I've
23
     the world market. So we could be paying a dollar a
                                                                      23
                                                                           been driving all over the state trying to find people
24
     gallon for gas instead of three and a half.
                                                                      24
                                                                           throughout the state. But when we turn it in, you know,
25
             And I -- since February 25th, I've probably put
                                                                      25
                                                                           we'll -- well, depends when I turn it in.
```

PAGE 292

PAGE 290

	ND PUBLIC SERV	-	
1	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay.	1	anything, kind of explain your cause." And that's why
2	LA VERNE KOENIG: Then the Secretary of State	2	I'm up here.
3	has five to seven days to approve it or the form, and	3	And I don't really have a lot, just mainly my
4	then if he approves it, then we got we got the other	4	unique situation. I live in Richland County, by
5	approximately 35,000 signatures to get it on the by	5	Wyndmere, just south there with my wife and two boys.
6	July 8th to get it on the ballot.	6	And, you know, we heard about the pipeline here
7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you.	7	back when it started and kind of learned a little bit
8	LA VERNE KOENIG: We're hoping to get a hundred	8	about it. A lot of visits from people and stuff like
9	thousand.	9	that. And we never were much for it. Still aren't and
10	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I forgot too. Where	10	that.
11	are you from?	11	And we got approached with different reroutes
12	LA VERNE KOENIG: My mother. She's in Heaven.	12	and stuff, and said I'm not really interested in that
13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Where do you live?	13	because it where we live and where our shop, it was
14	LA VERNE KOENIG: Blanchard, North Dakota.	14	within hundreds of feet. And I never went and measured
15	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: All right.	15	it, but that's it was in the hundreds of feet. And
16	LA VERNE KOENIG: Up in Traill County.	16	then every day I travel to our farm and we would cross
17	Blanchard, North Dakota.	17	then I would cross the pipeline every day going to
18	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	18	work. And that's where on the north side where we
19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I have no	19	live and south side where I work. So it's kind of right
20	questions, but thank you for your testimony.	20	in between there, which a different location is too.
21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions.	21	And then I said, well, the neighbors have
22	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Nothing.	22	visited. They really want it and stuff, they can sure
23	Thank you.	23	have it. But they weren't interested in that, moving it
24	ALJ HOGAN: And, sir, I didn't see your name on	24	that far or whatever.
25	the sign-in sheet. There's one right there if you	25	So the unique situation with us is, you know,
	PAGE 293		PAGE 295
-			the control the control to the contr
1	wouldn't mind signing in.	1 1	through the years we pought property from this runs
1 2	wouldn't mind signing in. LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that.	1 2	through the years we bought property from this runs east and west, and our property is north and south and
2	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that.	2	east and west, and our property is north and south and
	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.		east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north
2 3 4	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good	2 3 4	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you
2 3 4 5	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the	2 3 4 5	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but
2 3 4 5 6	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record?	2 3 4 5 6	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs
2 3 4 5	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel.	2 3 4 5	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that building probably couldn't be put up, I would guess.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. PAUL KUZEL, being first duly sworn, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that building probably couldn't be put up, I would guess. And from the beginning, like others said, bully
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. PAUL KUZEL, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: PAUL KUZEL: Well, I guess I never planned on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that building probably couldn't be put up, I would guess. And from the beginning, like others said, bully approach. "How much money would it take" type of talk.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. PAUL KUZEL; being first duly sworn, testified as follows: PAUL KUZEL: Well, I guess I never planned on coming up here today, we just kind of came to check it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that building probably couldn't be put up, I would guess. And from the beginning, like others said, bully approach. "How much money would it take" type of talk. And I said there's no there's no money worth a life.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. PAUL KUZEL: being first duly sworn, testified as follows: PAUL KUZEL: Well, I guess I never planned on coming up here today, we just kind of came to check it out and stuff, but I visited with my neighbors over here	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that building probably couldn't be put up, I would guess. And from the beginning, like others said, bully approach. "How much money would it take" type of talk. And I said there's no there's no money worth a life. So it's basically what I have. And we don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	LA VERNE KOENIG: (Indiscernible) do that. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. And, sir, if you want to come up. Good afternoon. Can I have you state your name for the record? PAUL KUZEL: Paul Kuzel. ALJ HOGAN: Can you spell your last name? PAUL KUZEL: K-U-Z-E-L. ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Kuzel, were you in the room earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And do you know what perjury is? PAUL KUZEL: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? PAUL KUZEL: Yes, ma'am. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead. PAUL KUZEL; being first duly sworn, testified as follows: PAUL KUZEL: Well, I guess I never planned on coming up here today, we just kind of came to check it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	east and west, and our property is north and south and it runs, like, nearly four miles. And then on the north end is the river, which I don't I don't know if you can they can put their pipe in the river area, but our property starts at the end of the river and runs south for approximately four miles. So how do you reroute is the my question with that. So, I mean, I don't want to be smart, but I'd like to say 500 feet from these guys' residence, that's where I would like to see it. But I don't think the pipeline is needed. And this is our best land that would be damaged. On the way up here we saw a pipeline area, water the whole way; where the pipe was, it's all water, just sits there. I don't know what's in the future, I was planning to build a building towards where this pipeline is proposed so I'm guessing, if that was there, that building probably couldn't be put up, I would guess. And from the beginning, like others said, bully approach. "How much money would it take" type of talk. And I said there's no there's no money worth a life.

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	I don't know if I can answer questions or not, but	1	MR. JORDE: Okay. And then there's been some
2	that's about all I got.	2	discussion about value of land. Would you purchase farm
3	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	3	ground or any ground if you knew it had the Summit
4	Mr. Gludt, any questions?	4	Carbon pipeline on it?
5	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	5	PAUL KUZEL: Have to be quite low.
6	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	6	MR. JORDE: Okay. Maybe a considerable
7	MR. JORDE: So you are a directly affected,	7	discount?
8	targeted landowner; is that right?	8	PAUL KUZEL: Right.
9	PAUL KUZEL: Yes.	9	MR. JORDE: But in any event, you wouldn't
10	MR. JORDE: And what's your best estimation for	10	locate your home or your any buildings on the
11	how far the proposed centerline of the route would be	11	property?
12	from your home?	12	PAUL KUZEL: No, sir.
13	PAUL KUZEL: From our house, we could see it out	13	MR. JORDE: And to be clear, are you asking the
14	our bedroom. I'd say maybe 700 feet from the house and	14	Commission to deny this or are you asking them, if they
15	probably 500 from the shop area.	15	don't do that, to at least reroute around you, or what's
16	MR. JORDE: And I take it you spend quite a bit	16	your specific request?
17	of time in and around the shop area?	17	PAUL KUZEL: Well, I'm asking for a denial. We
18	PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, every day. Yes.	18	don't want to see it at all. But like I said, how do
19	MR. JORDE: Okay. And your kids are probably in	19	you reroute? Either you have to cross a river, and the
20	and outside in the nicer months and around your home?	20	river bottom is about a quarter mile wide, or you have
21	PAUL KUZEL: Yes. Yeah.	21	to go, like, seven miles south so to get away.
22	MR. JORDE: And has Summit asked you to sign a	22	MR. JORDE: So and I'm sorry, I'm not
			• •
23 24	waiver? A waiver. In other words, that they believe	23 24	familiar with your property, but can't they just go
	you might be 500 feet or closer to the pipeline?		maybe a few thousand feet south? Why do you say they
25	PAUL KUZEL: There's been so many things asked PAGE 297	25	have to go seven miles south? PAGE 299
4			
1	and I was just have said no.	1	PAUL KUZEL: Because that's all we own all
2	MR. JORDE: Okay.	2	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it
2	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even	2	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past
2 3 4	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been.	2 3 4	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that.
2 3 4 5	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future	2 3 4 5	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically	2 3 4 5 6	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if	2 3 4 5 6 7	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate PAUL KUZEL: There was a reroute a few hundred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I guess I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate PAUL KUZEL: There was a reroute a few hundred feet and that that didn't cut it for me. I said no,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I guess I would just suggest, if you have a reroute, you may want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate PAUL KUZEL: There was a reroute a few hundred feet and that that didn't cut it for me. I said no, it's it's still close.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I guess I would just suggest, if you have a reroute, you may want to submit it to the PSC here, but I don't have any more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate PAUL KUZEL: There was a reroute a few hundred feet and that that didn't cut it for me. I said no, it's it's still close. MR. JORDE: And have they explained why they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I guess I would just suggest, if you have a reroute, you may want to submit it to the PSC here, but I don't have any more questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate PAUL KUZEL: There was a reroute a few hundred feet and that that didn't cut it for me. I said no, it's it's still close. MR. JORDE: And have they explained why they refused to move it to accommodate you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I guess I would just suggest, if you have a reroute, you may want to submit it to the PSC here, but I don't have any more questions. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know what it's all even been. MR. JORDE: And then you said you had future plans to maybe build and expand and develop economically your own property, but you you wouldn't do that if the pipeline was located where they propose? PAUL KUZEL: Correct. I'd have to go somewhere else because it wouldn't be safe there. MR. JORDE: And going somewhere else would be an inconvenience and increase your cost? PAUL KUZEL: More than likely, yeah, because it was a convenient location. Like, you know, you'd have to build up the property, accommodate it, to make it work. MR. JORDE: Has anyone from Summit approached you with a workaround, a solution, any type of solution that would alleviate PAUL KUZEL: There was a reroute a few hundred feet and that that didn't cut it for me. I said no, it's it's still close. MR. JORDE: And have they explained why they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that property, that long, a four-mile stretch. So it wouldn't be seven but maybe five or six to get past that. MR. JORDE: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: So then from our farmstead and shop, that's my then the next one is my dad's, which our son is moving to eventually. The next one is my brother. The next one's his son. So we have farms and yards right down the line there. MR. JORDE: Okay. So along the whole area it's not just your residence PAUL KUZEL: Right. MR. JORDE: you have your entire family. PAUL KUZEL: Many multiple yards that we run out of. MR. JORDE: Are you here also speaking on their behalf, their opposition? PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, I guess I am. Yeah. MR. JORDE: Okay. All right. I guess I would just suggest, if you have a reroute, you may want to submit it to the PSC here, but I don't have any more questions. Thank you.

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	Mr. Kuzel, thanks for being here. I'm just	1	across the river, which would be I don't know how
2	wondering if you can provide the legal description of	2	that works when you're crossing rivers and stuff, but
3	your property where the proposed line is proposed to be	3	that's the only thing I see feasible for us.
4	crossing?	4	MR. PELHAM: When you had these conversations
5	PAUL KUZEL: I have so I have the name of the	5	with Summit, did they were they in person or were
6	townships. One is Dexter Township number 1, northeast	6	they on the phone, mostly?
7	quarter, and the other is Liberty Grove, section 6, the	7	PAUL KUZEL: First right off the bat it was in
8	northeast quarter.	8	person. They'd come over. And then it was phone after
9	MR. PELHAM: And I think you said "bully	9	that.
10	tactics." I'm wondering if you can elaborate on that.	10	MR. PELHAM: All right. Sir, I don't have any
11	What do you mean by the "bully approach"?	11	other questions for you. Thank you.
12	PAUL KUZEL: Well, like like a lot of the	12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?
13	people have said, "This is where it's going, we're	13	MR. SCHOCK: So you don't have the township,
14	coming through, get ready for it," you know.	14	range
15	MR. PELHAM: Did you have conversations with	15	PAUL KUZEL: Like I said, I didn't plan on
16	representatives of Summit?	16	coming up here today. This is by memory.
17	PAUL KUZEL: Yes.	17	MR. SCHOCK: And that's fine. I can look it up.
18	MR. PELHAM: And approximately when would the	18	I was trying to kind of pull it up on the map as we were
19	first conversation that you recall	19	talking here, but those names will help. I'll be able
20	PAUL KUZEL: I'm guessing it was in '21	20	to figure it out. I just it may not be while you're
21	sometime.	21	still on the stand, but thank you for coming.
22	MR. PELHAM: And when they first came to you,	22	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.
23	what was their request or what did they tell you?	23	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: No. I also was going
24	PAUL KUZEL: Well, wanting to get signed up, you	24	to look at the maps, but we don't have township names on
25	know, get	25	our maps so I don't have any questions, but I do
	PAGE 301		PAGE 303
1	MR. PELHAM: So they provided you a copy of an	1	appreciate you coming up from all the way from
1 2	MR. PELHAM: So they provided you a copy of an easement.	1 2	appreciate you coming up from all the way from Wyndmere. Good to have you here.
2	easement.	2	Wyndmere. Good to have you here.
2	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like	2	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
2 3 4	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this.	2 3 4	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank
2 3 4 5	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it.	2 3 4 5	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a
2 3 4 5 6	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit?	2 3 4 5 6	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with
2 3 4 5 6 7	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and	2 3 4 5 6 7	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it used to be.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a year.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it used to be. MR. PELHAM: And I know that you already	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a year. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it used to be. MR. PELHAM: And I know that you already testified that you don't really see how a reroute can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a year. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know that for certain, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it used to be. MR. PELHAM: And I know that you already testified that you don't really see how a reroute can work because of the amount of property you own and the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a year. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know that for certain, but it's been a while back.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it used to be. MR. PELHAM: And I know that you already testified that you don't really see how a reroute can work because of the amount of property you own and the proximity to the river. In your mind, is there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a year. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know that for certain, but it's been a while back. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So we'll just say
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	easement. PAUL KUZEL: Yeah, there's like a stack like this. MR. PELHAM: All right. And haven't signed it. Were there follow-up conversations with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Many different people came and called and harassed some and MR. PELHAM: Okay. When you say "harassed," can you be more specific as to what you mean by that? PAUL KUZEL: Just just the steady calling and wanting to get you know, get it done. And I to this day I just don't want it there. You know, you live somewhere your whole life and your parents, grandparents, all them have lived there, and it's been safe, we've never had an issue. Well, now this is an issue. And it's not going to be the same old place it used to be. MR. PELHAM: And I know that you already testified that you don't really see how a reroute can work because of the amount of property you own and the proximity to the river. In your mind, is there any reroute that that could be proposed to Summit, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Wyndmere. Good to have you here. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. Paul, thank you for testifying. I do have a question or maybe a follow-up. When was the last communication you had with Summit? PAUL KUZEL: Well, has to be it would be quite a ways back. I had gotten in with a group at the Strege Law Firm in town here that was concerning all the pipeline stuff. And it was over our head so I just had them help. And then there was a last-ditch effort by Summit to buy us out and it was, you know, very, you know, generous, but still the money wasn't worth it to us. And that would probably be the last connection we've had with them. It's got to be over a year ago. Over a year. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. PAUL KUZEL: I don't know that for certain, but it's been a while back. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So we'll just say would you approximately a year ago?

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	attorney or	1	MR. JORDE: Absolutely.
2	PAUL KUZEL: No.	2	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Could you repeat the
3	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No?	3	land description?
4	PAUL KUZEL: Haven't no.	4	MR. JORDE: So we're in Sargent County and it's
5	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Okay. No	5	sections 11, 14, and 15 in township 130 north, range 58
6	further questions. Thank you.	6	west.
7	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?	7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm good. Thank you.
8	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I have no	8	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, ma'am, now you testified, I
9	questions. Thank you for coming.	9	believe, in this very room approximately a year ago
10	PAUL KUZEL: Thank you.	10	about your concerns; is that correct?
11	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you, Mr. Kuzel. And I don't	11	A. Yes, I did.
12	know, I didn't see your name on the sign-in sheet either	12	Q. And from that time up until today, has Summit
13	so if you wouldn't mind signing in, I'd appreciate it.	13	provided you any options, any reroutes, any type of
14	Is there anybody else that would like to provide	14	feedback based on the worries and concerns you shared a
15	public testimony?	15	year ago?
16	All right. I'll turn back to you, Mr. Jorde.	16	A. I think the only thing they did is they sent
17	MR. JORDE: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. We will	17	another offer.
18	call Valera Hayen.	18	Q. Okay. But not not if they did send an
19	ALJ HOGAN: Good afternoon. I'll have you start	19	offer and I'm not familiar with that, but maybe they
20	by stating your full name for the record and spelling	20	did did it address your concerns?
21	your last name.	21	A. No.
22	VALERA HAYEN: Valera Hayen, H-A-Y-E-N.	22	Q. Okay. And then recently, in April 16th or
23	ALJ HOGAN: And were you in the room earlier	23	thereabouts, you along with Mr. Leibel and my assistants
24	when I went through the penalties for perjury?	24	prepared a letter, a potential modification of their
25	VALERA HAYEN: Yes.	25	proposed route, and then we sent that to their counsel.
	PAGE 305		PAGE 307
1	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	1	Do you recall that?
	: 2	_	
2	is?	2	A. Yes.
2 3	VALERA HAYEN: Yes.	3	A. Yes.Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's
3	VALERA HAYEN: Yes.	3	Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's
3 4	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	3 4	Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6,
3 4 5	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	3 4 5	Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached?
3 4 5 6 7 8	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	3 4 5 6	Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes.	3 4 5 6 7	Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor Q. Okay. And, ma'am	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that we're going to talk about in just a second.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor Q. Okay. And, ma'am ALJ HOGAN: Hang on.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that we're going to talk about in just a second. So there was the April 16th, 2024, letter that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor Q. Okay. And, ma'am ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: Oh, I'm sorry.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that we're going to talk about in just a second. So there was the April 16th, 2024, letter that we sent out. And then we didn't get a response from
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: Oh, I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm sorry. I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that we're going to talk about in just a second. So there was the April 16th, 2024, letter that we sent out. And then we didn't get a response from that; is that correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: Oh, I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm sorry. I was making another note and I didn't write fast enough, but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that we're going to talk about in just a second. So there was the April 16th, 2024, letter that we sent out. And then we didn't get a response from that; is that correct? A. That's correct.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? VALERA HAYEN: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde. VALERA HAYEN, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Ma'am, your targeted land is in Sargent County, township 130 north, range 58 west, and in sections 11, 14, and 15; is that correct? A. Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: Oh, I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm sorry. I was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And do you happen to have a copy? If not, it's in that big book and I'd have you turn to Tab No. 6, please. All right. Do you see that there, Tab No. 6 with some colored maps attached? A. Yes. Q. All right. MR. JORDE: I will offer Exhibit Landowner 6. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 6? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. A. This is the one that ALJ HOGAN: Hang on. MR. JORDE: One moment. Just one second. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection? MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 6 is received. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And there's another one that we're going to talk about in just a second. So there was the April 16th, 2024, letter that we sent out. And then we didn't get a response from that; is that correct?

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV		
1	on May 17th, 2024, which starts on page 4 of this	1	be kind of a black line running east-west and then
2	exhibit, you had us send yet another letter; correct?	2	north-south, is that your proposed reroute?
3	A. Yeah.	3	A. Yes, it is.
4	Q. You got it there?	4	Q. And then your property relative to that reroute,
5	A. May 17th?	5	where is it located?
6	Q. Yes, ma'am.	6	A. Mine is up in the hills. It would not touch my
7	A. Uh-huh.	7	property.
8	Q. And then three days before this hearing we got	8	Q. Okay. Very good.
9	what's kind of a template response letter from	9	And you, I remember, testified last year about
10	Mr. Bender of Summit about your property saying	10	the virgin prairie and pasture and that that was very
11	basically that they are open for dialogue. Do you	11	important to you, you know, it can't be replaced. You
12	recall that?	12	had heard nothing about going around or at least
13	A. No, I don't.	13	preserving that area?
14	Q. Okay. And that's fine. That's okay.	14	A. No. No.
15	All right, ma'am, so maybe you could put in your	15	
			Q. And so if you know, on May 21st, I'll tell
16	words what you were trying to attempt here with engaging	16	you if you didn't see it yet, we got a letter from
17	in some dialogue with Summit related to rerouting just	17	Summit and now they want to talk to us. Is there
18	to kind of make it clear what you are asking for or	18	anything new you can share with them that you didn't
19	suggesting.	19	already tell them a year ago?
20	A. First of all, pasture is a crop just like any	20	A. For one thing, there's no no roads up there.
21	like any other. And my land is environmentally	21	If they put the pipeline through there, there's no
22	sensitive. This project will completely destroy virgin	22	access. And in the wintertime it's it's full of
23	prairie and it's gone forever. Truckloads of rocks will	23	snow. It would be very difficult.
24	have to be hauled out, causing more damage, taking years	24	Q. And so when you say there's no access, they'd
25	and years to get it back to get any grass back. They	25	have to be driving and tromping across your property to
	PAGE 309		PAGE 311
1	diagonally cut across leaving me no access to the field	1	get to the pipeline?
1 2	diagonally cut across leaving me no access to the field and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent	1 2	get to the pipeline? A. It would be very difficult.
2	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent	2	A. It would be very difficult.
2	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years.	2	A. It would be very difficult.Q. Is that because of the topography?
2 3 4	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul	2 3 4	A. It would be very difficult.Q. Is that because of the topography?A. Yes.
2 3 4 5	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I	2 3 4 5	 A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did
2 3 4 5 6	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor	2 3 4 5 6	 A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling
2 3 4 5 6 7	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely following our Jackson township zoning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get you to agree to have it located 500 or fewer feet from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely following our Jackson township zoning. Q. Okay. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get you to agree to have it located 500 or fewer feet from your home?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely following our Jackson township zoning. Q. Okay. Thank you. And I appreciate the verbal kind of you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get you to agree to have it located 500 or fewer feet from your home? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely following our Jackson township zoning. Q. Okay. Thank you. And I appreciate the verbal kind of you mentioned a route A, but I just want to be clear, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get you to agree to have it located 500 or fewer feet from your home? A. No. Q. Do you know how Summit went about measuring from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely following our Jackson township zoning. Q. Okay. Thank you. And I appreciate the verbal kind of you mentioned a route A, but I just want to be clear, if you can look at the maps right in front of you there, is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get you to agree to have it located 500 or fewer feet from your home? A. No. Q. Do you know how Summit went about measuring from the pipeline to a residence in order to determine if it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and no access to water for the cattle, unable to rent probably for two or three years. No one has talked to me or my neighbor, Paul Mathews, in about two years. You say 41 attempts. I I got one text message asking me to bring my neighbor Paul over to talk. And some calls were made to my kids. I told you "no" on the first day the land agent came. And I texted "find another route" on July 13th, 2022. That message is still on my phone. "No" means "no." I don't think you even tried to find another route. You could have contacted me through my lawyer and he's tried to discuss easement language, but you have shut him off also. I tried to come up with another route through my land, but it does not work. Route A would get you through our township with the least impact on residents and, by following the quarter lines, minimize grief for farmers. No steep hills or large rocks. More closely following our Jackson township zoning. Q. Okay. Thank you. And I appreciate the verbal kind of you mentioned a route A, but I just want to be clear, if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. It would be very difficult. Q. Is that because of the topography? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And in the meantime since a year ago, did Summit provide you with any of the dispersion modeling or any of the risk analysis information? A. No, they haven't. Q. All right. A. My my house is about 500 feet from the line, from the route, and I wouldn't have time to get out, especially with a northwest wind. The county road heaves over winter, shifting rocks and and shifting rocks have cut underground power lines. We would we would be forced to take the risk but not allowed to see the dispersion or see a safety plan or learn what to do. What are you hiding? Q. Now, you said about 500 feet. Has Summit presented you a waiver or kind of a form to try to get you to agree to have it located 500 or fewer feet from your home? A. No. Q. Do you know how Summit went about measuring from

	ND PUBLIC SER		
1	A. No, I don't.	1	where they wanted it instead of come down here?
2	Q. All right. And do you have any other buildings	2	So I guess that's it.
3	that anyone occupies that are closer than your home is	3	Q. Is that all you have there, Valera?
4	to the proposed route?	4	Okay. So to be clear, the request is, if this
5	A. No.	5	isn't denied outright, that you would like the
6	Q. Okay. Do you live there alone, ma'am?	6	Commission to direct Summit to reroute around you
7	A. Yes.	7	substantially similar to how you've proposed in your
8	Q. Okay. And you have concerns being that close,	8	Exhibit 6?
9	approximately 500 feet, of what what would happen out	9	A. Yes.
10	there by yourself?	10	Q. Yes?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. And we've talked about this over with our
12	And another thing is they they'll need more	12	township and this is what we
13	electricity. We already have brownouts occasionally.	13	Q. What was that about the township? Did they
14	And we don't need more problems with that.	14	A. It's our zoning.
15	Q. And are you referencing Mr. Pickering, one of	15	Q. Okay. And the zoning was what?
16	their witnesses, that said it's actually a benefit that	16	A. Stay a half a mile away from any residence.
17	this pipeline would consumer more energy and be more of	17	Q. Okay. All right.
18	a draw on the grid?	18	A. And there's conditions about boring under the
19	A. Yes.	19	road and and a conditional use permit.
20	Q. And you don't agree with that?	20	Q. Okay. And you would like that to be respected?
21	A. No.	21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Do you depend on electricity in your area?	22	Q. All right. Thank you very much. I appreciate
23	A. Yes, we do.	23	it. I don't have anything further.
24	Q. Are there any other comments or information	24	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
25	you'd like to share?	25	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor.
	PAGE 313		PAGE 315
1	A. Well, with that Louisiana leak, they talked	1	CDOCC EVAMINATION
1 '	A. Well, With that Louisiana leak, they taked		CROSS EXAMINATION
2	about animals being affected at 1 percent and	2	BY MR. GLUDT:
2	about animals being affected at 1 percent and	2	BY MR. GLUDT:
2	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's	2	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its
2 3 4	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even	2 3 4	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the
2 3 4 5	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting	2 3 4 5	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes?
2 3 4 5 6	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood.	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not
2 3 4 5 6	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay. A. A half a mile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry. A. I'm in section 12 and it's right by the county
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay. A. A half a mile. Q. Okay. All right. What else, ma'am?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry. A. I'm in section 12 and it's right by the county road. And that road heaves every winter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay. A. A half a mile. Q. Okay. All right. What else, ma'am? A. What about liability for for these cattle?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry. A. I'm in section 12 and it's right by the county road. And that road heaves every winter. Q. Okay. So you're in section 12. And it looks
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay. A. A half a mile. Q. Okay. All right. What else, ma'am? A. What about liability for for these cattle? Something happens there, who's who's going to pay for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry. A. I'm in section 12 and it's right by the county road. And that road heaves every winter. Q. Okay. So you're in section 12. And it looks like you are in the south I'm assuming the southwest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay. A. A half a mile. Q. Okay. All right. What else, ma'am? A. What about liability for for these cattle? Something happens there, who's who's going to pay for that? And this is for for one ethanol plant. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry. A. I'm in section 12 and it's right by the county road. And that road heaves every winter. Q. Okay. So you're in section 12. And it looks like you are in the south I'm assuming the southwest quarter of section 12?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	about animals being affected at 1 percent and 1 percent concentration. So what about my renter's cattle up in the hills and everything? They maybe even won't want to rent it anymore. All of this is affecting my livelihood. Q. And then the cattle, how close is the cattle or the pasture or the yard to where the proposed pipeline is? A. There's cattle right across the road from me. Q. Okay. So A. Which isn't my land, but there's there's cattle there too. Q. Okay. So within a few hundred feet A. Yeah. Q 500 feet or so? A. Mine mine is a little farther away but Q. Okay. A. A half a mile. Q. Okay. All right. What else, ma'am? A. What about liability for for these cattle? Something happens there, who's who's going to pay for that? And this is for for one ethanol plant. And I can't see doing all this damage in our in our state	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Ms. Hayen, would you allow Summit or its representatives on your property to do surveys on the areas of of your proposed reroutes? A. That's not on my property. The reroute is not on my property. Q. Your proposed reroutes is off your property? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't have any questions for you, ma'am. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I'd just like to clarify where your house is that you or your residence. Sorry. A. I'm in section 12 and it's right by the county road. And that road heaves every winter. Q. Okay. So you're in section 12. And it looks like you are in the south I'm assuming the southwest quarter of section 12? A. Yes.

1	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
	A. Uh-huh.	1	received into evidence?
2	Q quarter section? Okay. I see. Okay. Just	2	A. Landowner 30?
3	wanted to be sure.	3	Q. Well, would you like the Commission to have this
4	Thank you very much. I don't have any other	4	document?
5	questions.	5	A. Yes, yes.
6	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.	6	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, I would request that
7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: That was my only	7	what we've just handed out from Mr. Dotzenrod be noted
8	question. Thank you for being here, though.	8	as Landowner 30 and be offered into evidence.
9	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	9	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to Landowner 30,
10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: No questions, but	10	Mr. Gludt?
11	thank you for your testimony.	11	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.
12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
13	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.	13	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
14	Thank you.	14	ALJ HOGAN: All right. 30 is received.
15	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect?	15	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Now, sir, I noticed you've got
16	MR. JORDE: No, thank you.	16	a lot of things going on up there and I just hope that
17	ALJ HOGAN: Thank you, ma'am.	17	we can focus maybe on some new concerns or issues.
18	Next witness.	18	Let me start with Landowner 30. You have a red
19	MR. JORDE: We will call Ben Dotzenrod.	19	line kind of in marker or Sharpie notated on the bottom
20	ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll have you start by	20	of the first page. What does that depict?
21	stating your full name for the record.	21	A. So that is a drainage easement that Rose
22	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Ben Dotzenrod,	22	Dotzenrod holds on this property.
23	D-O-T-Z-E-N-R-O-D.	23	Q. Okay. And that's your mother?
24	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Dotzenrod, were you in the	24	A. Correct.
25	room earlier when I went through the penalties for	25	Q. All right. And is the point to depict that this
	PAGE 317		PAGE 319
1	perjury?	1	Summit pipeline route still crosses this drainage
2	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes.	2	easement?
3	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	3	A. Correct.
4	is?	4	Q. Okay. Very good.
5	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes.	5	Where to start with you. Okay. So you're aware
6	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	6	that since a year ago and I think the Dotzenrods, you
7	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	7	were specifically mentioned, I believe, in the PSC
8	in this case to do. O	8	
	in this case today?	°	denial order that Summit has slightly jogged around
9	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes.	9	denial order that Summit has slightly jogged around your legal property boundary; correct?
9 10			- 11 - 1
	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes.	9	your legal property boundary; correct?
10	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	9 10	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this
10 11	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that	9 10 11	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple
10 11 12	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of	9 10 11 12	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times.
10 11 12 13	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over	9 10 11 12 13	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of
10 11 12 13 14	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered.	9 10 11 12 13 14	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected
10 11 12 13 14 15	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. BEN DOTZENROD,	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Go ahead.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. BEN DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Go ahead. A. Okay. So so I there is a signed easement
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. BEN DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Go ahead. A. Okay. So so I there is a signed easement on it's the Ulven family. I don't remember the name
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. BEN DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Go ahead. A. Okay. So so I there is a signed easement on it's the Ulven family. I don't remember the name but
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. BEN DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Go ahead. A. Okay. So so I there is a signed easement on it's the Ulven family. I don't remember the name but Q. In relation to Landowner 30, which parcel is
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BENJAMIN DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. And, Mr. Jorde, I'll just note that Mr. Dotzenrod testified twice in our prior set of hearings so I'd ask that you please do not go over ground we've already covered. MR. JORDE: That's my hope, that's my intent, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Thank you. BEN DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Sir, just to start off, you handed us, all of	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	your legal property boundary; correct? A. Correct. And they they were aware that this drainage easement was held. They were informed multiple times. Q. Okay. And so then today are we able to kind of confine your concern to how you're still being affected in relation to the drainage easement? Is that what we're going to do here? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Go ahead. A. Okay. So so I there is a signed easement on it's the Ulven family. I don't remember the name but Q. In relation to Landowner 30, which parcel is that?

_	ND PUBLIC SERV	10.	
1	Q. Okay. So north of the drainage easement. Is it	1	one and the same issue and we're continuing to talk
2	north of the drainage easement? I just want to make	2	about it.
3	sure the Commission understands.	3	MR. JORDE: Well
4	A. So the proposed route now intersects the	4	ALJ HOGAN: Maybe if you could have him
5	drainage easement	5	summarize the ongoing issues in relation to the drainage
6	Q. Okay. Go ahead.	6	tile without going into the specifics. Because we
7	A at a 90-degree angle. And so in in that	7	received a lot of testimony on that particular issue,
8	easement, you know, there's some restrictions placed on	8	and the Commission has already made a finding or
9	the Ulvens. You know, they're not able to drill wells	9	conclusion that Summit has adequately addressed that
10	and, you know, dig holes and stuff. But I guess my big	10	issue.
11	concern is third-party strikes. Because Rose doesn't	11	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, again, if I could just have
12	have those restrictions on her. You know, she's	12	you turn to Tab 7 in that book, that white three-ring
13	she's going to have to dig holes and drill wells to	13	binder.
14	repair these tile lines.	14	Yes, if you could just turn to the next tab, I
15	And there's all kinds of evidence out there	15	think. And why don't you just tell us what what is
16	that's already been submitted that the subsoils in	16	Landowner 7 and why do you think that's important for
17	northwest Richland County are just really unstable; they	17	the Commission?
18	don't they don't have structure. We're outside of	18	A. This is across the road from Rose Dotzenrod's
19	the Red River Valley. We're	19	property. It was taken in February of this year. And
20	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to go ahead	20	that's that's a collapsing it's a place that needs
21	and object. The Commission's August 4th, 2023, order	21	drain tile repair. These pictures are not uncommon.
22	found that the impacts associated with drain tile are an	22	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to object
23	acceptable minimum. It appears that Mr. Dotzenrod is	23	again and I'm going to also object to the inclusion or
24	going to dive into that issue.	24	admission of this photo into evidence.
25	ALJ HOGAN: And I agree.	25	ALJ HOGAN: Again, I think the Commission's
	PAGE 321		PAGE 323
	M 5 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	4	
1	Mr. Dotzenrod, the Commission has already	1	already ruled on this so this is outside of why this
2	Mr. Dotzenrod, the Commission has already addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have	2	case was re-opened.
	•		
2	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have	2	case was re-opened.
2	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do	2	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would
2 3 4	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that.	2 3 4	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed
2 3 4 5	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party	2 3 4 5	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears
2 3 4 5 6	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement	2 3 4 5 6	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this,
2 3 4 5 6 7	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so	2 3 4 5 6 7	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile. Q. Okay. I'm just trying to help you here. Is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this photograph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile. Q. Okay. I'm just trying to help you here. Is the concern that you won't have an agreement with Summit,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this photograph? A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile. Q. Okay. I'm just trying to help you here. Is the concern that you won't have an agreement with Summit, not that you want one, but that you're not covered in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this photograph? A. I did. Q. Okay. And then now as an offer of proof, sir,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile. Q. Okay. I'm just trying to help you here. Is the concern that you won't have an agreement with Summit, not that you want one, but that you're not covered in the same way related to this third-party strike issue?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this photograph? A. I did. Q. Okay. And then now as an offer of proof, sir, are you offering the photo that you took related to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile. Q. Okay. I'm just trying to help you here. Is the concern that you won't have an agreement with Summit, not that you want one, but that you're not covered in the same way related to this third-party strike issue? A. Right. So in your document, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this photograph? A. I did. Q. Okay. And then now as an offer of proof, sir, are you offering the photo that you took related to Ellingson and related to, obviously, drainage repairs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	addressed the drainage tile issues. So if you have concerns outside of that, we can hear those, but they do not intend to take further testimony on that. THE WITNESS: Well, these are about third-party strikes related to repairs to this drainage easement so MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, it's proper for the attorney to respond to an objection, not the witness. MR. JORDE: Well, yes. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So rather than talking about I mean, we've had a lot of testimony about tiles themselves, but the is the drainage easement, is that something you're is it one and the same in your mind or is it something different, the easement versus tiling? A. It's I guess it's they're the same thing. I mean, the drainage easement is to repair drain tile. Q. Okay. I'm just trying to help you here. Is the concern that you won't have an agreement with Summit, not that you want one, but that you're not covered in the same way related to this third-party strike issue? A. Right. So in your document, I think MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to go ahead	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	case was re-opened. MR. JORDE: Okay. So I guess my response would be that I don't disagree that the Commission's addressed it. And Ellingson is the contractor and it appears Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Is the intent to show this, that there's still problems with Ellingson? A. Yes. So it you know, Ellingson MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, we've addressed this. Can we get an affirmative sustainment of the objection so we can move on? ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to MR. PELHAM: I'd also object as to foundation. I don't know that we know what is even going on here, if this witness is that hasn't been established either. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. I'm going to sustain the objections. So we need to move on. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. Who took this photograph? A. I did. Q. Okay. And then now as an offer of proof, sir, are you offering the photo that you took related to Ellingson and related to, obviously, drainage repairs and soil issues to show that Ellingson's promises and

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	future?	1	THE WITNESS: I'm trying to help.
2	A. Correct.	2	ALJ HOGAN: Are you talking about tile or pipe?
3	Q. All right.	3	A strike on the pipe or
4	MR. JORDE: Well, for that limited purpose, then	4	THE WITNESS: I'm talking about
5	I would offer Exhibit 7, Landowner Exhibit 7.	5	ALJ HOGAN: damage to the tile?
6	MR. GLUDT: Same objection, Your Honor. It	6	THE WITNESS: I'm talking about digging a hole.
7	should not come into evidence.	7	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) But in relation to damaging the
8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	8	pipe, the proposed pipeline?
9	MR. PELHAM: I would join in the objection. I	9	A. Concerned the pipeline will be damaged.
10	think that this this issue has been determined by the	10	Q. Okay.
11	Commission already and this witness has not offered any	11	MR. GLUDT: Mr. Dotzenrod has already testified
12	background testimony establishing what this photograph	12	to his concerns about third-party strikes.
13	is other than he's taken the photograph.	13	MR. PELHAM: I would concur. We all remember
14	THE WITNESS: I would like to.	14	the demonstration that he had for us in Gwinner.
15	MR. PELHAM: We don't have we don't have	15	THE WITNESS: That was completely different.
16	testimony from anyone at Ellingson. We don't know what	16	That doesn't have anything to do with
17	this is. And this witness has not has not indicated	17	MR. PELHAM: Third-party strikes?
18	what this is specifically.	18	THE WITNESS: The demonstration has nothing to
19	THE WITNESS: I would like to.	19	do with what I'm talking about. I'm talking about
20	MR. PELHAM: I don't think that it's the	20	digging a hole.
21	foundation has been established either. Thank you.	21	MR. JORDE: I mean, I feel like he can testify
22	ALJ HOGAN: I am not going to receive this	22	about that.
23	photo. I agree with the objections that have been made.	23	ALJ HOGAN: I will allow you very limited
24	MR. JORDE: As to the foundational objection, I	24	testimony. I mean, I'm not trying if you have
25	can cure that.	25	something new to say, Mr. Dotzenrod, I'm going to allow
	PAGE 325		PAGE 327
1	ALJ HOGAN: Well, I think the problem is the	1	you to do that, but I feel like we're getting really
2	Commission has already made this finding on this issue.	2	close into what you spent time testifying about at the
		2	close into what you spent time testifying about at the last hearing two hearings.
2	Commission has already made this finding on this issue.		• • •
2	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this	3	last hearing two hearings.
2 3 4	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on.	3 4	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very
2 3 4 5	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay.	3 4 5	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly
2 3 4 5 6	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage	3 4 5 6	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do	3 4 5 6 7	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed	3 4 5 6 7 8	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection. We need to move on. THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about tiling. I'm talking about digging.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the THE WITNESS: On page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection. We need to move on. THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about tiling. I'm	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the THE WITNESS: On page MR. JORDE: The third-party strikes are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection. We need to move on. THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about tiling. I'm talking about digging.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the THE WITNESS: On page MR. JORDE: The third-party strikes are specifically not addressed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection. We need to move on. THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about tiling. I'm talking about digging. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I thought he changed	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the THE WITNESS: On page MR. JORDE: The third-party strikes are specifically not addressed. MR. GLUDT: Mr. Dotzenrod said liability for third-party strikes. ALJ HOGAN: Did you say "liability" or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection. We need to move on. THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about tiling. I'm talking about digging. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I thought he changed MR. GLUDT: Tile.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the THE WITNESS: On page MR. JORDE: The third-party strikes are specifically not addressed. MR. GLUDT: Mr. Dotzenrod said liability for third-party strikes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Commission has already made this finding on this issue. We don't have somebody from Ellingson to address this issue. And that's we're going to move on. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Relative to the drainage easement, is there anything else that doesn't have to do with concerns about tiling that hasn't been addressed before that you'd like to share? A. Just that it does seem contradictory that, you know my biggest fear is third-party strikes. So, you know, in normal repair, we're going to be digging next to this thing. MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Same objection. A. It's in ALJ HOGAN: I already sustained the objection. We need to move on. THE WITNESS: I'm not talking about tiling. I'm talking about digging. MR. JORDE: Yeah, I thought he changed MR. GLUDT: Tile. THE WITNESS: I didn't say that. You did.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	last hearing two hearings. MR. JORDE: So basically she's giving you a very small amount of leeway so if you can maybe succinctly express the concern and we can ALJ HOGAN: As long as it's something we haven't already received into evidence. THE WITNESS: Sure. So how about the liability on third-party strikes? That's not something I think I've talked about. MR. GLUDT: We've addressed that, Your Honor. The Commission has addressed it in its order. THE WITNESS: Not no, no, no. MR. JORDE: That's not true. THE WITNESS: Not in this area. MR. JORDE: Yeah, it's the THE WITNESS: On page MR. JORDE: The third-party strikes are specifically not addressed. MR. GLUDT: Mr. Dotzenrod said liability for third-party strikes. ALJ HOGAN: Did you say "liability" or

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV	1	
1	ALJ HOGAN: Liability?	1	And there has been some discussion here today
2	THE WITNESS: Liability for third-party strikes.	2	about alternatives to this, you know, the whole "Can
3	MR. GLUDT: My objection stands.	3	this be done more efficiently?" And in Decatur,
4	MR. JORDE: Well, just to try to clear up the	4	Illinois, they've been injecting carbon dioxide in the
5	confusion, the order related the August 2023 order	5	ground for years now and they're they're drilling new
6	related to liability and insurance was Mr. Rorie's	6	wells there. So I I think that's an important piece
7	comments that Summit would have would provide	7	of information.
8	indemnification for issues other than third-party	8	And, yes, I think I think I'm good.
9	strikes. And I think he's talking about third-party	9	Q. Okay. Well, I appreciate your testimony and all
10	strikes. So that hasn't been addressed.	10	the working hours you've spent on this. And I don't
11	ALJ HOGAN: Well, if you can briefly address it,	11	have any further questions, Ben.
12	Mr. Dotzenrod, I'll allow you to do that.	12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I'm going to make
13	THE WITNESS: Okay. Sure.	13	a can I just have one minute? Can we recess one
14	A. So, you know, Rose has no agreement with Summit.	14	minute? I want to consult.
15	Therefore, she has no liability coverage with Summit.	15	ALJ HOGAN: Sure.
16	And we also she was not able to obtain coverage for a	16	(Pause)
17	third-party strike in this easement area because that's	17	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you.
18	what our agent informed us, that we wouldn't have any	18	ALJ HOGAN: Yep. All right. Mr. Gludt, any
19	coverage for that. And we also wouldn't be covered	19	questions for Mr. Dotzenrod?
20	under Summit. So that would be you know, I think you	20	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.
21	guys use the term "minimal minimal adverse effects."	21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
22	And I can tell you that, if that were to occur, it would	22	MR. PELHAM: Yeah.
23	be a big deal.	23	CROSS EXAMINATION
24	Warren Buffett likes to talk about you don't	24	BY MR. PELHAM:
25	want to multiply by zero; right? That would be me	25	Q. Mr. Dotzenrod, thanks for being here again. And
	PAGE 329	-	PAGE 331
1	multiplying by zero. And I wouldn't be farming anymore.	1	as to your exhibit which has been marked as Exhibit 30.
1 2	multiplying by zero. And I wouldn't be farming anymore.	1 2	as to your exhibit which has been marked as Exhibit 30,
2	So, yeah.	2	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your
2	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job	2	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to
2 3 4	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic?	2 3 4	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe
2 3 4 5	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to	2 3 4 5	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that.
2 3 4 5 6	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm	2 3 4 5 6	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven.
2 3 4 5 6 7	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to	2 3 4 5 6 7	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick Q. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good. Q. Okay. A. So, yes, I wanted to thank you, and just the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick Q. Yeah. A very thick
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good. Q. Okay. A. So, yes, I wanted to thank you, and just the communication's been horrendous. I don't think I need	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick Q. Yeah. A very thick Q. Uh-huh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good. Q. Okay. A. So, yes, I wanted to thank you, and just the communication's been horrendous. I don't think I need to go into that too much more. It's been like a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick Q. Yeah. A very thick Q. Uh-huh. A. Correct. That's the drainage easement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good. Q. Okay. A. So, yes, I wanted to thank you, and just the communication's been horrendous. I don't think I need to go into that too much more. It's been like a lot of people have said, the this is where it's going, deal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick Q. Yeah. A very thick Q. Uh-huh. A. Correct. That's the drainage easement. Q. And it's true, though, sir, that your mother
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So, yeah. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I think you did a nice job to summarize that up. Was there any different topic? A. Yes. So I guess generally I'm I just want to thank I didn't appreciate it the first time but I'm you guys have a tough job and you're supposed to somehow protect the rights of a lot of different parties, you know, like myself and other citizens and also big business. And I just I want to recognize that that's difficult. And I didn't appreciate the chance to testify the first time, but I just want to say I'm really really thankful to live in this in this country, in this state, where where we have the rule of law. Q. Ben, we appreciate that. And your testimony and everything you've shared, that's maybe a good stopping point. Is that okay? A. No, I'm good. Q. Okay. A. So, yes, I wanted to thank you, and just the communication's been horrendous. I don't think I need to go into that too much more. It's been like a lot of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the red line indicates a drainage easement that your mother has on property owned by, and I'm going to butcher the pronunciation, it starts with a U. Maybe you can help me out with that. A. Ulven. Q. Ulven, okay. A. Yeah. Q. So the Ulvens own the land where the red marking is at on Exhibit 30; correct? A. You know, I should have kept a copy. Is there an extra one just to be sure? Q. Oh, sorry. I thought you had it up there. I apologize. ALJ HOGAN: There's some extras here too. A. Okay. Okay. So I think we used orange highlighter but, yeah, kind of a reddish Q. Reddish-orange? A thick Q. Yeah. A very thick Q. Uh-huh. A. Correct. That's the drainage easement.

		_	
1	correct? She just owns an interest in it. She owns an	1	Q. Well, it either does or it doesn't.
2	easement to drain water or have water drained onto that	2	A. Well, you're a lawyer, aren't you?
3	property owned by the Ulvens; right?	3	Q. It either allows it or it doesn't.
4	A. To drain. And I hope I don't get into trouble	4	A. Okay. Well, No. 4 on page 2, restriction on the
5	for this	5	use of the easement. "Grantor shall not construct or
6	Q. No, no.	6	locate any permanent improvement within the easement
7	A. I'm going to say the "D" word. Drain tile.	7	area at any time which will interfere with the
8	Q. Drain tile. Okay.	8	maintenance or repair of the tiling" again, tiling
9	A. It's a buried drain tile line.	9	" system or outlet pipes by the Grantee."
10	Q. Right. Okay. But and as I understood your	10	So I mean if it if this interfered with
11	testimony was, is not this line doesn't cross and	11	Rose's drainage, then yes.
12	doesn't isn't contained on any property that is owned	12	Q. Let me ask you this. How is
13	by your mother any longer, the reroute; correct?	13	A. There would be
14	A. That's my understanding, that it's all on the	14	Q. How is a buried pipeline going to interfere with
15	northeast quarter, whereas Rose owns the northwest	15	a drainage easement?
16	guarter.	16	A. How would it not? The you know, we have very
17	Q. Okay.	17	northwest Richland County, the soils are very
18	A. Yeah.	18	unstable. That's been pretty well documented. And, you
19	Q. And so I just wanted to be clear that the	19	know, there's this is not uncommon. This happens all
20	reddish-orange or orangish-red marking where it's shown	20	the time in my my world. Okay? So I mean
21	where the line is shown to be crossing, that is not	21	Q. All right, sir. I don't have any other
22	property that your mother owns; she has an easement in	22	questions for you. Thank you.
23	it, but she does not own the property. Correct?	23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?
24	A. Correct. But property ownership is just a	24	MR. SCHOCK: Just briefly.
25	bundle of sticks; right? If you if you give a bundle	25	
	PAGE 333		PAGE 335
-		+	
1	a stick, then you are an owner.	1 1	CROSS EXAMINATION
1 2	a stick, then you are an owner. Q. Well. I mean, it depends on what the easement	-	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK:
2	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement	2	BY MR. SCHOCK:
2	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement	2	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the
2 3 4	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do.	2 3 4	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about
2 3 4 5	 Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? 	2	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the
2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. 	2 3 4 5	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line?
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck.
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as an exhibit as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend digging in this area and but we're going to have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as an exhibit as well. Q. (BY MR. PELHAM) So the easement, as you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend digging in this area and but we're going to have to do that at some point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as an exhibit as well. Q. (BY MR. PELHAM) So the easement, as you understand it, sir and certainly the document speaks	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend digging in this area and but we're going to have to do that at some point. And it's common to have more than one backhoe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as an exhibit as well. Q. (BY MR. PELHAM) So the easement, as you understand it, sir and certainly the document speaks for itself and we're not going to go through every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend digging in this area and but we're going to have to do that at some point. And it's common to have more than one backhoe operating in the same hole because the soil keeps
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as an exhibit as well. Q. (BY MR. PELHAM) So the easement, as you understand it, sir and certainly the document speaks for itself and we're not going to go through every portion of it. Do you know if the easement prevents or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend digging in this area and but we're going to have to do that at some point. And it's common to have more than one backhoe operating in the same hole because the soil keeps collapsing. You know, as you you know, excavator
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Well, I mean, it depends on what the easement says and we don't have the easement A. Right. Well, I do. Q. You do? A. Yeah, of course. Q. Can we see a copy of it? (Pause) A. I guess I only have three so (Pause) UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's already an exhibit in this docket, 480, Exhibit B. ALJ HOGAN: You said it's already on the docket? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Which docket did you say it was in? MR. PELHAM: 480. And it's already admitted as an exhibit as well. Q. (BY MR. PELHAM) So the easement, as you understand it, sir and certainly the document speaks for itself and we're not going to go through every portion of it. Do you know if the easement prevents or precludes placement of a pipeline across the easement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to understand your concern about the third-party line strikes. You're concerned about somebody other than Summit or yourself striking the pipeline or the tile line? A. I'm I'm concerned about the pipeline being struck. Q. By a third party? A. By myself or anyone else. When you're working in these soils, you know again, I already submitted information like a year ago from the Natural Resource Conservation Service where they they don't recommend shallow excavations in this area. They don't recommend deep excavations in this area. Water infiltration rates are really slow. Corrosion of steel is high. They don't recommend digging in this area and but we're going to have to do that at some point. And it's common to have more than one backhoe operating in the same hole because the soil keeps collapsing. You know, as you you know, excavator will dig down and the hole collapses and gets bigger so

_		. •	
1	you have one person digging down and then another person	1	damages to someone caused by a leak from this pipeline
2	pulling the stuff that's falling down out and they kind	2	won't cover you somehow because you're not a you're
3	of have a rhythm going. It's impressive, but it's	3	not a participant. Is that right?
4	terrifying. It's a dangerous environment. And so it	4	THE WITNESS: Correct. We have no insurance.
5	doesn't it wouldn't take much. You know, in these	5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. So if it's not
6	repairs, a lot of times holes are left open for a month	6	on your land, what would you need insurance from? Where
7	unless you can actually get them fixed. So it doesn't	7	would it where would you have liability?
8	I mean, it's very easy to make a mistake in these	8	THE WITNESS: Because we have the right to dig
9	soils.	9	holes next to the pipeline in this drainage easement.
10	And, yeah, that a mistake will be made next	10	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Now I
11	to this pipeline with drainage repairs. It's going to	11	understand your concern. So thank you.
12	happen. And I I think the State should know about	12	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
13	that because there's a reason there aren't many	13	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you for your
14	pipelines in this area, and I think it's because of the	14	testimony. And I also want to thank you for the
15	unstable subsoils.	15	compliment to us as commissioners on making this
16	Q. I don't think I would disagree that it's likely	16	decision. Thank you for recognizing that.
17	a very challenging soil to work in. We do have a lot of	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah, it I didn't
18	rules in the state, actually, quite honestly, laws.	18	took a while to sink in, took me a year, but it's got to
19	So are you familiar with North Dakota Century	19	be it's got to be a difficult job, that's for sure.
20	Code 49, Chapter 23?	20	Yeah.
21	A. Not specifically.	21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?
22	Q. So	22	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
23	A. Is that like a one-call, I'm assuming?	23	Thank you.
24	Q. Yes.	24	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Jorde?
25	A. Something like that.	25	MR. JORDE: I'd just like to get the easement
	PAGE 337		PAGE 339
1	Q. It's the one-call law. And it's pretty	1	agreement, if we can, marked as LO-31 so it's officially
2	extensive. Not terribly long, but it covers a lot of	2	an exhibit, and I would also offer that, please.
3	things. And one thing, I think just in relation to this	3	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
4	easement that you have here, do you know if your mother	4	the offering of the exhibit into evidence. I believe
5	participates in the one-call system? And by	5	one, on relevance. I don't think it's relevant at all.
6	"participates," I don't mean call one-call before she	6	Second, I believe Mr. Dotzenrod indicated this is
7	digs a hole, but does she have this drain tile line	7	already in the record, on the docket. I have no problem
8	registered with the one-call system?	8	with that. And the Commission can give it the weight it
9	A. I don't know.	9	deserves.
10	Q. Because it sounds like, technically, it might be	10	ALJ HOGAN: I don't have the docket in front of
11	required to be registered, and just for that very	11	me. Anybody know what docket? 480
12	reason, so that if someone is going to dig in the area,	12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Your Honor, it's
13	you can ensure that someone is notified so you can say,	13	ALJ HOGAN: I know, but do we know what it is?
14	"Hey, there's a thing here, you need to be careful."	14	Was it an exhibit or something in response to discovery?
15	That's all I have. Thank you.	15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I'm sorry. It was a
16	A. Okay.	16	discovery request was submitted to Summit that said
17	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.	17	"Please explain why you can't reroute around," and then
18	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My question was about	18	this was an exhibit to that discovery. So it's part of
19	the "I" word, insurance, that you got into a little bit.	19	the docket but
20	I liked your line, "D" words.	20	ALJ HOGAN: It wasn't previously admitted or
21	So with the insurance, I'm sorry but I didn't	21	anything; right?
22	really understand your concern. What I heard was that	22	And, Mr. Pelham, any objection to admitting this
23	you're believing that Rose can't get liability insurance	23	as an exhibit?
24	that would cover damages caused by a leak from this	24	MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection to
25	pipeline and that Summit's insurance that would cover	25	admitting it as an exhibit. We talked about it.
	PAGE 338		PAGE 340

		T .	
1	ALJ HOGAN: I am going to note the objection,	1	the pipeline company and also provided in testimony. We
2	but since we referred to it and Mr. Dotzenrod read from	2	proposed alternate routes that would minimize negative
3	it, I am going to admit it as LO-31.	3	environmental and economic impacts to no avail. That is
4	And, I'm sorry, did you have any other	4	why we are here again today.
5	questions, Mr. Jorde?	5	The history of the schoolhouse quarter drain
6	MR. JORDE: No. No. Sorry. Thank you. I just	6	tile system, the northwest quarter of 26, is the most
7	wanted to make sure we closed the loop on that exhibit.	7	productive quarter of land that our family owns. We
8	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Any other questions,	8	bought the schoolhouse quarter about 20 years ago. We
9	Mr. Gludt?	9	paid market value. We borrowed money. We've paid
10	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	10	interest on loans. For our family farm, this purchase
11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	11	was a big deal.
12	MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	12	In addition to purchasing the land, we invested
13	ALJ HOGAN: Anything else from commissioners or	13	in a drain tile system. It's been working great.
14	Mr. Dawson? No? All right.	14	Establishing an outlet for the water to drain and exit
15	Thank you, Mr. Dotzenrod.	15	this quarter was not easy. It took steadfast
16	Next witness.	16	perseverance, a lot of work, to find an outlet for the
17	MR. LEIBEL: We would call Rose Dotzenrod.	17	water from the schoolhouse quarter to flow to the legal
18	ALJ HOGAN: Good afternoon. I'll have you state	18	drain. Through several drain tile applications to the
19	your full name for the record.	19	local water resource district, we learned that the
20	ROSE DOTZENROD: Rose Dotzenrod.	20	landowner to the southwest of our quarter did not want
21	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Dotzenrod, were you in the	21	the water from our quarter to flow in the township ditch
22	room earlier when I went through the penalties for	22	adjacent to their property. Through another tile
23	perjury?	23	application we learned the landowner to the northeast
24	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes.	24	did not want the water from our quarter to flow to the
25	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	25	legal drain in the township ditch adjacent to their
	, PAGE 341		PAGE 343
_			
1	is?	1	property. Finally, the landowner, the adjacent
		1 2	property. Finally, the landowner, the adjacent landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to
2	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes.	2	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to
	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential		landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for
2 3 4	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	2 3 4	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter,
2 3 4 5	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	2 3 4 5	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from
2 3 4 5 6	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain.
2 3 4 5 6 7	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision last August, the pipeline company moved the proposed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to note
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision last August, the pipeline company moved the proposed route off our quarter; however, not off our drain tile	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to note my objection stands on discussing drain tile.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision last August, the pipeline company moved the proposed route off our quarter; however, not off our drain tile that is our only exit for water from our schoolhouse	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to note my objection stands on discussing drain tile. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. We've heard lots of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision last August, the pipeline company moved the proposed route off our quarter; however, not off our drain tile that is our only exit for water from our schoolhouse quarter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to note my objection stands on discussing drain tile. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. We've heard lots of testimony on drain tile and so, again, I just ask that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision last August, the pipeline company moved the proposed route off our quarter; however, not off our drain tile that is our only exit for water from our schoolhouse quarter. Early on, beginning in August 2021, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to note my objection stands on discussing drain tile. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. We've heard lots of testimony on drain tile and so, again, I just ask that you limit your testimony to anything that's new. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? ROSE DOTZENROD: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Leibel. ROSE DOTZENROD, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LEIBEL: Q. The first question is, Rose, we're talking about the same piece of property, the same easement that your son, Ben, had addressed. Is that accurate? A. Yes, that is correct. And I want to begin by expressing our appreciation to you, the PSC. After the PSC's decision last August, the pipeline company moved the proposed route off our quarter; however, not off our drain tile that is our only exit for water from our schoolhouse quarter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	landowner, directly to the east signed an easement to place a solid tile main line under their property for one-half mile at the northern edge of their quarter, which was marked on that map, putting all the water from the schoolhouse quarter directly into the legal drain. Beginning in 2014, our family has held a courthouse recorded easement on the northeast of 26. And that exists for our schoolhouse quarter solid tile main line to reach an outlet into the legal drain. We pay taxes for the assessed legal drain tax district for our quarter. This additional one-half mile of buried solid tile main line was expensive. It added a considerable expense to our entire drain tile system project. This easement exists solely to bring all the water from the schoolhouse quarter one-half mile underground to the legal drain. The drain tile system works only if the outlet, which we worked tirelessly to establish, works. MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm just going to note my objection stands on discussing drain tile. ALJ HOGAN: I agree. We've heard lots of testimony on drain tile and so, again, I just ask that

	ND PUBLIC SERV		E COMMISSION
1	drain tile issues last year and the Commission has	1	May I continue?
2	already made a finding regarding drain tile repair.	2	Q. Sure.
3	MR. PELHAM: Your Honor, if I just may briefly	3	A. Anyway, our drain tile system works great with
4	respond to the objection. I do I don't disagree that	4	environmental preservation, conservation, and economic
5	we've talked a lot about drain tiles and the Commission	5	benefits. It does not require an electrical lift pump.
6	has made an order, but I do think that what	6	It operates by gravity. It does not have electrical
7	Ms. Dotzenrod is testifying to is is new and would be	7	needs to operate the system. It has no year-round
8	proper so long as it's specific as to this red line here	8	electrical consumption or expenses and no monthly fees,
9	as it pertains to the reroute. Because it is a reroute	9	no meter fees required to be paid even when the tile
10	issue so I do think that there is some relevance to it.	10	pump is not being used. It requires no financial
11	So I that's my position on the objection.	11	expense to bring an electrical line to the lift pump,
12	Thank you.	12	which would roughly be about a half mile, I'm guessing,
13	Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) And maybe, Rose, if you could	13	and the cost of that is \$15,000 alone to bring
14	answer, do you know how deep that outlet pipe is buried?	14	electrical power to a lift pump.
15	A. I sincerely wish I could give you an answer on	15	Our gravity system lowers the carbon
16	that. I reached out to the tile company that did the	16	requirements of our entire quarter substantially. All
17	install and I got no reply. And as I understand the	17	these factors give us a low-carbon intensity.
18	installation of drain tile, and I am no expert by any	18	And our concerns regarding the proposed route
19	means, but there is a design in place and sometimes you	19	and the adverse effects, they've not given us notice,
20	end up mucking stuff in, for lack of a better word, and	20	but it appears they are going to cross our easement that
21	what the plan was may be adjusted and become a new plan.	21	they were aware of. The pipeline company contacted us
22	So I I wish I could give you an answer on	22	in August '21. We worked tirelessly to minimize those
23	that, but I can't. I'm sorry.	23	those negative impacts. Our alternative routes and
24	Q. And under your easement agreement, you agreed to	24	ideas were rejected.
25	bury it deep enough that you won't interfere at all with	25	Mr. Ellingson stated that they never had problem
	PAGE 345		PAGE 347
1	his farming operation; is that correct?	1	spots, yet we're personally aware that they do have
2	A. That is correct.	2	problem spots in these high, sandy, unstable soils. And
3	Q. So you as you're sitting here, you can't say	3	human error occurs. And that is a major concern of
4	whether Summit's line is going to be above your tile or	4	ours. Mr. Ellingson discussed at length the header
5	below your tile?	5	systems in crossing a high-pressure carbon dioxide
6	A. I cannot state that. That's why we are here	6	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I think we've moved
7	today. So I appreciate you listening.	7	beyond the drainage easement. Now we're talking about
8	Q. And your concern is that at any time you would	8	Mr. Ellingson's testimony.
9	if you were having to repair this line, you're either	9	ALJ HOGAN: Yep. This is the stuff that was
10	going to have to dig below Summit's line or somehow dig	10	this is the testimony or the topics we previously
11	around it enough that you can fix that without	11	discussed at the last hearing and the Commission has
12	disturbing Summit's pipeline?	12	already made a determination on so I'm going to have you
13	A. That is absolutely correct. That is a major	13	move forward. If you have testimony that's specific to
14	fear, a third-party strike. Nobody wants a third-party	14	this piece, we can receive that, but the general
15	strike.	15	comments about general drain tile being damaged or
16	Q. And I was just going to ask when when Ben was	16	repaired, those would not be allowed at today's hearing.
17	talking about a third-party strike, that's the specific	17	THE WITNESS: In my opinion, this is specific.
18	concern within your easement that he was talking about?	18	May I speak?
19	A. That is exactly correct. We do not own that	19	Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Okay. So do you have some
20	quarter of land, yet we hold an easement on it for the	20	testimony you're going to share that is specific to your
21	right to drain that tile that drain tile to drain the	21	drainage easement and the intersection between your
22	water. That's our only outlet.	22	easement and Summit's line?
23	And what about the liability? We don't own that	23	A. Yes. And that is why I brought up
24	property. Summit has not reached out to us. They were	24	Mr. Ellingson's testimony, because he himself spoke at
25	made aware of it.	25	great length about header systems. And if and also
1	PAGE 346		PAGE 348
L			

	TID I OBLIG OLIV		
1	Mr. Vculek spoke to the need of a lift pump. Right now	1	be a serious economic loss. The carbon pipeline company
2	our system operates by gravity. And so we have all	2	has not acknowledged the existence of our tile line
3	these environmental benefits and economic benefits to	3	easement.
4	having a gravity system.	4	Q. Rose, have you tried to express to Summit the
5	Now, if, based on Mr. Ellingson's testimony,	5	issue with crossing your tile drainage and the easement
6	we're going to use a lift pump, those are all expenses	6	where it lays within?
7	that will be incurred well, they may pay for them,	7	A. As I had stated earlier, that was communicated
8	but it's 99 years of electricity and you have to pay a	8	many times with them in communicating the entirety of
9	meter fee every month even when you're not using it.	9	our system. We understood we understood the
10	Q. And, Rose, just so we're clear, your concern is	10	importance of trying to mitigate those negative impacts.
11	that, by disrupting or putting in this 24-inch	11	Q. And when the route was rerouted upon
12	pressurized line, you're going that would somehow	12	reconsideration, when they did their application for
13	disrupt the gravity system you've set up?	13	reconsideration, did Summit consult with you at all
14	A. Correct. Our gravity system is very	14	around the reroute around your property?
15	environmentally it has a low-environmental impact	15	A. They did not at all. That's why we owe a huge
16	and, you know, it gives us a lower carbon intensity	16	debt of gratitude to the Public Service Commission. If
17	score on our personal property. If we have to add a	17	it hadn't been for them, we would still probably have
18	lift pump, that that changes a lot of things. It's a	18	the same quandary. But, however, we need an outlet for
19	negative to us.	19	our water, and we need a guaranteed outlet for our
20	Continue?	20	water, as you you know I just testified, we gave
21	ALJ HOGAN: Again, I'm not going to accept	21	we went through a lot of work to get that drainage
22	testimony about general	22	outlet.
23	THE WITNESS: And it's specific	23	Q. Do you have and just kind of in conclusion,
24	ALJ HOGAN: damage to drain tiles.	24	how would you what would you request from the
25	THE WITNESS: Right. And it's specific	25	Commission today? What would what would alleviate
	PAGE 349		PAGE 351
1	MR. PELHAM: I'm not sure there's a question.	1	your concerns?
2	MR. PELHAM: I'm not sure there's a question. There's not a question.	1 2	your concerns? A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move
2 3		2	•
2 3 4	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate	2 3 4	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the
2 3 4 5	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with	2 3 4 5	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be
2 3 4 5 6	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it
2 3 4 5 6 7	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future. Q. And are you and so the concern is that with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No. Q. So if Summit has indemnity language in its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future. Q. And are you and so the concern is that with the any disruption of your drainage is going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No. Q. So if Summit has indemnity language in its standard easements, that doesn't apply to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future. Q. And are you and so the concern is that with the any disruption of your drainage is going to disrupt your ability to grow potatoes?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No. Q. So if Summit has indemnity language in its standard easements, that doesn't apply to you? A. It does not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future. Q. And are you and so the concern is that with the any disruption of your drainage is going to disrupt your ability to grow potatoes? A. Yes. Should drainage problems be created,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No. Q. So if Summit has indemnity language in its standard easements, that doesn't apply to you? A. It does not. Q. Okay. Do you have any kind of what would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future. Q. And are you and so the concern is that with the any disruption of your drainage is going to disrupt your ability to grow potatoes? A. Yes. Should drainage problems be created, problems that do not exist at the present time, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No. Q. So if Summit has indemnity language in its standard easements, that doesn't apply to you? A. It does not. Q. Okay. Do you have any kind of what would you ask the Commission to rule? And you had said you want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	There's not a question. ALJ HOGAN: Yes. And, Mr. Leibel, maybe you could facilitate getting through the rest of this testimony with questions. MR. LEIBEL: Sure, sure. Q. (BY MR. LEIBEL) Rose, do you have any other specific concerns as to how this pipeline will affect your easement? A. Yes. Mr. Vculek spoke in his testimony to the quality issues of raising potatoes in low-level areas with poor drainage even in a small area of the field. He related that drainage in the field is critically important or it will lead to high-end crop losses. I don't like sharing my personal goals in this setting; however, I've been in contact with potato producers in our area. Growing potatoes on this quarter is in its future. Q. And are you and so the concern is that with the any disruption of your drainage is going to disrupt your ability to grow potatoes? A. Yes. Should drainage problems be created,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Well, alleviating the concerns would be to move it off of that main tile line easement. And I I'm concerned about recourse for our family from the potential losses. If pursued, it's likely going to be expensive for us. This carbon dioxide pipeline, if it crosses, will place a risk upon us that we did not create. It is critical that any pipeline route minimizes negative effects and it's installed and maintained in the safest possible way. We believe the protection and substantial and ongoing investment of our property is vital. Q. And, Rose, I want to follow up before you conclude, one follow-up on the Chairman's question to Ben. Have you signed an easement with Summit? A. No. Q. Have you signed any other agreement or contract with Summit? A. No. Q. So if Summit has indemnity language in its standard easements, that doesn't apply to you? A. It does not. Q. Okay. Do you have any kind of what would you

1 2			
2	A. Correct.	1	A. What?
_	Q. Do you have any proposals as to where that line	2	Q. I have a confession to make. When your son
3	north to south would go to avoid your easement?	3	testified, I didn't fully understand the easement
4	A. Going on what is almost three years now, we	4	agreement until having a chance to take a look at it.
5	provided alternate routes in the past and they seem to	5	Now that I have
6	be disregarded. So I guess that leaves me at kind of a	6	A. Thank you.
7	loss for thinking that my input has anything meaningful	7	Q I understand a little bit more of what it is
8	at this point in time.	8	that he was saying, and I wasn't following initially,
9	Q. Well, and I I understand your kind of your	9	but I am following a little more in some respects.
10	cynicism, but I mean, in fairness, the PSC did hear your	10	So the easement agreement, it appears that
11	concerns before.	11	there's a 33 is it about a 33-foot strip for a
12	A. For which we are very grateful, yes.	12	hundred feet and width? Does that sound right? Do you
13	Q. And what would what would your request be?	13	have a copy of the easement agreement?
14	What's your	14	A. I'm sorry, I do not, but whatever it says,
15	A. Our request would be to move the pipeline	15	that's what it is.
16	carbon dioxide pipeline route to the east.	16	Q. Okay. So and your drain tile system that
17	Q. Do you think there's a certain depth that you	17	exists, it's presently installed and operating as
18	could request if you know, you moved it farther down	18	A. Since 2014.
19	the line but a certain depth below if it had to cross	19	Q. Okay.
20	your easement?	20	A. We secured the easement before we put in the
21	A. Ben would probably be better off with that but I	21	drain tile system because we needed an outlet for our
22	again, I cannot say. When I said "east," I meant	22	water.
23	east one-half mile	23	Q. Right.
24	Q. Okay.	24	A. And it's recorded at the courthouse.
25	A to the ditch.	25	Q. I'm not I'm just making sure I understand it.
	PAGE 353		PAGE 355
1	Q. Okay. Do you have any kind of concluding	1	You're right, it is recorded and I've got a recorded
2	statements?	2	document number here.
3	A. Yeah. Our family farm has worked diligently to	3	So the actual and forgive my ignorance here
4	be good stewards of the land. Our family spent a lot of	4	and help me understand a little bit better, but the
5			•
	sweat, time, borrowed money, and interest payments to	5	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that
6	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For	5 6	·
6 7		_	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that
	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For	6	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement?
7	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us,	6 7	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest
7 8	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future.	6 7 8	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section.
7 8 9	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the	6 7 8 9	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay.
7 8 9 10	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your	6 7 8 9 10	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the
7 8 9 10 11	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of	6 7 8 9 10 11	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line,
7 8 9 10 11 12	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay. A. As they as they termed it.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose. MR. LEIBEL: We have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose. MR. LEIBEL: We have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay. A. As they as they termed it.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose. MR. LEIBEL: We have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay. A. As they as they termed it. Q. And Mr. Leibel, your attorney, was asking you a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose. MR. LEIBEL: We have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay. A. As they as they termed it. Q. And Mr. Leibel, your attorney, was asking you a little bit about the depth of the line in that potential
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose. MR. LEIBEL: We have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay. A. As they as they termed it. Q. And Mr. Leibel, your attorney, was asking you a little bit about the depth of the line in that potential area, and I won't reask those questions, but I'm just wondering, ma'am, have have there been any discussions at all related to this easement between your
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	develop the entirety of our drain tile system. For some, our investment may not be significant. For us, it's a step to a brighter economic future. Should you choose to grant a permit to the carbon pipeline company, I'm humbly asking your thoughtful consideration of its route placement and of the negative personal, environmental, and economic consequences to my family's future and my family's economic success. I appreciate and I am grateful for the opportunity to be here today, as fun as it was. Q. Thank you, Rose. MR. LEIBEL: We have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the outlet, does it run the entire width of that easement or is it just portions of that easement? A. So our schoolhouse quarter is the northwest quarter of that section. Q. Okay. A. We have an easement for the entirety of the northeast quarter. So where you see that orange line, our buried solid tile main line runs from our quarter, it shoots straight across, and it exits out into the legal drain. Q. Okay. A. And all the neighbors are happy because they're not dealing with our water. Q. Right. Okay. A. As they as they termed it. Q. And Mr. Leibel, your attorney, was asking you a little bit about the depth of the line in that potential area, and I won't reask those questions, but I'm just wondering, ma'am, have have there been any

-	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	A. None.	1	you know, we did our best effort and they just really
2	Q addressing	2	seemed to disregard anything we offered.
3	A. Zero.	3	Q. Fair enough. Thank you, ma'am. I don't have
4	Q. When is the last time that and best you can	4	any other questions.
5	recall, ma'am, because I know a lot's been going on.	5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?
6	When is the last time you recall a proposal was	6	Commissioner Christmann.
7	presented to Summit concerning specifically this	7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I know you have a
8	easement agreement?	8	number of concerns. I'm going to ask about one of them
9	A. Could you state that again, please?	9	specifically and that is the fairly unique capability of
10	Q. Yes. I'm just wondering when is the last time	10	your system to drain based on gravity without
11	someone from your family or your attorney, I guess, if	11	electricity. If there's some type of assurance, because
12	you know, communicated with Summit as to the easement	12	we as I'm understanding right now, you, and thus us,
13	agreement concerns specific as to the outlet?	13	aren't really sure how deep your pipeline is, right,
14	A. I'm not aware of any any interaction, there	14	where they want to cross?
15	has been communicating, that that the entirety of	15	THE WITNESS: I would love to have that
16	our system since Ben and I had been communicating.	16	information, but no, I do not.
17	Q. Fair enough. Fair enough.	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: But we don't. And so
18	And the concern of damage to the drain tile	18	as long as there's assurance that, if yours is real
19	system that you have in place, there's no agreement	19	deep, theirs will be up high enough that it doesn't
20	between Summit and and your family	20	change the level of yours or that theirs is far enough
21	A. Nothing.	21	below so that your level doesn't change, that's that
22	Q if there's any damage?	22	would solve that problem; correct?
23	A. That's	23	THE WITNESS: Yeah. But I would want to consult
24	Q. And that's	24	Ben, he's the operator of the property, and I would want
25	A. That's the risk.	25	to consult my attorney to make sure that we are
	PAGE 357		PAGE 359
1	Q your primary concern?	1	protected.
2	A. Yes.	2	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so your concern
3	Q. Okay. And we're talking over a little bit	3	about losing that gravity flow capability is that, if
4	we're talking over each other a little bit and that	4	yours happens to be at, say, six feet, they're going to
5	makes it hard. If you could just	5	come along and go in at six feet and then yours has to
6	A. Oh, I'm sorry.	6	either go up or down and now you need a pump?
7	Q. Attorneys ask long-winded questions, if you	7	THE WITNESS: That is the a concern, but also
8	couldn't tell by the end of today, that we tend to ask	8	with, you know, human error occurs
9	long-winded questions that sometimes don't completely	9	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yeah.
10	make sense. So just wait until I'm done speaking. That	10	THE WITNESS: when if and when there's a
11	way, we don't talk over each other.	11	break in our tile line, you know, there's liability risk
12	So your primary concern, just to clean that up,	12	there that we do not want that risk.
13	your primary concern is, is because there is no	13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Sure. And how often
14	agreement directly between the Dotzenrod family and	14	on the drain part of it, how like you've had it about
15	Summit Carbon Solutions specific as to the drain tile	15	a decade now
16	system that exists and goes onto the Ulvens' property	16	THE WITNESS: Yes.
17	which you have an easement for, if something happens,	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I think you said.
18	there's no contractual agreement that exists. Is that	18	How often does that require maintenance?
19	fair to say?	19	THE WITNESS: It has required none that well,
20	A. That is correct.	20	there's been some breaks in the field, but on that
21	Q. As you sit here today, ma'am, is your family	21	easement there have been none.
22	willing to talk to Summit to try to find some solution	22	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. All right.
23	as to this issue?	23	Thanks again for coming back and talking to us again,
24	A. I would need to talk to my son, Ben. I would	24	Rose.
25	need to talk to my attorneys. At this point in time,	25	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
i	PAGE 358		PAGE 360

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	1	JAMES TIEGS: James Tiegs, T-I-E-G-S.
2	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thanks, Rose. At	2	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Tiegs, were you in the room
3	the beginning of your testimony, and you've alluded to	3	earlier when I went through the penalties for perjury?
4	it several times, that you have proposed alternative	4	JAMES TIEGS: Yes, I was.
5	routes to Summit Carbon Solutions; correct?	5	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
6	THE WITNESS: Yes. Between Ben and I, we have,	6	is?
7	yes.	7	JAMES TIEGS: Yes, I do.
8	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So we've re-opened	8	ALJ HOGAN: Being advised of the potential
9	this case, and earlier I said, in one of our	9	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
10	supplemental filings, that Summit Carbon work with	10	in this case today?
11	landowners on what's been done to work through any	11	JAMES TIEGS: Yes.
12	disputed things. So would you say a good starting point	12	ALJ HOGAN: And again, Mr. Jorde, I believe
13	for communication between you and Summit Carbon is to	13	Mr. Tiegs previously testified so I would ask you not to
14	look at those proposed alternative routes? Would you be	14	repeat any former testimony that we've already gone
15	willing to I mean, is that a good starting point?	15	through.
16	THE WITNESS: Again, without Ben here, because	16	MR. JORDE: I'll try my best at this late in the
17	Ben has been intensely involved in it, I mean I mean,	17	day.
18	obviously, yes. However, we communicated early on	18	JAMES TIEGS,
19	before anybody had signed easements and it that's one	19	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
20	of the reasons it was so frustrating is they chose to	20	follows:
21	disregard any suggestions that we made.	21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	22	BY MR. JORDE:
23	THE WITNESS: Does that answer your question?	23	Q. And, sir, I see you've got some materials in
24	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: It does. I just	24	front of you. Do you think that would be faster than me
25	really hope it's the intent that disputes can be	25	kind of guessing what you had
	PAGE 361		PAGE 363
1	resolved	1	A. Yeah. So I might repeat a little bit. I
1 2	resolved THE WITNESS: And that's	1 2	A. Yeah. So I might repeat a little bit. I promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a
2	THE WITNESS: And that's	2	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a
2	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a	2	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end.
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win.	2 3 4	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17,
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to	2 3 4 5	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them.	2 3 4 5 6	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you	2 3 4 5 6 7	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Unless something	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and friends were very relieved last year when Summit's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Unless something crazy happens, this is our last witness. ALJ HOGAN: All right. MR. JORDE: The landowners would call James	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and friends were very relieved last year when Summit's permit was denied, but obviously it's no surprise that Summit keeps pushing as we know the Green New Deal and Inflation Reduction Act has included billions of dollars
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Unless something crazy happens, this is our last witness. ALJ HOGAN: All right. MR. JORDE: The landowners would call James Tiegs to the stand, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and friends were very relieved last year when Summit's permit was denied, but obviously it's no surprise that Summit keeps pushing as we know the Green New Deal and Inflation Reduction Act has included billions of dollars in tax credits to support corporate climate-related
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Unless something crazy happens, this is our last witness. ALJ HOGAN: All right. MR. JORDE: The landowners would call James Tiegs to the stand, please. ALJ HOGAN: I'll have you start by stating your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and friends were very relieved last year when Summit's permit was denied, but obviously it's no surprise that Summit keeps pushing as we know the Green New Deal and Inflation Reduction Act has included billions of dollars in tax credits to support corporate climate-related projects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: And that's COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: and it's a win-win. THE WITNESS: And that's why we attempted to communicate early on with them. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you for your testimony. I have no further questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson. SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you for your testimony. I have no questions. THE WITNESS: Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Leibel? MR. LEIBEL: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Dotzenrod. One more witness? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Unless something crazy happens, this is our last witness. ALJ HOGAN: All right. MR. JORDE: The landowners would call James Tiegs to the stand, please.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	promise not to I kind of tell the story or give you a timeline so you don't have to ask me at the end. First of all, the land involved is section 17, township 129 north, 61W, the whole section, with the exception of there's some wildlife land in the middle of that. And the other owned parcel is the south half of 27, township 129 north, 63W, in Dickey they're both all this land is in Dickey County. The third parcel is a quarter that I sharecrop. And the owner has not signed and he said he has not heard from Summit for probably a year. And that's section 30, 129 north, 62W. First of all, I'd like to thank you for giving me the opportunity to voice my concerns here today. I provided testimony last year here in Wahpeton and I figured I better take time to speak at this reconsideration hearing. I'll admit I'm a bit disappointed we're here again because my family and friends were very relieved last year when Summit's permit was denied, but obviously it's no surprise that Summit keeps pushing as we know the Green New Deal and Inflation Reduction Act has included billions of dollars in tax credits to support corporate climate-related

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PAGE 365

two and a half years ago. The initial phone call was to
 my wife's phone from a California number. If I had
 known back then what I know now, we would have kept a
 more detailed journal of all communications and
 recordings of the meetings.

We did some research and made an easy decision that we absolutely did not want a high-pressure CO2 pipeline near us. The agent, Albert, was told multiple times the answer is "no," but he kept pressuring, wanting surveys, wanted -- us to the point that we considered harassment so we called our lawyer, and he referred us to Heidepriem Law Firm in Sioux Falls, South Dakota. So we turned all communications over to Heidepriem with the instructions that the answer is "no" and they need to get us off the route.

To this day, we have never seen or heard an attempt to reroute it away from us. And I spoke with Heidepriem this morning just to double-check there wasn't any last-minute communication. There was none. They've not heard anything.

So in the meantime I signed up with Heidepriem and I decided I wanted to do some intervening and come testifying and I signed up with Brian Jorde also so I have two -- two -- two lawyers. Heidepriem

-

25 (indiscernible) is still involved.

1 I think it was well established at last year's

2 hearings that supercritical CO2 is a completely

3 different substance than oil or natural gas. Expecting

4 my family and hired man and my friends, neighbors to

5 live and work nearby this safety hazard seems

6 unthinkable and completely unnecessary.

7 One of the key questions you all want answered 8 is "Will construction, operation, and maintenance of the 9 facility at the proposed location produce minimal 10 adverse effects on the environment and upon the welfare 11 of the citizens of North Dakota?"

My next couple of statements are rhetorical questions. There's no need to answer out loud. I would like you to know -- I would like to know what you all consider "minimal adverse effects." Is the devalue of my farmland considered minimal when compared to Valley and development land near Bismarck? When a breach occurs, is the loss of ten lives south of Ellendale minimal compared to the loss of a hundred lives near Bismarck?

Summit representatives have openly acknowledged that an effort is being made to keep significant distance from highly populated areas. I'm very offended by the insinuation that lives of the land south of

25 Ellendale are somehow less important than those near

PAGE 367

Last summer Summit requested permission from Heidepriem to -- multiple times to survey. The answer was always "no." On the 26th of June, 2023, my neighbors called to tell me there were trespassers on my land west of the golf course. Sure enough, there were surveyors hired by Summit and were conducting a survey without permission on land they were specifically told to stay off of

I contacted my lawyer. It was late -- it was in the evening already. They contacted Summit immediately and, of course, Summit said it was an accident. I have a hard time believing that because they had parked their pickup and trailer two miles from the pipeline corridor and drove their UTV to the fields to survey. The pickup had Ohio plates.

We spoke with a lady on the UTV. She indicated they were surveying for Summit. She was very reluctant to speak or give any information, and I did not push the issue. I was cordial. I did not want a confrontation like what happened to a fellow down in South Dakota.

It's important that you all understand that I'm not anti-pipeline, I'm not anti-progress, if the project is sensible and actually for the public good. A few years ago we signed off on new power lines coming through our South Dakota fields.

1 Bismarck. We've built our lives on the state line, a
2 house, a shop, bin setup, and now my wife wants to rent
3 out the land and leave the community if this CO2
4 pipeline goes through.
5 In the last hearing I noticed Summit was eager

to tell you that they have met with most of the county emergency managers. I want to tell you this gives me no comfort whatsoever. People who work in healthcare and emergency preparedness know that first responders are always trained to make sure that the scene is safe before entering because they do not become more victims. If there's a CO2 pipeline breach like Satartia, Mississippi, it's unlikely there would be any heros to come save us. Local responders would make a perimeter around the hot zone and wait for proper reinforcements or wait for the scene to be safe before entering. I did speak with a Dickey County emergency manager and he agreed this is likely the case if the event of this would occur.

My wife and I have also discussed that we need to get our own air monitors and breathing tanks for a chance of survival, but you would need such a big tank that I just don't really think it's feasible. And I'm not crazy about having a high-pressure tank in the cab with me of a tractor or combine.

PAGE 366

PAGE 368

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 Now I'd like to briefly talk about this project like was said earlier, supply and demand, drought. You 2 result -- results in such a huge waste of resources. 2 name it. 3 3 The excess use of water at each of these ethanol plants Q. So my question is do you put any stock in this 4 4 to cool the CO2 for recovery is a huge concern. I know kind of vague promise that if -- gosh darn it, if we 5 that was addressed earlier. Talk of extra power in the just had this pipeline in North Dakota, corn prices are 6 power grid consumption is -- is another concern. 6 going up and everything is going to be great? 7 7 It was addressed earlier, that eight tons of A. No. No, I don't. I just -- I -- there's too 8 carbon is sequestered -- an acre of corn sequestered 8 much -- there's a lot of speculation and there's just 9 9 eight tons of CO2 per year. If you believe there's too much -- I guarantee you if there was no 45Q, Z, 10 10 actually a climate crisis, then you can see I'm already whatever they're gathering up, we would not be sitting 11 doing my part. It's very much out of the line to steal 11 here today. I would bet my farm on it. Because there 12 my land to implant a dangerous pipeline. 12 would be no money to grab. The investors wouldn't --13 13 I listened to the last hearing in Mandan, the and I know Mr. Brandenburg said it's -- this is what's 14 14 whole hearing, and when my local representative talked on the menu now, is because the East and West want. 15 about all the extra money farmers receive from the 15 Well, we're -- we're in the heartland of this country. 16 ethanol plants -- and he spoke again earlier today. I 16 It's where the people -- I don't know -- care. 17 17 know he's at Spiritwood, but I work with Mina and Groton Does anybody care about anything anymore besides 18 and I check Hankinson. And he's talking about 50 cents 18 money? Is that what it's all about anymore? There's no 19 a bushel and at one point a dollar, and he said that 19 -- you know, he talked about -- I heard legacy mentioned 20 twice now. I watched the basis awful close and I can 20 earlier and stewardship and -- oh, let's just put a 21 never find a basis that good but whatever. It is what 21 bunch of pipelines in. CO2, our plants use CO2 and 22 it is. 22 we're going to put it in the ground? It doesn't -- it 23 23 I just -- a lot of big numbers get thrown around doesn't make any sense. 24 here and I won't deny that 5, 6, \$7 corn is really good. 24 Q. Sir, do you -- and being familiar with this 25 25 Am I going to sell my soul to -- based on a tax credit process and being intimately involved in it, are you **PAGE 371** 1 that we don't even know how long it's going to last? I 1 aware if the PSC has any mandate, that it's the PSC's 2 2 mean, it's tough for me to do to make a deal with the job to approve or deny projects based on market demand 3 devil. 3 or what the Federal Government wants or cares about? 4 I guess, in conclusion, I'd like you all to A. We're just here to site a pipeline, but we 5 5 please deny Summit's permit application. No amount of always end in the -- we always end out in the weeds 6 money is worth compromising the lives and safety of any because we keep talking about it as a project where we 7 7 community. Five years ago I would have never dreamed should -- and I was told we're here for the pipeline 8 we'd be in this situation. It's not right. We are 8 9 entitled to safe and peaceable living in this country. 9 Q. Right. Okay. And the burdens of proof and the 10 And thank you for considering my comments here 10 elements, nothing in there says the PSC should give nod 11 today. Thank you. 11 or, you know, if the tie goes to the company as long as 12 12 Q. Mr. Tiegs, you had mentioned the basis and corn the market forces or the market demand wants carbon 13 13 price and there's been some testimony today on that and capture. You haven't seen anything like that, have you? 14 14 A. No. also Mr. Pickering went into -- went into some 15 projections on that. Can I have you turn in that binder 15 Q. Okay. And so in terms of the actual elements 16 to the very first page, Tab 1. And what I've got here 16 that the PSC is constrained by, I think you've developed 17 is just from 1960 to present time, a demonstrative of 17 your evidence pretty clearly here that you believe the 18 the corn prices. 18 construction, operation, and maintenance of this 19 And my question to you is how long have you been 19 particular pipeline operated by this particular company 20 20 marketing or watching the corn prices? would produce more than minimal adverse impacts on your 21 A. I've been -- I can remember back in '99 and 2000 21 welfare and the environment; right? 22 22 selling \$2 corn. You know, prior to then I was pretty A. Yes. Yes, it would. And I won't -- I won't say 23 23 much just helping my dad with everything and I seen all the "T" word. I don't have any. I'd like to do some 24 the effects. And then actively I've been at this for 24 some day, but it will affect me. I don't really care 25 the last 20 years or 25 years. Markets are cyclical, 25 what Ellingson says. It's going to be extra expense and

PAGE 372

PAGE 370

	IND PUBLIC SERV		= = =
1	that's all I'm going to say about that. I listened to	1	is if my kids are dead or whoever so it doesn't
2	that testimony a year ago. Well, I was here, obviously.	2	matter.
3	Q. And then in terms of ensuring continuing system	3	Q. And since you've learned about this, Summit
4	reliability, are you aware of any CO2 system reliability	4	hasn't proposed any reroutes of any kind, not even one
5	that this pipeline is tapping into?	5	inch or one mile on your
6	A. No.	6	A. No. Heidepriem asked them, because I was I
7	Q. And then what about that this pipeline has to	7	told them, well, they're thinking about rerouting
8	ensure energy needs are being met? Does this pipeline	8	Bismarck, I guess. Maybe they want to reroute the
9	alone, in your view, ensure that any energy needs that	9	Tiegs. And they said "no," is what they told
10	aren't being met are going to somehow be met now?	10	Heidepriem.
11	A. No. We're putting CO2 in the ground. I mean,	11	Q. Okay. And so are you requesting that this
12	and then we're going to say we have a CI score so	12	Commission, if they don't deny it, then second would be
13	then people can still fly their planes around. They're	13	to have any type of approval conditioned on rerouting
14	going to buy credits back and it's all just I don't	14	around your property?
15	know. It's frustrating, in my mind.	15	A. Yes. I would like it rerouted.
16	Q. Did you hear some of the earlier witnesses talk	16	Q. All right. Do you have anything further that
17	about that, hopefully, this can be used for EOR some	17	you'd like to add today?
18	day	18	A. I want to thank all of you for giving us the
19	A. Yes.	19	opportunity to speak. I I could ramble on for a long
20	Q enhanced oil recovery?	20	time, but I think we're good.
21	A. Yes. That's and I felt that way from the	21	MR. JORDE: So then I would offer Exhibit 1, the
22	beginning, that that was the plan. I mean once once	22	historic corn price chart.
23	the credits are gone, they've got bigger plans for this.	23	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 1?
24	Q. And would you agree if that is the plan and that	24	MR. GLUDT: I'll object on foundation.
25	is the motivation, then that completely destroys the	25	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
	PAGE 373		PAGE 375
1	idea of this being good for the environment on the front	1	ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objection is noted,
2	end?	2	but I'm going to admit Landowner Exhibit 1.
_	_		
3	A. Right.	3	MR. JORDE: And then, Your Honor, I don't need
3 4	A. Right.Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's	3 4	MR. JORDE: And then, Your Honor, I don't need any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of
	-		
4	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's	4	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of
4 5	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect,	4 5	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some
4 5 6	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you	4 5 6	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners.
4 5 6 7	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that?	4 5 6 7	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection?
4 5 6 7 8	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this	4 5 6 7 8	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural 	4 5 6 7 8 9	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I
4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that	4 5 6 7 8 9	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury people deeper than this pipeline. Frost goes to four	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury people deeper than this pipeline. Frost goes to four feet easily if it's an open winter.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No questions, thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury people deeper than this pipeline. Frost goes to four feet easily if it's an open winter. Q. And then would you agree with Governor Burgum	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No questions, thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury people deeper than this pipeline. Frost goes to four feet easily if it's an open winter. Q. And then would you agree with Governor Burgum that if you, James, don't want this pipeline, just tell	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No questions, thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury people deeper than this pipeline. Frost goes to four feet easily if it's an open winter. Q. And then would you agree with Governor Burgum that if you, James, don't want this pipeline, just tell Summit and they'll go around you?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No questions, thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yeah. Did you say
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. You were here for Representative Brandenburg's testimony. And I think he said something to the effect, you know, farmers just want to be left alone. Would you agree with that? A. I would agree. I just you know, if this pipeline goes in, I dread the fact we had a rural waterline put in probably 35 years ago or so and that thing kept caving in for five, six years or longer. They keep adding dirt to it. And now I'm going to have to go along, I imagine, and keep filling it in. I don't you know, on a half section of land, how many times do I have to cross it with a combine every day to harvest it? It's, like, "Here we go. I hope she doesn't fall in on it." It's only four feet deep. Frost like somebody said earlier, we bury people deeper than this pipeline. Frost goes to four feet easily if it's an open winter. Q. And then would you agree with Governor Burgum that if you, James, don't want this pipeline, just tell Summit and they'll go around you? A. Yeah. Give the neighbor the big check. But	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	any foundation on this. I'm requesting admission of LO-22. Those are Summit's discovery responses, or some of them, to us, to landowners. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.) ALJ HOGAN: 22. It's response to Set 3. I think the response was dated April 18th. MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner 22 is admitted. MR. JORDE: Great. Thank you, Your Honor. James, I don't have any more questions at this time. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No questions, thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock. Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yeah. Did you say do you live on you mentioned

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: you mentioned three	1	THE WITNESS: I'm just explaining. I'm not
2	sections but one you don't own.	2	yelling at you or anything.
3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. The one I sharecrop. The	3	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Nope. I didn't
4	other two bigger parcels we own, owned for many years.	4	think you were. You know, some people have proposed a
5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: But you don't live on	5	reroute.
6	those?	6	THE WITNESS: No, I realize that.
7	THE WITNESS: We do not, fortunately.	7	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And I was just
8	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you.	8	clarifying for the record
9	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	9	THE WITNESS: I did not, I guess.
10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes. I wrote down	10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Well, I
11	that you asked Summit Carbon Solutions for a reroute and	11	appreciate your time and your testimony. Thanks, James.
12	they replied "no."	12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	THE WITNESS: That's right. Yep.	13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?
14	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: How did they	14	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
15	respond to you? Verbally? In writing?	15	THE WITNESS: All right.
16	THE WITNESS: To my lawyer, Heidepriem. I have	16	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect?
17	two lawyers. I have one hired out of Sioux Falls.	17	MR. JORDE: Just briefly.
18	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So they have	18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	THE WITNESS: They're the ones in contact with	19	BY MR. JORDE:
20	Summit. I've hired Brian Jorde just to do intervening	20	Q. You don't have homes now on those other parcels,
21	and just I don't know, figured I might as well	21	and if this project were to go through, there would
22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That attorney	22	never be homes
23	would have the correspondence that they said no to a	23	A. No. No way.
24	reroute?	24	Q on those parcels?
25	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm sure I could get ahold	25	A. No. I've I mean, we we've even thought
	PAGE 377		PAGE 379
1	of it if I needed to.	1	about, if it would go through and we get stuck with it,
1 2	of it if I needed to. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	2	about, if it would go through and we get stuck with it, to sell the land, but I know the value would be less,
2	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	2	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less,
2	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone	2	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know.
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him.	2 3 4	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you	2 3 4 5	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them?	2 3 4 5 6	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've	2 3 4 5 6 7	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going around and saying, "Hey, you want to take this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right. Q Summit has proposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going around and saying, "Hey, you want to take this pipeline?" I mean, because I don't want it, you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going around and saying, "Hey, you want to take this pipeline?" I mean, because I don't want it, you know. I mean, I don't know. It's some people like it, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right. Q Summit has proposed?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going around and saying, "Hey, you want to take this pipeline?" I mean, because I don't want it, you know. I mean, I don't know. It's some people like it, I guess, and they want it, but I personally don't. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right. Q Summit has proposed? A. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going around and saying, "Hey, you want to take this pipeline?" I mean, because I don't want it, you know. I mean, I don't know. It's some people like it, I guess, and they want it, but I personally don't. I guess if you really do truly own your land, you should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right. Q Summit has proposed? A. Right. Q. Okay. All right, sir. Thanks a lot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: It was just verbal over the phone when I talked to him. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Have you guys have you proposed a reroute to them? THE WITNESS: I have not. I've been I've been so busy and overloaded and I just you know, quite frankly, this probably sounds bad, but when I want to get something done, I have to do the work to I mean, why do I have to try to figure out a route for Summit? They're going to get billions of dollars. They've got a plat book. They can talk to my neighbors. I did talk to a couple for fun and they didn't want it. They kind of laughed at me. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I feel kind of foolish going around and saying, "Hey, you want to take this pipeline?" I mean, because I don't want it, you know. I mean, I don't know. It's some people like it, I guess, and they want it, but I personally don't. I guess if you really do truly own your land, you should be able to say you don't want something.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to sell the land, but I know the value would be less, and try to find some different land. I don't know. It's just hard. I just I know if I have to watch them dig it in there, it's going to be tough but Q. And then on the ground that you sharecrop and obviously have an economic and legal interest in, the same for that parcel, Summit hasn't proposed any reroutes? A. No. He said he hasn't heard from them for a year. And I don't know, but I think they surveyed him and he he actually had been sued and didn't even realize it, I guess, is what he told me, for survey. Q. Can you tell us who A. Tom. Tom Pettibone from Ellendale. Q. Okay. So according to at least your best information, he hasn't heard from them in over a year and no reroutes for that property that A. Right, right. Q Summit has proposed? A. Right. Q. Okay. All right, sir. Thanks a lot. A. The only thing I might add in closing, and I'm

	TID I OBLIC CLITT	`	
1	do a bad job. The contractor gets to leave, but the	1	their time. It's valuable.
2	homeowner gets to live with the problem the rest of his	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.
3	life.	3	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you all
4	And it's kind of like with this pipeline. I	4	for coming today. I do want to reiterate that time is
5	mean, Summit's hired all these lawyers and you guys do	5	so valuable and I'm just impressed at the time that
6	your job and I'll get the pipeline if I get stuck with	6	people put into this and that they come here and they
7	it. Everybody else goes on with their life, but we	7	seek redress and I appreciate that.
8	still as a farmer, we live with that pipeline	8	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note for
9	forever, as long as I own the home. It's just something	9	the record that it's 6:05 p.m. and we will stand in
10	to ponder, think about.	10	recess in this case.
11	Q. Thank you, sir. Nothing further.	11	
12	A. All right. Thank you.	12	
13	ALJ HOGAN: Hang on, hang on.	13	
14	Mr. Gludt, any other questions?	14	
15	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	15	
16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham?	16	
17	Mr. Schock.	17	
18	Any other commissioner? Mr. Dawson?	18	
19	All right.	19	
20	THE WITNESS: All right.	20	
21	ALJ HOGAN: Now you can go. Thank you.	21	
22	All right. Any further witnesses?	22	
23	MR. JORDE: Well, I'd call the Summit guys if I	23	
24	could, but no, we don't we don't have any other	24	
25	witnesses. We rest for today.	25	
	PAGE 381		PAGE 383
1	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, that brings us to		CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
2	the end of our testimony for the day. I'll just ask if		
3	there's any other matters we need to address before we		STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss.
4	conclude.		
5	Mr. Gludt, do you have anything?		I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified
6	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.		electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic
7	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.		sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional
8	MR. JORDE: I don't. No, Your Honor. Thank		skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am
9	you.		only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings.
10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.		I further certify that I am not a relative
11	MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor.		or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such
12	ALJ HOGAN: And do the commissioners want to		attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.
13	make any closing remarks?		Dated this date of October 20, 2025.
14	Commissioner Christmann.		
15	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do not except for		
16	we'll see at least the people at this table on Tuesday.		LTCA A LILIM CET 702
17	ALJ HOGAN: All right.		LISA A. HULM, CET-783
18	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Happy Memorial Day.		
19	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.		
20	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You know, holding		
21	these hearings is informative; we get a lot of		
22	information. But I just really want to thank everyone		
23	for their testimony. I know there's a lot of passion		The foregoing certification of this transcript does
24	regarding this and we do take a lot of information in.		not apply to the reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or
25	We hear you. And I just want to thank everyone for		direction of the certifying transcriber.
	PAGE 382		DACE 204
		204 -6	PAGE 384

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND PUBLIC	SERVICE C		
\$ \$10,000 [4] - 11:10, 122:12, 132:9 \$11 [1] - 122:10 \$15 [1] - 16:7 \$15,000 [1] - 347:13 \$18 [1] - 186:14 \$30,000 [2] - 164:23, 211:1 \$32,000 [1] - 211:1 \$400 [2] - 200:11, 200:13 \$50,000 [3] - 198:5, 207:7, 207:14 \$600,000 [1] - 59:20 \$65,000 [1] - 142:10 \$7.78 [1] - 261:9	10 [9] - 25:10, 27:24, 27:25, 39:4, 159:15, 159:19, 160:22, 195:11, 211:3 100 [1] - 176:23 100th [1] - 210:13 102 [1] - 90:21 11 [5] - 100:3, 179:4, 291:7, 306:16, 307:5 113 [1] - 270:7 11:15 [1] - 106:20 11:25 [1] - 106:21 12 [9] - 24:4, 55:9, 55:24, 143:19, 198:14, 215:2, 316:19, 316:21, 316:23 12-131 [1] - 219:25 125 [1] - 242:1	15-plus [1] - 235:12 150 [3] - 271:8, 272:17, 272:25 150-mile [1] - 242:15 15th [1] - 6:2 16 [7] - 14:7, 32:1, 32:8, 32:15, 131:20, 279:6, 279:12 167 [1] - 178:2 16th [3] - 159:2, 307:22, 308:21 17 [1] - 364:4 17th [6] - 161:10, 207:1, 207:6, 207:19, 309:1, 309:5 18 [5] - 32:18, 33:11, 33:19, 41:21, 41:22 180 [1] - 216:11	2004 [3] - 134:10, 136:5, 136:18 2005 [1] - 174:20 2007 [2] - 174:20, 175:14 2009 [1] - 284:4 2011 [1] - 237:5 2012 [4] - 166:1, 166:25, 265:25, 266:4 2014 [2] - 344:7, 355:18 2019 [1] - 266:4 2021 [4] - 155:25, 156:21, 162:16, 342:24 2022 [10] - 21:18, 35:11, 42:24, 44:14, 44:18, 47:19, 65:8,	121:19, 237:17, 370:25 250 [1] - 217:24 25th [1] - 290:25 26 [5] - 134:12, 173:21, 193:19, 343:6, 344:8 26th [1] - 366:3 27 [1] - 364:8 28 [7] - 147:5, 188:21, 189:9, 189:13, 262:2 28th [5] - 22:13, 22:25, 23:15, 32:3, 35:15 29 [6] - 76:8, 147:6, 147:8, 169:18, 171:8, 171:10 2nd [1] - 76:25
\$90 [1] - 238:17	129 [3] - 364:5, 364:8,	1804 [1] - 286:1 180th [3] - 173:25,	117:5, 270:3, 310:9	3
"21 [5] - 75:14, 111:16, 135:24, 301:20, 347:22 "22 [11] - 65:5, 75:17, 76:7, 76:25, 77:13, 82:9, 111:16, 111:22, 112:5, 112:11, 135:12 "23 [4] - 77:20, 137:22, 160:9, 215:6 "24 [1] - 80:6 "25 [1] - 239:9 "26 [1] - 239:10 "70s [2] - 100:11, 143:21 "75 [1] - 265:12 "80s [1] - 100:11 "84 [1] - 141:8 "99 [1] - 370:21	364:12 12:26 [1] - 153:5 12:30 [1] - 106:24 13 [9] - 50:1, 51:6, 51:7, 51:13, 66:8, 77:13, 137:17, 233:1 13,000 [1] - 291:1 130 [2] - 306:16, 307:5 130-151 [1] - 73:4 131 [4] - 12:17, 149:20, 151:22, 215:2 131-51 [1] - 151:18 131-51-2 [1] - 151:25 132 [1] - 149:23 132-50 [1] - 232:23 132-51 [1] - 73:10 13th [1] - 310:9 14 [16] - 12:18, 40:7, 46:3, 56:8, 56:9, 70:8, 155:18, 169:14, 170:18, 170:23, 170:24,	180th [3] - 173:25, 174:1, 174:9 188th [8] - 173:19, 178:23, 178:24, 179:5, 179:7, 180:2 18th [2] - 6:11, 376:10 19 [3] - 33:22, 34:2, 34:8 1902 [1] - 260:5 1950s [1] - 159:14 1960 [1] - 370:17 1960s [1] - 229:19 1975 [1] - 97:18 1984 [1] - 97:19 1:00 [1] - 153:6 2 2 [15] - 50:11, 73:3, 75:20, 77:16, 77:24, 78:5, 78:11, 99:14, 105:21, 106:4, 155:25, 237:8,	2023 [11] - 5:24, 6:2, 24:18, 92:24, 174:10, 174:22, 181:18, 186:18, 321:21, 329:5, 366:3 2024 [22] - 4:7, 4:9, 22:13, 22:25, 25:12, 25:16, 27:11, 32:3, 44:18, 63:7, 74:14, 79:2, 82:17, 96:5, 104:17, 109:13, 123:25, 175:1, 175:14, 176:6, 308:21, 309:1 2025 [1] - 182:14 2026 [1] - 239:14 2030s [1] - 253:4 20th [3] - 161:11, 281:20, 283:22 21 [5] - 91:8, 91:10, 91:12, 92:17 21st [6] - 4:9, 23:19, 104:17, 113:14, 123:25, 311:15	3 [24] - 30:3, 55:12, 74:13, 74:20, 82:23, 82:24, 83:25, 84:2, 85:11, 89:10, 101:14, 125:9, 125:25, 140:19, 140:20, 155:17, 164:19, 170:13, 170:15, 171:18, 223:8, 223:9, 271:4, 376:9 3,000 [2] - 115:9, 116:6 3,300 [1] - 177:3 3-131-51 [1] - 125:4 3.1 [2] - 101:16, 145:10 3.1.3 [1] - 101:16 3.9 [1] - 79:23 30 [15] - 235:2, 237:15, 269:20, 270:19, 275:19, 318:25,
_	223:7, 233:2, 233:10, 306:17,	271:4, 335:4, 370:22	22 [5] - 67:6, 76:8,	319:2, 319:8, 319:9,
1	307:5	2,000 [4] - 173:25,	198:6, 376:9, 376:12	319:14, 319:18, 320:22, 332:1,
1 [14] - 85:13, 176:17, 176:22, 189:17, 229:18, 265:5, 290:7, 301:6, 314:2, 314:3, 370:16, 375:21, 375:23, 376:2 1,200 [1] - 142:21 1,300 [1] - 274:18 1,320 [1] - 26:5 1,400 [1] - 279:7 1,800 [5] - 157:12, 162:24, 163:7,	141 [1] - 173:21 141-80 [1] - 193:19 149 [1] - 157:4 14th [4] - 175:1, 175:14, 176:5, 176:8 15 [19] - 30:1, 30:3, 30:4, 60:7, 122:5, 122:7, 122:12, 142:4, 191:9, 236:22, 237:8, 237:14, 237:16, 242:13, 263:4, 263:8, 271:24, 306:17, 307:5	176:25, 183:2, 184:11 2,000-parts-per- million [1] - 177:2 2,640 [2] - 26:10, 27:6 2.2 [1] - 80:1 20 [14] - 142:3, 168:5, 212:15, 226:3, 249:12, 249:20, 263:6, 267:11, 268:7, 271:8, 271:24, 290:19, 343:8, 370:25 200 [2] - 143:2, 152:25 200,000 [1] - 216:1	22nd [7] - 63:15, 79:12, 174:3, 179:11, 179:21, 194:17, 259:6 23 [6] - 66:13, 66:21, 67:2, 109:13, 142:24, 337:20 23rd [8] - 74:14, 77:2, 80:7, 82:17, 84:4, 85:12, 85:23, 109:7 24 [3] - 89:21, 190:24, 237:16 24-inch [5] - 5:21, 191:3, 191:8, 279:6, 349:11	332:10, 364:12 300 [2] - 177:25, 274:19 31 [3] - 148:20, 151:19, 151:20 32 [1] - 232:24 320 [1] - 5:20 33 [2] - 149:23, 355:11 33-foot [1] - 355:11 35 [10] - 73:9, 75:16, 75:19, 75:24, 78:4, 78:15, 79:6, 80:10, 81:1, 374:10 35,000 [1] - 293:5
163:14, 171:13 1.69 [1] - 257:8	15,000 [2] - 244:25, 247:16	2000 [1] - 370:21	24th [1] - 4:6	35-132-51 [1] - 97:11

350 [4] - 29:14, 229:7,

25 [5] - 76:3, 97:20,

2002 [1] - 177:23

15-minute [1] - 5:14

1.69 [1] - 257:8

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	IND PUBLIC	SERVICE	OMMISSION	N .
240:14, 271:9	5,000 [1] - 178:16	7	111:8, 133:13,	accordingly [2] - 5:11,
350-plus [1] - 240:25	5-131-51 [1] - 125:6	7	147:14, 151:17,	107:1
352 [1] - 260:10	50 [15] - 59:21, 122:9,		168:24, 169:1,	account [1] - 181:9
38-22 [1] - 283:18	125:10, 149:23,	7 [8] - 176:24, 266:1,	183:17, 183:21,	accurate [6] - 22:24,
39 [2] - 237:14, 237:16	155:22, 207:19,	268:15, 323:12,	192:12, 194:18,	32:2, 82:18, 91:11,
3:25 [2] - 258:19,	237:9, 242:13,	323:16, 325:5,	198:9, 208:13,	109:13, 342:16
258:22	260:20, 261:10,	369:24	217:21, 224:21,	acetaldehyde [1] -
3rd [2] - 25:15, 25:16	261:11, 272:18,	70 [1] - 149:21	224:24, 224:25,	177:1
310 [2] - 23.13, 23.10	275:21, 275:22,	700 [2] - 98:21, 297:14	225:8, 225:17,	acetate [1] - 176:25
4	369:18	71 [1] - 157:10	225:18, 226:3,	achieve [1] - 178:19
4	50,000 [1] - 207:2	75-mile [1] - 243:13	226:24, 229:2,	acid [2] - 141:15,
	500 [32] - 58:12,	7:30 [1] - 258:14	229:24, 250:25,	141:16
4 [20] - 63:16, 74:20,	110:14, 116:15,	7th [2] - 155:25,	303:19, 320:13,	acknowledge [1] -
81:19, 109:12,	116:16, 117:20,	162:16	321:9, 329:16,	191:14
109:17, 109:18,	117:22, 117:23,		378:23	acknowledged [2] -
109:22, 110:7,	117:24, 118:2,	8	abreast [2] - 197:4,	351:2, 367:21
112:23, 125:15,	118:14, 119:4,		202:1	acquired [2] - 36:4,
125:16, 149:21,	119:14, 119:18,		absentee [1] - 110:19	137:24
151:18, 265:12,	119:21, 142:20,	8 [4] - 79:24, 159:11,	absolutely [14] - 24:7,	acre [6] - 14:23,
268:16, 291:8,	143:8, 151:2, 151:6,	159:14, 229:19	33:9, 47:14, 137:15,	164:23, 211:1, 369:8
309:1, 334:24, 335:4	215:12, 216:3,	8-inch [2] - 184:3,	158:3, 169:16,	acreages [1] - 123:22
4.3 [1] - 79:24	218:1, 241:20,	185:1	196:5, 232:19,	acres [8] - 122:5,
4.5 [1] - 5:20	271:11, 296:10,	8.3 [1] - 79:22	239:23, 266:16,	122:7, 122:12,
4/28 [1] - 215:6	297:15, 297:24,	80 [9] - 142:10,	268:23, 307:1,	125:3, 125:10,
40 [6] - 17:12, 94:19,	312:10, 312:18,	144:10, 159:25,	346:13, 365:7	144:10, 193:24,
193:24, 265:8,	312:20, 312:25,	160:1, 173:21,	absorb [2] - 128:1,	275:24
275:19, 275:20	313:9, 314:16	181:18, 253:19,	142:22	acres' [1] - 142:10
40,000 [1] - 176:21	500,000 [1] - 250:1	270:18	abundance [1] -	Act [2] - 18:4, 364:22
40-plus [1] - 275:8	51 [1] - 149:20	81.55 [1] - 36:5	257:11	action [3] - 15:17,
40-some [1] - 292:17	519 [2] - 215:10, 217:8	83 [4] - 178:24, 179:7,	accept [8] - 82:14,	45:5, 45:6
400 [3] - 180:5, 271:9	52 [1] - 173:23	193:22, 286:2	159:23, 181:21,	actions [3] - 32:21,
409 [1] - 101:15	53 [1] - 125:3	8:00 [1] - 136:8	182:3, 182:22,	75:2, 109:3
40B [1] - 235:13	54 [2] - 215:2, 220:2	8th [2] - 176:6, 293:6	217:20, 283:1,	actively [1] - 370:24
41 [1] - 310:5	56 [2] - 12:17, 146:5	0	349:21	activists [2] - 15:25,
411 [1] - 80:2	576 [2] - 83:21, 83:22	9	acceptable [5] -	283:13
45 [4] - 76:3, 97:21,	58 [4] - 177:15,		84:18, 148:8, 148:9,	actual [4] - 77:10,
121:10, 292:16	177:22, 306:16,	9 [5] - 4:7, 114:13,	280:23, 321:23	91:19, 356:3, 372:15
450 [1] - 271:11	307:5	114:19, 115:7	accepted [2] - 7:2,	Adams [3] - 161:10,
45Q [7] - 94:19, 94:23,		90-degree [1] - 321:7	109:22	215:19, 220:12
122:21, 123:7,	6	90s [1] - 180:1	access [18] - 76:10, 77:3, 77:5, 77:17,	add [9] - 13:25, 14:9,
181:11, 186:19, 371:9		98.6 [1] - 237:4	124:4, 162:12,	94:2, 130:19,
	6 [13] - 79:16, 79:19,	99 [7] - 90:16, 90:20,	179:6, 199:5, 221:1,	252:18, 281:4,
45Z [6] - 235:3, 237:10, 239:6,	79:22, 81:17, 301:7,	157:15, 157:25,	221:3, 250:19,	349:17, 375:17,
239:7, 239:9, 239:15	308:4, 308:6,	176:16, 192:24,	255:4, 255:10,	380:23
463 [1] - 79:4	308:10, 308:11,	349:8	256:9, 310:1, 310:2,	added [15] - 77:16,
466 [1] - 79:6	308:18, 310:25,	99-acre [1] - 123:22	311:22, 311:24	160:13, 171:11,
480 [3] - 334:12,	315:8, 369:24	99-year [3] - 159:18,	accident [3] - 131:21,	196:20, 199:24,
334:17, 340:11	6,000 [1] - 177:3	160:23, 290:10	179:13, 366:11	200:2, 200:10,
49 [2] - 244:24, 337:20	60 [4] - 57:21, 57:22,	9:00 [1] - 4:1	accidents [1] - 212:19	200:18, 200:21, 205:11, 205:15,
4th [7] - 5:24, 63:7,	122:9, 241:23		accommodate [6] -	
63:17, 92:23, 96:5,	600,000 [2] - 19:5,	Α	93:10, 93:20, 93:24,	205:18, 206:1, 226:22, 344:14
186:18, 321:21	58:17		162:12, 298:15,	adding [2] - 250:22,
	61W [1] - 364:5	a.m [1] - 4:7	298:24	374:12
5	62W [1] - 364:12	abatement [1] -	accommodated [1] -	addition [3] - 59:11,
	63W [1] - 364:8	122:20	162:16	249:13, 343:12
F 00.00 07.1	647 [1] - 173:19	abide [1] - 145:14	accommodations [2]	additional [9] - 52:9,
5 [16] - 22:23, 25:1,	69 [2] - 237:7, 237:8	ability [6] - 179:12,	- 35:22, 86:6	77:16, 117:17,
36:18, 54:12, 54:13,	6:05 [1] - 383:9	227:6, 247:22,	accomplish [1] -	117:18, 131:1,
54:16, 55:12, 55:14, 55:16, 56:18, 56:19,		251:6, 252:15,	235:1	279:19, 281:6,
113:13, 237:9,		350:22	according [3] -	344:13
265:11, 369:24		able [34] - 50:23, 60:3,	176:18, 284:3,	additionally [2] - 13:9,
200.11, 000.24	II.	63:20 105:22	380:16	18.22

18:22

63:20, 105:22,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

address [22] - 7:5, 18:20, 25:14, 48:12, 48:15, 63:20, 63:24, 69:15, 74:9, 79:5, 80:3, 81:1, 81:8, 101:13, 101:17, 101:24, 188:3, 198:12, 307:20, 326:3, 329:11, 382:3 addressed [20] -63:22, 78:14, 102:14, 130:9, 141:1, 187:6, 211:5, 280:5, 322:2, 323:9, 324:4, 324:9, 326:8, 328:12, 328:13, 328:20, 329:10, 342:16, 369:5, 369:7 addressing [2] -223:21, 357:2 adequate [2] - 203:7, 203:10 adequately [1] - 323:9 adjacent [7] - 18:15, 164:16, 166:14, 216:6, 343:22, 343:25, 344:1 adjusted [2] - 96:10, 345:21 adjustments [3] - 6:6, 6:9. 7:6 administration [1] -239:11 administrative [2] -4:3, 4:23 admission [3] - 147:8, 323:24, 376:4 admit [13] - 28:9, 30:18, 32:14, 33:19, 34:8, 51:13, 84:2, 84:16, 84:20, 115:6, 341:3, 364:17, 376:2 admitted [8] - 28:9, 85:11, 104:18, 114:23, 322:25, 334:17, 340:20, 376:13 admitting [4] - 84:3, 92:11, 340:22, 340:25 adopt [2] - 180:11, 224:2 adopted [1] - 233:6 ads [1] - 61:15 advance [1] - 39:21 adversary [1] - 149:2 adverse [15] - 6:15, 6:22, 31:15, 34:20, 94:5, 116:6, 129:1, 134:19, 139:9, 256:11, 329:21, 347:19, 367:10, **Ag** [1] - 156:13 367:15, 372:20 age [1] - 267:24

adversely [1] - 76:1 advise [2] - 11:7, 132:7 advised [22] - 11:14, 53:13. 72:13. 107:23, 132:13, 155:5, 173:10, 196:2, 210:6, 214:17, 222:6, 234:10, 244:15, 248:25, 259:18, 278:6, 287:24, 294:15, 306:4, 318:6, 342:3, 363:8 advocate [2] - 200:24, 250:5 advocating [1] -246:18 aerial [1] - 318:24 affect [8] - 122:16, 142:22, 222:24, 225:15, 229:14, 230:16, 350:9, 372:24 affected [11] - 14:14, 76:1, 142:24, 142:25, 164:15, 164:17, 174:15, 186:1, 297:7, 314:2, 320:14 affecting [1] - 314:5 affects [8] - 116:13, 122:14, 122:15, 225:15, 266:14, 273:19, 273:20, 273:21 affidavit [2] - 114:10, 114:14 affidavits [1] - 292:19 affiliate [1] - 239:20 afraid [2] - 277:7, 277:8 afternoon [17] - 5:14, 153:11, 154:19, 172:25, 173:17, 209:16, 213:3, 214:8, 244:4, 248:16, 248:17, 257:24, 277:23, 287:13, 294:5, 305:19, 341:18 afterwards [1] -158:18 ag [15] - 196:20, 199:24, 200:2. 200:10, 200:18, 200:21, 200:22, 205:11, 205:15, 205:18, 206:1,

234:23, 243:7,

250:4, 250:12

agency [3] - 27:12, agreeable [3] - 96:16, 42:17, 171:23 169:8, 169:10 agent [7] - 24:11, agreed [6] - 42:1, 75:21, 111:14, 79:9, 165:6, 226:18, 172:4, 310:8, 345:24, 368:18 329:18, 365:8 agreeing [1] - 205:3 ago [62] - 35:23, agreement [16] -48:21, 74:5, 80:18, 215:9, 222:17, 85:24, 86:10, 86:25, 270:20, 322:20, 87:10, 88:11, 90:2, 329:14, 340:1, 100:6. 100:7. 345:24, 352:17, 102:19, 103:13, 355:4, 355:10, 108:13, 111:19, 355:13, 357:8, 112:12, 113:11, 357:13, 357:19, 113:15, 113:25, 358:14, 358:18 117:16, 128:19, agreements [7] -129:15. 129:16. 81:16, 222:18, 129:18, 130:7, 246:3. 254:11. 134:4, 145:9, 254:19, 281:14, 156:25, 157:5, 281:17 158:11, 164:22, agrees [2] - 62:13, 165:10, 197:10, 93:13 208:9, 208:12, agricultural [2] -226:3, 265:8, 223:19, 250:23 267:11, 268:15, agriculture [8] -269:20, 270:5, 225:10. 225:14. 271:8, 275:19, 250:9, 260:6, 275:20, 282:25, 261:22, 261:24, 304:17, 304:23, 267:18, 273:20 307:9, 307:15, ahead [28] - 11:19, 311:19, 312:5, 12:15, 53:18, 55:23, 320:6, 336:12, 58:25, 72:18, 108:3, 343:8, 365:1, 132:18. 155:9. 366:24, 370:7, 173:14, 196:6, 373:2. 374:10 200:7, 210:10, agree [61] - 21:5, 214:21, 222:10, 27:18, 29:12, 29:22, 234:14, 244:19, 30:9, 30:19, 44:2, 249:4, 259:22, 51:12, 52:2, 60:24, 278:10. 288:3. 62:16, 63:10, 88:5, 294:19, 306:9, 104:16, 108:21, 320:18, 321:6, 115:2, 115:6, 321:20, 322:24, 118:14, 119:5, 342:8 123:4, 130:12, ahold [2] - 161:11, 130:14, 154:13, 377:25 162:14, 174:5, aim [1] - 106:24 179:10, 186:13, aims [1] - 18:4 186:22, 190:1, air [8] - 60:3, 164:8, 190:13, 191:13, 177:25, 192:12, 191:16, 200:19, 192:18, 192:19, 206:7, 206:12, 269:16, 368:21 229:13, 229:17, Air [2] - 180:10, 257:6 230:8, 230:10, airways [1] - 175:21 230:11, 239:24, alarmingly [1] - 12:22 240:3, 264:21, albeit [1] - 251:14 265:1, 265:2, Albert [1] - 365:8 266:12, 266:22, alcohols [1] - 177:3 271:15, 284:20, alfalfa [2] - 15:14, 288:24, 312:20, 19:20 313:20, 321:25, alleviate [3] - 118:16, 324:16, 325:23, 298:19, 351:25 344:22, 373:24, alleviating [1] - 352:2 374:7, 374:8, 374:21

98:5, 121:8, 121:19 allies [1] - 47:12 allocate [2] - 5:11, 207:15 allow [22] - 15:5, 30:12, 63:21, 71:15, 97:2, 115:24, 144:8, 148:15, 185:4, 200:22, 200:25, 205:16, 235:1, 235:3. 235:13. 238:16, 254:16, 281:25, 316:3, 327:23, 327:25, 329:12 allowed [14] - 30:13, 102:21, 143:14. 153:19, 185:11, 186:2, 186:5, 186:10, 187:24, 200:4, 276:22, 288:10, 312:16, 348:16 allowing [3] - 201:12, 222:14, 278:16 allows [3] - 206:2, 227:14, 335:3 alluded [1] - 361:3 alluding [1] - 105:19 almost [13] - 23:19, 48:18, 74:4, 106:20, 137:16, 140:3, 157:3. 157:21. 165:18, 251:17, 265:18, 292:16, 353:4 alone [7] - 14:17, 205:25, 274:1, 313:6, 347:13, 373:9. 374:6 alongside [1] - 59:15 alternate [5] - 80:9, 159:3, 161:18, 343:2, 353:5 alternative [4] - 212:4, 347:23, 361:4, 361:14 alternatives [3] -34:14, 82:19, 331:2 amazing [1] - 226:9 ambulance [1] -116:10 amending [1] - 79:15 Amendment [2] -201:15, 288:12 American [2] - 225:24, 231.8 ammonia [1] - 177:23 amount [16] - 13:4, 60:13, 84:7, 112:9, 116:22, 122:4, 122:11, 135:18,

214:6, 242:3, 242:6,

Alliance [4] - 97:19,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

271:7, 283:7, 302:21, 328:5, 370:5 amounts [3] - 199:7, 199:8, 252:15 analysis [5] - 33:1, 79:8, 219:10, 255:17, 312:7 ancestors [1] - 210:15 Ancona [1] - 178:12 **ANDREW** [34] -221:21, 221:24, 222:3, 222:5, 222:9, 222:11, 222:13, 224:13, 228:9, 228:16, 228:22, 229:2, 229:9, 229:16, 229:21, 230:6. 230:11. 230:20, 230:25, 231:2, 231:5, 231:10, 231:20, 231:23, 232:3, 232:6, 232:14, 232:19, 232:23, 233:2, 233:6, 233:10, 233:17, 233:22 Andrew [3] - 221:24, 222:14, 233:15 angle [14] - 55:25, 56:5, 56:10, 56:13, 67:15. 78:18. 86:20. 99:19, 99:20, 105:23, 215:16, 217:16, 218:4, 321:7 angled [1] - 99:18 angles [1] - 56:3 anhydrous [1] -177:23 animals [1] - 314:2 Anishinaabe [2] -210:18, 212:9 annual [2] - 123:21, 136:3 annually [1] - 290:8 answer [29] - 41:13, 102:10, 105:3, 115:14, 117:8, 127:5, 128:8, 163:19, 165:4, 191:24, 208:5, 212:22, 254:13, 254:16, 262:7, 263:19, 267:6, 267:24, 285:1, 297:1, 334:25, 345:14, 345:15, 345:22, 361:23, 365:9, 365:14, 366:2, 367:13 answered [5] - 42:23, 79:12, 126:14, 274:14, 367:7

answers [1] - 34:13 anti [2] - 366:22 anti-pipeline [1] -366:22 anti-progress [1] -366:22 anyplace [1] - 151:15 anyway [9] - 56:6, 57:13, 63:1, 128:4, 178:5, 187:24, 206:17, 243:5, 347:3 apart [1] - 121:10 apologize [4] - 70:2, 124:10. 164:6. 332:14 apology [1] - 39:19 appeal [3] - 104:19, 187:9, 187:14 appeals [1] - 289:4 appear [2] - 67:6, 99:13 appearance [1] - 7:14 appearing [1] - 7:17 applicant [1] - 129:12 Applicant [2] - 7:18, 10:16 Applicant's [1] - 6:3 application [11] -5:17, 6:1, 7:12, 21:18, 199:1, 270:2, 291:13, 343:23, 351:12, 370:5 applications [2] -20:5, 343:18 applied [3] - 182:15, 290:2, 291:14 applies [1] - 174:18 apply [1] - 352:21 appointed [2] -289:24, 290:1 appreciate [33] - 8:16, 19:15, 19:18, 45:16. 64:14. 69:12. 69:22. 71:23, 128:8, 182:21, 193:18, 208:21, 209:5, 216:24, 231:13, 236:4, 241:9, 258:17, 259:10. 285:22, 291:16, 304:1, 305:13,

310:22, 315:22,

330:6, 330:12,

330:16, 331:9,

346:7, 354:15,

379:11, 383:7

appreciation [1] -

apprehensive [1] -

approach [2] - 296:22,

342:19

216:22

301:11

appreciated [1] - 47:7

approached [5] -156:3. 198:18. 198:21, 295:11, 298:17 appropriate [2] -49:14, 254:12 appropriately [1] -207:15 Appropriations [1] -262:2 approval [3] - 29:23, 105:25. 375:13 approve [11] - 20:4, 20:14, 28:21, 88:22, 114:2, 142:13, 182:10, 245:15, 251:23, 293:3, 372:2 approved [12] - 17:23, 29:20, 29:21, 38:22, 60:18. 61:25. 112:18, 118:23, 174:7, 233:5, 239:13, 255:9 approves [1] - 293:4 April [31] - 25:12, 25:15, 25:16, 27:11, 63:15, 74:14, 80:6, 80:7, 82:9, 82:17, 85:12, 85:23, 89:21, 109:7, 109:13, 112:9, 113:10, 174:3, 175:1, 175:14. 176:5. 176:8, 179:11, 179:21, 193:5, 194:17, 259:6, 307:22, 308:21, 376:10 aquifers [1] - 280:2 Arabia [1] - 149:3 **arbitrarily** [1] - 204:16 arbitrary [1] - 118:15 area [39] - 57:2, 90:8, 96:24, 144:7, 144:10, 168:1, 184:20, 188:4, 189:20, 190:3, 190:9, 190:16, 199:4, 241:24, 242:21, 247:16, 257:1, 260:25, 276:3, 280:13, 281:23, 296:5, 296:14, 297:15, 297:17, 300:11, 311:13, 313:22, 328:16, 329:17, 335:7, 336:15, 336:16, 336:18,

140:23, 162:13, 216:2. 277:20. 284:21, 316:5, 350:12, 367:23 Argonne [1] - 180:9 arguable [1] - 100:1 argue [1] - 162:9 arguments [2] - 27:16, 55:4 arrhythmias [1] -13:17 arrive [2] - 13:5, 179:18 arrived [1] - 75:18 article [15] - 28:2, 28:3, 28:4, 28:7, 28:9, 28:13, 28:16, 30:2, 32:2, 39:4, 143:3, 278:19, 279:24. 280:3 articles [3] - 30:11, 141:19, 152:22 ascertained [1] -288:14 aside [1] - 65:13 aspects [1] - 89:20 asphyxiating [1] -140:13 asphyxiation [2] -13:20, 279:14 assessed [1] - 344:11 assessment [2] -218:22, 219:10 assimilation [1] -210:17 assistance [1] - 47:17 assistant [2] - 7:24, 13:13 assistants [1] - 307:23 associated [2] - 5:21, 321:22 association [1] -231:17 Association [5] -144:13, 222:19, 222:20, 226:11, 226:19 assume [2] - 240:5, 240:6 assumed [1] - 76:24 assuming [5] - 97:13, 98:3, 256:5, 316:22, 337:23 assurance [3] - 282:8, 359:11, 359:18 assure [1] - 102:4 assured [1] - 203:7 at-large [1] - 223:9 ATM [1] - 181:2 attached [2] - 63:17, 308:7 attack [1] - 145:22 attempt [6] - 24:12,

24:21, 75:5, 187:10, 309:16, 365:17 attempted [1] - 362:5 attempting [2] -115:18, 202:7 attempts [1] - 310:5 attend [2] - 194:18, 208:13 attendance [5] - 4:18, 5:2, 5:6, 5:10, 107:3 attended [3] - 5:7, 202:20, 278:17 attending [2] - 197:7, 260:1 Attorney [1] - 105:11 attorney [26] - 7:24, 36:22, 38:3, 38:17, 38:20, 77:23, 78:9, 79:2, 80:7, 80:8, 85:15, 96:18, 102:17, 105:5, 148:24, 155:23, 162:4, 164:2, 169:21, 270:19, 305:1, 322:9, 356:20, 357:11, 359:25, 377:22 attorney-client [1] -105:5 attorneys [3] - 289:15, 358:7, 358:25 attribute [1] - 247:1 auction [1] - 61:1 audience's [1] - 8:16 audio [1] - 289:21 August [17] - 5:24, 6:11, 24:18, 63:7, 75:14, 76:25, 77:2, 92:23, 96:5, 135:12, 135:23, 186:18, 321:21, 329:5, 342:20, 342:24, 347:22 authentic [2] - 146:20, 146:21 authenticate [1] -114:24 author [1] - 28:3 authorized [1] - 228:7 automatically [1] -180:17 avail [1] - 343:3 available [5] - 153:22, 184:10, 197:8, 208:19, 239:8 Avenue [10] - 173:19, 173:25, 174:2, 174:10, 178:23, 178:25, 179:4, 179:5, 179:7, 180:3 average [2] - 122:9, 142:3

aviation [13] - 224:22,

337:14, 338:12,

350:13, 350:18,

areas [10] - 9:1, 117:3,

356:22

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

225:19, 225:20, 226:6, 238:20, 250:20, 255:22, 263:1, 263:2, 263:5, 263:9, 269:12, 269:24 avoid [1] - 353:3 awake [1] - 216:18 aware [56] - 22:9, 25:12, 25:15, 25:24, 26:4. 28:15. 32:21. 35:20, 36:4, 36:7, 47:3, 48:18, 61:24, 62:5, 74:8, 89:23, 96:3, 96:7, 96:10, 106:2, 106:5, 113:20, 116:2. 116:8, 123:16, 161:5, 162:2, 162:10, 184:2, 185:1, 185:24, 186:5, 190:25, 191:2, 191:7, 206:21, 206:22, 220:21, 231:5, 238:2, 246:5, 246:16, 246:20, 270:1, 283:23, 284:10, 284:13, 320:5, 320:10, 346:25, 347:21, 348:1, 357:14, 372:1, 373:4 awesome [2] - 196:16, 207:3 awful [1] - 369:20

В

B-A-U-E-R[1] - 277:24 bachelor's [1] -139:14 back-and-forth [2] -73:21, 111:21 background [2] -253:21, 325:12 backgrounds [1] -116:20 backhoe [1] - 336:20 backside [3] - 217:15, 217:16, 218:2 backtrack [1] - 161:16 bad [15] - 18:13, 21:13, 45:22, 178:10, 180:6, 186:3, 186:4, 197:15, 198:24, 230:17, 230:18, 270:13, 378:9, 380:25, 381:1 Bailey [2] - 179:10,

179:19

252:11, 253:1, 253:4 Baldwin [1] - 173:19 ball [2] - 266:8, 273:24 ballot [3] - 291:21, 292:13, 293:6 bankrupt [2] - 229:6, 267:5 bar [1] - 289:18 barley [3] - 268:12, 273:6, 275:21 barn [2] - 70:19 Barney [2] - 148:1, 232:23 barns [1] - 70:18 Base [1] - 257:6 based [18] - 42:23, 52:3, 96:11, 116:3, 116:21, 122:8, 122:9, 129:12, 148:17, 222:21, 225:22, 277:12, 286:18, 307:14, 349:5, 359:10, 369:25, 372:2 bases [1] - 114:25 basic [2] - 48:24, 196:19 Basin [1] - 252:25 basis [12] - 88:12, 114:2, 114:22, 199:6, 241:24, 242:11, 261:12, 274:15, 369:20, 369:21, 370:12 bat [1] - 303:7 BAUER [15] - 277:24, 278:2, 278:5, 278:9, 278:11, 278:13, 283:20, 284:2, 284:13, 286:1, 286:7, 286:15, 286:17, 286:21, 287:5 Bauer [5] - 277:24, 277:25, 283:18, 285:25, 287:4 beans [2] - 268:16, 276:22 bear [3] - 13:2, 14:17, 278:14 beautiful [1] - 146:6 became [1] - 145:4 become [11] - 18:23, 21:21, 25:24, 26:4, 32:20, 34:19, 116:2, 270:1, 276:15, 345:21, 368:11 becoming [2] -179:20, 226:23 bedroom [2] - 215:11, 297:14 begin [3] - 4:14,

Bakken [4] - 251:24,

249:9, 342:18 beginning [10] -75:10, 111:16, 153:23, 209:4, 246:24, 296:21, 342:24, 344:7, 361:3, 373:22 begs [1] - 92:3 behalf [21] - 7:17, 7:24, 8:7, 27:4, 45:6, 49:17. 50:4. 50:12. 74:25, 127:12, 163:7, 163:13, 201:13, 201:16, 201:21, 215:1, 228:8, 231:17, 244:23, 252:8, 300:18 behind [4] - 9:9, 16:19, 88:2, 282:23 beings [1] - 182:5 belaboring [1] -208:10 belief [4] - 51:17, 51:21, 228:12, 228:13 believable [1] - 159:24 believes [1] - 7:10 below [5] - 14:11, 346:5, 346:10, 353:19, 359:21 Ben [14] - 317:19, 317:22, 330:16, 331:11, 342:16, 346:16, 352:15, 353:21, 357:16, 358:24, 359:24, 361:6, 361:16, 361:17 BEN [1] - 318:18 bend [1] - 56:18 Bender [11] - 7:19, 36:22, 80:8, 80:12, 80:15, 80:16, 84:4, 85:25, 105:11, 105:14, 309:10 benefit [16] - 16:14, 16:19, 127:20, 186:15, 216:16, 239:25, 240:1, 245:13, 245:16, 251:13, 251:20, 254:22, 262:16, 264:12, 313:16 benefits [10] - 15:1, 180:22, 186:13, 187:1, 240:7, 240:8, 240:13, 347:5, 349:3 benefitted [1] - 261:1 BENJAMIN [4] -317:22, 318:2,

Bernhardts [3] -79:22, 91:7, 92:23 beside [1] - 260:18 best [25] - 15:10, 17:19. 50:24. 144:13, 149:7, 158:6, 158:7, 158:24, 163:21, 166:8, 169:16, 170:20, 185:19, 211:8, 221:4. 223:15, 241:24, 249:8, 296:13, 297:10, 357:4, 359:1, 363:16, 380:16 best-producing [6] -158:6, 158:7, 158:24, 166:8, 169:16, 170:20 bet [2] - 137:16, 371:11 better [24] - 41:13, 50:19, 54:19, 55:15, 57:13. 57:17. 80:22. 83:18, 100:10, 100:18, 107:6, 121:13, 128:5, 143:10, 183:19, 219:11, 221:1, 269:2. 284:19. 345:20, 353:21, 356:4, 364:16 between [14] - 110:16, 196:15, 221:4, 247:4, 254:11, 274:23, 282:7. 295:20, 348:21, 356:24, 357:20, 358:14, 361:6, 361:13 beyond [5] - 27:3, 123:18, 134:13, 251:8. 348:7 bicycle [1] - 13:22 biddina [1] - 61:4 big [27] - 19:10, 22:18, 29:3, 29:9, 39:5, 39:22, 82:7, 145:9, 160:15, 160:17, 160:18, 160:19, 180:24, 181:1, 181:2, 212:2, 282:7, 308:4, 321:10, 329:23, 330:10, 343:11, 368:22, 369:23, 374:24, 374:25 bigger [5] - 187:4. 269:5, 336:23, 373:23, 377:4 biggest [4] - 45:2,

181:4, 181:5, 326:11

bill [1] - 181:10 billion [9] - 62:1, 159:11, 159:14, 186:14. 263:4. 263:6, 263:8, 291:8 billions [3] - 94:19, 364:22, 378:12 bills [1] - 16:6 bin [1] - 368:2 binder [11] - 22:17, 22:18, 39:22, 54:12, 91:7, 91:8, 109:12, 188:19. 188:20. 323:13, 370:15 birds [6] - 178:12, 178:15, 178:16, 178:21, 273:7, 273:8 birthday [8] - 15:22, 34:24, 36:16, 39:17, 46:21, 52:21, 68:25, 135:12 Bismarck [24] - 8:21, 9:3, 18:18, 19:15, 32:2, 148:21, 155:21, 156:25, 158:16, 165:1, 165:16. 165:17. 166:17, 184:20, 187:21, 187:22, 188:3, 194:17, 280:14, 286:2, 367:17, 367:20, 368:1. 375:8 Bison [1] - 232:18 bit [31] - 37:3, 37:7, 54:19, 55:2, 58:7, 64:22, 88:3, 110:8, 110:23, 121:1, 122:3, 122:15, 125:7, 125:13, 129:24, 137:12, 140:3, 155:13, 204:24, 224:14, 234:18, 295:7, 297:16, 338:19, 355:7, 356:4, 356:21, 358:3, 358:4, 364:1, 364:17 Bitner [3] - 281:21, 283:21, 284:3 Black [1] - 266:7 black [2] - 273:8, 311:1 blacktop [2] - 216:15, 221:2 Blanchard [2] -293:14, 293:17 blanche [1] - 198:20 blanket [2] - 211:22, 219:2 blanking [1] - 253:9

blast [1] - 59:17

blends [1] - 269:19

Bernhardt [1] - 91:17

318:5. 318:9

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

371.21

bundle [2] - 333:25

burden [3] - 129:5,

145:24, 145:25

blessed [1] - 257:10 blessing [1] - 267:17 blew [1] - 175:4 blood [1] - 260:6 blow [1] - 117:2 blowing [1] - 175:4 blue 131 - 22:19. 110:15, 126:8 Blue [12] - 174:18, 174:20, 174:24, 175:2, 175:12, 175:16, 176:6, 176:11, 192:9, 192:17, 272:16 Board [2] - 180:10, 249:25 board [5] - 206:19, 223:7, 226:17, 227:21, 233:1 Board's [1] - 114:10 boarding [1] - 210:16 boards [3] - 249:21, 262:12, 288:17 body [4] - 47:5, 93:23, 184:9, 251:6 boilerplate [1] - 79:13 book [8] - 25:10, 92:8, 99:11, 99:12, 173:24, 308:4, 323:12, 378:13 books [1] - 148:25 boom [1] - 226:5 border [1] - 216:9 bored [1] - 121:18 boring [1] - 315:18 born [1] - 159:20 borrowed [2] - 343:9, 354:5 bottom [11] - 46:9, 55:18, 56:12, 85:13, 86:20, 122:14, 207:18, 250:23, 261:12, 299:20, 319:19 bought [3] - 61:12, 296:1, 343:8 bounce [1] - 200:8 boundary [3] - 142:21, 158:23, 320:9 box [3] - 42:9, 139:15, 189:19 boys [1] - 295:5 Brandenburg [5] -82:8, 259:3, 259:12, 274:13, 371:13 **BRANDENBURG** [41] - 259:2, 259:7, 259:9, 259:11, 259:14, 259:17, 259:21, 259:23, 259:25, 262:14, 262:20, 263:23, 264:10, 264:14,

266:3. 266:16. 267:2, 267:8, 268:23, 269:1, 270:4, 270:7, 270:10, 271:19, 272:11, 273:5, 273:17, 274:20, 274:22, 274:24, 275:2, 275:10, 275:19, 276:7, 276:17, 277:5, 277:8, 277:15, 277:19 Brandenburg's [1] -374:4 Bray [1] - 156:16 Brazil [6] - 225:21, 231:1, 231:4, 263:13, 263:14, 268:9 Brazilian [1] - 231:7 breach [8] - 279:1, 279:4. 279:17. 279:23, 280:17, 280:21, 367:17, 368:12 breached [1] - 279:5 breadbasket [1] -211:9 breadth [1] - 158:14 break [12] - 5:13, 5:14, 18:24. 84:22. 88:3. 106:20, 106:24, 153:6, 257:24, 261:23, 360:11 breaks [2] - 5:16, 360.20 breathing [1] - 368:21 breeding [3] - 59:22, 178:15, 178:17 breeds [1] - 178:20 Brian [9] - 8:6, 77:1, 80:7, 134:2, 138:10, 138:15, 147:4, 365:23, 377:20 brief [3] - 143:16, 155:20, 278:13 briefing [2] - 197:10, 197:14 briefly [14] - 61:23, 89:20, 91:9, 146:9, 200:5, 200:6, 219:18, 231:15, 283:17, 329:11, 335:24, 345:3, 369:1, 379:17 brighter [1] - 354:8 bring [13] - 84:13, 145:23, 166:17, 189:15, 241:22, 241:25, 242:2, 269:14, 285:20,

264:19, 265:7,

310:6, 344:16, 347:11, 347:13 bringing [2] - 230:22, 289:11 brings [4] - 140:4, 140:5, 230:13, 382:1 broad [1] - 206:13 broadly [1] - 31:7 broke [2] - 265:18, 265:24 brother [18] - 131:22, 135:14, 136:12, 137:2. 137:8. 144:11, 144:17, 150:19, 155:22, 161:3, 161:6, 162:3, 165:23, 166:25, 167:2, 167:9, 169:20. 300:9 brother's [1] - 169:21 brothers [1] - 276:19 brought [8] - 117:7, 133:24, 134:21, 152:25, 210:15, 220:13, 261:11, 348:23 broughten [2] -267:19, 267:20 brownouts [1] -313:13 Bruce [1] - 75:18 buck [2] - 261:13, 265:16 bucks [8] - 207:2, 265:11, 265:12, 265:13, 265:17, 268:16, 268:17 budgetary [1] - 118:10 Buffett [1] - 329:24 bugging [1] - 90:24 build [7] - 30:22, 51:20, 245:10, 296:18, 298:6, 298:15, 380:25 building [9] - 108:14, 119:11, 193:10, 245:3, 249:22, 282:13, 296:18, 296:20, 380:25 buildings [5] - 67:1, 70:9, 119:13, 299:10, 313:2 built [15] - 100:8, 112:18, 112:19, 168:24, 174:20, 178:1, 179:21, 200:17, 229:8, 236:7, 237:1, 245:7, 247:3, 282:14, 368:1

burdened [1] - 90:21 burdens [2] - 22:6, 372:9 Burgum [7] - 19:8, 30:2, 82:6, 160:10, 160:13, 271:16, 374:21 Burgum's [1] - 282:22 burial [1] - 211:18 buried [5] - 333:9, 335:14, 344:13, 345:14, 356:12 Burleigh [6] - 5:22, 82:9, 173:20, 281:20, 282:20, 285:25 burning [2] - 175:7, 175:20 burns [2] - 114:15, 115:9 burns' [1] - 115:19 Burnt [2] - 178:25, 179:3 bury [3] - 280:8, 345:25, 374:18 bus [1] - 14:2 bushel [7] - 229:19, 242:13, 261:9, 261:11, 261:13, 265:5, 369:19 bushels [7] - 122:9, 241:23, 263:24, 271:8, 271:9, 271:12, 272:24 business [38] - 16:4, 16:8, 16:11, 29:16, 52:3, 58:9, 58:12, 58:16, 70:5, 70:7, 70:12, 71:6, 76:23, 117:23, 119:4, 119:5, 119:7, 119:9, 119:17, 119:22, 120:7, 121:3, 180:24, 181:1, 181:3, 227:4, 228:20, 229:6, 236:6, 249:16, 250:4, 251:5, 267:5, 272:4, 280:23, 330:10 businesses [1] -119:14 businessmen [2] -262:25, 272:3 busy [1] - 378:8 butcher [1] - 332:4 butter [1] - 143:23

button [1] - 92:6

buy [11] - 243:1,

243:11, 268:11, 268:12, 289:8. 304:14, 373:14 buyers [1] - 268:10 buying [1] - 266:5 BY [62] - 12:5, 25:9, 28:13, 30:19, 32:17, 33:21, 34:9, 35:6, 36:15, 47:2, 49:7, 49:17, 49:25, 51:14, 53:24, 63:3, 64:11, 64:20, 69:11, 70:4, 72:24, 85:10, 92:19, 93:19, 96:2, 97:9, 103:11, 104:24, 108:8, 110:6, 115:8, 116:2. 123:13. 124:24, 128:18, 132:23, 139:7, 150:11, 306:14, 307:8, 308:19, 316:2, 316:16, 318:22, 319:15, 322:11, 323:11, 324:6, 324:18, 326:6, 327:7, 330:3, 331:24, 334:19, 336:2, 342:13, 345:13, 348:19, 350:8, 354:23, 363:22, 379:19 byproduct [1] - 127:22 Byron [2] - 7:17, 7:19

C

cab [2] - 119:22, 368:24 calculated [1] - 81:18 California [5] -180:10, 224:17, 263:15, 269:7, 365:2 calving [4] - 12:23, 40:19, 45:17, 59:15 campaigning [1] -28:25 campaigns [1] - 47:12 campus [1] - 4:11 Canada [2] - 224:17, 242:19 canceled [2] - 16:7, 17:17 cancer [1] - 161:23 cannot [10] - 21:7, 93:7, 93:20, 134:24, 134:25, 149:1, 168:11, 284:5, 346:6, 353:22 capability [2] - 359:9, 360:3 capable [2] - 26:16, 85:13

bully [3] - 296:21,

301:9, 301:11

271:5, 272:20,

bunch [4] - 269:13,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

capacity [2] - 191:2, 279:22 capital [2] - 237:12, 238:17 Capture [1] - 282:21 capture [22] - 17:14, 17:16, 18:4, 21:12, 31:14, 38:9, 143:25, 158:9, 206:5, 206:10, 223:5, 227:16, 229:20, 237:19, 240:1, 255:16, 266:18, 266:19, 266:20, 268:10, 273:21, 372:13 capturer [1] - 174:21 capturing [1] - 251:3 car [2] - 131:21, 291:1 Carbon [23] - 5:18. 7:18, 67:25, 101:16, 134:8, 156:8, 156:18, 157:18, 157:22, 159:17, 181:16, 182:7, 199:17, 203:24, 211:16, 265:6, 282:21, 299:4, 358:15, 361:5, 361:10, 361:13, 377:11 carbon [78] - 5:20, 14:17, 14:18, 14:22, 15:1, 15:25, 16:16, 17:14, 17:16, 18:4, 21:12, 31:14, 38:9, 62:22, 111:6, 111:12, 117:1, 127:21. 128:2. 157:12, 180:8, 206:5, 206:10, 211:7, 223:5, 223:6, 223:18, 223:20, 224:2, 224:15, 224:16, 227:1. 227:2, 227:5, 227:7, 227:16, 228:14, 228:18, 229:19, 237:19, 240:1, 240:9, 250:19, 250:21, 251:1, 251:6, 252:15, 253:3, 255:4, 255:5, 255:11, 255:16, 255:17, 256:9, 260:17, 263:15, 263:16 266:1 266:18, 266:20, 268:9, 269:17, 273:19, 273:21, 283:25, 331:4, 347:15, 347:17, 348:5, 349:16,

351:1, 352:6, 353:16, 354:10, 369:8, 372:12 carbonic [2] - 141:15, 141:16 carcinogen [2] -176:24, 177:1 cardiac [1] - 13:17 care [9] - 84:13, 135:14, 144:4, 182:4. 275:25. 371:16, 371:17, 372:24, 374:25 career [2] - 225:6, 225:7 careful [1] - 338:14 cares [2] - 49:24, 372:3 carries [1] - 127:21 carry [3] - 127:20, 145:24, 153:1 carte [1] - 198:20 **CARTER** [38] - 233:25, 234:1, 234:2, 234:6, 234:9, 234:13, 234:15, 234:17, 235:11, 236:8, 236:12, 236:16, 236:20, 236:25, 237:24, 238:3, 238:8, 238:23, 239:3, 239:9, 239:17, 239:23, 240:4, 240:11, 240:18, 240:23, 241:3, 241:6, 241:13, 241:22, 242:9, 242:17, 242:20, 243:2, 243:6, 243:9, 243:19, 244:1 Carter [5] - 233:25, 234:3, 234:20, 263:10, 265:10 Case [1] - 4:9 case [38] - 4:19, 4:20, 7:1, 11:16, 53:15, 72:15, 104:11, 107:25, 127:10, 132:15, 145:10, 154:6, 154:9, 155:7, 164:4, 173:12, 183:13, 196:4, 210:8, 214:19, 222:8, 234:12, 244:17, 245:9, 249:2, 258:5, 259:20, 278:8, 288:1. 294:17. 306:6, 318:8, 324:2, 342:5, 361:9, 363:10, 368:18, 383:10

cases [1] - 100:9 Cass [16] - 5:22, 6:6, 196:11, 196:22, 196:23. 198:17. 199:15, 201:11, 201:20, 202:16, 209:5, 212:14, 213:11, 213:12, 213:20, 221:7 Casselton [7] - 60:14, 146:4. 197:11. 200:12, 200:13, 314:25 cast [1] - 42:17 catch [1] - 220:20 Catherine [1] - 75:21 cattle [28] - 19:5, 57:10, 58:3, 58:8, 58:9. 58:16. 58:17. 59:13. 59:16. 65:22. 65:23, 68:7, 70:19, 118:25, 119:1, 119:6, 119:7, 120:6, 271:5, 271:6, 280:17, 310:2, 314:4. 314:7. 314:10, 314:13, 314:21 caught [1] - 286:12 caused [5] - 14:6, 175:2, 176:11, 338:24, 339:1 causes [1] - 121:22 causing [1] - 309:24 caution [1] - 180:7 cautious [1] - 9:12 caving [1] - 374:11 CCS [2] - 223:14, 223:22 cell [4] - 4:15, 4:16, 71:1, 71:2 Cenex [6] - 197:1, 198:13, 198:16, 198:18, 198:21, 204:15 center [5] - 156:2, 158:6, 162:17, 169:15 Center [2] - 4:11, 174:17 centered [2] - 110:17, 223:17 centerline [1] - 297:11 cents [5] - 122:11, 211:3, 242:13, 261:11, 369:18 Century [6] - 38:4, 282:25, 283:19, 283:24, 284:4, 337:19 CEO [1] - 88:1 certain [10] - 68:7,

140:23, 178:19, 178:20, 179:9. 304:20, 353:17, 353:19 certainly [5] - 29:12, 104:10, 196:1, 252:20, 334:20 certainty [2] - 91:25, 97:24 certificate [3] - 5:18, 21:7. 52:4 certified [3] - 75:14, 75:18, 249:15 CHAD [45] - 195:6, 195:8, 195:11, 195:16, 195:19, 195:22, 196:1, 196:5, 196:7, 196:9, 200:6, 200:8, 201:14, 201:23, 202:3, 202:15, 203:4, 203:13, 203:17, 203:22, 204:2. 204:10. 204:12, 204:20, 204:24, 205:2, 205:6, 205:16, 206:2, 206:7, 206:21, 206:25, 207:3. 207:9. 207:13, 207:17, 207:21, 207:25, 208:2, 208:5, 208:8, 208:18, 209:7, 209:10, 209:15 Chad [3] - 195:16, 196:10. 196:22 chair [6] - 9:9, 74:4, 196:11, 201:20, 251:9, 251:10 Chairman's [1] -352:14 chairs [1] - 258:22 challenged [2] -28:16, 234:24 challenges [2] -57:24, 58:1 challenging [1] -337:17 chance [8] - 41:18, 88:7, 182:21, 196:17, 294:25, 330:12, 355:4, 368:22 change [19] - 16:15, 38:9, 55:24, 56:1, 77:18, 78:11, 78:18, 161:11, 217:21, 220:13, 223:18, 265:14, 268:25, 276:6, 283:13, 284:4, 290:11, 359:20, 359:21

changed [3] - 48:12, 48:15, 326:21 changes [6] - 78:24, 105:19. 160:14. 252:17, 282:25, 349:18 changing [3] - 56:5, 78:16, 237:3 Chapter [2] - 283:18, 337:20 chapters [1] - 283:21 charge [1] - 144:21 charged [2] - 18:2, 27:5 Charlie [2] - 161:10, 215:19 chart [1] - 375:22 chase [1] - 129:8 cheaper [1] - 17:24 cheapest [1] - 180:16 check [16] - 4:15, 19:10, 29:3, 82:7, 134:4, 136:22, 160:16, 160:17, 160:18, 160:19, 220:24, 294:23, 365:18, 369:18, 374:24, 374:25 checked [3] - 117:13, 117:15, 142:17 cheering [1] - 4:21 chemistry [1] - 116:19 chest [1] - 13:18 chickens [3] - 178:11, 178:18, 178:20 chief [1] - 237:23 Chiffon [1] - 143:22 child [1] - 157:6 children [1] - 13:24 China [2] - 149:3, 268:2 Chinese [1] - 204:22 choice [3] - 18:12, 88:10, 182:3 choose [3] - 153:16, 283:13, 354:9 chose [2] - 194:21, 361:20 CHP [1] - 238:12 Christmann [28] -8:12, 18:25, 39:15, 65:19. 99:9. 121:12. 126:12, 150:23, 170:5, 179:22, 193:15, 209:2, 213:17, 220:4, 232:17, 233:14, 241:17. 248:6. 256:24, 274:11, 286:11, 292:9, 303:22, 317:6, 338:17, 359:6, 376:22, 382:14

101:19, 102:5,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

iviay 24,
ND PUBLIC
238:1, 238:7,
238:14, 254:7, 373:12
circle [2] - 241:21,
242:23
circulating [1] - 288:7 cities [2] - 269:5,
280:10
citing [1] - 40:13
citizen [2] - 33:5, 279:12
citizens [21] - 6:16,
16:13, 16:22, 17:7,
31:16, 31:21, 94:6, 129:1, 160:2, 181:8,
182:12, 185:19,
185:20, 247:21, 249:11, 251:14,
282:8, 283:8, 283:11, 330:9,
367:11
city [1] - 279:12
claim [6] - 15:13, 24:4, 29:18, 50:24,
119:25, 256:2
claims [4] - 13:3,
24:10, 62:25, 324:25
clapping [1] - 4:21 clarification [3] -
45:16, 109:24, 258:8
clarified [1] - 262:6
clarify [8] - 42:22, 54:5, 54:21, 83:13,
101:11, 143:7,
258:5, 316:17
clarifying [1] - 379:8
Class [2] - 11:9, 132:8 clay [1] - 141:10
clean [3] - 177:6,
177:11, 358:12
clear [21] - 23:4, 42:15, 112:13,
113:2, 113:4, 138:7,
164:8, 165:11,
185:10, 192:2, 201:21, 228:6,
252:7, 255:21,
299:13, 309:18,
310:23, 315:4,
329:4, 333:19, 349:10
clearly [1] - 372:17
client [3] - 49:18,
93:13, 105:5
client's [1] - 84:25 clients [3] - 50:4,
83:16, 258:5
Clifford [1] - 243:10
climate [7] - 16:15, 38:9, 223:1, 223:17,
283:13, 364:23,
369:10
and the second second

climate-related [1] -

SERVICE C
364:23
clock [1] - 4:1
close [18] - 12:22,
19:6, 50:25, 59:6,
70:6, 123:20,
151:15, 160:18,
179:12, 179:15,
180:6, 182:2,
231:22, 298:22,
313:8, 314:7, 328:2, 369:20
closed [2] - 179:1,
341:7
closely [1] - 310:19
closer [5] - 98:12,
216:4, 280:15,
297:24, 313:3
closest [2] - 161:22
closing [3] - 226:16,
380:23, 382:13
cloud [1] - 177:24
clue [1] - 179:25
co [3] - 254:22, 264:1,
264:2
co-op [2] - 254:22,
264:2
co-ops [1] - 264:1
CO2 [71] - 13:4, 13:14, 14:6, 14:9, 14:23,
17:24, 18:5, 20:21,
25:15, 61:4, 62:2,
62:5, 62:8, 95:8,
95:9, 111:6, 116:6,
116:11, 118:22,
137:6, 140:12,
141:14, 142:24,
143:20, 143:25,
144:15, 163:15,
166:11, 174:21,
174:23, 175:3,
175:9, 176:6,
176:12, 176:16,
176:18, 176:21,
181:6, 183:22, 184:3, 188:17,
191:3, 192:23,
192:24 203:20
192:24, 203:20, 204:19, 205:12,
235:1, 235:17,
252:21, 263:17,
279:1, 279:5,
279:14, 279:25,
280:1, 280:3,
282:11, 283:7,
365:7, 367:2, 368:3,
368:12, 369:4,
369:9, 371:21,
373:4, 373:11
coal [8] - 178:7,
188:16, 237:2, 251:2, 251:3,
261:18, 261:19,
273:20
5.25

```
Coal [9] - 174:17,
 174:19, 174:22,
 175:5, 175:6,
 175:15, 176:9,
 192:15, 193:11
coal-fired [1] - 237:2
Coast [4] - 264:22,
 274:3
Code [6] - 38:4,
 282:25, 283:19,
 283:24, 284:5,
 337:20
Cody [1] - 232:13
coincidence [1] -
 156:20
coincidentally [2] -
 197:9, 202:21
collaborating [1] -
 16:2
collapse [1] - 14:7
collapses [1] - 336:23
collapsing [2] -
 323:20, 336:22
collected [2] - 123:3,
 174:23
collection [1] - 175:3
collections [1] -
 251:15
college [2] - 139:13,
 226:3
College [1] - 4:12
color [1] - 318:24
colored [1] - 308:7
colorless [2] - 140:13,
 175:10
combine [6] - 119:20,
 119:23, 140:18,
 276:13, 368:25,
 374:15
combined [1] - 238:13
combustion [1] -
 237:19
comfort [2] - 163:13,
 368:8
coming [33] - 19:14,
 48:1, 64:14, 66:5,
 93:12, 94:24, 140:7,
 144:25, 146:5,
 158:7. 175:11.
 175:16, 195:2,
 196:25, 209:6,
 213:20, 215:5,
 221:2, 224:23,
 239:11, 248:14,
 257:13, 263:14,
 286:20, 294:23,
 301:14, 303:16,
 303:21, 304:1,
 305:9, 360:23,
 366:24, 383:4
comment [3] - 9:8,
 68:25, 81:9
comments [13] - 5:1,
```

```
5:8, 8:10, 32:14,
 33:17, 143:17,
 172:24, 223:4,
 291:16, 313:24,
 329:7, 348:15,
370:10
commercial [2] -
144:13, 241:25
Commission's [7] -
6:11, 7:8, 63:7,
 186:17, 321:21,
 323:25, 324:4
Commissioners [3] -
 173:17, 196:9, 260:1
commissioners [12] -
8:11, 8:22, 18:7,
 47:4, 71:10, 133:13,
 148:10, 153:19,
 154:4, 339:15,
 341:13, 382:12
Commissioners' [1] -
211:6
commitment [1] -
283:11
committed [1] -
146:12
Committee [3] -
 251:10, 251:11,
 262:3
commodities [1] -
249:17
commodity [1] -
268:21
common [3] - 92:25,
167:4, 336:20
communicate [2] -
203:6, 362:6
communicated [4] -
342:25, 351:7,
 357:12, 361:18
communicating [3] -
 351:8, 357:15,
 357:16
communication [10] -
43:2, 44:21, 49:2,
78:15, 127:2,
 127:11, 223:10,
 304:6, 361:13,
 365:19
communication's [1] -
330:22
communications [2] -
365:4, 365:13
communities [7] -
 16:10, 118:11,
 225:15, 235:22,
 251:13, 251:14,
279:21
community [9] -
 12:20, 18:10,
 197:12, 197:24,
 279:21, 281:12,
```

283:9, 368:3, 370:7

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

compaction [3] - 60:5, 76:2, 100:5 companies [6] -17:21. 146:12. 180:14, 282:24, 288:10, 290:14 company [24] - 16:11, 25:25, 26:2, 48:20, 57:14, 59:18, 76:18, 146:3, 156:14, 156:22. 164:21. 169:4, 169:9, 235:20, 246:14, 290:13, 342:20, 343:1, 345:16, 347:21, 351:1, 354:10, 372:11, compared [4] - 100:5, 142:5, 367:16, 367:19 compatibility [1] -5:19 compatible [1] - 6:17 compensate [3] -20:1. 123:16. 123:21 compensated [1] -160:13 compensating [1] -103:19 compensation [2] -160:5, 288:13 competing [1] - 231:8 competitive [1] -224:17 compiled [1] - 91:12 complaint [1] - 82:4 complaints [3] - 17:2, 33:23, 37:24 complete [2] - 203:2, 227:9 completed [1] -156:10 completely [14] -79:25, 86:18, 89:1, 103:15, 156:17, 157:13, 158:22, 169:7, 309:22, 327:15, 358:9, 367:2, 367:6, 373:25 complications [1] -104:14 complied [1] - 118:6 compliment [1] -339:15 composed [1] -176:17 compressed [1] -177:12 compression [3] -175:3, 176:7, 193:10 comprised [1] - 223:7 compromised [2] -

281:9, 282:9 compromising [1] -370:6 concentrated [1] -140:17 concentration [2] -176:20, 314:3 concept [1] - 9:4 concern [39] - 14:15, 25:18, 33:5, 59:5, 59:7, 60:14, 74:9, 88:11, 117:11, 118:21, 122:22, 140:9, 140:21, 187:5, 203:14, 216:25, 270:21, 270:23, 278:23, 320:14. 321:11. 322:20, 328:6, 336:3, 338:22, 339:11, 346:8, 346:18, 348:3, 349:10, 350:20, 357:18, 358:1, 358:12, 358:13, 360:2, 360:7, 369:4, 369:6 concerned [9] - 12:20, 95:15, 184:19, 216:19, 270:24, 327:9, 336:4, 336:7, 352:4 concerning [6] - 5:19, 33:25, 35:14, 115:8, 304:10, 357:7 concerns [67] - 5:17, 12:24, 13:25, 16:5, 22:6, 25:11, 30:9, 59:12, 73:18, 79:5, 80:3, 80:9, 81:1, 81:4, 88:13, 89:13, 89:24, 90:2, 94:16, 101:18, 101:25, 108:21. 111:25. 112:21, 112:22, 113:24, 114:6, 116:9, 117:7, 118:16, 128:20, 129:13, 129:17, 137:14, 154:11, 154:12, 154:13, 165:19, 185:12, 185:15, 187:6, 187:7, 187:17, 187:20, 191:23, 232.4 232.6 232:11, 232:12, 270:11, 307:10, 307:14, 307:20, 313:8, 319:17, 322:3, 326:8, 327:12, 347:18, 350:9, 352:1, 352:2,

353:11, 357:13, 359:8. 364:14 conclude [6] - 61:9, 120:4, 163:13, 189:23, 352:14, 382:4 concluded [1] -203:10 concluding [1] - 354:1 conclusion [5] -148:4, 182:6, 323:9, 351:23, 370:4 conclusions [1] - 5:25 concur [1] - 327:13 condemn [1] - 51:19 condition [1] - 29:22 conditional [1] -315:19 conditionally [1] -88.22 conditioned [2] -29:23, 375:13 conditioning [1] -249:16 conditions [1] -315:18 conducting [1] - 366:6 Conference [1] -252:25 confession [2] -354:25, 355:2 confidence [2] -204:8, 245:9 confident [2] - 87:4, 141:23 confidential [5] -202:11, 202:13, 278:25, 284:12, 285.9 confine [1] - 320:14 confined [1] - 119:10 confirm [1] - 10:10 confrontation [1] -366:19 confrontations [1] -265:20 confronted [1] -118:11 confused [2] - 85:9, 150.25 confusing [1] - 16:16 confusion [3] - 37:11, 228:6, 329:5 connect [2] - 29:15, 51:24 **connection** [2] - 99:3, 304:16 consciousness [1] -13:18 consequence [1] -

Conservancy [1] -178.14 Conservation [1] -336:13 conservation [1] -347:4 consider [14] - 18:8, 24:12, 27:21, 54:22, 55:3, 64:7, 81:2, 88:19, 89:18, 94:5, 94:7. 120:20. 183:18, 367:15 considerable [3] -78:6, 299:6, 344:14 consideration [5] -19:13, 47:9, 64:9, 211:20, 354:11 considered [11] - 6:8, 13:21, 60:17, 79:10, 79:19. 94:13. 178:13, 186:15, 273:1, 365:11, 367:16 considering [7] - 16:1, 18:17, 27:15, 27:19, 96:14. 223:15. 370:10 consistent [3] - 32:4, 90:4, 129:17 constant [4] - 13:1, 62:6, 197:23, 223:10 constituents [1] -145:16 constitutes [1] -223:20 Constitution [3] -288:9, 288:19, 289:18 constrained [1] -372:16 constraints [1] -118:10 construct [1] - 335:5 construction [14] -6:13, 6:20, 18:22, 27:13, 57:14, 75:20, 122:5, 122:11, 123:18, 199:7, 244:25, 280:5, 367:8, 372:18 consult [5] - 139:19, 331:14, 351:13, 359:23, 359:25 consulted [1] - 13:11 consulting [1] -137:20 consumer [4] -230:18, 255:18, 313:17 consumption [3] -60:13, 347:8, 369:6

contact [21] - 24:11,

24:12, 64:23, 65:1,

65:9, 65:13, 65:14, 75:13, 76:12, 77:21, 77:23, 78:25, 104:5, 105:16, 161:20, 169:20, 201:2, 201:3, 350:17, 377:19 contacted [11] - 23:17, 73:22, 79:5, 129:5, 215:4, 215:13, 310:12, 347:21, 364:25, 366:9, 366:10 contacts [1] - 211:17 contained [1] - 333:12 containing [1] -204:19 containment [1] -203:21 contaminate [1] -279:25 content [2] - 4:25, 22:25 contents [1] - 28:14 continue [10] - 22:12, 25:21, 187:7, 224:13, 235:10, 250:16, 258:25, 291:5, 347:1, 349:20 continued [1] - 227:12 continues [1] - 234:24 continuing [7] - 6:23, 29:4, 32:17, 33:21, 111:11, 323:1, 373:3 contract [8] - 16:7, 48:25, 49:1, 135:3, 211:17, 243:15, 245:23, 352:17 contracted [3] -262:18, 264:8, 266:9 contractor [4] - 246:1, 324:5, 380:25, 381:1 contractors [2] -245:7, 245:10 contracts [1] - 263:21 contractual [1] -358:18 contradictory [2] -16:18, 326:10 control [1] - 174:16 controlled [1] - 176:12 convene [1] - 106:21 convened [1] - 182:14 convenient [3] - 8:20, 104:18, 298:14 conveniently [1] -23:20 conversation [6] -50:13, 159:5, 159:7, 165:11, 168:9, 301:19 conversations [6] -

78:6, 78:9, 168:22,

consequences [1] -

289:15

354:13

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

301:15, 302:6, 303:4
conversion [1] - 18:2
COO [4] - 88:2,
234:20, 237:22,
266:25
cool [3] - 62:2, 232:15,
369:4
cooperative [1] -
199:20
copies [6] - 77:5, 84:7,
91:11, 152:21,
195:11, 221:21
copy [20] - 22:24,
32:2, 59:18, 74:17,
82:18, 84:12, 84:21,
85:1, 91:8, 109:13,
113:12, 123:25,
124:2, 133:5, 224:9, 302:1, 308:3,
332:11, 334:7,
355:13
cordial [1] - 366:19
core [1] - 250:2
Corn [9] - 222:19,
222:20, 226:10,
226:19, 228:7,
228:12, 229:23,
232:25, 267:1
corn [103] - 14:23,
17:9, 17:10, 17:11,
17:15, 95:13, 142:4,
156:1, 180:16,
180:18, 180:19,
180:20, 180:25,
222:15, 222:21, 222:22, 223:1,
223:8, 223:10,
224:24, 224:25,
225:8, 225:22,
225:24, 225:25,
226:22, 227:19,
228:23, 228:24,
229:15, 229:18,
229:22, 230:4,
230:10, 230:12,
230:17, 230:23,
231:9, 241:19,
241:21, 241:23,
242:3, 242:7,
242:14, 242:24, 242:25, 243:11,
243:12, 253:22,
254:19, 260:11,
261:9, 263:25,
265:5, 265:11,
265:12, 265:16,
265:25, 266:15,
267:16, 267:19,
267:20, 267:21,
268:11, 268:15,
268:16, 268:21,
269:22, 270:25,
271:1, 271:4, 271:6,

10)	Ρ	U	В	L	IC
27	71.9	2 2	71:	12		
			, 1. 273			
27	3:3	3, 2	73:	4,		
			273			_
27	5:3	3, 2	75: 275	4, 2	275	:5,
			275 275			
					276	7,
27	6:2	22,	369	9:8,		
			370			
			370 371),	
	'5:2		· .	,		
cor	n-k	as	ed	[1] -		
	25:2					
cor	n-s 37:2		bea	ın [1] -	
cor			- 5:	4,	40:	11,
41	:9,	67	:8,	67:	11,	
67						
cor						
cor	ро 5:6.	18	፥ [4] :10	- ı . 36	3.2 34:2	, 23
cor						
	5:20					
cor	po 30:1		ons	S [1]	-	
cor			801 -	- 10):11	
10):12	2, 1	2:1	0, 1	12:1	4.
):19	
					1:15	
			2: 1 :14		23:3 1:3	ο,
			7:1			
28	3:22	2, 2	8:2	3, 3	30:2	24,
31	:9,	37	:17	, 37	7:19),
					11:2 13:3	
			:6,			,,
55	5:1,	55	:13	, 55	5:19),
56	3:23	3, 5	8:6	, 58	3:9,	
			8:2 :6,		31:2 21	<u>,</u>
					2 1, 3:11	
68	3:7,	68	:8,	69:	19,	
69	9:20), 7	3:6	, 74	1:6,	
					74:2	
76	3:19	2, <i>1</i> .	4.2 2:2	o, <i>i</i> 0, 8	75:1 35:1	, 7,
			:6,			,
):25	j,
			01: 103			
			103			
10)4:4	1, 1	04:	14,		
10)4:2	20,	108	3:12		
10)8:1	15,	108	3:17	7, I	
10	νσ:1)9·α	ισ,) 1	108 09:	5:24 10	٠,	
10	9:1	., ' 14,	03. 112	. o, 2:16	6,	
11	2:1	17,	113	3:1,		
			13:		114:	4,

114:17, 115:22,

J LI	•	v	•	V	_	•
118:1	18,	11	19	:11	,	
120:1	16	12	วก	.21		
126:1	. o,	10	7		,	
129:7	, 1	129) : '	14,		
130:5 155:8	5, 1	133	3:	19,		
155:8	3 1	163	ξ	1 1	63.4	1
167:	,	174	,	., . 11	٠٠.	٠,
171:1						
183:5	5, 1	194	1:	1, 1	94:4	1,
194:5	5. 1	194	1:	10.		
194:2						
202:3						J,
204:1	10,	20)4	:23	,	
219:2	20,	21	19	:21	,	
220:1)
228:						-,
229:2					,	
230:2						
236:1	12,	23	36	:15	i.	
237:2	24	23	38	.3		
240:3	- ',	740	٠	10,		
240:1					,	
252:9	9, 2	252	2:2	24,		
263:2	22,	26	34	:9,		
264:1	13	26	37	.7		
					00.0	`
281:5						9,
306:1	17,	30)7	:10	١,	
308:2	23,	30	8(:24	٠,	
309:2	2, 3	319	9:2	24,		
320:3						
320:1						
332:1						
					٠,	
333:1						
333:2					٠,	
339:4	1, 3	342	2:	17,		
346:1	1, 3	346	3:2	2,		
346:1)	
349:	. o, 11	35	. a	. 1	,	
358:2		35	9	:22	,	
361:5)					
correc	tic	ns	S [1] -	16:2	25
correc	tly	[2]] -	41	:11,	
282:4	-					
correl		11	1 -	40	.6	
corres						
	-					-
44:6,					2:3,	
162:7	7, 3	377	7:2	23		
corrid	or	[11] -	5:	18,	
21:6,	52	2:5	. :	79:	17,	
81:18						5
94:1					0	,
179:2						_
corrid						
corros	sio	n [1]	- 3	36:1	7
cost [9	1 -	11	8:	10		
181:						
242:						
200.0	・ <u>と</u> ,	20) (٠. ١٠	,	
280:2						
298:1						
costs						
180:1						
180:2						:1
Cotea						
1.04	٦ ـ ١	. 1	_		-	

```
Cotton [1] - 178:12
cough [1] - 13:18
counsel [11] - 23:16,
 35:10, 36:1, 48:7,
 87:25, 88:2, 104:18,
 105:1, 130:10,
 161:6, 307:25
counties [6] - 5:22,
 6:7, 95:1, 123:3,
 202:17, 251:19
countries [4] - 224:18,
 229:3, 266:18, 268:3
country [7] - 270:25,
 275:3, 275:4, 276:2,
 330:14, 370:9,
 371:15
County [62] - 12:8,
 12:17, 36:6, 73:6,
 73:7, 76:14, 76:15,
 77:6, 77:12, 79:23,
 79:24, 79:25, 80:2,
 82:9, 108:17, 125:4,
 125:17, 133:18,
 133:20, 138:12,
 144:9, 147:23,
 155:15. 156:6.
 169:18, 171:8,
 171:10, 173:20,
 196:11, 196:22,
 196:23, 199:16,
 201:11, 201:20,
 202:17. 209:5.
 212:15, 213:10,
 213:11, 213:12,
 213:20, 215:1,
 216:8, 220:7, 220:8,
 221:7, 222:16,
 231:19, 249:15,
 270:19, 281:20,
 282:21, 285:25,
 293:16, 295:4,
 306:15, 307:4,
 321:17, 335:17,
 364:9. 368:17
county [21] - 76:12,
 76:19, 99:21,
 136:23, 138:21,
 179:2, 197:7, 197:8,
 198:4, 198:25,
 199:3, 199:9,
 199:18, 201:16,
 201:17, 204:6,
 207:8, 221:1,
 312:12, 316:19,
 368:6
couple [19] - 34:11,
 35:23, 38:3, 60:20,
 67:11, 91:9, 97:10,
 108:20, 111:4,
 137:5, 145:9,
 175:22, 180:1,
```

224:11, 268:8,

268:15, 270:5,

```
367:12, 378:14
course [6] - 13:19,
 147:19, 147:22,
 334:6, 366:5, 366:11
Court [3] - 104:10,
 104:11, 148:5
court [4] - 15:16,
 136:15, 136:17,
 137:3
courthouse [6] -
 81:15, 134:1,
 136:24, 151:11,
 344:8, 355:24
cover [10] - 9:1, 59:19,
 60:1, 63:13, 64:12,
 127:25, 278:21,
 338:24, 338:25,
 339.2
coverage [4] - 59:21,
 329:15, 329:16,
 329:19
covered [7] - 8:14,
 54:3, 186:20,
 275:13, 318:14,
 322:21, 329:19
covers [1] - 338:2
covert [1] - 156:11
Covid [1] - 266:4
cows [4] - 18:23,
 157:7, 159:15,
 159:16
crawlers [1] - 211:12
crazy [3] - 177:22,
 362:20, 368:24
create [9] - 22:15,
 187:10, 221:3,
 222:25, 224:23,
 229:24, 230:1, 352:8
created [5] - 135:8,
 180:8, 199:25,
 226:5, 350:23
creates [1] - 61:20
creating [2] - 20:23,
 225:12
creation [2] - 200:11,
 200:25
credit [1] - 369:25
credits [10] - 94:23,
 122:21, 123:7,
 157:24, 159:13,
 186:13, 186:14,
 364:23, 373:14,
 373:23
Creek [11] - 174:17,
 174:19, 174:22,
 175:5, 175:6,
 175:16, 176:9,
 178:25, 179:3,
 192:15, 193:11
crew [1] - 116:11
```

criminal [1] - 212:16

crisis [1] - 369:10

criteria [2] - 15:2,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

249:20, 250:10,

245:14 critical [3] - 27:21, 251:24, 352:8 critically [1] - 350:14 crop [12] - 68:7, 76:1, 94:11, 123:15, 123:21, 140:17, 142:1, 142:2, 142:4, 276:1. 309:20. 350.15 crops [8] - 15:13, 59:13, 60:1, 123:17, 127:25, 230:3, 230:13, 273:2 CROSS [13] - 35:5, 36:14, 64:19, 96:1, 97:8, 123:12, 124:23, 150:10, 316:1, 316:15, 331:23, 336:1, 354:22 cross [21] - 56:19, 94:3, 115:4, 148:15, 149:11, 191:18, 203:11, 218:18, 253:15, 280:19, 284:18, 290:6, 295:16, 295:17, 299:19, 333:11, 347:20, 352:25, 353:19. 359:14. 374:15 cross-examination [2] - 115:4, 284:18 cross-examine [1] -148:15 cross-examined [1] -203:11 cross-reference [1] -149:11 crossed [2] - 215:17, 286:6 crosses [4] - 152:5, 178:25, 320:1, 352:7 crossing [12] - 78:8, 158:9, 171:9, 179:1, 179:6, 217:16, 301:4, 303:2, 333:21, 348:5, 351:5 crowd [1] - 14:1 CRP [1] - 144:8 crystal [2] - 266:8, 273:24 cultural [1] - 96:12 Cultural [1] - 4:11 cure [1] - 325:25 curious [3] - 191:22, 205:11, 256:25 current [12] - 31:5, 58:4, 68:10, 78:10, 150:15, 159:17, 223:16, 226:20, 251:10, 252:16,

255:10, 264:2
customer [1] - 265:3
customers [2] 242:20, 250:6
cut [9] - 55:25, 108:20,
130:11, 133:1,
133:2, 142:9,
298:21, 310:1,
312:14
cuts [1] - 40:10
cutting [1] - 56:10
cyclical [1] - 370:25
cynicism [1] - 353:10

D

D-O-T-Z-E-N-R-O-D [1] - 317:23 dad [5] - 135:16, 136:7, 221:12, 265:13, 370:23 dad's [7] - 136:13, 136:14, 136:17, 137:3. 215:18. 216:10, 300:7 Dairy [1] - 144:12 Dakota [125] - 4:8, 4:12, 4:13, 6:16, 11:8, 14:8, 14:9, 15:16, 16:14, 16:22, 17:8, 18:3, 19:11, 29:5, 29:15, 31:7, 31:11, 43:8, 50:20, 59:10, 76:24, 77:15, 95:3, 100:8, 115:5, 120:25, 121:3, 122:16, 141:8, 144:24, 148:24, 149:1, 156:23, 157:5, 160:15, 167:24, 177:13, 177:20, 178:5, 180:18, 180:23, 181:3, 181:19, 182:12, 182:14, 183:22, 184:1, 188:13, 189:18, 190:1, 190:8, 199:21, 210:16, 222:19, 222:20, 222:23, 223:11, 225:11, 225:13, 225:16, 226:5, 226:10, 226:15, 226:19, 226:21, 227:19, 228:4, 229:8, 229:23, 234:22, 239:21, 240:3, 240:15, 241:2, 244:6, 245:2, 247:12, 247:21,

249:12, 249:14,

251:9, 251:19. 251:20, 251:25, 253:22, 256:5, 256:6, 256:7, 256:8, 257:2, 260:22, 261:2, 261:16, 261:25, 267:1, 267:22, 268:19, 268:20, 272:7, 272:9, 274:16, 275:1, 280:10, 280:25, 282:2, 282:8, 283:8, 283:12, 283:19, 289:18, 293:14, 293:17, 337:19, 365:13, 366:20, 366:25, 367:11, 371:5 Dakota's [2] - 28:19, 281:4 Dakotan [1] - 210:14 Dakotans [4] - 160:1, 185:20, 212:20 Dakotans' [1] - 16:15 damage [12] - 112:1, 121:5, 121:15, 123:15, 141:3, 141:7. 309:24. 314:24, 327:5, 349:24, 357:18, 357:22 damaged [3] - 296:13, 327:9, 348:15 damages [2] - 338:24, 339:1 damaging [1] - 327:7 Dan [1] - 186:24 danger [1] - 279:13 dangerous [5] -144:25, 176:20, 212:18, 337:4, 369:12 dangers [1] - 212:19 darker [2] - 125:19, 125:22 darn [2] - 196:16, 371:4 Darrel [2] - 135:25, 140:1 data [7] - 26:13, 32:4, 116:5, 163:5, 183:25, 203:10, 218:23 Data [1] - 101:14 date [3] - 4:6, 24:18, 289:22 dated [3] - 113:14, 215:6, 376:10 dates [1] - 71:2 daughter [1] - 135:3 David [5] - 77:22,

78:9, 85:15, 85:18, 104:25 Dawson [30] - 9:21, 9:24, 45:25, 52:17, 69:5, 71:10, 103:3, 106:13, 128:9, 152:15, 172:19, 194:25, 209:11, 213:25, 221:13, 233:18, 243:23, 248:12, 257:18, 277:16, 287:1, 293:21, 305:7, 317:12, 339:21, 341:14, 362:10, 379:13, 381:18, 383.2 DAWSON [32] - 9:22, 46:1, 46:6, 46:8, 46:12, 46:15, 46:18, 46:20, 52:18, 69:6, 103:4, 128:10, 128:13, 152:16, 172:20, 195:1, 209:12, 214:1, 221:14, 233:19, 243:24, 248:13, 257:19, 277:17, 287:2, 293:22, 305:8, 317:13, 339:22, 362:11, 379:14, 383:3 days [16] - 23:20, 23:25, 35:23, 85:24, 86:10, 87:9, 113:11, 113:15, 129:18, 130:7, 136:10, 175:23, 175:24, 257:11, 293:3, 309:8 dead [1] - 375:1 deadly [1] - 14:12 deal [13] - 29:9, 82:3, 88:4, 122:7, 127:14, 130:11, 238:5, 246:19, 288:24, 329:23, 330:24, 343:11, 370:2 Deal [2] - 16:17, 364:21 dealing [6] - 34:11, 34:12, 263:7, 263:16, 273:20, 356:17 deals [1] - 146:12 dealt [4] - 150:25, 196:24, 197:1, 270:10 death [2] - 13:20, 279:14 deathbed [2] - 134:9, 135:16

debate [1] - 282:7

debt [1] - 351:16

decade [1] - 360:15 decades [3] - 8:17, 100:6, 100:7 decarbonization [1] -250:19 decarbonize [1] -234:25 decarbonized [1] -256:9 Decatur [1] - 331:3 **December** [1] - 291:24 decide [2] - 159:6, 291.23 decided [3] - 111:23, 112:4, 365:22 decides [1] - 142:13 decision [7] - 44:25, 182:12, 251:5, 256:16, 339:16, 342:19, 365:6 decision-making [1] -44:25 decisionmaker [1] -9:25 decisions [3] - 38:8, 227:4, 256:14 decline [1] - 253:5 decommissioning [2] - 282:1, 282:3 decorum [2] - 4:24, 8.15 decrease [4] - 60:6, 235:2, 235:5, 235:12 decreased [2] - 225:9, 237.6 DeEmerris [1] -114:24 deep [7] - 50:2, 336:15, 345:14, 345:25, 359:13, 359:19, 374:18 deeper [2] - 280:8, 374:19 deer [2] - 276:10, 276:11 default [1] - 237:4 defend [1] - 158:1 deficiencies [4] -6:10, 7:5, 7:11, 63:24 definitely [2] - 24:9, 261:16 degree [4] - 139:14, 139:16, 208:11 degrees [2] - 14:11, 181:25 delay [2] - 127:6, 239:18 delegation [1] - 221:7 demand [12] - 17:14, 223:16, 225:1, 228:24, 229:25, 230:1, 249:23,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

250:7, 254:19, 371:1, 372:2, 372:12 demanded [2] - 250:7, 276:16 demanding [2] -27:22, 276:17 demonstrated [1] -81:9 demonstration [2] -327:14, 327:18 demonstrative [1] -370.17 **Denbury** [1] - 25:20 denial [5] - 92:24, 101:12, 182:6, 299:17, 320:8 denied [8] - 21:22, 22:5, 24:19, 45:1, 88:12, 101:11, 315:5. 364:20 deny [5] - 299:14, 369:24, 370:5, 372:2, 375:12 denying [2] - 6:1, 88:19 department 151 -117:14, 117:16, 202:18, 203:6 Department [6] -135:24, 156:13, 177:13, 180:9, 199:16, 253:10 departments [1] -117:9 depict [2] - 319:20, 319:25 depicted [1] - 89:10 depth [4] - 112:1, 353:17, 353:19, 356:21 describe [1] - 55:23 described [1] - 175:7 description [13] -133:24, 138:6, 149:10, 149:12, 150:20, 153:22, 155:16, 167:3, 173:21, 193:17, 232:21, 301:2, 307:3 descriptions [1] -77:11 deserves [2] - 15:9, 340:9 design [2] - 177:9, 345.19 designate [1] - 147:7 designated [3] - 4:4, 184:24, 188:2 designs [1] - 145:3 desirable [1] - 178:20 desk [1] - 71:3 despite [4] - 14:5, 15:12, 89:12, 120:19

destroy [7] - 60:5, 134:16, 141:12, 166:15, 166:16, 166:18, 309:22 destroys [1] - 373:25 destruction [2] -210:19, 211:24 detailed [4] - 88:17, 129:17, 281:21, 365:4 details [3] - 168:9, 183:7, 226:13 determination [1] -348:12 determinations [1] -38:15 determine [3] - 124:5, 286:17, 312:24 determined [4] - 7:9, 284:21, 288:14, 325:10 determining [1] -285:9 devaluate [1] - 60:10 devalue [1] - 367:15 develop [3] - 227:5, 298:6, 354:6 developed [6] -167:20, 168:11, 168:25, 169:2, 223:22, 372:16 developers [1] -168:23 developing [2] -169:11, 171:1 development [19] -27:20, 158:11, 158:22, 163:24, 164:10, 164:13, 164:22, 164:24, 165:7, 166:19, 168:7, 168:17, 168:18, 170:17, 171:22, 172:9, 225:1, 226:21, 367:17 developmental [2] -164:20, 166:9 devil [1] - 370:3 Devils [2] - 144:10, 242:21 Dexter [1] - 301:6 diagonal [2] - 97:12, 98:25 diagonally [3] - 78:2, 78:8, 310:1 dialogue [5] - 22:13, 24:22, 90:3, 309:11, 309:17 dials [1] - 24:11 diameter [7] - 5:21, 184:3. 185:1. 190:23, 190:25,

191:3, 191:8 **DIANE** [9] - 131:12, 131:14, 131:17, 131:19, 132:1, 132:4, 132:12, 132:16, 132:19 Diane [9] - 73:12, 106:17, 131:8, 131:12, 132:24, 133:9, 140:21, 142:12 **DIANN** [1] - 131:16 Dickey [10] - 5:22, 6:6, 76:14, 77:6, 77:12, 79:24, 270:19, 364:8, 364:9, 368:17 die [2] - 140:16, 280:17 died 151 - 134:10. 135:12, 136:5, 136:7, 136:18 dies [1] - 145:18 diesel [1] - 269:23 difference [2] -145:14, 176:10 different [26] - 19:20, 40:5, 56:13, 68:13, 73:3, 89:6, 90:8, 99:17, 122:24, 124:16, 128:1, 161:9, 192:20, 202:17, 210:24, 233:11, 273:12, 295:11, 295:20, 302:7, 322:15, 327:15, 330:4, 330:8, 367:3, 380:3 differently [2] -139:14, 139:15 difficult [5] - 33:7, 311:23, 312:2, 330:11, 339:19 difficulties [2] - 57:23, 116:12 dig [8] - 321:10, 321:13, 336:23, 338:12, 339:8, 346:10, 380:5 digest [1] - 57:8 digging [7] - 142:7, 326:12, 326:20, 327:6, 327:20, 336:18, 337:1 digs [1] - 338:7 diligence [1] - 102:5 diligent [2] - 39:20, 121:14 diligently [1] - 354:3 DiMeo [3] - 77:14, 78:10, 105:22 dimethyl [1] - 176:23

dinner [1] - 69:1 dioxide [9] - 5:20, 117:1, 223:6, 223:19. 283:25. 331:4, 348:5, 352:6, 353:16 dip [1] - 143:13 dips [1] - 189:17 direct [4] - 8:3, 17:15, 93:4. 315:6 **DIRECT** [9] - 12:4, 53:23, 72:23, 108:7, 132:22. 306:13. 318:21, 342:12, 363:21 directions [1] - 55:21 directly [14] - 12:19, 64:24, 115:25, 165:19, 189:2, 204:4. 231:8. 251:20, 254:8, 257:5, 297:7, 344:2, 344:6, 358:14 director [1] - 8:1 dirt [2] - 121:20, 374:12 disabled [1] - 112:24 disagree [6] - 4:25, 200:19, 206:8, 324:4, 337:16, 345:4 disappointed [3] -180:25, 278:22, 364:18 disaster [2] - 14:3, 280:11 disastrous [1] - 14:10 discharge [3] - 177:7, 177:8, 177:11 discount [1] - 299:7 discovered [1] -155:20 discovery [4] -340:14, 340:16, 340:18, 376:5 discuss [3] - 10:14, 54:4, 310:13 discussed [7] - 54:6, 112:23, 120:15, 136:2, 348:4, 348:11, 368:20 discussing [2] -82:18, 344:21 discussion [4] - 63:4, 120:24, 299:2, 331:1 discussions [3] -79:9, 223:17, 356:24 diseases [1] - 273:14 disingenuous [4] -86:11, 87:13, 87:14, 129:24 dispersion [10] - 13:4, diminishes [1] -116:4, 186:2, 202:5,

218:22, 219:9,

278:25, 284:11, 312:6, 312:16 displaces [1] - 279:14 disproportionately [1] - 14:14 disputed [1] - 361:12 disputes [1] - 361:25 disregard [2] - 359:2, 361:21 disregarded [1] -353:6 disrupt [2] - 349:13, 350:22 disrupted [1] - 100:1 disrupting [1] -349:11 disruption [1] -350:21 disrupts [1] - 14:15 distance [7] - 27:7, 70:11, 111:1, 163:8, 217:6, 217:22, 367:23 distressing [1] - 15:20 distributed [1] -202:23 District [2] - 249:12, 262:2 district [6] - 167:21, 199:19, 270:8, 271:2, 343:19, 344:11 districts [4] - 158:15, 199:17, 223:8, 233:11 disturb [1] - 122:1 disturbed [1] - 142:5 disturbing [1] -346:12 ditch [5] - 99:15, 304:13, 343:21, 343:25, 353:25 dive [1] - 321:24 diversion [4] - 167:25, 168:5, 291:3, 292:21 dividend [1] - 255:2 dividing [1] - 221:3 dizziness [1] - 13:18 dizzy [1] - 175:19 docket [11] - 83:7, 83:9. 84:9. 92:4. 334:12. 334:13. 334:15, 340:7, 340:10, 340:11, 340:19 Docket [1] - 101:15 doctor's [1] - 139:16 document [5] -318:24, 319:4, 322:23, 334:20, 356:2 Document [3] - 79:3,

79:6, 80:2

172:14

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

documentation [3] -
164:21, 165:6, 215:6 documented [1] -
335:18
documents [1] - 91:19 dollar [6] - 129:25,
198:8, 261:9,
289:11, 290:23, 369:19
dollar-bushel [1] -
261:9 dollars [11] - 15:4,
94:19, 123:2,
159:12, 159:21, 181:23, 198:6,
290:21, 291:3,
364:22, 378:12 domain [18] - 14:24,
20:3, 20:6, 20:9,
38:13, 38:16, 51:18, 181:19, 210:23,
226:14, 227:13,
288:9, 288:15,
288:22, 290:5, 291:18, 291:22,
296:25
Dome [3] - 97:17, 97:24, 121:7
domestic [1] - 249:23
domestically [1] - 226:2
Domina [1] - 77:1
done [41] - 47:5, 47:6, 48:24, 49:13, 59:2,
61:19, 63:1, 81:14,
87:12, 87:19, 89:2, 89:22, 93:1, 93:2,
96:12, 96:19, 96:20,
111:23, 121:6, 133:1, 136:11,
136:19, 137:1,
139:17, 160:15, 189:24, 190:5,
223:18, 235:16,
246:4, 255:17,
256:1, 258:16, 272:4, 276:9, 283:7,
302:12, 331:3,
358:10, 361:11, 378:10
Doolittle [2] - 91:17,
92:22 Doolittles [2] - 79:23,
91:6
door [2] - 62:21, 77:3 Dotzenrod [25] -
317:19, 317:22,
317:24, 318:12, 319:7, 319:22,
321:23, 322:1,
327:11, 327:25, 328:21, 329:12,
JZU.Z 1, JZY. 1Z,
331:19, 331:25,

טע	PUBLIC
340:	6, 341:2,
341:	15, 341:17,
	20, 341:21,
	7, 354:24,
	25, 358:14,
362:	17
DOTZ	ENROD [10] -
317:	22, 318:2,
	5, 318:9,
318:	18, 341:20,
341:	24, 342:2,
	6, 342:9
	enrod's [1] -
323:	
	enrods [2] - 80:1,
320:	
365:	le [2] - 263:9,
	le-check [1] -
365:	
	t [1] - 25:12
	[1] - 19:8
	ı [68] - 17 :11,
	4, 56:10, 99:18,
	22, 131:23,
	1, 133:2,
	13, 140:2,
	3, 140:4, 140:8,
140:	10, 144:10,
	24, 148:2,
158:	7, 169:15,
188:	4, 189:17,
202.	22, 209:6, 20, 215:14,
218	4, 220:23,
	7, 230:22,
	4, 237:7, 237:9,
237:	14, 237:16,
238:	9, 238:10,
240:	16, 242:11,
242:	20, 257:13,
	14, 260:17,
260:	21, 260:22,
261:	24, 263:10,
263:	12, 265:16,
	15, 269:1, 2, 269:17,
	24, 272:14,
	15, 274:16,
291	22, 300:10,
315:	1, 336:23,
	24, 337:1,
	2, 353:18,
	6, 366:20,
377:	10
drain	[44] - 232:10,
	22, 322:18,
	21, 333:2,
333:	4, 333:7, 333:8,
	9, 338:7,
	21, 342:25,
343	5, 343:13,

343:14, 343:18,

	343:25, 344:6,
	344:10, 344:11,
	344:15, 344:18,
	344:21, 344:23,
	345:1, 345:2, 345:5,
	345:18, 346:21,
	347:3, 348:15,
	349:24, 354:6,
	355:16, 355:21,
	333.10, 333.21,
	356:14, 357:18,
	250.45 250.40
	358:15, 359:10,
	360:14
D	rain [1] - 291:7
a	rainage [29] - 76:2,
	319:21, 320:1,
	313.21, 320.1,
	320:11, 320:15,
	321:1, 321:2, 321:5,
	322:2, 322:6,
	322:13, 322:18,
	323:5, 324:23,
	326:6, 332:2,
	332:23, 335:11,
	335:15, 337:11,
	339:9, 348:7,
	0.40.04.050.40
	348:21, 350:13,
	350:14, 350:21,
	000.14, 000.21,
	350:23, 351:5,
	351:21
d	rained [1] - 333:2
d	ramatically [1] -
	225:9
h	rastically [1] -
	-
	174:15
	174:15
d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18
d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18
d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16
d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18
d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9
d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7
d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14,
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25,
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25,
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25,
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9,
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13
d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13
d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 370:7 reams [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5
d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21
d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21
d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20,
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20,
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23,
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20,
d d d d d d d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25
dddddd dddddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24,
dddddd dddddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25
dddddd dddddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16
dddddd d ddddd d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10,
dddddd d ddddd d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10,
dddddd d ddddd d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16
dddddd d ddddd d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10,
dddddd d ddddd d d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1
dddddd d dddddd d dd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7
dddddd d dddddd d dd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1
dddddd d dddddd d d ddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13,
dddddd d dddddd d dddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14
dddddd d dddddd d dddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14
dddddd d ddddd d dddd d	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14
dddddd d dddddd d d ddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14 ry [2] - 257:3, 257:4 ryers [1] - 238:10
dddddd d dddddd d d ddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14 ry [2] - 257:3, 257:4 ryers [1] - 238:10
dddddd d dddddd d dddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14 ry [2] - 257:3, 257:4 ryers [1] - 238:10 ucks [2] - 178:11,
dddddd d dddddd d dddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14 ry [2] - 257:3, 257:4 ryers [1] - 238:10
ddddd d ddddd d dddd	174:15 raw [1] - 313:18 rawn [1] - 56:16 read [1] - 374:9 reamed [1] - 177:17 rew [5] - 41:14, 50:19, 86:25, 110:15, 208:9 rill [2] - 321:9, 321:13 rilling [1] - 331:5 rive [2] - 15:13, 47:21 riven [1] - 222:21 river [1] - 17:15 riving [4] - 19:20, 155:25, 292:23, 311:25 rop [3] - 228:24, 235:3, 240:16 ropped [2] - 156:10, 268:16 rought [1] - 371:1 roughts [1] - 144:7 rove [2] - 15:13, 366:14 ry [2] - 257:3, 257:4 ryers [1] - 238:10 ucks [2] - 178:11,

102:5, 284:4
duly [22] - 12:2, 53:21,
72:21, 108:5,
132:20, 155:11,
173:16, 196:8,
210:12, 214:23,
222:12, 234:16,
244:21, 249:6,
259:24, 278:12,
288:5, 294:21,
306:11, 318:19,
342:10, 363:19
dump [2] - 121:19,
127:23
Dunbar [1] - 174:4
duplicate [3] - 7:6,
59:1, 155:14
during [24] - 4:22,
44:20, 81:9, 84:25,
101:18, 101:25,
122:5, 122:11,
123:17, 142:4,
174:10, 175:18,
177:6, 179:2, 179:3,
179:8, 179:21,
199:6, 223:21,
232:5, 264:1, 280:5,
283:21, 285:20
duties [1] - 251:12
duty [2] - 187:10,
210:17
dyspnea [1] - 13:18

Ε

```
eager [1] - 368:5
early [8] - 117:5,
 143:21, 272:23,
 274:13, 275:7,
 342:24, 361:18,
 362:6
earned [1] - 181:23
earth [1] - 269:14
Earth [1] - 121:5
ease [2] - 89:23, 92:10
easement [95] - 37:1,
 37:5, 37:15, 37:16,
 48:19, 49:19, 61:19,
 75:17, 75:20, 77:14,
 78:12, 78:21, 90:16,
 98:18, 99:21,
 105:25, 110:19,
 111:17, 123:16,
 158:5, 158:10,
 158:20, 159:18,
 160:23, 166:13,
 218:4, 232:1,
 232:22, 281:14,
 281:16, 281:17,
 281:18, 302:2,
 310:13, 319:21,
 320:2, 320:11,
```

320:15, 320:19, 321:1, 321:2, 321:5, 321:8, 322:6, 322:13, 322:15, 322:18, 326:7, 329:17, 332:2, 332:23, 333:2, 333:22, 334:2, 334:3, 334:19, 334:22, 334:23, 335:5, 335:6, 335:15, 338:4, 339:9, 339:25, 342:15, 344:2, 344:8, 344:16, 345:24, 346:18, 346:20, 347:20, 348:7, 348:21, 348:22, 350:10, 351:3, 351:5, 352:3, 352:15, 352:25, 353:3, 353:20, 355:3, 355:10, 355:13, 355:20, 356:6, 356:10, 356:24, 357:8, 357:12, 358:17, 360:21 easements [16] -18:16, 36:5, 56:21, 56:22, 61:16, 61:17, 66:10, 66:15, 69:17, 79:16, 89:3, 100:11, 283:1, 290:17, 352:21, 361:19 easier [6] - 36:9, 56:3, 90:13, 109:2, 276:5, 276:8 easily [2] - 86:8, 374:20 east [28] - 40:16, 40:20, 41:1, 41:2, 66:5, 73:9, 86:23, 152:2, 171:8, 173:22, 175:4, 176:7, 178:24, 193:11, 193:19, 193:22, 216:8, 216:15, 220:14, 221:5, 242:5, 296:2, 311:1, 344:2, 353:16, 353:22, 353:23 East [3] - 264:22, 274:3, 371:14 east-west [1] - 311:1 eastern [2] - 216:9, 240:14 easy [3] - 337:8, 343:15, 365:6 ebb [1] - 268:22 economic [14] - 59:20,

180:22, 181:9,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

186:12, 235:21, 237:11, 343:3, 347:4, 349:3, 351:1, 354:8, 354:12, 354:14, 380:7 economically [1] -298:6 economics [1] -186:25 economy [3] - 245:13, 245:17, 268:19 ecosystem [1] -144:16 edge [7] - 9:9, 80:10, 86:20, 217:25, 275:2, 275:4, 344:4 Edgeley [3] - 264:2, 274:20, 274:21 educated [1] - 198:1 education 151 -135:10, 135:11, 197:20, 203:23 effect [6] - 42:2, 134:19, 139:9, 176:9, 255:18, 374:5 effects [11] - 6:15, 13:14, 94:5, 177:20, 256:11, 329:21, 347:19, 352:9, 367:10, 367:15, 370:24 efficiencies [1] -237.12 efficient [5] - 6:18, 12:12, 94:13, 94:18, 245:5 efficiently [1] - 331:3 effort [6] - 78:6, 223:3, 224:1, 304:13, 359:1, 367:22 efforts [5] - 16:25, 74:7, 80:3, 80:25, 120:19 eight [6] - 14:23, 77:20, 175:17, 263:21, 369:7, 369:9 eighth [1] - 135:10 eighth-grade [1] -135:10 either [21] - 4:15, 21:6, 24:17, 27:21, 31:5, 59:16, 87:7, 88:19, 89:2, 147:21, 159:3, 177:8, 199:2, 299:19, 305:12, 324:15, 325:21, 335:1, 335:3, 346:9, 360:6 elaborate [1] - 301:10 elbow [2] - 56:14, elbows [1] - 56:14 elected [4] - 32:20,

47:11, 145:8, 289:24 election [3] - 212:21, 291:25. 292:11 electric [3] - 13:22, 14:1 electrical [10] - 175:8, 281:3, 281:5, 281:8, 281:9, 347:5, 347:6, 347:8, 347:11, 347.14 electricity [9] -174:16, 238:13, 238:16, 281:11, 289:8, 313:13, 313:22, 349:8, 359:11 electronic [1] - 269:4 electronically [1] -195:13 element [1] - 31:23 elements [2] - 372:10, 372:15 elevator [1] - 254:3 elevators [2] - 243:11, 254:4 elicit [1] - 202:7 eliminates [1] - 14:23 Elkins' [1] - 179:10 Ella [1] - 4:11 Ellendale [4] - 274:19, 367:18, 367:25, 380:15 Ellingson [9] - 324:5, 324:7, 324:8, 324:23, 325:16, 326:3, 347:25, 348:4, 372:25 Ellingson's [4] -324:24, 348:8, 348:24, 349:5 elsewhere [4] -189:25, 255:12, 255:13, 255:14 email [3] - 48:15, 69:14, 113:13 emails [1] - 49:24 embargo [2] - 265:11, 265:16 embarrassing [1] -15:15 embellishing [2] -205:3 embellishment [1] -204:24 embracing [1] - 268:9 Emerado 131 - 249:14. 257:1, 257:5 emergency [18] - 13:3, 13:9, 62:22, 179:16, 183:21, 183:22, 197:13, 197:25,

198:4. 198:8.

202:19, 207:10,

279:2, 279:16, 280:22, 368:7. 368:9, 368:17 emerges [1] - 140:12 emigrated [1] - 157:4 eminent [18] - 14:24, 20:3, 20:5, 20:9, 38:13, 38:16, 51:18, 181:19, 210:23, 226:14, 227:13, 288:8. 288:15. 288:22, 290:5, 291:18, 291:22, 296:25 emission [1] - 250:8 emissions [2] - 251:4, 263:17 emitted [1] - 128:2 Emmons [3] - 5:22, 79:23 emotional [1] - 137:10 employed [1] - 282:24 employee [1] - 175:23 employees [7] - 16:20, 65:14, 175:6, 175:18, 175:21, 175:22, 176:9 EMT [3] - 143:5, 145:10, 145:11 enable [2] - 256:4, 263:17 enacted [1] - 224:18 encapture [1] - 205:21 encourage [6] - 14:20, 245:15, 281:19, 282:19, 283:10, 283:13 end [20] - 29:6, 41:17, 51:25, 99:14, 111:16, 145:5, 180:19, 205:14, 274:14, 275:11, 296:4, 296:6, 345:20, 350:15, 358:8, 364:3, 372:5, 374:2, 382:2 ended [5] - 83:11, 111:10, 175:24, 237:2 ends [7] - 56:10, 56:12, 66:19, 112:18, 112:20, energy [28] - 6:24, 18:2, 18:6, 20:11, 20:16, 20:20, 20:22, 21:2, 21:8, 94:15, 95:7, 95:9, 127:18, 143:24, 143:25, 144:4, 180:10,

261:23, 261:24, 313:17, 373:8, 373:9 Energy [2] - 174:17, 180:9 Energy-owned [1] -180:9 enforce [1] - 141:9 enforcement [2] -197:12, 197:24 engage [5] - 35:24, 48:4, 48:8, 48:9, 102:22 engaged [1] - 106:7 engagement [1] -105:20 engaging [2] - 222:23, 309:16 Engineers [1] - 244:24 engineers [2] - 247:9, 247:10 enhanced [6] - 17:22, 100:3, 251:1, 252:18, 252:22, 373:20 enjoyed [2] - 212:7, 261:9 ensure [7] - 94:15, 95:7, 142:14, 143:7, 338:13, 373:8, 373:9 ensuring [5] - 6:23, 6:24, 20:16, 157:8, 373:3 enter [1] - 22:13 entering [3] - 83:4, 368:11, 368:16 enters [1] - 190:8 entire [11] - 59:24, 110:2, 110:3, 158:13, 158:21, 163:24, 190:2, 300:14, 344:15, 347:16, 356:5 entirely [1] - 251:17 entirety [7] - 64:3, 224:5, 342:25, 351:8, 354:6, 356:10, 357:15 entitle [1] - 187:12 entitled [2] - 159:20, 370:9 entity [3] - 149:1, 153:24, 246:17 entrepreneurs [2] -157:18, 158:2 environment [15] -6:15, 12:21, 94:5, 111:7, 120:25, 121:1, 121:2, 128:5, 144:5, 245:12, 245:16, 337:4, 367:10, 372:21, 374:1

Environmental [1] -

177:14 environmental [8] -6.18 6.22 94.14 343:3, 347:4, 349:3, 349:15, 354:12 environmentally [3] -60:19, 309:21, 349:15 EOR [2] - 17:24, 373:17 equally [2] - 167:4, 167:5 equipment [8] - 51:24, 117:18, 142:7, 205:21, 245:1, 279:18, 279:19 equity [2] - 265:22, 265:23 equivalent [2] -159:18. 160:22 era [1] - 265:14 Erik [1] - 75:14 erode [1] - 280:8 error [2] - 348:3, 360:8 errors [1] - 76:21 escape [1] - 179:8 especially [3] - 8:25, 273:9. 312:12 essentially [2] - 55:3, 56:17 establish [3] - 16:3, 90:11, 344:19 established [3] -324:15, 325:21, 367:1 establishing [3] -90:14, 325:12, 343:14 establishment [1] -94:11 estate [7] - 164:21, 168:8, 168:22, 169:4, 171:23, 172:4, 332:25 estimate [1] - 180:22 estimated [1] - 178:16 estimates [1] - 181:9 estimation [1] -297:10 ETA[1] - 286:2 etcetera [2] - 47:13, 50:14 ethanol [87] - 17:9, 20:17, 20:21, 59:10, 60:14, 62:2, 95:8, 127:22, 128:2, 146:4. 156:23. 174:24, 175:13, 176:16, 177:7, 177:10, 177:16, 177:21, 180:13, 180:15, 180:17, 223:6, 223:22,

180:12, 180:20,

250:12, 250:24,

250:8, 250:9,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

004.45.005.04
224:15, 225:21,
225:22, 225:25,
226:4, 226:25,
227:2, 227:4,
228:19, 229:5,
229:7, 230:23,
231:1, 234:22,
239:4, 242:1, 242:5,
253:22, 255:10,
255:12, 255:21,
256:4, 256:6, 256:7,
256:8, 260:10,
260:23, 261:1,
261:5, 261:8,
261:10, 261:15,
261:17, 261:20,
262:13, 262:16,
262:21, 262:25,
263:4, 263:8,
263:10, 263:14,
263:21, 263:25,
264:5, 264:6,
264:12, 267:10,
267:12, 267:14,
267:15, 269:19,
271:1, 271:3,
271:13, 272:12,
272:13, 272:14,
272:21, 273:19,
314:23, 369:3,
369:16
Ethanol [10] - 29:16,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] -
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] -
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] -
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] - 238:23, 300:8 evidence [30] - 7:2,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] - 238:23, 300:8 evidence [30] - 7:2, 7:7, 17:6, 26:20,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] - 238:23, 300:8 evidence [30] - 7:2, 7:7, 17:6, 26:20, 27:4, 28:12, 30:16,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] - 238:23, 300:8 evidence [30] - 7:2, 7:7, 17:6, 26:20, 27:4, 28:12, 30:16, 42:18, 83:5, 84:9,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] - 238:23, 300:8 evidence [30] - 7:2, 7:7, 17:6, 26:20, 27:4, 28:12, 30:16, 42:18, 83:5, 84:9, 84:25, 85:11, 86:1,
Ethanol [10] - 29:16, 174:19, 192:9, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 206:2, 234:21, 237:1, 241:7 ethics [4] - 17:1, 33:23, 37:24 ethyl [2] - 176:25, 177:2 Europe [1] - 266:17 evacuate [1] - 143:1 evacuation [1] - 13:25 evaluating [1] - 223:25 evening [1] - 366:10 event [11] - 50:3, 59:16, 168:23, 175:18, 192:8, 193:5, 279:1, 279:9, 279:22, 299:9, 368:18 events [4] - 179:3, 266:13, 266:14, 266:17 eventually [2] - 238:23, 300:8 evidence [30] - 7:2, 7:7, 17:6, 26:20, 27:4, 28:12, 30:16, 42:18, 83:5, 84:9,

ND PUBLIC
93:22, 100:9, 110:2, 114:15, 115:19, 183:12, 319:1, 319:8, 321:15, 323:24, 325:7, 328:8, 340:4, 372:17
evident [1] - 226:23 evidentiary [1] - 154:1 evidently [1] - 217:19 evil [3] - 181:13,
181:24, 182:4 evolution [1] - 276:15 evolved [2] - 275:17, 276:21
EVs [1] - 269:4 exact [3] - 91:15, 133:15, 159:18 exactly [12] - 29:15,
54:11, 58:10, 66:17, 138:8, 143:4, 144:16, 165:2, 172:10, 172:12,
239:17, 346:19 exam [1] - 289:18 examination [2] - 115:4, 284:18 EXAMINATION [29] -
12:4, 35:5, 36:14, 47:1, 53:23, 64:19, 69:10, 70:3, 72:23, 96:1, 97:8, 103:10, 104:23, 108:7,
123:12, 124:23, 128:17, 132:22, 150:10, 306:13, 316:1, 316:15, 318:21, 331:23, 336:1, 342:12,
354:22, 363:21, 379:18 examine [2] - 148:15, 188:7
examined [10] - 12:2, 53:21, 72:21, 108:5, 132:20, 203:11, 306:11, 318:19, 342:10, 363:19 example [4] - 15:24,
60:7, 61:11, 204:15 excavations [2] - 336:14, 336:15
excavator [1] - 336:22 excellent [1] - 157:8 except [4] - 9:7, 99:14, 237:8, 382:15
exception [1] - 364:6 excess [1] - 369:3 excessive [1] - 223:20 excuse [6] - 66:24,
80:16, 82:10, 86:14, 90:17, 237:15 executed [1] - 172:11 executives [2] - 88:1,

SERVICE (
130:10
exemption [1] - 94:24
exemptions [1] - 94:20
exhaust [1] - 177:22
Exhibit [45] - 24:25,
27:24, 30:1, 30:3, 32:8, 32:18, 33:11,
33:22, 34:2, 36:18,
39:4, 41:22, 50:1,
51:6, 54:12, 54:13, 55:12, 56:19, 74:13,
77:5, 82:22, 83:25,
85:11, 89:10, 91:12, 91:21, 109:17,
110:7, 112:23,
114:13, 114:19,
115:7, 125:15, 147:8, 189:1, 189:8,
308:10, 315:8,
325:5, 332:1,
332:10, 334:12, 375:21, 376:2
exhibit [22] - 25:6,
33:22, 34:8, 64:5,
84:4, 84:12, 84:17, 92:12, 102:21,
146:23, 207:6,
309:2, 332:1,
334:11, 334:18, 340:2, 340:4,
340:14, 340:18,
340:23, 340:25, 341:7
exhibits [2] - 30:10,
83:15
exist [3] - 97:20, 190:17, 350:24
existed [1] - 143:6
existence [2] - 17:9, 351:2
existent [1] - 276:2
existing [9] - 27:20,
58:1, 61:19, 67:14, 79:15, 79:17, 81:18,
104:19, 226:24
exists [6] - 134:23, 344:9, 344:16,
355:17, 358:16,
358:18
exit [3] - 67:5, 342:22, 343:14
exiting [1] - 176:16
exits [2] - 40:17,
356:13 expand [4] - 263:8,
269:21, 289:25,
298:6
expanding [1] - 288:20
expect [3] - 9:12,
268:24

```
179:18, 230:2, 367:3
expedite [1] - 62:14
expenditures [1] -
 282:14
expense [6] - 78:7,
 181:14, 280:25,
 344:15, 347:11,
 372:25
expenses [2] - 347:8,
 349:6
expensive [3] -
 180:17, 344:14,
 352:6
experience [10] -
 29:10, 59:22, 141:2,
 174:15, 175:19,
 176:14, 196:25,
 203:20, 204:19,
 245:3
experienced [2] -
 245:7, 288:15
experiences [2] -
 135:21, 201:17
experiencing [2] -
 114:8, 177:20
experiment [1] - 283:6
expert [1] - 345:18
expire [1] - 157:24
explain [6] - 37:6,
 55:6, 105:1, 139:9,
 295:1, 340:17
explained [2] -
 263:10, 298:23
explaining [1] - 379:1
explanation [2] -
79:17, 281:21
explosion [1] - 140:12
explosions [1] - 13:1
exponentially [2] -
 62:13, 246:11
export [2] - 199:23,
 268:8
exposed [1] - 120:7
exposure [2] - 13:16,
216:22
exposures [1] - 13:19
Express [2] - 156:8,
 156:18
express [2] - 328:6,
 351:4
expressed [6] - 81:8,
 89:13, 103:13,
 108:22, 185:14,
 191:23
expressing [1] -
 342:18
extend [1] - 14:12
extended [2] - 177:25,
 239:10
extensive [2] - 245:3,
 338:2
```

extent [1] - 275:16

extra [7] - 144:6,

```
242:13, 332:12,
 369:5. 369:15.
 372:25
extras [1] - 332:15
extreme [2] - 13:19,
 29:13
extremely [1] - 10:7
Exxon [1] - 39:5
ExxonMobil [1] -
 25:20
eyes [1] - 175:20
           F
face [5] - 165:11,
 235:19, 235:20,
 236:5
face-to-face [1] -
 165:11
Facebook [1] - 282:21
faced [1] - 47:18
facilitate [1] - 350:4
facilities [5] - 5:21,
 18:3, 234:25,
 241:25, 243:12
facility [13] - 6:14,
 6:17, 6:21, 9:7,
 193:9, 235:18,
 237:2, 237:3,
 237:13, 238:9,
 240:21, 281:22,
 367:9
facing [2] - 16:7,
 279:3
fact [5] - 5:25, 30:13,
 141:10, 187:15,
 374:9
factor [3] - 14:18,
 27:21, 238:14
factors [3] - 17:13,
 229:14, 347:17
facts [1] - 184:10
fail [1] - 14:25
failed [7] - 17:16,
 17:18, 85:2, 88:20,
 128:25, 278:22,
 279:24
fails [3] - 16:11, 60:18,
 183:13
fair [18] - 106:6, 106:8,
 160:4. 168:6. 170:1.
 201:23, 203:13,
 204:20, 217:9,
 236:7, 242:6,
 256:19, 270:22,
 281:8, 357:17,
 358:19. 359:3
fairly [4] - 80:6,
 160:13, 196:20,
 359:9
fairness [1] - 353:10
```

faith [1] - 27:5

expecting [3] -

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing SERVICE COMMISSION Ν

iviay 24, 2	_
ND PUBLIC	S
farmed [2] - 157:3,	
157:6 farmer [20] - 14:4,	
17:11, 59:12, 59:23,	
140:15, 140:16, 145:18, 159:9,	
159:10, 222:15,	
225:5, 225:24, 242:10, 242:12,	
249:13, 249:14,	
250:4, 260:4,	
271:20, 381:8 farmer's [2] - 119:17,	
119:21	
farmers [28] - 14:13, 14:22, 15:10,	
122:15, 139:21,	
140:16, 142:8,	
144:9, 145:20,	
180:14, 180:18, 180:19, 180:25,	
180:19, 180:25, 200:14, 222:22,	
224:19, 224:24, 231:9, 249:18,	
249:21, 250:1,	
254:8, 270:20,	
270:24, 272:3,	
310:19, 369:15, 374:6	
farming [8] - 121:4,	
143:1, 253:21, 261:10, 276:19,	
276:20, 330:1, 346:1	
farmland [7] - 15:8,	
121:2, 121:3, 135:8, 170:21, 211:8,	
367:16	
farms [3] - 66:18,	
265:15, 300:9 farmstead [8] - 98:13,	
98:21, 110:13,	
124:15, 162:1,	
215:23, 300:6 farmsteads [3] -	
110:16, 110:25,	
124:16	
fascinating [1] - 283:4 fashion [3] - 6:25,	
198:2, 198:9	
fast [2] - 193:18, 306:24	
faster [1] - 363:24	
fastest [2] - 158:15,	
167:21 fastest-growing [2] -	
158:15, 167:21	
father [3] - 134:9,	
135:9, 136:5 father's [1] - 140:15	
favor [2] - 206:24,	
240:7	
favorite [1] - 9:6	

OLIVIOL C
346:14
feasible [5] - 81:10,
86:14, 227:6, 303:3,
368:23
February [5] - 32:3,
75:17, 76:7, 290:25,
323:19
Federal [1] - 372:3
federal [9] - 27:12,
157:23, 159:12,
222:23, 273:22,
282:6, 282:10,
282:11, 282:16
FedEx [1] - 76:8
Fedorchak [1] - 10:1
Feds [1] - 285:8
fee [2] - 332:25, 349:9
feed [1] - 271:5
feedback [1] - 307:14
feedlot [1] - 70:19
feelings [1] - 4:19
fees [2] - 347:8, 347:9
feet [63] - 12:22, 26:5,
26:10, 27:6, 58:12,
67:12, 98:21,
110:14, 111:1,
115:9, 116:6,
116:16, 117:20,
117:22, 117:23,
117:24, 118:2,
118:14, 119:4,
119:14, 119:18,
119:21, 121:9,
140:3, 157:12,
162:24, 163:7,
163:14, 171:13, 173:25, 177:25,
180:5, 183:2,
184:11, 215:10,
215:12, 216:3,
216:11, 217:8,
217:24, 218:1,
218:11, 218:13,
218:19, 295:14,
295:15, 296:10,
293.13, 290.10,
297:14, 297:24,
298:21, 299:24,
312:10, 312:18,
312:20, 312:25,
313:9, 314:14,
314:16, 355:12,
360:4, 360:5,
374:17, 374:20
fellow [6] - 108:23,
182:5, 182:11,
222:10 220:22
223:10, 229:22,
366:20
felony [2] - 11:9, 132:8
felt [6] - 8:24, 76:16,
83:16, 105:15,
110:20, 373:21
fence [1] - 174:18
fences [1] - 18:24

Fergus [1] - 242:10
fertile [2] - 60:5,
274:17
few [13] - 15:1, 59:11,
85:4, 110:25,
153:13, 177:4,
255:5, 257:11, 275:24, 298:20,
299:24, 314:14,
366:23
fewer [1] - 312:20
field [6] - 19:20, 156:3,
310:1, 350:13,
350:14, 360:20
fields [3] - 232:9,
366:14, 366:25
fifth [2] - 135:10,
193:21 fifth-grade [1] -
135:10
fight [1] - 14:17
fighting [1] - 137:6
figure [4] - 217:22,
234:25, 303:20,
378:11
figured [4] - 85:15,
91:1, 364:16, 377:21
figuring [2] - 122:3,
194:7
file [5] - 37:24, 64:8, 83:19, 84:8, 187:4
filed [15] - 17:2, 21:17,
33:23, 79:3, 79:6,
80:21, 83:1, 83:3,
85:23, 92:4, 92:8,
99:11, 246:7, 270:3
files [1] - 16:11
filing [10] - 4:7, 40:5,
41:18, 49:14, 62:21,
83:14, 84:11, 91:12, 92:21, 101:13
Filing [2] - 6:7, 101:14
filings [3] - 6:10,
39:22, 361:10
fill [1] - 146:6
filled [2] - 182:1,
279:10
filling [2] - 9:25,
374:13
final [2] - 45:17, 143:16
finally [3] - 102:25,
129:23, 344:1
Finance [1] - 251:11
financed [1] - 25:19
financial [4] - 16:12,
182:9, 227:18,
347:10
financially [2] - 60:19,
235:17
financials [1] - 164:22
findings [3] - 5:25, 34:8, 187:12
UT.U, 101.12

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

364:4, 364:13, 364:25, 368:9, 370:16 First [2] - 6:13, 201:15 fit [3] - 29:10, 32:24, 33:2 five [19] - 11:10, 48:20, 128:3, 132:9, 177:24, 177:25, 219:2, 223:22, 224:1. 225:13. 237:20, 258:2, 260:23, 265:13, 272:21, 293:3, 300:3, 370:7, 374:11 five-page [1] - 219:2 five-state [1] - 224:1 fix [2] - 25:25, 346:11 fixed [1] - 337:7 flare [1] - 177:8 flat [1] - 274:17 Flint [11] - 174:19, 174:20, 174:24, 175:2, 175:12, 175:16, 176:6, 176:11, 192:9, 192:17, 272:16 Flint's [1] - 174:21 flip [1] - 256:2 flooding [1] - 140:21 Florida [1] - 135:13 flow [7] - 178:1, 191:5, 268:22, 343:17, 343:21, 343:24, 360:3 flowers [2] - 273:7, 273.9 fluctuate [1] - 180:20 fluctuated [1] - 229:18 fly [1] - 373:13 flying [1] - 269:13 focus [5] - 6:5, 6:9, 51:16, 249:22, 319:17 focused [3] - 140:17, 249:24, 250:18 folder [1] - 22:19 folks [3] - 54:23, 114:7. 203:24 folks' [2] - 130:13, 219:19 follow [9] - 60:21, 71:14, 71:15, 104:25, 149:6, 302:6, 304:6, 352:13, 352:14 follow-up [7] - 60:21, 71:14, 71:15, 104:25, 302:6, 304:6, 352:14 followed [1] - 134:5 **following** [7] - 6:11, 103:12, 142:2,

310:18, 310:20, 355:8. 355:9 follows [22] - 12:3, 53:22, 72:22, 108:6, 132:21, 155:11, 173:16, 196:8, 210:12, 214:23, 222:12, 234:16, 244:21, 249:6, 259:24, 278:12, 288:5, 294:21, 306:12, 318:20, 342:11, 363:20 food [2] - 59:9, 265:9 fool [1] - 144:14 foolish [1] - 378:17 foot [1] - 58:4 footing [1] - 181:10 footprint [2] - 14:22, 251:18 Force [1] - 257:6 forced [5] - 169:17, 181:20, 210:17, 283:1, 312:15 forces [1] - 372:12 forcing [1] - 181:25 foreign [2] - 16:21, 149:1 foremost [2] - 197:2, 197:17 forever [3] - 60:6, 309:23, 381:9 forget [3] - 98:22, 122:10, 135:15 forgive [2] - 133:6, 356:3 forgot [2] - 171:12, 293:10 Forks [3] - 242:19, 249:15, 257:5 form [5] - 77:5, 174:13, 246:6, 293:3, 312:19 formal [3] - 38:24, 73:13. 112:14 formations [3] -178:6, 223:24, 272:16 former [2] - 183:23, 363:14 forms [2] - 76:11, 77:11 forth [7] - 19:25, 73:21, 105:21, 111:21, 112:1, 117:18, 161:23 fortunately [1] - 377:7 Forum [1] - 160:9 forward [8] - 9:13, 9:18, 121:15, 149:7,

226:1, 251:7,

fossil [2] - 111:5,

278:19, 348:13

144.2 fought [1] - 134:10 foundation [10] - 28:2, 30:10, 51:11, 51:12, 114:22, 190:14, 324:13, 325:21, 375:24, 376:4 foundational [1] -325:24 four [24] - 18:18, 59:8, 77:5, 100:7, 128:3, 140:3, 157:15, 158:12, 167:19, 168:18, 211:12, 258:2, 258:10, 268:16, 272:22, 280:13. 286:3. 286:13, 296:3, 296:7, 300:2, 374:17, 374:19 four-legged [1] -211:12 four-mile [1] - 300:2 Fourth [1] - 288:11 fourth [2] - 249:14, 260:4 fourth-generation [2] - 249:14, 260:4 frame [1] - 98:5 Frances [1] - 135:4 frankly [2] - 54:13, 378:9 fraud [1] - 146:13 Fredrikson [3] - 7:17, 7:19, 76:9 freedom [1] - 201:14 French [1] - 131:23 frequent [1] - 13:23 freshly [1] - 139:25 friends [8] - 13:8, 13:24, 141:11, 198:1, 199:9, 200:15, 364:19, 367:4 frightened [1] - 18:23 front [18] - 22:17, 31:25, 32:18, 50:2, 54:12, 74:17, 77:3, 91:7, 109:12, 110:8, 114:14, 164:25, 188:19, 204:15, 310:24, 340:10, 363:24, 374:1 frost [5] - 140:2, 140:4, 280:7, 374:18, 374:19

225:19, 225:20, 226:6, 227:3, 229:3, 230:22, 238:20, 250:20, 255:4, 255:5, 255:11, 255:17, 255:23, 256:9, 263:1, 263:2, 263:5, 263:9, 269:12, 269:18, 269:23, 269:24 fuels [1] - 250:8 fulfill [1] - 16:12 fulfilled [2] - 6:24, 94:16 full [15] - 53:2, 53:4, 67:7, 72:2, 107:13, 131:11, 154:19, 199:5, 259:1, 279:10, 305:20, 311:22, 317:21, 341:19, 362:25 fully [1] - 355:3 fun [4] - 276:5, 330:25, 354:16, 378:14 function [2] - 13:10, 254:21 fund [2] - 95:3, 95:4 funded [1] - 25:20 funds [2] - 95:3, 145:11 funeral [1] - 136:7 furthest [1] - 216:8 furthest-east [1] -216:8 future [28] - 27:20, 68:10, 68:14, 167:17, 167:18, 168:17, 212:8, 223:17, 225:4, 225:6. 226:8. 226:21, 229:10, 237:21, 251:25, 261:3, 261:21, 272:7, 272:10, 272:13, 273:1, 273:21, 296:17, 298:5, 325:1, 350:19, 354:8,

G

354:13

G-E-S-S-E-L-E [1] -173:3 gain [4] - 16:24, 182:9, 202:7, 250:19 gallon [2] - 290:21, 290:24 gallons [12] - 62:1, 116:24, 159:15, 159:16, 159:19,

159:21, 160:3, 160:22, 263:4, 263:6, 263:7, 263:8 gaps [1] - 25:21 gas [40] - 17:24, 47:21, 97:19, 116:22, 116:25, 118:7, 127:19, 134:14, 140:12, 141:8, 144:2, 144:5, 146:7, 175:5, 175:12, 176:2, 176:3, 176:15, 177:7, 177:9, 177:11, 177:24, 178:3, 178:4, 181:6, 182:1, 192:23, 236:20, 237:3, 238:2, 238:5, 238:6, 238:11, 289:7, 289:8, 290:22, 290:24, 367:3 Gas [1] - 183:22 gases [7] - 176:17, 176:21, 176:22, 177:16, 178:8, 192:20, 245:6 gasohol [1] - 269:20 gasoline [1] - 269:23 gassed [1] - 177:21 gather [1] - 139:20 gathered [1] - 283:3 gathering [1] - 371:10 gatherings [1] - 13:24 gear [1] - 13:22 geese [2] - 178:11, 178:13 general [12] - 7:24, 87:23, 88:2, 95:3, 95:4, 115:23, 148:24, 223:5, 291:25, 348:14, 348:15, 349:22 generalities [1] generally [11] - 27:16, 73:18, 91:13, 112:22, 138:19. 139:4, 139:10, 141:24, 141:25, 189:5, 330:5 generated [2] - 223:6, 251:15 generation [9] - 135:2, 135:11, 157:7, 157:9, 209:19. 210:14, 249:14, 260:4, 290:18 generations [3] -157:15, 212:9,

212.11

generous [1] - 304:15

genesis [1] - 134:24

fructose [1] - 260:11

361:20, 373:15

fuel [27] - 111:6,

144:2, 224:2,

224:15, 224:22,

frustrating [3] - 14:13,

frustration [1] - 113:9

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

genetic [1] - 178:19
genetics [2] - 59:22,
65:24 gentleman [3] -
212:14, 215:24,
259:5
gentleman's [1] -
112:25 gentlemen [1] - 30:22
geophysical [1] -
280:4
Georgia [2] - 225:21, 230:22
GESSELE [37] - 173:2,
173:6, 173:9,
173:13, 173:15, 173:17, 183:5,
183:8, 183:14,
183:20, 184:21,
185:22, 186:7, 186:11, 187:22,
188:15, 189:6,
189:21, 190:4,
190:10, 190:19, 191:1, 191:5,
192:12, 192:15,
192:17, 192:20,
192:24, 193:1, 193:5, 193:10,
193:21, 194:1,
194:5, 194:10,
194:18, 194:22 Gessele [5] - 173:2,
173:4, 173:18,
183:11, 185:14
gift [1] - 291:4 given [28] - 9:2, 13:21,
14:3, 30:16, 51:12,
64:3, 73:17, 82:2,
88:7, 91:2, 91:3,
96:22, 117:12, 120:2, 155:12,
156:17, 163:13,
198:1, 201:4, 207:4,
207:5, 217:10, 240:13, 279:11,
288:16, 288:18,
347:19
glad [3] - 140:9, 171:11, 263:2
glaring [1] - 278:23
glass [1] - 151:13
Glenview [1] - 173:20 global [4] - 14:19,
14:20, 178:16,
266:13
Gludt [43] - 7:15, 7:16, 10:10, 10:15, 25:2,
27:25, 32:9, 33:12,
34:3, 35:3, 52:9,
64:16, 69:23, 95:23,
104:22, 109:18, 109:24, 114:20,

ΝD	РΙ	JB	LI
115: 131: 182: 212: 227: 245: 262: 292: 315: 331: 354: 381: GLUE 10:1 28:1 35:6 63:6 82:2 83:1 104: 106: 114: 115: 123: 131: 150: 161: 162: 162: 184: 186: 190: 191: 202: 228: 245:	2, 12: 1, 15: 23, 2; 25, 2; 25, 2; 25, 2; 25, 2; 26, 3; 27; 28, 28, 28, 28, 29; 24, 3, 314, 3:	3:10, 0:5, 1 01:6, 17:2, 35:25 52:3, 3:16, 7:4, 19:10 41:9, 76:17 82:5 - 7:10, 16:2, 7:4, 35:25 19:24:18 87:10, 62:2, 7:44, 35:21:10 19:24:18 87:10, 62:2, 88:24, 99:10 10:17, 66:11, 17:3, 66:1, 52:4, 7:22:4, 7:10, 17:3, 66:1, 17:3, 66:1, 52:4, 95:24, 9	61:1 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
51:8 63:6 82:2 83:1	, 52:1 , 64:1 5, 83 3, 84	0, 62 7, 69 8, 83 19, 9	:11, :24, :11, 2:13
104: 106: 114:	24, 1 9, 10 21, 1	05:6, 9:19, 15:12	,
123: 131: 150: 161:	13, 1; 2, 14; 7, 16; 15, 1;	24:18 7:10, 1:2, 1 62:2,	,
162: 184: 186: 190:	18, 18 4, 18 16, 18 11, 1	82:24 5:2, 89:10 91:10	
202: 212: 228:	6, 20 24, 2 1, 23	6:11, 17:3, 6:1,	
283: 284: 292:	17, 28 7, 28 3, 29	83:23 4:14,	,
316: 321: 323: 325:	2, 31; 20, 3; 22, 3; 6, 32;	9:11, 22:24 24:9,	,
328: 329: 340: 344:	12, 3; 3, 33 3, 34 20, 3	28:21 1:20, 1:10,	,
376: 381: goal [goals	11, 3 15, 3 1] - 23 [1] - 3	76:18 82:6 37:20 350:16	, S
Goerg golf [1 golly good] - 36 [1] - 1	6:5 29:19	20

SERVICE (
276:21
Google [3] - 121:5,
267:25, 268:4
Gosh [1] - 129:19 gosh [3] - 29:8,
129:23, 371:4
governance [1] -
202:16
Government [2] - 181:4, 372:3
government [6] -
61:13, 180:24,
181:1, 181:3, 181:7, 229:11
Governor [5] - 30:2,
82:5, 271:16,
282:22, 374:21
governor [4] - 19:12, 28:19, 29:7, 30:20
grab [3] - 188:20,
283:12, 371:12
grade [2] - 135:10 graduate [1] - 226:3
Grafton [1] - 267:12
grain [1] - 254:9
grand [1] - 207:19
Grand [5] - 242:19, 244:7, 244:22,
249:15, 257:5
grandchildren [2] -
13:25, 90:18 granddaughter [1] -
90:20
grandfather [4] -
157:4, 157:16,
260:4, 260:5 grandfathered [1] -
282:18
grandkids [1] - 34:16
grandkids' [1] - 15:24 grandparents [1] -
302:15
grant [4] - 21:6, 76:16,
124:4, 354:9 granted [3] - 22:2,
52:4, 278:20
Grantee [1] - 335:9
granting [2] - 6:3,
240:8 grantor [1] - 335:5
grass [1] - 309:25
grateful [2] - 353:12,
354:15
gratitude [1] - 351:16 gravity [8] - 347:6,
347:15, 349:2,
349:4, 349:13,
349:14, 359:10, 360:3
gray [1] - 42:9
great [12] - 55:12,
55:16, 68:20, 122:6,

	a
C(OMMISSIC
	260:4, 343:13, 347:3, 348:25, 371:6, 376:14 greater [2] - 191:9,
	256:9 greatest [2] - 169:12, 169:13
	green [4] - 27:21, 65:21, 125:20, 125:22 Green [2] - 16:17,
,	364:21 green-lighting [1] -
	27:21 grew [2] - 135:2, 216:19
	grid [3] - 281:3, 313:18, 369:6 grief [1] - 310:18
	grinding [1] - 267:16 grocery [1] - 122:13 gross [1] - 290:7
	Groton [2] - 260:24, 369:17 ground [23] - 54:3,
	57:20, 57:23, 62:17 117:2, 119:19, 119:20, 122:1,
	122:8, 184:14, 212:2, 215:18, 216:10, 217:17,
	218:17, 274:18, 299:3, 318:14, 331:5, 371:22,
	373:11, 380:6 grounded [1] - 223:15 grounds [1] - 84:14
	Group [1] - 77:1 group [8] - 17:4,
ļ	153:25, 245:23, 247:8, 247:13, 270:12, 270:18,
i ,	304:9 group's [1] - 283:4 groups [2] - 225:2
	Grove [6] - 133:20, 133:23, 147:17, 147:20, 148:1, 301:
	grow [10] - 141:16, 141:17, 141:18, 199:22, 200:14,
	230:10, 249:17, 265:15, 275:14, 350:22
	grower [2] - 222:15, 229:23 Growers [7] - 222:19,
	222:20, 226:11, 226:19, 229:23, 233:1, 267:1
	growers [5] - 222:24,

223:8, 223:9,

223:12, 231:9

```
Growers' [2] - 228:7,
 228:12
growing [6] - 158:15,
 167:21, 226:24,
 235:14, 273:2,
 350:18
grown [4] - 230:4,
 242:24, 246:11,
 275:15
growth [4] - 60:15,
 235:21, 237:11,
 238:24
guarantee [2] -
217:10, 371:9
guaranteed [2] -
 261:11, 351:19
Guard [1] - 265:9
guess [64] - 37:3,
 44:4, 44:16, 45:2,
 45:8, 45:12, 48:11,
 71:18, 75:8, 77:8,
 80:22, 84:10, 86:2,
 86:19, 88:6, 88:25,
 92:3, 92:10, 94:4,
 95:19, 97:22,
 119:16, 120:12,
 130:22, 134:17,
 138:19, 148:14,
 190:20, 193:22,
 203:4, 212:5, 216:7,
 227:22, 229:9,
 229:10, 229:22,
 230:7, 231:5,
 231:10, 231:11,
 232:7, 238:1, 243:7,
 243:16, 246:19,
 294:22, 296:20,
 300:19, 300:20,
 315:2, 321:10,
 322:17, 324:3,
 330:5, 334:9,
 334:25, 353:6,
 357:11, 370:4,
 375:8, 378:21,
 378:22, 379:9,
 380:13
guessing [7] - 67:10,
 70:14, 219:5,
 296:19, 301:20,
 347:12, 363:25
guidance [1] - 120:1
gun [1] - 276:12
guy [3] - 65:1, 139:23,
 145:10
guys [11] - 68:1,
 121:13, 144:16,
 145:8, 222:13,
 291:23, 329:21,
 330:7, 378:6, 381:5,
 381:23
guys' [1] - 296:10
Gwinner [1] - 327:14
```

204:7, 225:23,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Н			
H-A-G-E-R-T [1] -			
248:18 H-A-Y-E-N [1] - 305:22 HAGERT [26] - 248:17, 248:21, 248:24, 249:3, 249:5, 249:7, 250:17, 252:9, 252:13, 252:24, 253:8, 253:16, 253:19, 253:23, 254:1, 254:4, 254:18, 255:1, 255:7, 255:13, 255:20, 256:7,			
256:13, 257:4, 257:10, 257:21			
Hagert [5] - 248:18, 248:19, 249:11, 257:1, 257:13			
half [40] - 12:23, 19:3, 26:25, 41:10, 56:9, 59:14, 66:13, 67:1, 70:14, 73:10, 106:25, 122:5, 125:5, 158:10, 173:22, 180:2, 190:6, 193:20, 193:23, 194:8, 216:9, 265:12, 267:16,			
279:9, 290:21, 290:24, 314:19, 315:16, 344:4, 344:13, 344:17, 347:12, 353:23,			
364:7, 365:1, 374:14 half-a-mile [1] - 158:5			
half-mile [2] - 158:10, 216:9			
hallway [1] - 158:18 hammer [1] - 88:3 hand [3] - 83:23, 181:23, 190:2 hand-earned [1] -			
181:23 handcuffed [1] -			
104:19 handed [2] - 318:23, 319:7			
handful [1] - 60:24 handing [1] - 133:11 handling [1] - 131:9 hands [3] - 214:5,			
257:25, 258:5 handshake [1] - 135:2 hang [5] - 244:8,			
306:21, 308:14, 381:13			

hangs [1] - 24:12

Hankinson [2] -

260:13, 369:18 happy [8] - 34:24, 36:16, 39:17, 46:21, 49:23, 52:21, 356:16, 382:18 harassed [2] - 302:8, 302:9 harassment [1] -365:11 hard [9] - 9:11, 37:4, 71:19, 151:9, 151:10, 199:5, 358:5, 366:12, 380:4 hardly [1] - 99:13 hardtop [1] - 158:12 hardware [1] - 122:13 harm [4] - 18:11, 145:6, 145:15, 145:16 harm's [1] - 13:9 Harold [1] - 267:12 Harry [1] - 4:11 harvest [2] - 264:1, 374:16 harvesting [1] - 264:4 Haugen [25] - 9:15, 42:21, 52:15, 67:23, 100:22, 126:17, 152:13, 171:20, 194:13, 209:8, 213:21, 221:9, 233:12, 243:20, 248:9, 257:15, 277:2, 286:22, 293:18, 304:3, 317:9, 339:12, 361:1, 377:9, 382:19 HAUGEN [94] - 9:16, 42:22, 43:1, 43:5, 43:9, 43:12, 43:18, 43:23, 44:4, 44:8, 44:11, 44:16, 45:4, 45:11, 45:15, 45:23, 52:16, 67:24, 68:4, 68:9, 68:12, 68:17, 68:19, 68:24, 69:3, 100:23, 101:2, 101:5, 101:9, 101:22, 102:3, 102:8, 102:11, 102:15, 102:20, 103:1, 126:17, 126:20, 126:23, 127:1, 127:5, 127:8, 128:7, 152:14, 171:21, 172:3, 172:7, 172:11, 172:13, 172:16, 172:18, 194:14, 194:20, 194:23, 304:22, 304:25, 209:9, 213:22, 305:3, 305:5, 221:10, 233:13, 317:10, 331:12,

243:21, 248:10,

257:16, 277:3, 277:6, 277:10, 286:23, 293:19, 304:4, 304:19, 304:22, 304:25, 305:3, 305:5, 317:10, 331:12, 331:17, 339:13, 361:2, 361:8, 361:22, 361:24, 362:3, 362:7, 377:10, 377:14, 377:18, 377:22, 378:2, 378:5, 378:16, 378:24, 379:3, 379:7, 379:10, 382:20 Haugen-Hoffart [25] -9:15, 42:21, 52:15, 67:23, 100:22, 126:17, 152:13, 171:20, 194:13, 209:8, 213:21, 221:9, 233:12, 243:20, 248:9, 257:15, 277:2, 286:22, 293:18, 304:3, 317:9, 339:12, 361:1, 377:9, 382:19 **HAUGEN-HOFFART** [94] - 9:16, 42:22, 43:1, 43:5, 43:9, 43:12, 43:18, 43:23, 44:4, 44:8, 44:11, 44:16, 45:4, 45:11, 45:15, 45:23, 52:16, 67:24, 68:4, 68:9, 68:12, 68:17, 68:19, 68:24, 69:3, 100:23, 101:2, 101:5, 101:9, 101:22, 102:3, 102:8, 102:11, 102:15, 102:20, 103:1, 126:17, 126:20, 126:23, 127:1, 127:5, 127:8, 128:7, 152:14, 171:21, 172:3, 172:7, 172:11, 172:13, 172:16, 172:18, 194:14, 194:20, 194:23, 209:9, 213:22, 221:10, 233:13, 243:21, 248:10, 257:16, 277:3, 277:6. 277:10. 286:23, 293:19, 304:4, 304:19,

331:17, 339:13, 361:2, 361:8, 361:22, 361:24, 362:3, 362:7, 377:10, 377:14, 377:18, 377:22, 378:2, 378:5, 378:16, 378:24, 379:3, 379:7, 379:10, 382:20 haul [4] - 264:1, 264:5, 272:17, 272:18 hauled [1] - 309:24 hauling [3] - 242:12, 272:20, 272:24 hauls [4] - 241:19, 242:10, 242:11, 263:24 Hayen [3] - 305:18, 305:22, 316:3 HAYEN [5] - 305:22, 305:25, 306:3, 306:7, 306:10 hazard [2] - 60:10, 367:5 hazardous [15] - 12:9, 26:25, 27:22, 29:14, 58:5, 61:4, 89:11, 95:18, 117:21, 162:24, 166:11, 183:3, 190:7, 236:19. 240:25 hazards [1] - 27:19 hazmat [2] - 156:24, 157:1 head [4] - 253:8, 253:9, 253:10, 304:11 headache [1] - 13:19 headaches [1] -175:19 headed [3] - 54:11, 189:19, 224:6 header [2] - 348:4, 348:25 heading [1] - 190:2 heads [5] - 77:19, 190:9, 202:18, 203:6 heads-up [1] - 77:19 Health [1] - 176:19 health [2] - 176:20, 181.21 healthcare [2] - 13:11, 368.8 healthy [1] - 222:25 hear [14] - 8:24, 44:20, 105:24, 113:8, 153:15, 206:17, 209:25, 210:25, 241:19, 322:3, 353:10, 373:16, 382.25

heard [42] - 26:19,

29:7, 75:3, 78:14, 80:12, 80:19, 80:21, 88:11, 90:14, 109:9, 112:6, 112:8, 117:15, 117:17, 123:23, 137:13, 141:11, 156:14, 156:22, 160:13, 160:21, 161:18, 161:19, 174:3, 187:19, 195:22, 210:24, 211:15, 212:1, 267:3, 290:9, 295:6, 311:12, 328:24, 338:22, 344:22, 364:11, 365:16, 365:20, 371:19, 380:10, 380:17 Hearing [1] - 6:8 hearing [48] - 4:5, 4:6, 4:8, 4:9, 4:10, 4:19, 4:22, 4:23, 5:7, 5:15, 5:17, 6:5, 6:9, 7:5, 9:13, 9:18, 10:2, 10:4, 23:21, 64:9, 75:24, 100:25, 101:6, 101:7, 114:11, 148:4, 153:14, 154:1, 154:10, 174:3, 179:21, 182:18, 187:4, 188:2, 194:17, 252:14, 270:13, 278:18, 278:24, 291:11, 309:8, 328:3, 348:11, 348:16, 364:17, 368:5, 369:13, 369:14 hearings [17] - 7:3, 9:4, 47:7, 62:18, 63:23, 101:18, 102:1, 114:23, 182:19, 184:24, 185:12, 208:9, 285:21, 318:13, 328:3, 367:2, 382:21 hearsay [2] - 28:2, 203:2 heart [1] - 145:22 heartland [1] - 371:15 heat [1] - 238:13 heaters [1] - 281:11 Heaven [1] - 293:12 heaves [2] - 312:13, 316:20 heavily [1] - 156:4 heavily-posted [1] -156:4 heavy [7] - 108:19, 142:7, 179:3, 199:7, 199:8, 245:1

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Heidepriem [9] -365:12, 365:14, 365:18, 365:21, 365:24, 366:2, 375:6, 375:10, 377:16 heirs [3] - 157:9, 159:20, 169:12 held [4] - 4:10, 278:18, 320:11, 344:7 hello [1] - 277:3 Helms [3] - 253:1, 253:7, 253:8 help [28] - 13:5, 40:1, 44:22, 47:17, 54:5, 54:17, 54:18, 55:20, 55:22, 73:5, 78:23, 95:13, 125:13, 137:12. 164:8. 179:18, 183:18, 188:24, 200:14, 207:1, 208:14, 218:23, 303:19, 304:12, 322:19, 327:1, 332:5, 356:4 helped [1] - 22:15 helpful [3] - 153:24, 205:4, 258:10 helping [1] - 370:23 helps [7] - 5:10, 37:13, 126:6, 202:25, 203:1, 223:11, 251:5 heros [1] - 368:13 hi [3] - 132:24, 277:5, 286:23 hiding [2] - 279:2, 312:17 high [11] - 163:15, 186:19, 238:9, 269:18, 336:17, 348:2, 348:5, 350:15, 359:19, 365:7, 368:24 high-end [1] - 350:15 high-intensity [1] -269:18 high-level [1] - 186:19 high-pressure [3] -348:5, 365:7, 368:24 high-pressurized [1] -163:15 higher [5] - 78:19, 180:12, 180:19, 230:17, 281:8 highest [1] - 238:13 highlight [1] - 224:11 highlighter [1] -332:17 highly [1] - 367:23 Highway [6] - 140:19, 140:20, 178:24, 179:7, 193:22, 199:16

hill [1] - 216:21 Hills [1] - 275:3 hills [4] - 275:6, 310:19, 311:6, 314:4 himself [1] - 348:24 hire [1] - 77:22 hired [11] - 42:24. 69:14, 85:14, 105:1, 105:17, 270:14, 366:6, 367:4, 377:17, 377:20, 381:5 hires [1] - 245:25 historic [1] - 375:22 historical [1] - 121:5 history [5] - 16:6, 61:11, 260:6, 290:1, hit [6] - 143:21, 176:2, 261:13, 263:1, 266:4, 269:21 hits [1] - 67:16 hitting [2] - 265:13, 271:11 Hoffart [25] - 9:15, 42:21, 52:15, 67:23, 100:22, 126:17, 152:13, 171:20, 194:13, 209:8, 213:21, 221:9, 233:12, 243:20, 248:9, 257:15, 277:2, 286:22, 293:18, 304:3, 317:9, 339:12, 361:1, 377:9, 382:19 HOFFART [94] - 9:16, 42:22, 43:1, 43:5, 43:9, 43:12, 43:18, 43:23, 44:4, 44:8, 44:11, 44:16, 45:4, 45:11, 45:15, 45:23, 52:16, 67:24, 68:4, 68:9, 68:12, 68:17, 68:19, 68:24, 69:3, 100:23, 101:2, 101:5, 101:9, 101:22, 102:3, 102:8, 102:11, 102:15, 102:20, 103:1, 126:17, 126:20, 126:23, 127:1, 127:5, 127:8, 128:7, 152:14, 171:21, 172:3, 172:7, 172:11, 172:13, 172:16, 172:18, 194:14, 194:20, 194:23, 209:9, 213:22, 221:10, 233:13, 243:21, 248:10, 257:16, 277:3,

277:6, 277:10, 286:23, 293:19, 304:4, 304:19, 304:22, 304:25, 305:3, 305:5, 317:10, 331:12, 331:17, 339:13, 361:2, 361:8, 361:22, 361:24, 362:3, 362:7, 377:10, 377:14, 377:18, 377:22, 378:2, 378:5, 378:16, 378:24, 379:3, 379:7, 379:10, 382:20 hold [8] - 14:8, 55:11, 60:3, 105:2, 159:13, 159:14, 182:12, 346:20 holding [3] - 182:18, 182:19, 382:20 holds [4] - 15:7, 121:21, 229:10, 319:22 hole [5] - 327:6, 327:20, 336:21, 336:23, 338:7 holes [4] - 321:10, 321:13, 337:6, 339:9 home [27] - 12:24, 14:16, 15:4, 26:8, 26:9, 26:16, 26:17, 26:21, 27:1, 136:2, 136:10, 138:11, 156:1, 157:11, 163:14, 171:13, 175:21, 179:13, 184:8, 190:24, 218:7, 297:12, 297:20, 299:10, 312:21, 313:3, 381:9 homelands [3] -210:19. 210:20 homeowner [1] -381:2 homes [5] - 179:4, 179:6, 281:12, 379:20, 379:22 homestead [1] - 215:8 homesteaded [1] -260:5 honest [2] - 199:2, 289:20 honestly [3] - 57:12, 87:17, 337:18 honor [1] - 210:14 Honor [107] - 7:16, 8:6, 8:13, 9:23, 10:12, 10:18, 10:21, 11:20, 30:5, 33:13,

52:14, 52:19, 52:24, 53:19, 62:11, 62:20, 63:6, 63:14, 64:17, 69:7, 69:24, 71:13, 72:19, 82:25, 83:11, 84:19, 91:25, 92:13, 92:18, 93:11, 95:24, 103:5, 105:6, 107:10, 109:19, 109:21, 109:23, 114:21, 115:12, 123:11, 124:18, 131:2, 131:4, 138:1, 147:10, 149:13, 150:7, 152:7, 161:2, 182:24, 184:4, 186:16, 189:10, 190:11, 191:10, 202:6, 206:11, 217:3, 236:1, 250:14, 254:10, 258:4, 283:17, 284:14, 291:10, 292:3, 297:5, 305:17, 306:19, 308:12, 315:25, 318:16, 319:6, 319:11, 321:20, 322:8, 322:24, 323:22, 324:9, 325:6, 326:14, 328:12, 331:20, 340:3, 340:12, 341:10, 341:12, 344:20, 345:3, 348:6, 354:20, 362:15, 362:19, 376:3, 376:11, 376:14, 376:18, 381:15, 382:6, 382:8, 382:11 honored [1] - 211:19 hook [4] - 41:14, 66:23, 241:1, 256:16 hooked [2] - 240:18, 255:11 hooking [1] - 67:13 hooks [2] - 67:13, 67:19 hope [14] - 10:2, 17:21, 29:21, 208:18, 212:5, 212:20, 238:25, 277:19, 282:17, 318:15, 319:16, 333:4, 361:25, 374:17 Hope [1] - 4:2 hoped [3] - 45:1, 45:8, 45:9 hopeful [1] - 100:7

hopefully [5] - 68:5,

105:23, 238:23,

239:10, 373:17 hoping [3] - 45:2, 80:18, 293:8 Horace [2] - 158:15, 168:5 horizon [1] - 252:23 horrendous [1] -330:22 horrible [1] - 212:3 Horses [1] - 215:25 horses [5] - 157:7, 216:1, 216:2, 216:10, 218:18 hospital [4] - 111:10, 143:2, 175:24, 175:25 hospitals [1] - 281:13 hot [1] - 368:15 hour [2] - 106:25, 156:13 hours [5] - 15:3, 25:25, 175:17, 264:3, 331:10 hours' [1] - 344:25 House [3] - 249:12, 251:9, 251:11 house [19] - 59:15, 70:19, 118:8, 174:1, 177:17, 178:3, 180:2, 180:5, 184:22, 191:4. 193:22, 217:13, 218:2, 297:13, 297:14, 312:10, 316:17, 368:2 housekeeping [1] -91.5 houses [2] - 177:18 huge [5] - 60:13, 80:3, 351:15, 369:2, 369:4 human [4] - 6:22, 182:5, 348:3, 360:8 humanly [1] - 104:3 humbly [1] - 354:10 hundred [17] - 12:22, 58:4, 67:12, 91:25, 121:9, 121:25, 157:3, 157:21, 218:19, 263:6, 275:24, 290:13, 293:8, 298:20, 314:14, 355:12, 367:19 hundreds [11] - 15:3, 15:22, 96:4, 96:11, 160:14, 218:11, 218:13, 280:17, 295:14, 295:15 hung [1] - 267:14 hunt [2] - 276:11, 276:13

hunting [1] - 276:10

hurdle [2] - 20:12,

34:4, 35:4, 46:2,

49:3, 51:8, 52:10,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

20:13 129:1, 235:20, 15:20, 94:9, 298:12 191:20, 202:8, 321:22, 343:3, 202:10. 259:7. hurdles [3] - 20:12, inconvenient [1] - 9:2 31:13. 52:4 347:23, 351:10, 262:17, 263:20, incorrect [2] - 76:13, 278:25, 279:3, hurts [1] - 268:18 372:20 77:6 impaired [1] - 13:17 282:20, 312:7, husband [12] - 13:23, increase [4] - 60:2, 21:25, 23:4, 26:9, imperative [1] - 227:8 60:4, 282:11, 298:12 313:24, 331:7, 336:12, 359:16, 34:10, 36:2, 41:13, implant [1] - 369:12 increased [2] - 13:16, 366:18, 380:17, 108:11, 110:9, importance [1] -282:13 382:22, 382:24 112:13, 113:25, 351:10 increases [2] - 227:17, informative [1] -118:19 important [22] - 8:15, 254:19 382:21 husband's [1] - 23:5 8:24, 57:7, 114:3, increasingly [1] informed [4] - 13:15, hydrogen [1] - 176:22 160.11 183.16 226:23 197:2, 197:16, incurred [2] - 59:19, 34:19, 320:11, 329:18 198:11, 199:13, 349:7 infrastructure [11] -234:22, 243:5, indemnification [1] -25:19, 27:6, 27:22, 261:4, 261:17, 329:8 i.e [1] - 119:25 29:15. 61:20. 269:3, 311:11, indemnity [2] - 63:9, idea [13] - 31:13, 69:2, 227:11, 236:15, 323:16. 331:6. 352:20 87:9, 107:6, 119:13, 236:16, 245:4, 350:15, 366:21, indicated [3] - 325:17, 123:24, 127:7, 367:25 251:13, 251:16 340.6 366.16 176:3, 177:21, inhabited [4] - 110:16, importantly [2] indicates [1] - 332:2 178:10, 198:21, 110:25, 124:15, 197:9, 280:6 indirectly [1] - 254:1 203:11. 374:1 importing [1] - 225:21 280:15 indiscernible [7] ideally [1] - 179:13 impossible [2] - 21:5, inheritance [2] -133:25, 140:6, ideas [1] - 347:24 169:12, 169:13 52:2 144:2, 260:19, identify [6] - 79:7, inherited [2] - 135:11, impressed [2] -270:25, 294:2, 102:5, 124:25, 196:18, 383:5 135:22 365:25 125:12, 147:14, initial [5] - 43:14, **impressive** [1] - 337:3 indiscernible) [1] -153:25 160:2, 195:22, imprisonment [2] -144:11 identifying [1] - 23:12 199:1, 365:1 11:10, 132:10 individual [1] - 65:9 IDLH [5] - 176:20, improper [2] - 115:21, initiate [1] - 77:23 individually [1] -176:23, 176:24, initiated [4] - 15:25, 191:17 94:22 176:25, 177:2 74:12. 79:10. 181:18 improved [2] - 157:3, individuals [6] -IDLHs [1] - 177:3 injected [3] - 174:23, 267:19 19:19, 23:12, 114:9, ignorance [1] - 356:3 177:12, 181:6 improvement [1] -116:5, 164:4, 214:6 ignored [1] - 80:20 injecting [1] - 331:4 335:6 industrial [1] - 223:19 illegal [2] - 42:2, 47:5 injection [1] - 174:21 improving [2] industries [2] -Illinois [3] - 178:6, injustice [1] - 225:23 175:25, 237:12 234:23, 250:11 184:2, 331:4 inartfully [1] - 85:19 innate [2] - 145:15 industry [7] - 223:9, illustration [1] inaudible [1] - 376:8 innocent [2] - 181:14, 233:3, 234:24, 189:16 incentivized [1] -239:4, 250:4, 251:7, 182:8 image [1] - 126:7 input [3] - 180:20, 200:11 263:11 imagine [3] - 14:10, 213:23, 353:7 incentivizes [1] infancy [1] - 197:6 180:6, 374:13 inputs [1] - 186:3 237:11 inferior [1] - 289:10 imagining [1] - 275:15 insert [1] - 250:13 inch [2] - 121:25, infiltration [1] immediate [1] -375:5 inside [2] - 125:19, 336:16 279:13 inches [2] - 190:24, infinite [2] - 113:5, 200:12 immediately [3] -257:8 insinuation [1] -229:14 43:17, 176:19, incident [1] - 114:8 367:24 Inflation [1] - 364:22 366:10 incidental [1] - 280:23 install [1] - 345:17 inflation [3] - 230:15, impact [13] - 6:22, include [3] - 6:11, 230:16, 230:18 installation [2] -61:9, 179:12, 112:1, 345:18 58:13, 149:3 influenced [2] - 17:4, 199:18, 225:10, installed [3] - 168:10, included [5] - 77:7, 17:12 231:18, 235:21, 352:9. 355:17 80:13, 176:21, info [1] - 201:3 251:6, 255:24, 215:11, 364:22 instance [1] - 190:6 information [37] - 7:7, 280:1, 310:17, including [7] - 7:3, instead [5] - 133:10, 26:24, 27:7, 34:22, 349:15 211:21, 274:19, 13:24, 17:13, 83:2, 38:19, 44:3, 81:23, impacted [6] - 12:19, 90:3, 123:1, 227:11 290:24, 315:1 105:7. 105:10. 43:25, 54:23, inclusion [1] - 323:23 121:24, 129:14, Institute [1] - 176:18 153:15, 158:22,

insurance [15] - 59:18, 59:21, 63:3, 63:4, 63:9, 63:12, 63:19, 329:6, 338:19, 338:21, 338:23, 338:25, 339:4, 339:6 integrity [3] - 6:23, 16:5, 16:9 intelligence [1] -184:9 intelligent [2] - 26:21, 27:1 intelligently [1] - 27:6 intend [1] - 322:4 intending [1] - 246:8 intensely [1] - 361:17 intensity [10] - 140:14, 180:8, 224:16, 227:1, 227:8, 228:14, 228:18, 269:18, 347:17, 349:16 intensive [2] - 238:2, 276:14 intent [4] - 10:5, 318:15, 324:6, 361:25 intention [3] - 100:13, 216:2 interaction [2] -198:16, 357:14 interest [14] - 14:25, 16:24, 17:4, 17:20, 39:10, 103:14, 167:7, 185:19, 221:5, 290:4, 333:1, 343:10, 354:5, 380:7 interested [7] - 9:18, 23:22, 43:13, 47:23, 75:22, 295:12, 295:23 interesting [1] -136:21 interestingly [2] -207:21, 208:2 interests [3] - 15:7, 222:22, 282:8 interfere [3] - 335:7, 335:14, 345:25 interfered [1] - 335:10 Interim [1] - 251:10 International [1] -244:23 international [2] -249:22 internationally [1] -263:7 interpose [1] - 224:4 interrogatory [1] -79:6 interrupt [1] - 66:25

interruptions [1] -

4:17

154:4, 163:11,

163:20, 177:12,

183:17, 185:17,

185:25, 186:8,

instruct [2] - 28:10,

instructions [2] -

153:13, 365:14

30:15

inclusive [1] - 197:11

income [3] - 94:23,

140:24, 289:12

inconvenience [3] -

impacts [11] - 18:8,

31:15, 34:21, 81:8,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

intersection [2] -105:23, 348:21 intersects [1] - 321:4 intervened [1] -246:17 intervening [3] - 8:7, 365:22, 377:20 intervenor [1] - 21:21 intervenors [2] -73:13, 258:13 intervenors' [1] - 10:5 intervention [1] -112.14 interviewed [1] -160:10 intimately [1] - 371:25 intricate [1] - 168:8 intriguing [1] - 282:22 introduced [2] -201:19, 241:1 introducing [1] -79:14 intrusive [1] - 15:17 invade [1] - 105:4 invalidated [1] -289:17 invest [1] - 198:3 invested [4] - 15:3, 146:4, 157:20, 343:12 investigate [2] -148:23, 148:24 investigation [2] -32:19, 192:10 investment [11] - 58:7, 157:20, 157:22, 157:23, 158:2, 198:5, 198:8, 199:25, 238:18, 352:11, 354:7 investors [7] - 16:21, 148:25, 156:22, 157:18, 159:11, 181:22, 371:12 invited [2] - 42:11, 42:18 involved [6] - 186:1, 231:4, 361:17, 364:4. 365:25. 371:25 involvement [3] -39:6, 231:11, 282:22 involving [1] - 149:10 lowa [7] - 114:10,

114:23, 142:1,

178:7, 188:11,

190:6, 240:17

irrevocable [6] -

136:18, 136:20,

136:22, 137:24,

137:25, 150:13

issue [29] - 63:8,

IRA [1] - 235:4

63:16, 101:11, 104:9, 104:13, 111:9, 111:24, 123:15, 223:3, 223:5, 250:3, 266:7, 275:23, 281:3, 292:22, 302:16, 302:17, 321:24, 322:22, 323:1, 323:7, 323:10, 325:10, 326:2, 326:4, 345:10, 351:5, 358:23, 366:19 issued [3] - 4:8, 5:24, 6:2 issues [27] - 6:8, 7:9, 7:10, 29:1, 33:7, 34:20, 76:3, 97:20, 101:3, 108:22, 111:11, 112:2, 114:8, 141:21, 197:21, 197:22, 222:24, 232:5, 288:8, 291:2, 319:17, 322:2, 323:5, 324:24, 329:8, 345:1, 350:12 item [4] - 198:3,

J

Jackson [1] - 310:20

198:11, 198:13,

items [1] - 196:13

31:14, 205:24,

itself [4] - 17:17,

199:21

334:21

JAMES [5] - 363:1, 363:4, 363:7, 363:11, 363:18 James [8] - 161:3, 161:6, 165:23, 362:22, 363:1, 374:22, 376:15, 379:11 January [2] - 99:12, 177:23 JARED [26] - 248:17, 248:21, 248:24, 249:3, 249:5, 249:7, 250:17, 252:9, 252:13, 252:24, 253:8, 253:16, 253:19, 253:23, 254:1, 254:4, 254:18, 255:1, 255:7, 255:13, 255:20, 256:7. 256:13, 257:4, 257:10, 257:21

Jared [2] - 248:17, 249:11 Jeanne [3] - 107:11, 107:15. 108:10 **JEANNE** [5] - 107:15, 107:19, 107:22, 108:1, 108:4 Jeff [1] - 148:20 jeopardized [1] - 15:6 jet [1] - 269:18 Jimmy [1] - 265:10 job [16] - 45:13, 65:22, 121:13, 121:14, 129:8, 129:12, 135:2, 211:6, 229:22, 229:24, 330:3, 330:7, 339:19, 372:2, 381:1, 381:6 jobs [4] - 13:7, 225:12, 225:13, 246:23 jogged [1] - 320:8 Johnson [2] - 75:18, 75:21 join [3] - 32:12, 148:19, 325:9 joined [1] - 262:22 joining [1] - 224:1 joint [1] - 227:7 Jon [1] - 179:23 Jorde [69] - 8:4, 8:6, 10:19, 10:23, 11:19, 12:16, 35:10, 35:13, 42:1, 42:24, 44:18, 46:23, 49:12, 53:18, 62:12, 65:7, 65:11, 69:8, 72:18, 77:1, 80:7. 83:1. 83:14. 84:3, 84:21, 93:12, 102:17, 103:8, 107:8, 108:3, 128:15, 161:6, 162:20, 182:25, 185:3, 187:2, 191:19, 201:9, 212:25, 217:4, 228:2, 236:2, 245:21, 252:5, 254:11, 262:10, 262:21, 263:23, 264:14, 264:19, 265:7, 266:3, 266:16, 270:4,

12:5, 24:25, 25:8, 25:9, 27:24, 28:13, 30:1, 30:19, 32:8, 32:16, 32:17, 33:11, 33:20, 33:21, 34:2, 34:9, 46:24, 47:2, 49:6, 49:7, 49:16, 49:17, 49:23, 49:25, 51:6, 51:14, 52:7, 52:24, 53:19, 53:24, 62:19, 63:3, 63:14, 64:2, 64:10, 64:11, 69:9, 69:11, 71:13, 71:18, 71:21, 71:25, 72:19, 72:24, 82:22, 83:21, 84:6, 84:23, 85:8, 85:10, 91:21, 92:3, 92:18, 92:19, 93:18, 93:19, 103:9, 103:11, 105:2, 105:8, 106:17, 107:10, 108:8, 109:16, 109:23, 110:4, 110:6, 114:19, 115:8, 116:1, 116:2, 128:16, 128:18, 131:8, 147:6, 147:18, 147:21, 162:22, 163:2, 163:5, 163:11, 163:19, 163:23, 164:7, 164:14, 165:5, 165:10, 165:15, 165:18, 165:22, 166:3, 166:10, 166:20, 183:1, 183:6, 183:9, 183:15, 183:25, 184:7, 184:17, 184:23, 185:6, 185:10, 185:18, 185:24, 186:9, 186:12, 186:23, 187:9, 187:18, 187:23, 188:5, 188:9, 188:18, 189:8, 189:14, 189:23, 190:5, 190:16, 190:21, 191:2, 191:7, 191:11, 191:15, 191:17, 191:22, 192:1, 192:4, 200:1, 201:10, 201:19, 201:25, 202:4, 203:1, 203:9, 203:16, 203:19, 203:25, 204:5, 204:11, 204:17, 204:21, 205:1, 205:4, 205:7,

205:19, 206:4,

206:9, 206:14, 206:16, 206:22, 207:1, 207:6, 207:12, 207:16, 207:18, 207:23, 208:1, 208:3, 208:15, 208:21, 213:1, 217:5, 217:9, 217:20, 218:6, 218:10, 218:12, 218:15, 218:21, 219:7, 219:13, 224:4, 228:3, 228:10, 228:17, 229:1, 229:5, 229:13, 229:17, 230:2, 230:8, 230:15, 230:21, 231:1, 231:3, 231:7, 231:12, 235:6, 236:3, 236:9, 236:13, 236:18, 236:21, 237:22, 237:25, 238:4, 238:19, 239:2, 239:6, 239:12, 239:19, 239:24, 240:5, 240:12, 240:19, 240:24, 241:4, 241:8, 245:22, 246:2, 246:5, 246:13, 246:16, 246:22, 247:1, 247:6, 247:10, 247:17, 247:20, 247:25, 250:13, 252:6, 252:10, 252:20, 253:6, 253:12, 253:17, 253:20, 253:24, 254:3, 254:5, 254:14, 254:24, 255:3, 255:8, 255:15, 255:25, 256:8, 256:19, 258:4, 258:16, 258:18, 259:4, 262:11, 262:15, 263:19, 264:7. 264:11. 264:17, 265:5, 265:25, 266:12, 266:24, 267:3, 268:21, 268:24, 270:1, 270:6, 270:9, 271:15, 272:6, 273:1, 273:15, 274:5, 284:10, 284:25, 285:5, 285:13, 285:15, 285:20, 292:5, 297:7, 297:10,

297:16, 297:19,

272:11, 273:18,

284:9, 285:17,

292:4, 297:6,

305:16, 306:9,

318:11, 339:24,

341:5, 363:12,

365:23, 377:20,

JORDE [345] - 8:5,

10:20, 10:24, 11:20,

382:7

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

26:14, 33:3, 39:19,

40:10, 41:14, 42:1,

297:22, 298:2, 298.5 298.11 298:17, 298:23, 299:1, 299:6, 299:9, 299:13, 299:22, 300:5, 300:11, 300:14, 300:17, 300:20, 305:17, 306:14, 306:22, 307:1, 307:4, 307:8, 308:10, 308:15, 308:19, 317:16, 317:19, 318:15, 318:22, 319:6, 319:15, 322:10, 322:11, 323:3, 323:11, 324:3, 324:6, 324:18, 325:4, 325:24, 326:5, 326:6, 326:21, 326:24, 327:7, 327:21, 328:4, 328:15, 328:17, 328:19, 329:4, 330:3, 339:25, 341:6, 362:19, 362:22, 363:16, 363:22, 375:21, 376:3, 376:14, 379:17, 379:19, 381:23, 382.8 Jorde's [6] - 49:4, 112:4, 115:18, 124:1, 191:12, 202:6 JOSH [37] - 173:2, 173:6, 173:9, 173:13, 173:15, 173:17, 183:5, 183:8, 183:14, 183:20, 184:21, 185:22, 186:7, 186:11, 187:22, 188:15, 189:6, 189:21, 190:4, 190:10, 190:19, 191:1, 191:5, 192:12, 192:15, 192:17, 192:20, 192:24, 193:1, 193:5, 193:10, 193:21, 194:1, 194:5, 194:10, 194:18, 194:22 Josh [3] - 173:2, 173:18, 194:15 journal [1] - 365:4 Judge [4] - 195:23, 207:21, 208:6, 209:15 judge [8] - 4:3, 22:2. 96:22, 145:13, 148:3, 149:8,

163:17, 202:15 judgment [1] - 50:25 Julie [3] - 77:14, 78:10. 105:22 July [4] - 76:8, 293:6, 310:9 jump [2] - 20:12, 52:3 junction [1] - 178:24 June [4] - 160:9, 165:13, 165:14, 366:3 jurisdiction [10] -7:10, 7:11, 18:6, 38:2, 38:5, 51:16, 51:23, 186:19, 205:22, 284:22 jury [4] - 288:14, 288:16, 288:18, 289:1 justice [1] - 212:16 justifiable [1] - 240:25 justify [1] - 242:12 Justin [1] - 161:12

Κ

K-O-E-N-I-G [1] -287:17 K-U-Z-E-L [1] - 294:9 Kansas [1] - 178:7 Karla [4] - 10:25, 11:4, 12:7, 44:5 KARLA [5] - 11:4, 11:5, 11:13, 11:17, 12.1 keep [22] - 5:6, 99:1. 135:4, 135:18, 143:15, 157:22, 185:16, 193:17, 198:1, 223:11, 234:17, 237:12, 253:3, 266:13, 268:14, 274:1, 314:25, 367:22, 372:6, 374:12, 374:13 keeping [1] - 265:9 keeps [3] - 223:12, 336:21, 364:21 kept [8] - 45:2, 197:4, 202:1, 251:16, 332:11, 365:3, 365:9, 374:11 key [3] - 14:4, 222:24, 367:7 kid [1] - 269:7 kids [8] - 111:5, 183:8, 183:12, 190:24, 226:7, 297:19, 310:7, 375:1 kids' [1] - 225:6

kind [67] - 8:21, 8:25,

42:8, 55:6, 55:22, 56:18, 57:5, 65:23, 75:8, 81:25, 87:22, 90:24, 97:11, 97:23, 98:10, 99:1, 101:10, 104:18, 114:1, 193:6, 216:23, 218:23, 226:4, 229:24, 230:9, 236:13, 236:25, 241:20, 242:5, 243:9, 243:14, 255:8, 274:23, 276:1, 294:23, 295:1, 295:7, 295:19, 303:18, 309:9, 309:18, 310:22, 311:1, 312:19, 319:19, 320:13, 332:17, 337:2, 351:23, 352:23, 353:6, 353:9, 354:1, 363:25, 364:2, 371:4, 375:4, 378:15, 378:17, 380:24, 381:4 kinds [2] - 268:13, 321:15 knowing [2] - 159:8, 199:5 knowingly [1] -181.14 knowledge [7] - 98:2, 113:23, 135:9, 162:16, 163:21, 264:7, 279:17 known [5] - 113:4, 129:13, 129:18, 165:18, 365:3 knows [6] - 14:11, 49:6, 85:24, 106:23, 233:14, 254:17 **KOENIG** [15] - 287:15, 287:17, 287:20, 287:23, 288:2, 288:4, 288:6, 291:17, 292:15, 293:2, 293:8, 293:12, 293:14, 293:16, 294:2 Koenig [2] - 287:15, 288:6 Korea [1] - 149:3 Kragness's [1] - 136:8 KUZEL [43] - 294:7, 294:9. 294:12. 294:14, 294:18, 294:20, 294:22,

297:25, 298:3, 298:9. 298:13. 298:20, 298:25, 299:5, 299:8, 299:12, 299:17, 300:1, 300:6, 300:13, 300:15, 300:19, 301:5, 301:12, 301:17, 301:20, 301:24, 302:3, 302:7, 302:11, 302:25, 303:7, 303:15, 304:8, 304:20, 304:24, 305:2, 305:4, 305:10 Kuzel [4] - 294:7, 294:10, 301:1, 305:11

L

L-I-A-N-N-E [1] -154:22 L-U-G-E-R-T [1] -107:15 **LA**[15] - 287:15, 287:17, 287:20, 287:23, 288:2, 288:4, 288:6, 291:17, 292:15, 293:2, 293:8, 293:12, 293:14, 293:16, 294:2 label [1] - 84:17 labeled [3] - 84:17, 92:12, 146:22 labor [4] - 244:25, 246:1, 246:4, 246:8 Labs [1] - 180:9 lack [4] - 32:25, 76:20, 90:3, 345:20 lacks [2] - 31:7, 31:10 lady [2] - 290:12, 366:16 laid [1] - 43:24 Lake [2] - 144:10, 242:21 lakefront [1] - 15:8 lakes [1] - 280:2 land [182] - 12:8, 12:25, 14:15, 15:4, 15:7, 15:11, 15:18, 18:15, 23:5, 41:1, 41:5, 43:3, 43:25, 48:1, 54:8, 54:19, 54:22, 54:23, 56:19, 56:20, 56:21, 57:6, 59:24, 61:4, 61:12, 61:14, 61:19, 68:6, 68:10, 68:14, 73:1, 75:21, 77:25, 80:1,

87:1, 87:5, 87:6, 90:7, 90:23, 95:6, 96:15, 96:21, 99:25, 101:8, 103:15, 108:16, 110:11, 110:12, 111:18, 111:25, 112:20, 118:21, 119:2, 120:14, 121:6, 121:15, 121:16, 121:22, 122:4, 122:6, 122:17, 122:21, 130:15, 130:16, 134:3, 134:14, 134:24, 134:25, 135:1, 135:6, 135:7, 135:12, 135:19, 135:22, 135:25, 136:19, 137:23, 138:2, 138:3, 138:4, 138:6, 138:8, 139:25, 140:15, 141:17, 142:5, 142:18, 144:9, 149:15, 151:13, 151:14, 151:16, 153:22, 155:17, 156:4, 156:8, 156:16, 156:19, 156:24, 157:2, 157:3, 157:8, 157:11, 157:21, 158:6, 158:9, 158:10, 159:18, 160:7, 160:21, 163:23, 163:24, 164:10, 164:13, 165:1, 166:8, 166:12, 166:18, 167:15, 167:19, 168:11, 169:13, 171:22, 171:24, 172:2, 181:21, 182:1, 193:17, 210:25, 211:11, 211:25, 227:11, 230:9, 253:14, 269:16, 280:7, 280:19, 281:15, 281:17, 281:23, 281:24, 282:5, 284:5, 289:5, 289:6, 289:7, 290:6, 290:7, 296:13, 299:2, 306:15, 307:3, 309:21, 310:8, 310:16, 314:12, 332:9, 339:6, 343:7, 343:12, 346:20, 354:4, 364:4, 364:6, 364:9, 366:5, 366:7, 367:17, 367:24,

297:9, 297:13,

297:18, 297:21,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

368:3, 369:12, 374:14, 378:22, 380:2, 380:3 land's [1] - 136:25 landing [1] - 9:11 landmen [1] - 270:12 Landowner [17] -24:25, 82:22, 83:24, 91:12, 109:16, 115:7, 147:8, 189:1, 308:10, 318:25, 319:8, 319:9, 319:18, 320:22, 323:16, 325:5, 376:2 landowner [27] -12:18, 22:6, 44:23, 55:4, 66:10, 66:14, 82:11, 88:13, 98:16, 109:22, 110:19, 123:17, 127:3, 153:21, 222:15, 258:13, 271:16, 271:21, 289:2, 297:8, 308:18, 319:2, 343:20, 343:23, 344:1, 344:2, 376:12 landowners [39] - 8:8, 10:24, 16:3, 16:9, 18:16, 18:18, 36:25, 64:2, 64:5, 66:14, 79:15, 80:3, 81:5, 81:9, 89:2, 93:6, 96:4, 98:17, 101:17, 101:20, 101:25, 102:4, 102:5, 107:11, 108:23, 118:4, 153:15, 179:24, 181:20, 215:9, 225:15, 270:22, 280:18, 281:14, 281:16, 283:1, 361:11, 362:22, 376:6 landowners' [3] -181:22, 210:22, 227:10 landscape [1] -181:24 language [5] - 48:19, 49:1, 49:19, 310:13, 352:20 lapse [1] - 127:2 lapses [1] - 44:23 large [10] - 9:1, 31:16, 31:21, 32:5, 121:19, 144:2, 223:9, 233:3, 251:12, 310:19 largest [1] - 157:19 last [91] - 5:15, 9:8, 11:3, 21:22, 48:10, 48:12, 48:16, 53:3, 62:23, 68:25, 71:14,

72:3, 72:5, 75:24, 84:17, 87:3, 88:20, 89:22, 100:3, 104:6, 107:13, 109:4, 112:11, 113:13, 113:17, 113:22, 117:15, 118:19, 131:13, 144:7, 144:17, 147:4, 155:20, 158:16, 160:5, 161:21, 161:23, 165:14, 166:1, 166:24, 173:1, 187:19, 195:17, 202:23, 204:2, 209:20, 212:14, 215:3, 215:16, 218:16, 219:3, 220:19, 221:24, 226:17, 227:15, 237:5, 252:10, 252:25, 257:4, 257:8, 257:11, 268:8, 270:5, 271:19, 287:16. 294:8. 294:25, 304:6, 304:13, 304:16, 305:21, 310:25, 311:9, 328:3, 342:20, 345:1, 348:11, 357:4, 357:6, 357:10, 362:20, 362:25, 364:15, 364:19, 365:19, 366:1, 367:1, 368:5, 369:13, 370:1, 370:25 last-ditch [1] - 304:13 last-minute [1] -365:19 lasted [1] - 175:17 lastly [2] - 159:5, 159:6 late [4] - 129:25, 253:4, 363:16, 366:9 lately [1] - 117:14 laughed [1] - 378:15 law [19] - 4:3, 5:25, 11:7, 34:10, 36:2, 41:3, 46:7, 118:6, 132:7, 141:9, 148:25, 197:11, 197:24, 227:14, 239:9, 245:14, 289:16, 330:15, 338:1

Law [4] - 76:9, 77:1,

law's [2] - 24:8, 40:21

Lawrence [3] - 7:19,

80:8, 80:16

304:10, 365:12

laws [1] - 337:18 lawsuit [7] - 15:15, 15:19, 16:7, 77:7, 77:10. 78:16. 96:21 lawsuits [2] - 16:11, 181:19 lawyer [10] - 18:13, 77:22, 105:14, 112:8, 310:12, 335:2, 365:11, 366:9, 377:16 lawyers [5] - 18:14, 130:11, 365:24, 377:17, 381:5 lay [6] - 54:19, 57:6, 156:24, 216:18, 280:9, 280:13 laying [1] - 99:12 lays [1] - 351:6 lead [5] - 13:19, 163:7, 202:18, 202:19, 350:15 leaders [1] - 223:2 leading [1] - 238:1 leak [8] - 25:15, 25:25, 110:24, 111:12, 179:7, 314:1, 338:24, 339:1 leaked [1] - 142:22 leaks [2] - 13:1, 203:21 learn [3] - 116:12, 176:14, 312:17 learned [9] - 176:15, 177:7, 177:10, 182:15, 230:19, 295:7, 343:19, 343:23, 375:3 learning [1] - 174:10 lease [1] - 123:22 leases [1] - 290:10 least [28] - 9:4, 18:13, 45:22, 56:24, 62:25, 74:8, 78:2, 86:18, 88:8, 89:18, 92:1, 109:6, 118:2, 120:17, 124:10, 126:7, 142:20, 143:8, 151:2, 151:6, 183:17, 191:13, 223:25, 299:15, 310:17, 311:12, 380:16, 382:16 leave [6] - 111:8, 179:8, 273:25, 282:1, 368:3, 381:1 leaves [2] - 89:11, 353:6 leaving [4] - 90:18, 120:13, 180:24, 310:1

leeway [1] - 328:5 left [12] - 7:25, 24:4, 54:5, 94:2, 136:11, 138:11, 161:25, 190:2, 265:23, 271:25, 337:6, 374:6 left-hand [1] - 190:2 legacy [4] - 15:5, 90:18, 122:22, 371:19 legal [23] - 15:17, 36:1. 38:24. 47:17. 47:18, 49:13, 76:25, 149:9, 149:12, 150:16, 155:16, 173:20, 232:21, 301:2, 320:9, 343:17, 343:25, 344:6, 344:10, 344:11, 344:18, 356:14, 380:7 legalities [1] - 104:12 legally [1] - 136:23 legged [1] - 211:12 legislative [1] - 182:14 legislators [4] - 42:13, 42:16, 148:20, 148:23 legislature [2] -182:17, 249:19 legislature's [1] -289:13 legitimate [1] - 81:8 legitimately [1] -76:16 LEIBEL [28] - 132:23, 138:19, 138:22, 138:25, 139:2, 139:4, 139:7, 146:24, 147:1, 147:4, 147:7, 148:3, 148:7, 149:8, 149:19, 150:1, 150:4, 151:23, 152:10, 152:19, 341:17, 342:13, 345:13, 348:19, 350:7, 350:8, 354:18, 362:15 Leibel [19] - 8:7, 79:2, 85:14, 109:8, 131:9, 132:18, 138:3, 146:22, 149:5, 150:2, 151:18, 152:9, 152:18, 162:20, 307:23, 342:8, 350:4, 356:20, 362:14 length [2] - 348:4, 348:25 lengthy [1] - 284:17

less [18] - 56:14,

57:15, 59:14, 81:18,

100:6, 117:22, 121:15, 173:25, 178:14, 178:16, 180:5, 183:2, 190:10, 197:10, 265:17, 286:2, 367:25, 380:2 lessening [1] - 100:5 lessons [1] - 182:15 letter [60] - 18:14, 22:14. 22:25. 23:10. 23:16, 23:21, 24:1, 25:1, 35:13, 35:15, 35:17, 36:21, 43:6, 43:14, 43:24, 44:12, 54:13, 63:17, 74:14, 74:20, 74:24, 79:3. 80:8, 80:15, 80:18, 80:22, 82:17, 82:23, 83:1, 83:19, 84:4, 85:3, 85:11, 85:12, 85:25, 86:10, 87:10, 102:17, 103:18, 104:17, 109:14, 110:5, 110:7, 112:10, 112:23, 113:10, 113:12, 113:15, 124:1, 129:17, 129:19, 148:5, 148:22, 207:19, 219:2, 307:24, 308:21, 309:2, 309:9, 311:16 letter's [1] - 113:14 letterhead [1] - 228:5 letters [11] - 76:9, 80:12, 83:2, 83:3, 83:5, 83:15, 83:17, 84:5, 109:25, 137:17 level [8] - 186:19, 200:20, 203:20, 251:16, 273:12, 350:12, 359:20, 359.21 liability [14] - 63:12, 63:19, 314:21, 328:9, 328:21, 328:23, 329:1, 329:2. 329:6. 329:15, 338:23, 339:7, 346:23, 360:11 LIANNE [61] - 154:21, 155:1, 155:4, 155:8, 155:10, 155:12, 161:4, 161:8, 161.16 162.5 162:7, 162:15, 163:1, 163:4, 163:10, 163:16, 163:21, 164:1, 164:6, 164:12, 164:18, 165:9,

lecturing [1] - 380:24

led [1] - 163:13

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

165:13, 165:16, 165:21, 165:25, 166:6, 166:15, 167:1, 167:5, 167:8, 167:10, 167:14, 167:17, 167:24, 168:2, 168:4, 168:12, 168:15, 168:20, 169:1, 169:4, 169:10, 169:23, 170:3, 170:9, 170:11, 170:15, 170:20, 170:24, 171:5. 171:7, 171:14, 171:18, 171:25, 172:5, 172:10, 172:12, 172:14, 172:17, 172:22 Lianne [1] - 154:21 Liberty [7] - 133:20, 133:23, 138:12, 147:17, 147:20, 148:1, 301:7 liberty [1] - 147:15 licenses [1] - 289:16 life [11] - 57:19, 59:24, 176:20, 199:22, 200:11, 272:5, 280.6 296.23 302:14, 381:3, 381:7 lifeline [5] - 250:11, 261:7, 261:18, 261:19, 261:20 lifestyle [1] - 59:10 lifetime [4] - 224:20, 224:23, 225:1, 273:5 lift [6] - 347:5, 347:11, 347:14. 349:1. 349:6, 349:18 lifting [1] - 108:19 light [1] - 28:12 lighting [1] - 27:21 lightly [2] - 134:22, 283:10 likely [7] - 98:4, 98:5, 252:18, 298:13, 337:16, 352:5, 368:18 likewise [4] - 5:8, 7:8, 153:24, 154:9 limit [5] - 154:14, 289:25, 290:12, 291:15, 344:24 limited [2] - 325:4, 327:23 Lincoln [1] - 280:14 line [72] - 50:20, 57:12, 60:9, 61:15, 63:1, 64:25, 70:6, 70:11, 70:25, 97:24, 97:25, 99:1, 99:2, 110:15, 126:8,

130:3, 134:14, 138:6, 138:9, 140:2, 142:19, 142:21, 151:14, 178:23, 189:24, 207:18, 216:6, 216:9, 221:4, 231:18, 231:21, 231:22, 237:15, 238:16, 241:12, 250:23, 261:12, 264:3, 300:10, 301:3, 311:1, 312:10, 319:19, 332:2, 333:9, 333:11, 333:21, 336:4, 336:6, 338:7, 338:20, 344:3, 344:10, 344:14, 345:8, 346:4, 346:9, 346:10, 347:11, 348:22, 349:12, 351:2, 352:3, 353:2, 353:19, 356:11, 356:12, 356:21, 360:11, 368:1, 369:11 linear [3] - 8:25, 52:2, 236:14 lines [10] - 56:17, 57:16, 98:3, 122:14, 211:14, 288:18, 310:18, 312:14, 321:14, 366:24 Linton [1] - 105:11 liquids [1] - 245:6 list [2] - 75:8, 79:6 listed [1] - 120:25 listen [2] - 111:20, 281:19 listened [3] - 88:13, 369:13, 373:1 listening [5] - 111:24, 112:3, 211:21, 268:14, 346:7 literally [2] - 200:15, 280:19 litigation [1] - 149:10 LIUNA [1] - 246:17 live [43] - 26:9, 36:8, 40:15, 40:18, 57:3, 59:6, 60:8, 70:9, 76:14, 96:24, 110:20, 157:10, 157:11, 162:23, 171:16, 173:18, 180:1, 183:2, 183:7, 211:13, 214:25, 215:1, 215:23, 231:24, 244:6, 244:7, 244:22, 270:24, 285:25, 286:1, 293:13,

295:4, 295:13,

295:19, 302:13, 313:6. 330:14. 367:5, 376:24, 377:5, 381:2, 381:8 lived [6] - 143:1, 163:2, 261:8, 265:19, 265:20, 302:15 livelihood [3] - 15:5, 58:18, 314:6 lives [7] - 181:23, 280:21, 367:18, 367:19, 367:24, 368:1, 370:6 Livestock [1] - 178:14 livestock [4] - 12:25, 59:13, 215:24, 217:25 living [4] - 60:16, 119:14, 265:21, 370:9 LLC [2] - 5:18, 7:18 LO-15[2] - 30:18, 32:14 LO-21 [1] - 91:21 **LO-22** [1] - 376:5 LO-28 [1] - 189:8 LO-29 [1] - 147:13 LO-31 [2] - 340:1, 341:3 LO-5 [1] - 25:6 load [3] - 149:12, 281:4, 281:6 loads [1] - 121:20 loans [1] - 343:10 lobby [1] - 5:5 lobbying [2] - 16:25, 42:2 local [12] - 77:22, 105:16, 117:9, 117:14, 117:16, 245:13, 251:16, 264:1, 343:19, 368:14, 369:14 Local [1] - 244:24 locally [1] - 225:3 locate [4] - 183:22, 184:12, 299:10, 335:6 located [16] - 12:8, 26:15, 70:13, 73:1, 108:16, 117:22, 166:12, 166:14, 179:5, 179:15, 200:9, 231:21, 231:22, 298:8, 311:5, 312:20 locates [1] - 184:9 locating [5] - 26:24, 27:5, 27:6, 29:14, 182:2

74:4, 110:22, 114:6, 149:6, 199:1, 223:23, 295:20, 298:14, 367:9 locations [1] - 23:12 Logan [1] - 5:22 long-term [1] - 179:25 long-winded [2] -358:7, 358:9 look [35] - 9:13, 9:18, 45:4, 55:15, 84:22, 94:18. 110:20. 119:13, 124:7, 124:9, 130:14, 140:19, 141:22, 146:8, 151:9, 159:1, 161:17, 188:21, 188:23. 204:3. 212:10, 225:5, 243:2, 264:20, 264:21, 267:18, 274:17, 303:17, 303:24, 310:24, 355:4, 361:14 looked [9] - 63:10, 98:23, 99:4, 121:24, 144:22, 159:4, 203:12, 256:10, 278:19 looking [31] - 21:11, 21:12, 21:13, 23:11, 33:23. 34:17. 52:1. 55:17, 99:10, 101:24, 104:12, 110:7, 139:8, 157:19, 175:9, 204:3, 204:21, 211:17, 212:8, 212:11, 221:11, 226:8, 236:10, 237:18, 238:12, 238:24, 252:22, 260:24, 260:25, 263:2. 306:25 looks [13] - 23:10, 27:12, 39:5, 55:13, 67:7, 98:12, 109:7, 113:10, 151:12, 204:22, 228:4, 242:5, 316:21 loop [1] - 341:7 Loren [5] - 71:25, 72:5, 73:2, 100:24, 106:17 LOREN [5] - 72:4, 72:9, 72:12, 72:16, 72:20 lose [4] - 122:11, 265:23, 267:10.

269:3, 360:3 loss [9] - 59:21, 94:11, 123:21, 142:1, 178:20, 351:1, 353:7, 367:18, 367:19 losses [3] - 59:19, 350:15, 352:5 lost [5] - 123:17, 145:21, 268:6, 268:7, 280:18 lot's [1] - 357:5 loud [2] - 115:20, 367:13 Louise [1] - 131:12 Louisiana [3] - 25:15, 39:7, 314:1 love [3] - 87:10, 129:19, 359:15 low [17] - 117:3, 179:1, 224:2, 224:15, 227:2, 229:3, 235:14, 250:8, 255:4, 255:5, 255:11, 255:17, 256:9, 299:5, 347:17, 349:15, 350:12 low-carbon [9] -224:2, 224:15, 227:2, 255:4, 255:5, 255:11, 255:17, 256:9, 347:17 low-emission [1] -250:8 low-environmental [1] - 349:15 low-fuel [1] - 229:3 low-level [1] - 350:12 low-water [1] - 179:1 lower [12] - 42:9, 224:16, 225:17, 227:7, 228:14, 228:19, 228:23, 235:18, 250:19, 250:21, 290:20, 349:16 lower-carbon [1] -250:19 lowers [1] - 347:15 LP [4] - 97:18, 97:25, 98:1, 127:19 Lugert [7] - 107:11, 107:12, 107:15, 107:16, 108:10, 123:14, 131:5 LUGERT [5] - 107:15, 107:19, 107:22, 108:1, 108:4 lunch [5] - 5:13, 10:9, 106:24, 106:25, 153:6

lust [1] - 181:12

location [13] - 6:14,

6:21, 26:20, 27:1,

269:22

281:10

loses [2] - 280:20,

losing [3] - 123:5,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Lynette [1] - 174:4 Lynn [3] - 252:25, 253:6, 253:8

M

M-A-H-L-E-R [1] -214:10 M-A-U-C-H [1] -221:25 Ma'am [1] - 28:13 ma'am [37] - 22:18, 30:19, 32:17, 34:9, 37:24, 38:14, 39:12, 108:16, 109:6, 109:11, 110:6, 112:12, 115:8, 117:20, 130:23, 162:8, 162:23, 244:11, 244:14, 244:18, 285:22, 286:6, 286:8, 294:18, 306:15, 306:20, 307:8, 309:6, 309:15, 313:6, 314:20, 316:13, 317:17, 356:23, 357:5, 358:21, 359:3 machine [2] - 70:18, 212:2 macro [1] - 266:14 magnifying [1] -151:13 Magrum [1] - 148:20 MAHLER [22] - 214:9, 214:13, 214:16, 214:20, 214:22, 214:24, 217:8, 217:12, 217:24, 218:9, 218:11, 218:14, 218:16, 218:25, 219:12, 219:21, 220:1, 220:8, 220:15, 220:18, 220:23, 221:17 Mahler [4] - 214:9, 214:11, 214:25, 221:16 mail [2] - 75:14, 75:18 mailing [1] - 183:10 main [9] - 74:1, 82:4, 178:23, 216:6, 344:3, 344:10, 344:14, 352:3, 356:12 maintain [5] - 4:23, 199:10, 205:17, 206:3, 253:2 maintained [2] - 62:6,

352:10

maintaining [1] -245:4 maintenance [11] -6:14, 6:21, 14:5, 27:13, 176:13, 193:3, 199:6, 335:8, 360:18, 367:8, 372:18 major [3] - 25:19, 346:13, 348:3 majority [3] - 103:20, 130:13, 241:21 majors [1] - 116:19 male [1] - 159:10 man [2] - 239:21, 367:4 management [2] -183:21, 183:22 manager [3] - 77:15, 78:10, 368:17 managers [2] -202:19, 368:7 Mandan [5] - 174:3, 179:22, 278:18, 280:14, 369:13 mandate [1] - 372:1 mandates [1] - 288:19 manner [1] - 169:2 Mantador [3] - 117:5, 133:7, 135:24 map [39] - 40:6, 41:15, 55:17, 56:18, 74:20, 74:23, 78:10, 78:19, 92:7, 97:11, 99:6, 99:10, 99:12, 99:17, 110:15, 124:7, 125:14, 133:25, 134:3, 136:4, 138:10, 138:17, 138:23, 139:8, 139:22, 148:17, 151:10, 152:4, 171:4, 173:24, 215:6, 215:16, 219:24, 220:6, 242:4, 303:18, 318:24, 344:5 maps [23] - 23:11, 41:17, 43:22, 46:15, 54:14, 55:13, 80:12, 91:9, 99:23, 104:6, 121:4, 121:5, 138:10, 189:2, 189:4, 189:6, 286:18, 303:24, 303:25, 308:7, 310:24 March [8] - 4:9, 22:13, 22:25, 23:15, 35:15, 63:17, 79:2, 79:12 margarine [2] -

143:21, 143:22

mark [1] - 5:9

marked [5] - 189:1, 318:25, 332:1, 340:1. 344:5 marker [1] - 319:19 market [43] - 17:12, 58:17, 60:25, 61:8, 143:21, 223:16, 224:25, 225:1, 225:8, 225:19, 226:6, 226:21, 226:24, 235:14, 237:17, 238:20, 238:25, 239:6, 239:7, 254:21, 255:23, 256:14, 263:1, 263:2, 263:5, 263:11. 263:13. 264:20, 267:22, 269:11, 269:21, 269:22, 269:24, 272:13, 276:6, 276:16, 276:17, 290:23, 343:9, 372:2, 372:12 marketing [1] - 370:20 markets [20] - 17:11, 224:2, 226:25, 227:3. 228:23. 235:13, 250:12, 250:18. 250:20. 255:4, 255:5, 255:12, 255:17, 256:9, 263:18, 265:19, 266:6, 268:7, 268:8, 370:25 marking [2] - 332:9, 333:20 married [1] - 273:4 Marti [1] - 147:25 Marvin [4] - 108:11, 108:13, 112:12, 180:1 massive [3] - 145:22, 266:14, 283:7 master's [1] - 139:16 material [2] - 278:21, 283:3 materials [3] - 28:10, 30:14, 363:23 Mathews [1] - 310:5 matter [6] - 4:20, 60:2, 149:15, 246:17, 288:16, 375:2 matters [4] - 10:14, 186:19, 264:25, 382:3 MAUCH [34] - 221:21, 221:24, 222:3, 222:5, 222:9, 222:11, 222:13, 224:13, 228:9, 228:16, 228:22,

229:16, 229:21, 230:6, 230:11, 230:20, 230:25, 231:2, 231:5, 231:10, 231:20, 231:23, 232:3, 232:6, 232:14, 232:19, 232:23, 233:2, 233:6, 233:10, 233:17, 233:22 Mauch [4] - 221:24, 222:1, 222:15, 234:18 Maui [1] - 280:10 maximum [5] - 11:9, 11:10, 132:9, 145:1 McIntosh [1] - 5:23 MD [1] - 145:6 mean [71] - 43:13, 43:18, 44:20, 45:9, 48:3, 48:8, 49:13, 56:16, 69:14, 84:24, 92:22, 95:16, 100:8, 102:16. 127:12. 130:11, 130:12, 170:25, 177:15, 210:20, 216:19, 220:25, 225:5, 229:23, 232:8, 242:2. 242:11. 243:14, 252:12, 254:15, 255:13, 256:5, 256:6, 263:14, 263:20, 266:12, 266:17, 266:19 267:25 269:10, 270:17, 271:24, 272:9, 272:17, 273:24, 282:2, 296:9, 301:11, 302:10, 322:12, 322:18, 327:21, 327:24, 334:2, 335:10, 335:20, 337:8, 338:6, 344:25, 353:10, 361:15, 361:17, 370:2, 373:11, 373:22, 378:11, 378:19, 378:20, 379:25, 381:5 meaningful [1] - 353:7 means [5] - 7:2, 108:21, 149:11, 310:10, 345:19 meant [3] - 82:15, 179:23, 353:22 meantime [3] -

208:16, 312:5,

measure [1] - 159:8

365:21

measured [1] - 295:14 measures [2] - 79:10, 101:24 Measures [1] - 101:17 measuring [2] - 98:20, 312:23 medical [2] - 116:11, 279:21 meet [3] - 22:5, 158:17, 225:22 meeting [20] - 43:7, 47:21, 82:9, 105:12, 117:5, 117:12, 135:23, 136:1, 136:3, 139:18, 139:23, 158:18, 165:13, 202:23, 215:5. 226:17. 278:20, 281:20, 283:22, 284:4 meetings [11] - 32:19, 33:2, 33:24, 39:23, 197:7, 202:10, 202:20, 202:24, 205:8, 210:25, 365:5 meets [2] - 15:1, 245:14 member [2] - 12:20, 161:22 members [11] - 167:7, 206:19, 233:1, 233:2, 244:25, 246.8 246.23 247:16, 247:22, 282:24 Memorial [1] - 382:18 memorize [1] - 134:22 memory [2] - 198:7, 303:16 mention [4] - 150:13, 278:22, 279:24, 280:4 mentioned [41] -19:19, 20:3, 20:11, 21:16, 25:10, 26:8, 28:19, 31:4, 33:22, 38:14, 56:24, 61:23, 65:24, 91:5, 96:5, 101:19, 105:18, 114:5, 117:20, 118:19, 141:2, 153:10, 163:23, 164:7, 164:10, 168:7, 170:6, 186:17, 187:8, 188:10, 230:21, 236:22, 258:24, 275:7, 275:9, 310:23, 320:7, 370:12, 371:19, 376:24, 377:1 menu [2] - 266:21,

371:14

229:2, 229:9,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

merits [1] - 17:3 mess [1] - 336:25 message [2] - 310:6, 310:10 met [8] - 6:24, 20:17, 94:15, 112:21, 368:6, 373:8, 373:10 meter [2] - 347:9, 349:9 meters [1] - 116:24 methodology [1] -57:7 methods [1] - 17:23 methyl [1] - 177:2 Michael [1] - 259:2 middle [9] - 42:9, 125:3, 125:8, 125:10, 158:8, 206:6, 217:14, 221:3, 364:6 might [26] - 9:11, 10:8, 12:12, 13:10, 21:13, 74:13, 78:22, 85:4, 91:8, 99:12, 100:13, 147:22, 180:16, 188:21, 240:9, 240:13, 247:21, 247:22, 271:11. 274:14, 278:14, 297:24, 338:10, 364:1, 377:21, 380:23 MIKE [41] - 259:2, 259:7, 259:9, 259:11, 259:14, 259:17, 259:21, 259:23, 259:25, 262:14, 262:20, 263:23, 264:10, 264:14, 264:19, 265:7, 266:3, 266:16, 267:2, 267:8, 268:23, 269:1, 270:4, 270:7, 270:10, 271:19, 272:11, 273:5, 273:17, 274:20, 274:22, 274:24, 275:2, 275:10, 275:19, 276:7, 276:17, 277:5, 277:8, 277:15, 277:19 Mike [1] - 82:8 mildly [1] - 175:4 mile [25] - 12:23, 26:5, 26:25, 41:10, 59:14, 70:15, 158:5, 158:10, 180:2, 194:8, 198:6, 216:9, 216:14, 218:10, 231:24, 299:20,

300:2, 314:19,

315:16, 344:4, 344:13, 344:17, 347:12, 353:23, 375.5 miles [48] - 5:20, 14:7, 29:14, 60:7, 79:16, 79:19, 79:22, 79:23, 79:24, 79:25, 80:1, 81:17, 81:19, 91:16, 134:12, 142:24, 158:8, 170:25, 171:1, 171:2, 177:25, 190:7, 198:6, 229:7, 240:14, 240:25, 241:20, 242:1, 242:13, 253:19, 260:10, 260:20, 270:7, 272:17, 272:18, 274:18, 279:6, 279:12, 280:13, 286:3, 286:13, 291:1, 296:3, 296:7, 299:21, 299:25, 366:13 milk [5] - 159:15, 159:19, 159:21, 160:3, 160:22 milked [2] - 157:7, 159:15 million [17] - 16:7, 19:3, 145:10, 176:21, 176:23, 176:24, 176:25, 177:3, 200:12, 200:13, 238:17, 241:23, 271:8, 271:9, 271:12, 289:11, 291:9 millions [2] - 159:12 Mina [2] - 260:24, 369:17 mind [9] - 36:18, 179:15, 238:21, 294:1, 302:22, 302:24, 305:13, 322:14, 373:15 mine [7] - 123:1, 138:10. 143:13. 210:21, 311:6, 314:17 mineral [1] - 253:10 Mineral [1] - 253:10 minimal [12] - 6:15, 13:16, 31:15, 74:11, 129:1, 329:21, 367:9. 367:15. 367:16. 367:19. 372:20 minimize [4] - 6:22, 310:18, 343:2, 347:22

minimizes [1] - 352:9 minimum [4] - 59:25, 144:24, 151:6, 321:23 Minnesota [7] -240:16, 242:6, 242:7, 242:8, 244:7, 244:23, 245:2 Minot [1] - 177:23 minute [4] - 178:3, 331:13, 331:14, 365:19 minutes 151 - 85:4. 143:19, 154:15, 154:16, 168:5 misleading [1] -174:25 misrepresentations [1] - 76:21 missed [3] - 34:15, 70:1, 175:23 missing [1] - 206:5 mission [1] - 222:25 Mississippi [6] - 14:6, 111:9, 114:15, 142:23, 279:5, 368:13 misspelling [1] -133:6 mistake [3] - 41:21, 337:8, 337:10 mistaken [1] - 92:9 misunderstanding [1] - 113:3 misunderstood [1] -49:9 mitigate [1] - 351:10 mixed [4] - 122:1, 141:10, 141:15, 175:8 mixing [1] - 276:25 MO [1] - 33:3 model [6] - 52:3, 116:18, 116:21, 186:3, 202:16, 279:1 modeling [8] - 32:25, 116:5, 186:2, 202:5, 218:22, 219:9, 284:11, 312:6 modification [1] -307:24 modifications [1] -109:4 moisture [2] - 141:15, 257:11 molecule [1] - 205:13 mom [6] - 55:8, 56:7. 136:10. 215:18. 216:10, 221:12 mom's [1] - 135:25 moment [3] - 161:17, 197:18, 308:15

money [33] - 15:21, 47:12, 95:11, 113:9, 122:25, 123:3, 123:19, 127:18, 135:18, 180:18, 181:2, 181:7, 181:12, 181:13, 199:24, 225:12, 264:15, 266:21, 280:25, 282:6, 282:7, 283:12, 290:3, 290:22, 296:22, 296:23, 304:15, 343:9, 354:5, 369:15, 370:6, 371:12, 371:18 monitors [1] - 368:21 month [7] - 62:23, 80:18, 102:19, 129:16, 197:10, 337:6, 349:9 monthly [1] - 347:8 months [5] - 23:20, 48:20, 77:20, 117:16, 297:20 Mooreton [1] - 222:16 morning [32] - 4:1, 5:14, 7:23, 8:6, 9:16, 9:24, 11:1, 11:7, 35:7, 35:8, 36:16, 39:16. 53:1. 53:7. 59:4, 64:21, 72:1, 72:4, 72:7, 107:12, 107:17, 108:9, 131:10. 153:10. 153:18, 154:5, 154:24, 187:6, 215:18, 216:12, 217:18, 365:18 Morton [1] - 5:23 most [18] - 4:18, 121:3, 142:8, 159:17, 180:13, 197:9, 198:11, 239:4, 245:5, 249:16, 252:17, 252:18, 275:15, 278:23, 286:12, 343:6, 368:6 mostly [3] - 65:25, 180:24. 303:6 Mother [1] - 144:14 mother [20] - 23:5, 24:8, 34:10, 36:2, 40:21, 41:3, 46:7, 131:20, 135:10, 136:6, 157:6, 157:16. 159:15. 293:12, 319:23, 332:3, 332:24, 333:13, 333:22,

mother's [3] - 57:1, 136:19, 140:15 mother-in-law [4] -34:10, 36:2, 41:3, mother-in-law's [2] -24:8, 40:21 motion [2] - 64:8, 187:4 motivated [1] - 16:23 motivation [1] -373:25 motivations [2] -16:19, 93:15 mouthpiece [1] -49:18 move [44] - 19:9, 29:2, 29:8, 29:9, 30:22, 30:23, 41:10, 62:14, 64:1, 64:2, 77:24, 86:19, 99:2, 104:2, 110:12, 110:22, 112:24, 147:8, 184:6, 184:13, 184:15, 185:3, 185:8, 186:21, 186:22, 186:23, 191:19, 191:25, 199:11, 199:12, 221:5, 226:1, 238:17, 252:15, 277:13, 281:24, 298:24. 324:11. 324:17, 326:4, 326:18, 348:13, 352:2, 353:15 moved [21] - 19:11, 29:5, 87:7, 103:14, 110:23, 142:17, 142:19, 169:17, 215:20, 216:14, 217:11, 217:17, 217:18, 217:19, 217:22, 218:6, 220:25, 342:20, 348:6. 353:18 moves [2] - 149:14, 252:17 moving [8] - 51:14, 79:16, 87:12, 159:2, 220:14, 237:12, 295:23, 300:8 MP [2] - 125:23, 125:25 mucking [1] - 345:20 multi [1] - 289:11 multi-million [1] -289:11 multiple [9] - 175:21, 175:23, 186:20, 241:24, 243:12,

300:15, 320:11,

365:8, 366:2

Monday [1] - 136:8

338:4

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

multiples [1] - 83:7 multiply [1] - 329:25 multiplying [1] - 330:1 multitude [1] - 17:13 must [5] - 18:9, 76:9, 224:16, 226:25, 228:14 mystery [1] - 104:1

Ν

N-E-U-M-A-Y-E-R [1] name [71] - 4:2, 11:3, 11:4, 12:6, 15:16, 53:2, 53:3, 53:4, 53:25, 72:2, 72:3, 72:4, 72:5, 72:25, 73:2, 76:12, 92:23, 107:13, 107:14, 108:9, 131:11, 131:13, 131:15, 136:11, 136:13, 136:15, 154:20, 169:24, 172:25, 173:1, 173:2, 173:18, 177:4, 195:14, 195:16, 195:18, 196:10, 209:17, 209:20, 214:8, 214:9, 214:24, 220:23, 221:23, 221:24, 222:14, 233:24, 234:20, 244:4, 244:5, 248:16, 248:17, 249:11, 259:1, 259:2, 277:23, 287:14, 287:16, 293:24, 294:5, 294:8, 301:5, 305:12, 305:20, 305:21, 317:21, 320:20, 341:19, 362:25, 371:2 namely [1] - 278:24 names [3] - 147:18, 303:19, 303:24 narrow [1] - 51:16 narrower [1] - 125:8 National [4] - 144:12, 176:18, 180:9, 265:9 national [2] - 200:20, 249:21 nationally [1] - 263:5 Natural [1] - 336:13 natural [10] - 58:1, 97:19, 127:19, 236:20, 237:3, 238:2, 238:5, 238:6, 238:11, 367:3

natural-existing [1] -

58.1 nature [1] - 202:13 Nature [1] - 144:14 nauseous [1] - 175:19 Navigator [5] - 183:23, 183:25, 184:5, 185:5, 185:6 **NDAWN** [1] - 257:8 NDSU [1] - 156:13 near [19] - 18:22, 26:16, 110:24, 116:14, 118:25, 173:19, 177:19, 178:23, 179:7, 191:4, 193:10, 213:5, 222:15, 237:21, 286:17, 365:8, 367:17, 367:19, 367:25 nearby [2] - 23:6, 367:5 nearest [1] - 253:18 nearly [6] - 156:25, 169:15, 179:2, 244:24, 249:20, 296:3 Nebraska [1] - 178:6 necessarily [3] -225:14, 240:2, 298:25 necessary [7] - 52:4, 60:15, 83:16, 179:17, 227:14, 279:18, 279:22 neck [1] - 60:23 need [64] - 10:14, 20:20, 23:22, 63:12, 63:20, 64:8, 84:23, 87:11, 87:13, 87:23, 94:7, 96:18, 96:19, 97:3, 119:4, 124:8, 130:6, 130:15, 144:24, 146:2, 148:22, 149:14, 149:18, 154:7, 155:14, 162:12, 168:8, 175:2, 176:11, 187:7, 188:13, 190:1, 190:17, 200:20, 215:12, 223:13, 224:10, 235:7, 235:17, 250:6, 258:14, 269:11, 269:17, 279:2, 313:12, 313:14, 324:17, 326:18, 330:22, 338:14, 339:6, 349:1, 351:18, 351:19, 358:24, 358:25,

360:6, 365:15,

367:13, 368:20,

368:22, 376:3, 382:3 needed [6] - 60:13, 80:20, 253:3. 296:12, 355:21, 378:1 needful [1] - 198:21 needs [10] - 6:24, 17:3, 20:17, 94:15, 95:7, 260:11, 323:20, 347:7, 373:8. 373:9 negates [1] - 282:12 negative [11] - 18:8, 61:20, 123:5, 235:20, 256:11, 343:2, 347:23, 349:19, 351:10, 352:9, 354:12 negatively [2] - 61:9, 92:22 negatives [2] - 34:20, 238:1 neglecting [1] - 16:6 negotiate [4] - 48:19, 137:22, 270:15, 270:17 negotiated [1] - 134:6 negotiating [1] - 78:3 negotiations [2] -79:9, 232:7 negotiators [1] -272:3 neighbor [12] - 19:9, 19:10, 29:3, 78:20, 82:7. 110:18. 160:16, 210:21, 310:4, 310:6, 374:24 neighbor's [2] - 41:14, 99:3 neighboring [2] -110:12, 124:14 neighborly [1] -112:24 neighbors [18] - 37:8, 37:19, 40:20, 78:7, 110:13, 110:21, 111:13, 174:4, 177:18, 275:17, 275:25, 276:13, 294:24, 295:21, 356:16, 366:4, 367:4, 378:13 nephew [3] - 142:16, 143:12, 232:14 nephews [1] - 276:20 nerve [1] - 137:22 nervous [1] - 9:10 net [1] - 237:21 NEUMAYER [17] -244:5, 244:11, 244:14, 244:18,

246:9, 246:15, 246:21, 246:25, 247:3, 247:9, 247:14, 247:18, 247:24 Neumayer [1] - 244:5 neutral [1] - 288:21 never [31] - 13:8, 21:2, 39:21, 45:14, 48:5, 49:1, 65:21, 78:21, 79:20, 137:8, 139:21, 145:1, 156:22, 161:18, 161:19, 181:14, 207:5, 209:5, 265:15, 272:2, 288:16. 294:22. 295:9, 295:14, 302:16, 347:25, 365:16, 369:21, 370:7, 379:22 never-never [1] -272.2 new [31] - 73:24, 79:15, 81:20, 93:4, 99:12, 118:11, 139:19, 182:13, 182:16, 211:10, 216:5, 259:7, 263:21, 265:14, 269:11, 270:14, 273:11, 273:18, 282:10, 282:11, 282:15, 291:22, 311:18, 319:17, 327:25, 331:5, 344:24, 345:7, 345:21, 366:24 New [2] - 16:17, 364:21 newest [1] - 286:13 Newman [1] - 267:12 news [2] - 39:4, 113:16 newspaper [2] -278:19. 279:24 next [31] - 33:22, 52:23, 57:11, 71:24, 107:9, 121:18, 157:15, 157:25, 158:12, 168:18, 195:5. 212:11. 221:19, 226:7, 233:23, 238:24, 239:10, 244:3, 277:22, 290:13, 300:7, 300:8, 300:9, 317:18, 323:14, 326:12, 337:10, 339:9, 341:16, 367:12 NextGen [1] - 146:14

nice [7] - 9:7, 44:14,

57:16, 134:11, 144:14, 276:9, 330:3 nicer [1] - 297:20 night [5] - 113:13, 144:17, 183:19, 216:18, 257:8 Nightingale [1] -145:5 nights [2] - 34:15, 218:24 nine [7] - 24:5, 251:19, 260:25, 262:16. 262:21, 264:12, 268:17 nobody [5] - 45:13, 161:24, 161:25, 346:14 nobody's [2] - 161:8, 288:18 non [3] - 46:17, 142:5, 276:2 non-disturbed [1] -142:5 non-existent [1] -276:2 non-relative [1] -46:17 none [10] - 10:16, 52:18, 64:17, 127:19, 185:20, 216:2, 357:1, 360:19, 360:21, 365:19 nonsense [1] - 130:2 noon [2] - 10:7, 131:6 normal [3] - 177:6, 234:1, 326:12 north [45] - 12:17, 55:18, 66:13, 67:1, 99:3, 144:9, 149:20, 149:23, 151:15, 151:25, 158:8, 164:19, 167:18, 169:11, 170:16, 170:19, 170:25, 171:9, 171:14, 171:16, 173:21, 173:24, 178:22, 196:12, 212:5, 215:8, 215:15, 215:21, 218:20, 242:18, 286:1, 286:2, 295:18, 296:2, 296:3, 302:25, 306:16, 307:5, 311:2, 321:1, 321:2, 353:3, 364:5, 364:8, 364:12 North [121] - 4:8, 4:12, 4:13, 6:16, 11:8, 14:8, 14:9, 15:16,

16:14, 16:22, 17:7,

18:3, 19:11, 28:19,

244:20, 244:22,

245:25, 246:3,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

345:4, 345:11,

375:23, 375:25.

objections [10] -

325:23

175:15

81:12. 89:5

376:1, 376:7, 376:11

28:12, 30:16, 32:9,

32:10, 32:24, 33:12,

33:18, 34:3, 324:17,

obligation [3] - 79:13,

obligations [1] - 16:12

observed [2] - 156:20,

29:5, 29:15, 31:7, 31:11, 43:8, 50:20, 59:10, 76:24, 77:15, 95:3, 100:8, 115:5, 120:25, 121:3, 122:16, 141:8, 144:24, 148:23, 149:1, 156:23, 157:5, 160:1, 160:14, 167:24, 177:13, 177:19, 178:5, 180:18, 180:22, 181:3, 182:11, 182:14, 185:19, 188:13, 189:18, 189:25, 190:8, 199:21, 210:14, 210:16, 212:20, 222:19, 222:20, 222:23, 223:11, 225:11, 225:13, 225:16, 226:5, 226:10, 226:14, 226:19, 226:21, 227:19, 228:4, 229:7, 229:23, 231:8, 234:22, 239:21, 240:15, 241:2, 244:6, 245:1, 247:12, 247:21, 249:12, 249:14, 249:20, 250:10, 251:8, 251:19, 251:20, 251:25, 253:22, 256:5, 256:6, 256:7, 256:8, 257:2. 261:2. 261:25, 267:1, 267:22, 268:19, 268:20, 272:7, 272:9, 274:16, 275:1, 280:10, 280:25, 281:4, 282:2, 282:8, 283:7, 283:11, 283:19, 289:17, 293:14, 293:17, 337:19, 367:11, 371:5 north-south [1] -311:2 Northeast [4] -173:19, 173:25, 178:23, 180:3 northeast [19] - 12:18, 40:7, 56:9, 67:5, 67:8, 98:25, 149:22, 170:14, 173:23, 180:2. 193:23. 257:2, 301:6, 301:8, 320:24, 333:15, 343:23, 344:8,

356:11

northern [3] - 223:1, 261:15, 344:4 northwest [15] - 73:4, 97:12. 99:19. 125:4. 125:5, 149:21, 149:24, 170:23, 219:25, 312:12, 321:17, 333:15, 335:17, 343:6, 356:7 Norway [1] - 157:4 notated [1] - 319:19 note [11] - 28:8, 124:7, 161:25, 188:1, 224:9, 258:13, 306:24, 318:11, 341:1, 344:20, 383:8 $\bm{noted}~ \texttt{[9]-6:10, 7:5},$ 84:16, 92:22, 224:7, 235:9. 250:15. 319:7, 376:1 nothing [40] - 50:15, 52:10, 69:21, 69:24, 75:3, 75:6, 75:7, 77:19, 78:11, 78:13, 78:14, 78:16, 79:20, 80:12. 80:19. 80:21. 81:25, 82:4, 82:12, 82:13, 89:8, 104:21, 106:1, 112:7, 118:5, 118:15, 124:18, 158:3, 165:21, 205:22, 219:4. 265:23, 293:22, 311:12, 327:18, 357:21, 372:10, 381:11 nothing's [2] - 82:16, 127:10 Notice [2] - 6:7 notice [6] - 4:7, 77:18, 174:8, 279:11, 347:19 noticed [4] - 17:12, 278:18, 319:15, 368:5 notified [2] - 139:21, 338:13 November [3] -174:22, 291:24, 292:11 nowadays [3] - 61:14, 180:13, 180:25 number [31] - 6:17, 6:20, 20:13, 24:11, 59:5, 69:15, 83:7, 83:9, 84:6, 84:8, 91:16, 92:17, 118:15, 139:25, 146:5, 146:13, 147:4, 167:14,

201:11, 218:8,

218:9, 220:24,

229:14, 247:15,

247:18, 250:10, 301:6, 356:2, 359:8, 365:2 numbers [7] - 76:12, 77:7, 191:6, 243:2, 247:23, 262:3, 369:23 nurse [1] - 145:4 NuStar [6] - 197:1, 198:14, 198:16, 198:18, 198:21, 204:15 O Oakes [1] - 43:7 oath [1] - 145:13

obtain [1] - 329:16 obtained [2] - 117:24, 118:3 obvious [3] - 76:21, 166:11, 190:21 object [28] - 28:1, obviously [19] - 8:19, 49:3, 82:25, 84:10, 54:3. 56:25. 57:22. 84:13, 105:2, 105:3, 92:25, 93:9, 112:13, 114:22, 114:25, 122:21, 137:1, 115:3, 138:1, 158:3, 141:9, 197:21, 184:4, 186:16, 197:22, 234:18, 200:1, 206:11, 252:20, 324:23, 235:7, 254:10, 361:18, 364:20, 259:4, 284:14, 373:2, 380:7 291:10, 321:21, occasion [1] - 189:3 322:25, 323:22, occasionally [1] -323:23, 324:13, 313:13 340:3, 375:24 Occupational [1] objection [82] - 25:2, 176:19 25:3, 25:5, 27:25, occupied [2] - 70:7, 28:6, 28:8, 30:4, 71:6 30:5, 30:8, 32:12, occupies [1] - 313:3 51:7, 51:8, 51:11, occur [4] - 251:16, 51:13, 62:12, 63:6, 255:19, 329:22, 82:24, 83:4, 83:24, 368:19 84:1, 84:3, 84:10, occurred [2] - 176:7, 84:15, 92:11, 92:13, 280:21 92:15, 93:11, 105:9, occurring [1] - 98:10 109:18, 109:19, occurs [5] - 140:12, 114:20, 115:12, 279:4, 348:3, 360:8, 115:17, 138:20, 367:18 139:9, 147:9, October [3] - 155:25, 147:10, 147:12, 156:21, 162:16 185:2. 189:9. odor [1] - 175:15 189:10, 189:12, odorless [2] - 140:13, 190:11, 191:10, 175:10 192:2, 202:6, odors [1] - 175:6 206:15, 224:5, offended [1] - 367:23 224:7, 224:8, 235:9, offer [34] - 5:8, 24:25, 250:13, 250:15, 27:24, 30:1, 32:8, 285:4, 285:5, 33:11, 34:2, 51:6, 285:19, 308:11, 75:17, 77:14, 82:22, 308:12, 308:16, 91:21, 109:16, 308:17, 319:9, 109:25, 114:19, 319:13, 322:9, 146:24, 159:16, 324:10, 325:6, 159:23, 160:3, 325:9, 325:24, 187:11, 187:13, 326:14, 326:15, 189:8, 190:14, 326:17, 329:3, 285:6, 285:7, 340:22, 340:24,

307:17, 307:19, 308:10, 324:21, 325:5, 340:2, 375:21 offered [14] - 30:12, 78:7, 78:12, 99:22, 109:25, 123:19, 159:17, 162:4, 198:3, 211:3, 318:25, 319:8, 325:11, 359:2 offering [3] - 10:11, 324:22, 340:4 office [5] - 70:20, 70:23, 137:4, 198:14, 253:7 officer [2] - 4:5, 237:23 officially [1] - 340:1 officials [4] - 32:20. 47:11, 145:8, 222:24 offseason [1] - 264:4 offset [1] - 123:3 often [2] - 360:13, 360:18 Ohio [1] - 366:15 oil [12] - 17:21, 17:22, 127:19, 144:2, 181:4, 198:23, 251:1, 252:18, 252:22, 261:3, 367:3, 373:20 old [4] - 90:19, 100:10, 157:10, 302:17 older [2] - 111:3, 144:17 Oliver [1] - 5:23 Olson [1] - 174:5 on-site [1] - 236:13 onboard [1] - 260:13 once [8] - 24:9, 90:12, 224:20, 224:23, 275:22, 290:15, 373:22 once-in-a-lifetime [2] - 224:20, 224:23 one [175] - 5:3, 5:4, 9:6, 9:8, 14:23, 20:11, 22:1, 22:18, 26:21, 29:15, 31:12, 36:3, 41:20, 44:16, 55:14, 56:14, 56:17, 57:9, 57:16, 59:5, 59:10, 61:7, 61:14, 63:2, 65:2, 66:17, 67:16, 68:25, 69:9, 70:1, 71:4, 71:13, 73:3, 74:1, 75:15, 76:5, 78:1, 83:7, 84:6, 84:21, 85:2, 86:19, 88:9, 90:12, 90:13, 90:23, 94:12, 97:13, 97:17, 98:4, 98:6, 110:21,

341:1, 344:21,

285:12, 285:17,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

116:11, 116:15, 120:24, 121:25, 125:14, 129:5, 131:6, 131:25, 132:1, 138:10, 139:25, 141:21, 145:18, 145:20, 146:2, 146:3, 146:5, 146:13, 148:18, 149:14, 158:14, 159:4, 161:19, 164:12, 164:15, 167:14, 170:12, 175:23, 177:21, 177:22, 179:23, 180:5, 185:25, 193:16, 195:22, 195:23, 200:16, 201:11, 209:5, 210:21, 211:2, 211:21, 215:4, 223:25, 225:12, 225:20, 226:11, 229:7, 229:12, 231:3, 231:11, 232:9. 237:25. 239:3, 241:4, 242:9, 249:23, 250:10, 252:10, 254:21, 260:8, 260:10, 260:15, 261:5, 267:9, 268:6, 268:8, 269:2. 280:20. 283:20, 284:20, 288:7, 290:12, 293:25, 300:7, 300:8, 301:6, 308:13, 308:15, 308:19, 310:4, 310:6, 311:20, 313:15, 314:23, 314:25, 322:14, 322:21, 323:1, 331:13, 332:12, 336:20, 337:1, 337:23, 338:1, 338:3, 338:5, 338:6, 338:8, 340:5, 344:4, 344:13, 344:17, 352:14, 353:23, 359:8, 361:9, 361:19, 362:18, 367:7, 369:19, 375:4, 375:5, 377:2, 377:3, 377:17 one's [4] - 97:19, 103:19, 179:12, 300:9 one-call [5] - 337:23, 338:1, 338:5, 338:6, one-half [4] - 344:4, 344:13, 344:17,

353:23 ones [4] - 144:1, 211:11, 237:8, 377:19 ongoing [5] - 197:20, 199:6, 203:23, 323:5, 352:11 online [3] - 174:21, 183:24, 184:1 op [2] - 254:22, 264:2 open [7] - 24:22, 201:3 201:4 227:22, 309:11, 337:6, 374:20 opened [7] - 7:1, 62:20, 101:12, 127:10, 154:6, 324:2, 361:8 opening [1] - 8:10 openly [1] - 367:21 opens [1] - 250:25 operate [1] - 347:7 operated [2] - 118:22, 372:19 operates [2] - 347:6, 349:2 Operating [1] - 244:24 operating [5] - 237:23, 247:9, 247:10, 336:21, 355:17 operation [13] - 6:13, 6:20, 27:13, 119:7, 156:12, 175:13, 177:6, 215:24, 256:13, 273:15, 346:1, 367:8, 372:18 operations [4] -197:13, 205:17, 206:3, 231:8 operator [3] - 174:17, 174:19, 359:24 operators [1] - 245:1 opinion [6] - 18:6, 57:18, 174:13, 174:15, 190:14, 348:17 opportunities [3] -226:20, 239:1, 241:3 opportunity [22] -62:24, 102:22, 115:4, 154:2, 154:3, 160:12, 160:16, 164:5, 165:8, 222:14, 224:20, 224:21, 224:24, 226:7, 230:1, 239:3, 249:10, 250:21, 350:25, 354:16, 364:14, 375:19 oppose [2] - 134:8, 141.24 opposed [6] - 37:6,

42:16, 55:22,

120:15, 169:7, 213:4 Opposing [1] - 282:21 opposite [1] - 256:2 opposition [1] -300:18 ops [1] - 264:1 option [5] - 18:13, 45:22, 48:25, 49:1, 120:18 options [7] - 13:21, 50:13, 69:16, 73:24, 212:13, 223:25, 307:13 orange [4] - 332:16, 332:18, 333:20, 356:11 orangish [1] - 333:20 orangish-red [1] -333:20 order [32] - 5:25, 6:3, 6:11, 7:6, 7:8, 20:14, 22:5, 26:5, 63:7, 63:10, 63:23, 63:24, 81:7, 82:2, 92:24, 96:6, 101:12, 110:20, 124:9, 128:24, 177:6, 186:18, 202:9, 250:5, 253:1, 312:24, 320:8, 321:21, 328:13, 329:5. 345:6 orderly [1] - 6:25 Oregon [1] - 224:17 organic [1] - 60:2 organization [4] -222:21, 223:2, 226:12, 249:25 organized [1] - 88:18 orientated [1] - 55:17 original [1] - 130:3 others' [1] - 50:12 otherwise [1] - 181:12 ourselves [3] - 91:2, 181:16, 247:4 outburst [1] - 4:22 outcome [2] - 60:17, 291:25 outlet [14] - 178:25, 335:9, 343:14, 343:16, 344:10, 344:19, 345:14, 346:22, 351:18, 351:19, 351:22, 355:21, 356:5, 357:13 outlined [1] - 7:11 outlines [1] - 7:8 output [2] - 253:1,

119:10, 139:15, 271:2, 291:11, 297:20, 321:18, 322:3, 324:1 outspoken [1] - 28:20 outstanding [2] -81:8, 127:11 overload [1] - 281:10 overloaded [1] - 378:8 overrule [1] - 224:8 overruled [1] - 285:18 oversees [1] - 285:8 owe [1] - 351:15 own [51] - 17:3, 17:15, 34:22, 39:9, 40:15, 40:25, 70:8, 89:12, 124:25, 125:3, 125:5, 126:6, 126:7, 130:16, 167:3. 167:7, 170:7, 179:13, 201:15, 213:5, 213:7, 219:24, 223:22, 227:5, 231:18, 231:22, 231:23, 232:10, 232:21, 238:15. 241:11. 243:12, 252:8, 256:14, 256:17, 286:5, 289:5, 298:7, 300:1, 302:21, 332:9, 332:25, 333:23. 346:19. 346:23, 368:21, 377:2, 377:4, 378:22, 381:9 owned [12] - 23:4, 54:23, 56:21, 158:25, 180:9, 180:13, 254:22, 332:3, 333:3, 333:12, 364:7, 377:4 owner [7] - 138:8, 150:16, 155:22, 239:20, 250:4, 334:1, 364:10 owners [5] - 18:18, 81:16, 81:20, 156:23, 181:8 owners' [1] - 122:14 ownership [3] - 149:6, 281:24, 333:24 owns [9] - 23:5, 46:4, 138:2, 144:11, 333:1, 333:15, 333:22, 343:7 oxygen [3] - 13:10, 59:17, 279:15

Р

P-E-T-E-R-S-O-N [1] -

195:19 p.m [2] - 155:25, 383:9 PA [2] - 7:17, 7:20 pack [2] - 110:2, 110:3 packet [1] - 80:13 page [30] - 30:3. 36:21, 36:24, 42:6, 50:11, 55:12, 55:13, 55:16, 55:18, 56:18, 63:16, 74:20, 85:13, 98:22, 143:18, 173:23. 188:22. 189:17, 197:17, 198:5, 201:3, 219:2, 309:1, 310:25, 318:24, 319:20, 328:18, 335:4, 370:16 pages [2] - 197:19, 292:17 paid [9] - 136:25, 165:3, 181:6, 211:3, 245:8, 270:22, 343:9, 347:9 pain [1] - 13:18 pallet [1] - 148:21 palpable [1] - 109:2 paper [3] - 56:4, 65:2, 137:5 papers [9] - 76:15, 77:2, 77:8, 136:4, 136:5, 136:15, 136:17, 137:3, 144:8 paperwork [5] -136:11, 137:16, 138:11, 165:2, 172:5 paragraph [1] - 281:1 paragraphs [1] -278:14 paralleling [1] -200:14 paramount [1] -198:10 parcel [13] - 23:6, 60:25, 73:8, 99:3, 126:2, 126:7, 126:8, 159:3, 219:23, 320:22, 364:7, 364:9, 380:8 parcels [5] - 23:8, 124:25, 377:4, 379:20, 379:24 pardon [2] - 110:7, 164:16 parents [7] - 150:14, 150:15, 215:1, 215:22, 216:20, 219:8, 302:14 parents' [4] - 137:19, 137:24, 215:11, parked [1] - 366:12

part [31] - 7:4, 14:22,

outright [1] - 315:5

outside [12] - 44:14,

79:17, 81:17,

253:4

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

21:17, 73:9, 83:19, 92:1, 92:7, 92:11, 94:6, 104:12, 137:6, 150:25, 154:8, 165:14, 199:13, 215:22, 216:17, 226:1, 229:23, 235:3. 240:14. 246:10, 246:18, 254:6, 255:16, 264:13, 271:17, 276:2, 340:18, 360:14, 369:11 participant [1] - 339:3 participate [4] - 192:9, 235:3, 235:13, 256:12 participates [2] -338:5, 338:6 participating [1] -54:24 particular [10] - 119:1, 121:2, 121:6, 188:2, 223:3. 242:10. 262:19, 323:7, 372:19 particularly [2] -107:5, 212:7 parties [9] - 7:13, 153:18, 160:9, 164:3, 172:23, 186:1. 187:16. 221:4, 330:9 partisan [1] - 211:14 partly [1] - 127:13 partner [2] - 199:20, 227:6 partnered [1] - 243:10 partnership [2] -243:15, 269:10 partnerships [1] -238:22 parts [6] - 98:18, 176:21, 176:23, 176:24, 176:25, 177:3 party [23] - 24:11, 149:9, 149:10, 164:4, 321:11, 322:5, 322:22, 326:11, 326:24, 327:12, 327:17, 328:10, 328:19, 328:22, 329:2, 329:8, 329:9, 329:17, 336:4, 336:9, 346:14, 346:17 pass [3] - 133:3, 195:10. 201:11 passed [2] - 95:13, 150:15 passion [2] - 44:21,

382:23 past [14] - 10:7, 62:14, 66:5, 120:3, 156:1, 168:4, 177:17, 178:3, 184:22, 196:24, 198:17, 215:12, 300:3, 353:5 pasture [11] - 12:23, 14:16, 18:22, 19:6, 40:19, 59:15, 216:10, 218:18, 309:20, 311:10, 314:8 Patch [1] - 178:13 patient [2] - 145:7, 145:21 PAUL [43] - 294:7, 294:9. 294:12. 294:14, 294:18, 294:20, 294:22, 297:9, 297:13, 297:18, 297:21, 297:25, 298:3, 298:9, 298:13, 298:20, 298:25, 299:5, 299:8, 299:12, 299:17, 300:1, 300:6, 300:13, 300:15, 300:19, 301:5, 301:12, 301:17, 301:20, 301:24, 302:3, 302:7, 302:11, 302:25, 303:7, 303:15, 304:8, 304:20, 304:24, 305:2, 305:4, 305:10 Paul [4] - 294:7, 304:4, 310:4, 310:7 Pause [4] - 85:7, 331:16, 334:8, 334:10 pay [12] - 16:6, 95:1, 123:4, 127:17, 282:3, 289:8, 289:9, 290:7, 314:22, 344:11, 349:7, 349:8 paying [10] - 94:21, 94:23, 95:4, 95:5, 180:19. 247:21. 254:8, 290:21, 290:23, 291:5 payments [3] -181:11, 255:2, 354:5 pays [1] - 279:18 peaceable [1] - 370:9 Pelham [49] - 7:22, 7:23, 10:17, 25:4, 28:5. 30:6. 32:11. 33:14, 34:5, 36:12, 51:9, 52:11, 64:18,

69:25, 83:24, 92:14,

97:5, 106:10, 109:20, 115:1, 124:20, 131:3, 147:11, 150:8, 166:22, 189:11, 192:7, 208:23, 213:2, 219:15, 231:14, 241:10, 248:2, 256:21, 274:7, 285:23, 292:6, 300:24, 308:16, 316:11, 319:12, 325:8, 331:21, 340:22, 341:11, 354:21, 376:19, 381:16, 382:10 PELHAM [114] - 7:23, 10:18, 25:5, 28:6, 30:7, 32:12, 33:15, 34:6, 36:13, 36:15, 51:10, 52:12, 64:20, 70:1, 70:4, 84:1, 92:15, 97:6, 106:11, 109:21, 115:2, 124:21, 131:4, 138:1, 138:5, 147:12, 150:9, 150:11, 166:23, 167:2, 167:6, 167:9, 167:12, 167:16, 167:23, 167:25, 168:3. 168:6. 168:13, 168:16, 168:21, 169:3, 169:5, 169:19, 169:25, 189:12, 192:8, 192:14, 192:16, 192:19, 192:22, 192:25, 193:2, 193:8, 193:12, 206:13, 208:24, 213:3, 213:9, 213:12, 213:14, 219:16, 231:15, 231:21, 232:1, 232:4, 232:13, 232:15, 241:11, 241:14, 248:3, 256:22, 274:8, 285:11, 285:14, 285:16, 285:24, 286:5, 286:8, 291:10, 292:7, 300:25, 301:9, 301:15, 301:18, 301:22, 302:1, 302:5, 302:9, 302:19, 303:4, 303:10, 308:17, 316:12, 319:13, 322:8, 324:13, 325:9, 325:15,

325:20, 327:13, 327:17, 331:22, 331:24, 334:17, 334:19, 340:24, 341:12, 345:3, 350:1, 354:23, 375:25, 376:20, 382:11 Pelham's [3] - 69:12, 71:14, 138:20 penalties [44] - 11:8, 11:15, 53:7, 53:14, 72:7, 72:14, 107:17, 107:24, 132:7, 132:14, 154:24, 155:6, 173:5, 173:11, 195:21, 196:3, 210:1, 210:7, 214:12, 214:18, 222:2, 222:7, 234:5, 234:11, 244:10, 244:16, 248:20, 249:1, 259:13, 259:19, 278:1, 278:7, 287:19, 287:25, 294:11, 294:16, 305:24, 306:5, 317:25, 318:7, 341:22, 342:4, 363:3, 363:9 pending [1] - 20:5 penny [1] - 207:5 people [83] - 4:18, 5:11, 8:25, 9:2, 9:17, 14:7, 36:8, 47:11, 56:21, 59:9, 68:21, 94:10, 107:1, 107:6, 111:2, 111:3, 111:9, 111:10, 113:18, 116:19, 117:11, 139:19, 139:20, 143:1, 143:2, 144:24, 160:15, 161:21, 175:9, 178:9, 179:8, 181:13, 182:2, 186:1, 197:7, 197:23, 199:8, 203:9, 204:8, 212:8, 212:9, 212:12, 258:21, 260:1, 265:2, 265:24, 268:1, 269:9, 269:13, 270:11, 270:14, 270:16, 270:21, 270:23, 274:2, 280:8, 288:15, 288:23, 288:24, 289:9, 289:14, 289:23, 290:3. 290:10. 291:4, 291:19, 291:21, 292:16,

292:20, 292:23, 295:8, 301:13, 302:7, 330:24, 368:8, 371:16, 373:13, 374:19, 378:20, 379:4, 382:16, 383:6 people's [3] - 16:1, 182:8, 288:25 per [8] - 176:21, 176:23, 176:24, 176:25, 177:3, 181:6, 242:13, 369:9 percent [20] - 36:5, 91:25, 142:3, 142:4, 155:22, 159:25, 160:1. 176:16. 176:17, 176:22, 192:24, 268:7, 270:18, 271:24, 275:21, 275:22, 290:7, 290:19, 314:2, 314:3 percentage [5] -242:24, 242:25, 275:14, 275:16, 275:18 perfect [3] - 100:2, 195:24, 221:22 perfectly [1] - 158:11 perhaps [1] - 138:3 perimeter [1] - 368:14 period [4] - 44:12, 44:23, 74:7, 237:6 perjury [68] - 11:8, 11:9, 11:12, 11:15, 53:8, 53:10, 53:14, 72:8, 72:10, 72:14, 107:18, 107:20, 107:24, 132:7, 132:8, 132:11, 132:14, 154:25, 155:2, 155:6, 173:5, 173:7, 173:11, 195:21, 195:25, 196:3. 210:1. 210:4. 210:7, 214:12, 214:14, 214:18, 222:2, 222:4, 222:7, 234:5. 234:7. 234:11, 244:10, 244:12, 244:16, 248:20, 248:22, 249:1, 259:13, 259:15, 259:19, 278:1, 278:3, 278:7, 287:19, 287:21, 287:25. 294:11. 294:13, 294:16, 305:24, 306:1, 306:5, 318:1, 318:3, 318:7, 341:23, 341:25, 342:4,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

363:3, 363:5, 363:9 permanent [1] - 335:6 permanently [2] -21:1, 252:21 permission [8] -76:11, 76:14, 76:17, 156:17, 156:18, 289:2, 366:1, 366:7 permit [13] - 5:19, 21:7, 22:4, 52:5, 93:10, 174:7, 182:7, 198:25, 291:13, 315:19, 354:9, 364:20, 370:5 permitted [1] - 98:4 permitting [1] -200:25 perpendicular [1] -215:7 perpetuate [1] -200:22 perpetuity [3] -200:23, 205:17, 206:3 perseverance [1] -343:16 person [9] - 22:1, 61:7, 194:8, 208:19, 211:22, 303:5, 303:8, 337:1 person's [1] - 181:15 personal [10] - 14:21, 16:24, 78:7, 181:2, 228:12, 252:8, 278:17, 349:17, 350:16, 354:12 personally [9] - 74:19, 112:7, 176:4, 202:20, 203:3, 204:6, 253:14, 348:1. 378:21 personnel [2] - 26:1, 279:20 pertains [1] - 345:9 PETERSON [45] -195:6, 195:8, 195:11, 195:16, 195:19, 195:22, 196:1, 196:5, 196:7, 196:9, 200:6, 200:8, 201:14, 201:23, 202:3, 202:15, 203:4, 203:13, 203:17, 203:22, 204:2, 204:10, 204:12, 204:20, 204:24, 205:2, 205:6, 205:16, 206:2, 206:7, 206:21, 206:25, 207:3, 207:9, 207:13, 207:17,

207:21, 207:25,

208:2, 208:5, 208:8, 208:18, 209:7. 209:10, 209:15 Peterson [9] - 195:16, 195:20, 196:10, 196:22, 200:5, 202:9, 202:12, 209:14, 234:18 petition [5] - 6:3, 92:2, 288:7, 292:12, 292:17 Petroleum [1] - 252:25 Pettibone [1] - 380:15 PHMSA [5] - 27:10, 27:12, 182:13, 284:10, 284:24 phone [10] - 4:16, 69:15, 70:25, 77:6, 303:6. 303:8. 310:10, 365:1, 365:2, 378:3 phones [3] - 4:15, 71:1, 71:2 phonetic [5] - 75:18, 75:22, 135:25. 147:24, 147:25 photo [3] - 323:24, 324:22, 325:23 photograph [3] -324:19, 325:12, 325:13 phrased [1] - 85:19 physician [1] - 13:12 physician's [1] - 13:12 physics [1] - 116:20 pick [1] - 122:19 Pickering [2] - 313:15, 370:14 Pickering's [1] -186:24 picking [1] - 96:9 pickup [3] - 156:11, 366:13, 366:14 picture [2] - 39:5, 217:18 pictures [1] - 323:21 piece [14] - 15:7, 60:22, 63:3, 110:11, 110:12, 119:19, 119:20, 121:16, 149:22, 169:13, 206:5, 331:6, 342:15, 348:14 pieces [4] - 111:18, 119:2, 122:6, 122:8 pipe [16] - 52:2, 106:4, 124:11, 134:14, 145:2, 193:8,

215:15, 217:15,

280:9, 280:13,

296:5, 296:15,

345:14

327:2, 327:3, 327:8,

pipefitters [1] - 247:7 pipeline [309] - 5:20, 12:9, 12:19, 12:21, 13:15, 14:4, 14:6, 14:8, 14:14, 15:1, 15:6, 15:19, 16:14, 16:16, 16:19, 17:2, 18:4, 18:9, 18:20, 19:6, 20:15, 20:16, 20:18, 21:11, 24:19, 26:15, 26:25, 29:14, 30:23, 31:13, 31:14, 33:6, 37:2, 43:3, 43:21, 51:24, 51:25, 54:8, 56:11, 58:5, 59:6, 59:7, 59:14, 60:4, 60:7, 60:10, 60:13, 61:5, 62:7, 62:8, 67:14, 75:15, 75:19, 75:23, 77:16, 77:24, 77:25, 78:19, 79:14, 80:9, 89:11, 90:10, 90:12, 90:22, 94:8, 95:7, 95:8, 95:9, 95:10, 95:17, 97:17, 97:18, 97:19, 98:20, 100:10, 103:14, 111:12, 111:18, 116:14, 116:22, 117:11, 117:21, 118:22, 121:7, 121:11, 121:17, 122:23, 124:12, 124:14, 127:15, 128:6, 134:8, 134:11, 134:15, 134:18, 135:6, 135:19, 137:19, 139:4, 139:10, 140:5, 140:6, 140:11, 140:23, 141:2, 141:8, 141:14, 141:24, 142:13, 143:6, 143:8, 151:1, 156:8, 156:24, 157:1, 157:12, 157:24, 158:23, 158:24, 159:2, 160:7, 160:10, 160:21, 162:25, 163:8, 163:15, 166:12, 168:10, 168:24, 169:6, 169:7, 172:8, 173:24, 174:7, 174:9, 174:12, 177:15, 177:19, 177:22, 178:1, 178:10, 178:22, 179:7, 179:11,

181:17, 181:20, 182:1, 182:2, 182:7, 183:3, 183:13, 183:23, 184:3, 184:5, 185:1, 185:5, 185:21, 188:3, 188:12, 190:7, 190:18, 191:3, 191:8, 194:3, 197:5, 197:6, 198:14, 198:15, 198:16, 200:3, 200:17, 200:25, 205:12, 205:14, 205:24, 205:25, 212:18, 213:5, 215:5, 215:7, 215:10, 217:12, 227:7, 229:7, 229:20, 236:7, 236:19, 240:15, 240:21, 240:25, 245:4, 245:11, 250:2, 250:11, 250:20, 252:14, 253:15, 255:11, 256:3, 260:10, 260:17, 260:22, 261:2, 261:17, 262:17, 262:22, 263:16, 264:12, 265:6, 266:1, 273:16, 279:1, 279:5, 279:7, 279:9, 279:11, 279:13, 280:9, 280:10, 280:12, 280:16, 280:19, 281:7, 282:13, 282:15, 282:17, 282:20, 286:3, 286:4, 286:6, 288:17, 288:21, 290:13, 290:16, 291:13, 291:16, 291:20, 295:6, 295:17, 296:12, 296:14, 296:18, 297:24, 298:8, 299:4, 304:11, 311:21, 312:1, 312:24, 313:17, 314:8, 320:1, 326:25, 327:8, 327:9, 334:23, 335:14, 336:6, 336:7, 337:11, 338:25, 339:1, 339:9, 342:20, 343:1, 346:12, 347:21, 350:9, 351:1, 352:6, 352:8, 353:15, 353:16,

354:10, 359:13,

365:8, 366:13,

366:22, 368:4, 368:12, 369:12, 371:5, 372:4, 372:7, 372:19, 373:5, 373:7, 373:8, 374:9, 374:19, 374:22, 378:19, 381:4, 381:6, 381:8 Pipeline [1] - 121:8 pipelines [24] - 75:25, 82:11, 86:21, 90:6, 91:2, 97:14, 100:7, 100:14, 118:12, 121:6, 121:14, 144:22, 179:21, 179:24, 196:24, 211:24, 227:5, 245:5, 245:7, 251:7, 253:3, 282:11, 337:14, 371:21 Piper [7] - 77:22, 78:9, 85:15, 85:18, 105:1, 105:15, 106:6 Piper's [1] - 105:20 pipes [1] - 335:9 place [26] - 4:6, 26:5, 56:15, 57:18, 113:25, 119:17, 119:22, 119:25, 120:1, 120:5, 158:13. 184:11. 199:4, 215:16, 238:22, 271:12, 271:14, 271:23, 274:17, 282:17, 302:17, 323:20, 344:3. 345:19. 352:7, 357:19 placed [1] - 321:8 placement [2] -334:23, 354:11 places [1] - 9:7 plan [12] - 5:11, 10:9, 107:1, 122:7, 179:17, 183:21, 303:15. 312:16. 345:21, 373:22, 373:24 planes [3] - 269:13, 269:18, 373:13 planet [1] - 134:23 planned [2] - 193:2, 294:22 planning [4] - 14:5, 196:19, 198:13, 296:18 plans [12] - 68:12, 156:24, 171:24, 171:25, 172:2, 172:9, 178:1, 179:25, 183:22, 220:18, 298:6,

373:23

179:15, 179:20,

180:4, 180:5,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

plant [42] - 20:22, 29:16, 59:10, 60:14, 140:18, 146:4, 156:23, 174:19, 175:13, 175:18, 176:4, 176:6, 177:9, 177:21, 192:9, 200:13, 200:16, 205:17, 205:20, 225:20, 229:7, 237:2, 238:10, 241:1, 241:2, 242:1, 242:5, 243:13, 253:25, 256:14, 260:10, 260:11, 261:6, 263:25, 264:5, 264:6, 266:25, 267:12, 267:15, 272:21, 314:23, 314:25 planted [1] - 156:1 planting [2] - 60:1, 65:22 plants [49] - 62:2, 128:2, 174:24, 176:16, 177:7, 177:10, 177:22, 180:13, 180:15, 223:7, 223:22, 224:16, 226:25, 228:19, 229:6, 253:22, 255:10, 255:15, 255:21, 256:12, 260:15, 260:23, 261:1, 261:11, 261:15, 261:18, 261:19, 261:20, 262:13, 262:16, 262:18, 262:22, 262:25, 263:22, 264:8, 264:12, 267:11, 267:15, 271:1, 271:3, 271:13, 272:12, 272:14, 273:19, 273:20, 290:19, 369:3, 369:16, 371:21 plants' [2] - 177:16, 227:4 plastic [1] - 131:22 plat [1] - 378:13 plates [1] - 366:15 play [2] - 224:24, 225:8 pleased [1] - 226:14 Pledge [1] - 145:5 pledge [1] - 145:5 pledges [1] - 145:6 plume [9] - 14:12, 32:25, 116:18, 116:20, 175:5, 278:25, 279:6,

279:10, 279:12 **plus** [3] - 24:1, 113:17, 198.5 pocket [2] - 94:25, 122:25 point [40] - 8:19, 31:20, 42:5, 69:12, 69:13, 77:9, 79:24, 92:1, 92:19, 94:4, 102:9, 102:13, 109:24. 111:22. 183:9, 187:15, 236:22, 237:9, 237:10, 237:14, 237:15, 253:18, 260:2, 260:3, 260:8, 269:3 273:17 282:12, 291:11, 319:25, 330:18, 336:19, 353:8, 358:25, 361:12, 361:15, 365:10, 369:19 pointed [2] - 260:9, 261:4 points [9] - 120:24, 187:11, 189:16, 196:19, 197:16, 208:10, 224:11, 235:2, 235:12 poised [2] - 158:11, 170:16 poisoning [1] - 13:14 poisonous [1] - 146:7 pole [1] - 70:18 policies [2] - 224:18, 229:11 policy [3] - 38:8, 38:10, 186:19 political [3] - 16:25, 251:17, 265:20 politicians [7] - 16:21, 16:23, 17:3, 33:2, 42:3, 42:15, 47:11 politicians' [1] - 16:15 politics [1] - 282:23 **poll** [1] - 32:4 polls [2] - 31:10, 31:20 polluting [1] - 269:14 pollution [1] - 269:8 ponder [1] - 381:10

poor [3] - 16:4, 133:7,

350:13

284:6

populated [2] -

280:13, 367:23

178:16, 264:23

portion [15] - 56:18,

58:18, 112:15,

120:13, 124:11,

124:12, 184:18,

pore [2] - 281:22,

population [3] - 60:15,

184:19, 184:21, 188:3, 188:4, 197:2, 246:11, 251:4, 334:22 portions [2] - 163:25, 356:6 position [2] - 81:3, 345:11 positive [2] - 60:9, 165:25 positively [1] - 169:17 possibility [1] - 18:20 possible [6] - 104:3, 113:5, 124:6, 261:3, 279:14, 352:10 possibly [5] - 24:8, 89:4, 105:17, 178:8, 229.25 post [1] - 237:19 post-combustion [1] -237:19 posted [1] - 156:4 posts [1] - 283:4 potato [2] - 19:2, 350:17 potatoes [3] - 350:12, 350:18, 350:22 potential [49] - 11:14, 12:25, 22:15, 23:12, 27:19, 27:20, 33:1, 34:21, 35:14, 35:25, 49:19, 53:13, 54:15, 54:22, 72:13, 73:23, 107:23, 108:25, 116:15, 122:23, 132:13, 155:5, 162:13, 166:5, 173:10, 186:4, 196:2, 197:5, 210:6, 214:17, 222:6, 234:10, 240:7, 244:15, 246:23, 248:25, 259:18, 263:6, 278:6, 279:25, 287:24, 294:15, 306:4, 307:24, 318:6, 342:3, 352:5, 356:21, 363:8 potentially [10] -15:20, 56:20, 164:15, 235:19, 235:20, 236:5, 237:19, 238:15, 240:1, 240:16 pothole [2] - 121:16, 121:20 Pothole [1] - 273:9 Powell [12] - 24:22, 25:12, 27:11, 89:21, 93:4, 158:17,

166:7, 168:12 Powell's [4] - 62:21, 63:15, 64:3, 93:15 power [10] - 175:18, 176:4, 176:5, 238:13, 281:10, 312:14, 347:14, 366:24, 369:5, 369:6 powerful [1] - 93:23 practices [3] - 16:4, 16:8, 60:1 Prairie [1] - 273:9 prairie [2] - 309:23, 311:10 preceded [1] - 8:23 precious [1] - 60:12 precludes [1] - 334:23 predate [1] - 97:23 predict [1] - 144:18 predominantly [1] -23:1 prefer [6] - 15:11, 71:16, 89:16, 112:17, 112:19, 224:10 preference [1] - 71:18 prefiled [5] - 63:17, 64:3, 83:15, 115:24, 186:24 preliminary [1] - 10:14 preparation [1] -132:24 prepared [5] - 23:1, 74:20, 109:8, 132:25, 307:24 preparedness [1] -368:9 present [3] - 129:12, 350:24, 370:17 presentation [3] -47:22, 105:13, 158:16 presented [8] - 47:20, 73:19, 80:14, 141:20, 184:15, 192:17, 312:19, 357:7 presenting [1] - 73:23 presently [1] - 355:17 preservation [3] -6:18, 94:14, 347:4 preserving [1] -311:13 president [4] - 28:25, 222:19, 226:10, 267:1 press [1] - 278:18 pressure [6] - 17:21. 62:6, 116:22, 348:5, 365:7, 368:24 pressuring [1] - 365:9 pressurized [2] -

163:15, 349:12

presume [2] - 54:10, 242:6 pretty [19] - 98:8, 98:12. 126:13. 130:20, 141:23, 143:16, 196:16, 220:5, 242:19, 257:3, 261:23, 262:3, 273:8, 276:9, 284:15, 335:18, 338:1, 370:22, 372:17 prevent [1] - 98:9 preventing [1] -113:21 prevention [1] - 14:4 prevents [2] - 27:14, 334.22 previous [4] - 7:3, 62:18. 114:1. 158:20 previously [11] - 7:2, 7:7, 62:17, 89:13, 92:8, 121:7, 154:6, 209:22, 340:20, 348:10, 363:13 price [18] - 17:9, 17:10. 122:10. 225:8, 228:23, 229:15, 229:18, 230:12, 254:19, 265:10, 266:15, 268:21, 270:22, 281:15. 281:18. 290:20, 370:13, 375:22 prices [16] - 17:15, 95:13, 180:20, 230:16, 230:17, 254:24, 255:12, 255:18. 255:23. 267:19, 267:20, 268:21, 370:18, 370:20, 371:5 primarily [3] - 6:6, 15:1, 169:5 primary [3] - 358:1, 358:12, 358:13 print [1] - 151:12 prioritize [1] - 18:9 prioritizes [1] - 15:6 priority [4] - 16:2, 157:1, 160:7, 160:8 private [2] - 210:22, 226:12 privilege [1] - 105:5 privileged [2] - 105:7, 105:10 problem [19] - 89:7, 111:2, 111:4, 118:5, 119:15, 133:14, 140:7, 191:15, 193:6, 260:12, 260:14, 260:16,

158:25, 161:17,

164:25, 165:12,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
269:9, 326:1, 340:7,	144:1, 158:4, 180:14	251:13, 282:16,	151:2, 151:4, 151:7,	103:14, 110:9,
347:25, 348:2,	profit-sharing [1] -	289:11, 290:5,	151:14, 153:22,	120:10, 120:13,
359:22, 381:2	180:14	364:24, 372:2	158:1, 161:7,	120:14, 138:7,
problems [7] - 25:22,	profitability [2] -	promise [31] - 11:15,	162:13, 163:24,	138:9, 159:11,
57:23, 76:4, 313:14,	227:18, 264:6	17:23, 53:14, 72:14,	163:25, 167:3,	161:7, 162:24,
324:7, 350:23,	profitable [2] - 222:25,	107:24, 132:14,	167:7, 168:17,	163:8, 165:20,
350:24	273:16	135:4, 135:15,	170:7, 173:22,	169:8, 169:21,
procedures [1] -	profits [2] - 18:10,	155:6, 173:11,	181:11, 194:4,	173:24, 174:8,
227:20	254:7	196:3, 197:20,	213:5, 213:7,	178:22, 180:4,
proceed [3] - 4:17,	programs [1] - 178:17	198:1, 210:7,	217:14, 218:2,	183:3, 189:17,
5:16, 288:25	progress [5] - 34:13,	214:18, 222:7,	218:5, 219:4,	189:19, 190:23,
proceeding [2] -	48:23, 50:11,	234:11, 244:16,	219:20, 226:12,	213:6, 213:8,
206:23, 240:20	113:18, 366:22	249:1, 254:6,	227:10, 231:18,	215:17, 217:7,
proceedings [4] -	prohibitions [1] -	259:11, 259:19,	231:22, 231:23,	217:22, 218:16,
8:17, 38:6, 62:14,	61:18	278:7, 287:25,	232:21, 241:11,	218:17, 219:19,
205:23	project [79] - 9:18,	294:16, 306:5,	280:18, 284:1,	231:18, 231:22,
process [11] - 21:12,	16:1, 16:20, 17:22,	318:7, 342:4, 363:9,	286:5, 288:11,	241:12, 245:14,
55:6, 57:6, 160:12,	20:15, 20:16, 21:11,	364:2, 371:4	289:1, 296:1, 296:2,	253:15, 253:18,
183:9, 224:22,	28:21, 36:4, 37:6,	promised [3] - 134:9,	296:6, 298:7,	279:8, 280:12,
227:9, 232:5,	38:22, 49:20, 51:20,	135:16, 163:17	298:15, 299:11,	281:7, 286:3, 286:6,
252:19, 290:5,	54:24, 60:18, 61:24,	promises [2] - 239:11,	299:23, 300:2, 301:3, 302:21,	296:19, 297:11,
371:25	62:1, 79:15, 88:12,	324:24	309:10, 311:4,	301:3, 302:23, 307:25, 311:2,
processes [3] -	94:20, 95:17,	prong [1] - 21:8	311:7, 311:25,	313:4, 314:8, 315:7,
223:19, 249:16,	118:23, 120:18, 122:16, 128:25,	pronunciation [1] - 332:4	316:4, 316:6, 316:7,	316:5, 316:8, 321:4,
289:4 processing [1] -	139:18, 139:19,	proof [9] - 129:6,	316:8, 319:22,	327:8, 342:20,
200:13	159:10, 139:19,	187:11, 187:13,	320:9, 323:19,	343:2, 347:18,
procure [1] - 243:11	174:12, 174:16,	285:6, 285:7,	332:3, 332:25,	361:4, 361:14,
produce [7] - 6:15,	180:23, 182:16,	285:12, 285:17,	333:3, 333:12,	367:9, 375:4, 378:6,
128:25, 199:22,	186:15, 199:20,	324:21, 372:9	333:22, 333:23,	379:4, 380:8, 380:20
263:4, 271:7, 367:9,	223:4, 226:13,	proper [7] - 77:11,	333:24, 342:15,	proposes [1] - 280:9
372:20	230:5, 234:22,	93:17, 174:13,	343:22, 344:1,	proposing [5] - 45:6,
produced [2] - 227:2,	235:1, 235:15,	285:19, 322:8,	344:3, 346:24,	82:20, 89:10, 98:23,
271:8	235:19, 239:25,	345:8, 368:15	349:17, 351:14,	99:1
producers [5] -	240:8, 245:12,	properly [2] - 84:11,	352:12, 358:16,	protect [5] - 81:3,
200:21, 222:21,	245:14, 245:16,	285:17	359:24, 375:14,	157:14, 158:2,
223:11, 250:23,	247:13, 247:23,	properties [2] - 73:2,	380:18	226:12, 330:8
350:18	251:18, 251:21,	76:22	proponent [1] - 28:20	protected [2] - 15:9,
produces [1] - 31:15	251:23, 256:12,	property [140] - 12:16,	proposal [14] - 40:10, 43:24, 43:25, 44:1,	360:1
producing [12] -	261:18, 262:19,	12:22, 15:8, 16:1,	66:2, 67:9, 68:2,	protecting [1] - 283:9 protection [2] - 120:7,
158:6, 158:7,	267:4, 271:17, 272:8, 279:8, 280:6,	18:21, 22:10, 29:17,	68:20, 68:21, 86:5,	352:11
158:24, 166:8,	280:9, 280:12,	29:24, 31:6, 35:14,	88:8, 103:21, 246:7,	protective [2] - 202:8,
169:16, 170:20,	281:4, 281:7, 282:1,	37:2, 41:9, 57:1, 57:2, 60:10, 70:13,	357:6	245:11
203:24, 225:25, 238:15, 271:9	282:3, 282:6,	75:23, 76:24, 77:3,	proposals [5] - 21:23,	protein [1] - 238:9
product [3] - 95:18,	282:15, 282:17,	79:11, 80:11, 81:3,	35:21, 109:8,	protocol [1] - 195:8
127:22, 144:25	282:20, 282:23,	81:5, 81:15, 81:20,	162:11, 353:2	prove [3] - 17:7,
production [4] - 76:1,	283:12, 291:9,	86:16, 86:20, 87:19,	propose [5] - 80:9,	26:20, 95:20
122:4, 127:23, 230:3	309:22, 344:15,	88:23, 89:1, 89:12,	82:1, 89:6, 101:5,	proves [1] - 93:22
productive [3] - 59:25,	366:22, 369:1,	89:17, 90:7, 90:9,	298:8	provide [25] - 13:3,
185:16, 343:7	372:6, 379:21	91:17, 91:18, 97:2,	proposed [101] - 6:9,	34:21, 85:2, 107:6,
productivity [1] -	projected [5] - 169:2,	97:13, 98:24, 99:1,	6:14, 6:17, 6:21,	116:4, 134:18,
100:10	180:23, 191:5,	99:2, 99:18, 103:21,	12:9, 12:19, 12:21,	153:22, 154:4,
products [6] - 226:22,	239:13, 253:4	104:3, 112:15,	14:8, 18:14, 24:19,	156:18, 157:5,
249:23, 250:6,	projections [1] -	112:25, 122:20,	26:10, 26:24, 41:6, 45:20, 54:20, 57:17,	157:8, 172:24,
250:7, 268:11,	370:15	124:8, 124:9,	58:4, 59:6, 59:7,	195:12, 214:6,
268:13	projects [21] - 8:25,	124:11, 124:13,	59:14, 63:25, 64:25,	224:25, 246:1,
professionalism [2] -	14:24, 17:17, 97:23, 183:23, 223:23,	124:14, 126:9, 127:3, 130:3, 130:4,	70:6, 70:11, 75:7,	250:6, 250:11, 279:22, 285:9,
76:20, 77:9	227:9, 231:3, 235:4,	133:18, 134:20,	79:8, 81:5, 81:24,	287:7, 301:2,
professionals [1] -	235:11, 235:16,	137:19, 142:15,	88:17, 89:12, 96:8,	305:14, 312:6, 329:7
13:12	236:14, 236:23,	142:19, 143:9,	98:11, 98:16,	provided [19] - 26:23,
profile [1] - 185:20 profit [5] - 13:2, 142:1,	237:18, 238:4,	149:22, 150:16,	102:17, 102:23,	27:4, 62:17, 74:23,
ριοιιι [ο] - 10.2, 142.1,				. ,,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

84:7, 85:19, 87:18, 114:10, 117:19, 163:12, 163:20, 163:22, 203:25, 218:22, 302:1, 307:13, 343:1, 353:5, 364:15 providers [1] - 250:22 provides [1] - 38:4 providing [1] - 73:24 provision [3] - 283:24, 288:9, 290:15 proximity [8] - 12:24, 14:16, 25:11, 25:13, 62:22, 114:7, 184:8, 302:22 PSC [42] - 8:18, 20:4, 20:8, 20:14, 21:6, 21:11, 22:5, 24:19, 27:14, 38:2, 44:25, 47:13, 78:10, 79:3, 80:4, 80:22, 81:4, 81:6, 85:23, 86:9, 90:11, 92:21, 93:9, 94:4, 99:23, 101:14, 128:24, 142:13, 144:20, 145:2, 148:19, 185:25, 206:23, 283:8, 300:22, 320:7, 342:19. 353:10. 372:1, 372:10, 372:16 PSC's [6] - 18:5, 51:16, 51:23, 96:5, 342:19, 372:1 PSI [1] - 279:7 PU-22-391 [1] - 4:10 public [33] - 5:8, 8:1, 10:6. 10:8. 14:25. 15:16, 81:9, 90:25, 91:1, 107:6, 127:16, 153:11, 153:12, 153:13, 154:3, 172:24, 187:16, 194:15, 194:16, 207:4, 207:17, 222:24, 245:11, 258:6, 258:9, 258:12, 258:25, 278:17, 284:16, 287:7. 305:15. 366:23 Public [9] - 4:4, 4:8, 7:25, 18:1, 18:7, 160:20, 211:5, 251:23, 351:16 publicly [1] - 184:10 publicly-available [1] - 184:10 pull [2] - 124:15, 303:18 pulling [1] - 337:2

pulse [1] - 223:12 pump [12] - 26:6, 144:5, 289:7, 289:8, 347:5, 347:10, 347:11, 347:14, 349:1, 349:6, 349:18, 360:6 Pumpco [1] - 246:14 pumped [1] - 177:17 punishable [2] - 11:9, 132:8 purchase [2] - 299:2, 343:10 purchasing [2] - 61:3, 343:12 pure [4] - 143:25, 174:23, 175:9, 264:13 purpose [4] - 7:4, 63:23, 188:2, 325:4 purposes [3] - 187:9, 187:14, 235:6 pursue [1] - 250:25 pursued [1] - 352:5 pursuit [1] - 181:13 push [3] - 28:21, 140:8, 366:18 pushed [2] - 66:4, 93:23 pushing [1] - 364:21 put [56] - 13:9, 23:10, 42:2, 47:24, 48:3, 49:13, 54:14, 62:11, 63:16, 86:19, 89:23, 97:16, 97:18, 100:14, 103:19, 110:15, 111:17, 113:10, 121:7, 121:11, 121:17, 121:19, 122:7, 130:2, 131:22, 134:11, 134:13, 137:18, 141:7, 142:10, 146:10, 147:24, 178:2, 181:20, 181:25, 211:10, 216:15, 272:19, 275:24, 281:6, 282:17, 288:23, 290:15, 290:25, 291:19, 291:20, 296:5, 296:20, 309:15, 311:21, 355:20, 371:3, 371:20, 371:22, 374:10, 383:6 puts [1] - 268:18 putting [10] - 55:4, 58:11, 60:4, 64:4, 141:6, 181:14,

269:19, 344:5,

349:11, 373:11

Q quagmire [1] - 47:18 qualify [2] - 237:17, 239:5 quality [2] - 76:2, 350:12 Quality [1] - 177:14 quandary [1] - 351:18 Quarter [1] - 215:25 quarter [99] - 12:18, 26:5, 40:7, 40:11, 40:14, 40:15, 40:16, 40:18, 40:19, 41:1, 46:4, 46:5, 46:10, 55:8, 55:10, 55:25, 56:7, 66:8, 67:5, 67:8, 73:4, 113:13, 125:4, 125:5, 149:21, 149:22, 149:24, 155:17, 156:1, 158:6, 158:7, 158:9, 158:10, 158:21, 158:25, 164:17, 164:18, 164:20, 164:23, 164:24, 166:8, 166:9, 167:18, 169:11, 169:14, 169:16, 170:8, 170:12, 170:14, 170:16, 170:23, 170:25, 171:1, 171:15, 171:17, 173:23, 193:20, 193:23, 216:14, 219:25, 232:24, 299:20, 301:7, 301:8, 310:18, 316:23, 317:2, 320:24, 333:15, 333:16, 342:21, 342:23, 343:5, 343:6, 343:7, 343:8, 343:15, 343:17, 343:20, 343:21, 343:24, 344:4, 344:6, 344:9, 344:12, 344:17, 346:20, 347:16, 350:18, 356:7, 356:8, 356:11, 356:12, 364:10 quarters [7] - 56:5, 164:12, 164:16, 170:9, 170:11, 222:17, 231:24

questions [212] - 6:12, 8:2, 8:4, 16:8, 16:18, 19:16, 35:1, 35:3, 36:10, 36:12, 38:3, 39:13, 44:17, 45:24, 47:16, 51:15, 52:9, 62:15, 64:16, 65:18, 67:21, 69:4, 69:5, 69:6, 69:9, 69:23, 71:9, 75:11, 79:7, 86:24, 94:12, 95:23, 97:4, 97:5, 97:7, 99:8, 100:19, 103:2, 103:3, 103:7, 103:12, 104:22, 106:9, 106:10, 106:11, 106:13, 115:23, 117:8, 119:3, 123:9, 123:10, 124:20, 126:14, 128:8, 128:14, 131:1, 133:10, 133:16, 137:12, 150:3, 150:4, 150:6, 150:7, 150:21, 152:7, 152:12, 152:14, 152:16, 153:20, 154:2, 161:1, 162:18, 162:21, 164:5, 166:22, 170:1, 170:4, 172:20, 182:22, 182:23, 182:25, 185:4, 188:8, 192:7, 193:12, 193:14, 194:24, 194:25, 195:1, 197:9, 201:2, 201:4, 201:6, 201:7, 201:9, 201:10, 208:23, 208:24, 209:9, 209:12, 212:21, 212:22, 212:23, 212:24, 212:25, 213:2, 213:15, 213:24, 214:1, 217:2, 217:3, 219:15, 220:3, 221:11, 221:15, 227:23, 227:25, 228:1, 228:2, 231:14, 232:16, 233:16, 233:20, 235:25, 236:1, 236:2, 241:10, 241:14, 243:18, 243:22, 243:24, 245:19, 245:20, 248:3, 248:10, 248:14, 252:1, 252:3, 252:4, 252:5, 256:21, 256:22,

257:14, 257:17,

257:20, 262:7, 262:8, 262:9, 262:10, 274:7, 274:8, 276:24, 277:14, 277:16, 277:17, 283:16, 284:7, 284:9, 284:23, 285:23, 286:9, 286:10, 286:20, 286:24, 287:2, 292:2, 292:7, 293:20, 293:21, 297:1, 297:4, 300:23, 300:24, 303:11, 303:12, 303:25, 305:6, 305:7, 305:9, 315:24, 316:11, 316:12, 317:5, 317:10, 317:13, 331:11, 331:19, 335:22, 335:23, 339:21, 339:22, 341:5, 341:8, 350:6, 354:18, 354:19, 356:22, 358:7, 358:9, 359:4, 359:5, 362:8, 362:12, 367:7, 367:13, 376:15, 376:17, 376:20, 379:13, 379:14, 381:14 quick [5] - 97:10, 104:25, 140:18, 154:18, 200:8 quickly [2] - 13:7, 133:8 quite [11] - 55:2, 58:7, 88:17, 110:25, 155:13, 234:18, 297:16, 299:5, 304:9, 337:18, 378:9 quote [8] - 19:12, 29:2, 29:4, 29:6, 30:2, 160:11, 202:1 quoted [1] - 30:2

R

R-14 [1] - 84:17 R-15 [2] - 84:18, 84:21 R-O-C-K-S-T-A-D [1] -154:22 R51W [3] - 155:17, 155:18, 164:19 radius [5] - 26:6, 242:1, 242:16, 243:1, 243:13 railcars' [2] - 177:24, 178:2 rain [6] - 132:5, 140:18, 179:3,

questioned [1] - 64:23

questioning [6] - 63:2,

115:13, 115:20,

131:9, 189:24,

208:20

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

257:8, 275:5, 280:7 Rainbow [1] - 174:17 raise [7] - 16:4, 16:8, 59:13, 65:23, 271:1, 273:6, 275:4 raised [1] - 65:22 raises [6] - 12:24, 14:15, 16:18, 178:11, 178:12, 215:24 raising [2] - 275:12, 350.12 ramble [1] - 375:19 ramifications [2] -82:14, 82:15 ran [1] - 174:9 rancher [2] - 59:13, 59:23 ranchers [1] - 280:16 ranchers' [1] - 281:11 Randall [3] - 52:25, 53:4. 54:1 **RANDALL** [5] - 53:4, 53:9, 53:12, 53:16, 53:20 Randy [1] - 54:1 range [9] - 12:17, 147:16, 149:20, 149:23, 180:21, 215:2, 303:14, 306:16, 307:5 ranging [1] - 5:20 Rapids [2] - 244:7, 244:22 rare [1] - 178:12 Rastetter [1] - 231:4 rate [1] - 13:17 rates [2] - 281:8, 336:16 rather [3] - 15:23, 249:9, 322:11 ratified [1] - 232:12 re [6] - 7:1, 101:12, 127:10, 154:6, 324:2, 361:8 re-opened [6] - 7:1, 101:12, 127:10, 154:6, 324:2, 361:8 reach [4] - 13:7, 127:9. 280:22. 344:10 reached [4] - 22:6, 183:10, 345:16, 346:24 reaching [1] - 26:16 read [18] - 12:12, 35:17, 41:5, 58:24, 101:15, 124:2, 133:15, 151:11, 196:14, 196:15, 196:17, 200:24, 211:16, 224:10, 226:16, 233:7,

282:22, 341:2 reading [4] - 212:17, 256:3, 278:19, 283:5 ready [4] - 130:11, 158:19, 245:10, 301:14 real [15] - 77:8, 97:10, 118:16, 127:16, 154:18, 164:21, 168:8, 168:22, 169:4. 171:23. 172:4, 216:22, 219:18, 336:25, 359:18 realize [3] - 181:24, 379:6, 380:13 realized [1] - 175:11 really [51] - 8:15, 8:24, 9:9, 34:13, 39:20, 55:2, 59:8, 70:23, 80:25, 90:24, 99:25, 110:14, 111:19, 117:7, 117:11, 127:13, 127:14, 149:14. 149:15. 149:18, 150:24, 160:1, 211:5, 212:7, 232:8, 243:4, 260:9, 262:5, 266:14, 269:19, 273:12, 275:5. 295:3. 295:12, 295:22, 302:20, 321:17, 328:1, 330:13, 330:14, 336:16, 338:22, 359:1, 359:13, 361:25, 368:23, 369:24, 372:24, 378:22, 382:22 reask [1] - 356:22 reason [9] - 17:22, 136:6, 161:20, 182:9. 199:1. 260:22, 290:20, 337:13, 338:12 reasonable [4] -29:13, 29:22, 30:25, 61:8 reasons [13] - 74:1, 94:10, 116:15, 120:15, 134:18, 158:20, 178:10, 200:17, 256:17, 267:9, 268:6, 268:9, 361:20 reassure [1] - 13:5 rebuild [1] - 121:25 recalling [1] - 41:25

recap [3] - 56:16,

278:20, 284:15

receive [10] - 7:6,

44:12, 215:5, 219:1,

219.9 240.9 240:13, 325:22, 348:14, 369:15 received [25] - 7:7, 25:7, 35:13, 39:22, 43:2, 43:14, 44:5, 76:8, 77:14, 87:15, 92:5, 92:17, 110:2, 113:12, 147:13, 162:5, 165:5, 165:23, 189:13, 219:12, 308:18, 319:1, 319:14, 323:7, 328:8 receiver [2] - 181:4, 181.5 receiving [2] - 47:11, 159:12 recently [5] - 80:6, 109:6, 238:8, 259:5, 307:22 reception [1] - 133:7 recess [2] - 331:13, 383:10 Recess [3] - 106:22, 153:7. 258:20 recitation [1] - 289:21 recite [2] - 289:17, 289:20 reclaiming [1] -121:14 reclamation [2] - 98:9, 227.12 recognize [3] - 81:4, 91:13, 330:10 recognizing [2] - 9:1, 339:16 recommend [3] -336:14, 336:15, 336:17 reconsideration [6] -6:4, 92:2, 106:3, 351:12, 351:13, 364:17 reconvene [2] - 258:3, 258:19 record [40] - 5:7, 7:3, 7:4, 7:14, 17:16, 28:10, 30:13, 30:14, 53:2, 55:11, 64:6, 72:2, 83:17, 91:23, 107:13, 131:11, 154:8, 154:20, 173:1, 185:11, 187:10, 187:11, 188:7, 191:21, 192:1, 195:15, 207:17, 224:5, 235:7, 253:6, 259:1, 262:5, 294:6, 305:20, 317:21,

recorded [5] - 289:21, 344:8, 355:24, 356:1 recording [1] - 281:19 recordings [1] - 365:5 records [2] - 16:17, 76:15 recounting [1] - 50:10 recourse [1] - 352:4 recover [1] - 280:18 recovering [1] -175:23 recovery [7] - 17:22, 251:1, 252:18, 252:22, 261:3, 369:4, 373:20 **RECROSS** [2] - 70:3, 104:23 rectangle [2] - 125:19, 125:22 red [5] - 319:18, 332:2, 332:9, 333:20, 345:8 Red [4] - 134:12, 272:15, 274:25, 321:19 reddish [3] - 332:17, 332:18, 333:20 reddish-orange [2] -332:18, 333:20 redirect [9] - 46:23, 69:8, 103:8, 128:15, 152:17, 317:15, 339:24, 362:14, 379:16 **REDIRECT** [5] - 47:1, 69:10, 103:10, 128:17, 379:18 redress [1] - 383:7 reduce [8] - 118:9, 140:24, 166:13, 227:1, 236:11, 238:5, 238:6, 238:10 reduced [1] - 142:3 reduces [1] - 141:12 reducing [3] - 14:21, 254:7, 273:3 Reduction [1] -364:22 reduction [1] - 236:22 reference [5] - 37:23, 92:10, 115:24, 149:11, 238:19 referenced [10] -28:24, 30:3, 91:15, 114:6, 114:7, 194:9, 251:2, 251:3, 253:1, 283:21 referencing [7] -84:11, 85:4, 114:16, 117:21, 117:25, 125:2, 313:15

referred [3] - 167:18,

341:2, 365:12

referring [2] - 97:14, 114:9 reflect [1] - 14:21 reflecting [1] - 275:8 refrain [2] - 4:21, 284:23 refusal [1] - 116:4 refused [2] - 156:4, 298:24 regard [2] - 27:15, 163:20 regarding [23] - 4:19, 23:1, 32:14, 33:17, 34:8. 36:3. 43:2. 44:19, 63:11, 68:2, 101:18, 101:25, 102:23, 123:14, 153:13, 153:20, 171:22, 278:25, 282:10. 284:23. 345:2, 347:18, 382:24 regardless [1] -326:25 regime [1] - 252:16 Region [1] - 273:9 registered [3] -215:25. 338:8. 338:11 regulations [5] -17:19, 273:22, 282:10, 282:11, 282:16 regulatory [1] - 252:16 reinforcements [1] -368:15 reiterate [5] - 32:13, 77:4, 82:5, 120:12, 383:4 reiterated [2] - 158:21, 158:23 rejected [3] - 198:25, 199:11, 347:24 related [21] - 28:13, 38:8, 38:15, 49:19, 63:19, 64:24, 70:5, 88:16, 120:10, 169:21, 183:25, 309:17, 322:6, 322:22, 324:22, 324:23, 329:5, 329:6, 350:14, 356:24, 364:23 relating [1] - 63:8 relation [7] - 26:21, 232:13, 320:15, 320:22, 323:5, 327:7, 338:3 relationships [1] -247:3 Relative [1] - 326:6 relative [6] - 33:23,

46:17, 91:17,

362:25, 379:8, 383:9

340:7. 341:19.

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

185:13, 187:20, 311.4 relatives [1] - 210:15 release [9] - 26:15, 118:17, 120:2, 163:9, 186:4, 193:3, 204:19, 278:24, 279:11 released [4] - 192:11, 192:23, 193:8, 193:9 releases [1] - 182:13 relevance [11] - 28:2, 28:11, 30:10, 30:15, 33:17, 200:1, 224:5, 235:7, 250:14, 340:5, 345:10 relevant [6] - 30:7, 86:3, 105:4, 184:8, 240:6. 340:5 reliability [6] - 6:23, 16:5, 16:9, 281:3, 373:4 relied [1] - 324:25 relieved [1] - 364:19 reluctant [1] - 366:17 remain [2] - 224:17, 280:3 remainder [1] - 280:15 remains [2] - 7:4, 157:1 remarks [1] - 382:13 remember [14] -18:25, 44:15, 142:23, 143:22, 144:12, 145:20, 265:12, 278:21, 282:4, 283:10, 311:9, 320:20, 327:13, 370:21 remembered [1] -149:8 remind [3] - 107:2, 155:23, 211:2 reminded [1] - 135:13 rent [9] - 123:21, 140:24, 141:2, 215:23, 222:17, 232:10, 310:2, 314:5, 368:2 renter [3] - 135:25, 140:1, 140:16 renter's [1] - 314:3 repair [7] - 321:14, 322:18, 323:21, 326:12, 335:8, 345:2, 346:9 repaired [1] - 348:16 repairs [4] - 322:6, 324:23, 337:6, 337:11 repeal [1] - 288:8 repeat [15] - 115:17,

154:7, 154:12,

163:10, 185:23, 187:17, 212:6, 240:4, 259:9, 277:19, 281:1, 281:16, 307:2, 363:14, 364:1 repeated [1] - 176:8 repeatedly [1] - 20:25 repeating [1] - 115:19 repetitive [3] - 278:14, 281:1, 284:18 replace [2] - 269:24, 288:8 replaced [1] - 311:11 replied [1] - 377:12 reply [1] - 345:17 report [2] - 207:4 reported [1] - 156:15 reporting [2] - 28:14, 32:3 reports [4] - 79:7, 116:3, 184:2, 268:14 represent [8] - 22:24, 32:1, 91:10, 109:12, 153:24, 189:1, 247:15, 270:23 representation [1] -77:1 Representative [7] -82:8, 174:5, 257:1, 257:13, 277:4, 277:18, 374:4 representative [4] -158:17, 262:1, 274:12, 369:14 Representatives [2] -249:13. 251:9 representatives [7] -28:15, 65:15, 117:6, 223:9, 301:16, 316:4, 367:21 representing [6] -75:19, 153:25, 164:3, 222:22, 245:1. 249:20 represents [3] - 250:1, 250:21, 252:14 reps [1] - 233:3 request [15] - 4:4, 142:14, 159:1, 187:3, 220:21, 251:22, 299:16, 301:23, 315:4, 319:6, 340:16, 351:24, 353:13,

353:15, 353:18

requesting [5] -

167:13, 167:14,

167:15, 375:11,

Request [2] - 101:14

requested [4] - 58:14,

80:11, 101:15, 366:1

require [8] - 79:14, 88:22, 178:18, 236:14. 236:18. 284:11, 347:5, 360:18 required [9] - 11:7, 132:6, 177:8, 177:10, 182:20, 193:7, 338:11, 347.9 360.19 requirements [5] -63:12, 100:4, 282:12, 284:24, 347:16 requires [2] - 119:10, 347:10 requiring [1] - 121:13 requisite [1] - 84:7 reroute 1921 - 18:12. 18:14. 18:21. 21:16. 21:23, 23:12, 29:17, 29:24, 35:21, 41:6, 44:19, 44:22, 45:6, 45:20, 63:25, 66:2, 68:2, 74:14, 75:7, 79:14. 79:18. 81:10. 81:25, 86:5, 88:17, 89:10, 90:3, 93:6, 98:11, 98:16, 98:22, 101:6, 103:18, 103:20, 109:8, 110:9. 110:10. 111:14, 111:24, 112:10, 113:21, 114:2, 116:16, 120:10, 120:12, 120:13, 120:20, 124:5, 124:6, 124:8, 124:9, 124:13, 130:13, 130:16, 162:10, 162:13, 167:13, 169:11, 169:22, 184:18, 184:19. 184:21. 184:24, 184:25, 185:12, 185:13, 187:21, 187:22, 286:14, 296:8, 298:20, 299:15, 299:19. 300:21. 302:20, 302:23, 311:2, 311:4, 315:6, 316:6, 333:13, 340:17, 345:9, 351:14, 365:17, 375:8. 377:11. 377:24, 378:6, 379:5 rerouted [3] - 79:21, 351:11, 375:15 reroutes [43] - 18:19, 22:10, 22:15, 23:2,

50:14, 54:15, 54:20, 54:22, 73:23, 79:8, 85:20, 86:14, 86:15, 91:6, 91:16, 96:4, 96:7, 96:8, 101:20, 102:18, 102:23, 104:6, 109:3, 110:11, 113:16, 161:7, 165:20, 166:5, 166:6, 169:8, 295:11, 307:13, 316:5, 316:8, 375:4, 380:9, 380:18 rerouting [6] - 88:22, 92:25, 108:25, 309:17, 375:7, 375:13 resale [1] - 249:18 research [8] - 81:14, 89:1, 116:3, 133:2, 139:17, 174:12, 184:2, 365:6 researched [2] -152:24, 188:15 researching [1] -68:14 reservations [1] -108:22 reservoirs [1] - 280:1 reside [1] - 253:18 residence [16] - 12:21, 24:5, 45:14, 46:9, 46:10. 58:13. 117:23, 162:24, 184:11, 217:7, 218:15, 296:10, 300:12, 312:24, 315:16, 316:18 resident [2] - 213:9, 213:11 residents [7] - 18:9, 18:17, 144:24, 247:12, 247:19, 251:19, 310:17 resolution [2] - 24:20, 201:12 resolved [2] - 127:10, 362:1 resort [1] - 227:15 Resource [1] - 336:13 resource [5] - 60:12, 96:12, 199:16, 199:19, 343:19 Resources [2] -180:10, 253:11 resources [7] - 6:19, 13:5, 94:14, 94:18, 198:4, 199:10, 369:2 respect [6] - 8:16, 63:14, 79:10, 135:1, 227:10

respected [2] - 15:9,

315:20

respectful [1] - 4:24 respectfully [1] -251:22 respective [1] -251:17 respectively [1] -177:4 respects [1] - 355:9 respiratory [2] -13:13, 13:16 respond [13] - 43:5, 43:11, 43:13, 43:14, 43:17, 44:8, 138:19, 152:10, 198:9, 279:17, 322:9, 345:4, 377:15 responded [5] - 75:21, 80:17, 113:11, 143:5, 162:11 responder [2] -116:10, 212:15 responders [12] -13:6, 117:9, 118:10, 197:12, 197:25, 203:20, 207:11, 212:17, 279:2, 280:22, 368:9, 368:14 response [24] - 35:18, 74:11, 79:13, 80:11, 80:18, 83:2, 83:5, 83:16, 84:5, 102:21, 109:25, 111:21, 124:1, 129:10, 129:11, 203:5, 279:16, 308:22, 309:9, 324:3, 340:14, 376:9, 376:10 responses [1] - 376:5 responsibility [8] -14:17, 14:21, 81:2, 101:23, 210:18, 210:21, 212:10, 283:11 responsible [2] -60:19, 223:20 rest [7] - 126:8, 225:6, 261:23, 264:5, 350:5, 381:2, 381:25 restriction [1] - 335:4 restrictions [4] -61:18, 64:4, 321:8, 321:12 result [5] - 60:2, 105:19, 281:8, 292:11, 369:2 results [2] - 202:4, 369.2 retailers [1] - 249:18 retain [1] - 304:25

retained [5] - 35:9,

44:17, 47:17, 65:7,

27:22, 31:5, 33:1,

34:14, 35:14, 35:25,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

6E-11
65:11
retroactively [1] - 290:2
return [3] - 50:1, 157:19, 258:12
revealing [1] - 183:6
revenue [3] - 289:12,
290:7, 290:19
review [2] - 41:18,
183:18
reviewed [2] - 35:21,
86:4
rhetorical [1] - 367:12
rhythm [1] - 337:3
ribbon [1] - 267:13
rich [2] - 134:11,
269:13
richer [1] - 181:22
Richland [24] - 5:23,
6:7, 73:5, 73:7,
76:14, 79:25, 80:2,
108:17, 125:4, 125:16, 133:18,
133:20, 134:11,
147:23, 148:1,
147:23, 148:1, 155:15, 156:6,
213:10, 220:7,
222:16, 231:19,
295:4, 321:17,
335:17
rid [2] - 56:7, 271:6
ridiculous [1] - 142:10
rights [12] - 29:13,
51:19, 65:10, 76:24,
158:1, 210:22,
226:12, 227:11,
283:2, 284:1,
289:13, 330:8
ring [2] - 22:18,
323:12
ripping [1] - 199:8
rise [1] - 180:11
rising [3] - 230:16,
254:19
risk [18] - 14:5, 27:19, 32:25, 59:8, 181:15,
181:21, 185:20,
211:10, 211:11,
218:22, 219:10,
312:7, 312:15,
352:7, 357:25,
360:11, 360:12
risks [7] - 13:21, 18:8,
34:20, 89:24,
118:11, 182:3, 182:4
River [3] - 134:12,
274:25, 321:19
river [8] - 134:13,
296:4, 296:5, 296:6,
299:19, 299:20,
302:22, 303:1
rivers [2] - 280:1,
303:2
1

road [29] - 40:21, 67:19, 77:15, 78:2, 78:8, 99:21, 138:21, 147:25, 152:5, 158:8, 158:12, 171:9, 178:25, 194:3, 194:8, 215:8, 215:17, 216:15, 217:25, 221:2, 272:19, 280:20, 312:12, 314:10, 315:19, 316:20, 323:18 roads [4] - 199:9, 199:11, 311:20 Rochester [1] - 161:24 rock [2] - 223:23, 273:10 rocks [5] - 140:4, 309:23, 310:19, 312:13, 312:14 ROCKSTAD [61] -154:21, 155:1, 155:4, 155:8, 155:10, 155:12, 161:4, 161:8, 161:16, 162:5, 162:7, 162:15, 163:1, 163:4, 163:10, 163:16, 163:21, 164:1, 164:6, 164:12, 164:18, 165:9, 165:13, 165:16, 165:21, 165:25, 166:6, 166:15, 167:1, 167:5, 167:8, 167:10, 167:14, 167:17, 167:24, 168:2, 168:4, 168:12, 168:15, 168:20, 169:1, 169:4, 169:10, 169:23, 170:3, 170:9, 170:11, 170:15, 170:20, 170:24, 171:5, 171:7, 171:14, 171:18, 171:25, 172:5, 172:10, 172:12, 172:14, 172:17, 172:22 Rockstad [8] - 154:21, 154:22, 154:23, 161:3, 161:6, 166:24, 172:21 rod [2] - 156:2, 159:8 rods [1] - 149:21 role [1] - 285:8 roll [2] - 9:10, 9:11 roller [1] - 273:10 rolling [1] - 272:23

room [23] - 53:7,

71:16, 72:7, 107:17. 132:3, 154:24, 173:4, 174:16, 195:21, 214:11, 222:1, 234:4, 244:9, 248:20, 259:13, 277:25, 287:18, 294:10, 305:23, 307:9, 317:25, 341:22, 363:2 Rorie's [1] - 329:6 **ROSE** [5] - 341:20, 341:24, 342:2, 342:6, 342:9 rose [1] - 351:4 Rose [16] - 319:21, 321:11, 323:18, 329:14, 333:15, 338:23, 341:17, 341:20, 342:14, 345:13, 349:10, 350:8, 352:13, 354:17, 360:24, 361:2 Rose's [1] - 335:11 rotary [1] - 238:10 rotation [5] - 230:9, 267:21, 273:13, 275:23 roughly [5] - 58:17, 175:17, 231:25, 235:2. 347:12 round [1] - 347:7 rounds [1] - 195:12 route [86] - 5:19, 6:9, 7:6, 9:5, 16:3, 21:7, 24:19, 26:10, 36:5, 43:3, 43:22, 43:25, 47:25, 50:23, 50:24, 51:18, 52:5, 56:20, 56:25, 57:13, 58:5, 59:6, 75:7, 79:16, 80:10, 81:14, 81:17, 81:24, 86:25, 87:4, 87:5, 89:6, 89:12, 95:10, 96:9, 96:11, 103:14, 105:20, 105:23, 106:2, 111:14, 112:15, 120:14, 159:3, 160:14, 161:9, 161:11, 161:13, 162:25, 165:6, 173:24, 174:11, 179:8, 179:20, 189:4, 189:7, 189:17, 190:2, 190:7, 213:6, 213:8, 217:7. 217:23. 218:17, 219:19, 220:12, 253:18, 297:11, 307:25,

310.23 312.11 313:4, 320:1, 321:4, 342:21, 347:18, 351:11, 352:8, 353:16, 354:11, 365:15, 378:11 route's [1] - 59:14 route-wise [1] -220.12 routed [3] - 122:17, 183:3, 320:25 routes [11] - 31:6, 40:24, 57:25, 113:5, 161:9, 161:18, 343:2, 347:23, 353:5, 361:5, 361:14 row [1] - 213:18 royalties [2] - 181:5, 181:6 rub [1] - 273:24 rubbed [1] - 266:8 rubber [1] - 175:7 ruin [1] - 144:15 rule [2] - 330:15, 352:24 ruled [1] - 324:1 rules [7] - 38:10, 182:16, 187:12, 273:18, 284:11, 291:23, 337:18 run [11] - 78:1, 78:8, 117:3, 117:4, 178:4, 180:4, 249:15, 272:4, 273:15, 300:15, 356:5 running [4] - 54:9, 119:19, 178:3, 311:1 runoff [1] - 179:2 runs [13] - 59:15, 60:9, 124:14, 173:24, 179:4, 184:21, 215:7, 261:22, 262:1, 296:1, 296:3, 296:6, 356:12 runway [1] - 239:15 rupture [13] - 13:15, 14:10, 26:14, 57:11, 59:16, 110:24, 115:10, 116:7, 116:15, 141:14, 145:2, 163:9, 204:19 ruptured [3] - 14:4, 14:6, 142:24 ruptures [2] - 140:11, 141:6 rural [8] - 78:10, 158:13, 199:4, 225:15, 279:20, 280:20, 281:12, 374:9

rush [2] - 255:10,

282:9

310:15, 310:16,

rushed [1] - 282:15 Russia [2] - 266:7, 268:1 **RVW** [1] - 242:2 RYAN [37] - 233:25, 234:2, 234:6, 234:9, 234:13, 234:15, 234:17, 235:11, 236:8, 236:12, 236:16, 236:20, 236:25, 237:24, 238:3, 238:8, 238:23, 239:3, 239:9, 239:17, 239:23, 240:4, 240:11, 240:18, 240:23, 241:3, 241:6, 241:13, 241:22, 242:9, 242:17, 242:20, 243:2, 243:6, 243:9, 243:19, 244:1 Ryan [3] - 233:25, 234:20, 263:10

S S-T-A-R-O-B-A [1] -72:5 sacred [1] - 211:17 sacrificed [1] - 182:8 sacrificing [1] -122:21 SAF [5] - 235:13, 235:14, 237:17, 238:19, 238:25 safe [14] - 27:7, 157:13, 163:8, 163:14, 163:17, 179:12, 183:12, 183:13, 219:4, 298:10, 302:16, 368:10, 368:16, 370:9 safeguard [1] - 18:10 safer [1] - 120:5 safest [2] - 245:5, 352:10 Safety [1] - 176:19 safety [52] - 12:20, 12:25, 14:15, 16:2, 17:19, 18:9, 25:11, 25:13, 25:21, 26:1, 27:15, 27:19, 32:25, 59:5, 59:9, 59:11, 62:21, 89:20, 89:24, 90:22, 94:16, 114:7, 115:23, 116:4, 117:10, 117:18, 120:1, 140:9, 141:1, 144:25, 145:1, 155:13, 163:18,

310:9, 310:11,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

181:21, 182:8, 182:11, 185:13, 185:15, 187:21, 196:19, 197:3, 198:10, 201:25, 202:2, 205:9, 278:23, 282:9, 282:12, 283:9, 312:16, 367:5, 370:6 sale [2] - 61:1, 61:14 saline [1] - 178:6 sample [4] - 50:1, 192:13, 192:18, 192:19 samples [1] - 192:23 sandy [1] - 348:2 Sargent [10] - 5:23, 6:6, 12:8, 12:17, 36:6. 215:1. 216:8. 220:8, 306:15, 307:4 sat [1] - 74:3 Satartia [6] - 25:21, 114:8, 114:15, 116:3, 182:15, 368:12 satisfied [2] - 63:9, 202:22 satisfy [2] - 21:8, 89:23 Saudi [1] - 149:3 save [3] - 118:7, 190:6, 368:14 saw [9] - 25:17, 32:22, 35:23, 100:10, 144:14, 203:9, 220:19, 287:11, 296:14 scale [2] - 251:12, 286:18 scar [3] - 97:12, 121:10, 121:22 scared [1] - 266:5 scarred [2] - 90:7 scars [1] - 90:22 scenarios [1] - 186:4 scene [2] - 368:10, 368:16 scenes [1] - 282:23 scheduled [3] - 6:5, 176:12, 208:8 Schmidt [1] - 179:23 Schock [25] - 7:25, 8:1, 65:18, 97:7, 106:12, 124:22, 150:22, 170:4, 193:14, 209:1, 213:16, 219:17, 232:16, 241:16, 248:5, 256:23, 274:10, 286:10, 292:8, 303:12, 316:14, 335:23, 359:5, 376:21,

381:17 **SCHOCK** [10] - 97:9, 124:24, 219:18, 219:23, 220:2, 303:13, 303:17, 316:16, 335:24, 336:2 school [2] - 158:15, 167:21 schoolhouse [8] -342:22, 343:5. 343:8, 343:17, 344:6, 344:9, 344:17, 356:7 schools [3] - 210:16, 235:22, 281:12 Schovanec [1] - 75:14 Science [1] - 4:12 scope [2] - 282:16, 291:11 score [24] - 180:8, 227:8, 235:2, 235:5, 235:12, 235:14, 235:19, 236:11, 237:4, 237:7, 237:10, 237:17, 238:1, 238:7, 238:14, 254:7, 260:14, 260:17, 263:15, 263:16, 269:17, 273:19, 349:17. 373:12 scores [5] - 224:16. 225:18, 228:14, 228:19, 250:21 Scottish [1] - 209:19 scratched [1] - 283:3 scream [1] - 212:2 screw [1] - 144:15 scrub [1] - 177:8 SCS [8] - 5:17, 7:18, 75:13, 75:19, 77:21, 84:17, 84:21, 364:25 scuba [1] - 13:22 Sea [1] - 266:7 seams [2] - 178:7, 188:16 search [2] - 15:16, 92:20 searching [1] - 161:21 season [2] - 142:4, 212:21 seat [1] - 136:23 seats [1] - 153:9 second [20] - 36:21, 36:24, 42:6, 77:14, 99:21, 110:5, 142:4, 159:14, 159:16, 195:23, 197:2, 197:17, 198:5, 201:3, 210:2, 289:5,

308:15, 308:20,

340:6, 375:12

secret [4] - 32:19, 33:2, 33:24, 185:25 Secretary [1] - 293:2 secretary [1] - 137:4 section [71] - 12:18. 40:7, 46:3, 55:9, 55:24, 56:8, 56:9, 63:18, 66:8, 66:13, 66:21, 67:2, 67:6, 70:8, 73:3, 73:4, 73:9, 73:10, 75:15, 75:16, 75:19, 75:20, 75:24, 77:16, 77:24, 78:4, 78:5, 78:11, 78:15, 79:5, 80:10, 81:1, 97:11, 98:12, 99:14, 105:21, 106:4, 125:4, 125:6, 125:9, 133:22, 147:16, 149:21, 149:23, 151:18, 155:17, 155:18, 164:19, 169:14, 170:9, 170:12, 170:13, 170:15, 170:18, 170:24, 171:18, 173:21, 193:19, 215:2, 219:25, 232:24, 301:7, 316:19, 316:21, 316:23, 317:2, 356:8, 364:4, 364:5, 364:12, 374:14 sections [6] - 73:3, 170:7. 170:8. 306:16, 307:5, 377:2 secured [2] - 18:19, see [86] - 9:17, 10:9, 14:25, 17:23, 20:8, 22:19, 23:24, 37:9, 37:11, 41:17, 44:25, 50:3. 50:17. 60:8. 71:2, 78:19, 79:19, 81:21, 83:6, 84:16, 85:22, 86:3, 86:14, 92:16, 97:10, 97:12, 113:19, 117:14, 121:10. 130:16. 134:15, 138:15, 145:19, 145:20, 145:21, 147:5, 151:25, 161:11, 167:12, 174:7, 182.6 183.20 186:2, 186:5, 186:10, 188:24, 189:3, 189:17, 192:12, 193:21, 197:17, 211:21,

224:25, 242:4, 256:1, 258:3, 258:9, 262:20, 263:1, 270:7, 271:25, 291:24, 292:11, 293:24, 296:11, 297:13, 299:18, 302:20, 303:3, 305:12, 308:6, 311:16, 312:16, 314:24, 317:2, 334:7, 334:24, 356:11, 363:23, 369:10, 382:16 seed [1] - 249:16 seeing [2] - 97:20, 177:20 seek [1] - 383:7 seem [7] - 16:16, 16:17, 18:5, 87:13, 278:14, 326:10, 353:5 seemingly [1] - 283:1 segregation [1] - 98:9 seizure [1] - 288:23 self [1] - 16:24 sell [9] - 157:23, 227:2, 229:2, 235:15, 272:13, 290:14, 290:15, 369:25, 380:2 seller [1] - 158:4 selling [6] - 95:16, 238:21, 255:16, 255:22, 290:22, 370:22 Senator [1] - 148:20 send [8] - 24:1, 50:13, 104:6, 129:18, 148:5, 208:15, 307:18, 309:2 senior [1] - 160:2 sensations [1] -175:20 sense [4] - 92:25, 235:17, 358:10, 371:23 sensible [1] - 366:23 sensitive [1] - 309:22 sent [19] - 18:13, 22:9, 22:14, 23:21, 36:22, 49:1, 74:24, 80:17, 82:19, 102:16, 112:9, 129:16, 149:4, 165:20, 183:10, 219:5, 307:16, 307:25, 308:22 sentence [1] - 36:24 separate [3] - 23:6, 81:24, 105:1

separated [1] - 284:5

separates [1] - 148:1

separation [1] - 100:4 September [2] - 6:2, 77:13 sequence [1] - 205:15 sequester [1] - 21:1 seauestered [6] -95:10, 240:10, 252:21, 280:3, 369:8 sequestering [1] -283:6 sequestration [16] -62:22, 95:18, 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 189:20, 189:24, 190:3, 190:5, 190:9, 190:16, 223:5, 223:24, 227:17, 251:4, 252:15 serious [5] - 12:24, 16:4, 16:8, 18:8, 351:1 serve [5] - 4:5, 14:25, 234:20, 249:11, 251.9 served [8] - 8:23, 77:2, 136:12, 136:15, 137:2, 137:8, 150:19 server [2] - 137:3 service [3] - 239:14, 249:19, 251:8 Service [10] - 4:4, 4:8, 7:25, 18:1, 18:7, 160:20, 211:6, 251:23, 336:13, 351:16 services [4] - 179:16, 198:4, 198:9, 202:19 session [2] - 182:14, 270:5 sessions [1] - 211:21 **Set** [1] - 376:9 set [7] - 4:7, 23:25, 71:3, 235:18, 291:22, 318:12, 349:13 setback [3] - 179:24, 180:3, 261:16 setting [1] - 350:17 settlers [1] - 209:19 setup [1] - 368:2 seven [11] - 158:12, 167:19, 168:18, 212:11, 223:8, 233:11, 278:13, 293:3, 299:21, 299:25, 300:3 several [15] - 5:2, 17:10, 30:11, 60:9, 121:4, 121:24, 123:18, 141:11,

187:5, 187:8,

201:10, 210:24,

212:13, 212:18,

219:24, 220:24,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

285:20, 343:18,
361:4
severe [1] - 60:5
severity [1] - 175:22 shall [2] - 288:14,
335:5
shallow [1] - 336:14
SHANNON [17] -
244:5, 244:11, 244:14, 244:18,
244:20, 244:22,
245:25, 246:3,
246:9, 246:15,
246:21, 246:25, 247:3, 247:9,
247:14, 247:18,
247:24
Shannon [3] - 244:5,
248:8, 248:11 share [14] - 21:23,
58:22, 59:6, 59:12,
73:18, 120:23,
181:7, 254:6, 262:4,
281:6, 311:18, 313:25, 326:9,
348:20
sharecrop [3] -
364:10, 377:3, 380:6
shared [9] - 26:13, 74:9, 113:24,
183:10, 202:10,
203:15, 203:17,
307:14, 330:17
shares [1] - 254:22 sharing [3] - 174:14,
180:14, 350:16
Sharpie [1] - 319:19
sheds [1] - 70:18
sheer [1] - 156:19 sheet [7] - 5:6, 5:10,
107:4, 154:17,
193:22, 293:25,
305:12
sheets [1] - 5:2
shelter [2] - 26:5, 119:25
sheltering [2] -
119:25, 120:5
Sheri [1] - 126:21
sheriff [4] - 143:5, 156:6, 202:18,
202:21
shift [1] - 280:7
shifting [2] - 312:13,
312:14 Shirley [1] - 23:5
shoot [3] - 106:20,
133:24, 218:12
shoots [1] - 356:13
shop [7] - 70:18, 70:22, 295:13,
297:15, 297:17,
300:7, 368:2
I .

```
shops [1] - 71:3
short [5] - 106:19,
 108:21, 130:1,
 234:17. 249:9
shortening [1] -
 292:18
shoulders [1] - 145:24
show [16] - 15:15,
 121:4, 121:5,
 128:25, 166:17,
 184:3, 184:10,
 198.19 198.20
 208:11, 214:5,
 227:10, 257:25,
 258:5, 324:6, 324:24
showed [7] - 75:15,
 81:23, 146:9, 215:6,
 216:12, 217:18,
 220:12
showing [6] - 17:23,
 54:14, 92:24, 99:7,
 188:25, 257:2
shown [5] - 8:16,
 89:14, 99:22,
 333:20, 333:21
shows [5] - 4:1, 56:17,
 78:11, 199:14, 257:8
Shuman [1] - 215:2
shut [3] - 62:7,
 116:23, 310:13
shut-off [1] - 116:23
shutdown [1] - 176:12
shuttles [1] - 242:2
sic [2] - 123:22,
 138:12
sick [1] - 176:9
side [28] - 65:22,
 66:20, 67:18, 75:4,
 81:16, 89:2, 97:15,
 121:9, 147:25,
 171:8, 171:10,
 173:25, 174:1,
 178:22, 179:5,
 182:4, 193:11,
  194:3, 215:8, 218:4,
  243:7, 250:24,
 256:2, 295:18,
 295:19
side-by-side [2] -
 97:15, 121:9
sidenote [1] - 282:4
sides [1] - 140:20
sign [15] - 5:5, 36:9,
 65:2, 65:10, 107:4,
 112:4, 137:5,
 158:19, 198:19,
 199:14, 204:16,
 264:16, 293:25,
 297:22, 305:12
sign-in [3] - 107:4,
```

293:25, 305:12

signatures [2] -

292:12, 293:5

```
signed [42] - 18:16,
 23:13, 37:1, 37:4,
 37:8, 37:15, 37:16,
 54:24, 56:6, 66:10,
 66:15, 66:20, 69:17,
 78:21, 81:16, 89:3,
 107:3, 110:18,
 144:8, 148:22,
 159:25, 179:24,
 180:3, 194:9, 215:9,
 222:16, 222:18,
 232:1, 270:18,
 281:14, 281:17,
 301:24, 302:5,
 320:19, 344:2,
 352:15, 352:17,
 361:19, 364:11,
 365:21, 365:23,
 366:24
significance [1] - 15:7
significant [5] - 17:15,
 58:18, 98:8, 354:7,
 367:22
significantly [6] -
 100:3, 126:6,
 166:13, 227:1,
 228:24, 273:3
signing [2] - 294:1,
 305:13
silenced [1] - 4:16
similar [10] - 28:10,
 30:14. 33:17. 34:3.
 34:7, 73:16, 154:11,
 154:13, 200:4, 315:7
Simmental [1] - 65:25
simple [5] - 30:13,
 41:21, 51:17, 51:21,
 332:25
simpler [1] - 8:20
simply [1] - 118:14
sincerely [1] - 345:15
single [3] - 73:15,
 74:9, 123:23
singled [2] - 80:4
sink [1] - 339:18
Sioux [2] - 365:12,
 377:17
sister [7] - 66:19,
 134:6, 135:14,
 137:22, 142:17,
 150:25, 215:19
sister's [1] - 151:8
sisters [2] - 13:11,
 13:13
sit [7] - 47:21, 62:8,
 262:1, 262:2,
 262:12, 264:3,
 358:21
site [7] - 26:2, 26:6,
 115:10, 116:7,
```

```
sites [2] - 211:17,
                             211:18
                            siting [4] - 18:2,
                             174:7, 198:22, 372:8
                            Siting [1] - 18:3
                            sits [3] - 117:1,
                             217:13, 296:15
                            sitting [8] - 7:18, 47:5,
                             87:25, 88:1, 273:23,
                             276:12. 346:3.
                             371:10
                            situation [8] - 98:10,
                             104:14. 178:21.
                             181:15, 215:10,
                             295:4, 295:25, 370:8
                            six [7] - 117:16,
                             267:15, 268:17,
                             300:3, 360:4, 360:5,
                             374:11
                            sixth [1] - 209:19
                            sixth-generation [1] -
                             209:19
                            size [3] - 116:21,
                             144:22, 282:16
                            sized [1] - 276:21
                            skeptical [1] - 14:19
                            sleep [1] - 183:18
                            sleepless [2] - 34:15,
                             218:23
                            slide [1] - 280:8
                            slight [1] - 78:18
                            slightly [2] - 125:19,
                             320.8
                            sloughs [3] - 56:1,
                             57:15, 61:13
                            slow [1] - 336:16
                            small [5] - 61:8, 111:5,
                             242:24, 328:5,
                             350:13
                            smart [2] - 266:11,
                             296:9
                            smarter [1] - 266:10
                            smell [2] - 175:6,
                             175:11
                            smelling [1] - 175:7
                            smells [1] - 175:8
                            smog [1] - 269:8
                            snow [1] - 311:23
                            snowmelt [1] - 179:2
                            so.. [9] - 62:25,
                             100:18, 102:8,
                             147:3. 152:4.
                             238:18, 247:5,
                             257:9, 334:9
                            soil [12] - 14:15, 60:2,
                             60:3, 76:2, 134:12,
                             140:22, 141:13,
                             142:25, 324:24,
                             336:21, 336:24,
                             337:17
 200:3, 236:13, 372:4
                            soils [4] - 335:17,
site's [1] - 200:9
                             336:11, 337:9, 348:2
sited [1] - 188:13
                            sold [5] - 157:25,
```

```
253:21, 253:24,
 281:15, 281:17
solely [1] - 344:16
solid [4] - 344:3,
 344:9, 344:13,
 356:12
solution [10] - 22:7,
 22:10, 78:7, 109:1,
 198:22, 226:1,
 260:16, 298:18,
 358:22
Solutions [13] - 67:25,
 101:16, 157:12,
 159:17, 181:16,
 182:7, 211:16,
 261:7, 261:17,
 261:20, 358:15,
 361:5, 377:11
solutions [5] - 24:21,
 69:18, 73:23, 75:4,
 165:20
solve [1] - 359:22
someone [15] - 15:8,
 71:21, 93:23, 96:8,
 96:24, 120:6, 126:9,
 181:25. 196:25.
 198:20, 199:14,
 338:12, 338:13,
 339:1, 357:11
someplace [1] -
 127:23
sometime [3] - 35:11,
 290:12. 301:21
sometimes [5] -
 178:18, 227:13,
 275:6, 345:19, 358:9
somewhere [6] -
 238:20, 260:25,
 271:22, 298:9,
 298:11, 302:14
son [5] - 300:8, 300:9,
 342:16, 355:2,
 358:24
sons [1] - 276:18
soon [4] - 121:23,
 137:21, 157:23,
 179:18
sorry [23] - 22:21,
 39:21, 49:10, 66:24,
 85:8, 122:18, 150:1,
 215:14, 235:6,
 247:6, 276:25,
 299:22, 306:22,
 306:23, 316:18,
 332:13, 338:21,
 340:15, 341:4,
 341:6, 345:23,
 355:14, 358:6
sort [3] - 134:22,
 198:18, 275:13
sorts [1] - 205:21
soul [1] - 369:25
sound [3] - 147:5,
```

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

159:23, 355:12
sounds [3] - 212:2,
338:10, 378:9
source [1] - 166:4 sources [1] - 279:25
South [13] - 149:3,
181:19, 184:1, 189:18, 190:8,
240:3, 245:2,
260:22, 261:16,
272:8, 365:12, 366:20, 366:25
south [34] - 40:17,
41:1, 41:3, 55:18,
80:10, 99:2, 138:18,
142:18, 151:25,
169:14, 170:24, 171:1, 171:2, 171:8,
174:1, 171:2, 171:8,
272:14, 286:3,
286:13, 295:5,
295:19, 296:2,
296:7, 299:21,
299:24, 299:25, 302:25, 311:2,
316:22, 353:3,
364:7, 367:18,
367:24
southeast [3] - 40:11,
55:10, 97:12
southern [1] - 56:18 southwest [13] - 46:3,
46:5, 46:9, 66:8,
67:8, 98:25, 158:15,
167:22, 170:23,
232:24, 275:1,
316:22, 343:20 soy [1] - 249:23
soybean [6] - 200:13,
200:16, 249:21,
250:1, 267:21,
273:13
Soybean [1] - 249:25
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17, 358:10
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17, 358:10 speaks [3] - 17:17,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17, 358:10 speaks [3] - 17:17, 203:22, 334:20
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17, 358:10 speaks [3] - 17:17,
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17, 358:10 speaks [3] - 17:17, 203:22, 334:20 special [3] - 7:24, 17:4, 290:4 species [1] - 178:15
Soybean [1] - 249:25 soybeans [12] - 122:8, 122:9, 142:3, 249:18, 249:23, 267:20, 268:12, 273:11, 275:22, 276:3, 276:5, 276:7 space [5] - 188:10, 188:12, 188:16, 281:22, 284:6 speaking [8] - 25:1, 31:21, 114:16, 201:21, 214:25, 252:8, 300:17, 358:10 speaks [3] - 17:17, 203:22, 334:20 special [3] - 7:24, 17:4, 290:4

```
63:11, 63:18, 87:24,
                           spokesperson [1] -
  133:21, 134:17,
                             288.7
 148:7, 164:14,
                           spook [1] - 18:23
  178:17, 219:4,
                           spot [4] - 56:3, 56:11,
 219:8, 254:18,
                             110:15. 220:6
 284:21, 299:16,
                           spots [3] - 56:13,
  302:10, 345:8,
                            348:1, 348:2
  346:17, 348:13,
                           spring [2] - 140:7,
  348:17, 348:20,
                            257:3
  349:23, 349:25,
                           square [1] - 116:24
  350:9, 357:13,
                           stack [2] - 109:25,
 358:15
                            302:3
specifically [19] -
                           stage [3] - 5:4, 9:9,
 22:4, 40:14, 70:16,
                            235:18
 98:3, 125:12,
                           stamped [1] - 289:22
 128:24, 187:16,
                           stance [1] - 16:15
 187:20, 223:18,
                           stand [9] - 10:25,
 231:9, 291:12,
                             17:3, 181:5, 210:18,
 320:7, 325:18,
                            252:1, 287:11,
 328:20, 334:24,
                             303:21, 362:23,
 337:21, 357:7,
                            383:9
 359:9, 366:7
                           standard [2] - 48:19,
specifications [1] -
                             352:21
                           standards [7] - 27:14,
specificity [1] - 204:3
                             98:9, 182:13, 224:3,
specifics [1] - 323:6
                             224:15, 225:23,
specifies [1] - 6:8
                            229:4
specify [1] - 228:13
                           standing [1] - 205:25
speculate [3] - 229:9,
                           standout [1] - 241:19
 256:17, 264:15
                           standpoint [3] - 57:13,
speculation [3] -
                             118:20, 238:11
 190:12. 264:13.
                           stands [3] - 280:24,
 371:8
                            329:3, 344:21
speech [1] - 201:15
                           Staroba [14] - 71:25,
speedily [1] - 258:14
                             72:1, 72:5, 72:6,
spell [8] - 11:2,
                            73:2, 93:10, 94:1,
 107:13, 131:13,
                             96:3, 104:25,
 173:1, 195:17,
                             106:15, 110:1,
 209:20, 287:16,
                             121:8, 122:19
 294:8
                           STAROBA [5] - 72:4,
spelled [4] - 131:15,
                            72:9, 72:12, 72:16,
 131:18, 131:19,
                            72:20
 221:25
                           start [29] - 7:14, 8:11,
spelling [6] - 53:3,
                             10:4, 10:9, 11:2,
 72:3, 131:23, 234:1,
                            51:23, 53:2, 59:4,
 305:20, 362:25
                             72:2, 134:19,
spells [1] - 175:20
                             153:11, 153:12,
spend [4] - 122:13,
                             174:14, 195:9,
 129:9, 249:20,
                            236:25, 238:15,
 297:16
                            258:21, 259:1,
spent [6] - 59:24,
                            260:24, 272:19,
 82:19, 223:2, 328:2,
                            272:23, 287:10,
 331:10, 354:4
                            287:12, 305:19,
spike [1] - 266:4
                             317:20, 318:23,
spiked [2] - 265:25,
                             319:18, 320:5,
 266:4
                             362:24
spill [2] - 177:23,
                           started [14] - 4:2,
 204:19
                             10:21, 111:17,
spirit [1] - 182:11
                             137:15, 153:10,
Spiritwood [6] -
                             174:8, 174:12,
 260:15, 260:19,
                             175:6, 175:18,
```

263:25, 272:18,

272:21, 369:17

```
starting [4] - 139:18,
 361:12, 361:15
starts [4] - 205:12,
 296:6, 309:1, 332:4
starved [1] - 59:17
state [62] - 11:8, 12:6,
 32:5, 53:25, 72:25,
 94:10, 107:13,
 108:9, 131:11,
 137:7, 139:11,
 145:1, 146:6, 149:2,
 154:19, 180:16,
 180:24, 181:1,
 181:3, 181:7,
 181:11, 188:4,
 199:2, 199:3,
 222.21 222.22
 222:23, 223:2,
 223:7, 223:8, 224:1,
 225:11, 225:13,
 226:25, 228:18,
 233:11, 240:14,
 241:1, 245:14,
 247:16, 249:21,
 250:10, 261:8,
 261:15, 261:21,
 261:22, 266:2,
 267:15, 273:22,
 289:13, 290:20,
 291:1, 292:23,
 292:24, 294:5,
 314:24, 330:15,
 337:18, 341:18,
 346:6, 357:9, 368:1
State [16] - 4:12, 82:7,
 94:21, 95:12,
 156:23, 174:5,
 181:3, 281:23,
 281:25, 282:2,
 282:5, 283:25,
 284:1, 285:8, 293:2,
 337:12
state's [7] - 17:19,
 180:19, 180:25,
 181:4, 223:6,
 223:21, 227:3
state-based [1] -
 222:21
statement [13] - 31:8,
 42:1, 142:13, 202:2,
 211:22, 226:17,
 226:18, 228:15,
 233:5, 233:7,
 262:16, 264:11,
 272:8
statements [8] -
 12.12 28.16 28.24
 29:8, 93:16, 132:25,
 354:2, 367:12
States [7] - 29:1,
 146:13, 149:2,
```

231:9, 255:6, 263:5,

267:23

```
states [10] - 59:8,
 59:19, 101:17,
 128:3, 199:23,
 224:18, 229:3,
 239:14, 247:19
statewide [3] - 288:24,
291:2, 292:22
stating [11] - 53:2,
72:2, 76:9, 80:8,
 164:22, 166:10,
 215:11. 259:1.
 305:20, 317:21,
 362:24
Station [1] - 174:17
statistics [3] - 58:12,
230:5, 230:6
stats [1] - 225:11
statute [2] - 117:21,
118:15
stay [8] - 82:12, 89:17,
 98:24, 223:15,
 251:5, 290:20,
 315:16, 366:8
steadfast [1] - 343:15
steady [1] - 302:11
steal [1] - 369:11
steam [1] - 174:18
steel [1] - 336:17
steep [1] - 310:19
step [3] - 137:19,
251:24, 354:8
steps [1] - 81:7
Stern [2] - 4:11
Steve [2] - 8:7, 79:2
steward [2] - 59:23,
 157:8
stewards [2] - 269:16,
354:4
stewardship [2] -
227:12, 371:20
stick [2] - 68:15, 334:1
sticks [1] - 333:25
still [44] - 17:10,
 24:16, 57:2, 60:8,
 76:4, 77:12, 85:9,
 89:11, 89:12, 90:3,
 90:4, 90:5, 96:21,
 97:20, 113:25,
 120:13. 121:20.
 121:21, 121:22,
 124:11, 124:12,
 145:21, 145:23,
 154:8, 160:8,
 180:15, 211:3,
 217:25, 218:1,
 218:12, 295:9,
 298:22, 303:21,
 304:15, 310:10,
 320:1, 320:14,
 324:7, 351:17,
 365:25, 373:13,
 381:8
stock [1] - 371:3
```

291:8, 292:17, 295:7

238:9, 272:20,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

stole [1] - 145:10 stolen [1] - 15:21 stood [2] - 148:21, 156:5 stop [4] - 146:15. 291:2, 291:3, 350:25 stopped [5] - 48:20, 49:2, 65:1, 65:9, 112:5 stopping [1] - 330:17 storage [6] - 20:22, 21:14, 52:1, 240:2, 255:16, 281:22 store [7] - 18:5, 122:13, 122:14, 178:8, 240:2 stored [1] - 198:23 stories [1] - 270:13 storing [2] - 20:21, 211:7 storms [1] - 281:9 story [2] - 155:24, 364:2 straight [5] - 56:17, 57:16, 89:9, 175:5, 356:13 strain [1] - 140:22 strangers [1] - 15:11 stream [1] - 176:15 street [1] - 200:15 Strege [1] - 304:10 strength [1] - 144:23 stressing [1] - 236:4 stretch [1] - 300:2 stricken [1] - 64:6 strike [9] - 64:2, 186:24. 187:3. 322:22, 327:3, 329:17, 346:14, 346:15, 346:17 strikes [13] - 321:11, 322:6, 326:11, 326:25, 327:12, 327:17, 328:10, 328:19, 328:22, 329:2, 329:9, 329:10, 336:4 striking [1] - 336:5 **strip** [2] - 283:2, 355:11 strong [5] - 4:19, 14:11, 175:6. 175:15, 253:4 struck [2] - 246:19, 336:8 structure [4] - 71:6, 120:1, 120:8, 321:18 **structures** [6] - 27:20, 70:6, 70:12, 70:17, 70:21, 280:15 stuck [4] - 132:4, 237:7, 380:1, 381:6 studied [1] - 251:12

studies [1] - 79:7 Studsrud [3] - 161:12, 161:13 study [1] - 142:1 stuff [17] - 8:21, 56:2, 57:10, 66:19, 133:15, 145:11, 288:18, 294:24, 295:8, 295:12, 295:22, 303:2, 304:11, 321:10, 337:2, 345:20, 348:9 SuAnn [1] - 174:5 sub [1] - 237:20 subdivisions [1] -251:18 subject [2] - 4:20, 202:8 submission [1] -27:12 submit [2] - 49:23, 300:22 submitted [9] - 35:18, 68:22, 80:7, 99:23, 162:10, 249:8, 321:16, 336:12, 340:16 subpart [1] - 20:13 subsidize [1] - 122:23 subsoil [1] - 100:4 subsoils [2] - 321:16, 337:15 substance [3] - 28:4, 93:16, 367:3 substantial [2] -242:3, 352:11 substantially [4] -218:7, 235:18, 315:7, 347:16 substantive [1] -198.7 substitute [2] - 9:25, 10:1 subsurface [1] -223:24 succeeding [1] -157:9 success [1] - 354:14 successes [1] -200:23 successfully [2] -18:19, 106:7 **succinctly** [1] - 328:5 sucking [1] - 61:25 sued [4] - 77:13, 77:17, 78:15, 380:12 suffered [2] - 115:9, 116:6 sufficient [2] - 187:15, 224:12

suffocate [1] - 140:14

sugarcane [1] -

230:24

suggest [6] - 26:14, 26:24, 27:8, 109:3, 113:15. 300:21 suggested [6] - 28:17, 47:4, 69:18, 81:13, 88:8, 124:5 suggesting [6] -34:14, 47:3, 57:25, 86:15, 99:5, 309:19 suggestion [2] -86:22, 112:10 suggestions [1] -361:21 suing [1] - 77:3 suitable [3] - 16:3, 113:6, 223:23 sulfate [1] - 176:23 sulfide [1] - 176:22 summarize [3] -249:9, 323:5, 330:4 summary [2] - 146:11, 283:6 summer [6] - 15:24, 44:14, 65:8, 174:10, 257:5, 366:1 Summit [265] - 13:3, 16:20, 17:6, 19:19, 20:12, 21:7, 21:10, 21:17, 21:20, 22:5, 22:6, 23:13, 23:16, 23:21, 24:10, 26:13, 26:23, 27:3, 28:15, 29:2, 29:17, 29:23, 32:19, 33:3, 33:24, 34:14, 34:21, 35:13, 35:17, 35:24, 36:4, 37:1, 37:16, 39:6, 42:24, 43:2, 43:20, 44:6, 44:19, 45:7, 45:20, 47:12, 47:19, 47:22, 48:3, 48:8, 56:22, 58:11, 61:25, 62:20, 64:24, 65:14, 67:25, 69:15, 73:22, 74:8, 74:25, 75:6, 75:9, 76:10, 76:22, 77:2, 77:24, 78:15, 78:22, 79:4, 79:10, 79:12, 80:8, 80:11, 80:16, 81:5, 81:7, 82:10, 82:11, 83:1, 84:17, 85:1, 85:16, 85:18, 86:10, 86:25, 89:22, 91:6, 91:11, 92:8, 92:9, 93:2, 93:19, 94:25, 95:20, 96:3, 96:10, 97:2, 101:16, 102:22, 105:16, 106:7, 109:3, 109:9, 111:15, 111:16, 111:23, 112:2,

113:21, 117:6, 118:3, 118:22, 123:2, 123:4, 123:19, 123:24, 124:4, 127:3, 127:6, 128:19, 128:25, 129:4, 134:8, 135:21, 137:6, 137:13, 143:20, 146:4, 146:8, 146:12, 157:17, 157:22, 158:5, 159:17, 159:25, 160:4, 162:11, 163:6, 163:12, 165:6, 166:5, 174:11, 177:15, 178:1, 178:4, 180:4, 181:16, 181:18, 181:22, 182:7, 183:10, 183:21, 183:24, 185:12, 189:2, 190:7, 199:17, 203:24, 207:1, 211:16, 215:4, 215:9, 215:19, 216:16, 217:10, 219:1, 232:2, 232:5, 234:22, 235:15, 237:15, 238:16, 240:2. 245:9. 245:24, 245:25, 246:4, 246:6, 247:4, 254:12, 261:7, 261:17, 261:19, 263:22, 264:8, 265:6, 270:2, 270:13, 271:17, 272:6, 272:11, 278:24, 279:8, 280:24, 281:5, 281:15, 281:17, 281:25, 282:4, 285:9, 286:18, 297:22, 298:17, 299:3, 301:16, 302:6, 302:23, 303:5, 304:7, 304:13, 307:12, 309:10, 309:17, 311:17, 312:6, 312:18, 312:23, 315:6, 316:3, 320:1, 320:8, 322:20, 323:9, 329:7, 329:14, 329:15, 329:20, 336:5, 340:16, 346:24, 351:4, 351:13, 352:15, 352:18, 352:20, 356:25, 357:7, 357:12,

357:20, 358:15, 358:22, 361:5, 361:10, 361:13, 364:11, 364:21, 366:1, 366:6, 366:10, 366:11, 366:17, 367:21, 368:5, 374:23, 375:3, 377:11, 377:20, 378:12, 380:8, 380:20, 381:23 **Summit's** [45] - 10:10, 16:4, 16:24, 18:14, 20:25, 27:4, 31:12, 35:20, 43:14, 48:7, 52:3, 61:4, 63:9, 73:23, 83:2, 88:20, 89:6, 104:18, 110:5, 113:4, 113:12, 116:4, 119:24, 123:16, 148:24, 163:6, 165:18, 177:17, 179:25, 181:9, 181:11, 185:25, 189:4, 239:13, 251:23, 281:7, 338:25, 346:4, 346:10, 346:12, 348:22, 364:19, 370:5, 376:5, 381:5 summonsing [1] -32.20 sunflowers [2] -273:6, 275:21 supercritical [1] -367:2 supplement [1] -148:4 supplemental [3] -6:10, 101:13, 361:10 Supplemental [1] -101:14 supplied [1] - 163:6 supply [2] - 17:14, 371:1 support [17] - 16:16, 17:8, 31:7, 31:10, 31:22, 32:5, 36:3, 143:20, 148:22, 200:17, 200:20, 204:14, 223:15, 227:3, 227:16, 245:4, 364:23 supported [1] -225.14 supportive [1] - 9:3 supports [1] - 226:20 suppose [3] - 58:8, 87:16, 270:4 supposed [6] - 42:17, 110:22, 175:10,

112:5, 112:7, 112:8,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

181:8, 208:12, 330:7 supposedly [1] - 17:8 Supreme [2] - 104:10, 104:11 surface [3] - 281:23, 283:3, 284:5 surgery [1] - 131:22 surprise [1] - 364:20 surprised [3] - 19:3, 35:12, 35:16 surrounding [2] -33:8, 166:14 survey [47] - 22:1, 23:22. 50:15. 50:17. 50:20, 50:24, 51:1, 65:3, 65:10, 76:10, 76:11, 76:17, 77:3, 77:5, 86:14, 87:1, 87:5, 87:7, 87:8, 87:11. 87:12. 87:15. 87:18, 87:22, 87:23, 96:14, 96:19, 96:20, 96:23, 97:2, 104:9, 104:13, 104:16, 124:4, 124:9, 130:2, 130:3. 130:6. 130:15, 142:19, 149:11, 162:12, 162:13, 366:2, 366:6. 366:14. 380:13 surveyed [5] - 87:17, 87:21. 96:25. 151:14, 380:11 surveying [3] - 15:11, 174:11, 366:17 surveyor [2] - 156:2, 162:17 surveyors [4] - 15:12, 18:14, 174:9, 366:6 surveys [4] - 96:10, 96:12, 316:4, 365:10 survival [1] - 368:22 survive [3] - 59:17, 179:17, 250:5 surviving [1] - 265:21 suspicion [1] - 42:17 sustain [2] - 134:25, 324:16 sustainability [3] -227:19, 250:2, 250:3 sustainable [9] -224:22, 225:19, 225:20, 226:6, 230:22, 238:20, 250:8, 250:20, 255:22 sustained [3] -206:15, 285:5, 326:17 sustaining [2] - 192:2, 285:3 sustainment [1] -

324:10 swear [2] - 163:22, 244.8 sweat [1] - 354:5 swells [1] - 179:3 swimmers [1] - 211:12 swimming [1] -140:20 switched [1] - 48:25 sworn [24] - 12:2, 53:21, 72:21, 108:5, 114:14, 132:20, 153:17, 155:11, 173:16, 196:8, 210:12, 214:23, 222:12, 234:16, 244:21, 249:6, 259:24, 278:12, 288:5, 294:21, 306:11. 318:19. 342:10, 363:19 symptoms [4] - 115:9, 116:6, 175:22, 175:25 system [40] - 6:23, 174:21, 175:1, 175:3, 176:7, 176:10, 176:12, 193:6, 193:7, 212:16, 243:10, 281:4, 281:5, 281:7, 281:9, 335:9, 338:5, 338:8. 342:25. 343:6, 343:13, 344:15, 344:18, 347:3, 347:7, 347:15, 349:2, 349:4, 349:13, 349:14. 351:9. 354:6, 355:16, 355:21, 357:16, 357:19, 358:16, 359:10, 373:3, 373:4 systems [2] - 348:5, 348:25

Т

T-E-R [1] - 234:2

T-I-E-G-S [1] - 363:1
T135N [3] - 155:17,
155:18, 164:19
Tab [15] - 22:23, 25:9,
32:1, 50:1, 54:13,
91:8, 91:9, 109:12,
114:13, 188:21,
188:22, 308:4,
308:6, 323:12,
370:16
tab [3] - 31:25, 36:18,
323:14
table [7] - 5:3, 9:11,

99:13, 153:17, 265:9, 290:4, 382:16 tachycardia [1] -13:17 tactics [1] - 301:10 talks [5] - 21:10, 25:13, 32:18, 164:1, 232:8 tank [3] - 281:11, 368:22, 368:24 tanks [1] - 368:21 tapping [1] - 373:5 targeted [7] - 8:7, 12:9, 23:8, 24:20, 73:1, 297:8, 306:15 targeting [1] - 31:6 tasked [1] - 283:8 tasted [1] - 143:22 taught [2] - 134:23, 135:1 tax [19] - 94:20, 94:23, 118:9, 122:20, 122:21, 123:2, 123:7, 157:23, 159:13, 181:23, 186:13, 186:14, 247:21, 251:15, 291:3. 344:11. 364:23, 369:25 Tax [1] - 251:10 tax-paying [1] -247:21 Taxation [1] - 251:11 taxes [5] - 136:25, 181:11, 186:20, 291:5, 344:11 taxpayers [6] - 94:25, 95:4, 123:4, 181:10, 280:25, 282:2 team [2] - 91:3, 197:13 teams [2] - 174:9, 279:16 technical [1] - 285:21 technically [5] -110:11, 218:1, 236:20, 237:4, 338:10 technology [4] -211:10, 227:17, 271:11, 273:11 template [1] - 309:9 temporarily [1] -198:23 temporary [1] - 75:20 ten [11] - 14:10, 94:20, 94:24, 106:21, 122:20, 154:15, 154:16, 159:16, 159:21, 238:24,

222.18 tend [2] - 60:25, 358:8 tens [1] - 198:8 tens-of-thousanddollar [1] - 198:8 tenure [1] - 249:24 TERESA [15] - 277:24, 278:2, 278:5, 278:9, 278:11, 278:13, 283:20, 284:2, 284:13, 286:1, 286:7, 286:15, 286:17, 286:21, 287:5 Teresa [2] - 277:24, 286:23 term [6] - 98:10, 179:25, 199:24, 289:25, 290:12, 329:21 termed [1] - 356:19 terminal [3] - 198:22, 199:4, 199:12 terminates [1] -290:16 terms [16] - 44:1, 47:10, 49:25, 65:6, 73:21, 89:9, 89:20, 108:25, 184:25, 191:3, 201:25, 206:18, 247:20, 290:1, 372:15, 373:3 terribly [1] - 338:2 terrifying [1] - 337:4 Terry [1] - 78:20 testified [53] - 12:2, 53:21, 62:17, 70:5, 72:21, 75:24, 82:8, 100:24, 101:18, 101:19, 108:5, 108:13, 112:13, 121:8, 132:20, 150:14, 154:6, 154:10, 155:11, 155:21, 156:25, 159:9, 168:19, 169:19, 173:16, 192:8, 196:8, 210:12, 214:23, 222:12, 234:16, 244:21, 249:6, 259:5, 259:24, 271:20, 277:11, 278:12, 285:11, 288:5, 294:21, 302:20, 306:11, 307:8, 311:9, 318:12, 318:19, 327:11, 342:10, 351:20, 355:3, 363:13, 363:19 testifiers [2] - 114:1,

11:6, 23:25, 93:15, 101:25, 106:18, 107:5, 139:2, 153:16, 187:20, 194:21, 195:4, 202:10, 207:19, 208:9, 208:19, 209:23, 236:5, 258:1, 285:16, 285:18, 291:12, 327:21, 330:12 testifying [12] - 19:1, 49:4, 62:12, 103:6, 191:12, 201:16, 207:20, 231:16, 304:5, 328:2, 345:7, 365:23 testimonies [1] -174:6 testimony [132] - 4:25, 5:9, 7:4, 10:6, 10:8, 25:13, 37:23, 38:14, 42:23, 63:13, 63:15, 63:18, 63:22, 64:3, 65:7, 71:5, 73:19, 76:5, 84:25, 89:21, 91:16, 93:4, 93:5, 93:12, 97:1, 97:6. 101:2, 107:7, 114:10, 114:25, 115:13, 115:19, 115:25, 119:6, 128:11, 132:24, 141:23, 150:13, 153:3, 153:11, 153:12, 153:14, 153:20, 153:23, 154:3, 154:7, 154:8, 154:9, 154:15, 154:16, 172:24, 174:4, 179:10, 186:24, 186:25, 187:3, 188:6, 191:14, 194:15, 195:6, 196:14, 196:16, 197:18, 200:4, 200:24, 202:7, 212:7, 219:2, 220:11, 224:6, 225:12, 233:7, 233:15, 233:20, 235:8, 249:8, 250:14, 251:2, 255:3, 257:17, 258:6, 258:12, 258:25, 259:5, 261:5, 266:24, 277:13, 278:17, 284:3, 284:15, 284:17, 284:18, 284:23, 286:25, 287:7, 293:20,

testify [25] - 5:11, 9:9,

ten-year [3] - 94:20,

tenants [2] - 167:4,

258:9

94:24, 122:20

367:18

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	IND PUBLIC	SERVICE	OIVIIVIIS
305:15, 317:11,	321:11, 322:5,	tie [1] - 372:11	37:5, 53:15
322:4, 322:12,	322:22, 326:11,	tied [1] - 196:21	74:2, 92:10
323:7, 325:12,	326:24, 327:12,	Tiegs [6] - 362:23,	103:6, 107:
325:16, 327:24,	327:17, 328:10,	363:1, 363:2,	107:25, 128
330:16, 331:9,	328:19, 328:22,	363:13, 370:12,	132:15, 132
333:11, 339:14,	329:2, 329:8, 329:9,	375:9	150:12, 155
343:1, 344:23,	329:17, 336:4,	TIEGS [5] - 363:1,	157:13, 173
344:24, 344:25,	336:9, 346:14,	363:4, 363:7,	187:20, 195
348:8, 348:10,	346:17, 364:9	363:11, 363:18	196:4, 196:
348:13, 348:20,	third-party [18] -	tight [1] - 268:18	206:24, 210
348:24, 349:5,	321:11, 322:5,	tile [49] - 232:10,	214:19, 22
349:22, 350:5,	322:22, 326:11,	321:14, 321:22,	222:8, 223:
350:11, 361:3,	326:24, 327:12,	322:2, 322:18,	234:12, 234
362:8, 362:12,	327:17, 328:10,	323:6, 323:21,	235:13, 239
363:14, 364:15,	328:19, 328:22,	326:22, 327:2,	240:20, 244
370:13, 373:2,	329:2, 329:8, 329:9,	327:5, 333:7, 333:8,	248:14, 249
374:5, 379:11,	329:17, 336:4,	333:9, 336:6, 338:7,	257:8, 259:
382:2, 382:23	346:14, 346:17	342:21, 342:25,	260:8, 261:
text [1] - 310:6	thoughtful [1] -	343:6, 343:13,	266:20, 273
texted [1] - 310:9	354:11	343:18, 343:22,	278:8, 278:
thankful [1] - 330:14	thousand [8] - 19:11,	344:3, 344:9,	288:1, 294:
Tharaldson [17] -	29:6, 111:1, 178:14,	344:14, 344:15,	294:23, 303
29:16, 200:16,	198:6, 198:8, 293:9,	344:18, 344:21,	306:6, 307:
205:16, 205:20,	299:24	344:23, 345:1,	318:8, 320:
206:2, 234:21,	thousands [3] - 15:3,	345:2, 345:16,	331:1, 342:
236:6, 237:1,	96:4, 96:11	345:18, 346:4,	346:7, 351:
237:20, 239:19,	threat [1] - 178:9	346:5, 346:21,	354:16, 358
241:6, 241:22,	threatened [2] -	347:3, 347:9,	358:21, 363
253:25, 254:6,	178:13, 210:23	348:15, 351:2,	364:14, 369
254:12, 256:15,	three [51] - 6:20,	351:5, 352:3, 354:6,	370:11, 370
266:25	20:13, 22:18, 23:20,	355:16, 355:21,	371:11, 375
theirs [2] - 359:19,	23:25, 47:4, 78:24,	356:12, 357:18,	381:25, 383
359:20	85:24, 86:10, 87:8,	358:15, 360:11	today's [5] - 4
themselves [2] -	87:9, 94:22, 100:6,	tiled [1] - 139:25	153:14, 159
197:8, 322:13	111:4, 113:11,	tiles [6] - 142:8, 142:9,	348:16
therapist [1] - 13:13	113:15, 122:24,	142:11, 322:12,	together [13]
thereabouts [1] -	124:16, 129:18,	345:5, 349:24	54:14, 58:1
307:23	130:6, 136:10,	tiling [6] - 142:18,	74:24, 103:
therefore [4] - 227:15,	137:17, 144:7,	322:16, 326:8,	113:10, 133
228:17, 228:18,	160:3, 183:8,	326:19, 335:8	196:21, 21
329:15	196:13, 196:19,	tillage [2] - 59:25	212:5, 212:
therein [1] - 28:14	199:21, 223:25,	tilling [1] - 119:19	269:15
they've [23] - 15:20,	231:24, 232:9,	timeline [8] - 65:6,	toll [1] - 34:12
47:8, 66:20, 79:20,	233:3, 239:12,	75:9, 101:10,	Tom [3] - 156
86:4, 87:12, 92:5,	258:5, 258:9,	126:24, 207:20,	380:15
96:7, 96:19, 96:20,	260:15, 261:22,	207:23, 239:18,	tomorrow [1]
96:23, 96:25,	264:17, 266:9,	364:3	ton [1] - 181:
129:13, 198:3,	268:15, 276:18,	timeliness [1] -	tons [3] - 14:
199:19, 207:7,	276:19, 290:21,	196:15	369:7, 369:
246:19, 264:17,	290:24, 309:8,	timely [3] - 6:25,	took [23] - 23
331:4, 347:19,	310:3, 323:12,	198:2, 198:9	25:24, 45:5
365:20, 373:23,	334:9, 353:4, 377:1	timing [1] - 83:14	54:21, 55:2
378:13	three-quarters [1] -	Timothy [1] - 9:24	113:9, 143:
They've [1] - 202:1	231:24	tirelessly [2] - 344:19,	151:12, 15
thick [2] - 332:19,	three-ring [2] - 22:18,	347:22	158:18, 160
332:21	323:12	title [2] - 136:24,	220:23, 238
thinking [8] - 41:13,	thrive [1] - 250:5	283:24	273:7, 324:
47:23, 70:14, 188:9,	throughout [4] - 8:17,	today [89] - 4:10, 4:14,	324:22, 339
216:18, 256:1,	251:20, 291:1,	4:17, 4:21, 4:24, 5:2,	343:15, 344
353:7, 375:7	292:24	5:9, 5:12, 5:13, 5:15,	tool [1] - 227:
third [26] - 24:11,	thrown [2] - 141:10,	5:16, 7:17, 8:2, 9:19,	top [10] - 22:1
90:10, 135:11,	369:23	9:25, 10:4, 10:11,	55:17, 56:1
261:24, 261:25,	thumb [1] - 65:21	11:16, 15:21, 30:12,	226:11, 237

253:9, 316:25 5, 72:15, 0, 97:1, topic [2] - 182:19, :5, 107:7, 330:4 28:11, topics [2] - 38:11, 2:25, 348:10 5:7, topography [2] -3:12, 280:4, 312:3 5:2, topsoil [6] - 60:5, 3:10, 100:4, 121:25, 0:8, 141:3, 141:7, 141:10 21:8, torrential [1] - 280:7 3:4, total [1] - 289:18 4:21, totally [4] - 66:17, 39:5. 156:11, 166:18, 4:17, 172:14 9:2, touch [8] - 41:20, 9:20, 62:25, 91:9, 196:13, :5, 262:6, 197:1, 197:18, 3:23, 251:19, 311:6 3:16. touched [3] - 130:20, l:17, 277:11, 277:20 3:16, touches [1] - 89:21 ':12, touching [1] - 229:19):13, tough [5] - 125:12, 2:5, 343:4, 273:8, 330:7, 370:2, :25, 380:5 8:8 toward [1] - 274:14 3:10, towards [7] - 49:19, 9:16, 175:5, 189:19, 0:13, 190:3, 190:9, 5:17, 296:18, 316:25 3:4 town [7] - 142:24, 4:5, 5:7, 142:25, 143:1, 59:21, 147:2, 173:19, 279:13, 304:10 3] - 23:10, Towner [1] - 144:9 11, 73:17, towns [2] - 277:1, 3:20, 280:10 3:7, township [23] - 12:17, 1:13. 133:21, 133:23, 2:12, 147:14, 147:15, 149:20, 149:23, 2 172:1, 199:9, 215:2, 6:16, 221:2, 303:13, 303:24, 306:16, 1] - 148:4 307:5, 310:17, :6 310:20, 315:12, :23, 315:13, 343:21, 9:9 343:25, 364:5, 364:8 3:11, Township [7] -5, 47:20, 147:17, 155:16, 2, 103:18, 173:20, 215:2, 3:4, 145:5, 232:23, 301:6 5:13, townships [3] - 148:7, 0:2, 199:3, 301:6 8:9, toxic [3] - 59:7, :18, 176:17, 182:1 9:18, TRACEY [12] - 209:18, 4:25 209:21, 209:24, 7:15 210:2, 210:5, 210:9, 19, 50:11, 210:11, 210:13, 12, 66:20, 213:7, 213:11, 37:1, 213:13, 214:4

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Tracey [2] - 209:18, 213:22 track [1] - 17:16 tracks [1] - 121:18 tractor [3] - 119:17, 119:22, 368:25 tracts [1] - 80:13 trade [1] - 260:25 traders [1] - 268:10 trades [1] - 247:4 traditionally [1] -131:21 **Trail** [1] - 272:15 trailer [1] - 366:13 Traill [1] - 293:16 train [1] - 121:18 trained [3] - 245:8, 279:16, 368:10 training [7] - 13:4, 38:24, 116:11, 117:8, 117:17, 279:19 **traits** [1] - 178:19 transfer [1] - 281:24 transferred [5] -135:22, 136:19, 137:23, 281:22, transferring [2] -95:18, 136:9 transfers [3] - 281:23, 282:5, 283:25 translate [1] - 180:17 transmission [1] -18:3 transmitted [1] - 23:16 transmitting [1] -20:21 transparency [1] -32:25 transparent [1] - 33:7 transpire [3] - 197:15, 198:24, 201:1 transport [3] - 191:9, 219:4, 245:6 Transport [2] - 5:18, 7:18 transportation [1] -227:16 transported [1] -128:3 trapped [1] - 179:8 trash [1] - 127:22 traumatic [1] - 211:25 travel [4] - 191:4, 205:13, 279:10, traveled [4] - 177:25, 274:18, 279:6, 279:12 **TRC** [1] - 156:16 treat [2] - 145:7, 176:1

treated [3] - 270:22, 272:5, 289:10 treaties [1] - 211:18 treatment [1] - 279:22 treaty [1] - 211:2 tree [1] - 212:1 trees [1] - 143:12 trespassers [1] -366:4 trespassing [1] -156:19 tri [1] - 247:16 tri-state [1] - 247:16 trial [3] - 288:16, 288:19, 289:1 Tribune [1] - 32:2 tried [11] - 22:12, 48:9, 49:18, 74:8, 75:5, 223:14, 267:13, 310:11, 310:12, 310:15, 351:4 trigger [2] - 20:8, 38:18 tripped [1] - 212:1 trips [2] - 272:20, 272:22 tromping [1] - 311:25 trouble [3] - 121:22, 166:16, 333:4 TROY [22] - 214:9, 214:13, 214:16, 214:20, 214:22, 214:24, 217:8, 217:12, 217:24, 218:9, 218:11, 218:14, 218:16, 218:25, 219:12, 219:21, 220:1, 220:8, 220:15, 220:18, 220:23, 221:17 Troy [3] - 214:9, 214:24, 221:10 truck [2] - 121:20, 272:19 truckloads [1] -309:23 trucks [2] - 199:7, 272:21 true [15] - 22:24, 32:1, 73:24, 74:1, 74:11, 82:18, 90:4, 90:5, 91:11, 109:13, 177:17, 204:18, 217:20, 328:15, 332:24 truly [3] - 14:25, 15:10, 378:22 trust [11] - 136:6, 136:18, 136:20, 136:22, 137:24,

137:25, 150:14,

150:18, 202:17,

203:5 trusted [1] - 160:4 trustworthy [1] -76:22 truth [22] - 11:15, 53:14, 72:14, 107:24, 132:14, 155:6, 173:11, 196:3. 210:7. 214:18, 222:7, 234:11. 244:16. 249:1, 259:19, 278:7, 287:25, 294:16, 306:5, 318:7, 342:4, 363:9 try [22] - 22:7, 22:12, 24:21, 28:20, 39:20, 41:10, 68:13, 85:15, 106:20, 106:24, 109:1, 131:6, 138:22, 209:4, 229:24, 308:25, 312:19, 329:4, 358:22, 363:16, 378:11, 380:3 trying [32] - 40:6, 41:5, 44:5, 46:13, 48:19, 48:25, 57:15, 86:6, 92:6. 100:15. 100:17. 104:6. 112:23, 112:24, 113:21, 121:13, 157:14, 162:9, 164:8, 164:17, 254:11, 256:1, 269:14, 270:15, 274:15, 292:23, 303:18, 309:16, 322:19, 327:1, 327:24, 351:10 tube [2] - 142:22, 142:23 Tuesday [5] - 134:2, 136:8. 148:21. 149:4, 382:16 Tundra [2] - 261:18, 261:19 turn [15] - 10:22, 22:23, 25:9, 31:25, 94:3. 109:11. 114:13, 280:10. 292:24, 292:25, 305:16, 308:4, 323:12, 323:14, 370:15 turned [3] - 4:16, 292:13, 365:13 turning [1] - 36:19 turnover [1] - 197:23

two [74] - 6:17, 19:20, 23:19, 24:1, 25:25, 39:23, 40:6, 48:10, 48:12, 48:16, 56:5, 56:13, 56:17, 69:9, 73:3, 75:25, 78:24, 80:13, 84:8, 86:21, 90:6, 90:13, 90:19, 91:2, 98:3, 100:6, 110:16, 111:18, 119:1, 121:6, 122:6, 122:8, 129:15, 152:1, 158:8, 158:14, 167:10, 170:11, 170:25, 171:2, 174:4, 180:6, 196:20, 197:16, 197:18, 198:3, 198:13, 212:8, 213:18, 221:4, 222:17, 223:21, 232:8, 232:10, 238:9, 250:10, 264:3, 265:17, 287:9, 290:1, 295:5, 310:3, 310:5, 318:24, 328:3, 365:1, 365:24, 366:13, 377:4, 377:17 two-page [1] - 318:24 two-plus [1] - 24:1 two-year-old [1] -90:19 **Tyler** [1] - 7:16 type [20] - 15:17, 25:18, 28:12, 30:16, 52:1, 61:20, 69:16, 109:1, 109:3, 119:9, 165:20, 175:15, 183:17, 288:22, 296:22, 298:18, 307:13, 359:11, 375:13 types [3] - 62:15, 236:10, 236:14 typically [2] - 100:9, 230:12

U

U.S [5] - 178:15, 178:24, 210:16, 250:1, 255:6 Ukraine [1] - 266:7 Ulven [3] - 320:20, 332:6, 332:7 Ulvens [3] - 321:9, 332:9, 333:3 Ulvens' [1] - 358:16 unable [2] - 208:16, 310:2

unanimously [3] -227:21, 233:5, 233:6 unanswered [1] - 26:1 unborn [1] - 159:20 uncertainty [1] - 176:2 uncomfortably [1] -156:5 uncommon [2] -323:21, 335:19 unconstitutional [2] -288:10, 291:18 UND [1] - 233:15 under [15] - 15:16, 18:3, 18:5, 70:14, 116:22, 136:6, 136:25, 201:15, 245:14, 290:4, 315:18, 329:20, 334:24, 344:3, 345:24 undergoing [1] -161:23 underground [6] -20:22, 52:1, 95:19, 177:12, 312:14, 344:17 underneath [2] -121:18, 211:7 underperformed [1] -17:18 understandable [1] -378:25 understood [6] -38:23, 185:22, 220:11, 333:10, 351.9 unfair [1] - 15:17 unfiltered [1] - 177:16 unfortunately [3] -63:15, 93:24, 147:18 UNIDENTIFIED [9] -85:6, 91:24, 148:11, 334:11, 334:14, 334:15, 340:12, 340:15, 376:8 unintelligent [1] -184:11 unintended [3] -26:15, 118:17, 120:2 Union [1] - 244:24 union [6] - 244:25 245:3, 246:4, 246:8, 246:18, 246:23 unique [3] - 295:4, 295:25, 359:9 unit [1] - 159:8 United [8] - 28:25, 146:13, 149:2, 231:9, 249:25, 255:6, 263:4, 267:23

University [1] - 142:1

unknown [1] - 280:1

Unless [1] - 50:15

turns [1] - 174:24

twelfth [1] - 198:15

twice [5] - 14:9, 15:14,

91:3, 318:12, 369:20

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

unless [5] - 51:1, 74:12, 93:10, 337:7, 362:19 unlikely [2] - 17:14, 368:13 unlocking [2] -251:24, 252:11 unmineable [2] -178:7, 188:16 unnecessary [3] -18:11, 127:16, 367:6 unrecoverable [1] -178:21 unstable [5] - 280:4, 321:17, 335:18, 337:15, 348:2 unthinkable [1] -367.6 untrue [1] - 28:17 unwanted [1] - 283:1 unwilling [2] - 55:4, 158:4 up [154] - 5:3, 9:8, 14:7, 15:15, 17:10, 23:13, 24:12, 34:17, 41:14, 47:5, 47:21, 55:9, 56:6, 56:11, 56:12. 57:24. 59:20. 60:21, 61:1, 61:12, 61:14, 61:24, 61:25, 66:19, 66:23, 67:13, 67:19, 69:17, 71:3, 71:14, 71:15, 77:19, 78:19, 79:19, 82:1, 83:11, 91:3, 92:6, 103:12, 104:25, 110:9, 111:10, 112:9, 112:18, 112:20, 117:7, 121:17, 121:24, 122:1, 122:19, 134:21, 135:2, 140:4, 140:5, 140:7, 142:7, 144:9, 144:22, 149:6, 149:12, 153:16, 154:16, 175:24, 178:4, 180:19, 189:18, 190:2, 193:17, 195:5, 198:20, 199:8, 199:15, 208:12, 216:19, 217:25, 220:13, 221:2, 221:20, 224:21, 227:22, 229:19, 230:12, 230:13, 230:22, 233:10, 233:24, 237:3. 238:9, 240:18, 241:1, 242:18, 254:24, 255:11, 256:16, 257:1,

257:2, 267:9, 267:12, 267:20, 269:1, 269:2, 270:18, 272:12, 272:22, 276:25, 287:8, 287:9, 287:10, 287:11, 287:12, 291:6, 292:18, 293:16, 294:4, 294:23, 295:2, 296:14, 296:20, 298:15, 301:24, 302:6, 303:16, 303:17, 303:18, 304:1, 304:6, 306:25, 307:12, 310:15, 311:6, 311:20, 314:4, 314:25, 319:16, 329:4, 330:4, 332:13, 345:20, 348:23, 349:13, 352:13, 352:14, 358:12, 359:19, 360:6, 365:21, 365:23, 371:6, 371:10 upset [3] - 175:1, 176:10, 193:6 upwards [1] - 186:14 users [1] - 281:6 uses [1] - 181:1 utilities [1] - 8:1 utility [1] - 288:17 Utility [1] - 114:10 utilization [2] - 238:5, 251:1 utilize [1] - 245:10 utilized [2] - 250:24, 289:4 utilizes [1] - 70:7 utterly [1] - 196:18 UTV [2] - 366:14, 366:16

V

vacation [2] - 15:24, 135:15 vague [4] - 75:15, 129:19, 151:10, 371:4 Valera [3] - 305:18, 305:22, 315:3 VALERA [5] - 305:22, 305:25, 306:3, 306:7, 306:10 Valley [4] - 134:12, 275:1, 321:19, 367:16 valuable [2] - 383:1, 383:5

value [25] - 58:17, 60:22, 61:20, 118:21, 127:16, 165:1, 166:13, 166:18, 196:20, 199:24, 200:2, 200:10, 200:18, 200:21, 205:11, 205:15, 205:18, 206:1, 215:25, 226:22, 250:22, 280:18, 299:2, 343:9, 380:2 value-added [11] -196:20, 199:24, 200:2, 200:10, 200:18, 200:21, 205:11, 205:15, 205:18, 206:1, 226:22 values [3] - 12:20, 61:9, 226:11 valve [7] - 178:23, 216:6, 216:8, 216:13, 216:14, 220:14, 220:18 valves [1] - 116:23 variations [1] - 140:22 various [3] - 32:20, 128:1, 239:14 varying [1] - 181:25 vast [2] - 103:20, 241:21 Vculek [2] - 349:1, 350:11 Vculeks [3] - 19:1, 79:24, 105:12 vehicle [2] - 13:23, 156.9 vehicles [4] - 13:10, 111:6, 117:4, 269:4 vent [2] - 175:2, 193:7 venting [5] - 175:5, 175:12, 175:17, 176:7, 176:11 verbal [3] - 4:22, 310:22, 378:3 verbally [1] - 377:15 verbiage [1] - 215:11 verified [2] - 59:18, 177:13 VERNE [15] - 287:15, 287:17, 287:20, 287:23, 288:2,

275:18, 322:15 vet [2] - 183:17, 186:2 via [1] - 112:14 viability [2] - 328:24 vice [1] - 251:10 victim [1] - 114:15 victims [1] - 368:11 Victor [4] - 7:25, 97:7, 135:3, 136:17 video [1] - 289:21 view [3] - 61:21, 120:5, 373:9 Viking [2] - 155:15, 155:16 violated [1] - 64:4 violation [1] - 288:11 virgin [2] - 309:22, 311:10 visit [1] - 282:20 visited [4] - 24:5, 161:25, 294:24, 295:22 visiting [2] - 105:12, 105:21 visits [1] - 295:8 visually [1] - 92:20 vital [1] - 352:12 voice [2] - 160:21, 364:14 voiced [2] - 81:4, 278:23 voicemails [1] - 24:4 voices [2] - 211:14, 212:20 voided [1] - 289:17 volatile [1] - 62:6 volume [1] - 191:8 voluntary [1] - 76:10 volunteers [2] - 13:6, 279:20 vote [5] - 145:19, 201:12, 288:23, 291:19, 291:21 voted [1] - 227:21 votes [3] - 145:2, 264:24, 274:3 voting [1] - 16:17

W

Vs [1] - 189:18

W-A-L-O-C-H [2] 11:5, 53:5
W-I-L-K-I-E [1] 209:21
Wahler [2] - 135:25,
140:1
Wahpeton [9] - 4:13,
75:25, 100:25,
209:6, 210:16,
260:11, 274:17,
280:14, 364:15

wait [7] - 138:15, 282:10, 291:24, 292:10. 358:10. 368:15, 368:16 waiting [5] - 17:18, 44:24, 149:12, 172:1, 271:25 waiver [9] - 58:14, 117:24, 118:7, 118:9, 180:3, 194:9, 297:23, 312:19 waivers [3] - 118:4, 119:4, 179:24 walk [1] - 281:25 walked [2] - 156:2, 162:17 walkthrough [1] -50.14 wall [1] - 267:14 walls [1] - 267:22 Waloch [17] - 10:25, 11:1, 11:5, 11:6, 12:7, 35:7, 36:16, 52:21, 52:25, 53:1, 53:4, 53:6, 54:1, 54:2, 64:21, 71:11, 119:6 WALOCH [9] - 11:4, 11:13, 11:17, 12:1, 53:4, 53:9, 53:12, 53:16, 53:20 Waloch's [1] - 119:6 Walochs [4] - 73:16, 73:18, 73:25, 86:25 wants [7] - 93:2, 153:8, 158:5, 346:14, 368:2, 372:3, 372:12 war [1] - 266:6 warehouse [1] - 19:4 warehouses [1] - 19:2 warming [2] - 14:19, 14:20 Warren [1] - 329:24 waste [3] - 59:8, 95:18, 369:2 watch [1] - 380:4 watched [4] - 17:11, 199:22, 200:10, 369:20 watching [1] - 370:20 water [39] - 59:9, 60:3, 60:12, 60:15, 61:23, 62:1, 76:2, 121:21, 140:20, 144:6, 158:13, 167:20, 179:1, 199:16, 199:19, 211:11, 279:25, 281:11,

288:17, 296:14,

296:15, 310:2,

333:2, 336:16,

342:22, 343:14,

288:4, 288:6,

293:2, 293:8,

293:16, 294:2

288:6

291:17, 292:15,

293:12, 293:14,

Verne [2] - 287:15,

Verona [1] - 264:2

versus [3] - 221:2,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

343:17, 343:19, 343:21, 343:24, 344:5, 344:16, 346:22, 351:19, 351:20, 355:22, 356:17, 369:3 waterline [1] - 374:10 Waxweilers [1] -147:24 ways [8] - 94:22, 122:24, 127:25, 128:1, 128:4, 212:4, 234:25, 304:9 wealthiest [1] - 239:21 weather [2] - 14:9, 17:13 website [1] - 189:3 weeds [3] - 273:14, 275:23, 372:5 week rgi - 134:3. 134:4, 149:4, 159:19, 159:21, 160:3, 160:23, 210:25, 226:17 week's [1] - 252:25 weekend [1] - 244:2 weeks [1] - 145:9 weigh [3] - 28:11, 30:15, 31:22 weighs [1] - 240:7 weight [4] - 30:17, 33:17, 33:18, 340:8 welcome [3] - 102:22, 106:16, 194:16 welfare [8] - 6:16, 31:15, 94:6, 110:21, 129:1, 185:19, 367:10, 372:21 well-being [1] -181:15 well-financed [1] -25:19 well-trained [1] -245:8 wells [3] - 321:9, 321:13, 331:6 West [4] - 77:22, 264:22, 274:3, 371:14 west [25] - 12:17, 60:8, 66:21, 99:14, 99:20, 125:5, 149:20, 149:21, 149:23, 152:2, 169:18, 171:10, 173:21, 173:22, 179:5, 193:20, 193:23, 194:8, 218:4, 286:1, 296:2, 306:16, 307:6, 311:1, 366:5 western [3] - 137:6, 261:2, 274:16 wet [1] - 274:18

wetland [1] - 121:16 wetlands [1] - 128:1 whatsoever [2] -201:22, 368:8 wheat [11] - 249:17, 267:20, 268:12, 268:16, 273:6, 275:9, 275:18, 275:20, 275:24, 276:1, 276:8 whereas [1] - 333:15 white [4] - 22:17, 109:11. 188:20. 323:12 whoever's [1] - 290:6 whole [15] - 56:1, 68:16, 129:4, 170:8, 199:21, 200:10, 240:20, 269:13, 273:12. 296:15. 300:11, 302:14, 331:2, 364:5, 369:14 wide [1] - 299:20 widgets [1] - 199:22 width [2] - 355:12, 356:5 wife [13] - 54:3, 56:24, 58:3. 64:22. 65:7. 73:12, 90:18, 178:11, 183:8, 295:5, 364:25, 368:2, 368:20 wife's [3] - 57:1, 68:25, 365:2 wild [1] - 266:6 wildlife [3] - 61:13, 61:16, 364:6 Wilkie [5] - 209:18, 209:19, 209:22, 213:3, 214:3 WILKIE [12] - 209:18, 209:21, 209:24, 210:2, 210:5, 210:9, 210:11, 210:13, 213:7, 213:11, 213:13, 214:4 willing [13] - 35:20, 35:21, 35:24, 37:2, 45:19, 48:8, 68:1, 89:18, 124:4, 162:12, 166:5, 358:22, 361:15 willingly [2] - 89:8, 199:12 willingness [1] - 89:14 Williston [1] - 252:25 win [4] - 269:25, 362:4 win-win [2] - 269:25, 362:4 wind [4] - 175:4, 176:7, 290:18,

312:12

winded [2] - 358:7,

358.9 window [3] - 250:25, 252:17, 252:18 winds [1] - 14:11 winged [1] - 211:11 winter [4] - 281:9, 312:13, 316:20, 374:20 wintertime [1] -311:22 wise [1] - 220:12 wisely [1] - 283:14 wish [9] - 107:6, 112:21, 172:23, 195:4, 273:24, 273:25, 318:25, 345:15, 345:22 wishes [2] - 258:1, 287.6 withdraw [2] - 105:8, 105:9 WITNESS [152] -39:18, 39:25, 40:3, 40:8, 40:12, 40:18, 40:24, 41:2, 41:7, 41:12, 41:22, 41:24, 42:4, 42:7, 42:10, 42:13, 42:19, 42:25, 43:4, 43:7, 43:11, 43:15, 43:20, 44:1, 44:7, 44:10, 44:13, 44:24, 45:8, 45:12, 45:21, 46:5, 46:7, 46:11, 46:14, 46:16, 46:19, 46:22, 52:22, 65:25, 66:3, 66:6, 66:11, 66:16, 66:23, 67:3, 67:10, 67:18, 67:22, 68:3, 68:8, 68:11, 68:15, 68:18, 68:23, 69:2, 71:12, 99:16, 100:12, 100:16, 100:21, 101:1, 101:4, 101:7, 101:21, 102:2, 102:7, 102:9, 102:13, 102:19, 102:25, 106:16, 115:14, 115:16, 126:25, 127:4, 127:7, 127:13, 128:12, 146:18, 146:20, 147:2, 147:15, 147:17, 147:20, 147:23, 148:6, 148:13, 148:18, 151:3, 151:9, 151:19, 151:22. 152:2. 152:5, 152:8, 152:21, 152:24, 153:4, 322:5,

326:19, 326:23, 327:1, 327:4, 327:6, 327:15, 327:18, 328:9, 328:14, 328:16, 328:18, 328:25, 329:2, 329:13, 339:4, 339:8, 339:17, 348:17, 349:23, 349:25, 359:15, 359:23, 360:7, 360:10, 360:16, 360:19, 360:25, 361:6, 361:16, 361:23, 362:2, 362:5, 362:9, 362:13, 376:25, 377:3, 377:7, 377:13, 377:16, 377:19, 377:25, 378:3, 378:7, 378:17, 379:1, 379:6, 379:9, 379:12, 379:15, 381:20 witness [35] - 5:3, 10:23, 49:15, 52:23, 62:13, 71:24, 93:15, 93:17, 107:9, 115:4, 115:20, 131:7, 138:5, 153:16, 154:17, 158:19, 159:1, 165:1, 188:7, 191:13, 191:18, 254:13, 285:11, 285:16, 285:17, 291:12, 317:18, 322:9, 322:25, 324:15, 325:11, 325:17, 341:16, 362:18, 362:20 witnessed [1] - 201:18 witnesses [12] - 10:5, 10:6, 10:11, 108:20, 153:18, 154:2, 187:5, 285:13, 313:16, 373:16, 381:22, 381:25 woman [1] - 210:18 wonder [3] - 159:9, 160:2, 277:9 wonderful [1] - 33:6 wondering [12] -64:21, 64:23, 168:21, 213:4, 216:13, 216:20, 231:16, 231:17, 301:2, 301:10, 356:23, 357:10 woods [1] - 60:23 word [8] - 42:15,

161:18, 161:19,

236:4, 333:7,

338:19, 345:20, 372:23 words [7] - 19:12, 55:22, 133:6, 179:23, 297:23, 309:16, 338:20 wore [1] - 272:24 workaround [1] -298:18 workers [5] - 245:8, 245:10. 245:13. 245:16, 246:18 workforce [2] -197:21, 197:22 works [5] - 243:10, 303:2, 344:18, 344:19, 347:3 world [15] - 17:13, 85:24, 118:16, 211:8. 211:9. 225:3. 264:20, 266:13, 266:17, 266:19, 267:21, 267:23, 268:4, 290:23, 335:20 worried [6] - 111:12, 118:5, 203:8, 204:13, 219:8, 241:6 worries [1] - 307:14 worry [3] - 13:1, 179:19, 183:11 worst [3] - 56:25, 86.18 120.17 worth [13] - 17:5, 19:3, 19:5, 80:23, 142:11, 164:23, 177:16, 177:24, 178:2, 296:23, 304:15, 344:25, 370:6 worthless [1] - 141:18 wrap [1] - 154:16 write [2] - 193:18, 306:24 writer [1] - 278:22 writing [5] - 78:12, 106:1, 159:24, 208:16, 377:15 written [4] - 64:8, 93:5, 132:25, 249:8 wrote [4] - 137:18, 141:19, 215:14, 377:10 Wyndmere [2] - 295:5, 304:2 Wyonne [1] - 180:1

yard [5] - 58:3, 58:8, 65:2, 120:6, 314:8 yards [5] - 142:21, 143:8, 151:2,

325:14, 325:19,

May 24, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

300:10, 300:15 266:9, 267:11, 268:8, 268:15, year [84] - 21:22, 269:20, 270:5, 48:18, 62:1, 74:4, 74:7, 80:6, 86:7, 271:8, 272:14, 86:8, 87:3, 88:11, 275:8, 275:19, 88:13, 88:20, 89:22, 275:20, 282:25, 290:13, 296:1, 90:2, 90:19, 94:20, 309:24, 309:25, 94:24, 103:13, 310:3, 310:5, 331:5, 103:23, 104:6, 108:13, 109:4, 343:8, 349:8, 353:4, 112:12, 113:4, 365:1, 366:24, 113:17, 113:22, 370:7, 370:25, 374:10, 374:11, 113:25, 118:19, 377:4 122:7, 122:20, 127:1, 127:9, years' [3] - 11:10, 127:12, 128:19, 59:21, 132:9 129:13, 129:15, velling [1] - 379:2 129:18, 140:2, yesterday [7] - 25:17, 155:20, 156:25, 39:23, 39:24, 83:4, 158:11, 158:16, 83:8, 134:1, 147:3 159:2, 160:5, yield [4] - 60:4, 122:9, 161:21, 161:23, 141:5, 142:2 164:22, 165:14, yields [2] - 60:6, 165:18, 179:2, 271:10 179:9, 198:15, young [1] - 190:24 208:9, 208:12, younger [1] - 265:8 215:3, 215:16, youngest [1] - 157:6 216:5, 219:3, yourself [6] - 134:25, 220:19, 241:23, 182:10, 189:4, 265:17, 271:10, 201:20, 313:10, 292:13, 304:17, 336:5 304:18, 304:23, 307:9, 307:15, Ζ 311:9, 311:19, 312:5, 320:6, **Z-A-J-A-C** [1] - 131:14 323:19, 336:12, Zachary [1] - 7:23 339:18, 345:1, Zajac [8] - 131:8, 347:7, 364:12, 131:10, 131:12, 364:15, 364:19, 369:9, 373:2, 132:2, 135:4, 380:11, 380:17 136:18, 146:15, year's [2] - 218:16, 150:12 367:1 **ZAJAC** [9] - 131:12, 131:14, 131:17, year-round [1] - 347:7 131:19, 132:1, years [74] - 17:10, 132:4, 132:12, 17:12, 24:1, 34:11, 48:10, 48:13, 48:16, 132:16, 132:19 57:19, 60:6, 60:9, zero [7] - 14:11, 48:23, 204:18, 237:21, 61:12, 76:4, 86:22, 86:25, 90:16, 90:20, 329:25, 330:1, 357:3 97:21, 100:3, **zone** [1] - 368:15 121:10, 121:25, zoning [4] - 172:1, 123:18, 129:15, 310:20, 315:14, 144:7, 157:4, 315:15 157:10, 157:15, 157:21, 157:25, 158:12, 163:3, 167:19, 168:18, 178:18, 212:15, 226:3, 238:24, 239:7, 239:12, 249:20, 261:10, 264:17, 265:8,