June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ND I ODLIO OLIV	TICL COMMINISSION
1	3
STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA.	C O N T E N T S (cont.)
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
SCS Carbon Transport LLC Case No.	SCS CARBON TRANSPORT LLC WITNESSES
SCS Carbon Transport LLC Case No. Midwest Carbon Express CO2 Pipeline PU-22-391 Project Siting Application	
	ALEX LANGE Direct Examination by MR. DUBLINSKE 109
	Cross Examination by MR. PELHAM 118 Cross Examination by MR. SCHOCK 120 Cross Examination by MR. JORDE 121
TRANSCRIPT OF FORMAL HEARING	Cross Examination by MR. PHILLIPS 152 Questions by COMMISSION 164
June 3, 2024 Bismarck, North Dakota	WADE BOESHANS
	Direct Examination by MR. GLUDT 179 Cross Examination by MR. SCHOCK 185
	Cross Examination by MR. PHILLIPS 186 Cross Examination by MR. JORDE 186 Questions by COMMISSION 199
APPEARANCES	DAVID DAUM Direct Examination by MR. MAHLBERG 206 Cross Examination by MR. TORDE
Commissioners Randy Christmann, Sheri Haugen-Hoffart, and Substitute Decisionmaker Timothy J. Dawson	Cross Examination by MR. JORDE 209 Cross Examination by MR. PHILLIPS 224 Questions by COMMISSION 235
BRRT DUBLINSKE, PATRICK MAHLBERG, and TYLER GLUDT, Fredrikson & Byron, PA, on behalf of Applicant SCS Carbon Transport LLC	
DAVID R. PHILLIPS, Bakke Grinolds Wiederholt, on	
behalf of Intervenor Burleigh County BRIAN E. JORDE, Domina Law Group, on behalf of	
Intervenors/Landowners	
STEVE J. LEIBEL, Knoll Leibel LLP, on behalf of Intervenors/Landowners	
ZACHARY PELHAM, Special Assistant Attorney General Advisory Counsel to the Public Service Commission	
	1 ALJ HOGAN: All right. Good morning. My clock
2	2 says 8:30 so we are going to get started. Today is
CONTENTS	3 June 3rd, 2024. This is the last day scheduled for the
	4 technical hearing in Public Service Commission Case No.
	5 PU-22-391. This is a siting application for SCS Carbon
INTERVENOR/LANDOWNER/BURLEIGH COUNTY WITNESSES	6 Transport LLC for the Midwest Carbon Express pipeline
BAILEY ELKINS Direct Examination by MR. PHILLIPS 7	7 project.
Cross Examination by MR. GLUDT 27 Cross Examination by MR. PELHAM 28	8 We are reconvening today. And before we begin
Cross Examination by MR. JORDE	9 with testimony again, I will just ask if there's any
	10 preliminary matters we need to address before we get
JANEL OLSON Direct Examination by MR. JORDE 39 Cross Examination by MR. PELHAM 52	11 started.
Questions by COMMISSION	12 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor?
Questions by COMMISSION 57	13 ALJ HOGAN: Yes.
JONATHAN HAGEROTT Direct Examination by MR. JORDE 60	14 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I do have, from a
Cross Examination by MR. GLUDT 104 Questions by COMMISSION 104	15 long, long time ago, a previous commitment from 3:30 to
Redirect Examination by MR. JORDE 105 Questions by COMMISSION 106	16 4:30 but would require a little setup time so probably
	17 like 3 to 4:30.
	18 ALJ HOGAN: Okay.
	19 COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: If we aren't done I
	20 just kind of always assumed that this probably would be
	21 done by then, but if it is not, it would be my intention
	22 to just step away, but I certainly will review all the

recordings and everything and get up to speed on it.

But that just is lingering and I just wanted to explain

PAGE 4

it in advance if we get to that time.

1	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	1	and clarify some issues that came up in her previous
2	Mr. Leibel.	2	testimony.
3	MR. LEIBEL: Yes, one thing, Judge. I just	3	BAILEY ELKINS,
4	wanted to advise the Court we either have filed or will	4	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
5	file this morning a motion for Brian so he can appear	5	follows:
6	or Mr. Jorde can appear tomorrow without local counsel	6	DIRECT EXAMINATION
7	making the drive to Linton.	7	BY MR. PHILLIPS:
8	ALJ HOGAN: It's been filed. I saw it this	8	Q. Ms. Elkins, can you state your name for the
9	morning and I will grant it and, hopefully, a paper	9	record?
10	order will go out today, but, if not, I'm on the record	10	A. Bailey Elkins.
11	saying it will be granted so	11	Q. What is your occupation?
12	MR. LEIBEL: Thank you, Judge. I just wanted to	12	A. I currently work as a project manager with
13	let you know.	13	training as a geologist.
14	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Anything else?	14	Q. Can you tell the commissioners about your
15	All right. Mr. Phillips, I think you have the	15	educational background specifically relating to drinking
16	first witness this morning.	16	water, source water, and surface water?
17	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.	17	A. Certainly. I have a bachelor's of science in
18	And just to introduce myself, I am David Phillips	18	geoscience with coursework emphasis on geochemistry,
19	representing Burleigh County, filling in for Randy Bakke	19	hydrogeology, and geophysics.
20	today.	20	Q. And can you tell the commissioners your work
21	We call Bailey Elkins.	21	history, again with a particular emphasis on those water
22	ALJ HOGAN: Ms. Elkins, can you hear me okay?	22	and slope issues?
23	BAILEY ELKINS: Yes, I can.	23	A. I have worked as an environmental geologist in
24	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Now I can hear you.	24	pipeline remediation and environmental day permitting,
25	Great. Before you well, I'll have you start by	25	as well as source water protection specialist for North
	PAGE 5		PAGE 7
1	stating your full name for the record and spelling your	1	Dakota North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association
2	last name.	2	for over five years as a source water protection
3	BAILEY ELKINS: Bailey Elkins, E-L-K-I-N-S.	3	specialist working with communities to understand their
4	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Elkins, before you testify	4	drinking water sources and providing or helping them
5	this morning, I'm required by law to advise you on the	5	develop pragmatic solutions to protect their drinking
6	and the few and we in the state of Neutla Delete	6	water.
	penalties for perjury in the state of North Dakota.		
7	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a	7	Q. And in preparation for your testimony today,
	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a	7 8	
7			Q. And in preparation for your testimony today, have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office?
7 8	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both.	8	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by
7 8 9	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years'	8 9	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office?
7 8 9 10	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is?	8 9 10	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have.
7 8 9 10 11	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes.	8 9 10 11	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of
7 8 9 10 11	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	8 9 10 11 12	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	8 9 10 11 12 13	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding geological instability. Just again at page 6 along with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. And just very briefly before I question, just to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding geological instability. Just again at page 6 along with Exhibit C. That's Docket 530 and also hearing Exhibit
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. And just very briefly before I question, just to let the commissioners know Ms. Elkins did previously	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding geological instability. Just again at page 6 along with Exhibit C. That's Docket 530 and also hearing Exhibit SCS R-12.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. And just very briefly before I question, just to let the commissioners know Ms. Elkins did previously testify in this matter as a member of the public on	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding geological instability. Just again at page 6 along with Exhibit C. That's Docket 530 and also hearing Exhibit SCS R-12. A letter from Edward Murphy to Victor Schock
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. And just very briefly before I question, just to let the commissioners know Ms. Elkins did previously testify in this matter as a member of the public on October 22nd, 2024, just about her concerns in relation	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding geological instability. Just again at page 6 along with Exhibit C. That's Docket 530 and also hearing Exhibit SCS R-12. A letter from Edward Murphy to Victor Schock dated March 3, 2023. That's Docket 64. Letter from SCS
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Perjury is a Class C felony, punishable by a maximum fine of \$10,000, a maximum five years' imprisonment, or both. Do you understand what perjury is? BAILEY ELKINS: Yes. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? BAILEY ELKINS: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. And just very briefly before I question, just to let the commissioners know Ms. Elkins did previously testify in this matter as a member of the public on October 22nd, 2024, just about her concerns in relation to the pipeline near her home. She's going to testify	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	have you reviewed these documents that were provided by my office? A. I have. Q. I'll just briefly read through the list of documents and just have you confirm at the end that you did receive and review those documents. Phase I Geohazards Assessment document dated January 30th, 2024, specifically at section 6. And for the record, that's Docket 437-7. Prefiled testimony of James Powell regarding geological instability. Just again at page 6 along with Exhibit C. That's Docket 530 and also hearing Exhibit SCS R-12. A letter from Edward Murphy to Victor Schock dated March 3, 2023. That's Docket 64. Letter from SCS Carbon Transport to North Dakota Geological Survey,

	ND PUBLIC SERV		E COMMISSION
1	That's Docket 457.	1	Q. Do you believe the PSC should impose
2	Portions of SCS Carbon Transport's petition for	2	requirements on Summit in that regard?
3	reconsideration at Docket 371.	3	A. I do. I do.
4	And the Bismarck route analysis by Summit Carbon	4	Q. Let's talk a little bit about slope stability
5	Solutions filed with the PSC on January 24th, 2024. And	5	specifically. At the April 22nd hearing, did Mr. Pelham
6	that's at Docket 432.	6	ask you some questions about slope stability in relation
7	Just confirming that you've received those	7	to the pipeline?
8	documents and have reviewed them?	8	A. He did. He asked what my recommendation would
9	A. I have.	9	be, which I suggested having field geologists review the
10	Q. Have you seen anything in what you've reviewed	10	route in person.
11	to suggest that Summit indicates it will follow best	11	Q. Actually going out to the site in person; right?
12	practices in relation to geohazard assessment to	12	A. Correct.
13	identify high hazard or landslide erosion areas?	13	Q. Based on your review of the documents in this
14	A. I've seen some evidence that they've attempted	14	case, do you still have concerns about Summit not having
15	to follow some best practices; however, not in their	15	sent field geologists out to the field?
16	entirety.	16	A. I do have concerns only identified slopes or
17	Q. Can you explain that last part, "not in their	17	identified landslides have been visited in person, and
18	entirety"?	18	even those that have been identified, Summit has said
19	A. Specifically, that they have attempted to	19	that they've only visited a subset of those. And while
20	conduct field assessments but have not engaged in field	20	active landslides would pose a hazard, there's also the
21	assessments of all identified slide areas or high slope	21	possibility that significant precipitation events just
22	areas.	22	like we saw east of Jamestown yesterday or west of
23	Q. Have you seen anything to suggest that Summit	23	Jamestown, excuse me, could contribute to new slides.
24	plans to account for crossing ephemeral or seasonal	24	So that type of slope identification, or lack of, would
25	water features?	25	be hazardous to the pipe.
	PAGE 9	_	PAGE 11
1	A. I have not.	1	Q. Following the April 22nd hearing, did you
2	Q. Is it your experience that large precipitation	2	conduct some further research to try to address
3	or run-off events can contribute to significant erosion? A. It is.	3	Mr. Pelham's questions?
5	Q. Have you seen anything I'm sorry, go ahead.	5	A. I did. I heard Mr. Pelham ask a representative from Summit what if that was industry best practices.
6	A. Go ahead. No, that's okay.	6	So I identified several documents, one from a pipeline
7	Q. Have you seen anything to suggest that Summit	7	transmission company on their operating practices for
8	plans to identify locations where civil or geotechnical	8	how they handle construction and landslide mitigation,
9	mitigation measures are needed to minimize slope	9	as well as an additional document put together by
10	movement?	10	several larger pipeline operators in conjunction with
11	A. I've seen suggestions from Summit's consultant,	11	The Nature Conservancy that identified best practices in
12	but I haven't seen any indications that those	12	high slope areas.
13	suggestions would be implemented.	13	Q. Thank you.
14	Q. Have you seen anything to suggest that Summit	14	And let's turn to those one at a time. I'm
15	plans to develop site-specific reclamation and	15	going to have you look at Exhibit BC131. Ms. Elkins,
16	re-vegetation strategies?	16	let me know when you have that up.
17	A. I have not.	17	A. I do.
18	Q. These various issues that you just addressed, do	18	Q. And is this one of the reports that you just
19	they address and are related to environmental concerns	19	mentioned a moment ago?
20	as well as health and safety concerns related to the	20	A. It is.
21	pipeline?	21	Q. And is this document a collaboration between
22	A. Environmental concerns, I would be concerned	22	various oil and gas pipeline companies and The Nature
23	about significant erosion from inadequate re-vegetation	23	Conservancy?
24	and the potential for a site rupture if too much soil	24	A. It is.
25	were removed or were to expose that pipe.	25	Q. Do you believe this report has some helpful
	PAGE 10	12	PAGE 12

	ND PUBLIC SERV		E COMMISSION
1	information that the PSC should consider in relation to	1	have concerns on how that could impact local water
2	the Summit pipeline?	2	tables and especially local perched aquifers that serve
3	A. I do.	3	as the sole drinking water source for more remote rural
4	MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would move to	4	residents.
5	introduce Exhibit BC131.	5	Q. And do you believe the PSC should require Summit
6	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection?	6	to follow best practices and address these concerns?
7	MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.	7	A. I do.
8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel.	8	Q. Does this report also address the operations and
9	MR. LEIBEL: We have no objection.	9	maintenance phase for the pipelines?
10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	10	A. It does.
11	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	11	Q. And does it describe best practices?
12	ALJ HOGAN: All right. BC131 is received.	12	A. It does describe best practices.
13	Q. (BY MR. PHILLIPS) And specifically what aspect	13	Q. Again, just generally describe for the
14	of this report do you think would be useful for the PSC	14	Commission what this report says about those best
15	in rendering a decision in this case?	15	practices.
16	A. I think the PSC would benefit from the	16	A. For the operations and maintenance phase, it
17	preconstruction phase information provided in this	17	identifies what how often things should be reviewed,
18	report, as well as potential best practices and	18	how often things should and I have seen some
19	operation and maintenance best practices, that it would	19	information regarding that in (indiscernible) in
20	be helpful in ensuring that Summit is following the best	20	Summit's application, but I have not seen everything
21	practices identified by these oil and gas pipeline	21	identified. And I apologize, it's been a little while
22	operators considering that a rupture in their pipe could	22	since I've read that. I don't think I can identify or
23	pose significant hazards to those of us who reside near	23	briefly summarize for you.
24	the pipeline.	24	Q. In any event, based on your
25	Q. And does this report identify best practices	25	A. I was more concerned on the hazardous mitigation
	PAGE 13		PAGE 15
1	specifically to improve steep slope pipeline	1	during construction and identifying the site.
2	construction?	2	Q. Based on your review of it, do you believe it
3	A. It does.	3	would be appropriate for the PSC to require Summit to
4	Q. And maybe just very briefly tell the Commission	4	follow those best practices?
5	generally what the report says about that.	5	A. Absolutely.
6	A. So the report outlines best practices during	6	Q. I'm going to have you now look at Exhibit BC132.
7	construction identifying hazard areas, crossing water	7	A. I have it available.
8	crossings, locations where additional measures would	8	Q. Is this the other document you referred to
9	need to be taken place, and then site-specific	9	earlier that you found in your research?
10	activities when they are reclaiming that site or	10	A. It is.
11	reburying that, as well as additional best practices	11	Q. And is this a slope stability procedure document
12	during the construction phase of the pipeline and how	12	for the construction of an actual pipeline?
13	and in siting the pipeline.	13	A. It is.
14	Q. Have you seen anything to suggest that Summit	14	Q. And it appears this was prepared by Dominion
15	has best practices in place to ensure optimal placement	15	Transmission, Inc.; is that right?
16	and installation of slope barriers?	16	A. That is correct.
17	A. I've seen some effort to avoid slope areas and	17	Q. What type of pipeline is covered by this
18	be guided by the North Dakota Geological Survey, but I	18	document?
19	have not seen anything along the route beyond the	19	A. It is a natural gas pipeline.
20	guidance provided by the North Dakota Geological Survey,	20	Q. Is there information in this policy and
21	to my recollection.	21	procedure that you believe would be helpful for the PSC
22	Q. Have you seen anything to suggest that Summit	22	to consider in this case?
		23	A. I believe the PSC should consider the field
23	plans to follow best practices to optimize groundwater		
23 24	management during the construction?	24	reconnaissance portion of this pipeline as well as some
23		24 25	reconnaissance portion of this pipeline as well as some of the slope failure training policies that are laid out PAGE 16

	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV		
1	and slope failure mitigation practices and also consider	1	Q. How would you define "source water"?
2	what inspections have been required as carbon dioxide	2	A. In the drinking water industry, source water is
3	leaks are more hazardous than natural gas.	3	identified as a source of drinking water so where water
4	MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I'd move to introduce	4	is supplied from.
5	Exhibit BC132.	5	Q. And what is a source water protection area?
6	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt?	6	A. Source water protection areas are defined as an
7	MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.	7	area that could well, back up. For groundwater,
8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	8	source water protection areas are defined as an area
9	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	9	that could potentially have impact on a drinking water
10	ALJ HOGAN: All right. BC132 is received.	10	supply within about a five-year period. It's generally
11	Q. (BY MR. PHILLIPS) Have you seen anything to	11	modeled to identify the contribution area from the
12	suggest that Summit has prepared a similar policy and	12	aquifer to the well.
13	procedure in writing for the pipeline design,	13	For communities and areas that provide or
14	construction, and maintenance in this case?	14	that are utilizing what we traditionally think of as
15	A. I have seen some information regarding	15	water bodies lakes, rivers, streams as their
16		16	drinking water supply, source water protection areas are
	maintenance, but I've not seen anything for the	17	
17	construction phase of the project.		generally indicated to be an entire watershed. However,
18	Q. Do you believe it would be advisable for Summit	18	for the state of North Dakota, that would make our
19	to go ahead and prepare that for that additional issue?	19	entire state a source water protection area so it has
20	A. I do.	20	been limited in scope where it extends a certain amount
21	Q. In your opinion, is the slope stability policy	21	of river miles upstream and a thousand feet beyond the
22	and procedure important?	22	high-water mark of that water body.
23	A. I think the slope stability policies and	23	Q. Do you have any concerns about the potential
24	construction procedures are very important. Potential	24	impacts of the Summit pipeline on source water?
25	slides could have significant impact on that. In fact,	25	A. I do have some concerns if there were, in fact,
	PAGE 17		PAGE 19
1	the pipeline regulatory agency oh, gosh, I'm going to	1	any ruptures. CO2 has been considered a pollutant and
1 2	the pipeline regulatory agency oh, gosh, I'm going to say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA,	1 2	any ruptures. CO2 has been considered a pollutant and it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting
2	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA,	2	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting
2	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry	2	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event
2 3 4	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that	2 3 4	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment
2 3 4 5	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how	2 3 4 5	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley.
2 3 4 5 6	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on	2 3 4 5 6	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that
2 3 4 5 6 7	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent.	2 3 4 5 6 7	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent any kind of passing of liability to the construction	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes. Q. And just to be clear, those statutes are found
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent any kind of passing of liability to the construction company, saying, "Well, we just didn't know better," and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes. Q. And just to be clear, those statutes are found in Chapter 61-16.1 of the North Dakota Century Code?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent any kind of passing of liability to the construction company, saying, "Well, we just didn't know better," and ensuring that everyone working on this pipeline is on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes. Q. And just to be clear, those statutes are found in Chapter 61-16.1 of the North Dakota Century Code? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent any kind of passing of liability to the construction company, saying, "Well, we just didn't know better," and ensuring that everyone working on this pipeline is on the same page while they're building things and also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes. Q. And just to be clear, those statutes are found in Chapter 61-16.1 of the North Dakota Century Code? A. Correct. Q. Based on your understanding of the law, is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent any kind of passing of liability to the construction company, saying, "Well, we just didn't know better," and ensuring that everyone working on this pipeline is on the same page while they're building things and also avoiding hazardous areas even as they're identified in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes. Q. And just to be clear, those statutes are found in Chapter 61-16.1 of the North Dakota Century Code? A. Correct. Q. Based on your understanding of the law, is itdoes the water resource board have the power and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say the acronym wrong and I apologize of PHMSA, pipeline hazardous materials association I'm sorry but they've released a document several years back that I referred to in my previous testimony to indicate how slope stability issues have had significant impacts on pipelines and the hazards that they prevent. The more stringent we can be in requiring these things, the more likely or the more assured we, the public, can be that these practices will be followed and that the construction companies are following what has been proposed in the application. Q. What types of hazards do policies and procedures like this help prevent? A. In my experience, even in water transmission lines, operators essentially shake their fist at the as-builts, that things aren't necessarily done right. So providing or insisting on training and policies for how to handle certain areas like this would prevent any kind of passing of liability to the construction company, saying, "Well, we just didn't know better," and ensuring that everyone working on this pipeline is on the same page while they're building things and also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	it's also heavier than air. I can foresee it impacting those water bodies and also potentially, in the event of, like, a Missouri River crossing, water treatment plants at the Missouri River Valley. Q. Are there any statutes in North Dakota that contain regulations or rules relating to the operation of water resource districts? A. There are. Q. Have you looked at those before your testimony today? A. I have reviewed them. Q. Does Burleigh County, to your understanding, have a water resource district? A. They do. Q. And is it your understanding Burleigh County is bound by State statutes in relation to the operation of the Burleigh County Water Resource District? A. Yes. Q. And just to be clear, those statutes are found in Chapter 61-16.1 of the North Dakota Century Code? A. Correct. Q. Based on your understanding of the law, is it

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

management, control, regulation, and conservation of I'd worked with previously in my position as the source 2 waters and prevent the pollution, contamination, and 2 water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water 3 3 other misuse of water resources, streams, or bodies of Systems Association, asking if they'd potentially be 4 4 willing to share that map with me. And initially I was water included within the district? 5 A. I believe that is the language, so yeah. told that they would. However, upon further follow-up, 6 Q. Is it your understanding that supercritical CO2 6 I was told that that information would not be provided 7 7 in large concentrations is a pollutant? to me, that there were concerns about potential 8 A. Yes. 8 political ramifications of allowing that to be shared 9 **Q.** Is it your understanding that the Burleigh 9 with the Public Service Commission for this hearing. 10 County Water Resource District has the power and 10 Q. What are the potential adverse consequences of a 11 authority to make rules and regulations concerning the 11 CO2 leak into the water supply or the drinking water in 12 12 management, control, regulation, and conservation of **Burleigh County?** 13 13 waters to prevent pollution contamination or other A. The initial concern that I would have for a water -- or a CO2 leak would be incapacitating people 14 misuses of water? 14 15 A. It is. 15 near -- or at the water treatment plant, that operate 16 Q. From your work with the North Dakota Rural Water 16 that, followed by CO2 dissolves in the water, displacing 17 Systems Association and otherwise, are you familiar with 17 dissolved oxygen which makes that water not --18 the city of Bismarck and where they get the water 18 potentially unfit for aquatic life, as well as altering 19 sources from? 19 the pH which could impact how the water is treated by 20 A. Missouri River is the water supply for the city 20 that plant, assuming that there were no catastrophic 21 21 of Bismarck. issues for those operating it. 22 22 Q. On the area where the Summit pipeline reroute is Q. How deep is the South Central Regional Water 23 23 proposed, the areas, are there nearby pipelines that District pipeline buried below the ground? 24 provide water to the city of Bismarck or other 24 A. Best practices in the state of North Dakota are 25 25 municipalities in Burleigh County? to bury the pipelines around eight feet deep to avoid PAGE 21 PAGE 23 1 A. I believe the South Central water treatment 1 freeze-up. The South Central Regional Water District 2 plant is a little over two and a half miles south of the 2 pipes are generally buried from about seven and a half 3 proposed pipeline crossing, which provides water to the 3 to eight feet. 4 city of Wilton, numerous develop -- rural developments Q. Is it your understanding the Summit pipeline is 5 and homeowners associations, portions of north Bismarck, 5 proposed to be a maximum of about four feet deep in this 6 6 and I believe also extending out towards municipalities 7 near the city of Wing -- or not municipalities but 7 A. It is. 8 8 Q. Do you have any concerns about that? 9 Q. Ms. Elkins, I'm going to have you look at BC107 9 A. I have some major concerns that they will be 10 which was previously admitted into evidence in this 10 crossing a number of water mains as well -- as well as 11 11 service lines that are providing water to different 12 A. Okay. 12 residences. In the event of a break, which my 13 Q. And what does this image depict? 13 experience in the water industry has indicated are 14 A. This image depicts the pipeline's proposed route 14 frequent due to frost heaving and other ground movement 15 in crossing the Missouri River. There's also culled out 15 issues, if that break were to occur near Summit's pipe, 16 the South Central Regional Water District's water 16 I think that it would cause significant additional 17 treatment plant and water intake. 17 burden to those operating that water supply to have to 18 **Q.** Do you know where the pipelines go from the 18 work around the pipeline or whatever best procedures are 19 South Central Regional Water District? 19 going -- or best practices are going to be. 20 A. I have a general understanding of where the 20 I did not research what the best practices are 21 pipelines go; however, I have not seen a distribution 21 for excavating near a CO2 pipeline, but I imagine it 22 map beyond visiting their office and seeing things on 22 would involve something like a hydrovacuum and 23 23 the walls in the past. potentially shutting down of the pipeline. And as a 24 24 Q. Have you tried -ratepayer for the water systems, I can only imagine how 25 25 A. I reached out to -- I reached out to people that that's going to increase the cost beyond just bringing PAGE 24

	ND PUBLIC SERV	ī	
1	out a backhoe and digging up a leak. There would be	1	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
2	significantly more coordination. Those of us that would	2	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly.
3	be impacted would be without water for much longer. And	3	CROSS EXAMINATION
4	as said, it would drive up cost for those of us who are	4	BY MR. GLUDT:
5	members of the water system for having to pay for these	5	Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins.
6	procedures to work around the pipeline that wasn't there	6	A. Good morning.
7	when the rural water lines were put in.	7	Q. I may have missed it. Did you say you reviewed
8	Q. Have you reviewed maps of the location of the	8	Summit's environmental construction plan which was filed
9	proposed pipeline?	9	at Docket 1 in anticipation of your testimony?
10	A. I have.	10	A. Briefly, but that was some time ago, not in
11	Q. And are you generally aware of where the	11	preparation for my testimony.
12	proposed pipeline on the north side is installed or	12	Q. When you did look at it, did you review Summit's
13	proposed to be installed in relation to Baldwin?	13	plans for within that plan, Summit has set forth
14	A. I am. Didn't really okay.	14	plans for stabilization, reclamation and vegetation,
15	Q. Do you have any concerns about that?	15	water management, and various crossing methods. Did you
16	A. In relation to Baldwin, I think that it is	16	look at those?
17	remarkably close to the community, less than one mile	17	A. I do not recall. As I said, it was some time
18	away from the community, and that it will be crossing	18	ago. Months.
19	the South Central lines many times.	19	Q. Have you reviewed Summit's proposed pipeline in
20	Q. Do you have any concerns if the route was moved	20	comparison or with respect to the Commission's avoidance
21	further south, you get further from Baldwin?	21	and exclusion areas?
22	A. If it was moved further south from Baldwin, I	22	A. Could you reference the document name, please?
23	believe it would be impacting some of the other	23	Q. Maybe I'll rephrase that. Do you know what the
24	neighbors, many more densely populated areas such as The	24	Commission's avoidance and exclusion areas are?
25	Ranch, and I believe it's already been rerouted further	25	A. I understand some of the avoidance areas. I
	PAGE 25		PAGE 27
1	north due to concerns about proximity to the city of	1	don't think I could recite them to you.
1 2	north due to concerns about proximity to the city of Bismarck.	1 2	don't think I could recite them to you. Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you
2	Bismarck.	2	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you
2	Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you	2	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet
2 3 4	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act?	2 3 4	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed?
2 3 4 5	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am.	2 3 4 5	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be
2 3 4 5 6	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about
2 3 4 5 6 7	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection plans for the city of Mandan, South Central Regional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony this morning the North Dakota Rural Water Association.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection plans for the city of Mandan, South Central Regional Water District, and others, and that will be additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony this morning the North Dakota Rural Water Association. Are you employed by them?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection plans for the city of Mandan, South Central Regional Water District, and others, and that will be additional burden on state employees to assist in the drafting of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony this morning the North Dakota Rural Water Association. Are you employed by them? A. I was previously employed by them. I was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection plans for the city of Mandan, South Central Regional Water District, and others, and that will be additional burden on state employees to assist in the drafting of that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony this morning the North Dakota Rural Water Association. Are you employed by them? A. I was previously employed by them. I was the source water protection specialist.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection plans for the city of Mandan, South Central Regional Water District, and others, and that will be additional burden on state employees to assist in the drafting of that. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony this morning the North Dakota Rural Water Association. Are you employed by them? A. I was previously employed by them. I was the source water protection specialist. Q. Okay. And just to be clear, you're testifying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Bismarck. Q. And just to wrap things up here, are you familiar with the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act? A. I am. Q. Based on your education, experience, and understanding, what effect does the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act have on the Summit pipeline proposal? A. The Safe Drinking Water Act controls injection wells. I believe that it would definitely impact how they would be handling those things but also (indiscernible) as a potential pollutant that's going to have to be addressed and concerns mitigated to protect the city's water supply as part of it. It will require additional work by the person who's taken over my position as the source water protection specialist for North Dakota Rural Water Systems Association as well as additional revisions to those source water protection plans for the city of Mandan, South Central Regional Water District, and others, and that will be additional burden on state employees to assist in the drafting of that. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I have no further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Okay. One more question, Ms. Elkins. Are you aware that Summit's going to bore the pipeline 49 feet below the Missouri River bed? A. I am aware that the Missouri River bed will be bored 49 feet below, and I also have concerns about scouring and reworking of that sand in the event of flooding. Q. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, do you have any questions? MR. PELHAM: I have a few. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Good morning, Ms. Elkins. Sorry you're not able to be here in person. Hope your recovery is going okay. You mentioned a couple times in your testimony this morning the North Dakota Rural Water Association. Are you employed by them? A. I was previously employed by them. I was the source water protection specialist. Q. Okay. And just to be clear, you're testifying in your individual capacity, not for any employer;

	ND PUBLIC SERV		_ 001/11/11001014
1	A. Correct.	1	part and parcel of what's known as Phase II assessments
2	Q. Okay.	2	or Phase II surveys? Are you familiar with the
3	A. I am no longer employed with them. I am	3	difference between a Phase I and a Phase II survey?
4	testifying as an individual.	4	A. Are you talking about like environmental Phase I
5	Q. Understood. And that's what I thought. I just	5	and Phase II assessments? (Indiscernible).
6	wanted to confirm that.	6	Q. Yeah, in terms of, you know, like Phase I, for
7	Mr. Phillips asked you a little bit about the	7	instance, like a desktop identifying literature, you
8	location of the proposed route in and around Baldwin and	8	know, something you can do in the comfort of your office
9	he asked if you had concerns if the route was moved	9	or home, and then Phase II, actually getting out there
10	south and you answered that question. Do you have	10	in the field with an in-person geologist. Is that
11	concerns if the route proposed route was moved north?	11	something that kind of rings a bell to you?
12	A. I believe that the route would have to be	12	A. Yes.
13	significantly further north as moving several miles	13	Q. All right. And it was your recommendation that
14	north of Baldwin would place the route within the wind	14	the in-person field geologist type of the next step
15	farm that is north of Bismarck with significant	15	analysis should take place?
16	transmission lines and I'm assuming underground lines,	16	A. Correct.
17	but I'm not as familiar with electricity gathering.	17	Q. Are you aware that it's hypothesized that the
18	Q. Fair enough.	18	Satartia, Mississippi, incident was at least caused in
19	Early on in your testimony this morning, ma'am,	19	part by significant precipitation events that affected
	you were asked about whether or not Summit had done	20	and made the soil unstable?
20		21	A. I am aware.
	field assessments as to the potential for sliding		
22	subsidence, geological issues with where the route is	22	Q. And those are the kind of concerns you have for
23	currently proposed to be. Do you have any specific	23	the sensitive areas that have not yet had field
24	areas along the proposed route that you believe that	24	geologist assessments in North Dakota; is that right?
25	these additional field assessments should be done? PAGE 29	25	A. That is correct. PAGE 31
	FAGE 29	-	
4	A Thelians that alone higher alone areas	1 4	Var. manuficus di champfilas I lavo de se a champfila
1	A. I believe that slope higher slope areas	1	Q. You mentioned shapefiles. How does a shapefile
2	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only	2	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that
2	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides.	2	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your
2 3 4	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials	2 3 4	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along?
2 3 4 5	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher	2 3 4 5	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into
2 3 4 5 6	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically?	2 3 4 5 6	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system
2 3 4 5 6 7	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed pipeline that there's geology in Iowa sufficient to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should be receiving this field reconnaissance, not only to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I do have some questions of Ms. Elkins.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed pipeline that there's geology in Iowa sufficient to store the CO2 that Summit seeks to store in western
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I do have some questions of Ms. Elkins. CROSS EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed pipeline that there's geology in Iowa sufficient to store the CO2 that Summit seeks to store in western North Dakota?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I do have some questions of Ms. Elkins. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed pipeline that there's geology in Iowa sufficient to store the CO2 that Summit seeks to store in western North Dakota? A. I've heard of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I do have some questions of Ms. Elkins. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. You had discussed relative to the geohazard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed pipeline that there's geology in Iowa sufficient to store the CO2 that Summit seeks to store in western North Dakota? A. I've heard of MR. GLUDT: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to identify existing slides but potential future slides. Q. Understood. And in your review of the materials that you cited you reviewed, where are these higher slope areas specifically? A. There's I've reviewed the portions of the reroute as that is what's going to most likely impact me. As I've said in my previous testimony, it is difficult to place Summit's pipe with the way that their map book is set up and no shapefiles have been provided, but specifically west at Highway 83 between the Missouri River and there, and I know that there's significant topography within Emmons County as well as the north Bismarck area. Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Elkins. I don't have any other questions for you this morning. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Leibel or Mr. Jorde, any questions? MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. I do have some questions of Ms. Elkins. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	what type of data is embedded within a shapefile that would assist in analysis and could help further your opinions along? A. A shapefile is meant to be incorporated into what we call GIS. So it's a map for a map-based system that allows people to place that particular shape into or onto other maps. Being able to have the specific coordinates and locations of the pipeline would allow people to more easily conduct analysis to identify proximity from different features as well as overlaying it on different types of soils that it's crossing and reviewing topography, something as simple as putting it on top of a topographic map. Q. And having those shapefiles would be helpful and greatly aid that type of analysis; correct? A. Correct. Q. As a geologist, have you become aware of testimony in Iowa relative to the Summit proposed pipeline that there's geology in Iowa sufficient to store the CO2 that Summit seeks to store in western North Dakota? A. I've heard of

	ND PUBLIC SERV	10	
1	MR. GLUDT: Objection, relevance.	1	lot of the things that you're talking about, but if you
2	ALJ HOGAN: I think we've had others testify	2	haven't reviewed one recently, I'll I guess no point
3	about this. I'll allow you to ask limited questions,	3	in asking that.
4	but I don't want to get too deep into anything pertinent	4	I just also want to touch on one thing that your
5	to Iowa, Mr. Jorde.	5	attorney said. Hopefully, it was just a slip of the
6	MR. JORDE: Well, no. I appreciate that. This	6	tongue. But do you recall when Mr. Phillips mentioned
7	is all pertinent to North Dakota since there's this	7	this being buried at a maximum four-foot depth?
8	doesn't have to happen in North Dakota since it's	8	THE WITNESS: I've heard on average or
9	available in Iowa. That's all I'm establishing.	9	approximately four feet but as I
10	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So you're aware of that	10	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I just wanted to
11	testimony?	11	clarify because a maximum of four feet implies that in
12	A. I've heard of potential sequestration sites	12	most cases it would be less than four feet, and the four
13	closer to the bulk of the Iowa or the bulk of the	13	feet is a minimum, not a maximum. Is that your
14	Iowa area plants.	14	understanding as well?
15	Q. And as a geologist, based on your training,	15	THE WITNESS: That had been my understanding as
16	education, experience, and background and based on your	16	well, that it would be deeper for borings.
17	concerns for the geohazards and other drinking	17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you. No
18	water-related hazards in North Dakota, would it be your	18	other questions. Thanks for being here.
19	opinion that if there's sufficient geology in Iowa to	19	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
20	store the proposed carbon dioxide Summit intends to	20	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Good morning.
21	transport, that it would be prudent to investigate that	21	Just a clarification. I didn't write down all the
22	more prior to routing 350 miles across North Dakota to	22	documents that you reviewed, but have you reviewed
23	store it?	23	agency documents as far as in reference to landslides?
24	A. I believe it would be prudent to sequester the	24	THE WITNESS: By "agency," are you referring to
25	carbon dioxide as close to the source as possible to	25	the Public Service Commission?
	PAGE 33		PAGE 35
1	minimize any risks in transmission.	1	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Or like SHPO and
2	Q. All right. And you understand there's exactly	2	any of those any agency responses.
3	one source point of CO2 proposed in North Dakota that	3	THE WITNESS: I have not done no.
4	would be part of this proposed Summit hazardous	4	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thank you.
5	pipeline; correct?	5	No further questions.
6	A. I do.	6	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?
7	Q. All right. Thank you. I don't have anything	7	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.
8	further.	8	Thank you for appearing today.
9	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.	9	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Phillips?
10	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Have you read any	10	MR. PHILLIPS: Very briefly, Your Honor. And I
11	siting certificate approval orders from the PSC for	11	will clarify that was my mistake and my slip of the
12	other pipelines like Dakota Access or any of the other	12	tongue, Commissioner.
13	major pipelines in North Dakota from somewhat recent	13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	years? I'm not talking about in the '70s.	14	BY MR. PHILLIPS:
15	THE WITNESS: I believe I've read the Dakota	15	Q. Just very briefly, Ms. Elkins. When Mr. Gludt
16	Access when there was significant political upheaval in	16	was questioning you about boring under the river, you'd
17	the area regarding that. However, that was quite a long	17	mentioned you do have some concerns about scouring and
18	time ago and this is a very different product.	18	reworking of sand, if I heard your testimony correctly.
19	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And so there was that	19	Could you just briefly tell the Commission what those
20	order and then there was also the certification attached	20	concerns are?
21	to it. Do you recall that?	21	A. My concern is that in higher precipitation
22	THE WITNESS: I do not.	22	events or another potential event akin to when the
23	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. I guess my next	23	floodgates were opened for Garrison Dam or even releases
24	question was whether you feel that between our orders	24	of ice dams, that water has tremendous power that is
	·		
25	and the attached certifications, whether they cover a	25	able to move the river channel, that scouring would

1	potentially lower lower the depth of burial, if you	1	JANEL OLSON: I do.
2	would, so that it's more likely to be impacted by debris	2	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
3	flow or other issues to the pipe. I also have concerns	3	Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.
4	regarding slides on the riverbanks in such an event that	4	MR. JORDE: Thank you.
5	the river could cause additional erosion that may impact	5	JANEL OLSON,
6	that horizontal drilling.	6	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
7	Q. Thank you.	7	follows:
8	MR. PHILLIPS: No further questions, Your Honor.	8	DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions?	9	BY MR. JORDE:
10	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	10	Q. Good morning, Ms. Olson. I noticed that you
11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	11	have some papers in front of you. My first question is
12	MR. PELHAM: No, Your Honor.	12	have you testified either live or prefiled testimony
13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.	13	prior to today?
14	MR. JORDE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	14	A. I have not.
15	ALJ HOGAN: Any other commissioner questions?	15	Q. All right. And did you prepare some thoughts so
16	Mr. Dawson?	16	that we could get through this quicker and you could be
17	All right. Thank you, Ms. Elkins.	17	more organized with what important information you'd
18	THE WITNESS: Thank you for having me.	18	like to share with the Commission?
19	ALJ HOGAN: All right. I think the next witness	19	A. Yes. I've just prepared a statement.
20	is yours, Mr. Jorde.	20	Q. All right. Would you like to make that
21	MR. JORDE: I sure hope so. If Jon Hagerott is	21	statement now?
22	available to take the stand, I call Jon.	22	A. I would.
23	ALJ HOGAN: Did you hear that, Mr. Jorde?	23	Q. Okay. Go ahead.
24	MR. JORDE: I did not.	24	A. Good morning. My name is Janel Hagerott Olson.
25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Hagerott is saying that his	25	I'm a fourth generation farmer and rancher. And the
23	PAGE 37	23	PAGE 39
_		4	
1	sister, is it Janel	1	proposed Summit pipeline crosses our land.
2	MR. JORDE: Janel? Janel Olson.	2	One of Plato's most famous quotes is that
3	ALJ HOGAN: would like to testify.	3	silence gives consent. This quote reflects his belief
4	MR. JORDE: Oh, perfect. Yep, Janel would be	4	that failing to speak out against injustice is
5	great.	5	tantamount to condoning it. And I cannot be silent.
6	ALJ HOGAN: Good morning. First I'm going to	6	I'm here today because I'm deeply concerned about the
7	ask you to turn that microphone on, the gray button,	7	safety of this pipeline and the integrity of Summit
8	yep.	8	Carbon Solutions.
9	JANEL OLSON: There?	9	I'd like to start by showing you two pictures.
10	ALJ HOGAN: Yes.	10	This picture is my three beautiful girls, Breanna,
11	JANEL OLSON: Is that on?	11	Courtney, and Alyssa Olson. And this second picture is
12	ALJ HOGAN: Yes. I'll have you state your full	12	my daughter Breanna and my granddaughter, Hadley. My
13	name for the record and if you want to spell your last	13	daughter Breanna is expecting and is due with her second
14	name.	14	baby this month.
15	JANEL OLSON: Janel Olson, O-L-S-O-N.	15	As a mother and a grandmother, I've had many
16	ALJ HOGAN: And, Ms. Olson, were you in the room	16	worries over the years, but by far my greatest concern
17	this morning when I went through the penalties for	17	has always been their health and safety. The proposed
18	3 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	perjury?	18	pipeline is about three-quarters of a mile from the home
19		18 19	pipeline is about three-quarters of a mile from the home that I grew up in, where my husband and I built our home
19 20	perjury?		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	perjury? JANEL OLSON: I was.	19	that I grew up in, where my husband and I built our home
20	perjury? JANEL OLSON: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	19 20	that I grew up in, where my husband and I built our home and have farmed and ranched for 32 years, and where some
20 21	perjury? JANEL OLSON: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is?	19 20 21	that I grew up in, where my husband and I built our home and have farmed and ranched for 32 years, and where some day my hope is that my daughters will build their homes.
20 21 22	perjury? JANEL OLSON: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? JANEL OLSON: I do.	19 20 21 22	that I grew up in, where my husband and I built our home and have farmed and ranched for 32 years, and where some day my hope is that my daughters will build their homes. I show you these pictures today again because I want you
20 21 22 23	perjury? JANEL OLSON: I was. ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury is? JANEL OLSON: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	19 20 21 22 23	that I grew up in, where my husband and I built our home and have farmed and ranched for 32 years, and where some day my hope is that my daughters will build their homes. I show you these pictures today again because I want you to not only see my precious girls' faces, I want you to

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

14

15

16

17

18

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

tragedies: 9/11, the Boston Marathon bombing, Sandy 2 Hook, and so many others. Oh, and let's not forget 3 about the rupture of the carbon pipeline in Satartia, 4 Mississippi, in 2020 where toxic carbon dioxide spewed into the air for about four hours and sent people to the 5 6 hospital and hundreds to be evacuated. 7 The sad thing is when tragedies like these 8

happen, maybe, just maybe, the names or the pictures of the victims will flash across a newsreel or a memorial wall will be set up, but days and weeks go by and everyone forgets. That's everyone who's not directly affected. For those who lost loved ones, have long-standing health effects, witnessed the horror or tried to provide life-saving treatment, their lives will never be the same.

I show you those pictures because I want you to remember their faces when there's a problem with this proposed pipeline. I can only pray that it doesn't kill them or cause long-standing health problems. I say "when" because it is not a question if there's a leak or an explosion. It's when. Just last month there was another CO2 leak at the Lake Charles pump station in Sulphur, Louisiana.

24 It's a fact, pipelines break and leak, whether 25 this is an oil, water, or CO2 pipeline. These pipelines

are subject to degradation due to many factors including

2 thermal expansion, contraction, and mechanical forces. 3 Although it's a known fact that pipelines leak and

break, there are way too many unknowns and no possible

5 way to predict the consequences with this proposed 6

pipeline.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

How will the extreme temperature changes in North Dakota affect the integrity of the pipeline and its components? Where will this leak or break occur? How far and what direction will the gas travel, especially in the setting of the extreme wind we have here in North Dakota? How many people will die? How many will suffer long-standing physical and mental health problems as a direct result?

How dare you, Summit Carbon Solutions, put the lives of my girls, my grandchildren, and the people of North Dakota at risk? Furthermore, how dare you businessmen, the politicians with their own agendas who support this project in the name of greed, put the lives of North Dakotans at risk? How dare you?

20 21 I am beyond blessed to have my children live 22 close by and nearly every weekend we spend a day 23 together. If this proposed pipeline is allowed to be 24 constructed, I'll need to live in constant fear that if 25 my children and grandchildren come to visit, their lives

could be in jeopardy because of the proximity of this 2 pipeline to our home. Furthermore, how can I encourage them to some day build a home and continue the legacy of 4 our family farm knowing that they face such significant

5 6 In addition to farming and ranching with my

7 father, my brother, and my husband, I have worked in 8 healthcare for 30 years, first as a CNA, then as a 9 registered nurse, and finally as a nurse practitioner. 10 I have devoted a large part of my life to the health and 11 well-being of the patients I serve. I worry about the 12 health and safety of my patients and all those who live 13 here in North Dakota.

Exposure to carbon dioxide has many health effects. Depending on the concentration and the length of exposure, CO2 can cause convulsions, coma, and death.

a major CO2 event. However, I've seen many patients

Thankfully in our area we have never experienced

19 whose level of CO2 in their body has risen to dangerous 20 levels. This is treated by shoving a tube down their 21 throat to provide mechanical ventilation when they can't 22 breathe on their own. Obviously, this is not a natural 23 process. Patients will try to fight this. They'll try 24 to rip out the tube. They'll have to be restrained and 25 sedated. For those who have had to witness this in

PAGE 43

1 patients they care for or in people they love, it 2 creates emotions and memories that will never be

3 forgotten. I will never, ever forget seeing my mom on a ventilator. My mom who was adamantly opposed to this

5 pipeline, who died on my birthday on July 6, 2022.

I ask you, Summit Carbon Solutions, and you the commissioners, are you prepared to see North Dakotans or those that you love on a ventilator due to toxic CO2 exposure if the Summit pipeline were to leak or to explode? That will only occur if your loved one is fortunate enough to survive the explosion and the emergency personnel are able to get to them.

Are our firefighters, paramedics, and other EMS personnel trained and able to respond to a potential mass casualty event? Are our hospitals equipped to manage and treat this type of emergency? You know, there's only so many ventilators available.

The pipeline runs near Bismarck, one of North Dakota's most populous cities. The hospitals in North Dakota are running at capacity or near capacity every single day. Patients wait hours to be seen and treated in the emergency room. Many patients are held in hallways on temporary gurneys waiting for a room in the hospital. Sometimes this is hours. Sometimes it's days. Frequently, our hospitals are on diversion. That

PAGE 44

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

means they have absolutely no room to take another 2 patient. If our community is faced with a CO2 leak or 3 explosion, what happens to your loved ones then? Are 4 they just left to die?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

23

24

25

Summit has met with some emergency responders and say they have plans do more training in the future. Does Summit have a plan for the fact that our hospitals are at capacity and do not have the room or the staff to care for an emergency like this? Perhaps they do: get more body bags.

As I mentioned, I'm the fourth generation on our family farm. My great grandfather, Edward Hagerott, homesteaded here in 1883. For 141 years our family has worked tirelessly to preserve and maintain the integrity of our land. We have planted hundreds, if not thousands, of trees and modified farming practices to include no-till farming all in the name of preserving the land that's sacred to us. This is with the hope that some day our children can carry on our legacy.

Never in my mind, or I'm sure the mind of my ancestors, would we intentionally do something that has the potential to cause harm to our animals, our property, and our neighbors, but that's exactly what Summit Carbon Solutions is planning. They're taking the garbage, the waste, from ethanol plants and piping it

have absolutely no recollection of one single in-person

2 visit, phone call, text, email, or letter from Summit to

3 myself. The only contact I've had with Summit has been

4 indirect.

5

6

7

8

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we initially found people walking on our land without permission, we asked who they were and why they were there. We were told they were surveyors from Summit. We asked them to leave.

9 Fast-forward quite some time and I got a phone 10 call; mind you, while I was at work trying to care for 11 patients. This phone call came from my husband and my 12 brother. They informed me that the Summit entourage had 13 shown up at our farm with several vehicles and multiple 14 people. When my brother stopped them, they told him 15 that they had permission based on a court ruling. 16 However, due to Summit's extreme inattention to detail, 17 these surveyors were oblivious to the fact that our 18 property was not, in fact, included in this court 19 ruling.

The next contact I had with Summit, again indirectly, was when I was served papers to appear in court to grant Summit's request to survey our property.

An acquaintance of my husband and mine is a landman and he works obtaining easements for multiple companies. For a while he worked for Summit. This

PAGE 47

PAGE 48

across several states, disrupting often untouched land, and dumping it in our sacred ground, putting all of us in danger in the process.

Why in the world would anyone think that this is acceptable? I think we all know why. It's money, it's greed, and it's politics.

I'd like to give you an analogy. On our ranch we have a feedlot. This needs to be cleaned of hay, straw, and manure. This is our waste product. Let's say I was to arrange to have our waste hauled, load after load, hour after hour, day after day, and year after year to you -- to the yards of you, the public commissioners, or to the Summit executives or the politicians who think this carbon pipeline is a good idea. You, and I'm sure your neighbors, certainly wouldn't enjoy the sight or the smell of the manure, our waste piling up in your yard.

18 This analogy is like allowing Summit to pipe 19 their waste through and into our land. There's one key 20 difference, though. Our waste might look and smell bad, 21 but it won't kill you.

Finally, I'd like to speak to my concerns about the integrity of Summit Carbon Solutions. Summit claims, and I quote, "we remain committed to pursuing good faith negotiation with landowners." As of today, I 1 acquaintance told us he'd be able to secure a crazy high 2 dollar offer for an easement on our property. When I 3 said we weren't interested, I was told by him that this project is too big, too powerful, and it will go 5 through, and when that happens you'll get nothing 6 because eminent domain will be used. Summit's 7 commitment to good faith negotiations is to bully

landowners into signing easements?

These examples exemplify Summit's complete lack of vigilance for attention to detail. A company that's putting the health and safety of North Dakotans at risk should be meticulous, and that certainly has not been demonstrated by Summit.

It is known that Summit had private meetings which included Chad Wachter, a developer. So Summit can meet with a developer but can't meet with me, a landowner? At this meeting, dispersion model details were discussed, but this information has not been released to the public. Why? Summit says this is because of security reasons. But we all know the real reason. They don't want the public to know the real danger we're facing. Summit has repeatedly put dollar signs in front of safety and landowner rights.

In conclusion, I'm asking -- no, I'm begging

you, the Public Service Commission, to deny Summit

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	Carbon Solutions' request. Remember these beautiful	1	anyone from Summit. If they were concerned about me as
2	faces and all of the residents of North Dakota. Don't	2	a landowner and the concerns that I have, they certainly
3	let money and political agendas take precedence over	3	could have reached out to me, and they have failed to do
4	their safety. Don't let them be the next tragedy that's	4	so.
5	too quickly forgotten.	5	Q. Ma'am, because we weren't hearing anything from
6	Q. Ms. Olson, thank you for that. And would you	6	them, do you recollect that on behalf of APH Farms,
7	like to have those photographs made a part of the	7	myself, Mr. Leibel, along with you and your brother,
8	official record?	8	prepared a letter and kind of some potential least-worst
9	A. Yes.	9	options if this was to be approved? Do you recall that
10	Q. Okay. We can we can get working on that.	10	in the April time frame?
11	In the meantime I have a few follow-up questions	11	A. I do not. I was not directly involved in that.
12	for you. You had mentioned your intention and that of	12	Q. Okay. So questions to that would be that was
13	your family to continue to be able to develop the land	13	the portion of the testimony that your brother, Jon, can
14	into the future and including future residences. Would	14	handle. Is that your understanding?
15	you, instead, decide not to do that type of development	15	A. Yes.
16	if this hazardous pipeline is located on your land?	16	Q. Okay. Thank you. All right. Well, I think
17	A. My my middle daughter, Courtney, has the same	17	I'll ask him about the maps and that communication.
18	dream I had growing up, and that was to continue our	18	Is there anything else that you'd like the
19	family farm. She and I talked about this yesterday, and	19	Commission to know to consider their decision on whether
20	I told her, I said, "Courtney, I don't know, I don't	20	to approve or deny these applications before I turn you
21	know what I want you to do, because I don't know if it's	21	over for cross-examination?
22	safe for you to build here."	22	A. No. I think I've said what I wanted to say.
23	And that's not fair. It's not fair for Summit	23	Q. All right. Thank you so much, ma'am. Some
24	to take that away from us.	24	others may have questions for you.
25	Q. And if the pipeline was located and affected	25	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
	PAGE 49		PAGE 51
1	your future development such that you didn't do that,	1	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.
2	would you agree that would have a negative economic	2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
3	impact and wouldn't be in your best general welfare?	3	MR. PELHAM: Thank you.
4	A. Absolutely.	4	CROSS EXAMINATION
5	Q. Now you had mentioned about being threatened by	5	BY MR. PELHAM:
6	a Summit agent with eminent domain and feeling bullied	6	Q. Good morning, Ms. Olson. I'm just wondering,
7	and told that you might as well get on board or you'll	7	and if your brother is better to testify, just tell me,
8	get nothing. Do you have any idea how many other people	8	but can you give the legal description of the property
9	went through that same process and ended up signing,	9	we're talking about?
10	quote/unquote, alleged "voluntary easements" based on	10	A. I cannot give that legal description.
11	bullying and threatening tactics?	11	Q. And it's your testimony, I believe it's your
12	A. I'm not aware of how many specifically felt I	12	testimony, that the proposed line does cross property
13	can't speak to the feelings that they had, but I know	13	owned by this APH Farms; is that right?
14	that this tactic has been used even when they came to	14	A. Correct.
15	survey. My neighbors had a very I don't know the	15	Q. And is that an LLC or a family partnership?
16	word very unpleasant contact with the surveyors at	16	A. So I am part of a life estate on that property.
17	that time.	17	It is owned by my father, Arden Hagerott, and my
18	Q. Do you understand that, in preparation for these	18	brother, Jonathan, and I are included in that life
19	proceedings in May, approximately on May 21st and then	19	estate so
20	again yesterday in some testimony by Mr. Boeshans for	20	Q. Understood. Fair enough.
21	Summit, they expressed to the PSC how willing they are	21	And you testified, ma'am, that you spoke with a
22	to work with you and how willing they are to have	22	landman from Summit; is that right?
23	conversations? How does that feel after two years of	23	A. So yes. So he works for various companies.
24	being through all this?	24	He did a lot of work with oil lines and stuff like that
25	A. Like I said, I've had no direct contact with	25	in the oil field, but this past year he did some work
	PAGE 50		PAGE 52

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	for Summit and, you know, he contact or spoke to me	1	my 84-year-old father who doesn't see or hear well and
2	directly over the phone about a potential offer, but	2	has his own health problems. So probably not the best
3	that was not anything directly I believe directed by	3	person to speak to in that regard.
4	Summit.	4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: But they did talk to
5	Q. So this landman, he's an acquaintance of yours?	5	your brother
6	A. Yes.	6	THE WITNESS: They have.
7	Q. Okay. Did he say that he worked for Summit?	7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: but not you? Okay.
8	A. Yes.	8	THE WITNESS: I don't know if they've talked to
9	Q. So he was working for Summit and he's contacting	9	him personally. I know he's received communications.
10	you directly related to this property that you have a	10	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you for
11	life estate interest in and proposing something to you?	11	being here.
12	A. He said he would be able to work with Summit to	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
13	arrange something, yes.	13	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
14	Q. And when was this?	14	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you.
15	A. I don't recall exactly, but it would have been	15	Janel, I just need clarification on something.
16	late last summer, early fall.	16	You earlier testified that you've had no direct contact
17	Q. And how many conversations did you have with	17	from Summit.
18	this individual?	18	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
19	A. One around a campfire and one by phone.	19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And then you go
20	Q. Fair enough. And where was the conversation	20	through and you describe this landman from Summit as an
21	left?	21	acquaintance and that worked with Summit to arrange
22	A. That I was not interested.	22	something. So that to me seems like there was direct
23	Q. No other follow-up from any agents,	23	contact.
24	representatives, from Summit after that?	24	THE WITNESS: So
25	A. None.	25	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you just
	PAGE 53	ļ	PAGE 55
1	Q. That you had, but you heard Mr. Jorde ask you	1	clarify that for me?
2	about a letter that was sent. There was, presumably,	2	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So this was a guy that we
3	further	3	where we camp and he comes down around the fire and
4	A. No. I've had	4	we might sit around and have some drinks or visit or do
5	Q. You don't know anything about that?	5	things like that. And in that process is where this
6	A. I've had no contact, no.	6	came up and that he he worked, I think, just briefly
7	Q. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Olson. I don't have any	7	for Summit during that short time and there was one
8	other questions.	8	phone call to me. We had talked about it down around
9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions?	9	the fire. And in that capacity he had said that he
10	MR. PHILLIPS: No questions, Your Honor.	10	could potentially work with Summit to try to get us this
11	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.	11	easement amount.
12	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as	12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So would you say
13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother,	13	that was a direct contact?
13 14	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman	13 14	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No.
13 14 15	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no	13 14 15	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.
13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of	13 14 15 16	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not
13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well?	13 14 15 16 17	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how
13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself.	13 14 15 16 17 18	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether there was contact or efforts to acquire easements	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether there was contact or efforts to acquire easements with	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether there was contact or efforts to acquire easements with THE WITNESS: Yes, they have	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether there was contact or efforts to acquire easements with THE WITNESS: Yes, they have COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: either your brother	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. I have no further questions.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether there was contact or efforts to acquire easements with THE WITNESS: Yes, they have COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: either your brother or	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: My only one is, so as I understand, the life estate between you, your brother, and your father, when you say other than the landman conversations with you, my understanding is you said no one has contacted you. Are you speaking on behalf of your brother and father as well? THE WITNESS: I'm speaking on behalf of myself. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Do you know whether there was contact or efforts to acquire easements with THE WITNESS: Yes, they have COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: either your brother	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that was a direct contact? THE WITNESS: No. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: In my mind it's not, it is not direct, because at that time I don't even know how official his capacity was with working with them at that point. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. THE WITNESS: I don't know. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. I have no further questions.

1	Thank you.	1	H-A-G-E-R-O-T-T.
2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any redirect?	2	ALJ HOGAN: And is your first name
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	3	JONATHAN HAGEROTT: Jonathan.
4	BY MR. JORDE:	4	ALJ HOGAN: J-O-N.
5	Q. Well, I guess I am also a little puzzled, ma'am,	5	JONATHAN HAGEROTT: J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N.
6	and I appreciate you trying to be very precise with this	6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
7	contact. Obviously this gentleman didn't show you any	7	JONATHAN HAGEROTT: I generally go by "Jon,"
8	employment contract, but from the way he was talking and	8	J-O-N, just to be less formal.
9	speaking, was it your impression that he was in	9	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Hagerott, were you
10	communication with or somehow working with Summit at the	10	in the room this morning when I went through the
11	time he made those overtures to you?	11	penalties for perjury?
12	A. Yes.	12	JONATHAN HAGEROTT: I was.
13	Q. Okay. I don't have anything further. Thank	13	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury
14	you.	14	is?
15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions?	15	JONATHAN HAGEROTT: I do.
16	MR. GLUDT: Nothing further, Your Honor.	16	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential
17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	17	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth
18	MR. PELHAM: Nothing. Thank you.	18	in this case today?
19	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips.	19	JONATHAN HAGEROTT: I do.
20	MR. PHILLIPS: Nothing, Your Honor.	20	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
21	ALJ HOGAN: Any other commissioner questions?	21	Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.
22	Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	22	00 4.1044, 1.11 30.46.
23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Sorry, I did have	23	
24	one more.	24	
25	You indicated, and I wrote down, "bullied and	25	
	PAGE 57		PAGE 59
1	threatened."	1	JONATHAN HAGEROTT,
2	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.	2	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
2	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe	2	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"?		
3	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe	3	follows:
3 4	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"?	3	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION
3 4 5	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going	3 4 5	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE:
3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get	3 4 5 6	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being
3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were	3 4 5 6 7	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and
3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain.	3 4 5 6 7 8	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And also to answer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County. Q. Very good.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And also to answer	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County. Q. Very good. Now, with the blue folder, the photos, maybe we
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And also to answer Mr. Pelham's question about the legal description, it is in Morton County, township 140 north, range 83 west,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County. Q. Very good. Now, with the blue folder, the photos, maybe we does it make sense for you to kind of talk through
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And also to answer Mr. Pelham's question about the legal description, it is in Morton County, township 140 north, range 83 west, section 10, the east half, section 11, the west half.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County. Q. Very good. Now, with the blue folder, the photos, maybe we does it make sense for you to kind of talk through those? And, Jon, do you have enough that Mr. Leibel can
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And also to answer Mr. Pelham's question about the legal description, it is in Morton County, township 140 north, range 83 west, section 10, the east half, section 11, the west half. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Hagerott, I'll have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County. Q. Very good. Now, with the blue folder, the photos, maybe we does it make sense for you to kind of talk through those? And, Jon, do you have enough that Mr. Leibel can help you pass those around and get that marked as an
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Can you describe what you mean by "threatened"? THE WITNESS: Threatened by if you're not going to consent to the easement, then you're going to get nothing if this nothing for compensation if this were to go through on eminent domain. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Ms. Olson, for your testimony. Mr. Jorde, your next witness. MR. JORDE: Yep. And just for the record, once we have copies of those photographs, we'll mark those and I'll offer them, but we'll keep moving. I call Jon Hagerott, please. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And also to answer Mr. Pelham's question about the legal description, it is in Morton County, township 140 north, range 83 west, section 10, the east half, section 11, the west half. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Hagerott, I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. JORDE: Q. Yes, Jon, good morning. Thank you for being here. My question, I notice you have various items and documents in front of you. First I would just like you to identify each one kind of as a roadmap for what we're going to discuss and then we can get into them. A. Okay. I have a package of pictures of things I would like to show. I have my personal notes for my presentation here and my testimony here. I have a stack of letters that I received from Summit. And I have a similar package of letters that my father and mother received from Summit regarding our land in Morton County. Q. Very good. Now, with the blue folder, the photos, maybe we does it make sense for you to kind of talk through those? And, Jon, do you have enough that Mr. Leibel can help you pass those around and get that marked as an exhibit?

_	ND 1 ODLIG OLIV		
1	didn't realize there would be a room with this many	1	an emphasis in structural design. I have worked for
2	so If you would like to have those.	2	years as a structural engineer and a civil engineer.
3	Q. And we can get copies made for you. And, Jon, I	3	I'm licensed in I've got 14 state well, I'm
4	think you're going to want to keep one of those folders	4	licensed I have 14 state licenses in 13 individual
5	oh, you got it, right.	5	states. Encompassed the entire West Coast for high
6	A. I do have my copy.	6	seismic; California, Oregon, and Washington.
7	Q. So while those are being passed around, and I'm	7	I farm with my dad and my sister and my
8	sure Mr. Leibel, on a break here, can get copies made,	8	brother-in-law. It's farm and cattle. During the prior
9	can you generally explain why those photographs are	9	hearings, I could not attend those earlier hearings.
10	important, what you think the Commission needs to	10	With cattle calving going on, I wake up at 1 in the
11	understand relative to those photos?	11	morning and I'm out with the cows pretty much all night
12	A. These are photos of our property and other	12	working 14, 15, 16, 18-hour days. Right now I've been
13	documents within there that well, I'll explain later	13	planting our crops, frantically trying to get that done.
14	that relate to things we have experienced with Summit	14	Again, long days.
15	and also things that my mother experienced with Summit	15	So trying to prepare a written statement, I just
16	in this process are in that package.	16	do not have time for that. So I've got just general
17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Hagerott, will you pull the mic	17	note talking points here. And I'm going to launch into
18	a little bit closer?	18	the first my bullet point which is my personal
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.	19	experience with our land and utilities that run over
20	ALJ HOGAN: No, you're fine.	20	that land.
21	THE WITNESS: I am	21	When I was about seven years old, my parents
22	ALJ HOGAN: You're just quiet.	22	bought me a mini 50 motorcycle which gave me a lot of
23	THE WITNESS: Well, I will state that I am not a	23	freedom. And we have quite a sizeable landholding. I
24	public speaker. I get very nervous and apprehensive	24	would disappear for hours on end as a little seven-year
25	about public speaking. This process has made me	25	old, eight-year old, nine-year old, ten-year old on up,
	PAGE 61		PAGE 63
1	extremely nervous. I was unable to sleep last night so	1 1	riding that little motorcycle through our property. And
1 2	extremely nervous. I was unable to sleep last night so if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little	1 2	riding that little motorcycle through our property. And so I know that property guite well from the beginning of
2	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little	2	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of
2	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get	2	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days.
2 3 4	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but	2 3 4	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three
2 3 4 5	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off?	2 3 4 5	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power
2 3 4 5 6	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just	2 3 4 5 6	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our
2 3 4 5 6 7	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded 1883. I'm not going to rehash all that history, but we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This one happens to be orange. I just took a picture of it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded 1883. I'm not going to rehash all that history, but we continue to farm that same ground. We do rent where my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This one happens to be orange. I just took a picture of it just to highlight it. I think theirs are yellow in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded 1883. I'm not going to rehash all that history, but we continue to farm that same ground. We do rent where my great grandfather actually homesteaded.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This one happens to be orange. I just took a picture of it just to highlight it. I think theirs are yellow in color. And that crisscrosses several of our fields.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded 1883. I'm not going to rehash all that history, but we continue to farm that same ground. We do rent where my great grandfather actually homesteaded. And a little background on and a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This one happens to be orange. I just took a picture of it just to highlight it. I think theirs are yellow in color. And that crisscrosses several of our fields. While I was out planting the first year after they did
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded 1883. I'm not going to rehash all that history, but we continue to farm that same ground. We do rent where my great grandfather actually homesteaded. And a little background on and a little background on myself personally. Yeah, I grew up there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This one happens to be orange. I just took a picture of it just to highlight it. I think theirs are yellow in color. And that crisscrosses several of our fields. While I was out planting the first year after they did this, I had to stop our four-wheel drive tractor and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	if my eyes are a little blurry and I'm a little incoherent, I apologize. I will do my best to get through this but Now I've lost my track. Where did we leave off? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) You're just fine, you're just fine. And so okay. So we talk about the blue folder. And, sir, I don't want to throw you off if you have a logical sequence that you think makes the most sense, which item of information would you like to go through first? A. Well, I do have sort of an order on my on my notes. I have a few pages of notes that are just the particulars to help me remember what I want to talk about. I did not prepare a written statement. I do not have time for that in my life, to work that up. Like my sister said, I am the fourth generation farming and ranching. My great grandfather homesteaded 1883. I'm not going to rehash all that history, but we continue to farm that same ground. We do rent where my great grandfather actually homesteaded. And a little background on and a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so I know that property quite well from the beginning of my earliest days. And we have numerous well, we have three power lines that cross our land, high voltage power lines. We have an oil pipeline that runs across our land. We have a rural water pipeline that runs across our land. And they all present problems for us. I'm going to start with the oil pipeline. That's the photo from page 1. And you can see that this pipeline I don't know if you can see that. That's the condition the pipeline maintains their markings. This is on the road adjacent to the road about I don't know if it's even a half a mile north of our farmyard. It's in total disrepair. If you go to photo 2 or page 2, I want to talk about the utility markings that they do. Every so often they walk our property as some sort of inspection process and they will put down those little flags. This one happens to be orange. I just took a picture of it just to highlight it. I think theirs are yellow in color. And that crisscrosses several of our fields. While I was out planting the first year after they did

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

And every so often I would see one as I was driving. I major hassle. 2 didn't know they were there beforehand. I haven't found 2 That same time the State of North Dakota Water 3 3 all of them yet because I still find them. And they're Commission had a program for cost share on extending 4 a real hazard for us because that ground that year I waterlines for this emergency purpose. I filled out the planted oats hay. We cut that hay, we rake it up, it paperwork, got approved. I contacted a local plumbing 6 gets into bales, and some of those bales we actually 6 contractor. He gave me a quote of \$6,000. And the cost 7 7 grind up in a processor that we feed to our cattle. And share would have covered half of that. My mom who 8 these bits of metal get in there and the cows eat that. 8 controlled all the finances says, "Okay, we'll go ahead 9 9 They can -- they can die from that. So I'm real and do that." She didn't want to. She thought it was 10 cognizant to find these. And I'm still finding them. 10 fine that we haul the water, but we did the work, not 11 Another -- another item I want to talk -- and I 11 her. So we had everything good to go. 12 12 One-call utilities called. And there was a don't have pictures of it, on the property which we 13 13 rent, which was my great grandfather's original windmill farm, electrical line, there was a telephone 14 14 homesteading land, in about 2016 or 2017 we planted a line, and then the Tesoro pipeline came and marked their 15 new field of alfalfa. The following year when that 15 pipeline. And when they came and marked it, their 16 alfalfa was just coming up, when I happened to drive 16 gentleman called me and met me in person at the pipeline 17 down past that field, here they had -- the oil pipeline, 17 because he had specific requirements. And so he marks 18 without any announcement, had shown up and dug a massive 18 the line and then we start talking about what we want to 19 hole in that field in what appeared to have no 19 do. And he says, well, if you want to put that pipeline 20 protections for the topsoil, to scrape that off first; 20 -- that waterline here, you have to be at least three or 21 it was just a big hole with a big pile of dirt. And 21 four feet -- and don't quote me on the numbers, it's 22 22 they exposed probably about a 30-foot-long piece of the been a while -- but he said you've got to be well below 23 23 pipe that they did a repair on. And later they pushed the pipe and everything that you dig has to be hand dug 24 that soil back in and covered it up. And they didn't do 24 like 8 to 10 or 12 feet on each side of that pipe. 25 25 anything that I'm aware of to reseed it or anything. Because they were worried that, you know, you get a PAGE 65 PAGE 67 1 1 And then to gain access to that area, they drove in from contractor and he hits the pipe and it causes a problem. 2 2 the approach quite a distance away from the pipe and So I relay that to our contractor, and he said that 3 3 \$6,000 price is now going to be about \$20,000 because of tracked that fresh, new, brand-new alfalfa flat and did 4 quite a bit of damage. My father did receive a small that up -- hand digging, at which point my mom said no, 5 5 payment from them, but it did not cover the loss of we can't afford that. So that was just -- you know, 6 production for all the years since in that area. 6 something that my grandfather who allowed that pipeline 7 7 Another thing that came up with that oil to be put in in the '50s for \$1 a foot never foresaw 8 pipeline that I'm worried about with this future 8 that we would be encumbered by that in the future. 9 pipeline is what impact it will have on us in the future 9 Q. So let me jump in there. And these are 10 with our use of that land or whoever would own it after 10 analogies of your personal experience and basically --11 11 A. Yeah. 12 12 And this example I want to say was about, again, Q. -- explaining that, regardless of how wonderful 13 13 three, four years ago. We had a very dry spring. And things might seem in Summit's presentation now, you have 14 14 we have a small pasture about three-quarters of a mile living proof where, once projects are approved and 15 north of our house. And that pasture we use after our 15 constructed, those promises kind of fall by the wayside? 16 cattle calve for a period of time until the calves get 16 A. Correct. And I'd like to continue on. I have 17 larger and we can move them to the next pasture. Well. 17 more experiences. 18 that year with the dry weather conditions, the stock 18 If you go to photo 3 or page 3, I don't know, 19 pond had almost no water in it and it was actually a 19 it's maybe been 20 years. I don't know, it's been a 20 20 foul-looking green color. So we fenced that off and we long time. Our neighbor asked that when the rural water 21 drug a watertank up to that pasture and we would truck 21 system would be put in, he wanted a pasture tap. And my 22 22 water up there every day. Two times a day we would fill father agreed to allow that to happen, for them to cross 23 23 it and go up and let water run into that tank. There our property. And if you look at number 3, you can see 24 24 was freezing weather so we were having all kinds of the pile of rocks that they brought up when they put it

in and they just left it on our property.

PAGE 68

25

trouble with the valves on the tank freezing. It was a

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 1 If you go to the next page, page 4, if you look 2 real closely down the middle of the picture, you can see 2 3 the line where the pipeline was dug in. And the grass 4 there is -- has never been the same. Whatever they seeded, re-seeded it with, is a different texture and 6 quality than the original native prairie. And it's just 6 7 7 been permanently altered. And if you go to photo 5, you 8 can see where the grass that they re-seeded didn't take 8 9 hold and now it's just exposed earth, which it wasn't 9 10 like that before they dug the line in. 10 11 And the last one I want to talk about as far as 11 there. 12 12 existing utilities on our property is the power lines, And I'm going to conclude that area with just --13 13 one of the power lines. I believe in 2017 they sent a 14 heavy construction crew in to raise the line. So they 14 15 cut the wooden pole off and they raised it up and then 15 16 they use these metal-clamp devices to permanently hold 16 17 it in that upper position. And if you look at that 17 18 picture, I always -- I have substantial concern that 18 19 that has a lot of lean to it and I really wonder if some 19 20 day that will cascade over. And the next page, 7, is a 20 expect it will probably be worse. 21 little closer view of it to try to highlight how it is 21 22 22 leaning. And you can see that metal bracket. 23 23 If you go to page 8, this is my prime concern 24 with that power line and that activity. When they 24 next?

they're driving a UTV through to inspect it, which may

- or may not, you know, cause distress to our cattle.
- They're coming through and doing tree trimming on a
- regular basis. And, again, they use that same path and
- it's extra wear and tear on that soil. It's
- unprotected. And I find garbage left behind up there
- that -- when I go up and I ride around to enjoy the
- beauty and to look at our cattle, there's garbage.
- There's been gloves and food wrappers and cases of hose
- or things that broke off of their equipment just laying

from what I've observed or heard or witnessed with Summit's behavior, their lack of communication with us and others, their tactics to basically hide behind attorneys, a team of attorneys, to force this through, other things that I've heard in the news about them, that I really expect that they're not going to treat our land any better than these other companies. I actually

Q. Okay, Jon, I appreciate that and we will get that -- those photos as an exhibit. Did you make it through -- or where would you like to transition to

A. The next one I would like to transition to is

PAGE 71

with a bulldozer and they pushed a path nowhere near the

constructed or reconstructed those poles, they came in

- 2 power line, but to gain the various locations of the
- 3 power line they used this bulldozer to push a path
- through that their other heavy equipment, the cranes and
- 5 whatever they use, to proceed. And this was what we
- 6 were left with and it continues to erode. You can see
- 7 how the earth was just left raw, open, cut like that.
- 8 If you go to section -- or page 9, here's another one
- 9 that goes down a rather steep hill. This is what we
- 10 were left with. Go to photo 10, here you can see at
- 11 least they were somewhat under the power line but,
- 12 again, pretty substantial erosion and ruts there from
- 13 that. 11 is another view of it.

25

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12, you can see the delta that's formed at the base of the hill where the earth has eroded down. And you can just barely make out at the bottom of that picture a wooden structure that they use to cross the water, the low valley water area there. The next picture, 13, you can see it again, that structure that was left behind. It's a wooden structure that evidently they could drive their machinery over that somewhat floated. And you can see that it's decaying. And so then I took a closer shot on number 14 of what's been left behind.

In addition to that power line, every so often

- 1 the lack of actual communication they've had with us.
- 2 And that's why I brought this stack of letters. I
- 3 received these letters from Summit over time and that's
- it. No calls, no in-person visits. And when I receive
- 5 these, I feel no obligation to respond to this. This is
- 6 just as if it's junk mail. I don't respond to every
- 7 piece of junk mail that I receive. By contrast -- and
- 8 these letters, they all say they had -- they all had my
- 9 name on it, my wife's name -- or I believe my wife's
- 10 name, my father and my mother's name with another
- 11 address, and my sister and her husband with another
- 12 address, and yet they didn't receive the bulk of these.
- 13 And I -- I brought what my dad received from them. And
 - as far as I know, he got two.

I suspect, being I have an out-of-state address, they approached me with the letters. You know, out of state, you're not close to the land, you're not probably going to be worried about the pipeline and its dangers, is all I can surmise. Pure conjecture on my part.

And again, no other communication from them. Nothing in person. Like my sister said, the only communication I received in person was when a summons server finally caught -- tracked us down. And that was where I happened to be standing with my dad and the summons was delivered to my dad, and even though I was PAGE 72

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

11

12

13

- standing right next to him and the summons server said 2 -- or my dad said, "Well, this is my son and he's part 3 of this," they couldn't find me because they were 4 looking for me down in Minnesota and it took them quite a while to get ahold of us down there. 5 6 Q. Jon, before you move on, I just wanted to make 7 sure. On your photo -- of your photos on page 14, it 8 looks like -- you know, kind of like almost a makeshift 9 bridge with some ties there, some timber. What was the 10 purpose of that and why is it in disrepair? What's the 11 reason you want the Commission to understand that photo? 12 A. Well, to explain that structure, it was a 13 floating raft mat for heavy equipment. Our land has 14 lots of water areas that are just low -- at the bottom 15 of the hills and the valleys, there's just water that 16 oozes out of the ground all the time and it's just 17 constantly wet and very soft. There's no way you could 18 drive a vehicle across. So they drug these in to 19 provide that floating mat-type structure to drive over. 20 And there's a handful of these that have been left up 21 there. I can't tell you the exact number off the top of 22 my head right now, but there are others. And, yeah, 23 they were heavy timbers tied together with steel rods 24 and laid on the -- laid on the wet ground. 25 Q. And to tie this back to Summit, Jon, and PAGE 73
- it does present us with substantial concern of the 2 liability associated with this pipeline, because if you 3 read in there, they say that they're excluding this 4 pollutant from our policy. It presents a substantial exposure to you from a liability perspective and at a 6 substantial elevated risk of uninsured exposure. If 7 something happens, it's my understanding we'll be wiped 8 clean, you know, we'll lose everything, I have no doubt 9 about that, in the fight with attorneys and whatever 10 proceedings happen. I have no doubt about that based 11 off that letter. 12 Q. Okay. Now I'm sorry if I took you off your flow
 - A. Well, yeah, I would like to go back to the communication aspect just a little bit more here, because while these survey people were crawling around our neighborhoods -- or our neighborhood, another neighbor straight to the north of us basically, or property straight to the north and slightly to the east from our homestead, had allowed access.

if you want to continue with the communication piece.

And I saw this entourage, it's several vehicles, lots of people, and they get out and they start to proceed eastward, which is in the direction towards our piece of property that they want to run the pipeline over. So I buzzed up there and I told the gentleman

potentially affecting the four quarters or more of your

2 land, are you expressing to the Commission the

3 challenges of the geography and the physical makeup, the

4 hydrology of your land that makes it more difficult and

5 you believe you will be more significantly impacted

6 should this project be approved?

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

A. Yes. And I'm just -- I put these in here specifically to highlight our personal experiences with utilities and how they treat our land and treat us. But I will get into -- I will get into greater detail on the specific property that they want to put their pipeline through to address more of those kind of issues.

13 Q. Okay. And just to help you along, Jon, I don't 14 know if you were going to continue to page 15, that 15 Farmers Union Insurance, that is an -- that document has 16 already made it into evidence. Did you have any just 17 brief comments related to your particular property or 18 concerns about that? 19

A. Yeah. Yes. I don't want to jump off of my 20 communication concept with Summit yet --

21 22 A. -- but the Farmers Union Insurance letter is 23 next on the list and, yeah, I can -- I can express that. 24 I surmise that that would have already been submitted 25 from others, but I included it here just in case. But

that was supposedly in charge, I said we haven't granted 2 access for them to -- onto our property. And the person 3 said, "Oh, okay. I guess we won't go there." Well, then I -- and I said, "Well, I'm going to keep an eye on 5 you just so you don't go over there," but I didn't -- I 6 just drove down to our farmyard and I was busy doing 7 repairs on a piece of machinery. Later, when they 8 finished up, they drove by where I was working and I saw 9 a window roll down as he slowly went by and one of the 10 people, I couldn't identify who it was, but held up one

finger out of the window at me as he drove by. So he

gave me a very derogatory gesture that obviously

expressed his disapproval with my stance.

14 And then my sister already brought it up that 15 after lawsuit proceedings had happened I was working on 16 cutting an alfalfa field and I saw this whole entourage 17 of cars proceed past and turn up to the road where I 18 thought, "Uh-oh, they're here to gain access to our 19 property, they're going to do their -- try to get on 20 there." So I got out of the tractor, hopped in the 21 vehicle I had nearby, drove up there.

And they were all just hopping out, ready to go over the fence and into our property. And I said, "Hey, wait a minute, guys, you can't go in there, we have not granted access." And the archaeologist that said he was

PAGE 76

22

23

24

25

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_				
	1	in charge said, "Oh, no, we've got the paperwork, says	1	tried to make arrangements for a public service hearing
	2	we can." And he rifles through this little folder and	2	in Morton County earlier and there was some form that
	3	he pulls out a document. I'm, like, "Well, that's	3	someone sent around and said if you could get five
	4	something for Emmons County or somewhere else." I'm,	4	signatures you could get a public hearing added to the
	5	like, "Unless you have a court order that says you can	5	schedule. And so I reached out to every neighbor that I
	6	gain access to our property, you're not allowed to go	6	could find in Morton County that was along the route,
	7	on."	7	and I got like three of them to agree to sign that
	8	And so it was very peaceful, very amicable. I	8	paper, my dad being one of them.
	9	backed away. I called my father. He came down and	9	So I want to back up just a second here. Right
	10	joined me. And if you it's a photo of mine here.	10	now we are in negotiations with the High Plains
	11	You go to photo 19, you can see my dad's sitting in the	11	Connector power line. I don't know if you've heard of
	12	pickup with me as we just sat there and watched these	12	that one, it's been brought up at all yet. The
	13	guys. It took, I don't know, two, three hours that	13	gentleman or that company has hired landmen. Those
	14	morning. And I was actually in personal conversation	14	landmen have just been working the landowners up and
	15	with Steven here, back and forth. And he had relayed to	15	down their proposed route. And they have been making
	16	me that	16	accommodation after accommodation after accommodation on
	17	Oh, and just after I told these this	17	where to locate the power line. People that don't want
	18	archaeologist that "You're not allowed to go on there,"	18	it, they've been around them. People that want it,
	19	and I'm sitting with my dad, not very long a sheriff's	19	they're accepting it. And so when you see their final
:	20	deputy car drives by that Summit had called. And after	20	work product I haven't seen it personally, but my dad
1	21	the sheriff deputy talks to those folks for a while, the	21	explained it to me from a meeting he went to. Their
:	22	two deputies, the deputy and his assistant, come over	22	line zigs and zags and jogs. It is not a straight line.
:	23	and talk to my dad and I, and he says, "Well, they've	23	So I have no doubt if Summit weren't such
1	24	got paperwork that says they can access your land." And	24	introverts and would actually get out and talk to
1	25	I'm like, "No, they're showing you a piece of paperwork	25	people, they could find willing participants all the way
		PAGE 77		PAGE 79
	1	that's not relevant to us." And so he went back there	1	through Morton County without any difficulty. But
	2	and they talked for a while and he came back and he	2	instead they've decided, using maps in an office in
	3	said, "Yeah, you're correct, they don't have paperwork	3	Texas, or wherever they're from, "This is where we're
	4	for you."	4	going, come hell or high water, we're going there." And
	5	And this was in a time where Summit had already	5	in our case they're using eminent domain. They've
	6	served these eminent domain proceeding papers,	6	started to gain survey access. And I have no doubt that
	7	Judge Narum out of a district court somewhere else	7	is the next logical step once they decide that they're
	8	granted that, but they had inadvertently left us out of	8	going to proceed further.
	9	that filing so we weren't included. And so, I don't	9	Q. Jon, can I stop you there for a second? Are you
	10	know, it took a few hours, state's attorneys, whatever	10	about to get kind of to your land and maybe the aerials?
	11		4.4	
		were involved in the background, but finally they	11	And I have an exhibit which is the letter I sent. I'd
	12	were involved in the background, but finally they wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my	11 12	And I have an exhibit which is the letter I sent. I'd like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that?
	12 13			
.		wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my	12	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that?
	13	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it	12 13	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay.
	13 14	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure.	12 13 14	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah.
	13 14 15	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I	12 13 14 15	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you
	13 14 15 16	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name	12 13 14 15 16	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you.
	13 14 15 16 17	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in	12 13 14 15 16 17	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if
	13 14 15 16 17	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in high school because my mom was just starting to do some	12 13 14 15 16 17	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if not and we can come back to your papers, but tab 37,
	13 14 15 16 17 18	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in high school because my mom was just starting to do some computerized bookworking, and at the very top of the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if not and we can come back to your papers, but tab 37, I'll represent, Jon, that that is a true and accurate
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in high school because my mom was just starting to do some computerized bookworking, and at the very top of the page you were supposed to have some kind of header. So	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if not and we can come back to your papers, but tab 37, I'll represent, Jon, that that is a true and accurate copy of the letter we submitted to Summit when we hadn't
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in high school because my mom was just starting to do some computerized bookworking, and at the very top of the page you were supposed to have some kind of header. So I came up with "APH Farms," Arden and Patricia Hagerott	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if not and we can come back to your papers, but tab 37, I'll represent, Jon, that that is a true and accurate copy of the letter we submitted to Summit when we hadn't been hearing from them. And I just want you to identify
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in high school because my mom was just starting to do some computerized bookworking, and at the very top of the page you were supposed to have some kind of header. So I came up with "APH Farms," Arden and Patricia Hagerott Farms, all that is. There's nothing informal nothing	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	like to ask you about it. Are we getting close to that? Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if not and we can come back to your papers, but tab 37, I'll represent, Jon, that that is a true and accurate copy of the letter we submitted to Summit when we hadn't been hearing from them. And I just want you to identify that and confirm if that's correct. It should be three
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	wandered off and didn't do their survey. And to my knowledge they haven't been back, but I can't watch it 24/7 to make sure. Oh, somebody asked about APH Farms earlier. I was just going to explain that. APH Farms is a name that I've dreamt up for my mom and dad when I was in high school because my mom was just starting to do some computerized bookworking, and at the very top of the page you were supposed to have some kind of header. So I came up with "APH Farms," Arden and Patricia Hagerott Farms, all that is. There's nothing informal nothing formal about it. It's just what we've nicknamed it.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Okay. A. Yeah. Q. You might have additional copies, Jon, that you brought, but there's a binder with tabs in front of you. And tab 37 should be a 37, and Steve can help you if not and we can come back to your papers, but tab 37, I'll represent, Jon, that that is a true and accurate copy of the letter we submitted to Summit when we hadn't been hearing from them. And I just want you to identify that and confirm if that's correct. It should be three pages.

PAGE 80

PAGE 78

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	112 1 02 110 0 1111		
1	Q. Sure, you bet. And what we're doing now, we're	1	know how long. So, yeah, if push comes to shove and
2	just laying foundation, which means we're just	2	they're going to bulldoze us under, I said, well, maybe
3	establishing what this document is, and then I'm going	3	we can go around that field and avoid some of the other
4	to ask that it be received into evidence. So is this	4	issues that that property has. And I'll kind of try to
5	the letter that in April, April 23rd, 2024, it's	5	go through that a little bit.
6	dated, was sent to Summit's counsel, and you had	6	That property my father acquired many years ago.
7	prepared pages 2 and 3, which are kind of least-worst	7	He bought it. I asked him when he bought it. He can't
8	options, so to speak.	8	remember. It's been a long time. It's got an old
9	A. It yes. At your advice I did prepare it. It	9	farmhouse on it that was adobe constructed, little odd
10	goes against my better judgment. I do not want this on	10	soil bricks and then at some point they added wood
11	our property.	11	siding around it. It's got scattered debris all over
12	Q. Right.	12	that land. There's numerous garbage pits out there that
13	A. But yes	13	are some may be buried, some are just open that was
14	Q. And I want you to explain that, Jon. I just	14	put there before us and we've added to over the years.
15	have to jump in there. So this shouldn't be taken like	15	There's abandoned wells where they dug wells to get
16	this is some compromise that you want to exist, but	16	drinking water that have been abandoned on that
17	given the fact that we hadn't heard from them at all,	17	property. There's old barns and chicken coops and other
18	despite knowing that you've been opposed to this for	18	buildings that are sitting there decaying. There's
19	going on two years now, did you prepare this at my	19	scattered farm equipment and other cars and around
20	suggestion to at least maybe put something out there if	20	there. It's actually the site of an abandoned coal
21	the Commission makes the unfortunate decision to approve	21	mine.
22	this?	22	There's all kinds of wetlands or wet areas. And
23	A. I'd agree with that.	23	where the original route goes through that field, it's
24	Q. All right. Now, again, I don't want to throw	24	right at the base of a hill. And at the base of that
25	you off your flow, but I think for the Commission it's	25	hill there is a section of land in there that in any
_	PAGE 81		PAGE 83
1	probably helpful for you as the expert of your land to	1	vears that are not extremely dry we can't farm it
1 2	probably helpful for you as the expert of your land to maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and	1 2	years that are not extremely dry we can't farm it because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the
2	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and		because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the
	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and	2	
2	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding	2	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide
2 3 4	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your	2 3 4	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that
2 3 4 5	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in	2 3 4 5	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide
2 3 4 5 6	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation?	2 3 4 5 6	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was
2 3 4 5 6 7	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with	2 3 4 5 6 7	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain in them or bales on them, the combines, the four-wheel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of it and steep that they've got to climb right over. I'm
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain in them or bales on them, the combines, the four-wheel drive tractors, the heavy machinery, the farm equipment.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of it and steep that they've got to climb right over. I'm not guaranteeing that this reroute doesn't go through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain in them or bales on them, the combines, the four-wheel drive tractors, the heavy machinery, the farm equipment. It just concerns me.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of it and steep that they've got to climb right over. I'm not guaranteeing that this reroute doesn't go through wet spots either because there's others there where the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain in them or bales on them, the combines, the four-wheel drive tractors, the heavy machinery, the farm equipment. It just concerns me. Having that soil disturbed by the pipeline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of it and steep that they've got to climb right over. I'm not guaranteeing that this reroute doesn't go through wet spots either because there's others there where the ground is just oozing with water.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain in them or bales on them, the combines, the four-wheel drive tractors, the heavy machinery, the farm equipment. It just concerns me. Having that soil disturbed by the pipeline construction and compacted by the traffic on either side	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of it and steep that they've got to climb right over. I'm not guaranteeing that this reroute doesn't go through wet spots either because there's others there where the ground is just oozing with water. One thing that's really interesting out in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	maybe continue with challenges, challenges generally and then challenges based on Summit's proposed route, and then maybe if you can get into, with the understanding that you don't want this at all, of what led to your proposed reroute, why would that make more sense in relation to your land features and your operation? A. There's a lot of things to unpack in there. That reroute I personally have major concerns with that pipeline running under our farm field or any farm fields that we farm. We rent several. When we drive a semi truck loaded with grain over top of that when they constructed windmills around us where this oil pipeline ran, which is three or four feet below the ground, they made them berm up and over that pipe to get an additional three, four, five feet over it to protect it from that truck traffic. And here I've got farm fields that we're driving those heavy semis with grain in them or bales on them, the combines, the four-wheel drive tractors, the heavy machinery, the farm equipment. It just concerns me. Having that soil disturbed by the pipeline	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	because the moisture; the water just oozes out of the ground. And I have concerns that that hill could slide some day. The hill at the far the west end of that field is very steep. That hill could slide some day. I could take you around our property and show you numerous hills that have slid over the years. My dad when he was young, there was one hill that slid. That hill slid again when I was in high school. That hill continues to slide that's a little north of this. We've got all kinds of other places in our pastureland that have slid over the years. When it gets a little wet, it will fall. Q. And, Jon, are you referencing that in concern to where this pipeline is proposed to potentially A. Their proposed route, yes. It goes right near that wet spot and where the hill is steep on one side of it and steep that they've got to climb right over. I'm not guaranteeing that this reroute doesn't go through wet spots either because there's others there where the ground is just oozing with water.

PAGE 84

PAGE 82

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

hill, it extends to the south for two miles maybe. And Mr. Gludt might even have a color copy of that. 2 when I was a little boy and we were moving our cattle 2 But, again, I want to kind of focus this on the 3 3 into that pasture after they had calved in the spring particular land issues and features and struggles. And 4 4 and we had worked them, I was in a pickup truck with my I think you've done a good job. But was there anything dad's uncle, my grand uncle, who grew up in the original else in particular that you haven't talked to in 6 farmhouse and lived in the original farmhouse that my 6 reference to page 2 of Exhibit 37? And if you reference 7 7 great grandfather built. And he told me about how years any, can you reference the field and kind of north, 8 ago when he was young the old wagons and cars in the 8 south, east, west so we -- you know, we're making a 9 earliest days, to get around that really tall hill, they 9 record and we know what we're talking about here? 10 had various places they would drive to make it through 10 A. Well, yeah. Our property is section 10 and 11. 11 there as they would go from Mandan or wherever and head 11 And I thought that the eminent domain was the north half 12 12 toward Center or beyond. And the other thing he told me of that. I could be -- I'm not a hundred percent 13 13 back then is the Native Americans, Native Americans used certain because there were so many papers that went back 14 to camp out up on that hill and how they would travel 14 and forth regarding that. North is up on the page. 15 15 right down past their farmyard, and sometimes they'd The field that I have concern with has an MP 176 16 wake up in the morning and find them in their house. So 16 right on it. If you notice just south of MP 176, you 17 17 I have concerns that there would be native artifacts can see the windmill tower. That windmill tower, they 18 18 actually excavated down between two hills to set it in 19 So, yeah, I have just numerous concerns with 19 there. The hill that would be just to the northeast of 20 that pipeline proceeding through this area from the 20 that is the one that I would have a concern about 21 environmental standpoint and from our personal safety 21 sliding where they position their pipe in that original. 22 22 And if you go just to underneath 176, that's the wet standpoint. 23 23 spot that I'm describing that oozes on any wet year, any **Q.** So, Jon, I'm going to offer now Exhibit 37 and 24 then I'm going to have some more questions about that. 24 year that's got any moisture. If you proceed to the 25 25 Okay? west end of that field, that's a very steep hill that PAGE 85 PAGE 87 1 A. Okay. 1 runs up that they're going to have to go right up and 2 2 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any objection? over. And I have concern that after they rip the soil 3 MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. 3 up and put it back down and try to re-seed it, that hill will probably erode for perpetuity. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham? 5 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 5 Q. Got it. 6 6 ALJ HOGAN: All right. 37 is received. And then on page 3 of Exhibit 37, was that a 7 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And then, Jon, you're a very 7 second potential least-worst option? Does that -- would 8 good historian and have lots of facts. I just want to 8 that supplant or replace page 2 if possible? 9 be real specific. If you can look at page 2 of 37. And 9 A. Well, the distinction between page 2 and page 3 10 you might have it in front of you and it's an aerial 10 is page 2, if you jump on -- we have the west half of 11 map. So there this is showing the proposed line of 11 section 11. The east half of section 11 is owned by --12 Summit, and then in the red is, again, your -- you know, 12 owned by -- was owned by my dad's cousin who is now 13 kind of my arm-twisting, you put that together as a 13 passed away and has been inherited by her husband. And 14 14 potential. And then I think to make this more confusing we rent that land. And, again, my fear of having to 15 for you, yesterday Summit provided yet a different 15 drive over these fields with that pipe under it, I 16 route. And have you had a chance to look at their 16 marked that up such that the pipe would go around the 17 latest potential route? 17 north end of the field on the land that we rent, my 18 A. I saw that briefly. I can't recall the specific 18 dad's cousin's land, my great grandfather's original 19 details of it at this point. If somebody could put it 19 homesteaded land. So if you go to page 3, it's -- it's 20 up, I could certainly talk to it. 20 almost the same layout on our property other than I 21 21 brought it back down on the right-hand corner where they 22 22 A. I had just finished with a very long day of originally proposed. 23 23 trying to plant soybeans and get a tractor moved and --Q. And, Jon, to understand page 3, there are you 24 24 Q. That's fine. And we can get to that or perhaps suggesting that your reroute on page 2 as found in 25 25 Mr. Leibel could pull it up on his screen or maybe even section 12 and the western portion of section 11, that PAGE 86 PAGE 88

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	there would be no route no route on those parcels,	1	etcetera; is that right?
2	those eastern parcels there?	2	A. That's correct. I would reiterate if Summit
3	A. No reroute.	3	would have actually used landmen to navigate this path,
4	Q. Okay. Got it. All right.	4	I think they would have found a hundred percent success
5	Now I'm going to make this more confusing	5	in Morton County.
6	with all these maps, I'm going to ask Mr. Leibel to show	6	Q. And do you believe that there are infinite
7	you the Summit map from yesterday afternoon, and I'm	7	numbers of other potential paths and zigs and zags that
8	sorry to put you on the spot, but we just got this. And	8	Summit could do right now today to move this off of the
9	I believe this will become an exhibit later in the day	9	Hagerott land?
10	or maybe it's being filed, I don't know. But take a	10	A. Yes. The obvious choice here would be to move
11	moment to kind of soak that in and then talk through if	11	farther north of Bismarck in that whole area and run
12	if you think that alleviates the soil, the hydrology,	12	straight west toward Center. It would avoid all of this
13	the slope, the terrain, the other type of easements and	13	that they're fighting right now with their attorneys.
14	utilities. Does that resolve those concerns on a	14	Q. All right. I don't want to cut you short, but
15	least-worst option or are they still present?	15	anything else that you think is important relative to
16	A. They are still present, just different locations	16	the land itself, challenges, negative economic impacts,
17	but similar. This reroute or this image here is very	17	the prohibition against development, just the headache,
18	similar to on page 3	18	those kinds of things that you haven't shared?
19	MR. PELHAM: Excuse me. We need to know which	19	A. All the above. Yes. The taking of our property
20	document we're talking about specifically.	20	is another massive concern of ours. I see no benefit to
21	MR. JORDE: Yeah, I yep. So it's attached to	21	our family or our heirs in any of this. And it's
22	the email that I think it was Mr. Gludt sent yesterday.	22	exposing us and our heirs or whoever owns this in the
23	It's page 14 of the Boeshans' new rebuttal testimony.	23	future for a 99-year lease or easement for what
24	So I'm certainly not going to offer his rebuttal	24	what in their letter was a very small monetary offer.
25	testimony, but if you want to reference page 14 and then	25	They gave us I believe this was the first offer they
	PAGE 89		PAGE 91
_	and the second s	-	
1	we can have this marked as a separate exhibit, just this	1	sent in a letter to me, was they were going to pay us
2	page, and get that recirculated.	2	\$2.62 a foot
2	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you.	2	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor.
2 3 4	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of	2 3 4	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement
2 3 4 5	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans.	2 3 4 5	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's
2 3 4 5 6	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just	2 3 4 5 6	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision.
2 3 4 5 6 7	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed	2 3 4 5 6 7	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's better to destroy the ground under a farmland or where	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on your property; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's — there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's better to destroy the ground under a farmland or where the grass is for the cattle. So it's a no-win situation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on your property; is that correct? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's better to destroy the ground under a farmland or where the grass is for the cattle. So it's a no-win situation for us no matter where you put it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on your property; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's better to destroy the ground under a farmland or where the grass is for the cattle. So it's a no-win situation for us no matter where you put it. Q. Now, I, again, kind of begged you to come up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on your property; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. And A. I wanted to just I just wanted to highlight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's better to destroy the ground under a farmland or where the grass is for the cattle. So it's a no-win situation for us no matter where you put it. Q. Now, I, again, kind of begged you to come up with a potential reroute, and we're looking at a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on your property; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. And A. I wanted to just I just wanted to highlight in 1950'ish my grandfather was given a dollar a foot.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	page, and get that recirculated. MR. PELHAM: Thank you. MR. JORDE: Yep. So page 14 of the rebuttal of Mr. Boeshans. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, Mr. Hagerott, just continue. So the same concerns that you've discussed are still present then? A. Yes. And there are still water areas, potential water areas, that they have to cross in this and they're now closer to all the debris that's scattered on that property. They're adjacent to a hill that's very steep on that reroute. And I believe they may be crossing the same areas that had these historic wagon trails, roadways, and the Native American campground areas. And then, you know, there's there is some disagreement within our own family members whether it's better to destroy the ground under a farmland or where the grass is for the cattle. So it's a no-win situation for us no matter where you put it. Q. Now, I, again, kind of begged you to come up with a potential reroute, and we're looking at a variation of that here, but I mean what you're looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	\$2.62 a foot MR. GLUDT: I'm going to object, Your Honor. We're talking about easement offers and private easement negotiations. This isn't relevant to the Commission's decision. ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I'm going to sustain the objection. Mr. Hagerott, the Public Service Commission doesn't have any jurisdiction over contract negotiations or the eminent domain aspect of this project. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So let me ask it to you this way without getting into the exact dollar figures. Based on the route that's being analyzed by the PSC and proposed, you do not have any confidence that, for all the challenges to your land, that you will be economically compensated such to justify this route on your property; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. And A. I wanted to just I just wanted to highlight in 1950'ish my grandfather was given a dollar a foot. So this number that they put on this form, I won't say

PAGE 92

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV	. •	
1	they're going to push 18 million tons of this CO2	1	downward and forms almost a bowl in that area. And so
2	through this 24-inch pipe on our land a year, and if you	2	if this CO2 ruptures anywhere in proximity to that, I
3	take that \$85 tax credit per ton and you divide that by	3	think just my understanding of how CO2 is heavier
4	the number of miles in their pipe or their pipeline	4	than air, it could flow that way and impact them
5	systems, I came up with a number of 700,000 something.	5	seriously.
6	Maybe I'm off by some rounding there. Could be 500 to	6	And on that same vein, if you go approximately
7	800,000. I didn't do any specific, close, detailed	7	four miles east of where our farmyard is, it was my page
8	calculation. But for them to give us this substantially	8	16, this is approximately approximately four miles
9	low offer when they're going to generate that kind of	9	east of us, if I recall correctly, there's a real young
10	money on an annual basis from tax payments, it's	10	family that lives there.
11	laughable.	11	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
12	Q. So before we get off of Exhibit 37, you, I	12	He's now talked about the Kopps' property and now we're
13	think, talked about some homes and your sister did as	13	moving further east and he's testifying to others'
14	well, and I failed to kind of pinpoint or get an	14	property. If we can keep this focused on Mr. Hagerott's
15	approximate distance from any of the inhabited	15	property, I think we can move things along.
16	structures or structures that could be inhabited or	16	MR. JORDE: Well
17	places where you're often working. Can you help us out	17	ALJ HOGAN: I think it's appropriate, Mr. Jorde.
18	with some estimations of distances there?	18	Can you have Mr. Hagerott focus on the property he owns?
19	A. Sure. On that map, from the closest point to	19	MR. JORDE: Well, I can.
20	our farmstead which is just off the edge of the left	20	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I guess I would like to clarify
21	edge of that photo or that map, is where our original	21	that and leave it here, but bottom line, you're
22	farmstead is. And I would approximate that is a little	22	concerned about essentially the voiceless that aren't on
23	over a half a mile, somewhere between a half a mile,	23	the path but are near to the path that aren't confronted
24	five-eighths of a mile. We have	24	with an easement and may not have those type of
25	Q. Let me just stop you there. Which section are	25	contractual language; is that right?
	DA 05 00		DA 05 05
	PAGE 93		PAGE 95
1	We in?	1	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's
1 2		1 2	
	we in?		A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's
2	we in? A. That's section 10.	2	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with
2 3	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10?	2	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this
2 3 4	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of	2 3 4	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's
2 3 4 5	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this.	2 3 4 5	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand
2 3 4 5 6	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's
2 3 4 5 6 7	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's — allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our neighbors' cattle.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there. Q. Okay. A. And if you go over into section 2, you can see a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our neighbors' cattle. And along with that, I mean in the bigger
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there. Q. Okay. A. And if you go over into section 2, you can see a farmyard there or a residence. That is one of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's — allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our neighbors' cattle. And along with that, I mean in the bigger picture of this, I have a real concern with terrorism
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Me in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there. Q. Okay. A. And if you go over into section 2, you can see a farmyard there or a residence. That is one of our neighbors, the Kopps (phonetic). They are, I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our neighbors' cattle. And along with that, I mean in the bigger picture of this, I have a real concern with terrorism associated with this. It's obvious Summit knows that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	we in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there. Q. Okay. A. And if you go over into section 2, you can see a farmyard there or a residence. That is one of our neighbors, the Kopps (phonetic). They are, I don't know, just a smidge over a half a mile away. And their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's — allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our neighbors' cattle. And along with that, I mean in the bigger picture of this, I have a real concern with terrorism associated with this. It's obvious Summit knows that this line is dangerous being they don't want to release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Me in? A. That's section 10. Q. Section 10? A. Yeah. And our farmyard is on the east edge of section 9 just immediately to the west of this. Q. Okay. A. It's immediately west and slightly south, is where our farmyard and my sister lives adjacent to the original farmyard farmhouse that my grandfather, my dad's father, developed. Q. And the estimation of distances again to those residences? A. Yeah. I'm I don't have a scale here, but I would say it's roughly a little over a half a mile. Half a mile, three-quarters of a mile, somewhere in there. Q. Okay. A. And if you go over into section 2, you can see a farmyard there or a residence. That is one of our neighbors, the Kopps (phonetic). They are, I don't know, just a smidge over a half a mile away. And their son lives a half a mile north of them. And I have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Well, if I understand correctly, the PSC's trying to ascertain the welfare of North Dakotans with this proceeding. And here's a property where this homeowner has a small, little subdivision lot that's completely surrounded by a landowner that lives in Grand Forks, North Dakota, that agreed to this. He's — allegedly. And I just have a fear for their safety if something happened. Q. Understood. All right. And then if we continue to move on then, anything particularly else about the land in question that you want to share? A. Yeah. I'll reiterate that there is just no guarantee that this pipe will not burst on our property. And if it does, it would present substantial risk to our health and our neighbors and our cattle and our neighbors' cattle. And along with that, I mean in the bigger picture of this, I have a real concern with terrorism associated with this. It's obvious Summit knows that this line is dangerous being they don't want to release their plume study under the guise of terrorism. And I

PAGE 96

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	issue go away, I see domestic terrorism being a	1	that is in there, which are everything in that blue
2	substantial risk with all the people that are	2	folder is Exhibit 55.
3	anti-taxation, anti-liberal, anti-climate change. I	3	MR. PELHAM: I don't have any objection. Thank
4	mean, I can easily envision somebody getting you	4	you.
5	know, the route will be well marked; it will have to	5	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Exhibit 55 will be
6	be grabbing a shovel and digging a hole, dropping a	6	admitted. I don't have a copy of it. I'm going to need
7	bag of charcoal briquets in there and a little lighter	7	a copy of 55 for the official record.
8	fluid and heating that up. Steel gets a little warm,	8	MR. JORDE: Yep. My crack team there is working
9	you get 300, 600 degrees steel and it just turns into	9	on that.
10	goo.	10	ALJ HOGAN: And I'll just ask, Mr. Jorde, do you
11	Q. So let me stop you there for a second. So you	11	have a lot more questions for Mr. Hagerott, because I'm
12	understand that Summit has prevented us for a couple	12	thinking we should take our morning break. It's getting
13	years now from obtaining any dispersion modeling,	13	close to 11:00.
14	dispersion analysis in North Dakota under the guise of	14	MR. JORDE: Why don't we break and then we can
15	terrorism, but your concern is, if it's that dangerous,	15	kind of tighten this up and put a bow on his testimony
16	why are they even considering it?	16	here when we get back?
17	A. Exactly.	17	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Let's take our morning
18	Q. Okay.	18	break. Let's reconvene at 11:05.
19	A. Exactly. I mean, I can envision pure chaos	19	(Recess)
20	occurring in the denser residential areas, prime	20	ALJ HOGAN: All right. My watch shows 11:05 and
21	opportunity for chaos to be created if you can make this	21	it looks like we have everybody back. So you can
22	thing rupture.	22	continue, Mr. Jorde.
23	Q. Now, Jon, I'm going to see if I don't know if	23	MR. JORDE: Thank you.
24	Mr. Leibel's been able to get your photos marked, but	24	And just a little cleanup here, we've gotten
25	our next exhibit would be 55. And I would like to offer	25	that the blue folder, Exhibit 55, into evidence and I
	PAGE 97		PAGE 99
1	those 22 pages of your photos from the blue folder as	1	just want to also offer what will be Exhibit 56, and
2			
	Exhibit 55.	2	that is page 14 of the Wade Boeshans rebuttal testimony.
3	Exhibit 55. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt?	3	that is page 14 of the Wade Boeshans rebuttal testimony. It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll
4			
4 5	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	3 4 5	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page.
4	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.	3 4	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer
4 5 6 7	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22	3 4 5 6 7	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor.
4 5 6 7 8	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages?	3 4 5 6 7 8	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection.
4 5 6 7 8 9	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it appears	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it appears THE WITNESS: The last pages are all tied	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it appears THE WITNESS: The last pages are all tied together in my final piece of testimony.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: 57 is received.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it appears THE WITNESS: The last pages are all tied together in my final piece of testimony. MR. PELHAM: I just want to be clear what it is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: 57 is received. MR. JORDE: All right. Thank you very much.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it appears THE WITNESS: The last pages are all tied together in my final piece of testimony. MR. PELHAM: I just want to be clear what it is we're actually admitting here.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: 57 is received. MR. JORDE: All right. Thank you very much.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: Just to be clear, these are all of the all pages in the blue folder or just the first 22 pages? THE WITNESS: I have yet to talk about the remaining pictures. MR. PELHAM: That's why I'm asking, Mr. Jorde. I'm not sure what's being admitted MR. JORDE: Well, that's a great question. I've got 22 pages of documents that is our Exhibit 55 that I understood were the was the contents of the blue folder and so I'm offering all of those contents, all 22 pages. MR. PELHAM: The bulk of it is the photos, but there's some letters. There's an obituary, it appears THE WITNESS: The last pages are all tied together in my final piece of testimony. MR. PELHAM: I just want to be clear what it is	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	It's simply the map of the Hagerott property. And we'll have that emailed around, but I need to formally offer that one page. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection? MR. GLUDT: No objection, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: All right. I'll need a hard copy of that as well, Mr. Jorde, but Landowner 56 is received. MR. JORDE: Thank you. And then, similarly, Ms. Olson held up the family photos and we're in the process of getting copies made, but before I leave I want to offer Exhibit 57 which would be the photos that she held up there during her testimony. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Gludt? MR. GLUDT: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: 57 is received. MR. JORDE: All right. Thank you very much.

	TID I OBEIO CEITT		
1	break. I know we've covered a lot of ground, but do you	1	goes, "I'm just so upset. How can they do this?" And
2	think, if it's possible to do your best to kind of sum	2	she goes, "I think I'm going to have a heart attack." I
3	up and, I guess, address any remaining concerns that we	3	tried to console her. I would tell her things like, you
4	haven't touched on?	4	know, the City of Bismarck, Burleigh County, State of
5	A. Yes. I just have two more topics briefly that I	5	North Dakota, there is no possible way they're going to
6	want to touch on and then I'll be concluded.	6	let this company jam that pipeline right through
7	Q. Okay.	7	Bismarck like that.
8	A. The first one here remaining is that this has	8	Well, the last page of my folder is my mom's
9	taken a tremendous toll on our family. The cost has	9	obituary because she died of heart failure on July 6th.
10	been tremendous. The legal bills for something we	10	And I fully fully believe with my entire being that
11	didn't ask for have have been substantial.	11	the stress that they put on my mom by doing this caused
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is your microphone on?	12	her to have that heart failure.
13	THE WITNESS: It's blue.	13	So I just want to conclude that Summit's
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.	14	behavior has had real consequences on the welfare of our
15	ALJ HOGAN: Why don't you pull it a little	15	family and, I'm sure, countless others. And I want to
16	closer? There you go. Thank you.	16	conclude with I pray I pray that the PSC finds enough
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.	17	evidence in their hearings and their review to deny
18	A. It's taken a tremendous amount of our time and	18	Summit's permit. You are the last hope we all have of
19	it's added a tremendous toll on our mental health. The	19	preserving the welfare of everyone in North Dakota and
20	stress of this is incredible.	20	the sanctity of the land of all of North Dakota. Thank
21	And I want to conclude with that, that my mom	21	you.
22	this is going to be hard. My mom owned while my mom	22	Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have anything further.
23	was alive, she owned land north of Bismarck. That was	23	You may have some questions from others.
24	land that her grandfather developed to farm. Her father	24	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any questions?
25	farmed it, and my father and she farmed it. I helped	25	MR. GLUDT: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly.
	PAGE 101		PAGE 103
1	them as a young boy. One of my summer jobs when I was	1	CROSS EXAMINATION
1 2	them as a young boy. One of my summer jobs when I was young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we	1 2	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. GLUDT:
2	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we	2	BY MR. GLUDT:
2	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three,	2	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that
2 3 4	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I	2 3 4	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately
2 3 4 5	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family.	2 3 4 5	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County?
2 3 4 5 6	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter	2 3 4 5 6	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the
2 3 4 5 6 7	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with Summit. All they did was send those three packets of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with Summit. All they did was send those three packets of letters. But her conversations with the folks around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with Summit. All they did was send those three packets of letters. But her conversations with the folks around her out there said, "It's not negotiable. They're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with Summit. All they did was send those three packets of letters. But her conversations with the folks around her out there said, "It's not negotiable. They're telling us they're just going to come through, accept	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: What Mr. Jorde
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with Summit. All they did was send those three packets of letters. But her conversations with the folks around her out there said, "It's not negotiable. They're telling us they're just going to come through, accept the money because they're going to build it."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. GLUDT: Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: What Mr. Jorde submitted into evidence, that is a page out of a Summit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	young was to work the summer fallow. At that time we would fallow every other field. I was out there three, four times in the summer running the chisel plow. And I have fond memories of being there with my family. Well, on January 31st my mom received a letter from Summit saying they wanted to go across her property with this pipeline. And that's the page 20. She received another one in I believe it was March. I didn't include it. And she received another one in April. That's page 21. I included the maps that they have here for where they wanted to go across her property. And this is extremely valuable farmland. Extremely valuable development land for somebody in the future. It will never be developed under my purview. My mom had conversations with other neighbors out there in that area. She never spoke directly with Summit. All they did was send those three packets of letters. But her conversations with the folks around her out there said, "It's not negotiable. They're telling us they're just going to come through, accept the money because they're going to build it." My mom was unable to sleep. She was incredibly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hagerott. Are you aware that Summit has secured voluntary easements for approximately 91 percent of the current route in Morton County? A. I'm aware that the easement yeah, the easements in North Dakota are substantial. Q. Are you aware that the approximately 9 percent remaining easement acquisition in Morton County consists of two landowners, yourself and Mr. Malloy? A. I can't speak to Malloy, but I know that we are. Q. And are you aware that Mr. Malloy's also represented by Mr. Jorde? A. I believe he is. MR. GLUDT: I have no further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: What Mr. Jorde submitted into evidence, that is a page out of a Summit filing that's come in this afternoon apparently, you saw

1	ND PUBLIC SERV		
	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Is that the	1	THE WITNESS: It's not, no. They moved it
2	same as one of the two, I think Mr. Jorde referred to	2	farther north from here.
3	them as "least-best recommendations" that you sent?	3	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. Thank you.
4	THE WITNESS: It's very close proximity, yes.	4	THE WITNESS: After my mother passed away, that
5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The one that contains	5	latest reroute.
6	it to your property as opposed to the one to the east of	6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. That's all the questions
7	you. That was the only clarification that I had.	7	for you, Mr. Hagerott.
8	Thank you for being here.	8	And I think I was told I can have your copy of
9	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	9	that blue folder. Thank you, Mr. Leibel.
10	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Jonathan, thank	10	All right. Mr. Jorde, any other witnesses?
11	you for your detailed information. I have no questions	11	MR. JORDE: Well, I don't think he's there, but
12	for you.	12	since I can't see, I would just ask if Leon Mallberg
13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.	13	(phonetic) came today. I don't think so, but I want to
14	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No questions.	14	make sure he didn't sneak in.
15	Thank you for your time.	15	ALJ HOGAN: I don't see anybody standing up
16	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Jorde?	16	so
17	MR. JORDE: Yes.	17	MR. JORDE: Okay. No volunteers. All right.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	18	Then that is my that is my last witness.
19	BY MR. JORDE:	19	As I stated last week, I do have one clean-up
20	Q. Mr. Gludt made an excellent point. He stated	20	thing that I communicated with Mr. Dublinske, and that
21	that in Morton County 91 percent of well, quote,	21	is rather than calling Mr. Rockstad, his sister had
22	"voluntary easements" have been obtained. And isn't	22	testified, rather than calling him, I would just offer
23	that the exact point you were making, that you're sure	23	Exhibit 2 which is the letter that we already have in
24	if they just talked to other folks, they could get to a	24	evidence from Mr. Boeshans responding to, and that was
25	hundred percent in Morton County? Correct?	25	the one remaining landowner letter of folks who have
	PAGE 105		PAGE 107
1	A. Absolutely.	1	testified previously that didn't get in. So I offer
2	Q. And for some reason they persist with apparently	2	Landowner Exhibit 2.
3	the two persons that feel strongly enough about this to	3	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection?
4	come here and speak out and invest some time and	4	MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection, Your Honor.
5	resources to be against it; correct?	5	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.
6	A. Correct.	6	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
7	Q. Thank you. I don't have anything further, sir.	7	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Landowner Exhibit 2 is
8	Appreciate your testimony.		
		8	received.
9	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions?	8 9	
9 10		-	received.
	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions?	9	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses
10	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	9	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your
10 11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	9 10 11	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor.
10 11 12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No.	9 10 11 12	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to
10 11 12 13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips.	9 10 11 12 13	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal
10 11 12 13 14	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No.	9 10 11 12 13 14	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call.
10 11 12 13 14 15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your mother's property, is that the original route that was	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record and
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your mother's property, is that the original route that was proposed or is that the current route that's proposed?	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your mother's property, is that the original route that was proposed or is that the current route that's proposed? THE WITNESS: It's not the current route. I	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. ALEX LANGE: Alexander Daniel Lange, L-A-N-G-E.
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your mother's property, is that the original route that was proposed or is that the current route that's proposed? THE WITNESS: It's not the current route. I don't know what version, iteration of their routes it	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. ALEX LANGE: Alexander Daniel Lange, L-A-N-G-E. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Lange, were you in the room this
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your mother's property, is that the original route that was proposed or is that the current route that's proposed? THE WITNESS: It's not the current route. I don't know what version, iteration of their routes it would have been, but it's not the current route.	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. ALEX LANGE: Alexander Daniel Lange, L-A-N-G-E. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Lange, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury?
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Gludt, any other questions? MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. MR. PELHAM: No. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. MR. PHILLIPS: No. ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Christmann. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes. This map that was toward the back of this blue handout of your mother's property, is that the original route that was proposed or is that the current route that's proposed? THE WITNESS: It's not the current route. I don't know what version, iteration of their routes it would have been, but it's not the current route. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The route that was	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	received. MR. JORDE: And, with that, no more witnesses for today that we're going to call in our case, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Then I will turn back to Summit. It's my understanding you have some rebuttal witnesses you want to call. MR. DUBLINSKE: We do, Your Honor. Summit would call its first rebuttal witness, Alex Lange. ALJ HOGAN: Good morning, Mr. Lange. I'll have you start by stating your full name for the record and spelling your last name. ALEX LANGE: Alexander Daniel Lange, L-A-N-G-E. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Lange, were you in the room this morning when I went through the penalties for perjury? ALEX LANGE: I was.

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	ALEX LANGE: I do.	1	A. That's correct.
2	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	2	Q. But it does not provide in your written
3	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	3	testimony what has been considered confidential
4	in this case today?	4	information, the specific inputs or outputs of any
5	ALEX LANGE: I do.	5	dispersion modeling; correct?
6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	6	A. Correct.
7	Go ahead, Mr. Dublinske.	7	Q. And you have an attachment that lists all of the
8	MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor.	8	persons that attended those meetings; is that correct?
9	ALEX LANGE,	9	A. That is correct.
10	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	10	Q. Now if we go to page 3 of your rebuttal
11	follows:	11	testimony, I believe there's a table there that lists
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	12	the meetings that have been held and the dates; is that
13	BY MR. DUBLINSKE:	13	correct?
14	Q. Mr. Lange, you have not previously testified in	14	A. That is correct.
15	this matter; correct?	15	Q. And if I count those, I count 11. In the
16	A. That is correct.	16	paragraph that introduces that, I believe you say you
17	Q. Over the weekend, did you cause to be prefiled	17	made 10 presentations. Have you, in fact, been involved
18	some written rebuttal testimony?	18	in all of the 11 listed presentations?
19	A. I did.	19	A. I was the presenter at those presentations.
20	Q. And that testimony is intended to speed up your	20	There is another clarification. There are
21	rebuttal to information that had come in prior to this	21	really 12 presentations in total. Although the McIntosh
22	weekend. Is that fair?	22	and Logan County meeting on May 15th was extended to
23	A. That is correct.	23	Logan County individuals, the emergency manager, for
24	Q. And if I asked you the questions in that	24	reasons I'm not aware of, was unable to make that at the
25	prefiled testimony on the stand today, would your	25	last minute and so we did set up a one-on-one meeting in
	PAGE 109		PAGE 111
1	answers be substantially similar?	1	our Bismarck office on May 16th with the Logan County
2	A. That's correct.	2	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total
	·	2	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings.
2	A. That's correct.Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what	2 3 4	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo?
2 3 4 5	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the	2 3 4 5	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct.
2 3 4	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in	2 3 4 5 6	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings	2 3 4 5 6 7	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be R
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding. Q. Is it generally the purpose of your prefiled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be RALJ HOGAN: I have 17 as the last one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding. Q. Is it generally the purpose of your prefiled testimony to address and provide additional information	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be R ALJ HOGAN: I have 17 as the last one. MR. DUBLINSKE: That's what we show too so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding. Q. Is it generally the purpose of your prefiled testimony to address and provide additional information in response to that line of questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be R ALJ HOGAN: I have 17 as the last one. MR. DUBLINSKE: That's what we show too so ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So this would be 18.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding. Q. Is it generally the purpose of your prefiled testimony to address and provide additional information in response to that line of questions? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be R ALJ HOGAN: I have 17 as the last one. MR. DUBLINSKE: That's what we show too so ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So this would be 18. MR. DUBLINSKE: R-18.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding. Q. Is it generally the purpose of your prefiled testimony to address and provide additional information in response to that line of questions? A. That is correct. Q. And that testimony talks about the nature of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be R ALJ HOGAN: I have 17 as the last one. MR. DUBLINSKE: That's what we show too so ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So this would be 18. MR. DUBLINSKE: R-18. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Any objection,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. That's correct. Q. Given the timing on this, I just want to briefly sort of summarize without getting too repetitive of what you prefiled, is it were you aware that at the April 20 sorry yeah, April 22nd hearing in Bismarck, that information was provided about meetings with emergency responders and that information made reference to dispersion presentations? A. That is correct. Q. And you're aware that at that meeting commissioners asked for additional information about what exactly that was referring to? A. That is correct. Q. And that there were requests for additional information on the nature of meetings, what meetings had taken place, with whom they had taken place, when they had taken place, that sort of thing? A. That's my understanding. Q. Is it generally the purpose of your prefiled testimony to address and provide additional information in response to that line of questions? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	emergency manager. And so if you split that, in total there would be 12 meetings. Q. So the reference to 10 in line 8 is just a typo? A. That's correct. Q. Are there any other corrections to your testimony that we need to make before we go any further this morning? A. No. MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, I would move admission of the rebuttal testimony and then I will also have some additional questions for the witness, but I want to stop there because it's going to change subjects. ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to mark that as an exhibit? I think the other testimony was marked with exhibit numbers. MR. DUBLINSKE: I believe that's correct. Let me see what number we're up to here. It would be R ALJ HOGAN: I have 17 as the last one. MR. DUBLINSKE: That's what we show too so ALJ HOGAN: Okay. So this would be 18. MR. DUBLINSKE: R-18.

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	1	River. And then as was talked about in the
2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips.	2	Ms. Elkins' testimony, based on those results from those
3	MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.	3	reports, we did design the pipeline such that the drill
4	ALJ HOGAN: And Mr. Jorde.	4	would be 49 feet underneath the bottom of that the
5	MR. JORDE: Yes, objection. It's inappropriate	5	lowest part of the Missouri River. So that's one aspect
6	rebuttal. It's not responding to something that	6	of it.
7	couldn't have reasonably been anticipated. And then	7	Another is that in the testimony this morning,
8	further objection as to well, relevance and	8	from my memory, there was a comment that our geohazards
9	foundation and basically they're now being able to	9	really only identified those identified through the
10	bolster and defend the secret information that we don't	10	North Dakota Geological Survey. And that's not
11	have while at the same time maintaining its alleged	11	necessarily an accurate representation of the geohazard
12	confidentiality and we think that's patently unfair as	12	analyses we'd done. And so slope and the percentage of
13	we can't respond to this. So those would be my	13	slope of lands that we cross is absolutely part of our
14	objections.	14	geohazard analysis.
15	ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objections are	15	I think if you go back to and I know it's in
16	noted, but I am going to admit SCS 18.	16	the docket somewhere, I don't know the exact filing
17	MR. DUBLINSKE: Thank you, Your Honor.	17	number, but my initial letter to Ed Murphy of the North
18	Q. (BY MR. DUBLINSKE) Mr. Lange, you had	18	Dakota Geologic Survey identified that we had an
19	mentioned that the prefiled testimony addresses	19	additional callout in regards to geohazards that the
20	information that was known prior to when it was filed	20	North Dakota I guess NDGS did not identify and that
21	this weekend. Were you also in the room this morning	21	was one based off of slope. And so that's been an
22	when Ms. Elkins testified?	22	aspect of our geohazard analysis.
23	A. I was.	23	And then over the 12 months starting, roughly,
24	Q. And part of her testimony involved geological	24	the first week of March 2023 and concluding with Ed
25	concerns. Were you involved with the interaction that	25	Murphy's latest letter, March 2024, we've met with Ed
	PAGE 113		PAGE 115
1	Summit has had with the North Dakota State Geologist?	1	and his team a number of times to talk through
2	A. I was.	2	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting
2	A. I was.Q. And based on your expertise and experience in	2	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II
2 3 4	A. I was.Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any	2 3 4	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just
2 3 4 5	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins?	2 3 4 5	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these 	2 3 4 5 6	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also — or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist companies, Terracon and Geosyntec, and starting in March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up. So I'll leave it there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist companies, Terracon and Geosyntec, and starting in March not March, sorry. In August of 2022 is when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up. So I'll leave it there. Q. So you covered a lot of things. I just want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist companies, Terracon and Geosyntec, and starting in March not March, sorry. In August of 2022 is when we started looking at things like lateral migration and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up. So I'll leave it there. Q. So you covered a lot of things. I just want to break that down and confirm a couple things. Is it your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist companies, Terracon and Geosyntec, and starting in March not March, sorry. In August of 2022 is when we started looking at things like lateral migration and scour of rivers. And so in August of 2022 is when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up. So I'll leave it there. Q. So you covered a lot of things. I just want to break that down and confirm a couple things. Is it your understanding that Summit has addressed the State
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist companies, Terracon and Geosyntec, and starting in March not March, sorry. In August of 2022 is when we started looking at things like lateral migration and scour of rivers. And so in August of 2022 is when we received a report from our geologists regarding the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up. So I'll leave it there. Q. So you covered a lot of things. I just want to break that down and confirm a couple things. Is it your understanding that Summit has addressed the State geologist's concerns to his office's satisfaction?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I was. Q. And based on your expertise and experience in working through those geological issues, do you have any responses you'd like to make to Ms. Elkins? A. Sure. I know that overall through these hearings there's been a significant amount of testimony regarding geohazards and there have been exhibits also submitted from the North Dakota Geological Survey and so I won't rehash everything that we've talked about but just kind of give it a summary of what has occurred. And so really geohazards, on June 2nd, 2022, PHMSA issued an advisory bulletin specific to geohazards and the risks associated with geohazards and how pipeline companies and operators can design around geohazards and ultimately monitor those geohazards. And so that's the basis for how Summit devised its geohazard assessment plan. We then contracted a number of geologist companies, Terracon and Geosyntec, and starting in March not March, sorry. In August of 2022 is when we started looking at things like lateral migration and scour of rivers. And so in August of 2022 is when we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	geohazards specifically around landslides, presenting them information on how do we complete Phase II analyses, what do those look like? And so that's just kind of a brief recap. And then, in closing, one of the things that the PHMSA letter also or the PHMSA advisory talks about is how do you monitor geohazards. Because geohazards don't just end as soon as construction and the engineering side is done. And so one of the things we plan to do is we'll run what's called an "IMU tool." It's an inertial measurement unit. And so that tool allows you as the pipeline operator to get things really geospatial data on where does your pipeline sit? And then as we continue to run smart tools in the future as part of our integrity management program, we can map how that pipeline's moving over time, if there are additional landslides or whatever else may may crop up. So I'll leave it there. Q. So you covered a lot of things. I just want to break that down and confirm a couple things. Is it your understanding that Summit has addressed the State

-	O • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1	Q. And to address specifically an issue that	1	brittle fracture control. And so the way that pipeline
2	Ms. Elkins talked about this morning, am I correct that	2	companies address brittle fracture control is that, as
3	Summit has undertaken a Missouri River crossing scour	3	part of your material selection, you really want to
4	analysis?	4	define what's called a "minimum design temperature" such
5	A. That is correct.	5	that your brittle to ductile transition regime, that
6	Q. Do you happen to know whether that's been	6	you're operating in that ductile area for the metals.
7	admitted in the docket?	7	And so when you look at that, that's done by, as I
8	A. I do not know.	8	mentioned, specifying a minimum design temperature.
9	Q. In fact, there are numerous pipelines and have	9	And so when we look at our above-ground
10	been for decades that cross the Missouri River; correct?	10	facilities in North Dakota, we've defined that at
11	A. That's correct.	11	negative 50 degrees Fahrenheit to account for colder
12	Q. I want to switch subjects because she also	12	climates. I know it was discussed about differences
13	talked a little bit about source water. Are there PHMSA	13	between North Dakota and Texas, and Texas, that could be
14	rules that Summit is required to follow that	14	closer to 32 degrees Fahrenheit, and so significant
15	specifically address drinking water?	15	changes there.
16	A. That is correct.	16	And so when it comes to how do we validate that
17	Q. And what rule is that?	17	our pipe actually meets this negative 50-degree design
18	A. Yeah. Under Part 195, specifically 450 is the	18	criteria, is that's done through an API standard, it's
19	definitions for high consequence areas. There's four	19	API 5L, and more specifically they do what's called
20	different types of high consequence areas associated	20	"drop weight tear testing." And so what they're doing
21	with pipeline design. One of those is labeled as	21	is that they expose that material to a significantly
22	unusually sensitive areas, sometimes referenced as	22	cold temperature, they measure the shear percentage of
23	"USAs." That points to a definition under 195.6, lists	23	that steel and validate that, independent of
24	a number of different criteria for an unusually	24	temperatures, you're operating in the ductile region for
25	sensitive area. Once again, one of those is drinking	25	your design temperature.
	PAGE 117		PAGE 119
		4	And an other construction the standard will and the
1	water resources, drinking water supplies, in addition to	1	And so when we work with the steel mills and the
1 2	water resources, drinking water supplies, in addition to things like critically endangered species, things along	2	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications
2	things like critically endangered species, things along	2	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications
2	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines.	2	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess,
2 3 4	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding	2 3 4	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher.
2 3 4 5	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high	2 3 4 5	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean
2 3 4 5 6	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources	2 3 4 5 6	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you
2 3 4 5 6 7	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this	2 3 4 5 6 7	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear that testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear that testimony? A. Yes. I heard parts of it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear that testimony? A. Yes. I heard parts of it. Q. Has Summit what has Summit done to address	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear that testimony? A. Yes. I heard parts of it. Q. Has Summit what has Summit done to address the potential for that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear that testimony? A. Yes. I heard parts of it. Q. Has Summit what has Summit done to address the potential for that? A. Yeah. As part of the federal regulations,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to go a little bit further. So you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	things like critically endangered species, things along those lines. And so PHMSA does have regulations regarding potential impacts for pipelines that could affect high consequence areas in which drinking water resources would be included. MR. DUBLINSKE: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PELHAM: Q. Sir, I'm wondering if you can address some testimony that took place last week. A witness testified as to the extreme nature of North Dakota's winters and the cold and the potential impact of extreme cold potentially causing brittle fractures in main line valves that are above surface. Are you did you hear that testimony? A. Yes. I heard parts of it. Q. Has Summit what has Summit done to address the potential for that? A. Yeah. As part of the federal regulations, pipeline operators have to account for fracture control	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	pipe mills, they'll be picking material specifications that put us in that ductile region up to, I guess, negative 50 degrees and higher. Q. And in that, when you say "negative 50," I mean some of the testimony related to windchill. Are you able to provide any context as to the impact of windchill? Is that negative 50 windchill or negative 50 actual? A. That would be negative 50 actual, but you do have to remember that these above-ground facilities do have fluid flowing through them. And so ground temperatures are significantly warmer than that. They'll be generally in the 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit range based on our depth. And so that pipe would be, in reality, closer to 20 to 30 degrees Fahrenheit based on flowing conditions. Q. Thank you, sir. I don't have any other questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock, any questions? CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHOCK: Q. I just want to go a little bit further. So you were talking about the temperature rating of the pipe.

	IND PUBLIC SERV	101	
1	portions or the entirety of the pipeline in North	1	MR. PELHAM: Well, as I've said before, the
2	Dakota?	2	Commission's protective order is what it is, but, you
3	A. That would be the above-ground portions.	3	know, I don't know if the witness can answer in
4	Q. Okay.	4	generalities as to the general statements that were made
5	A. And so there would be a transition point as it	5	as to what the response was, but it would seem to me
6	got to that four-foot depth of cover, the main line pipe	6	that there would be some ability to question the witness
7	will be would be negative 5C or 23 degrees	7	on this area. I don't as to the specifics, though,
8	Fahrenheit.	8	as to the specifics as to the actual dispersion
9	Q. Okay. That's exactly what I needed. Thank you.	9	modeling, that has been deemed to be confidential by the
10	Nothing further.	10	Commission.
11	ALJ HOGAN: All right. And is it Mr. Phillips	11	ALJ HOGAN: I guess if the question can be
12	or Mr. Jorde going first? I don't know if you guys	12	answered in a general nature without disclosing what has
13	discussed it.	13	been deemed or falls under the Commission's protective
14	MR. JORDE: I've got several questions. I'm	14	order, you can provide that information. Otherwise, I
15	happy to go first.	15	would sustain the objection because we've already
16	MR. PHILLIPS: We haven't talked about it, but	16	discussed there's a pending motion to revisit that
17	that's just fine if he goes first.	17	protective order, and until that analysis has been made,
18	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Jorde.	18	that order does stand and protect that information.
19	MR. JORDE: Okay. Thank you.	19	MR. JORDE: So, Your Honor, I don't want
20	CROSS EXAMINATION	20	general. So if I'm being sustained, so be it, but I
21	BY MR. JORDE:	21	want specifically exactly what was shared related to
22	Q. Now you're the what did it say? You're	22	that, and I'm going to walk through each one of these.
23	director of engineering. How many other carbon dioxide	23	And so if they're sustained, they're sustained, but I
24	pipelines that intend to transport CO2 in a	24	don't want general information from the rebuttal
25	supercritical state have you been the director of	25	witness.
	PAGE 121		PAGE 123
1	engineering for previously?	1	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Then I am sustaining the
1 2	engineering for previously? A. Summit is the first.	1 2	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. Then I am sustaining the objection as to the specifics because that was
2	A. Summit is the first.	2	objection as to the specifics because that was
2	A. Summit is the first.Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion	2	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's
2 3 4	A. Summit is the first.Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal	2 3 4	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order.
2 3 4 5	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational	2 3 4 5	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same
2 3 4 5 6	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all	2 3 4 5 6	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion	2 3 4 5 6 7	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion buffer mapping
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." And I want to know everything that that consists of.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials are. The presentation materials have already been held
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." And I want to know everything that that consists of. MR. DUBLINSKE: And, Your Honor, that paragraph	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials are. The presentation materials have already been held to be confidential under the protective order.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." And I want to know everything that that consists of. MR. DUBLINSKE: And, Your Honor, that paragraph is giving an overview of exactly what's in the slide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials are. The presentation materials have already been held to be confidential under the protective order. You know, again, we're in a difficult spot
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." And I want to know everything that that consists of. MR. DUBLINSKE: And, Your Honor, that paragraph is giving an overview of exactly what's in the slide presentation. That's precisely what's confidential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials are. The presentation materials have already been held to be confidential under the protective order. You know, again, we're in a difficult spot because we're trying to stay on the right side of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." And I want to know everything that that consists of. MR. DUBLINSKE: And, Your Honor, that paragraph is giving an overview of exactly what's in the slide presentation. That's precisely what's confidential. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any thoughts on this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials are. The presentation materials have already been held to be confidential under the protective order. You know, again, we're in a difficult spot because we're trying to stay on the right side of the protective order while also providing information that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Summit is the first. Q. And you talk about the purpose of dispersion analysis presentations on page 4 of your rebuttal testimony, and you lead with "to provide foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." Tell me all the foundational information regarding dispersion modeling that was shared. MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. That is asking for the content of the confidential information. ALJ HOGAN: I guess maybe I don't understand what your question is, Mr. Jorde. Could you rephrase? MR. JORDE: Sure, I'll try. On line 2, page 4, he answers the question "What was the purpose of the dispersion analysis presentations?" And then in line 2 to 3 he talks about providing emergency responders with, quote, "foundational information regarding dispersion modeling." And I want to know everything that that consists of. MR. DUBLINSKE: And, Your Honor, that paragraph is giving an overview of exactly what's in the slide presentation. That's precisely what's confidential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objection as to the specifics because that was previously determined or falls under the Commission's protective order. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And, sir, then the same question I'll just lump them together so this doesn't have to get overly long I want you to please then explain the details that you shared in these meetings as to, quote, "Why dispersion modeling is used, what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies for performing it, the types of conditions dispersion modeling accounts for, and how dispersion buffer mapping compares to what actually happens when dispersion occurs." I'd like you to tell me the exact details of all of that that you shared in these meetings. MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor. It's pretty clear that this is describing at a high level what the contents of the presentation materials are. The presentation materials have already been held to be confidential under the protective order. You know, again, we're in a difficult spot because we're trying to stay on the right side of the

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	Commission on April 22nd. I think this is similar to	1	communities.
2	several rulings Your Honor has made that the important	2	In regards to my role in developing those plans,
3	piece here is that these meetings are happening and that	3	generally outside of my scope. May supply some
4	the Commission have a sense that they're genuinely	4	information that helps develop some of those plans, but
5	happening and what's happening at them, but at some	5	in regards to how the local communities will actually
6	point you cross over into safety which is no longer in	6	respond is outside of my knowledge set.
7	the Commission's jurisdiction.	7	Q. So if we can go back to the question I asked,
8	ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained for the	8	did you intend on behalf of Summit, as the Summit
9	rationale we previously discussed.	9	director of engineering, that the invitees to these
10	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) In regards to how dispersion	10	secret meetings where you shared dispersion modeling,
11	buffering mapping compares to what actually happens, I	11	did you intend those participants to rely upon the data
12	know now I'm not going to be able to get into that, but,	12	you shared with them? Yes or no?
13	sir, can you tell me if you presented information that	13	A. I don't think it's as easy as a simple yes or
14	tended to minimize or downplay Satartia, Mississippi,	14	no. I think, at the end of the day, emergency response
15	and information related to that plume and what happened	15	is a collaborative effort. And so if there's additional
16	there in any of these secret meetings you had?	16	information that those first responders will want or
17	A. No. We did not downplay the plume of Satartia,	17	need, that's something that we would be willing to offer
18	Mississippi.	18	to them.
19	Q. And you then go on to say, a couple lines here,	19	Q. So you admit that the information you provided
20	your presentations were intended to begin laying the	20	should not be relied upon by the emergency managers and
21	groundwork for local emergency responders to understand	21	first responders that you invited to these secret
22	how dispersion modeling informs local emergency response	22	meetings?
23	plans. My question is does Summit intend for the	23	MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. Obviously
24	affected communities to rely upon the accuracy of the	24	mischaracterizes Mr. Lange's testimony.
25	dispersion information Summit shared with those	25	MR. JORDE: Well, he hasn't answered the
	PAGE 125		PAGE 127
1	communities' first responders?	1	question.
2	A. Can you restate that question?	2	MR. DUBLINSKE: It does not mean that you get to
3	Q. Yes. Does Summit intend for the first	3	testify in his stead, however.
4	responders of the various communities to rely upon and	4	MR. JORDE: Well, if he would answer the
5	take as fact the Summit-prepared and Summit-presented	5	question, it would be great.
6	dispersion modeling so that they can rely on that when	6	ALJ HOGAN: Can you rephrase your question,
7	forming their own emergency response plans within their	7	Mr. Jorde?
8	specific communities?	8	MR. JORDE: I'll try for the fourth time. Yes,
9	MR. DUBLINSKE: I'm going to object to the	9	I will, Your Honor.
10	extent that it calls for specific content, but I do not	10	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, I know you don't want to
11		11	answer this, but that's not how it works. So here's the
	object if the witness can generally characterize to		unswer uns, but that's not now it works. So here's the
12	object if the witness can generally characterize to Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the	12	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these
			·
12	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the	12	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these
12 13	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the	12 13	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that
12 13 14	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented.	12 13 14	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no?
12 13 14 15	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general	12 13 14 15	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered.
12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can	12 13 14 15 16	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple
12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer.	12 13 14 15 16 17	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer. A. When it comes to the emergency response planning	12 13 14 15 16 17	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question. MR. JORDE: He didn't answer it at all.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer. A. When it comes to the emergency response planning side of things with not only county emergency managers	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question. MR. JORDE: He didn't answer it at all. ALJ HOGAN: I agree, I don't think he answered
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer. A. When it comes to the emergency response planning side of things with not only county emergency managers but also first responders, as my written testimony	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question. MR. JORDE: He didn't answer it at all. ALJ HOGAN: I agree, I don't think he answered the specific question. And I think there's more context
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer. A. When it comes to the emergency response planning side of things with not only county emergency managers but also first responders, as my written testimony outlines, what we've done right now is really start to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question. MR. JORDE: He didn't answer it at all. ALJ HOGAN: I agree, I don't think he answered the specific question. And I think there's more context to it, but if you could answer a specific question.
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer. A. When it comes to the emergency response planning side of things with not only county emergency managers but also first responders, as my written testimony outlines, what we've done right now is really start to lay the foundation of what will come. And so there's	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question. MR. JORDE: He didn't answer it at all. ALJ HOGAN: I agree, I don't think he answered the specific question. And I think there's more context to it, but if you could answer a specific question. A. Can you repeat it one more time?
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Jorde's point whether the presentation were the intended communities to rely on the accuracy of the material presented. ALJ HOGAN: I understood it as a general question or statement. So with that in mind, you can answer. A. When it comes to the emergency response planning side of things with not only county emergency managers but also first responders, as my written testimony outlines, what we've done right now is really start to lay the foundation of what will come. And so there's going to be future meetings and trainings in regards to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	question. Did you intend for the invitees to these secret meetings to rely upon the Summit information that you were providing them at these meetings? Yes or no? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, asked or answered. The witness already explained that this is not a simple yes or no question. MR. JORDE: He didn't answer it at all. ALJ HOGAN: I agree, I don't think he answered the specific question. And I think there's more context to it, but if you could answer a specific question. A. Can you repeat it one more time? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, you've been brought here

	ND PUBLIC SERV	101	= 001111111001011
1	efforts, and that information is so important to you and	1	geographical location of your pipeline.
2	your company that you're fighting tooth and nail to keep	2	Q. You were then asked how did presentation address
3	it secret and confidential. And the question is this:	3	what dispersion modeling is and different methodologies
4	did you on behalf of Summit intend to have the invitees	4	for performing it. I asked you about that and it was
5	to these secret emergency responder meetings to rely	5	sustained, the objections were sustained.
6	upon the Summit dispersion modeling that you shared with	6	Are you confident in the results of the
7	them? Yes or no?	7	dispersion modeling that were performed for Summit's
8	A. I think the answer would be yes.	8	route including including potential ruptures on or
9	Q. Okay. Thank you.	9	near my clients' land, businesses, and residences?
10	What is your personal background in selecting	10	A. Yes. I'm confident with the work that Summit
11	input values for dispersion modeling relative to a	11	has done for our dispersion modeling.
12	potential CO2 plume?	12	Q. And that would be across the entire footprint of
13	A. So Summit contracted a company called Audubon to	13	over 350 miles in North Dakota; correct?
14	help us with dispersion modeling. And so it's, once	14	A. That is correct.
15	again, a somewhat collaborative effort as we talk	15	Q. And by providing that information to the PSC,
16	through what are the appropriate inputs based on what	16	Summit intends that the three decisionmakers here, the
17	we're trying to get for an output or what that output	17	two commissioners and Substitute Decisionmaker Dawson,
18	would be. So it's a mix of system design specifications	18	rely upon that model and the data you've provided them;
19	as well as regional atmospheric conditions, humidity,	19	correct?
20	temperature, things along those lines.	20	MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. A, calls
21	Q. Okay. So my question was what is your personal	21	for a legal conclusion as to the extent that is relevant
22	background in selecting input values for plume modeling	22	to decision criteria.
23	related to carbon dioxide pipelines? And if you don't	23	MR. PELHAM: I would join in that objection. I
24	have any, that's fine.	24	think it does call for a legal conclusion.
25	A. Outside of Summit, I have not been a part of	25	ALJ HOGAN: The objection is sustained.
	PAGE 129		PAGE 131
	alama and dellar for 600 almellar	1	MD TODDE Olever IIII been be a series. It did all
1	plume modeling for CO2 pipelines.	1	MR. JORDE: Okay. I'll try it again. I didn't
2	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the	2	ask for a legal conclusion.
2	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the	2	ask for a legal conclusion.
2	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion	2	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the
2 3 4	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are	2 3 4	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to
2 3 4 5	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or	2 3 4 5	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed	2 3 4 5 6	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make	2 3 4 5 6 7	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you provide did you provide any of these first responders any images
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement. Q. You're not suggesting, are you, that PHMSA has a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you providedid you provide any of these first responders any images in the PowerPoint or other descriptions of outputs from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement. Q. You're not suggesting, are you, that PHMSA has a specific carbon dioxide pipeline requirement related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you provide—did you provide any of these first responders any images in the PowerPoint or other descriptions of outputs from your FLO-2D modeling?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement. Q. You're not suggesting, are you, that PHMSA has a specific carbon dioxide pipeline requirement related to input values or output values for dispersion modeling on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you providedid you provide any of these first responders any images in the PowerPoint or other descriptions of outputs from your FLO-2D modeling? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection to the extent that it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement. Q. You're not suggesting, are you, that PHMSA has a specific carbon dioxide pipeline requirement related to input values or output values for dispersion modeling on CO2 pipelines, are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you providedid you provide any of these first responders any images in the PowerPoint or other descriptions of outputs from your FLO-2D modeling? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection to the extent that it calls for materials specifically in the presentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement. Q. You're not suggesting, are you, that PHMSA has a specific carbon dioxide pipeline requirement related to input values or output values for dispersion modeling on CO2 pipelines, are you? A. Not directly other than it obviously needs to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you providedid you provide any of these first responders any images in the PowerPoint or other descriptions of outputs from your FLO-2D modeling? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection to the extent that it calls for materials specifically in the presentation subject to the protective order. I'm fine if Mr. Lange
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. All right. Then you go on to state the presentations explained that Summit performed dispersion modeling consistent with federal regulations. What are all the factors that you used to determine whether or not Summit's dispersion modeling was performed consistent with federal regulations? How can you make that statement? A. Federal regulations through 195.452, the integrity management plan section, ultimately require an operator to identify the risks associated with pipelines. And so when it comes to CO2, the risk associated with some type of inadvertent release would be the vaporization of CO2 and then an associated plume with that. And so as part of our regulatory requirements, making sure we can identify and understand risk so that we can execute the integrity management plan program as prescribed by 195.452 is a federal requirement. Q. You're not suggesting, are you, that PHMSA has a specific carbon dioxide pipeline requirement related to input values or output values for dispersion modeling on CO2 pipelines, are you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ask for a legal conclusion. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Sir, again, you are the engineering guy, you've been brought here in rebuttal to talk all about how wonderful this dispersion work was. You just said you stand by it. Is it your intent as an employee of Summit that the PSC rely upon the data you've provided them in confidence? Yes or no? A. I think that's correct, yes. Q. All right. You then talk about gravity and topography, but you don't have any personal background in vapor dispersion relative to gravity and topography other than that what you've been involved with on this project; is that correct? A. That is correct. Q. You mention that the presentations described how FLO-2D software that Summit uses to perform some modeling accounts for topography. Did you providedid you provide any of these first responders any images in the PowerPoint or other descriptions of outputs from your FLO-2D modeling? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection to the extent that it calls for materials specifically in the presentation

	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	ALJ HOGAN: If you can answer it in a general	1	Commission, PSC, has or doesn't have, with the first
2	nature, please do.	2	responders in an attempt or for the purpose of
3	A. We did talk about topography and the impact that	3	minimizing their concerns that they had relative to how
4	could play on CO2 dispersion modeling in the	4	far a toxic plume could travel? Yes or no.
5	presentation, yes.	5	A. The intention of sharing the maps is to educate
6	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Are you aware that FLO-2D is	6	first responders on where a plume could potentially
7	primarily for flooding and mudslides and not for	7	travel.
8	modeling supercritical CO2 from unintended releases or	8	Q. You mention a PowerPoint slideshow. Was the sum
9	pipeline ruptures?	9	and substance of any data provided during these meetings
10	A. I'm not aware of that.	10	contained within the PowerPoint slideshow or were there,
11	Q. You described the phrase, quote, "a full	11	in addition to the slideshow, other risk analysis,
12	bore" B-O-R-E " rupture," end quote. Is that your	12	dispersion, toxicity, in the form of handouts or
13	way of saying the worst-case type of a rupture?	13	physical documentation?
14	A. That is the worst-case release type, yes. Also	14	A. In some of the meetings we did offer up digital
15	sometimes called a "guillotine release."	15	versions of publicly-available information. The API CO2
16	Q. Okay. Thank you.	16	tactical guidance is one of those items. Excerpts from
17	And you say you explained dispersion buffer	17	DOT 195 as it pertains to the regulations around
18	maps. And are those maps that you provided in these	18	emergency response for pipeline operators is another
19	meetings that show various distances that a vapor plume	19	piece of information that was offered publicly. And
20	is projected to travel from the release source?	20	then also an API study for shelter-in-place as a
21	A. We did show maps that also overlaid the buffers,	21	protective means. And so those three documents at a
22	yes.	22	minimum. And then there was a Summit-produced first
23	Q. All right. And has that type of data, was that	23	responders preparedness training draft. And those four
24	included in the information that Summit provided to the	24	documents were at least offered verbally to be
25	PSC confidentially?	25	transmitted electronically if desired. And I don't know
	PAGE 133		PAGE 135
1	I'm sorry, I missed your answer.	1	exactly which counties have received some of that.
2	MR. DUBLINSKE: Yeah, we would object that	2	Q. And so of the items that you just listed in that
3	that's getting into the content of what was submitted to	3	response, were any of those deemed by Summit to be
4	the PSC and it's held under the protective order.	4	
5		1	confidential?
	MR. JORDE: Well, to be very clear, I'm not	5	A. Not to my knowledge.
6	asking for values because I know that's going to get	1	A. Not to my knowledge.Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the
6 7	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he	5 6 7	A. Not to my knowledge.Q. Okay. You then state that you provided thePowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the
6 7 8	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal	5 6 7 8	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been
6 7 8 9	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have	5 6 7 8 9	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input
6 7 8 9 10	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially.	5 6 7 8 9	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into
6 7 8 9 10 11	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he	5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the testimony and it also, again, calls for the content of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with. And so there were a variety of items that came
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the testimony and it also, again, calls for the content of the presentation.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with. And so there were a variety of items that came up during those presentations where individuals would
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the testimony and it also, again, calls for the content of the presentation. ALJ HOGAN: Perhaps you can rephrase, Mr. Jorde.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with. And so there were a variety of items that came up during those presentations where individuals would ask us questions. We knew that we had additional
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the testimony and it also, again, calls for the content of the presentation. ALJ HOGAN: Perhaps you can rephrase, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with. And so there were a variety of items that came up during those presentations where individuals would ask us questions. We knew that we had additional information or studies that may help paint that picture,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the testimony and it also, again, calls for the content of the presentation. ALJ HOGAN: Perhaps you can rephrase, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Okay. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Did you provide the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with. And so there were a variety of items that came up during those presentations where individuals would ask us questions. We knew that we had additional information or studies that may help paint that picture, educate them further, and so we did make revisions as we
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	asking for values because I know that's going to get sustained. I simply want to know if the buffer maps he just talked well, he talks about in the rebuttal testimony that you put in play here, if those maps have been provided to the PSC confidentially. ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer that if he knows. A. I don't believe so, but I'm not positive. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And you intended to share the buffer maps with first responders and others in an attempt to minimize their concerns about how far a plume might travel based on the data you submitted to them; is that correct? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection. That misstates the testimony and it also, again, calls for the content of the presentation. ALJ HOGAN: Perhaps you can rephrase, Mr. Jorde. MR. JORDE: Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. You then state that you provided the PowerPoint slides in question to the PSC, to the Commission, and then you note that there have been revisions to the presentation over time based on input from emergency responders. Are you able to get into what that is without violating this confidentiality order? A. I can try to be I'll be as general as possible. I mean, ultimately, as I've testified to, it is an educational presentation to talk through some of the the topics of dispersion. And so as we met with first responders and as they had questions, we wanted to make sure that we would address those moving forward for future groups that we would potentially meet with. And so there were a variety of items that came up during those presentations where individuals would ask us questions. We knew that we had additional information or studies that may help paint that picture,

	IND I OBEIG GEITV	Т	
1	improve the education process for first responders and	1	Summit's view?
2	emergency managers.	2	A. Can you rephrase that one?
3	Q. So as a part of improving that process, did that	3	Q. Yep. And if you want to follow along, I'm on
4	include sharing additional confidential information that	4	page 7, line 12. It's a question. And the question is
5	wasn't initially contained in the PowerPoint provided to	5	you reference mitigation steps. So you're telling these
6	the Public Service Commission?	6	in these secret meetings about the mitigation steps,
7	A. I don't know all the ins and outs of the	7	do you, on behalf of Summit, agree that the mitigation
8	confidential information side of things, but my	8	steps your words were those reasonable in the two
9	understanding is yes.	9	Mississippi incidents and the Louisiana 2024 incident?
10	Q. Again, you then go on I'm on page 6 to	10	A. As I testified to earlier, PHMSA in 2022 came
11	mention presentations addressing toxicity, and then I	11	out with the geohazard advisory bulletin and, to my
12	think you previewed some of the reports you looked at.	12	understanding, that is a recommendation from federal
13	And so the PSC then, if they have the PowerPoint, they	13	regulators based on the Satartia, Mississippi, incident.
14	have the portion of your presentation that addresses the	14	And so, yes, we took mitigative steps to design our
15	toxicity of CO2 from your perspective; is that correct?	15	geohazard program around that advisory bulletin which
16	A. That's correct.	16	encompasses lessons learned from Satartia.
17	Q. And when you, on page 7, I think you again	17	Q. Okay. So you're answering your own questions
18	highlighted some of these, subpart 3 on your answer to	18	again, sir. My question is do you believe that the
19	the top of page 7 relative to toxic exposure, you	19	mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June of 2007,
20	reference a 2022 study by a team of Dutch scientists.	20	were those reasonable mitigation steps at that time?
21	And you're referencing there what's also known as the	21	MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. I think
22	"Shell Global Solutions International BV Study"; is that	22	that mischaracterizes the testimony. Mr. Lange just
23	correct?	23	said that "mitigation steps" there refers to steps that
24	A. I don't know it by that name. I've referenced	24	Summit took in design in response to lessons learned
25	it as the "Van Der Schrier."	25	from those prior incidents.
	PAGE 137		PAGE 139
1	Q. Okay. Thank you. And I don't need to get into	1	MR. JORDE: Well, I'd object to the speaking
	Allega to the control of a long and to be and allegate and a second an	1 2	
2	that, but we've already had the expert testimony on	2	objections and my question is my question. So if he can
3	that, but we've already had the expert testimony on that.	3	objections and my question is my question. So if he can just answer my question.
3	that.	3	just answer my question.
3 4	that. And you're aware that that was the study where	3 4	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and
3 4 5	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study	3 4 5	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of
3 4 5 6	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages	3 4 5 6	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect.
3 4 5 6 7	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that?	3 4 5 6 7	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try
3 4 5 6 7 8	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy	3 4 5 6 7 8	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details regarding two Mississispipi incidents in 2007 and then the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No. A. I believe that the steps Summit took from the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details regarding two Mississippi incidents in 2007 and then the Satartia in 2020, as well as Louisiana in 2024. And	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No. A. I believe that the steps Summit took from the lessons learned of those incidents are reasonable. If
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details regarding two Mississippi incidents in 2007 and then the Satartia in 2020, as well as Louisiana in 2024. And then you reference mitigation steps.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No. A. I believe that the steps Summit took from the lessons learned of those incidents are reasonable. If your question is going towards the operators in which
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details regarding two Mississippi incidents in 2007 and then the Satartia in 2020, as well as Louisiana in 2024. And then you reference mitigation steps. Do you believe the mitigation steps taken in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No. A. I believe that the steps Summit took from the lessons learned of those incidents are reasonable. If your question is going towards the operators in which had those releases, I can't speak on their behalf. I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details regarding two Mississippi incidents in 2007 and then the Satartia in 2020, as well as Louisiana in 2024. And then you reference mitigation steps. Do you believe the mitigation steps taken in response to each of the two Mississippi incidents and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No. A. I believe that the steps Summit took from the lessons learned of those incidents are reasonable. If your question is going towards the operators in which had those releases, I can't speak on their behalf. I guess I'm that's where I'm misunderstanding your
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that. And you're aware that that was the study where the study group, the selected participants in that study put on by the oil industry, were 66 healthy males ages 18 to 25? Are you aware of that? A. I do understand the volunteers to be healthy males, yes. Q. Okay. And that the study then further separated out anyone from that suffered from panic disorder, hypertension, illegal drug use, present or history of alcohol abuse. You understand they weeded it out to find the most elite, healthy young males as they could? Are you aware of that? A. I'm not aware of it to that level of detail. Q. Okay. Moving on, you talked that the presentation, your PowerPoint, reviewed details regarding two Mississippi incidents in 2007 and then the Satartia in 2020, as well as Louisiana in 2024. And then you reference mitigation steps. Do you believe the mitigation steps taken in response to each of the two Mississippi incidents and the recent Louisiana incident where there were CO2	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	just answer my question. MR. DUBLINSKE: In which case it's asked and answered because he just explained why the premise of Mr. Jorde's question is incorrect. MR. JORDE: Okay. Well, should we just try again? Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Let me just try again, sir. And if you don't understand words I'm using, just stop me, okay, so we can get through this. Do you on behalf of Summit believe this is just a yes or no question believe that the mitigation steps taken in Mississippi in June 2007 in response to that CO2 release, do you believe those were reasonable? Yes or no? A. Can I ask a clarification to that? Q. No. A. I believe that the steps Summit took from the lessons learned of those incidents are reasonable. If your question is going towards the operators in which had those releases, I can't speak on their behalf. I guess I'm that's where I'm misunderstanding your question.

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing

	ND PUBLIC SERV		E COMMINISSION
1	presentations, you didn't make any comment or pass any	1	A. That one was specifically Cass County.
2	judgment either way if any of the three incidents you	2	Q. All right. And the toxicologist that you
3	reference, if those operators took reasonable mitigation	3	consulted was whom?
4	steps. Is that true?	4	A. Dr. Lumpkin.
5	A. We did not discuss mitigation steps that those	5	Q. All right. Then you talk about dispersion
6	operators would have taken, that is correct. We did	6	analysis providing information regarding emergency
7	discuss mitigative steps we have taken in response to	7	response plans. You acknowledge that communities can
8	those releases.	8	formulate their own emergency response plans completely
9	Q. Okay. All right. So that's helpful.	9	independent or guided by Summit's emergency response
10	So you also then didn't provide any critique in	10	plan if they choose; is that correct?
11	terms of mitigation steps that weren't taken but could	11	MR. DUBLINSKE: Calls for a legal conclusion.
12	have been taken. Is that true?	12	ALJ HOGAN: Are you asking for a legal
13	A. We did not critique the other operators, that is	13	conclusion, Mr. Jorde, or are you asking in his opinion?
14	correct.	14	MR. JORDE: I don't ask any questions for a
15	Q. You provided an Attachment B. You may I'm	15	legal conclusion from a non-lawyer. I mean, I'm
16	sure you're aware when Mr. Powell was cross-examined I	16	literally reading out of his testimony. And so I'm
17	asked him about the sign-in sheets. Is your Exhibit B	17	curious if he has any personal idea whether or not local
18	to your rebuttal testimony, is that the universe of all	18	emergency management can formulate their own emergency
19	participants at all of the meetings as you have listed?	19	response plans.
20	A. That is my understanding. Ultimately, I was not	20	ALJ HOGAN: You can answer.
21	responsible for the invitees. That was generally run	21	A. Since I personally am not responsible for
22	through the county emergency manager. I simply was just	22	emergency response planning, I don't know the answer to
23	there to present the information.	23	that.
24	Q. Did you personally prepare Attachment B? Did	24	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Okay. So you're brought here
25	you type it yourself?	25	in rebuttal to talk about information you personally
	PAGE 141		PAGE 143
1	A. I did not.	1	provided related to emergency response plans. If you're
2	Q. And so you don't know if the person who prepared	2	not the person to answer those questions, who at
3	that utilized the sign-in sheets or memory or some other	3	Summit's group would be?
4	document, or can you help me with that?	4	A. I think that slightly mischaracterizes my
5	A. I know that my recollection is that the	5	testimony. My testimony is to talk about kind of the
6	majority of the meetings we did have sign-in sheets and	6	foundation which emergency response planning would be
7	that was what was generally used to derive this list.	7	dispersion modeling and to talk through some of the
8	Q. And did you maintain a copy of the actual	8	topics that we've talked through with first responders
9	sign-in sheets for each of the meetings in Summit's	9	and emergency managers, not necessarily to develop those
10	records somewhere?	10	emergency response plans.
11	A. I personally do not have those sign-in sheets.	11	Q. So who at Summit is that person?
12	Q. Do you know who at Summit would have those?	12	A. For emergency response planning, Dave Daum would
13	A. I do not know who kept record of those sign-in	13	be better versed to answer questions along those lines.
14	sheets.	14	Q. All right. Is it important to utilize
15	Q. You state that sometimes you get questions that	15	dispersion modeling and that risk analysis when
16	you or other Summit personnel in attendance at these	16	formulating emergency response plans?
17	meetings can't respond to. You gave an example and you	17	A. I think that there would be some information or
18	indicated you would pose the question on toxicity or	18	topics that would be important, yes.
19	treatment protocols to a toxicologist and get back to	19	Q. And would that answer be true not only for
20	them with an answer. Have you done that?	20	Summit, the one that PHMSA requires Summit as the
21	A. Yes, we have.	21	operator to have, but would that answer be true also
22	Q. All right. What well, okay. Probably can't	22	for, in your view, the local North Dakota response plans
23	answer that. Okay. And tell me this: which meeting	23	communities may have?
24	was that did that question emanate from, if you	24	MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. The
25	recall?	25	witness already testified that he is unaware of whether
	PAGE 142		PAGE 144

1 page that are also highlighted. 2 plans. 3 ALJ HOGAN: I agree. The objection is 4 sustained. 5 Q. (BYMR. JORDE) Were you ever asked by anyone 6 locally if they could have their own emergency response 7 plans or if they had to only follow whatever Summit 8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 9 like that? 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response latt they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram either? 23 talk to definitively. 24 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 25 PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 concentrations, COZ concentrations, below levels that 38 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 40 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 40 attached – Attachment C of my written testimony, it 41 don't speak with Anyone at PHMSA. Your interpretations 42 didn't speak with anyone at PHMSA. You of the meaning and the 42 phrasing, that's your – Mr. Lange's – interpretations 44 didn't speak with Anyone at PHMSA. 45 and your interpretations of the meaning and the 46 phrasing, that's your – Mr. Lange's – interpretations 46 and your interpretations of the meaning and the 46 phrasing, that's your – Mr. Lange's – interpretations 47 alone; correct? 48 A. I did not speak with PHMSA. 49 Q. Okay. You don't dispute that first responders 40 came across persons in Statratia unresponsive, 41 unronscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 41 unronscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 41 unronscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the g
3 again, if you could just answer my questions. You 4 didn't speak with anyone at PHMSA. Your interpretations 5 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Were you ever asked by anyone 6 locally if they could have their own emergency response 7 plans or if they had to only follow whatever Summit 8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 8 like that? 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 19 definitively, but there are aspects of the diagram or 19 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 20 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 21 talk to definitively. 22 consentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 23 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 24 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 25 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 26 impact at all to a person? 27 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 28 attached — Attachment C of my written testimony, it 3 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 3 again, if you could just answer at PHMSA. You interpretations of the meaning and the 4 didn't speak with emeaning and the 6 phrasing, that's your ~ Mr. Lange's ~ interpretations alone; correct? 4 A. I did not speak with PHMSA. 5 A. I did not speak with PHMSA. 6 A. I did not speak with PHMSA. 9 Q. Coay. You don't dispute that first responders alone; correct? 10 Cars Tunning, people sitting in them? You don't dispute that first responders or same across persons in Startia unresponsive, 10 cars running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute that first responder and state the work of the mouth, laying
4 sustained. 6 locally if they could have their own emergency response 7 plans or if they had to only follow whatever Summit 8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 9 like that? 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 39 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 26 cars running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 27 talk to now in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it 28 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 29 individuals inside it unconscious. 30 the person charged with - by Summit to go out and talk 31 about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and 32 risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bether to look at 34 the PHMSA report associated with it. 35 2 Satartia, Mississippl, incident, we generally rely on 36 PAGE 147 37 the PHMSA report associated with it. 38 2 A. We generally relied when we talked about the 39 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 40 consultants owns - or is the company that owns CANARY, 40 would have to know the health profile of all of the 40 persons in that area, wouldn
5 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Were you ever asked by anyone 6 locally if they could have their own emergency response 7 plans or if they had to only follow whatever Summit 8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 9 like that? 9 Q. Okay. You don't dispute that first responders 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 25 definitively but there are other aspects that we can 26 talk to definitively for the area indicated would be at 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 vould cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by
6 locally if they could have their own emergency response 7 plans or if they had to only follow whatever Summit 8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 9 like that? 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively, about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? A. I tid not speak with PHMSA. A. I did not speak with the mouth, laying on the ground, cars running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute that fits responders and mover that mount in them? You don't dispute that fits responders
7 plans or if they had to only follow whatever Summit 8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 9 like that? 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 19 definitively, about that diagram either? 20 definitively, but there are aspects of the diagram or 21 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 22 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 23 talk to definitively. 24 A. I think that there are indicated would be at 25 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 26 voewshelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 28 persons in Satartia unresponsive, 10 came across persons in Satartia unresponsive, 11 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, Jaying on the ground, 21 came across persons in Satartia unresponsive, 12 came across persons in Satartia unresponsive, 13 came across persons in Satartia unresponsive, 14 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, Jaying on the ground, 24 any of that, do you, the eyewitness testimony? 15 do know in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 16 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 17 individuals inside it unconscious. 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 20 about dispret with the yeweritness testimony? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 Satartia, Mississippi, in
8 decides to put out there? Were you ever asked questions 9 like that? 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 19 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 27 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 28 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 29 injected. 30 A. I did not speak with HPMSA. 40 C. Okay. You don't dispute that first responders 41 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 41 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 42 are running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 41 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 41 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 42 are running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 41 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, 42 are running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 43 any of that, do you, the eyewithess testimony? 44 A. I'm not familiar with the eyewithess testimony? 45 I do know in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 46 individuals inside it unconscious. 48 Q. Okay. So in preparation for your as the 49 person modeling and essentially the safety and 40 about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and 41 such as a statiled-out car with three 40 about dispers
9 Q. Okay. You don't dispute that first responders 10 A. I have not been asked that question. 11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 3 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached — Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by
A. I have not been asked that question. 1 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 2 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 1 that they were working on and ask you questions about 1 that? 1 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 1 Q. You state that you believe there's a 1 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 1 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 2 definitively about that diagram either? 2 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 2 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 2 talk to definitively. 2 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached – Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 1 cars running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 1 and cars running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 1 across persons in Satartia in the mouth, laying on the ground, 1 acros running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 1 across running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute 1 any of that, do you, the eyewitness testimony? 1 don't dispute 1 any of that, do you, the eyewitness testimony? 1 do know in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it 4 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 1 individuals inside it unconscious. 1 Q. Okay. So in preparation for your as the 1 person charged with by Summit to go out and talk 2 about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and 1 risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 2 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 2 A. We generally relied when we talked about the 2 Satartia, Mississispi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 145 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q
11 Q. Did anyone at these meetings from the community 12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 usuld cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 11 unconscious, foaming at the mouth, laying on the ground, cars running, people sitting in them? You don't dispute any of that, do you, the eyewitness testimony? 1 A. I'm not familiar with the eyewitness testimony. 1 A. I'm not familiar with the eyewitness testimony. 1 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three individuals inside it unconscious. 18 Q. Okay. So in preparation for your as the person charged with by Summit to go out and talk about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 22 or review any of the first responder and eyewitness 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 147 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did
12 come with their own emergency response plan or ideas 13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 verwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 vou would have to know the health profile of all of the 29 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 20 impact at all to a person? 21 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 22 attacked Attachment C of my written testimony, it 23 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 31 do know in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it 4 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 3 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 4 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 4 okay. So in preparation for your as the 4 obest alk about at least one stalled-out car w
13 that they were working on and ask you questions about 14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 29 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 20 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 21 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 22 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 23 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 24 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 25 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 26 impact at all to a person? 27 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 28 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 30 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 31 any of that, do you, the eyewitness testimony. 4 I do know in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three individuals inside it unconscious. 4 Q. Okay. So in preparation for your as the person charged with by Summit to go out and talk about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 22 or review any of the first responder and eyewitness 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 24 A. We generally relied when we talked about the 25 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 147 4 the PHMSA report associate
14 that? 15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 29 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 20 in page 4 and 10, to kind of give your 21 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 22 about dispersion modeling. 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on 27 PAGE 145 28 PHMSA report associated with it. 29 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? 30 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 You would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 individuals inside it unconscious. 4 10 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three 6 individuals inside it unconscious. 18 Q. Okay. So in preparation for your —as the 19 person charged with —by Summit to go out and talk 20 about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and 21 risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 22 or review any of the first responder and eyewitness 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 24 A. We generally relied — when we talked about the 25 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on 26 PAGE 145 27 PAGE 145 28 A. We did not use Quest Consultants? 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants of the consultance of the consultance of t
15 A. No, not to my knowledge or recollection. 16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 39 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 40 impact at all to a person? 4 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 4 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 4 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 4 I do know in the Satartia incident report from PHMSA, it 4 does talk about at least one stalled-out car with three individuals inside it unconscious. 18 Q. Okay. So in preparation for your as the person charged with by Summit to go out and talk about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 22 or review any of the first responder and eyewithess 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 24 A. We generally relied when we talked about the 25 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 145 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
16 Q. You state that you believe there's a 17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 27 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 28 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 29 person charged with by Summit to go out and talk 20 about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and 21 risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 22 or review any of the first responder and eyewitness 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 24 A. We generally relied when we talked about the 25 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on 26 PAGE 145 27 PAGE 145 28 A. We did not use Quest Consultants? 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 4 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by
17 misunderstanding regarding the plume from Satartia, and 18 then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your 19 own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak 20 definitively about that diagram either? 21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the 26 estartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on 27 PAGE 145 28 PAGE 145 29 PAGE 145 20 about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at or review any of the first responder and eyewitness testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 29 PAGE 145 20 A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on 21 PAGE 145 22 PAGE 145 23 PAGE 145 24 A. We did not use Quest Consultants? 25 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? 26 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 27 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion modeling software. 28 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
then you go on, on page 9 and 10, to kind of give your own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak definitively about that diagram either? A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to definitively, but there are other aspects that we can talk to definitively. D. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 Toverwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that you would have to know the health profile of all of the person charged with by Summit to go out and talk about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at or review any of the first responder and eyewitness testimony in this docket related to Satartia? A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 145 The PHMSA report associated with it. Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. A. I think if you look at the chart which was attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it does call out concentrations and which were mapped by A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
own opinion. Would you agree that you can't speak definitively about that diagram either? A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to definitively, but there are other aspects that we can talk to definitively. Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that you would have to know the health profile of all of the person charged with by Summit to go out and talk about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at or review any of the first responder and eyewitness testimony in this docket related to Satartia? A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 145 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 19 person charged with by Summit to go out and talk about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis; and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at review any of the first responder and essentially the safety and risk analysis; and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at review any of the first responder and essentially the safety and risk analysis; and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at respondence in the first responder and essentially the safety and risk analysis; and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at respondence in the first responder and essentially the safety and risk analysis; and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testimony in this docket related to Satartia? A. We generally relied vanaly in the sa
definitively about that diagram either? A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to definitively, but there are other aspects that we can talk to definitively. Q. So when you say in your opinion that the page 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that you would have to know the health profile of all of the persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was attached — Attachment C of my written testimony, it definitively about dispersion modeling and essentially the safety and risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kanalysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at testmony in the kestmony
21 A. I think that there are aspects of the diagram or 22 the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to 23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 21 risk analysis and toxicity, you didn't bother to look at 22 or review any of the first responder and eyewitness 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? 24 A. We generally relied when we talked about the 25 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on 26 PAGE 145 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
the use of that diagram that I cannot speak to definitively, but there are other aspects that we can talk to definitively. Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 the proper of the area indicated would be at concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," you would have to know the health profile of all of the persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it does call out concentrations and which were mapped by are review any of the first responder and eyewitness testimony in this docket related to Satartia? A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 145 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion analysis; right? A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
23 definitively, but there are other aspects that we can 24 talk to definitively. 25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 23 testimony in this docket related to Satartia? A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississisppi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 147 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. G. Which was used in some of your dispersion analysis; right? A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
talk to definitively. Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 Overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," you would have to know the health profile of all of the persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it does call out concentrations and which were mapped by A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally relied when we talked about the A. We generally relied when we talked about the Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally relied when we talked about the A. We generally relied when we talked about the PAGE 145 A. We generally relied when we talked about the PAGE 147 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion analysis; right? A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
25 Q. So when you say in your opinion that the PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 25 Satartia, Mississippi, incident, we generally rely on PAGE 147 26 And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
PAGE 145 1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
1 overwhelming majority of the area indicated would be at 2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 1 the PHMSA report associated with it. 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
2 concentrations, CO2 concentrations, below levels that 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 6 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 7 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 2 Q. And did you say you use Quest Consultants? A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, building the purpose of your dispersion modeling software. Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not building the purpose of your dispersion A. We used CANARY as the software of your dispersion and your dispersion
 3 would cause any impacts, for you to say "any impacts," 4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 3 A. We did not use Quest Consultants. Quest 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
4 you would have to know the health profile of all of the 5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 4 Consultants owns or is the company that owns CANARY, 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
5 persons in that area, wouldn't you, to eliminate any 6 impact at all to a person? 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 5 which is a vapor dispersion modeling software. 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
6 impact at all to a person? 6 Q. Which was used in some of your dispersion 7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
7 A. I think if you look at the chart which was 8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 7 analysis; right? 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
8 attached Attachment C of my written testimony, it 9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 8 A. We used CANARY as the software, but we did not 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
9 does call out concentrations and which were mapped by 9 have Quest complete the dispersion modeling.
10 the National Weather Service And so leaking at these
10 the National Weather Service. And so looking at those 10 Q. Okay. So the entity that created the software,
11 concentrations, the gray region is 4.2 parts per
12 billion. And for reference, atmospheric conditions, 12 a third party; right?
13 depending on exactly season the season and where 13 A. We hired Audubon to complete dispersion modeling
14 you're at, are somewhere in the 300 to 600 parts per 14 with CANARY, yes.
15 Q. And have you heard of computational fluid
16 And so from a CO2 concentration perspective, 16 dynamics? Do you know anything about CFD modeling?
17 this map really doesn't provide any information. It 17 Have you come across that?
18 simply is just showing the direction in which the wind 18 A. I am familiar with CFD.
19 and the atmosphere conditions would take a plume but to 19 Q. And would you agree that in some situations the
20 no discernable CO2 concentration levels. And so I think 20 simplifying assumptions made with other softwares simply
21 that's the the intent of the map. 21 don't apply and that, in those cases, if you need
22 Q. Okay. So you didn't talk to PHMSA or anyone who 22 detailed analysis, for instance, you turn to
23 prepared the map or the report. This is your 23 computational fluid dynamics?
24 interpretation; right? 24 A. I would disagree with that.
25 A. It also is denoted in different aspects of the 25 Q. Okay. And so would you disagree that
PAGE 146 PAGE 148

1 computational fluid dynamics is required to find 2 solutions where there are complex variables, complex 3 problems, and the simpler types of models out there, 4 even the CANARY model, is not appropriate? 5 A. Can you rephrase that? 6 Q. Yes, So do you agree or disagree that 6 computational fluid dynamics is required when you need a 8 solution to complex problems where other simpler 9 modeling software packages aren't appropriate? 10 A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not 11 required. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 14 ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: MR. Jokep Wery good. 18 Q. (6 MR. JORDE) Plusati, Mr. Lange, until you 19 have a copy in front of you there. 19 What sheing passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 33 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 3 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modelling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 6 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modelling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 11 that you gave a second ago? 11 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 3 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modelling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 6 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modelling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 11 MR.		ND PUBLIC SERV		
a problems, and the simpler types of models out there, even the CANARY model, is not appropriate? A. Can you rephrase that? G. Q. Yes. So do you agree or disagree that solution to complex problems where other simpler modeling software packages aren't appropriate? M. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. M. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. M. NORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 M. A. I would five could pass that around. M. A. I MOGAN: Well, let me ask you this. How many M. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 M. A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. M. HILLIPS: I do have questions. Would you like me to go into them or is it a break you this. How many M. HILLIPS: Not quite as long as that questioning but not just a few minutes either. A. I HOGAN: Maybe we should take our funch break and then come back and then we'll start with you, Mr. Phillips. M. Why don't we do that. So let's take our funch break. I always like to end at a time that's easy to Warfs being passed around, sir, and what you have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you disagreed with were statements that Quest M. HILLIPS: I do have questions. Would you like to change you to right now. M. HILLIPS: Not quite as long as that questioning but not just a few minutes either. M. HOGAN: Well, Jet me sky out have less than the well start with you, Mr. Phillips. M. J HOGAN: Maybe as sky out file. How many in the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out Consultants has mader related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all PAGE 149 Cons	1	computational fluid dynamics is required to find	1	they're currently involved in related specifically to
even the CANARY model, is not appropriate? A. Can you rephrase that? G. Q. Yes. So do you agree or disagree that computational fluid dynamics is required when you need a solution to complex problems where other simpler modeling software packages aren't appropriate? A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: Mr. Lebel, did we have Exhibit 52 ready or printed off? If so, If like you to tell me please and if we could pass that around. ALI HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. ALI HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. Q. (8' MR. JORDE) I'll walt, Mr. Lange, until you have a copy in front of you there. What's being passed around, sir, and what you you disagreed with were statements that Quest dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all passing the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modeling. 1 that you gave a second ago? 1 that you gave a second ago? A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also upplicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling is extremely important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modeling. Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest computational fluid dynamics package they offer? A. I am not aware of that. MR. JORDE: Wood fore Eshibit LO-52. ALJ HOGAN: Any Delpiction to 52? MR. DRUBLINSKE: No objection. ALJ HOGAN: Any Objection to 52? MR. JORDE: I'model offer Eshibit LO-52. ALJ HOGAN: Any Objection to 52? MR. JORDE: I'model offer Eshibit LO-52. ALJ HOGAN: S. Z. Is received. A. That is correct. ALJ HOGAN: Any Objection to 52? MR. JORDE: I'model offer Eshibit LO-52. ALJ HOGAN: Any Objection to 52? MR. JORDE: I'model offer Eshibit LO	2	solutions where there are complex variables, complex	2	CO2 pipelines in the United States?
5 A. Can you rephrase that? 6 Q. Yes. So do you agree or disagree that 7 computational fluid dynamics is required when you need a 8 solution to complex problems where other simpler 10 A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not 11 required. 11 required. 11 R. J. Would disagree and state that CFD is not 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 14 ready or printed off? If so, If like you to tell me 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (gr MR. JORDE: Mr. Lange, until you 19 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landworter Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 Canada Sanda San	3	problems, and the simpler types of models out there,	3	A. I have not seen PHMSA issue any hard dates.
6 Q. Yes. So do you agree or disagree that 7 computational fluid dynamics is required when you need a 8 solution to complex problems where other simpler 9 modeling software packages aren't appropriate? 9 modeling software packages aren't appropriate? 10 Q. Okay. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Q. Okay. 13 M.R. PHILLIPS: Not quite as long as that 14 ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 A. LI MOGAN: Emphe spassing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 18 ALI HOGAN: Maybe we should take our funch break 19 and then come back and then we'll start with you, Mr. 19 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 19 have a copy in front of you there. 21 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 22 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 ALI HOGAN: Employe and the statements 27 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 28 ALI HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. 29 ALI HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. 30 Statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 40 you are a second ago? 4 ALI HOGAN: Mr. Phillips. 5 applicability in regards to computational fluid 4 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 4 PAGE 149 CANARY as in relation to CPD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 6 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modelling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is needed, then Quest themselves recommends ultilizing the computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 AL I mod aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I'ModaN: Any objection to 52? 16 MR. JORGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINS	4	even the CANARY model, is not appropriate?	4	Q. All right. I thank you, sir. I don't have
7 computational fluid dynamics is required when you need a solution to complex problems where other simpler modeling software packages aren't appropriate? 9 A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. 11 required. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR, JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 14 ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me please and if we could pass that around. 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR, JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (BY MR, JORDE) Till wait, Mr. Lange, until you have a copy in front of you there. 19 have a copy in front of you there. 20 what's being passed around, sir, and what you to lasagreed with were statements that Quest you can see is Quest Consultants has made related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all griss externelly important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. 20 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. 21 Language is externelly important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor and dispersion modelling. 22 Q. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 23 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 34 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 45 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 46 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 47 MR, JORDE: Thank you. 48 Ilkine to go into them or is it a break you than wary of it was displayed soil and the captions. All HogAN: Any out the more than all the advisoring but not be all the count and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. 49 ALJ HOGAN: Mr Pelham. 40 ALJ HOGAN: Mr Pelham. 40 ALJ HOGAN: Mr Pelham. 41 And then come that existing the fore 1 got to you, Mr. 41 And then come that deations and the appropriate solution for the dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 41 ALJ HOGAN: Mr Pelham. 42 ALJ H	5	A. Can you rephrase that?	5	anything further.
8 solution to complex problems where other simpler 9 modeling software packages aren't appropriate? 9 ALJ HOGAN: Well, let me ask you this. How many 10 A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not 11 required. 11 Q. Okay. 12 Q. Okay. 12 Q. Okay. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 13 MR. PHILLIPS: Not quite as long as that 14 questioning but not just a few minutes either. 15 please and if we could pass that around. 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Phys. be passing it out right now. 16 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 17 brave in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 2 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 22 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 4 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 24 ALT Hink that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CAMARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling is extremely important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are situational fluid dynamics package they offer? 13 conputational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the dispersion model ling. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 16 In the part of the proper in the firm of the part of the p	6	Q. Yes. So do you agree or disagree that	6	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions?
## A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. ## A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. ## Q. Okay. ## CR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 ## CR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 ## CR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 ## Tready or pinted off? If so, I'd like you to tell me required from the solution and the well start with you, Mr. The please and if we could pass that around. ## A. J. HOGAN: Yeb, he's passing it out right now. ## A. J. HOGAN: Yeb, he's passing it out right now. ## A. J. HOGAN: Yeb, he's passing it out right now. ## A. J. HOGAN: Yeb, he's passing it out right now. ## A. J. HOGAN: Yeb, he's passing it out right now. ## A. J. HOGAN: All Hogan and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. ## A. J. HOGAN: All Hogan and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. ## A. J. HOGAN: Any objection to 522 ## A. J. HOGAN: Any	7	computational fluid dynamics is required when you need a	7	MR. PHILLIPS: I do have questions. Would you
A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not required. Q. Okay. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 ALJ HOGAN: Maybe we should take our lunch break and then come back and then we'll start with you, Mr. Phillips. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Tank you. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Tank you. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Tank you. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we minute minute method. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit No. Sq. which MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we not hat a dark then we'll stark with you, Mr. Pellan. MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we not hat a dark then we'll stark with you, Mr. Pellan. MR. JORDE: Mr. Mr. Leibel, did we not hat a time that's easy to method in the we'll stark with you	8	solution to complex problems where other simpler	8	like me to go into them or is it a break point?
11 required. 12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 14 ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (8 MR. JORDE): I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you 18 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 ALJ HOGAN: All right. Mr. Lange. 27 La that you gave a second ago? 28 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 30 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 40 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 41 and then come back and then we'll stark with you, Mr. 41 by don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 41 by don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 41 by don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 42 bhillips. 42 holdan: All you't we do that. So let's take our lunch 43 and then come back and then we'll stark with you, Mr. 44 bhillips. 55 why don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 45 break. I always like to end at a time that's easy to 46 recomend. 48 all HOGAN: Maybe we should take our lunch break 49 and then come back and then we'll stark with you, Mr. 49 have a corpy in front of you there. 40 ALJ HOGAN: Maybe we should take our lunch break 40 and then come back and then we'll stark with you, Mr. 41 bhillips. 41 bhillips. 41 bhillips. 42 ALJ HOGAN: All right. My clock said 1:30 so we are going to get started again and continue with 42 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 42 ALJ HOGAN: All right. My clock said 1:30 so we are going to get started again and continue with 42 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 43 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 44 Phillips. 55 ALJ HOGAN: Thank then it think also 56 applicability in r	9	modeling software packages aren't appropriate?	9	ALJ HOGAN: Well, let me ask you this. How many
12 Q. Okay. 13 MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 14 ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me 15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 AJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you 19 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 25 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 4 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 2 dispersion modeling. 3 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 siltuations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: All right. Maybe we should take our lunch break and then ecome back and then well start with you, Mr. 16 Why don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 16 Why don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 16 Why don't we do that. So let's take our lunch 17 Preak. I always like to end at a time that's easy to 18 merember. So why don't we reconvene at 1:30. 18 (Recess) All HOGAN: All right. My clock said 1:30 so we 19 are going to get started again and continue with 20 are going to get started again and continue with 21 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 22 All HOGAN: Phillips. 23 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 24 Phil	10	A. I would disagree and state that CFD is not	10	did you have significant questions?
MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52 ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me please and if we could pass that around. ALI HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you have a copy in front of you there. What's being passed around, sir, and what you have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you disagreed with were statements that Quest Consultants has made related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling is extremely important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modeling. Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the computational fluid dynamics package they offer? A. I am not aware of that. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. ALJ HOGAN: Any objection. A. I AL HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. A. I AL HOG		•	11	MR. PHILLIPS: Not quite as long as that
ready or printed off? If so, I'd like you to tell me please and if we could pass that around. ALJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you have a copy in front of you there. Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you have a copy in front of you there. You can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you disagreed with were statements that Quest Consultants has made related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all PAGE 149 That you gave a second ago? A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this statement, I somewhat fall to see where it calls out ACANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling is extremely important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modeling. Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the computational fluid dynamics package they offer? A. I am not aware of that. MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. AL HOGAN: Any objection to 52? MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. AL HOGAN: Any objection to 52? AL HOGAN: Any objection to 52? AL HOGAN: MR. PEHAM: No objection. MR. JORDE: Thank you. It with the could have we'd that and then we'll stake our lunch that salways like to end at a time that's easy to remember. So why don't we reconvene at 1:30. Why don't we do that. So let's take our lunch there's easy to remember. So why don't we reconvene at 1:30. AL HOGAN: All right. My clock said 1:30 so we are going to get started again and continue with cross-examination of Mr. Lange. MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. PA		Q. Okay.	12	questioning but not just a few minutes either.
15 please and if we could pass that around. 16 AL HOGARI: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you 18 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hand is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 eneded, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 12 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 13 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 A. I am not aware of that. 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 AL HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 28 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 29 C. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned 20 AL HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 30 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 31 L HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 41 MR. JORDE: Thank you.	13	MR. JORDE: Mr. Leibel, did we have Exhibit 52	13	ALJ HOGAN: Maybe we should take our lunch break
16 ALJ HOGAN: Yep, he's passing it out right now. 17 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you 19 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 27 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 28 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 39 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 30 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 40 is extremely important context in regards to determining 51 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 52 dispersion modeling. 53 dispersion modeling. 64 is extremely important context in regards to determining 65 is extremely important context in regards to determining 66 is extremely important context in regards to determining 67 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 68 dispersion modeling. 70 C. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 71 consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 72 is it is active the mode of the consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 83 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 84 A. I am not aware of that. 85 mR. PGLHAM: No objection. 86 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 97 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 98 MR. PGLHAM: No objection. 98 MR. PGLHAM: No objection. 99 MR. PGLHAM: No objection. 90 ALJ HOGAN: Si is received. 90 Late of the distance of the properties of the presearch of the properties of the properties of the properties of	14			and then come back and then we'll start with you, Mr.
17 MR. JORDE: Okay. Very good. 18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you 19 have a copy in front of you there. 10 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 page a second ago? 2		please and if we could pass that around.		Phillips.
18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) I'll wait, Mr. Lange, until you have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you have a copy in front of you there. 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you disagreed with were statements that Quest Consultants has made related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this statement, I somewhaf fail to see where it calls out CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling is extremely important context in regards to determining the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor dispersion modelling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest consultant package is CANARY, and then I there are situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 10 A. I am not aware of that. 11 A. I am not aware of that. 12 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 13 MR. PILLLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. PHILLIPS: 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, you also were personally involved in creation of the dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 18 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 19 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 10 ALJ HOGAN: Si is received. 20 ALJ HOGAN: Ehrlam: No objection. 21 ALJ HOGAN: Si is received. 22 ALJ HOGAN: Can when I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 23 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. Pelhand; you or on a poalead. 24 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 26 A. That is correct that flow on that the same goind do any best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that custally presented at				Why don't we do that. So let's take our lunch
19 have a copy in front of you there. 20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 PAGE 149 27 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 28 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 29 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 30 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 40 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 51 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 61 is extremely important context in regards to determining 72 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 83 dispersion modeling. 94 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELILAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. DJORDE: Thank you. 21 ALJ HOGAN: Canada arountinue with cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 22 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 23 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 24 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 26 PRILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 27 PAGE 15 28 ALJ HOGAN: All this that when it does are aging to get started again and continue with cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 24 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 25 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 26 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 27 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 28 PRILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 29 CROSS EXAMINATION 20 AG God afternoon, Mr. Lange. 20 A. So god afterno		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•
20 What's being passed around, sir, and what you 21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit. No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultants package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection. 17 MR. PUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 18 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				•
21 have in your hands is Landowner Exhibit No. 52, which 22 you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements 23 you disagreed with were statements that Quest 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection. 17 MR. PUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELLAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 Mr. PORDE: Thank you. 21 are going to get started again and continue with 22 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 23 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 24 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 26 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 28 PAGE 15 29 ALJ HOGANE to change your opinions at all 29 A. I think that when it comes to, I guest, this 3				
you can see is Quest Consultants. And the statements you disagreed with were statements that Quest Consultants has made related to computational fluid dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all pAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. DORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection. 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 22 cross-examination of Mr. Lange. 24 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: 26 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 26 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 26 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 26 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 26 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 26 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 27 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 28 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 29 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 20 AND HALLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. PAGE 15 20 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to ask you on to to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's 6 any overlap,				
23 And we stopped right before I got to you, Mr. 24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all 26 PAGE 149 27 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 28 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 28 PAGE 15 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 21 A. And us the discorped right before I got to you, Mr. 24 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 26 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 27 PAGE 15 28 MR. PHILLIPS: 29 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, meeting wit		·		
24 Consultants has made related to computational fluid 25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modelling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 24 Phillips, so you can go ahead. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: 26 MR. PHILLIPS: 27 CROSS EXAMINATION 28 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 4 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 4 same additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 4 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not occurrence in the mr. Jorde did.				•
25 dynamics. Would you like to change your opinions at all PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. DOBDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 18 List it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 25 MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. 26 CROSS EXAMINATION 28 CROSS EXAMINATION 29 CROSS EXAMINATION 30 CROSS EXAMINATION 31 CROSS EXAMINATION 31 CROSS EXAMINATION 4 CANARY as in relation to cells out 4 CANARY as in relation to cells out 5 open additional questions. I'm going to ask you 6 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not 7 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 8 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 8 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 8 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 9 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 9 to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's 9 any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me 9 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 9 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 9 to cover the same ground that Mr. Lange. I'm going to do my best not 9 to cover th				
PAGE 149 1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me or on that. 4 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional coparity is one additional questions. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional oping to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional questions. I'm going to do my bes		·		
1 that you gave a second ago? 2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. DOBDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection. 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 11 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you 4 some additional questions. I'm going to ask you 4 some additional questions. I'm going to ask you 4 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not 5 to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's 6 any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me 7 on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned	25		25	• •
2 A. I think that when it comes to, I guess, this 3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 2 BY MR. PHILLIPS: 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you 4 some additional questions. I'm going to ask you 5 some additional questions. I'm going to ask you 6 some additional questions. I'm going to ask you 7 some additional questions. I'm going to ask you 8 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not 8 to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's 8 any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me 9 on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 9 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 Left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned	<u> </u>		4	
3 statement, I somewhat fail to see where it calls out 4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Lange. I'm going to ask you some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 4 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, you also were personally involved in creation of the dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? A. That is correct. 14 You also were personally involved in creation of the dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? A. That is correct. Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your left. I fell could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical guidance? 20 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				
4 CANARY as in relation to CFD. And then I think also 5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 4 some additional questions. I'm going to do my best not to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me 7 on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				
5 applicability in regards to exactly what you're modeling 6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection. 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 5 to cover the same ground that Mr. Jorde did. If there's any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me 7 on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned		•		
6 is extremely important context in regards to determining 7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 26 any overlap, it's not intentional so just bear with me 7 on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned			_	
7 the solution and the appropriate solution for vapor 8 dispersion modeling. 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 7 on that. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned			_	_
8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 8 Based on your testimony earlier and your written 9 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 Left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned	_			
9 Q. Have you come to understand that the basic Quest 10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 29 testimony, is my understanding correct that you were the 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				
10 Consultant package is CANARY, and then if there are 11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 10 one that actually presented at the November 27th, 2023, 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned		· •	_	
11 situations deemed to be where more detailed analysis is 12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 10 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 11 meeting with Burleigh County emergency people? 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				
12 needed, then Quest themselves recommends utilizing the 13 computational fluid dynamics package they offer? 14 A. I am not aware of that. 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 10 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 11 A. That is correct. 12 A. That is correct. 13 Q. And as the director of engineering for Summit, 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				
14		·	12	
14 You also were personally involved in creation of the 15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 14 you also were personally involved in creation of the dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 15 dispersion model that was discussed at that meeting? 16 A. That is correct. 17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned		, ,		
15 MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52. 16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 21 Q. Correct. And I believe the one to your 22 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				
16 ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 52? 17 MR. DUBLINSKE: No objection. 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned		MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit LO-52.		, , ,
17 Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your 18 ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham. 18 Ieft. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119. 19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned				· .
19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 19 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned	17		17	Q. There's a binder, I believe the one to your
19 MR. PELHAM: No objection. 20 ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received. 21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 21 A. Is it the API tactical guidance, CO2 tactical 20 guidance? 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned	18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	18	left. If I could just have you turn to Exhibit BC119.
21 MR. JORDE: Thank you. 21 Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned	19	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	19	
	20	ALJ HOGAN: 52 is received.	20	guidance?
22 O (PV MD_IORDE). Just give me one migute six	21	MR. JORDE: Thank you.	21	Q. Correct. And I believe you'd even mentioned
22 GF MR. JORDE) Just give the one minute, sir.	22	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Just give me one minute, sir.	22	this document in your testimony earlier. I assume
23 I think I'm wrapping up here. 23 you're familiar with this?	23	I think I'm wrapping up here.	23	you're familiar with this?
24 Do you have any insight that you can share about 24 A. That is correct.	24	Do you have any insight that you can share about	24	A. That is correct.
25 when PHMSA will complete the rule-making procedure that 25 Q. Is this a document that Summit provided to the	25	when PHMSA will complete the rule-making procedure that	25	Q. Is this a document that Summit provided to the
PAGE 150 PAGE 15		PAGE 150		PAGE 152

		1	
1	various first responders and emergency response	1	that not every provision in here is necessarily complied
2	directors at the various counties?	2	with?
3	A. It appears so.	3	A. I don't remember that.
4	Q. And this one actually if you turn back to	4	Q. This document was talked about at your
5	BC118, this is appears to be a cover email where this	5	presentation, though?
6	document is listed among the ones that were sent to Mary	6	A. Typically, they are talked about. A lot of
7	Senger at Burleigh County. Do you see that? I believe	7	individuals will ask "What does a CO2 release look
8	it's the first document listed.	8	like?" And this does provide good graphics in regards
9	A. Yes, that's correct.	9	to what you would visually see in a CO2 release.
10	Q. All right. Turning back to BC119, this looks on	10	Q. Let's turn on this exhibit to page 10. And I'm
11	its cover here to be a guide developed by the American	11	looking at section 4 here on page 10, and it's called
12	Petroleum Institute and Liquid Energy Pipeline	12	"Dispersion Modeling Best Practices." It says there at
13	Association with input from the National Association of	13	the beginning "The potential for CO2 pipeline systems to
14	State Fire Marshals. Is that accurate?	14	affect high consequence areas must be evaluated." Is
15	A. That is my understanding, yes.	15	that something that Summit did an evaluation of?
16	Q. And it was published in August of 2023 so	16	A. So that's referencing and it's called out on
17	relatively recent; correct?	17	the bottom 195.452 does require all operators to
18	A. That is correct.	18	identify risks to high consequence areas. And so that's
19	Q. And is this document specific to CO2 pipelines?	19	the federal regulation minimum. Summit has completed
20	A. Yes.	20	dispersion modeling for all pipeline segments
21	Q. Is it your understanding that this is an	21	independent of whether they can affect a HCA or not.
22	authoritative document or that Summit considers this an	22	Q. That goes on to say that "Modeling is conducted
23	authoritative document on preparedness for response	23	to estimate the potential worst-case consequences in the
24	or preparedness for a response to a pipeline release of	24	event of pipeline rupture in or near an HCA." Is that
25	carbon dioxide?	25	something that Summit has done, modeling based on the
	PAGE 153		PAGE 155
		1	
1	A. In regards to it being federal regulations, no,	1	worst-case consequences event?
1 2	A. In regards to it being federal regulations, no, but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a	1 2	worst-case consequences event? A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes.
			·
2	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a	2	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes.
2	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or	2	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes.Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was
2 3 4	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information.	2 3 4	 A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony?
2 3 4 5	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the	2 3 4 5	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls
2 3 4 5 6	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines,"	2 3 4 5 6	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information.
2 3 4 5 6 7	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by by that? It talks about "The consequence analysis
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within this guideline are complied with? A. We did not. And as I was as I just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by by that? It talks about "The consequence analysis calculations should be performed for full bore pipeline rupture under worst-case operating and atmospheric
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within this guideline are complied with?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered byby that? It talks about "The consequence analysis calculations should be performed for full bore pipeline rupture under worst-case operating and atmospheric conditions."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within this guideline are complied with? A. We did not. And as I was as I just mentioned, I just without rereading it right now, I can't say definitively that every single item in this we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by by that? It talks about "The consequence analysis calculations should be performed for full bore pipeline rupture under worst-case operating and atmospheric conditions." MR. DUBLINSKE: Again, I'm going to object that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within this guideline are complied with? A. We did not. And as I was as I just mentioned, I just without rereading it right now, I can't say definitively that every single item in this we are complying with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by by that? It talks about "The consequence analysis calculations should be performed for full bore pipeline rupture under worst-case operating and atmospheric conditions." MR. DUBLINSKE: Again, I'm going to object that this is getting into content of what was modeled, what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within this guideline are complied with? A. We did not. And as I was as I just mentioned, I just without rereading it right now, I can't say definitively that every single item in this we are complying with. Q. Did you have a conversation with any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by by that? It talks about "The consequence analysis calculations should be performed for full bore pipeline rupture under worst-case operating and atmospheric conditions." MR. DUBLINSKE: Again, I'm going to object that this is getting into content of what was modeled, what wasn't modeled, what the inputs and outputs were, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	but it does provide good guidelines in regards to a variety of CO2 emergency response planning or preparedness for information. Q. And kind of looking on every other page, on the right side of it, it says "Best Practice Guidelines," kind of what it refers to as itself. Would you describe it as best practice guidelines? A. I would. Q. Does Summit attempt to comply with the requirements contained in this guideline? A. I can't say definitively that we comply with every single guideline, but there are a number of them that we have used and considered when developing our procedures or dispersion model. Q. As part of your presentation and communications with the counties, did you explain to any of those county representatives that not all of the things within this guideline are complied with? A. We did not. And as I was as I just mentioned, I just without rereading it right now, I can't say definitively that every single item in this we are complying with.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. We have modeled worst-case consequences, yes. Q. Is that part of a dispersion model that was discussed in your earlier testimony? MR. DUBLINSKE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for the content of confidential information. MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, I would argue that it's a general topic similar to what was testified to earlier and simply whether or not it's covered, not the values or any specifics from the model. ALJ HOGAN: I'll let him answer generally, but we won't get into the specifics. A. In the presentations, one of the dispersion models we showed was a worst-case release type that we talked about previously, the guillotine full bore rupture. Q. That third sentence, would that be covered by by that? It talks about "The consequence analysis calculations should be performed for full bore pipeline rupture under worst-case operating and atmospheric conditions." MR. DUBLINSKE: Again, I'm going to object that this is getting into content of what was modeled, what

	IND PUBLIC SERV	اب	
1	order.	1	any feedback from Burleigh County on their own internal
2	MR. PHILLIPS: May I respond?	2	analysis of the documents provided.
3	ALJ HOGAN: Sure.	3	Q. The various documents that were provided that
4	MR. PHILLIPS: Your Honor, this document is	4	are listed on BC118, as to all of those, did you have
5	already in evidence and it was provided to Burleigh	5	any conversation with anybody at Burleigh County
6	County as best practices. And I'm not asking about any	6	discussing whether Summit would comply with every
7	specifics, just simply did you, in fact, carry out those	7	provision in each of those documents?
8	best practices. And I don't have any follow-up	8	A. We did not have that conversation.
9	questions on the details of what the model shows as to	9	Q. That information is not available to members of
10	these topics, but I think Burleigh County should be able	10	the public either; correct?
11	to confirm that the information that was given to it is,	11	A. Can you clarify that one?
12	in fact, accurate.	12	Q. The various documents that were provided to
13	MR. DUBLINSKE: And to that degree I would	13	Burleigh County that are listed on BC118, as you sit
14	object as asked and answered as Mr. Lange testified this	14	here today, you don't know if Summit has complied or
15	paragraph goes to the content of the federal regulation	15	intends to comply with all of the provisions of all of
16	and Summit will abide by the federal regulation.	16	those documents. Is that a fair statement?
17	ALJ HOGAN: Is your question specific to the	17	A. I think that there's a there's a number of
18	meetings or in general?	18	documents in here where there is really no compliance
19	MR. PHILLIPS: I think my question wasn't	19	you can have. And so some of these are more of an
20	specific to the meetings. I think, in general, has	20	educational purpose in regards to like your
21	Summit, in fact, done this analysis.	21	shelter-in-place place, right. That document is purely
22	ALJ HOGAN: And I think he did testify to that	22	a study on is shelter-in-place an adequate means for
23	already, that they've complied with those. So I do	23	protective measures. It's not so much that there are
24	believe it's been answered.	24	requirements within that shelter-in-place document that
25	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor.	25	Summit can abide by.
	PAGE 157		PAGE 159
1	Q. (BY MR. PHILLIPS) Moving on, there is a list	1	Q. The next paragraph in this section talks about
2	in that paragraph of key inputs. It says "Listed below	2	the influence of surrounding topography. Do you see
3	are key inputs to most of the models and can impact the	3	that?
4	calculated potential impact area." The first item there	4	A. I do.
5	is pipeline parameters, and it lists various parameters	5	Q. Do you agree that north and east of Bismarck
6	that you can read there. Did Summit use these inputs in	6	there's terrain with a significant topographic relief?
7	its model?	7	MR. DUBLINSKE: I'm sorry, could I ask Mr.
8	MR. DUBLINSKE: Same objection, Your Honor.	8	Phillips to pull the microphone slightly closer? I
9	ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I think we're getting into the	9	didn't hear the end of that question.
10	specifics so I'm going to sustain that objection.	10	Q. Do you agree that north and east of Bismarck
11	Q. (BY MR. PHILLIPS) Summit provided this	11	there's terrain with significant topographic relief? In
12	-/	42	
	document to Burleigh County; correct?	12	other words, you know, variation in elevation and slope.
13	·	13	other words, you know, variation in elevation and slope. A. I think it depends how you define "significant."
	document to Burleigh County; correct?		
13	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct.	13	A. I think it depends how you define "significant."
13 14	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to	13 14	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps
13 14 15	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether	13 14 15	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the
13 14 15 16	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed?	13 14 15 16	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of
13 14 15 16 17	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that?	13 14 15 16 17	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional	13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional documentation to Burleigh County for Burleigh County to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the terrain slopes toward the city; isn't that right?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional documentation to Burleigh County for Burleigh County to be able to analyze whether you followed the best	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the terrain slopes toward the city; isn't that right? A. I don't know that I know the answer firsthand,
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional documentation to Burleigh County for Burleigh County to be able to analyze whether you followed the best practices that are listed in the document you provided	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the terrain slopes toward the city; isn't that right? A. I don't know that I know the answer firsthand, but that's my general understanding, yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional documentation to Burleigh County for Burleigh County to be able to analyze whether you followed the best practices that are listed in the document you provided them?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the terrain slopes toward the city; isn't that right? A. I don't know that I know the answer firsthand, but that's my general understanding, yes. Q. Toward the Missouri River Valley?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional documentation to Burleigh County for Burleigh County to be able to analyze whether you followed the best practices that are listed in the document you provided them? A. Summit has provided, and I think it was called	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the terrain slopes toward the city; isn't that right? A. I don't know that I know the answer firsthand, but that's my general understanding, yes. Q. Toward the Missouri River Valley? A. I think that's generally correct, yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	document to Burleigh County; correct? A. That is correct. Q. And Summit has never provided any document to Burleigh County for them to be able to analyze whether these best practices have been followed? A. Can you rephrase that? Q. Yes. Summit has not provided any additional documentation to Burleigh County for Burleigh County to be able to analyze whether you followed the best practices that are listed in the document you provided them? A. Summit has provided, and I think it was called out in a prior reference, BC118, a few documents to	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. I think it depends how you define "significant." When I look at topography in the digital elevation maps around this area, I would consider the west side of the Missouri River to have significantly more severe kind of elevation changes. Q. If you start north of Bismarck, generally the terrain slopes toward the city; isn't that right? A. I don't know that I know the answer firsthand, but that's my general understanding, yes. Q. Toward the Missouri River Valley? A. I think that's generally correct, yes. Q. And there's that paragraph that starts with

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	And it says a sentence into there, it says "Similar	1	Q. Did Summit conduct an analysis of whether it
2	to wind, it is particularly problematic when the	2	would be necessary in Burleigh County?
3	direction of the terrain relief is in the direction of a	3	A. As I testified to earlier, Summit, and I guess
4	populated area or HCA. The use of atmospheric	4	myself personally, we're very comfortable with the
5	dispersion modeling, coupled with overland spread	5	dispersion modeling we've conducted. And when it comes
6	analysis using computational fluid dynamics modeling,	6	to topography and the use of the digital elevation maps
7	may be necessary in these areas," and it continues on to	7	through FLO-2D in combination with CANARY gave us the
8	recommend computational fluid dynamics modeling.	8	information that we needed to understand how topography
9	Do you see that part?	9	can play a role.
10	A. I do.	10	Q. And as you've testified earlier, the dispersion
11	Q. And just that last sentence of that paragraph	11	model is not available to Burleigh County or the public
12	says "In these areas, to truly understand whether a	12	at large to confirm any of that; correct?
13	nearby HCA could be affected by a CO2 release, CFD	13	A. That is my understanding, yes.
14	modeling can be added in order to determine the	14	MR. PHILLIPS: Give me one moment, Your Honor.
15	additional dispersion distance and ultimately determine	15	ALJ HOGAN: Sure.
16	whether the dispersion plume might impact a nearby HCA	16	(Pause)
17	at any given point on the pipeline."	17	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Your Honor. I have
18	Did I understand your testimony earlier that	18	some additional questions regarding the inputs, but in
19	there was not computational fluid dynamics modeling done	19	light of your ruling on the objection, I'm not going to
20	with the software that was used by Summit or its	20	go ahead and ask those, but I'll just reiterate that we
21	contractor?	21	would intend to admit those and are not pursuant to your
22		22	
	A. In my engineering opinion, you somewhat get into	23	order.
23 24	the nuances of what CFD is and is not. We did use	24	And, with that, I have no further questions.
25	FLO-2D, which is addressed in my written testimony.	25	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.
25	That does include the use of digital elevation maps with PAGE 161	25	Commissioner Christmann. PAGE 163
		1	
1	inputs from the our CANARY output model to help	1	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: When you were you
2	understand how a heavy vapor would flow with terrain.	2	at all the meetings that are laid out in your testimony
3	Q. Did I understand your testimony earlier that	3	with the list of attendees and invitees who did not
4	there is additional software packages available for	4	attend?
5	computational fluid dynamics that was not used by Summit	5	THE WITNESS: Yes. I was a presenter at every
6	or its contractor?	6	single one.
7	A. I think that's fair. I think even with vapor	7	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. How would you
8	dispersion there are other options available outside of	8	
9		١ .	assess the responses of and kinds of things I'm
	CANARY.	9	looking for are how often were people requesting
10	Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about	10	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or
11	Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex	10 11	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able
11 12	Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair?	10 11 12	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give?
11 12 13	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? 	10 11 12 13	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of
11 12 13 14	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. 	10 11 12 13 14	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And
11 12 13 14 15	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you 	10 11 12 13 14 15	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one
11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you would not be using computational fluid dynamics as part 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you would not be using computational fluid dynamics as part of a dispersion model? 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you would not be using computational fluid dynamics as part of a dispersion model? A. I don't recall that level of detail from the 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you would not be using computational fluid dynamics as part of a dispersion model? A. I don't recall that level of detail from the meeting. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you would not be using computational fluid dynamics as part of a dispersion model? A. I don't recall that level of detail from the meeting. Q. It's fair to say that the best practices in this 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties reached out, said, "We would like to set up another
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties reached out, said, "We would like to set up another one." And so we did follow-up on May 16th.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine. Did you tell anybody at Burleigh County that you would not be using computational fluid dynamics as part of a dispersion model? A. I don't recall that level of detail from the meeting. Q. It's fair to say that the best practices in this document that you provided to Burleigh County suggested that it be used in situations where the topography 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties reached out, said, "We would like to set up another one." And so we did follow-up on May 16th. And in regards to equipment, generally not a lot
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties reached out, said, "We would like to set up another one." And so we did follow-up on May 16th. And in regards to equipment, generally not a lot of conversation there. In Cass County, the emergency
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties reached out, said, "We would like to set up another one." And so we did follow-up on May 16th. And in regards to equipment, generally not a lot of conversation there. In Cass County, the emergency manager did ask, when it comes to things like CO2
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. I believe you'd been asked questions about whether that would be required for more complex scenarios. Is that fair? A. Can you repeat that question? Q. No. I'll strike the question. That's fine.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	looking for are how often were people requesting specific equipment or requesting more meetings or requesting more detailed information than you were able to give? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think that we'll kind of break that down. One is for additional meetings. And so if you look at that list, there was at least one incident or not incident, but we did Oliver and Mercer County twice. And so the first time we were at Oliver and Mercer it was during the workday and so didn't have the best attendance, and so those counties reached out, said, "We would like to set up another one." And so we did follow-up on May 16th. And in regards to equipment, generally not a lot of conversation there. In Cass County, the emergency

1	for their first responders? But in regards to	1	THE WITNESS: Unfortunately, I was not involved
2	additional equipment needs, I don't recall any other	2	in the invitation list and so I don't know the answer to
3	requests.	3	that. I, more or less, just showed up to present the
4	And then generally we'll sometimes get questions	4	information and so I can't speak to the
5	in regards to specific atmospheric conditions, winter,	5	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Who would have created
6	summer, or different wind speeds, things along those	6	the invitation list for Emmons County?
7	things. And so that I don't know. That's kind of a	7	THE WITNESS: Most of it rolled through county
8	general tone. But I think ultimately what I've seen	8	emergency managers and so they would propose kind of the
9	from all these presentations is that there are, as you	9	majority of the list, and then if there are individuals,
10	guys are aware, a significant number of pipelines in the	10	county commissioners that voiced to us that they would
11	state of North Dakota and so I think that a lot of these	11	be interested in attending, that was something that we
12	emergency managers are familiar with the safety	12	we would have extended an invitation to them as well.
13	practices, the emergency response training process that	13	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other
14	operators abide by in the state, and then there's those	14	questions. Thank you.
15	federal regulations. And so just familiarity with	15	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.
16	pipelines in general.	16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yeah, I do have
17	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: But outside of a few	17	questions. I don't even know where to begin based on
18	counties, this being a different commodity than most of	18	notes and what we've just received as far as testimony
19	them are used to dealing with, was there any discussion	19	so bear with me.
20	like that this is going to be an annual thing or a	20	I'm going to first go to your resume.
21	monthly thing or a one-and-done?	21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So when we start the	22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And under the
23	training, we really kind of give the background and that	23	Summit Carbon Solutions, employment began September 2021
24	this is ultimately kind of the foundation of what will	24	to present. You indicated that this is your first CO2
25	be coming. And so for a lot of these first responders,	25	pipeline design?
	PAGE 165		PAGE 167
1	when you talk about emergency response planning and	1	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
	, , , , , ,		
2	preparedness, you need to make sure that you understand	2	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. You're
2	preparedness, you need to make sure that you understand dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion	2	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. You're leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many
	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion		leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many
3	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that	3	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines?
3 4	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion	3 4	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many
3 4 5	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders.	3 4 5	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none.
3 4 5 6	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are	3 4 5 6	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager
3 4 5 6 7 8	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of	3 4 5 6 7	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side,
3 4 5 6 7	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And	3 4 5 6 7 8	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number."
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And last, I don't have	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the project, Gulf Interstate, some of their project
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And last, I don't have it remember what page it's on, but maybe I missed one	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the project, Gulf Interstate, some of their project managers, David Ammerman was on the Dakota Gasification
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And last, I don't have it remember what page it's on, but maybe I missed one or am off something here, but as I scrolled through them	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the project, Gulf Interstate, some of their project managers, David Ammerman was on the Dakota Gasification project. And so if you start including contractors that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And last, I don't have it remember what page it's on, but maybe I missed one or am off something here, but as I scrolled through them I think I noticed that in all the cases one or more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the project, Gulf Interstate, some of their project managers, David Ammerman was on the Dakota Gasification project. And so if you start including contractors that support, whether it's the engineering side or the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And last, I don't have it remember what page it's on, but maybe I missed one or am off something here, but as I scrolled through them I think I noticed that in all the cases one or more county commissioners were invited except Emmons County.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the project, Gulf Interstate, some of their project managers, David Ammerman was on the Dakota Gasification project. And so if you start including contractors that support, whether it's the engineering side or the metallurgy side, you probably have close to a dozen.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	dispersion modeling and what constitutes dispersion modeling, what tools do we have available to us so that we can help educate first responders. At the end of the day, my background is engineering and so some of those topics that are important to first responders I may not be aware of until we start to hold some of those conversations. And so it is a collaborative effort in that regard and sharing information. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: The responses you got, whether it's questions, statements, was it mostly from the political side, the city commissioners, the county commissioners, etcetera, or mostly from the actual first responders? THE WITNESS: I would say it was generally from the actual first responders. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: And last, I don't have it remember what page it's on, but maybe I missed one or am off something here, but as I scrolled through them I think I noticed that in all the cases one or more county commissioners were invited except Emmons County. Were there no did something not get printed in here?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	leading a team of engineers. Under that team, how many of them have experience with CO2 pipelines? THE WITNESS: On the internal side, the engineers from my team personally, there would be none. We do have employees at Summit; our control room manager who has CO2 experience. And then on the external side, there would be a significant number of employees that would have CO2-related experience. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: What would you say that significant number is? THE WITNESS: The quantity of employees? COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That have experience in CO2 pipeline when you say "significant number." THE WITNESS: It would likely I mean, when you include all the contractors that are working on the project, Gulf Interstate, some of their project managers, David Ammerman was on the Dakota Gasification project. And so if you start including contractors that support, whether it's the engineering side or the metallurgy side, you probably have close to a dozen. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And what would you

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	that you've identified?	1	working with emergency managers, first responders?
2	THE WITNESS: Most of them would be in the	2	Where is your lead role?
3	probably 20-plus years range for each of those	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah. So
4	individuals.	4	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: In what areas?
5	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. You say	5	THE WITNESS: I think the overall development of
6	you're responsible for the development of the company	6	that emergency response plan we would take the lead role
7	risk management risk assessment and risk management	7	on. It does also take some, once again, coordination
8	process. Is that internal or internal and external?	8	with local responders in regards to what equipment do
9	THE WITNESS: We have external contractors that	9	they have, where are resources based out of. But we
10	help us develop an internal risk management process	10	would drive a lot of that when it comes to development
11	under the integrity management program.	11	of emergency response plans and making sure they feel
12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: And how many of	12	that they're adequately they have the adequate
13	them have CO2 pipeline experience?	13	resources to help us respond. And so there are federal
14	THE WITNESS: Some of those individuals will be	14	requirements as well in 195 in regards to how often we
15	will overlap between the engineering team and the	15	train first responders, things along those lines. And
16	risk management team, right. They play the same role.	16	so we will we'll comply with all that.
17	The main lead for risk management is a guy named Kent	17	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Give me a brief
18	Muhlbauer, and I do not know his CO2 experience, but he	18	summary of that requirement that you just referenced.
19	has extensive PHMSA background and experience. And if	19	THE WITNESS: Are you talking about the 195
20	you read some of the documents that are ultimately	20	requirements
21	issued through PHMSA, Kent is one of the authors on a	21	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Right.
22	lot of those when it comes to risk management. But I	22	THE WITNESS: for emergency managers?
23	don't know his specific CO2 experience.	23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yes.
24	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Fair enough. So	24	THE WITNESS: One of them is that you must have
25	when you said on page 4 of your testimony, and you've	25	a training annually, not to or every calendar year,
	PAGE 169		PAGE 171
1	alluded to this several times about laving the	4	and the succeed success of Connection and an existing the con-
	alluded to this several times about laying the	1	not to exceed every 15 months. And so within those
2	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you	2	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders
2	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you	2	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders
2	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk	2	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are
2 3 4	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that,	2 3 4	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have
2 3 4 5	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more	2 3 4 5	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual
2 3 4 5 6	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right?	2 3 4 5 6	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so
2 3 4 5 6 7	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come up, new topics come up. And so we want it to be a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and with whom?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come up, new topics come up. And so we want it to be a collaborative effort between us and those emergency	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and with whom? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come up, new topics come up. And so we want it to be a collaborative effort between us and those emergency managers and first responders. And so if there's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and with whom? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it's a slightly more complex question than a simple answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come up, new topics come up. And so we want it to be a collaborative effort between us and those emergency managers and first responders. And so if there's information after that first take that they don't feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and with whom? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it's a slightly more complex question than a simple answer. And so it's kind of broken out into different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come up, new topics come up. And so we want it to be a collaborative effort between us and those emergency managers and first responders. And so if there's information after that first take that they don't feel was appropriately addressed, that's something that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and with whom? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it's a slightly more complex question than a simple answer. And so it's kind of broken out into different categories. So when you look at relationships with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	foundation, would you describe that, you know, when you lay the foundation and I've worked some in risk management and emergency response and all that, information sharing. And as you go on, it gets more detailed and detailed; right? THE WITNESS: I agree with that. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So who leads that detail of information? Would it be you as Summit or do you expect emergency managers to lead that? What's your expectation in, you know, you're responsible for risk management and assessment? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think it's ultimately a collaborative effort. And so I think a starting point is that Summit does provide a lot of the information, right. But as time goes on, and similar to how the presentation has evolved over time, new questions come up, new topics come up. And so we want it to be a collaborative effort between us and those emergency managers and first responders. And so if there's information after that first take that they don't feel was appropriately addressed, that's something that we would work through with those individuals.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	timelines we'll have to reach out to first responders across the project, bring them up to speed on where are we at on the project, any potential things that may have arisen on our project, and then really just continual education when it comes to first responders. And so that's the that's one part of it. And then it's also, as I mentioned, development of emergency response plans, how do you respond to an incident, things along those lines. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: At these meetings, it's been referenced several times by Summit as far as the sign-in sheets are the actual documentation on who attended. And you referenced earlier you don't know who has those sign-in sheets. So who's ultimately responsible for maintaining safety documentation? I mean, where does the repository of information lie and with whom? THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it's a slightly more complex question than a simple answer. And so it's kind of broken out into different categories. So when you look at relationships with emergency managers and first responders, Dave Daum has a

1 up the different meetings and owning kind of those 2 sign-in sheets, that would ready fold to what It would 3 cell our Bismarck office and ready Wode's team. 4 And so it is kind of a team effort where 5 work together to answer whatever questions or topics may arise. 6 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has 9 them? 1 THE WITHESS: My understanding is that someone 11 has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, ves. 12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has 14 testified that these sign-in sheets are documentation 15 that — these side y meetings, where would they be? I 16 mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that — 17 THE WITHESS: Why understanding is that someone 18 them maybe had sign-in sheets are documentation 19 that for back and the process, I don't know that the were list 18 started the process, I don't know that the were list 18 started the process, I don't know that the mist couple 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets to trobe 20 of sign-in sheets to wisitize who showed up to these 21 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets to those 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to wisitize the total country to the word that word to those 24 when compling the list of individuals that showed up. 25 when compling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 What is mind dispersion modeling and safety. 27 The WITHESS: I wish of where we will the word that the word to we even define the dispersion modeling and safety. 28 So tell me with Summit is so confident in this 29 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 31 has done with dispersion modeling and safety. 4 THE WITHESS: Yesh. I think it — it really 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 5 The going to say it — that we don't care about it, that 6 Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 7 The word word in the dispersion modeling and safety. 5 The word in the confident little your place and the word in the dispersion modeling and safety. 6 You passonat		IND PUBLIC SERV		
and contribute and really Wadder Seam. And so it's kind of a team effort where individuals will have specialises and they'll - we'll of work together to answer whatever questions or topics may arise. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has them? The WITNESS; My understanding is that someone has many perfect these sign-in sheets are documentation that the these sign-in sheets. I can't recall the think is the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall the think is the first couple meetings when we'pe the sign is sheets. I can't recall the think is the first couple meetings when the sign is sheets. I can't recall the process, I don't know that we use those when a completing the sign is sheets. I can't recall the process, I don't know that we use those when complising the list of individuals that showed up. And so when it can't be adeline about it, that word 'confident' many times. So elsborate a little bit word 'confident' many times. So elsborate a little bit word 'confident' many times. So elsborate a little bit word 'confident' many times. So elsborate a little bit word 'confident' many	1	up the different meetings and owning kind of those	1	not better understand, that phrasing, but to understand
And so it's kind of a team effort where individuals will have specialities and they'll—we'll work together to answer whatever questions or topics may arise. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has them? THE WITNESS: My understanding is that someone has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, ves. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they certain the samples meetings, where would they be? I testified that these signin sheets are documentation that—these safety meetings, where would they be? I mean, my wouldn't you have bettem all to show that— THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we —when we first started the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall mean, my'n wouldn't you have we may be had sign-in sheets. I card recall mean, my'n wouldn't you have wo my the couple of the sample would have been all to show that— THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we —when we first started the process, I don't know that the efforts couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the mean my wo maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the mean my wo maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I card recall the meeting would be colder than negative 50 depress and one— the world would be colder than negative 50 depress and one— the world would have been the sheet of the secure of	2	sign-in sheets, that would really fall to what I would	2	what is kind of that at-worst case type of condition and
5	3	call our Bismarck office and really Wade's team.	3	what that may look like. And without going into details
6 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I have no further questions. 7 arise. 8 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has 8 MAJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? 9 them? 10 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that someone 11 has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. 12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they be? I 1 mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that 1 the steady in the process, I don't know that the first couple 1 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 1 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 2 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 2 invites exist in regards to hwo was invited to those 1 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 3 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 4 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 4 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we use those 2 mumber of meetings. And so I know that we show the work that Summit is so confident in this 1 is so fake. So faike. 1 COMMI	4	And so it's kind of a team effort where	4	on exact inputs and outputs that we have, it's a little
7 questions. 8 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has 9 them? 10 THE WITNESS: My understanding is that someone 11 has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. 11 has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. 12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they 13 be? I mean, if you're saying that — if it's been 14 testified that these sign-in-beets are documentation 15 that — these sanitable that these sign-in-beets are documentation 15 that — these sanitable that see sign-in-beets are documentation 15 that — these sanitable that see sign-in-beets are documentation 15 that — these sanitable that the first couple 16 that far back. But ultimately I do know that the first couple 17 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number of signin sheets to validate who showed up to these when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 17 PAGE 173 18 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 24 number of meetings. And so I know that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling. You've used the 4 word "conflident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 18 So false. So false. 19 The going to say it — that we don't care about it, that we don't care about the cities of the conflicit in this 5 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Vesh. I think it — it really started from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it recally goes down 20 the safety of premarkers, recall that the first seem 20 the control of the steel that we don't care about the cities of the steel that the same and the modeling 20 associated with that, Summit distributed with that Summit the work of trace about the cities of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 19 The were about the cities of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 10 The WITNESS: Vesh. I think it — it really seed to cover the three of the steel that the same	5	individuals will have specialties and they'll we'll	5	difficult to answer that question here.
## ALL HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? ## ALL HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? ## SUBSTITUTE DECISION/MAKER DAWSON: I preface this by saying I am not a solients, all one to much about material to them but most or many of them, yes. ## COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they all be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's been ## Decision where we safety meetings, where would they be? I mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that if it's been ## WITHESS: Yeah. When we when we first started the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall in invites exist in regards to who was invited to those in invites exist in regards to who was invited to those in invites exist in regards to who was invited to those in invites exist in regards to who was invited to those invited by the process, I don't who have a number of meetings, and so I know that we use those in invites exist in regards to who was invited to those invited by the process, I don't who have a number of meetings, and so I know that we use those in invites exist in regards to who was invited to those invited in the work that Summit has done with dispersion modeling. You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So false. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So false. ## We don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. ## THE WITINESS: Yeah. I think it — it really the we don't care about the decign many people. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So false. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So false. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So false. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So false. ## Word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit is so false. So fa	6	work together to answer whatever questions or topics may	6	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I have no further
## SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I preface this by syling I am not a scientist, I do not know much about metall that maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's been tested that these safery meetings, where would they be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's been that these safety meetings, where would they be? I mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that the sate of the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting in the start of his process, I don't know that we use those when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated the word comflent" many times. So experal times "I'm confident with the work that Summit as done with dispersion modeling," You've used the word word fromflent" many times. So state. 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN HOFFART: You have stated the word with dispersion modeling," You've used the word word fromflent" many times. So state. 2 So software in general, and then it really one down we seen and the modeling only in general to have a mamber of the confident with the work that Summit as pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 1 Time going to say it that we don't care about it, that we don't care about the citzens of North Dakota. That is so false. 2 So software in general, and then it really goes down to the confident with the work stated the make the process of the confidency with the selection of a model and just the modeling of software in general, and then it really goes down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we reference some of those down to work work we n	7	arise.	7	questions.
10 has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. 11 Less maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. 12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they 13 be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's been 14 testified that these sign-in sheets are documentation 15 that these safety meetings, where would they be? I 16 mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we when we first 18 started the process, I don't know that the first couple 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 20 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 21 invites exist in regards to who was invited to those 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 yeveral times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 27 a several times "The confident with the work that Summit 28 has done with dispersion modelling." You've used the 29 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 20 in yets of the completing in repards to how do even 21 meetings to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 21 is so failes. So failes. 22 Design the too many details, some of those would be 23 of sign in the too many details, some of those would be 24 or the third of them the beginning in repards to how do even 25 or the first of the design criteria that we 26 deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our 27 pipeline to really deform without losing its material 28 too know, safety is a big thing for many people. 29 The going to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 20 is software in general, and then it really you don't a britten that we did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 29 I'm going to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 20 is of sign in	8	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So someone has	8	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?
11 has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes. 12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they 12 ignorance, but you talk about a break in a line being a 13 worst-case scenario, and then we hear about, if 1 is that — these safety meetings, where would they be? I if mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that — 16 immediately moved that you have them all to show that — 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we — when we first 18 started the process, I don't know that the first couple 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets can't recall 19 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 10 in wites exist in regards to who was invited to those 12 meetings through Outdook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 13 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 10 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 24 everel times: "I'm confident with the work that Summit 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 11 PAGE 173 11 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 25 everel times: "I'm confident with the work that Summit 26 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 12 PAGE 173 12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 26 several times: "I'm confident with the work that Summit 27 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 28 more on that. 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling." You've used the 29 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 30 more on that. 14 what I'm hearing from people, especially saving that — 19 more on that. 15 THE WITNESS: I think with a work that Summit 27 work the we don't care about it, that 30 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 11 which the work that Summit 29 more on that. 16 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 19 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 30 more on that. 17 THE WITNESS: I word that summit 29 mo	9	them?	9	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I preface this
12 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's bear and tested that these sign in sheets are documentation that these safety meetings, where would they be? I mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that the world that these safety meetings, where would they be? I would be colder than negative 50 degrees and one every would it guillotine break in every circumstance between the started the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting a dispars to who was inwited to those meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of meetings, and so I know that we use those when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated a had been with dispersion modeling.* You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that I'm gring to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. Yeve passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that I'm gring to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. Yeve passionate and dispersion modeling and safety. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so really without 19 ging into too many details, some of those would be covered in the confidentality order, it really started from the selection of a model and just the modeling software in the confident with the world the selection of a model and just the modeling software in the confident with the great so thow do we even determine a model to use. And so really without 19 ging into too many details, some of those would be covered in the confidentality order, it really started from the s	10	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that someone	10	by saying I am not a scientist, I do not know much about
be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's been that these safety meetings, where would they be? I free mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we when we first started the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting invites exist in regards to who was invited to those meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these coveral times 'I'm confident with the work that Summit say done with dispersion modeling." You've used the word 'confident' many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, that word 'confident' many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Your passionate. I could get very passionate about it, that word 'confident' many times. So elaborate a little bit so more on that. So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. The WITNESS: I think what you're you're design a pipeline, we select a number of parameters, right, in regards to how are we going to design the pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design temperature, whether 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that that's pressure. And so when it comes to steel pipelines, we select a number of parameters, right	11	has maybe not all of them but most or many of them, yes.	11	metallurgy or pipelines, so when I ask this it's out of
testified that these sign-in sheets are documentation that — these safety meetings, where would they be? I finean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that — THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we — when we first started the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting thinkse seist in regards to who was invited to those the far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting thinkse seist in regards to who was invited to those the meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of significant which we will be the select a number of premiters. And so I know that we use those when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit shad one with dispersion modeling." You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that — I'm going to say it — that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. So false. So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it — it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API SL requirements for testing of your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API SL requirements for testing of your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API SL requirements for testing of your or was stated from the beginning in regards to how do we even did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API SL requirements for testing o	12	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Where would they	12	ignorance, but you talk about a break in a line being a
that — these safety meetings, where would they be? I mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that — THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we — when we first started the process, I don't know that the first couple meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting invites exist in regards to who was invited to those meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these number of meetings. And so I know that two use those sheen compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit shadone with dispersion modeling." You've used the word "confident" hany times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Yery passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that— I'm going to say it — that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. So false. So false. The WITNESS: Yeah. I think it — it really started the process, I don't know that Summit to determine a model to use. And so it crally — without gioing have to the equestion I had earlier about brittle pipelines. And so — try and word it. Really, when we design a pipeline, we select a number of parameters, plane, therefore a we going to design the pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design temperature, whether plane, whether that's a temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design the pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design the pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a minimum and maximum case, design the pipeline, and so a design the pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a minimu	13	be? I mean, if you're saying that if it's been	13	worst-case scenario, and then we hear about, if I
16 mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that 17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we when we first 18 started the process, I don't know that the first couple 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 20 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 21 invites exist in regards to who was invited to those 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 when compling the list of individuals that showed up. 27 PAGE 173 28 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 29 a saw on that. 20 The WITNESS: Wash. I think it it really 20 going back to the question I had earlier about brittle 21 pipelines. And so try and word it. Really, when we 22 design a pipeline, we select a number of parameters, 23 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 24 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number of parameters, 25 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 26 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number of parameters, 27 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 28 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number of parameters, 29 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 29 pipelines, and so try and word it. Really, when we 20 going back to the question I had earlier about brittle 21 pipelines. And so try and word it. Really, when we 22 design a pipeline, we select a number of parameters, 23 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 24 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a 25 minimum and maximum case, design temperature, whether 26	14	testified that these sign-in sheets are documentation	14	understand correctly, if it got broken in any fashion,
17 THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we when we first 18 started the process, I don't know that the first couple 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 20 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 21 invites exist in regards to who was invited to those 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about tit, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. It think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 28 ordinated the process of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 29 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 20 conservative values to kind of create some of these	15	that these safety meetings, where would they be? I	15	it would be colder than negative 50 degrees and one
18 started the process, I don't know that the first couple 19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 20 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 21 invites exist in regards to who was invited to those 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: I think with wat we we well of a mumber of sign-have regards to who wae well and the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those would be 22 cregards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these	16	mean, why wouldn't you have them all to show that	16	every would it guillotine break in every circumstance
19 meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall 20 that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting 21 invites exist in regards to who was invited to those 22 meetings through Outbook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 Everal times 'I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: I think what you're you're 26 gaing back to the question I had earlier about brittle 21 pipelines. And so try and word it. Really, when we 22 design a pipeline, we select a number of parameters, 23 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 24 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 25 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 26 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 27 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 28 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 29 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 20 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 21 minimum and maximum case, design temperature number both in a 22 meetings. And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 23 really want the steel to operate or that metal to be in 4 a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that 5 pipeline to really deform without losing its material 6 toughness. And if you go into a brittle state, allow and fermations	17	THE WITNESS: Yeah. When we when we first	17	because of that cold? Sooner or later at least
that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting in wites exist in regards to who was invited to those meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, a what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that we don't care about the clitzens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 10 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 5 started from the beginning in regards to how do even 15 towards when we reference some of those documents in 20 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these	18	started the process, I don't know that the first couple	18	fracture?
21 invites exist in regards to who was invited to those 22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number 23 of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 27 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 28 a several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 39 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really safed 19 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number to prameters, 21 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 22 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number to the make in the make line and invited to meet a number of parameters, 23 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 24 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number of parameters, 25 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 25 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 26 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number of parameters, 26 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number of parameters, 26 pipeline, whether have design and in a minimum and maximum case, design temperature, whether 28 pipeline, whether have d	19	meetings we maybe had sign-in sheets. I can't recall	19	THE WITNESS: I think what you're you're
22 meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these number of meetings. And so I know that we use those when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated several times 'I'm confident with the work that Summit a has done with dispersion modeling.'' You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that Bir I'm going to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 13 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be corvered in the confidentality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling and associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these	20	that far back. But ultimately I do know that meeting	20	going back to the question I had earlier about brittle
23 right, in regards to how are we going to design the 24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 16 giong into too many details, some of those would be 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 associated with that, Summit limately used extremely 21 towards when it comes to how are we going the pipeline, and many maximum case, design temperature, whether 24 pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a 21 minimum and maximum case, design temperature, whether 22 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 3 really want the steel to operate or that metal to be in 4 a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that 5 pipeline to really deform without losing its material 6 toughness. And if you go into a brittle state, 7 deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our 8 pipeline. 9 And so by selecting the design criteria that we 10 did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 11 ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of 12 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that t	21	invites exist in regards to who was invited to those	21	pipelines. And so try and word it. Really, when we
24 number of meetings. And so I know that we use those when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. 26 PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit a has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. 10 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without going into too many details, some of those would be covered in the confidentiality order, it really started towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to know for the segrads to know do the deciman as associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of those occuping as associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 24 Dipleline, whether that's a temperature, whether PAGE 173 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you and icate that be in a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that the steel to operate or that metal to be in a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that stoel to operate or that metal to be in a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that so aductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a because in the steel to operate or that metal to be in a ductile state. And so a because in the steel to operate or tha	22	meetings through Outlook. And then we do have a number	22	design a pipeline, we select a number of parameters,
25 when compiling the list of individuals that showed up. PAGE 173 1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really goes down 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 25 minimimm and maximum case, design temperature, whether PAGE 175 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you 1 that's pressure. 2 And so by selecting the design criteria that we 2 did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 2 ultimately following API SL requirements for testing of your and alutimately and serve did and the temperature ra	23	of sign-in sheets to validate who showed up to these	23	right, in regards to how are we going to design the
PAGE 173 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Yery passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that— I'm going to say it—that we don't care about it, that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So fellse. So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. The WITNESS: Yeah. I think it—it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really—without from the selection of a model and just the modeling covered in the confidentiality order, it really started from the selection of a model and just the modeling software in general, and then it really goes down towards when we reference some of those documents in toad the tamperature ranges to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these— PAGE 175 And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you and so ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that be deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our be deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our be pipeline. And so by selecting the design criteria that we did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the steel and the composition of the steel that you selected will always operate within that ductile region. And so then you can sustain potential deformations without for necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and your metallurgy of your pipe. And so generally the answer would be no, is that you could have some type o	24	number of meetings. And so I know that we use those	24	pipeline, whether that's a temperature number both in a
1 COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated 2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 24 to Ma so when it comes to steel pipelines, you a really want the steel to operate or that metal to be in a ductile state. And so a ductile state. And so ductile state allows of hote in a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that be a ductile state. And so a ductile state. And so distile state. And so pitule is a ductile state. And so distile state. And so pitule is a ductile state. And so pitule state. And so pitule is a ductile state. And so git the design criteria that we toughness. And if you go into a brittle state, 15 the undient is the value is deform without losing its material 16 towards when we reference some of those documents in 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24	25	when compiling the list of individuals that showed up.	25	minimum and maximum case, design temperature, whether
2 several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit 3 has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the 4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really gose down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these		PAGE 173		PAGE 175
a has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit more on that. You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That jpipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. The WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without going into too many details, some of those would be from the selection of a model and just the modeling covered in the confidentiality order, it really software in general, and then it really gose down towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these The word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that be aductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that a ductile state. And so a ductile state. And so a ductile state. daductile state. And so a ductile state. And so a ductile state. daductile state. And so a ductile state. daductile state. And so a ductile state. deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our pipeline. The word in the design criteria that we did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API St. requirements for testing of word and the temperature ranges that we did, and then ultimately following API St. requirements for testing of word rop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the steel to ughness. And if you specified	1	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You have stated	1	that's pressure.
4 word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit 5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really software in general, and then it really goes down 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 22 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these	2	several times "I'm confident with the work that Summit	2	And so when it comes to steel pipelines, you
5 more on that. 6 You know, safety is a big thing for many people. 7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these	3	has done with dispersion modeling." You've used the	3	really want the steel to operate or that metal to be in
You know, safety is a big thing for many people. Yery passionate. I could get very passionate about it, what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that I'm going to say it that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without going into too many details, some of those would be covered in the confidentiality order, it really software in general, and then it really goes down from the selection of a model and just the modeling sassociated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 14	4	word "confident" many times. So elaborate a little bit	4	a ductile state. And so a ductile state allows for that
7 Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it, 8 what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that 9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 22 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 23 conservative values to kind of create some of these 17 deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our 8 pipeline. 18 deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our 8 pipeline. 19 And so by selecting the design criteria that we 10 did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 11 ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of 12 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the 13 steel and the composition of the steel that you selected 14 will always operate within that ductile region. And so 15 then you can sustain potential deformations without 16 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 17 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	5	more on that.	5	pipeline to really deform without losing its material
what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That is so false. So false. So tell me why Summit is so confident in this pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without going into too many details, some of those would be rowered in the confidentiality order, it really started from the selection of a model and just the modeling from the selection of a model and just the modeling software in general, and then it really goes down towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 8 pipeline. 9 And so by selecting the design criteria that we did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 10 did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 11 ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of 12 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the 13 steel and the composition of the steel that you selected 14 will always operate within that ductile region. And so 15 then you can sustain potential deformations without 16 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 17 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	6	You know, safety is a big thing for many people.	6	toughness. And if you go into a brittle state,
9 I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that 10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these	7	Very passionate. I could get very passionate about it,	7	deformation may lead to cracking and fracturing of our
10 we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That 11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really software in general, and then it really goes down 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 10 did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then 11 ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of 12 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the 13 steel and the composition of the steel that you selected 14 will always operate within that ductile region. And so 15 then you can sustain potential deformations without 16 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 17 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	8	what I'm hearing from people, especially saying that	8	pipeline.
11 is so false. So false. 12 So tell me why Summit is so confident in this 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 19 In ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of 26 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the 27 steel and the composition of the steel that you selected 28 will always operate within that ductile region. And so 29 then you can sustain potential deformations without 20 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 21 treally comes down to how you specify your pipes and 22 your metallurgy of your pipe. 23 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 24 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 25 result in a guillotine release. 26 Did that answer your question? 27 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	9	I'm going to say it that we don't care about it, that	9	And so by selecting the design criteria that we
12 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the 13 pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 12 your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the 35 steel and the composition of the steel that you selected 46 will always operate within that ductile region. And so 47 then you can sustain potential deformations without 48 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 48 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 49 your metallurgy of your pipe. 40 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 40 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 40 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 41 result in a guillotine release. 42 Did that answer your question? 43 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	10	we don't care about the citizens of North Dakota. That	10	did and the temperature ranges that we did, and then
pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really started from the beginning in regards to how do we even determine a model to use. And so it really without going into too many details, some of those would be covered in the confidentiality order, it really started from the selection of a model and just the modeling software in general, and then it really goes down towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 13 steel and the composition of the steel that you selected will always operate within that ductile region. And so then you can sustain potential deformations without necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 15 then you can sustain potential deformations without 16 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 17 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	11	is so false. So false.	11	ultimately following API 5L requirements for testing of
14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really 15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 18 will always operate within that ductile region. And so 15 then you can sustain potential deformations without 16 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 17 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	12	So tell me why Summit is so confident in this	12	your drop-weight tear testing, you can validate that the
15 started from the beginning in regards to how do we even 16 determine a model to use. And so it really without 17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 25 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	13	pipeline and dispersion modeling and safety.	13	steel and the composition of the steel that you selected
determine a model to use. And so it really without going into too many details, some of those would be covered in the confidentiality order, it really started from the selection of a model and just the modeling software in general, and then it really goes down towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 16 necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so 17 it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	14	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I think it it really	14	will always operate within that ductile region. And so
17 going into too many details, some of those would be 18 covered in the confidentiality order, it really started 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of those would be 18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	15	started from the beginning in regards to how do we even	15	then you can sustain potential deformations without
18 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 from the selection of a model and just the modeling 20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 28 your metallurgy of your pipe. 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that 20 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	16	determine a model to use. And so it really without	16	necessarily resulting in some type of fracture. And so
from the selection of a model and just the modeling software in general, and then it really goes down towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 19 And so generally the answer would be no, is that you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	17	going into too many details, some of those would be	17	it really comes down to how you specify your pipes and
20 software in general, and then it really goes down 21 towards when we reference some of those documents in 22 regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling 23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 25 26 you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 26 27 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 27 result in a guillotine release. 28 29 Did that answer your question? 29 20 20 You could have some type of smaller break, let's call it 20 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	18	covered in the confidentiality order, it really started	18	your metallurgy of your pipe.
towards when we reference some of those documents in regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 21 a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not result in a guillotine release. 22 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	19	from the selection of a model and just the modeling	19	And so generally the answer would be no, is that
regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely conservative values to kind of create some of these 22 result in a guillotine release. 23 Did that answer your question? 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	20	software in general, and then it really goes down	20	you could have some type of smaller break, let's call it
23 associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely 24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 25 Did that answer your question? 26 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	21	towards when we reference some of those documents in	21	a pinhole leak from corrosion or whatnot, that would not
24 conservative values to kind of create some of these 24 SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to	22	regards to worst-case conditions and the modeling	22	result in a guillotine release.
	23	associated with that, Summit ultimately used extremely	23	Did that answer your question?
25 these dispersion buffers to better understand maybe 25 dumb it down some more, but that will do.	24	conservative values to kind of create some of these	24	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd ask you to
	25	these dispersion buffers to better understand maybe	25	dumb it down some more, but that will do.
PAGE 174 PAGE 176				

4	THE WITNESS. I prolosing	1	
1	THE WITNESS: I apologize.	1	WADE BOESHANS,
2	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dublinske, any redirect?	2	being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
3	MR. DUBLINSKE: (No audible response.)	3	follows:
4	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, thank you, Mr.	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	Lange.	5	BY MR. GLUDT:
6	Next witness.	6	Q. Mr. Boeshans, have you testified in these
7	MR. DUBLINSKE: Your Honor, while we're between	7	proceedings prior to today?
8	witnesses here, if Your Honor would like, we can commit	8	A. I have.
9	to filing those sign-in sheets. We didn't because, A,	9	Q. And you testified specifically at the
10	there may be some that some meetings that there	10	April 22nd, 2024, hearing in Mandan; correct?
11	weren't sign-in sheets. In other cases, they may have	11	A. I did.
12	been supplemented by people looking at them and saying,	12	Q. And in preparation for today's hearing, have you
13	"Well, hold it, I remember this person was there."	13	prepared written rebuttal testimony?
14	So I think that the typed will be more accurate,	14	A. I did.
15	but if Your Honor would like to see those, we can	15	Q. And was that testimony prepared by you or under
16	certainly file those as a late-filed exhibit.	16	your control and supervision?
17	ALJ HOGAN: Is that something the commissioners	17	A. It was.
18	would like?	18	Q. And when you prepared that testimony, was it
19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I think you've	19	true and correct?
20	it's been answered for me, but I won't speak on behalf	20	A. It was.
21	of the other two.	21	Q. And as you sit here today, does your testimony
22	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: I'm fine with what	22	remain true and correct?
23	we've had. No one's challenged it and said, no, this	23	A. It does.
24	shows a person that attended that didn't or anything	24	Q. Are there any corrections you need to make to
25	like that. So it wasn't challenged so I'm fine with	25	your testimony?
	PAGE 177		PAGE 179
1	those.	1	A. No.
2	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: I'd agree.	2	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, at this time we'd move
3	The accuracy hasn't been challenged.	3	to admit Mr. Boeshans' written rebuttal testimony as
4	ALJ HOGAN: All right. No extra work for you.	4	SCS R-19.
5	MR. DUBLINSKE: I wanted to make sure. Thank	5	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection, Mr. Pelham?
6	you.	6	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
7	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, at this time the	7	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde.
8	Applicant would call Wade Boeshans.	8	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, same objections as
9	ALJ HOGAN: Welcome back, Mr. Boeshans. I'll	9	before. Improper rebuttal and relevance. Thank you.
10	have you state your full name for the record.	10	ALJ HOGAN: And Mr. Phillips.
11	WADE BOESHANS: Wade Wayne Boeshans.	11	MR. PHILLIPS: I'll just join those objections
12	ALJ HOGAN: All right. And I know you've been	12	for the same reasons.
13	sitting in the room all morning so you heard me go	13	ALJ HOGAN: All right. The objections will be
14	through the penalties for perjury?	14	noted, but I will admit SCS 19.
15	WADE BOESHANS: Yes, I did.	15	Q. (BY MR. GLUDT) All right, Mr. Boeshans, I just
16	ALJ HOGAN: And you understand what perjury is?	16	want to highlight a couple of points from your written
17	WADE BOESHANS: I do.	17	testimony. Were you here or were you able to hear
18	ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	18	Mr. Wachter's testimony regarding the distance of the
19	penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	19	current pipeline route to his Silver Ranch development?
20	in this case today?	20	A. I did.
21	WADE BOESHANS: I do.	21	Q. And Mr. Wachter testified that at the time and
22	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	22	based off a map that Burleigh County had produced, that
23	Go ahead, Mr. Gludt.	23	that distance was approximately two miles. Do you agree
24	MR. GLUDT: Thank you, Your Honor.	24	with that assessment?
25		25	A. I do not.
	PAGE 178		PAGE 180

_		1	
1	Q. Can you please explain for the Commission why	1	field. We, rather, followed the treeline which follows
2	you disagree?	2	a transmission line and came back to you know,
3	A. Yeah. There was a map that was presented to	3	towards towards the east and then followed the
4	Mr. Wachter and he was asked that question. The map was	4	property line again straight to the north. And there
5	Burleigh County 101. And specifically to that question,	5	was another landowner just on the north side of Pahlke's
6	you know, the map is I have it in front of me here	6	property who didn't want the route on their property and
7	relatively small scale. He was asked, you know, what's	7	we routed off of them and onto landowners that have
8	the distance from 80th Street to the current pipeline	8	since signed easements.
9	route. If you look at the map, although it's really	9	And so all this was part of you know, we
10	you have to look very closely, you'll see that 80th	10	worked with, I think there was about a half a dozen
11	Street is actually covered up by the text that labels	11	landowners and we developed this route and secured
12	Silver Ranch and and so you just can't tell the	12	easements on, roughly, six miles; some of it on the
13	distance very easily or clearly on this map. And	13	south side and some of it on the north side of the
14	additionally, the route that was depicted by Burleigh	14	interstate at the same time.
15	County was from preliminary information and not exactly	15	But that's the actual route. You can see how
16	accurate.	16	it's materially different than BC101. You can also see
17	So in my testimony you'll see Exhibit A. And on	17	that it didn't move any closer to the city of Bismarck.
18	Exhibit A you see the the route that was filed in	18	Q. I'm going to switch gears a little bit here,
19	October of 2022 in blue on that exhibit. You'll see the	19	Mr. Boeshans. Did you have the opportunity to hear the
20	updated route including the Bismarck reroute, which goes	20	testimony provided by Ben and Rose Dotzenrod at the
21	off of the page to the north in yellow and black dashed.	21	public hearing in Wahpeton?
22	And then you see that it's labeled the actual	22	A. I did.
23	distance is approximately four miles from 80th Street,	23	Q. And did you hear the concerns expressed by the
24	which is the eastern extent of Silver Ranch to the	24	Dotzenrods regarding their drainage easement?
25	current pipeline route.	25	A. I did.
	PAGE 181		PAGE 183
1	But, again, it would be difficult for	1	Q. Can you explain to the Commission the steps
2	Mr. Wachter to detect that from this exhibit that he was	2	Summit intends to take to address those concerns,
3	looking at given the you know, things weren't easy to	3	please?
4	read given the small size of it. And and so I just	4	A. I can. So as I understood, Mr. Dotzenrod's
5	wanted to make that clarification.	5	concern was his drainage facility on the drainage
6	Q. And Mr. Wachter also testified that he was	6	easement that was not on his property but was on the
7	surprised to learn that the reroute to the east of	7	property adjacent to his. You know, we're willing to
8	Bismarck where the pipeline crosses I-94, right around	8	bore underneath his line. As I understand it, he has a
9	that area, actually moved closer to the city of Bismarck	9	drainage pipeline in that easement. It's draining his
10	based on the map that Burleigh County had produced. Is	10	it's the main drain for his drain tiles. So we're,
11	that accurate?	11	you know, willing to commit to the Dotzenrods and
12	A. No, it's not accurate. Again, Mr. Wachter was	12	Mr. Dotzenrod that we'll bore under it and, therefore,
13	presented with this Burleigh County 101 exhibit or BC101	13	not disturb that line.
14	exhibit, and they had predicted or mapped, I guess,	14	Additionally, we're working on an agreement that
15	based on some preliminary information, the pipeline	15	we are willing to present to the Dotzenrods that we'll
16	route. And if you look at my Exhibit A or, excuse	16	provide them indemnification and guarantee the any
17	me, Exhibit B, you'll see the actual route. And on that	17	indemnification for any loss related to our use of our
18	route again you'll see the route that was filed in	18	easement and installation of our pipeline.
19	October of 2022 in blue and you'll see the current route	19	MR. GLUDT: Your Honor, I don't have any further
20	in yellow and black dashed.	20	questions at this time. We would tender the witness for
21	And so where we cross highway or, excuse me,	21	cross.
22	Interstate 94, you can see how the route has changed in	22	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?
23	that area as we worked and I personally worked with	23	MR. PELHAM: I don't, but Mr. Schock does.
24	the landowner there, Dale Pahlke, to make that	24	
25	adjustment where we didn't cut kittycorner across his	25	
	PAGE 182		PAGE 184

	ND PUBLIC SERV		- COMMINISSION
1	CROSS EXAMINATION	1	A. I would disagree with that. Because absent the
2	BY MR. SCHOCK:	2	you know, all of the information, I can't say that it
3	Q. So I think your written testimony does a good	3	is possible, I can't say that it's not possible. So
4	job of cleaning up the corridor width and the route	4	it's a "it depends" answer.
5	buffer they requested, but I just want to make sure I	5	Q. All right. And I appreciate that. Because the
6	understand it clearly.	6	question you were asked in line 8 on page 4 uses the
7	A. Sure.	7	word "possible." And so just so that we're clear,
8	Q. So the current corridor you're requesting is	8	you're not saying it's impossible to do the reroutes
9	200 feet wide across the entirety of the project in	9	that my clients have suggested, are you?
10	North Dakota; is that correct?	10	A. I don't know that specifically. I'd have to
11	A. That's correct.	11	look at the exact situation.
12	Q. Okay. And a route typically is an infinitely	12	Q. And the further along in the process, I mean it
13	small point, but in the last, say, five years or so	13	I guess I take that, that it would have been easier
14	we've started to allow for route buffers just so that	14	in your view to talk about reroutes and modifications a
15	you can kind of work around things as you get out there	15	month ago, a year ago, I mean two years ago. Is that
16	in the field.	16	what you're getting at?
17	So you're requesting a total of 50 feet wide,	17	A. Yeah. I would say generally it's a lot easier
18	25 feet on either side of the centerline of the of	18	when you have all of the information sooner to address
19	any potential approved route as a buffer; is that	19	them together, which is what this kind of says.
20	correct?	20	Q. And you say that you are willing to personally
21	A. Yes, that's correct.	21	meet with the affected landowners to discuss possible
22	Q. Okay. I think that's all I have. Thank you for	22	alternatives. Now, you mean you're willing to speak
23	clarifying that. Thank you.	23	with myself, Mr. Leibel as their representative, and
24	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions?	24	with them. I mean, you're willing to have a Zoom
25	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor.	25	meeting with all of us to hammer this out? Or what's
	PAGE 185		PAGE 187
1	CROSS EXAMINATION	1	the invitation you're offering up there?
2	BY MR. PHILLIPS:	2	A. Yeah, the invitation is to to meet with the
3	Q. You had made some clarifications in relation to	3	landowners, and if they choose to have their attorney
4	the testimony of Chad Wachter and relied on Exhibit A	4	present, happy to accommodate that as well. So I wasn't
5	and B to your testimony. I just want to clarify you're	5	that explicit in the invitation here, but that's what I
6	not here to rebut any other testimony from Chad Wachter	6	would envision, is the opportunity to hear from the
7	in this case, correct, just in relation to those two	7	landowners and understand what you know, what the
8	exhibits?	8	possibilities are, what could we work out and make work.
9	A. Yeah. Well, we covered the two things. That's	9	Q. Okay. And so do you think is the ball in your
10	correct.	10	court? Should I call you after you're done testifying
11	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. No further questions.	11	to set this up? I mean, how do you envision that
12	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, any questions?	12	invitation actually turning into something?
13	MR. JORDE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.	13	A. Yeah, I would say you could reach out to our
14	CROSS EXAMINATION	14	attorney, or if the landowners want to reach out to me
15	BY MR. JORDE:	15	personally, I'm happy to take their call.
16	Q. Sir, in your prefiled testimony, on page 4 you	16	${f Q.}$ Okay. And then as to the Dotzenrods, okay, you
17	are posed a question about in certain situations it's	17	say you're willing to bore underneath the drainage
18	not possible to implement every route adjustment	18	easement, but the answer says it's qualified
19	requested, and one of the factors you list there says,	19	"absent any unforeseen constructability issues."
20	quote, "The further along in the process and the more	20	So let's say, hypothetically, sir, you were
21	parcels with signed easements, the more constrained	21	meaning Summit were granted a permit but it wasn't
22	changes are."	22	or the application was granted, but it wasn't
23	I mean, would you agree it doesn't become	23	conditioned upon boring under the drainage easement.
24	impossible, it's just less convenient for you in those	24	Based on your answer, you could rely on that
25	situations?	25	qualification and say, "Well, something came up and
1	PAGE 186	I	PAGE 188

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

we're not going to do it." Is that right? new distance from her home if that were to be 1 2 A. I wouldn't characterize it that way. What 2 implemented? 3 that's meant to say is we would need to go out and meet 3 A. I do not know the distance and I don't see it 4 with Mr. Dotzenrod and look at the property, determine 4 here. 5 exactly what's there, do a constructability review and 5 Q. Do you know if the red line proposed here stays 6 say here's how we're going to -- here's how we plan to 6 out of her virgin prairie grasses? 7 7 cross this line. And the unforeseen part is, you know, A. It looks like it does, but, again, I don't know 8 8 we won't know what's there until we actually uncover or for sure. 9 9 start construction, if you will. Find, locate the line, Q. And does it stay out of and far enough away from 10 and if there was something there that either us or 10 Native American burial sites on her property? 11 Mr. Dotzenrod doesn't know of today, then that's the 11 A. We would have to survey it to verify that. So 12 12 it's -- again, to do any of these, we would have to be unforeseen part. 13 13 doing some survey to determine if this was a permittable Q. And let's say that it plays out that way and 14 you're out there and you're doing whatever analysis you 14 route or a route that avoided certain features. 15 think you need to do. Would you submit that then to the 15 **Q.** And you, I think, were in the room when 16 16 PSC? Because of course this, you know, our question and Mr. Powell last testified to his prefiled testimony 17 17 answer period, the hearings would be over by then. And where he said, quote, "We know where the cultural sites 18 if you did -- if you would agree to submit that, if it 18 or cultural resources --" I don't remember the word 19 didn't show any constructability issues, would you be 19 "-- are." Do you remember that testimony? 20 20 willing for there to be a condition in any order, should A. I don't, not that specifically. 21 21 there be one, that requires you to bore underneath the Q. All right. And then to suggest the -- the 22 Dotzenrod drainage easement? 22 purple line, your current route, you would agree that --23 23 A. Yeah, I would say that, in my view, regardless I mean you would still, to your logic, need all the same 24 of how we cross the line, we're offering an indemnity 24 information that you apparently don't have to suggest a and a repair guarantee. Okay. Now in terms of the 25 25 red line; is that right? **PAGE 191** 1 1 specific bore itself, I would say we're committing to do A. Yeah, that's correct. The red line has not been 2 that given this -- with this unforeseen caveat because 2 surveyed and so, you know, this is a -- here's an 3 we haven't actually gone out and investigated the area, 3 alternative of things we could do to help address the 4 if you will, and did a review or survey of any type and, concerns of Hayens. And, again, until we actually got 5 to my knowledge, haven't had any -- received any 5 in the field and survey it and, quite frankly, hear from 6 information from Mr. Dotzenrod around this specific 6 the Hayens around what is their input on a route that 7 installation of the drain line. And so I think there's 7 addresses their concerns, those things all have to come 8 more work to do to check those boxes and figure that 8 9 out. What I'm saying is we're committed to doing that. 9 Q. All right. And I think Exhibit 54 has been 10 Q. Okay. Let's go to -- I don't know if you have 10 passed around. I hope so. And I believe it's been 11 your testimony, but page 11, that's Exhibit C, have a 11 emailed. And I think one will be coming to you, sir, in 12 12 Valera Hayen, and I have some questions about that. a moment. 13 MR. JORDE: And then, Mr. Leibel, if you're able 13 A. All right. 14 14 to get Exhibit 54 passed around. ALJ HOGAN: I think everybody has it. 15 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So, sir, I'm looking at what 15 MR. JORDE: All right. 16 you have submitted here as of yesterday. Did this get 16 ALJ HOGAN: Yep, go ahead. Sorry, I didn't know 17 prepared yesterday? I suppose you have a map person 17 you hadn't handed it to him. 18 that put this together? When was this prepared? Do you 18 Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And I'm not going to ask you a 19 19 bunch of questions because this has just been handed to 20 A. I believe this was prepared on Friday, 20 you, but since we got your document yesterday, tried to 21 Friday/Saturday. 21 turn this around with Ms. Hayen quickly, but, sir, what 22 22 **Q.** All right. Now do you know if your -- or the I represent this to be is -- you see an RTA with a line, 23 23 red line that you've got here on page 11 of your and that's a southern line, and then it starts heading 24 24 rebuttal testimony, does that move the proposed pipeline west and then back up north in section 20, 21 there. 25 further away from Ms. Hayen's home, or do you know the That's Ms. Hayen's preferred reroute, and then reroute B **PAGE 192**

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	is the second-least bad reroute.	1	can do and what we can't do there.
2	So I would just respectfully request that your	2	Q. Okay. So for the items that you didn't
3	team take a look at this and maybe we can circle back on	3	understand relative to his other parcel that's
4	this, unless you have any comments right out of the gate	4	unaddressed here, what was kind of your thought process
5	to tell me why this is impossible?	5	of gaining that understanding? Were you going to email
6	A. No comments, just will look at it.	6	me or send a letter? Or what were you how were you
7	Q. All right.	7	going to get that understanding that you say you didn't
8	MR. JORDE: I would offer Exhibit 54.	8	have?
9	ALJ HOGAN: Any objection to 54?	9	A. Yeah, we'd have we would start communicating.
10	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	10	And, again, I think the last correspondence that I'm
11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham.	11	aware of here again, I've had limited involvement in
12	MR. PELHAM: No objection.	12	these tracts outside of the Bismarck reroute, but there
13	ALJ HOGAN: All right. 54 is received.	13	are some such as Mr. Goerger that I have been involved
14	MR. JORDE: Thank you.	14	in and and just because Terry and I have a
15	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) And then if we go to the next	15	relationship that goes back to, you know, 12 years ago
16	page, sir, in your prefiled testimony, which would be	16	or so. And they started having problems down in or
17	page 12 on Exhibit C, the Starobas, I'm just curious,	17	were getting a lot of questions from his neighbors.
18	you show one of their parcels there near the land	18	And I went down there and met several times and
19	parcel, but what about the second parcel which is part	19	had 20-plus landowners in the room together, and most of
20	of the east half of section 35-132-51? Have you agreed	20	them ended up working out easements with us.
21	to make route modifications on that portion of your	21	Mr. Staroba was never part of that. So the first step
22	proposed route?	22	here would be for us to communicate with each other
23	A. Yeah. So on I'll just start with what's	23	around what are the questions and see if we can't work
24	shown on Exhibit C, page 12. It's really just showing	24	toward a resolution.
25	that the current route is what Mr. Staroba had	25	Q. Well, yeah, I disagree, I guess. And maybe I
	PAGE 193		PAGE 195
1	requested. And I knew that because I was working with	1	asked a bad question. So, you know, he testified in
1 2	requested. And I knew that because I was working with Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper	1 2	asked a bad question. So, you know, he testified in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not
	· ·		
2	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper	2	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not
2 3	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to	2	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just
2 3 4	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us	2 3 4	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to
2 3 4 5	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated	2 3 4 5	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make
2 3 4 5 6	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval	2 3 4 5 6	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn
2 3 4 5 6 7	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton? A. I believe this is similar to what they requested
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible there. One of the limitations in this case is that's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton? A. I believe this is similar to what they requested or you requested, but in terms of I don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible there. One of the limitations in this case is that's a railroad that's crossing the property and separating	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton? A. I believe this is similar to what they requested or you requested, but in terms of I don't know without talking to them, I don't know that it addresses
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible there. One of the limitations in this case is that's a railroad that's crossing the property and separating Goerger's property from his. Typically, we have to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton? A. I believe this is similar to what they requested or you requested, but in terms of I don't know without talking to them, I don't know that it addresses their concerns, but I think it's similar to what you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible there. One of the limitations in this case is that's a railroad that's crossing the property and separating Goerger's property from his. Typically, we have to cross railroads at 90 degrees. And the sketch that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton? A. I believe this is similar to what they requested or you requested, but in terms of I don't know without talking to them, I don't know that it addresses their concerns, but I think it's similar to what you sent to us.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Goerger who owns the property in the upper right-hand piece of that diagram, and he was talking to Mr. Staroba and helped me understand or helped us understand that diagonal crossing. And we incorporated it into the route and were able to get that approval from the Richland County Commission to cross at that angle. The property that's off the page or would be the next tract to the east or to the right of the diagram on page 12, I recall from Mr. Staroba's testimony in Wahpeton that he wanted a change to that route. Willing to work look at that with him. I didn't fully understand what he was asking for there so I can't comment you know, comment in terms of "Can we do it today," but just that we would like to meet with him and understand exactly what that is and then what's possible there. One of the limitations in this case is that's a railroad that's crossing the property and separating Goerger's property from his. Typically, we have to cross railroads at 90 degrees. And the sketch that I saw, I think that you submitted, didn't include a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure so we're not ships passing in the night, you then said you just told me you were confused by his testimony relative to his other piece in Wahpeton, and I just wanted to make sure, are you going to is this how I had to learn about your confusion, by cross-examining you, or are you going to reach out on that, or what was your plan? A. Yeah, let's reach out. Q. Okay. A. I'll have our attorneys contact you, or if it's easier, I'll just contact Staroba directly. Q. And then as to the Lugerts' property, based on what you're showing here on 13, do you believe that alleviates their concerns based on their testimony that they've provided in this matter both last year and recently in Wahpeton? A. I believe this is similar to what they requested or you requested, but in terms of I don't know without talking to them, I don't know that it addresses their concerns, but I think it's similar to what you sent to us. Q. Okay. And you and it very well might be,

PAGE 196

PAGE 194

	IND PUBLIC SERV	-	
1	reroute around the property. So have you considered any	1	questions?
2	reroute around the Lugert property like to the north or	2	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Yes, Your Honor.
3	the south of it?	3	I think just one, but it applies to basically
4	A. Not at this time.	4	all of Exhibit C. I got kind of confused along the way.
5	Q. All right. And then the next one, to the APH	5	First I thought that these were, you know, not finalized
6	Farms, we got a glimpse of this earlier today with	6	because I think you indicated that they haven't been
7	Mr. Hagerott, Jon. And he expressed his opinions on it.	7	surveyed, but these were proposals that Summit is
8	Have you, Summit, looked at options either to the north	8	willing to discuss with these landowners who are
9	or the south around the Hagerott land?	9	objecting. But then some of the time you're saying you
10	A. Not that I'm aware of. Again, I haven't been	10	haven't looked at anything.
11	involved in these tracts. What I do know is I think	11	So are these the requests from the landowners
12	this this again reflects the approximate route that	12	where they would prefer to have the pipeline at or are
13	was identified in our communication from you, but that's	13	these things that Summit are these reroutes that
14	the limit of my knowledge of this specific property.	14	Summit is proposing could be looked at to satisfy some
15	Q. All right. And then same question, sir, to the	15	of the concerns that you've heard?
16	next page, 15, the Rockstad parcel. Based on the	16	THE WITNESS: Uh-huh. Yeah, I think I as I
17	objections that have been submitted and you're aware of,	17	understand the question, it's some of both. In most
18	do you believe that well, let me just strike that.	18	cases the landowners that have provided us an alternate
19	Have you looked at a reroute option that would	19	route on their property, we've looked at that and said,
20	eliminate placement of the proposed hazardous pipeline	20	yeah, we think we can do that, but we need to survey it
21	on the Rockstad property?	21	to verify what we think. So it was just purely looking
22	A. I personally have not been involved in a reroute	22	at it from a desktop perspective.
23	that avoided the Rockstads.	23	And then there are a couple others that said,
24	Q. Okay. And who I understand you were heavily	24	"Well, we want you to move it entirely off of our
25	involved in Bismarck, but who would be that person	25	property" or a reroute that doesn't include their
	PAGE 197		PAGE 199
1	that's kind of looking at, for instance, the Rockstads	1	properties, in which case we've taken a look at it and
2	and the APH Farms parcels?	2	said, well, we first need to go engage with the other
3	A. So that would be our, you know, pipeline routing	3	
3	A. So that would be our, you know, pipeline routing team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the	3 4	landowners and we need to survey the route.
	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the		landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a
4		4	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property
4 5	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients.	4 5	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey
4 5 6	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page	4 5 6	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to
4 5 6 7	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients.	4 5 6 7	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey
4 5 6 7 8	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of	4 5 6 7 8	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody
4 5 6 7 8 9	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around.	4 5 6 7 8 9	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that	4 5 6 7 8 9	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18,	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18, the Shirley Waloch land as well?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it sure would look
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18, the Shirley Waloch land as well? A. That's correct. As you can see, there's lots of	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it sure would look to me like it's just short of four miles, as you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18, the Shirley Waloch land as well? A. That's correct. As you can see, there's lots of wetlands out there and so it's a challenging area of the	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it sure would look to me like it's just short of four miles, as you indicated. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Wachter
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18, the Shirley Waloch land as well? A. That's correct. As you can see, there's lots of wetlands out there and so it's a challenging area of the state.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it sure would look to me like it's just short of four miles, as you indicated. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Wachter since that testimony about the map that he had in front
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18, the Shirley Waloch land as well? A. That's correct. As you can see, there's lots of wetlands out there and so it's a challenging area of the state. Q. Correct. I agree with that. Okay. All right.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it sure would look to me like it's just short of four miles, as you indicated. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Wachter since that testimony about the map that he had in front of him and the confusion on that distance?
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	team. Again, as I've committed to here, I'll take the lead and initiate and try to figure this out with your clients. Q. All right. And then the Malloy piece on page 16, apparently the red line is a reroute that kind of mirrors the southern boundary line as it curves around. And so have you looked at a potential reroute, sir, that avoids the Malloy ground altogether? A. I have not, and I'm not aware of one. Q. Okay. And then that takes us to page 17, the Walochs. Same question there. Are you aware of any work on selecting a route that would avoid the Waloch land? A. I'm not. Q. Well, then that answers the same for page 18, the Shirley Waloch land as well? A. That's correct. As you can see, there's lots of wetlands out there and so it's a challenging area of the state. Q. Correct. I agree with that. Okay. All right. I don't have anything further, sir. Thank you.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	landowners and we need to survey the route. So it gets it's a lot simpler when we're a landowner is asking us for a reroute on their property because now they are in total control of can we survey it and can we then, if we make that change, proceed to an agreement, an easement agreement. When it's somebody else, we haven't had we haven't talked to neighbors, that I'm aware of, to look at alternate routes around that property. Now, our team may have previously to this, but, again, my my involvement here since the hearing started today on these tracts, we have not done that. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. And then based on your map that you showed that showed the east of Bismarck area there in that reroute THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: it sure would look to me like it's just short of four miles, as you indicated. Did you have any discussion with Mr. Wachter since that testimony about the map that he had in front of him and the confusion on that distance? THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. I talked to him after

_	ND 1 ODLIO OLIV		
1	what I testified to here, and I told him that I would	1	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Or a reasonable
2	correct it. And he was, like, yeah, he he hadn't	2	request.
3	seen the map before or indicated he hadn't so	3	THE WITNESS: Yeah, a reasonable request, yes.
4	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Okay. No other	4	The way I understand it is that, you know, we filed the
5	questions. Thank you.	5	updated route, the current route, in January, and the
6	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.	6	map book reflects all of that routing. And then we
7	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I think it's just	7	discussed here today some potential reroutes or
8	you know, looking at Exhibit 101, I think	8	modifications on all these properties. At some point
9	Mr. Flanagan testified based on the map 100, they looked	9	then we would update that again and submit it, submit
10	at your map that was submitted, 100, and they developed	10	the updated routes.
11	map 101. Is that your understanding from his testimony?	11	But it's also my understanding if those changes
12	THE WITNESS: I my understanding is they	12	are less than a mile and a half, then they're considered
13	looked at the map, and I'd have to see which number it	13	minor in nature. And then they're still updated with
14	is specifically	14	the Commission but and into the docket. I'll have to
15	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I thought he	15	defer to others that are that are, I guess, more
16	referenced 100.	16	familiar with that process than I am.
17	THE WITNESS: And is that in the black book?	17	I'm just saying that, you know, we'll provide
18	ALJ HOGAN: Black binder.	18	updates as frequently as the Commission would like us to
19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yep.	19	provide them, but that's just been the process, as I
20	ALJ HOGAN: BC100. Should be the first exhibit.	20	understand it.
21	THE WITNESS: That's what I recall.	21	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: So we have to
22	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So I	22	request it?
23	mean	23	THE WITNESS: I would say that you don't have
24	THE WITNESS: I recall that he was referencing	24	to. You could request it, as I understand it. Or we
25	this map.	25	could file it, which we would at some point in time,
	PAGE 201		PAGE 203
1	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Right. He	1	say, okay, we've done all of these, we've confirmed
2	referenced map, the 100. And then based on their	2	them, surveyed them, secured easements, now let's file
3	programming, they developed 101 in which Mr. Wachter	3	or update the route. But I am not familiar with exactly
	testified; correct?		
4	testified; correct?	4	what that timing or practice has been or, quite frankly,
5	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes.	4 5	what that timing or practice has been or, quite frankly, should be.
5	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes.	5	should be.
5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just	5 6	should be. So it can work either way is the way I
5 6 7	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this	5 6 7	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating
5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about	5 6 7 8 9	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we
5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we can look at it? Or how is that process going to work?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow? THE WITNESS: That's a great question. What I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we can look at it? Or how is that process going to work? Because I mean, just today, I don't know how many maps	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow? THE WITNESS: That's a great question. What I follow is if a landowner is represented by an attorney,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we can look at it? Or how is that process going to work? Because I mean, just today, I don't know how many maps we've gotten, you know. So as we move forward, how are	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow? THE WITNESS: That's a great question. What I follow is if a landowner is represented by an attorney, I will have our attorney or our land guy work with their
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we can look at it? Or how is that process going to work? Because I mean, just today, I don't know how many maps we've gotten, you know. So as we move forward, how are we going to get updated maps in a timely fashion?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow? THE WITNESS: That's a great question. What I follow is if a landowner is represented by an attorney, I will have our attorney or our land guy work with their attorney. Sometimes landowners work out reached out
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we can look at it? Or how is that process going to work? Because I mean, just today, I don't know how many maps we've gotten, you know. So as we move forward, how are we going to get updated maps in a timely fashion? THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's a that's a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow? THE WITNESS: That's a great question. What I follow is if a landowner is represented by an attorney, I will have our attorney or our land guy work with their attorney. Sometimes landowners work out reached out for me directly or us directly or request a meeting with
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: That was my understanding, yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. I just wanted to make sure we're on the same page on how this map came about THE WITNESS: Yes. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: based off of your initial 100 map. THE WITNESS: Yep, understood. I could tell by comparing the two that this doesn't match 100 and 101 don't match exactly, and that's the difference I'm showing on my Exhibit B. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Okay. So we have before us a lot of exhibits, a lot of maps. So as we go forward, if something is changed, can we expect an updated map, you know, frequently as things change so we can look at it? Or how is that process going to work? Because I mean, just today, I don't know how many maps we've gotten, you know. So as we move forward, how are we going to get updated maps in a timely fashion?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should be. So it can work either way is the way I understand it, but certainly we'll be communicating with, you know with Victor in trying to make sure we are providing the Commission what the Commission needs in a timely way. COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Thank you. No further questions. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson, any questions? SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: One question. What are your rules of engagement with represented landowners? You were saying that you'll talk directly to landowners but not to attorneys and attorneys talk to attorneys. Is there kind of a rubric you have here that you follow? THE WITNESS: That's a great question. What I follow is if a landowner is represented by an attorney, I will have our attorney or our land guy work with their attorney. Sometimes landowners work out reached out

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	meet with them. And if they want their attorney to be	1	Q. When you wrote that testimony, were the answers
2	there, then he'll come with them. And sometimes they	2	true and correct?
3	don't want their attorney to be there, they'd say,	3	A. Yes, they were.
4	"Well, I just want to get some information," and we'll	4	Q. If I asked you those same questions today, would
5	do it that way. But that's the way I engage with or	5	your answers be the same or substantially the same?
6	have engaged with landowners. I don't know that there's	6	A. Yes.
7	a rubric.	7	Q. Do you have any corrections to make?
8	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: No further	8	A. I do not.
9	questions.	9	MR. MAHLBERG: Your Honor, we'd offer the
10	ALJ HOGAN: Any redirect, Mr. Gludt?	10	rebuttal testimony of Mr. Daum as SCS R-20.
11	MR. GLUDT: No, Your Honor.	11	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any objection?
12	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Boeshans.	12	MR. PELHAM: No objection.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	13	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips.
14	ALJ HOGAN: Next witness.	14	MR. PHILLIPS: No objection.
15	MR. GLUDT: The applicant calls David Daum.	15	ALJ HOGAN: And Mr. Jorde.
16	COMMISSIONER CHRISTMANN: Your Honor, while	16	MR. JORDE: Same objections, improper rebuttal
17	Mr. Daum is taking the stand, I just want to remind in	17	and relevance and to foundation. Thank you.
18	case there's different people in the room that I have	18	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Those objections are
19	that other commitment at about 3 and so I'll be leaving	19	noted, but I will admit SCS-20.
20	for that reason, but I'll review the recording.	20	Q. (BY MR. MAHLBERG) Now, Mr. Daum, I want to
21	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you for that	21	briefly go over the points of testimony that you gave,
22	reminder.	22	and understanding that you're third in line today and
23	All right. Good afternoon, Mr. Daum. I'll have	23	so, for some of these, significant portions of your
24	you start by stating your full name and spelling your	24	testimony was addressed.
25	last name for the record.	25	Generally speaking, though, your rebuttal
20	PAGE 205	20	PAGE 207
1	DAVID DAUM: Yeah. It's David James Daum. Last	1	testimony addressed four issues. One is first
2	name is D-A-U-M.	2	responders meetings, another was equipment requests, the
3	ALJ HOGAN: And, Mr. Daum, did you hear me go	3	grant program, and the Texas A&M training; correct?
4	through the penalties for perjury earlier today?	4	A. That's correct.
5	DAVID DAUM: I did.	5	Q. With respect to with respect to the equipment
6	ALJ HOGAN: And do you understand what perjury	6	requested I'm going to skip over the first responders
7	AD HOOAN. And do you understand what perjury	ľ	
'	ic?	1 7	
Q	is?	7 8	and emergency manager meetings based on the content
8	DAVID DAUM: I do.	8	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions
9	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential	8 9	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again
9	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth	8 9 10	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in
9 10 11	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today?	8 9 10 11	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and
9 10 11 12	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do.	8 9 10 11 12	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program?
9 10 11 12 13	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you.	8 9 10 11 12 13	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to
9 10 11 12 13 14	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM,	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAHLBERG:	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing. Q. Beyond the carbon dioxide monitors that you have
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAHLBERG: Q. Mr. Daum, over the weekend did you prepare or	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing. Q. Beyond the carbon dioxide monitors that you have already committed to providing, are you aware of any
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAHLBERG: Q. Mr. Daum, over the weekend did you prepare or cause to be prepared a document entitled "Rebuttal"	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing. Q. Beyond the carbon dioxide monitors that you have already committed to providing, are you aware of any outstanding request for equipment from any first
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAHLBERG: Q. Mr. Daum, over the weekend did you prepare or cause to be prepared a document entitled "Rebuttal Testimony of David J. Daum on Behalf of SCS Carbon	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing. Q. Beyond the carbon dioxide monitors that you have already committed to providing, are you aware of any outstanding request for equipment from any first responders?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAHLBERG: Q. Mr. Daum, over the weekend did you prepare or cause to be prepared a document entitled "Rebuttal Testimony of David J. Daum on Behalf of SCS Carbon Transport LLC" dated June 2, 2024?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing. Q. Beyond the carbon dioxide monitors that you have already committed to providing, are you aware of any outstanding request for equipment from any first responders? A. I am not.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: And being advised of the potential penalties for perjury, do you promise to tell the truth in this case today? DAVID DAUM: I do. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Mahlberg. MR. MAHLBERG: Thank you, Your Honor. DAVID DAUM, being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MAHLBERG: Q. Mr. Daum, over the weekend did you prepare or cause to be prepared a document entitled "Rebuttal Testimony of David J. Daum on Behalf of SCS Carbon	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and emergency manager meetings based on the content of your written testimony and the lengthy discussions today, I don't think we need to go over that again now but can you summarize what you have to say in your written testimony about the equipment requests and the grant program? A. Yes. Summit Summit has committed to providing a grant to each county that the pipeline runs through in the amount of \$50,000 and \$1,000 per mile of pipeline in that county for for the county emergency managers to use however they see fit, for what they may need, and that is in addition to carbon dioxide monitors that we have already committed to providing. Q. Beyond the carbon dioxide monitors that you have already committed to providing, are you aware of any outstanding request for equipment from any first responders?

	IND PUBLIC SERV		
1	A&M training, can you give a brief synopsis of what your	1	Q. And during the safety tour meetings, was any
2	testimony tells us about that?	2	information related to plume dispersion or modeling,
3	A. Yeah. The Texas A&M is something that was	3	risk analysis, hazard distances, those kind of things
4	brought to our attention that provides information	4	shared with the public?
5	around CO2 emergency response, pipeline basics,	5	A. The only thing regarding dispersion modeling in
6	emergency response planning, how to use air monitoring	6	there was that it was a requirement of PHMSA that we do
7	equipment, those types of safety things. And we've	7	dispersion modeling and what we use it for, which is to
8	offered we have we have the availability of some	8	inform our integrity management programs and our
9	spots at that training when they do have it, and we've	9	emergency response programs.
10	offered that to provide some folks from the emergency	10	Q. All right. And as the director, the senior
11	response community the opportunity to go down there at	11	director dealing with safety for Summit, are you
12	Summit's cost and go to the training.	12	familiar, do you make yourself familiar with the PHMSA
13	MR. MAHLBERG: Your Honor, I don't have anything	13	rules and regs and the Pipeline Safety Act?
14	further and would expose Mr. Daum to cross-examination.	14	A. Yes, I'm familiar with PHMSA regulations.
15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Pelham, any questions?	15	Q. And are you familiar that, under the Pipeline
16	MR. PELHAM: I don't. Thank you.	16	Safety Act, the Secretary of Transportation did not
17	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Schock?	17	prescribe to PHMSA any ability to locate or route a CO2
18	Mr. Phillips, any questions?	18	pipeline facility?
19	All right. Mr. Jorde, did you want to go first?	19	MR. MAHLBERG: Object to the extent it's calling
20	MR. JORDE: Yeah, that would be great. Thank	20	for a legal conclusion about the regulations.
21	you.	21	ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to rephrase your
22	CROSS EXAMINATION	22	question?
23	BY MR. JORDE:	23	MR. JORDE: Yes. I don't want a legal
24	Q. Sir, your title is, let's see, the senior	24	conclusion. I'm just curious if he's familiar with that
25	director of health, safety, security, and environmental	25	regulation, yes or no. I don't need his interpretation
	PAGE 209		PAGE 211
1	for Summit; right?	1	of it.
2	A. That's correct.	2	A. Can you tell me what the regulation is?
3	Q. And that's because safety is a very important	3	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Yeah. We've got the Pipeline
4	part of this project; right?	4	Safety Act, and then we've got Section 60014(e) which
5	A. Yes.	5	states, quote, "This chapter does not authorize the
6	Q. Now your experience, according to your CV, you	6	Secretary of Transportation to prescribe the location or
7	worked many years for British Petroleum; is that right?	7	routing of a pipeline facility," end quote.
8	A. Yes. I worked for BP.	8	Are you familiar with that?
9	Q. All right. And is that where you I'm sorry,	9	A. I'm familiar that PHMSA does not site pipelines.
10	go ahead.	10	Q. Okay. And then are you also familiar that PHMSA
11	A. I worked for BP for 22 years.	11	cannot issue federal safety standards for a pipeline's
12	Q. All right. Very well.	12	location or a pipeline's route?
13	And is that where you became familiar with	13	MR. MAHLBERG: Object to the extent it's asking
14	Mr. James Powell, at BP?	14	about a legal conclusion and relevance to the extent
15	A. I did meet Mr. Powell at BP, I believe, back	15	we're just going to have Mr. Jorde read out of the
16	in 2001.	16	guidelines.
17	Q. Did you, throughout your BP tenure, have any	17	ALJ HOGAN: Yeah. Can you reword?
18	involvement at all with the Deepwater Horizon project?	18	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Well, you're the not just
19	A. I did not.	19	the safety person, the senior safety person. And I'm
20	Q. All right. You provided information, some	20	just curious, sir, as your familiarity with PHMSA and
21	additional, in these safety safety tour meetings.	21	your preparation as the senior safety person for this
22	And just to be absolutely clear, those are different and	22	project, are you aware one way or another whether or not
23	separate and apart from the emergency response meetings	23	PHMSA can or can't issue federal safety standards for a
24	that Mr. Lange was talking about; is that right?	24	pipeline's location or route in the state of North
25	A. That's correct.	25	Dakota?
	PAGE 210		PAGE 212

	A STATE OF TRANSPORTED TO THE STATE OF THE S	1	
1	A. I don't believe that PHMSA has a regulation to	1	to us," are you meaning the federal regulations that
2	site pipelines in North Dakota.	2	apply to Summit as an operator?
3	Q. And because PHMSA doesn't get involved in where	3	A. Federal and state.
4	a CO2 pipeline is located or routed, would you agree	4	Q. Okay. Now you were asked if the in your
5	that there's no prohibition for utilizing safety	5	experience, is Summit's outreach it's conducted with the
6	considerations as a factor in where the pipeline might	6	emergency responders typical? And is that outreach
7	be routed within North Dakota?	7	you're talking about these more detailed secret meetings
8	MR. MAHLBERG: I'll object to the extent that	8	starting in November 2023 or how did you take that
9	one's calling for a legal conclusion, as to speculation	9	question that you answered?
10	about what can and can't be considered and by whom, and	10	MR. MAHLBERG: I'm going to object to the form
11	also relevance and we're outside the scope of the	11	to the extent that these meetings continue to be called
12	testimony. I don't understand what this line of	12	"secret meetings." That's that's not reflecting the
13	questioning does in relation to the rebuttal testimony	13	actual testimony.
14	as filed.	14	ALJ HOGAN: And I think just so our record is
15	ALJ HOGAN: I'm not sure I understand the	15	clear, Mr. Jorde, when you say "secret meetings," are
16	relevance of your question either, Mr. Jorde.	16	you referring to the meetings where the general public
17	MR. JORDE: Okay. I can maybe try to help on	17	was not invited to them?
18	that.	18	MR. JORDE: Yeah, where it was only for the
19	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So did you personally go to	19	invited list and then the secret information that the
20	these safety meetings and not just the secret ones, the	20	parties here don't have, those meetings. The ones, I
21	what would you call them, the safety one safety	21	think, Mr. Lange laid out in his testimony that I
22	tour meetings? Were you personally there?	22	believe Mr. Daum was a participant in.
23	A. I was.	23	MR. MAHLBERG: I think we all understand which
24	Q. Okay. And you're probably aware of or maybe	24	meetings are being referenced, but to avoid an objection
25	you're not questions related to, you know, what can a	25	on the form of the question, they are not secret
	PAGE 213		PAGE 215
1	county do, what can a state do, where do the feds come	1	meetings. Members of first responders in several
2	in? I mean, help us understand. Did you participate in	2	counties were invited and came. Emergency managers were
3	any type of discussions in these meetings relative to	3	invited and came. So the implication that they're
4	your proposed project here dealing with those kind of	4	secret meetings, rather than having to object to the
5	questions, kind of the interchange of who does what?	5	form of this question each time, if we could just not
6	MR. MAHLBERG: I'm going to object to the form	6	mischaracterize them.
7	of that as compound. There are lots of questions in	7	ALJ HOGAN: Yeah, I agree. I don't think the
8	there. I think that a simpler question will get a	8	characterization of a secret meeting is appropriate,
9	simpler answer out of this.	9	Mr. Jorde, so I'd ask that you refrain from using that
10	ALJ HOGAN: Can you break that down, Mr. Jorde?	10	phrase.
11	MR. JORDE: I'll take as long as we need, be	11	With that said, did you you didn't object to
12	happy to.	12	the question, just the
13	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So we have established that you	13	MR. MAHLBERG: Just the mischaracterization of
14	personally were at the safety tour meetings, you were	14	the nature of the meetings.
15	personally at the secret meetings. And the question is	15	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Jorde, we might need your
16	did you personally were you personally asked or are	16	question again.
17	you personally aware of anyone in any of these meetings	17	MR. JORDE: Sure.
18	asking any questions related to the interchange of what	18	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) So the confidential meetings
19	localities can do, what the State can and can't do, and	19	where none of the other parties to this proceeding were
20	versus what the Federal Government can and can't do	20	allowed to be present, those are the meetings I'm
21	relative to your siting of your pipeline project?	21	talking about. And are those the meetings that you're
22	A. I don't recall any specific questions to me	22	referencing in your answer on page 4 to the question
23	regarding that. What I do know is what we had on one of	23	"Based on your experience, is the outreach that Summit
24	our boards was the regulations that applied to us.	24	has conducted with emergency responders typical?"
25	Q. And when you say "the regulations that applied	25	A. No. I was talking generally in in
	PAGE 214		PAGE 216

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	ND FUDLIC SERV	101	2 0 0 1111111 0 1 0 1 1
1	outreach in general to emergency responders and first	1	pipeline in a specific county, is that per year or is
2	responders.	2	that one time at construction or what's that?
3	Q. In terms of	3	A. That's part of the one-time grant. And
4	A. Which may include those meetings.	4	oftentimes there are others other grants that come
5	Q. Okay. Very good. So just kind of generally,	5	later during the project.
6	whatever meetings you had with first responders, you're	6	Q. All right.
7	kind of including all those. Is that fair?	7	A. But this right now this is a one-time \$50,000
8	A. Yes, that's fair.	8	grant plus \$1,000 per mile in the county to each county
9	Q. And then this grant program, did I hear you	9	that the pipeline goes through.
10	right that a \$50,000 grant would go would that be	10	Q. Are you aware that some lobbyists in South
11	paid to the county with the intent that the emergency	11	Dakota lobbied to do away with such a requirement in
12	manager can spend it on whatever he or she sees fit? Is	12	Senate Bill SB201 in South Dakota, the per-mile
13	that the intent?	13	commitment?
14	MR. DUBLINSKE: If I may, Your Honor, sort of a	14	MR. MAHLBERG: I'll object to the extent that
15	point of personal privilege, my it may just be my	15	we're talking about apparently South Dakota legislation
16	hearing that's going, but can you pull that microphone	16	when Mr. Daum is not testifying about South Dakota
17	just a little closer, Dave? I'm having trouble hearing.	17	legislation.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay. Is that better?	18	ALJ HOGAN: I'll sustain that objection.
19	ALJ HOGAN: I think so. Use your outside voice.	19	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) How much is the grant for the
20	THE WITNESS: Sorry.	20	affected landowners, business owners, and occupants?
21	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) Did you need me to state that	21	What's their grant amount?
22	again?	22	A. I don't know which grant you're talking about.
23	A. Yeah, can you repeat that, please?	23	Q. Don't you have one for the people that actually
24	Q. Sure. And I'm on page 5 of your testimony if	24	are living, working near the proposed pipeline? If
25	that helps. But this \$50,000 grant, if that's something	25	you're giving the county a large 50,000, what are you
	PAGE 217		PAGE 219
1	PAGE 217 that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it	1	PAGE 219 what are you proposing specifically for safety-related
1 2		1 2	
	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it		what are you proposing specifically for safety-related
2	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency	2	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons?
2	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any	2	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose.
2 3 4	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that	2 3 4	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can
2 3 4 5	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money?	2 3 4 5	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct?
2 3 4 5 6	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers	2 3 4 5 6	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response
2 3 4 5 6 7	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or	2 3 4 5 6 7	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the county? Say, for instance, the current owners of Summit	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a high-level summary but the exact plume modeling,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the county? Say, for instance, the current owners of Summit sell before this is constructed, I mean is there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a high-level summary but the exact plume modeling, dispersion modeling data that Summit's conducted;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the county? Say, for instance, the current owners of Summit sell before this is constructed, I mean is there something in writing where we can force you or a county	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a high-level summary but the exact plume modeling, dispersion modeling data that Summit's conducted; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the county? Say, for instance, the current owners of Summit sell before this is constructed, I mean is there something in writing where we can force you or a county can force you to pay those funds? Or how is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a high-level summary but the exact plume modeling, dispersion modeling data that Summit's conducted; correct? MR. MAHLBERG: I'm going to object to this line
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the county? Say, for instance, the current owners of Summit sell before this is constructed, I mean is there something in writing where we can force you or a county can force you to pay those funds? Or how is that working?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a high-level summary but the exact plume modeling, dispersion modeling data that Summit's conducted; correct? MR. MAHLBERG: I'm going to object to this line of questioning which is going to go down the exact same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that Summit would you know, they're going to pay it into the county or you pay it directly to emergency managers? What's the mechanism? And then are there any restrictions or guidelines on what to do with that money? A. No. It's a grant in to the emergency managers for them to use as they see fit for equipment or whatever else they may need to respond to incidents. Q. And when you say "a grant," that kind of term of art, rather than you're going to write a check for 50,000, do you mean that if emergency manager in X, Y, Z county says, "We only spent a thousand," that you'd retain 49,000 or is I'm just trying to figure out the mechanism, if you can help me out on how that works? A. That is not my understanding. Q. Okay. And then is there a contract with the county? Say, for instance, the current owners of Summit sell before this is constructed, I mean is there something in writing where we can force you or a county can force you to pay those funds? Or how is that working? A. I don't know that there's a contract at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	what are you proposing specifically for safety-related things for the actual affected persons? A. I'm not aware of any grant for that purpose. Q. And you're certainly aware that a county can construct its own emergency response plan; correct? They don't have to rely on Summit's emergency response plan, do they? A. No. A county can construct an emergency response plan. Typically, they're called "preplans." Q. And A. Or different different things that may be in there in their county for different scenarios or businesses or whatever it may be in their county. Q. And in order to create a robust and accurate and informed county-level emergency response plan, you would agree that the county should be provided not just a high-level summary but the exact plume modeling, dispersion modeling data that Summit's conducted; correct? MR. MAHLBERG: I'm going to object to this line of questioning which is going to go down the exact same road or line of questioning that has gone on on this

PAGE 220

PAGE 218

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	E COMMISSION		
1	question, but, Mr. Jorde, I don't want to go down this	1	Q. And when you say "at Summit's cost," is that the
2	road again because we've gone over this ground many,	2	course cost or does that include travel expenses so
3	many times during this hearing.	3	there's not a burden on county resources? Or where does
4	Q. (BY MR. JORDE) What's your answer, sir?	4	Summit's cost contribution or reimbursement stop?
5	A. Whenever a whenever an emergency response	5	A. Yeah. So the for the people we've sent,
6	plan, whether it's the county or it's us, we're required	6	we've paid for expenses and the course cost.
7	to do it by PHMSA, which also requires us to have	7	Q. Okay. And expenses, trip to get there
8	conversations with with emergency responders. We	8	A. Travel, hotel, meals.
9	talk about all the information that's relevant. And if	9	Q. Very good.
10	they want to use that information in their in their	10	All right. I think you have here that no North
11	preplan or plan, whatever they call it, they can do it.	11	Dakota emergency response personnel has taken Summit up
12	And we are also going to be putting together	12	on this opportunity. Is that still true as we sit here
13	what we call "initial response tactics" based on	13	today?
14	information that we receive from first responders as	14	A. As far as I know, that is true.
15	well as the information and data that we have regarding	15	Q. Okay. All right. Let's see.
16	the pipeline to have the best emergency response plan	16	MR. JORDE: Your Honor, I I don't have any
17	that we can have in a collaborative fashion with the	17	more questions for this witness, but before I pass, I
18	best response capabilities and technologies.	18	just want to clean up I thought I I'm almost
19	Q. In terms of the Texas A&M training, you state	19	positive I offered Exhibit 57, which were the photos of
20	that Summit's invited North Dakota emergency managers to	20	the Olson family that I said we'd produce later, but to
21	the course at Summit's cost. Is there something in	21	the extent I failed to offer Exhibit 57, I just want to
22	writing, some type of a contract whereby this is an	22	clean that up and get that admitted here.
23	ongoing annual training and retraining for new folks	23	ALJ HOGAN: You previously offered and I
24	that might become employed in the various emergency	24	accepted 57.
25	response offices or volunteer firefighters, for	25	MR. JORDE: Okay. I thought so. Thank you.
	PAGE 221		PAGE 223
1	PAGE 221 instance? Or how do you envision that working?	1	PAGE 223 And thank you, sir. Nothing further.
1 2		1 2	
	instance? Or how do you envision that working?		And thank you, sir. Nothing further.
2	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a	2	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions?
2 3	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency	2	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor.
2 3 4	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and	2 3 4	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION
2 3 4 5	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings	2 3 4 5 6 7	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon.
2 3 4 5 6 7	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the	2 3 4 5 6 7	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	instance? Or how do you envision that working? A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this offer is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. What education, training, or experience of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this offer is. A. Yeah, so so we get as I mentioned, we get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. What education, training, or experience of any kind do you have specific to carbon dioxide pipelines as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this offer is. A. Yeah, so so we get as I mentioned, we get a certain amount of spots, usually two to four spots per	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. What education, training, or experience of any kind do you have specific to carbon dioxide pipelines as it relates to emergency response procedures?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this offer is. A. Yeah, so so we get as I mentioned, we get a certain amount of spots, usually two to four spots per session. There's usually about four sessions per year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. What education, training, or experience of any kind do you have specific to carbon dioxide pipelines as it relates to emergency response procedures? A. So my background is I have a bachelor's degree
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this offer is. A. Yeah, so so we get as I mentioned, we get a certain amount of spots, usually two to four spots per session. There's usually about four sessions per year that we can send people to. And we'll continue to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. What education, training, or experience of any kind do you have specific to carbon dioxide pipelines as it relates to emergency response procedures? A. So my background is I have a bachelor's degree in occupational safety and health, a minor in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Again, no contract in place, but we have sent a letter to, for example, Ms. Senger, the emergency manager for Burleigh, offering that training and committing to that. And I, personally in the meetings that I've been in, brought that up and and asked if if any of the you know, in the case of the meetings I was at, the fire chiefs or any of their personnel would be interested in going, you know, to that to that training at this point in the project to learn more about pipeline basics and CO2 safety. Q. And I appreciate that. My question is a little more specific. Is the commitment here to offer participation in this program on an annual basis to those persons within the North Dakota-affected counties that may want to go or is this kind of a front offer? I'm just trying to get a little more meat on what this offer is. A. Yeah, so so we get as I mentioned, we get a certain amount of spots, usually two to four spots per session. There's usually about four sessions per year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And thank you, sir. Nothing further. ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Phillips, any questions? MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. PHILLIPS: Q. Good afternoon. A. Good afternoon. Q. There's been some discussion in your testimony about grants to the counties. Are you aware of Burleigh County's requirement for accepting and spending grants, that those have to be approved at a public county commission meeting? A. I am not. Q. Your current position is, if I can read it correctly, senior director of health, safety, security, and environmental at Summit; is that correct? A. That's correct. Q. What education, training, or experience of any kind do you have specific to carbon dioxide pipelines as it relates to emergency response procedures? A. So my background is I have a bachelor's degree

25 courses as long as it's there.

25

Q. And that was a lot of broad terms. I do want to

PAGE 224

	ND FUBLIC SERV	ICE COMMINIOSION			
1	narrow it in specifically to CO2 pipelines.	1	A. Okay, I'm there.		
2	A. As far as CO2 pipelines specifically, I have	2	Q. And the heading there at the top, 3.1,		
3	never worked on a CO2 pipeline project previously.	3	"Community/Stakeholder Outreach." It lists sort of a		
4	Q. And if I understand your testimony correctly,	4	list of stakeholders there. Does Summit or has Summit		
5	you were present at the November 27th, 2023, meeting	5	reached out to these various stakeholders? We can start		
6	with the Burleigh County emergency responders and	6	with the first, the affected public.		
7	managers; is that correct?	7	A. Well, I'll go back and say so this is community		
8	A. That's correct.	8	outreach and it references 195.440, which is the public		
9	Q. I'm going to have you look at that black binder	9	awareness program, which also references Recommended		
10	and turn to Exhibit BC119.	10	Practices 1162 on Public Awareness, which is another API		
11	A. 119?	11	•		
12	Q. Yes.	12	to follow when the time comes.		
13	A. Okay, I think I'm there.	13	At this point, you know, the public awareness		
14	Q. Did you hear the testimony earlier from Mr.	14	program isn't in place because we're not in operation.		
15	Lange about this document?	15	Okay. Have we have we talked to the affected public?		
16	A. About what? I'm sorry, I didn't hear the end of	16	Yes. The safety tour meetings that we're having and		
17	your question.	17	those types of things. But it's a little premature and		
18	Q. Did you hear the testimony earlier by Mr. Lange	18	it's a little bit different, what we're spending there,		
19	when he testified about this document?	19	than what would be specific to the requirements of this		
20	A. Yes. I was in the room.	20	regulation.		
21	Q. And is this a document that you provided to Mary	21	Q. I don't think I caught what you said triggers		
22	Senger with Burleigh County?	22	when these requirements will come into place. Can you		
23		23	explain that?		
	A. I did, after that meeting, per per request	24			
24	and per the documents that were requested in that	25	A. When we're operating a pipeline with CO2 in it.		
25	meeting. PAGE 225	25	Q. Regardless of whether it's required at this PAGE 227		
_		-			
1	Q. Is it your understanding that Summit intends to	1	time, has Summit reached out to one of the items there,		
2	comply with all the requirements in this guideline	2	fire departments, law enforcement, local emergency		
3	document?	3	planning committees?		
4	A. The document is a guidance document. I believe	4	A. Yes.		
5	we either comply with or we will comply with those that	5	Q. What about hazmat teams?		
6	are applicable to the project. Some may not be	6	A. Not specifically but sometimes indirectly		
7	applicable to the project and some items may be	7	because hazmat teams are part of the fire departments.		
8	applicable only in certain situations.	8	Q. Excavators and contractors?		
9	Q. Are you familiar with which ones are applicable	9	A. Not that I'm aware of at this time.		
10	and which ones are not?	10	Q. What about public officials?		
11	A. For example, there's there's a piece about	11	A. If you consider public officials such as county		
12	forced air displacement and using fans as emergency	12	commissioners and and then yes.		
13	response equipment. In some scenarios we may use those	13	Q. Would Summit have records of all the public		
14	depending on the situation and some they wouldn't work	14	officials it's reached out to?		
15	and we wouldn't use them. That's just an example that I	15	A. I believe there's records of all the public I		
16	can think of off the top of my head.	16	believe there's records of all the meetings, commission		
17	Q. What about the portions of the document that do	17	meetings, that that Summit has attended.		
18	clearly apply, do you does Summit intend to comply	18	Q. There was some prior testimony about whether		
19	with the requirements in this document?	19	county officials were invited to a meeting in Emmons		
20	A. Yes, I believe so. There's requirements in	20	County. Do you know if commissioners were invited to		
21	there that reference PHMSA regulations and we have to	21	that meeting in Emmons County?		
22	comply with those specifically around emergency	22	A. I'm not aware.		
23	response.	23	Q. Would the documents exist in Summit's possession		
24	Q. We'll look at a specific one, to page 6 of that	24	that establish whether or not those officials were		
25	document.	25	invited?		
	PAGE 226		PAGE 228		
			0 - 6 3 4 3		

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1 A. From -- from what I understand how the process it's a natural gas pipeline or it's a crude oil pipeline 2 works around public outreach and public -- public 2 or it's a CO2 pipeline, you still have to do the same 3 outreach, that any meetings that -- that we -- we ask 3 thing. You have to do the public outreach. You have to 4 for or we send to officials would be documented in a do the emergency response plan. You have to do the 5 system that we have within Summit Carbon. training. You have to share the emergency response plan 6 Q. Part of the outreach that you did was in 6 with first responders. You have to train first 7 7 relation to this November meeting in 2023 with Burleigh responders, so on and so forth. 8 8 County; correct? Q. Still looking at Exhibit BC119, the second full 9 A. Yes, I would say that was outreach. 9 paragraph there on page 6 talks about operators becoming 10 Q. And I'm sorry to jump back a little bit, but I 10 familiar with potential public gathering centers such as 11 11 want to make sure I cover this here. You talked earlier schools, hospitals, etcetera, along the route. What 12 12 about your -- or I asked you about education, training, work has Summit done in relation to things like schools 13 13 and experience, and you indicated this is the first CO2 and hospitals and the like? 14 pipeline you worked on. You didn't really address 14 A. So those types of things will be -- will be part 15 education or training. What education or training do 15 of -- I mentioned earlier the initial response tactics 16 you have specific to CO2 pipelines? 16 is something we do in addition to the emergency response 17 17 A. So I've been to the TEEX course as one reference plans, and that is understanding -- that will be done 18 of training. I've attended that. My -- my education as 18 for each mile of the pipeline. And that is 19 a whole covers many different aspects of safety, 19 understanding what is in the areas of the pipeline and 20 whether, you know -- and a broad array of different 20 what could be affected by a potential release, you know, 21 chemicals. So I've worked around CO2. I've worked 21 from the pipeline. 22 around H2S. I've worked around xylene, refined 22 And so, you know, when the -- when the line gets 23 23 products, so on and so forth. finalized, you know, we'll, you know, look at that data 24 You know, the regulations basically translate to 24 and that information and collect it, and that will be 25 whatever the product may be. The product -- you know, 25 some of the discussions we have with first responders as **PAGE 229** 1 1 those safety data sheets and their properties is -- is to how we would respond specifically in an area where 2 2 what then we manage the risk to. there may be a hospital or there may be a school or 3 Q. Do you agree that CO2 release and a subsequent 3 there may be a few homes, or whatever it may be. And 4 response would present some unique circumstances that that's what those specific response tacticals are for. 5 are different from more common products like oil and 5 Q. That one doesn't have a footnote to any federal 6 gas, natural gas? 6 regulations. Is that part of a federal regulation or is 7 A. I would say that CO2 has some different 7 it just one of the best practices in this guideline? 8 properties than -- than natural gas and it has some of 8 A. I don't recall specifically if that's in the 9 the same. They're both simple asphyxiants so they 9 regulation or just the guideline at this point. 10 displace oxygen. CO2 is heavier than air. Natural gas 10 **Q.** Is there a process in place to make sure that 11 is lighter but often contains things like butane and 11 Summit will go ahead and do that at the appropriate 12 12 propane, which are heavier and, under the right time? 13 13 A. Can you clarify that, go ahead and do what? conditions, can cause a cloud to drift and sit in 14 14 low-lying areas as well. CO2 is non-flammable. Natural Q. Well, you testified earlier about how certain 15 gas is flammable. So those are just some of the 15 things will become requirements under federal law when 16 properties. But, yes, there's differences. 16 you're operating the pipeline. This, it appears, may 17 Q. And this is your first time working on a CO2 17 not be a federal regulation. Is there anything that's 18 pipeline? 18 going to trigger Summit to know to go out and comply 19 A. Pipeline specific, yes. 19 with this best practice? 20 Q. Are those differences between CO2 and some other 20 A. The best practice, no. The regulation, yes, and 21 chemicals part of the reason it's important to educate 21 which this is part of the Regulation 440, which is the 22 22 stakeholders? public outreach regulation. 23 23 Q. To be clear, what we're talking about here is A. I think it's always important to educate the 24 24 stakeholders no matter what it is, and that's why the becoming familiar with public gathering centers. Does 25 regulations, like I said, translate, you know, whether Summit have a plan to become familiar with any public

PAGE 232

	ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
1	gathering centers as part of its plan of action in	1	first responders will start, and prior to going into		
2	relation to this pipeline project?	2	operation, we will conduct exercises and drills with		
3	A. Yeah, I believe so. As I mentioned, you know,	3	first responders and our personnel to make sure		
4	we will we will have to understand what could be	4	everybody's on the same page.		
5	impacted by a potential incident along the pipeline, and	5	Q. And what about Section 3.3 on page 8? This		
6	if there is one of these type of locations that are	6	deals with training of pipeline operators. What plan		
7	there, then we have to you know, we have to identify	7	does Summit have for training of pipeline operators?		
8	those and that will be part of the the discussion we	8	A. This is in regard to emergency response. Our		
9	have with first responders as to how it's best to	9	pipeline operators that Summit will employ will will		
10	respond, do they have the right equipment, so we have	10	be trained as first responders.		
11	the right equipment, so on and so forth, based on the	11	MR. PHILLIPS: Just one moment or two, Your		
12	guidance and this this document.	12	Honor. I just want to check my notes.		
13	Q. There's a list here in that same section of	13	(Pause)		
14	things that should be considered when developing a plan	14	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you. No further questions.		
15	of action. I'm not going to read them all off there,	15	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.		
16	but you can read them, starting with ability to safely	16	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I have no further		
17	evacuate people from the school, hospital, or other	17	questions.		
18	place of gathering. Is that list part of the federal	18	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Dawson.		
19	regulation?	19	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: You say that		
20	MR. MAHLBERG: I'm going to object. Two things.	20	you will take requests for equipment and besides and		
21	One to the form of that question, that he's going to ask	21	oxygen-based breathing apparatus of some sort. Is there		
22	him about a list that he just told him not to read.	22	any sort of anticipated equipment that might be		
23	Second, going down this path, I think Mr. Daum	23	requested? I'm just curious.		
24	has testified that they're going to comply with all the	24	THE WITNESS: We haven't heard too much besides		
25	applicable regulations. He's testified now beginning to	25	the CO2 monitors, but I can tell you some of the things,		
	PAGE 233		PAGE 235		
1	be ad nauseam about line by line by line out of this	1	you know, small things we've thought of include things		
2	document. I think it's becoming cumulative and	2	like reflective vests because we may have people		
3	unnecessary at this point.	3	blocking traffic in a certain area, flashlights, you		
4	ALJ HOGAN: Do you want to address that, Mr.	4	know, road barricades, general things you would use to		
5	Phillips?	5	respond to an incident, block roads and keep people		
6	MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, Your Honor. I believe I	6	away.		
7	said that I wouldn't read it out loud but that the	7	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: So besides		
8	witness certainly can and should. But I think you	8	perhaps an oxygen-based breathing apparatus of some		
9	know, you can say, yes, we're going to comply with all	9	sort, there's really no other sort of specialized		
10	the regulations and everything in this big document. I	10	equipment?		
11	should have an opportunity to go through some of the	11	THE WITNESS: No. I mean, in and the		
12	details with him to confirm that this isn't a broad	12	document, the guidance document, is there. And I		
13	statement that's not based in reality. I should be able	13	mentioned it earlier, like fans, these big fans. Those		
14	to test the veracity of that claim.	14	will be things that will be evaluated for certain types		
15	ALJ HOGAN: I'll allow him to answer.	15	of releases.		
16	A. Looking at this briefly, it looks like these are	16	And what else? As I mentioned, it displaces		
17	all things we would consider.	17	oxygen so, you know, breathing apparatus, CO2 monitors.		
18	Q. (BY MR. PHILLIPS) Looking at page 7, "Response	18	Those are the main the main response equipment that		
19	Drills and Exercises," has Summit conducted any response	19	that we would be looking at.		
20	drills or exercises with Burleigh County?	20	SUBSTITUTE DECISIONMAKER DAWSON: Thank you. No		
21	A. Not to date.	21	further questions.		
22	Q. Does it have a plan to do so at a certain time?	22	ALJ HOGAN: Commissioner Haugen-Hoffart.		
23	A. Yes. Part of our timeline, and this was also	23	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: I do have one.		
24	communicated in these safety tour meetings, was that	24	THE WITNESS: Okay.		
	once we start construction, that's when training with	25	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: You talked about		
25	PAGE 234		PAGE 236		

	ND PUBLIC SERV		
1	the grants at the county level. What about the state	1	Honor.
2	level? Has there been any communication with North	2	ALJ HOGAN: Okay. All right. Other matters to
3	Dakota DES in offering the State and I don't know if	3	discuss?
4	they're eligible so but at the State, like if there	4	Mr. Jorde, did you have something?
5	was a command center opened up or anything? Has there	5	MR. JORDE: No. Mr. Pelham read my mind. I
6	been communications with the State?	6	just wanted to be clear, though, because I know we've
7	THE WITNESS: Not that I'm aware of at this	7	kind of moved things up in the schedule and that's
8	time.	8	perfectly fine, but does maybe Mr. Dublinske, does
9	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Is there	9	that mean that Mr. Daum and Mr. Dillon are not going to
10	anticipated communication with the State on emergency	10	be presented tomorrow? I just want to make sure I have
11	response?	11	the clear lay of the land. If I put my two people on
12	THE WITNESS: I guess it's not something I've	12	and Mr. Braaten calls whoever he calls, is that I
13	thought of at this point but definitely can, happy to do	13	mean, is that the end of it? Or maybe you can clarify,
14	that.	14	Mr. Dublinske.
15	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: That would that	15	MR. DUBLINSKE: Yes. So my understanding from
16	might be a good place to, you know, have that	16	Judge Hogan's order was that we were expected to put on
17	communication with the State.	17	as much of our rebuttal case as we could today and that
18	THE WITNESS: Sure.	18	she was leaving open the door to potentially allowing
19	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Yep. Thank you.	19	rebuttal of new material from tomorrow. Knowing the
20	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	20	witness list, at this time I don't anticipate calling
21	COMMISSIONER HAUGEN-HOFFART: Now I'm saying no	21	anybody. And if we did, I don't know whether that would
22	further questions.	22	be Mr. Daum or Mr. Boeshans or somebody else entirely.
23	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	23	We have no current plans to put Daum or Dillon I
24	ALJ HOGAN: Mr. Mahlberg, any redirect?	24	think were the two you mentioned up tomorrow.
25	MR. MAHLBERG: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	25	MR. JORDE: Okay. Or anybody at this time is
	PAGE 237		PAGE 239
1	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Thank you, Mr. Daum.	1	what I'm hearing.
2	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2	MR. DUBLINSKE: That's correct. That's correct.
3	ALJ HOGAN: Any further rebuttal witnesses on	3	MR. JORDE: Okay. Thank you.
4	behalf of Summit?	4	ALJ HOGAN: And I will note that we will take
5	MR. DUBLINSKE: No, Your Honor.	5	public testimony tomorrow too so that's the other factor
6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. Any other issues we need	6	that we or item we have for our hearing tomorrow.
7	to address before we conclude today?	7	All right. Anything else?
8	MR. JORDE: Your Honor sorry, go ahead.	8	Just to clarify, Mr. Phillips, are you or
9			sase to claim, in initial populate you of
	MR. PELHAM: I was just going to say that if we	9	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing?
10	MR. PELHAM: I was just going to say that if we could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow	9 10	
10 11			Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing?
	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow	10	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor.
11	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off	10 11	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note
11 12	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start.	10 11 12	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can.
11 12 13	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's	10 11 12 13	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for
11 12 13 14	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think	10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's
11 12 13 14 15	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure	10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really
11 12 13 14 15 16	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it.
11 12 13 14 15 16	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses. MR. JORDE: Correct. The Kertzman Farm Trust,	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me yeah. Yeah. So we do have a GoTo Meeting link for
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses. MR. JORDE: Correct. The Kertzman Farm Trust, the two owners of that will testify. That's all I've	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me yeah. Yeah. So we do have a GoTo Meeting link for tomorrow. If you don't have it, shoot me an email and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses. MR. JORDE: Correct. The Kertzman Farm Trust, the two owners of that will testify. That's all I've got.	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me yeah. Yeah. So we do have a GoTo Meeting link for tomorrow. If you don't have it, shoot me an email and I'll send it to you. You can certainly dial the phone
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses. MR. JORDE: Correct. The Kertzman Farm Trust, the two owners of that will testify. That's all I've got. ALJ HOGAN: And on behalf of Summit, I know it's	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me yeah. Yeah. So we do have a GoTo Meeting link for tomorrow. If you don't have it, shoot me an email and I'll send it to you. You can certainly dial the phone option on that GoTo Meeting.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses. MR. JORDE: Correct. The Kertzman Farm Trust, the two owners of that will testify. That's all I've got. ALJ HOGAN: And on behalf of Summit, I know it's hard to guess, but do you anticipate rebuttal witnesses	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me yeah. Yeah. So we do have a GoTo Meeting link for tomorrow. If you don't have it, shoot me an email and I'll send it to you. You can certainly dial the phone option on that GoTo Meeting. I know the sound contractor is there setting up
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	could get a rundown as to what is anticipated tomorrow so everyone is on the same page so we don't start off tomorrow wondering where we're going to start. ALJ HOGAN: Yep. And I'll just note that it's my understanding Emmons County intends to call, I think they filed a list, two to five or six one for sure and then four, five maybes, I think. And, Mr. Jorde, I think your list had two witnesses. MR. JORDE: Correct. The Kertzman Farm Trust, the two owners of that will testify. That's all I've got. ALJ HOGAN: And on behalf of Summit, I know it's hard to guess, but do you anticipate rebuttal witnesses tomorrow?	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Bakke appearing at tomorrow's hearing? MR. PHILLIPS: I don't believe so, Your Honor. ALJ HOGAN: All right. Well, I will note MR. JORDE: I'm sorry, one more thing, if I can. Did we determine if there might be this same setup for tomorrow? Is it going to be phone? And then if it's phone, if that could be circulated to me, I'd really appreciate it. MR. SCHOCK: Phone, it should be sorry. I wasn't thinking about the phone question so let me yeah. Yeah. So we do have a GoTo Meeting link for tomorrow. If you don't have it, shoot me an email and I'll send it to you. You can certainly dial the phone option on that GoTo Meeting. I know the sound contractor is there setting up right now. They're going to have at least limited

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	audio and video, but we will certainly be able to
2	broadcast out audio, is what I'm told. So you could
3	have the audio connection for you would be available.
4	MR. JORDE: Okay. Thank you. I'm sending you
5	an email right now. I appreciate it.
6	ALJ HOGAN: All right. I will note for our
7	record it's 3:44 p.m. and that we will recess for today
8	in Public Service Commission Case No. PU-22-391.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	PAGE 241
	PAGE 241 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings.
	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.
	STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA) ss. I, Lisa A. Hulm, CET-783, a certified electronic transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, to the best of my professional skills and abilities. I further state that I was not present during these recorded proceedings, and I am only the transcriber of the recorded proceedings. I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties hereto, nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel; nor do I have any interest in the outcome or events of the action.

The foregoing certification of this transcript does not apply to the reproduction of the same by any means, unless under the direct control and/or direction of the certifying transcriber.

PAGE 242

		OLIVIOL O		-
\$	16 [3] - 63:12, 95:8, 198:8	21st [1] - 50:19 22 [5] - 98:1, 98:7,	5	9
	165 [1] - 8:24	98:14, 98:16, 210:11		
\$1,000 [2] - 208:15,	16th [2] - 112:1,	22nd [6] - 6:22, 11:5,	5 [2] - 69:7, 217:24	9 [4] - 70:8, 94:5,
219:8			50 [9] - 63:22, 119:11,	104:8, 145:18
	164:21	12:1, 110:6, 125:1,		The state of the s
\$10,000 [1] - 6:8	17 [2] - 112:20, 198:13	179:10	120:4, 120:5, 120:8,	9/11 [1] - 41:1
\$2.62 [1] - 92:2	176 [3] - 87:15, 87:16,	23 [1] - 121:7	120:10, 175:15,	90 [1] - 194:22
\$20,000 [1] - 68:3	87:22	23rd [1] - 81:5	185:17	90-degree [1] - 194:24
\$50,000 [4] - 208:15,	18 [5] - 93:1, 112:22,	24-inch [1] - 93:2	50,000 [2] - 218:11,	91 [2] - 104:5, 105:21
217:10, 217:25,	113:16, 138:7,	24/7 [1] - 78:14	219:25	94 [1] - 182:22
219:7	198:18	24th [1] - 9:5	50-degree [1] - 119:17	99-year [1] - 91:23
\$6,000 [2] - 67:6, 68:3	18-hour [1] - 63:12	25 [2] - 138:7, 185:18	500 [1] - 93:6	
\$85 [1] - 93:3	1883 [2] - 45:13, 62:20	27th [2] - 152:10,	52 [4] - 149:13,	Α
	19 [2] - 77:11, 180:14	225:5	149:21, 150:16,	
•			150:20	
	195 [4] - 117:18,	2nd [1] - 114:12	530 [1] - 8:19	A&M [4] - 208:3,
	135:17, 171:14,	_		209:1, 209:3, 221:19
'50s [1] - 68:7	171:19	3	54 [5] - 190:14, 192:9,	abandoned [3] -
' 70s [1] - 34:14	195.440 [1] - 227:8		193:8, 193:9, 193:13	83:15, 83:16, 83:20
	195.452 [3] - 130:9,	2 4:47 0:00	55 [8] - 97:25, 98:2,	abide [3] - 157:16,
4	130:18, 155:17	3 [15] - 4:17, 8:22,	98:14, 98:25, 99:2,	
1	195.6 [1] - 117:23	68:18, 68:23, 81:7,	99:5, 99:7, 99:25	159:25, 165:14
	1950'ish [1] - 92:22	88:6, 88:9, 88:19,	56 [2] - 100:1, 100:11	ability [3] - 123:6,
1 [4] - 27:9, 63:10,	1:30 [2] - 151:18,	88:23, 89:18,	57 [5] - 100:15,	211:17, 233:16
64:10, 68:7	151:20	111:10, 122:16,	100:22, 223:19,	able [23] - 28:16, 32:8,
10 [12] - 58:21, 67:24,	101.20	137:18, 205:19	223:21, 223:24	36:25, 44:12, 44:14,
	•	3.1 [1] - 227:2	5C [1] - 121:7	48:1, 49:13, 53:12,
70:10, 87:10, 94:2,	2	3.3 [1] - 235:5	5L [2] - 119:19, 176:11	97:24, 113:9, 120:7,
94:3, 111:17, 112:4,		30 [3] - 43:8, 120:14,	3L [2] - 113.13, 170.11	125:12, 136:10,
145:18, 155:10,	2 [16] - 64:16, 81:7,	120:16	•	157:10, 158:15,
155:11, 160:25		30-foot-long [1] -	6	158:20, 164:11,
100 [6] - 201:9,	86:9, 87:6, 88:8,	•		180:17, 190:13,
201:10, 201:16,	88:9, 88:10, 88:24,	65:22	6 [6] - 8:15, 8:18, 44:5,	194:6, 234:13,
202:2, 202:11,	94:18, 107:23,	300 [2] - 97:9, 146:14	137:10, 226:24,	240:25, 241:1
202:13	108:2, 108:7,	30th [1] - 8:14		· ·
101 [6] - 181:5,	122:14, 122:16,	31st [2] - 8:24, 102:6	231:9	above-ground [4] -
182:13, 201:8,	206:24	32 [2] - 40:20, 119:14	600 [2] - 97:9, 146:14	119:9, 120:11,
201:11, 202:3,	20 [6] - 68:19, 102:8,	35-132-51 [1] - 193:20	60014(e [1] - 212:4	120:25, 121:3
202:13	110:6, 120:14,	350 [2] - 33:22, 131:13	61-16.1 [1] - 20:21	absent [2] - 187:1,
11 [10] - 58:21, 70:13,	120:16, 192:24	37 [9] - 80:17, 80:18,	64 [1] - 8:22	188:19
87:10, 88:11, 88:25,	20-plus [2] - 169:3,	85:23, 86:6, 86:9,	66 [1] - 138:6	absolutely [7] - 16:5,
	195:19	87:6, 88:6, 93:12	6th [1] - 103:9	45:1, 47:1, 50:4,
111:15, 111:18,	200 [1] - 185:9	371 [1] - 9:3		106:1, 115:13,
190:11, 190:23	2001 [1] - 210:16	3:30 [1] - 4:15	7	210:22
1162 [1] - 227:10	2007 [3] - 138:19,			abuse [1] - 138:13
119 [1] - 225:11	139:19, 140:14	3:44 [1] - 241:7		accept [1] - 102:21
11:00 [1] - 99:13	*	3rd [1] - 4:3	7 [5] - 69:20, 137:17,	acceptable [1] - 46:5
11:05 [2] - 99:18,	2016 [1] - 65:14		137:19, 139:4,	accepted [1] - 223:24
99:20	2017 [2] - 65:14, 69:13	4	234:18	accepting [2] - 79:19,
12 [12] - 67:24, 70:14,	2020 [2] - 41:4, 138:20		700,000 [1] - 93:5	224:10
88:25, 111:21,	2021 [1] - 167:23	4 rot 60:4 400:4	7th [1] - 8:25	
112:3, 115:23,	2022 [8] - 44:5,	4 [9] - 69:1, 122:4,		Access [2] - 34:12,
139:4, 168:25,	114:12, 114:21,	122:14, 155:11,	8	34:16
193:17, 193:24,	114:23, 137:20,	160:25, 169:25,	0	access [8] - 66:1,
194:11, 195:15	139:10, 181:19,	186:16, 187:6,		75:20, 76:2, 76:18,
13 [3] - 63:4, 70:19,	182:19	216:22	8 [5] - 67:24, 69:23,	76:25, 77:6, 77:24,
	2023 [8] - 8:22, 8:24,	4.2 [1] - 146:11	112:4, 187:6, 235:5	80:6
196:14	115:24, 152:10,	432 [1] - 9:6	800,000 [1] - 93:7	accommodate [1] -
14 [9] - 63:3, 63:4,	153:16, 215:8,	437-7 [1] - 8:16	80th [3] - 181:8,	188:4
63:12, 70:23, 73:7,	225:5, 229:7	440 [1] - 232:21		accommodation [3] -
89:23, 89:25, 90:4,	2024 [11] - 4:3, 6:22,	450 [1] - 117:18	181:10, 181:23	79:16
100:2		457 [1] - 9:1	83 [2] - 30:12, 58:20	according [1] - 210:6
140 [1] - 58:20	8:14, 8:25, 9:5, 81:5,	49 [3] - 28:3, 28:6,	84-year-old [1] - 55:1	account [3] - 9:24,
141 [1] - 45:13	115:25, 138:20,	49 [3] - 26.3, 26.6,	8:30 [1] - 4:2	118:24, 119:11
15 [4] - 63:12, 74:14,	139:9, 179:10,			
172:1, 197:16	206:24	49,000 [1] - 218:13		accounts [2] - 124:12,
15th [1] - 111:22	21 [2] - 102:11, 192:24	4:30 [2] - 4:16, 4:17		132:18

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

accuracy [3] - 125:24, 126:13, 178:3 accurate [9] - 80:19, 115:11. 153:14. 157:12, 177:14, 181:16, 182:11, 182:12, 220:14 acknowledge [1] -143:7 acquaintance [4] -47:23, 48:1, 53:5, acquire [1] - 54:20 acquired [1] - 83:6 acquisition [1] - 104:9 acronym [1] - 18:2 Act [6] - 26:4, 26:8, 26:9, 211:13, 211:16, 212:4 action [2] - 233:1, 233:15 active [1] - 11:20 activities [1] - 14:10 activity [1] - 69:24 actual [15] - 16:12, 72:1, 120:9, 120:10, 123:8, 126:24, 142:8, 166:15, 166:18, 172:13, 181:22, 182:17, 183:15, 215:13, 220:2 ad [1] - 234:1 adamantly [1] - 44:4 added [5] - 79:4, 83:10, 83:14, 101:19, 161:14 addition [6] - 43:6, 70:25, 118:1, 135:11, 208:18, 231:16 additional [31] - 6:24, 12:9, 14:8, 14:11, 17:19, 24:16, 26:15, 26:18, 26:20, 29:25, 37:5, 80:15, 82:16, 110:12, 110:15, 110:21, 112:12, 115:19, 116:18, 127:15, 136:22, 137:4, 152:4, 158:18, 161:15, 162:4, 163:18, 164:14, 165:2, 210:21, 218:25 additionally [2] -181:14, 184:14 address [24] - 4:10, 10:19, 12:2, 15:6, 15:8, 72:11, 72:12, 72:15, 74:12, 101:3, 110:21, 117:1, 117:15, 118:13,

118:21, 119:2, 131:2. 136:18. 184:2, 187:18, 192:3, 229:14, 234:4, 238:7 addressed [7] - 10:18, 26:13, 116:23, 161:24, 170:22, 207:24, 208:1 addresses [4] -113:19, 137:14, 192:7, 196:20 addressing [1] -137:11 adequate [2] - 159:22, 171:12 adequately [1] -171:12 adjacent [4] - 64:13, 90:12, 94:8, 184:7 adjustment [2] -182:25, 186:18 admission [1] -112:11 admit [6] - 113:16, 127:19, 163:21, 180:3, 180:14, 207:19 admitted [5] - 22:10, 98:12, 99:6, 117:7, 223:22 admitting [1] - 98:24 **adobe** [1] - 83:9 advance [1] - 4:25 adverse [1] - 23:10 advice [1] - 81:9 advisable [1] - 17:18 advise [2] - 5:4, 6:5 advised [6] - 6:12, 38:23, 59:16, 109:2, 178:18, 206:9 advisory [4] - 114:13, 116:7, 139:11, 139:15 aerial [1] - 86:10 aerials [1] - 80:10 affect [4] - 42:8, 118:5, 155:14, 155:21 affected [12] - 31:19, 41:12, 49:25, 125:24, 161:13, 187:21, 219:20, 220:2, 222:15, 227:6, 227:15, 231:20 affecting [1] - 74:1 afford [1] - 68:5 afternoon [6] - 89:7, 104:23, 152:3, 205:23, 224:6, 224:7

agency [4] - 18:1,

35:23, 35:24, 36:2

agendas [2] - 42:18, 49.3 agent [1] - 50:6 agents [1] - 53:23 ages [1] - 138:6 ago [13] - 4:15, 12:19, 27:10, 27:18, 34:18, 66:13, 83:6, 85:8, 150:1, 187:15, 195:15 agree [22] - 50:2, 79:7, 81:23, 128:19, 139:7. 145:3. 145:19, 148:19, 149:6, 160:5, 160:10, 170:7, 178:2, 180:23, 186:23, 189:18, 191:22. 198:23. 213:4, 216:7, 220:16, 230:3 agreed [3] - 68:22, 96:6, 193:20 agreement [3] -184:14, 200:8 ahead [19] - 6:17, 10:5, 10:6, 17:19, 39:3, 39:23, 59:21, 67:8, 109:7, 121:18, 151:24, 163:20, 178:23, 192:16, 206:14, 210:10, 232:11. 232:13. 238:8 ahold [1] - 73:5 aid [1] - 32:16 air [7] - 20:2, 41:5, 64:25, 95:4, 209:6, 226:12, 230:10 akin [1] - 36:22 alcohol [1] - 138:13 Alex [1] - 108:16 ALEX [5] - 108:20, 108:23, 109:1, 109:5, 109:9 Alexander [1] - 108:20 alfalfa [4] - 65:15, 65:16, 66:3, 76:16 alive [1] - 101:23 alleged [2] - 50:10, 113:11 allegedly [1] - 96:7 alleviates [2] - 89:12, 196:15 allow [7] - 32:9, 33:3, 68:22, 134:11, 185:14, 220:25, 234:15 allowed [6] - 42:23, 68:6, 75:20, 77:6, 77:18, 216:20 allowing [3] - 23:8,

allows [3] - 32:7, 116:13, 176:4 alluded [1] - 170:1 almost [5] - 66:19, 73:8, 88:20, 95:1, 223:18 alone [1] - 147:7 altered [1] - 69:7 altering [1] - 23:18 alternate [2] - 199:18, 200:10 alternative [1] - 192:3 alternatives [1] -187:22 altogether [1] - 198:11 Alyssa [1] - 40:11 American [3] - 90:15, 153:11, 191:10 Americans [2] - 85:13 amicable [1] - 77:8 Ammerman [1] -168:20 amount [7] - 19:20, 56:11, 101:18, 114:7, 208:15, 219:21, 222:20 analogies [1] - 68:10 analogy [2] - 46:7, 46:18 analyses [2] - 115:12, 116:4 analysis [26] - 9:4, 31:15, 32:3, 32:10, 32:16. 97:14. 115:14, 115:22, 117:4, 122:4, 122:15, 123:17, 135:11, 143:6, 144:15, 147:21, 148:7, 148:22, 150:11, 156:18, 157:21, 159:2, 161:6, 163:1, 189:14, 211:3 analyze [2] - 158:15, 158:20 analyzed [1] - 92:14 ancestors [1] - 45:21 angle [1] - 194:8 animals [1] - 45:22 announcement [1] -65:18 annual [4] - 93:10, 165:20, 221:23, 222.14 annually [1] - 171:25 answer [39] - 58:18, 123:3. 126:17. 128:4, 128:11, 128:18, 128:21, 129:8, 133:1, 134:1, 134:11, 137:18,

140:3, 142:20,

142:23, 143:20, 143:22, 144:2, 144:13, 144:19, 144:21, 147:3, 156:11, 160:20, 167:2, 172:20, 173:6, 175:5, 176:19, 176:23, 187:4, 188:18, 188:24, 189:17, 214:9, 216:22, 220:25, 221:4, 234:15 answered [10] - 29:10, 123:12, 127:25, 128:15, 128:19, 140:5, 157:14, 157:24, 177:20, 215:9 answering [1] -139:17 answers [5] - 110:1, 122:14, 198:18, 207:1, 207:5 anti [3] - 97:3 anti-climate [1] - 97:3 anti-liberal [1] - 97:3 anti-taxation [1] - 97:3 anticipate [2] -238:23, 239:20 anticipated [4] -113:7, 235:22, 237:10. 238:10 anticipation [1] - 27:9 apart [1] - 210:23 **APH** [7] - 51:6, 52:13, 78:15, 78:16, 78:21, 197:5, 198:2 API [7] - 119:18, 119:19, 135:15, 135:20, 152:19, 176:11, 227:10 apologize [4] - 15:21, 18:2, 62:3, 177:1 apparatus [3] -235:21, 236:8, 236:17 appear [3] - 5:5, 5:6, 47:21 appeared [1] - 65:19 appearing [2] - 36:8, 240:9 applicability [1] -150:5 applicable [6] -130:25, 226:6, 226:7, 226:8, 226:9, 233:25 Applicant [1] - 178:8 applicant [1] - 205:15 application [4] - 4:5, 15:20, 18:12, 188:22

applications [1] -

46:18, 239:18

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

51:20 applied [2] - 214:24, 214.25 applies [1] - 199:3 apply [3] - 148:21, 215:2, 226:18 appreciate [8] - 33:6, 57:6, 71:21, 106:8, 187:5, 222:12, 240:16, 241:5 apprehensive [1] -61.24 approach [1] - 66:2 approached [1] -72:16 appropriate [8] - 16:3, 95:17, 129:16, 149:4, 149:9, 150:7, 216:8, 232:11 appropriately [1] -170:22 approval [2] - 34:11, 194:6 approve [2] - 51:20, 81:21 approved [6] - 51:9, 67:5, 68:14, 74:6, 185:19, 224:11 approximate [3] -93:15, 93:22, 197:12 **April** [10] - 11:5, 12:1, 51:10, 81:5, 102:11, 110:6, 125:1, 179:10 aquatic [1] - 23:18 aquifer [1] - 19:12 aquifers [1] - 15:2 archaeologist [2] -76:25, 77:18 Arden [2] - 52:17, 78:21 area [35] - 19:5, 19:7, 19:8, 19:11, 19:19, 21:22, 30:15, 33:14, 34:17, 43:17, 66:1, 66:6, 70:18, 71:12, 84:24, 85:20, 91:11, 95:1, 102:17, 117:25, 119:6, 123:7, 146:1, 146:5, 158:4, 160:15, 161:4, 162:23, 182:9, 182:23, 190:3, 198:21, 200:17, 232:1, 236:3 areas [40] - 9:13, 9:21, 9:22, 12:12, 14:7, 14:17, 18:19, 18:24, 19:6, 19:8, 19:13, 19:16, 21:23, 25:24, 27:21, 27:24, 27:25, 29:24, 30:1, 30:6, 31:23, 73:14, 83:22, 90:9, 90:10, 90:14,

90:15, 97:20, 117:19, 117:20, 117:22, 118:6, 155:14, 155:18, 161:7, 161:12, 162:25, 171:4, 230:14, 231:19 argue [1] - 156:7 arise [1] - 173:7 arisen [1] - 172:5 arm [1] - 86:13 arm-twisting [1] -86:13 arrange [3] - 46:10, 53:13, 55:21 arrangements [1] -79:1 array [1] - 229:20 art [1] - 218:10 artifacts [1] - 85:17 as-builts [1] - 18:17 ascertain [1] - 96:2 aspect (5) - 13:13. 75:15, 92:11, 115:5, 115:22 aspects [4] - 145:21, 145:23, 146:25, 229:19 asphyxiants [1] -230:9 assess [1] - 164:8 assessment [5] - 9:12, 114:18, 169:7, 170:12, 180:24 Assessment [1] - 8:14 assessments [9] -9:20, 9:21, 29:21, 29:25, 30:25, 31:1, 31:5, 31:24 assist [2] - 26:21, 32:3 assistant [1] - 77:22 associated [9] - 75:2, 96:20, 114:14, 117:20, 130:11, 130:13, 130:14, 148:1, 174:23 association [1] - 18:3 Association [7] - 8:1, 21:17. 23:3. 26:17. 28:19, 153:13 associations [1] -22:5 assume [1] - 152:22 assumed [1] - 4:20 assuming [2] - 23:20, 29.16 assumptions [1] -148:20 assured [1] - 18:9 at-worst [1] - 175:2 atmosphere [1] -146:19 atmospheric [5] -

129:19, 146:12, 156:20, 161:4, 165:5 attached [4] - 34:20, 34:25, 89:21, 146:8 attachment [1] - 111:7 Attachment [3] -141:15, 141:24, 146:8 attack [1] - 103:2 attempt [3] - 134:16, 135:2, 154:10 attempted [2] - 9:14, 9:19 attend [2] - 63:9, 164:4 attendance [2] -142:16, 164:19 attended [5] - 111:8, 172:14, 177:24, 228:17, 229:18 attendees [1] - 164:3 attending [1] - 167:11 attention [2] - 48:10, 209:4 attorney [9] - 35:5, 60:24, 188:3, 188:14, 204:21, 204:22, 204:23, 205:1, 205:3 attorneys [9] - 71:16, 75:9, 78:10, 91:13, 196:11, 204:17, 204:18 audible [1] - 177:3 audio [3] - 241:1, 241:2, 241:3 Audubon [2] - 129:13, 148:13 August [3] - 114:21, 114:23, 153:16 authoritative [2] -153:22, 153:23 authority [2] - 20:25, 21:11 authorize [1] - 212:5 authors [1] - 169:21 availability [1] - 209:8 available [11] - 16:7, 33:9. 37:22. 44:17. 135:15, 159:9, 162:4, 162:8, 163:11, 166:4, 241:3 average [1] - 35:8 avoid [7] - 14:17, 23:25, 83:3, 90:24, 91:12, 198:15, 215:24 avoidance [3] - 27:20, 27:24, 27:25 avoided [2] - 191:14, 197:23 avoiding [1] - 18:24

aware [43] - 25:11, 28:3, 28:5, 31:17, 31:21, 32:18, 33:10, 50:12, 65:25, 104:3, 104:6, 104:8, 104:12, 110:5, 110:11, 111:24, 133:6, 133:10, 138:4, 138:7, 138:15, 138:16, 141:16, 150:14, 165:10, 166:8, 195:11, 197:10, 197:17, 198:12, 198:14, 200:10, 208:21, 212:22, 213:24, 214:17, 219:10, 220:3, 220:4, 224:9, 228:9, 228:22, 237:7 awareness [2] - 227:9, 227:13 Awareness [1] -227:10

В

baby [1] - 40:14 bachelor's [3] - 7:17, 62:25, 224:21 backed [1] - 77:9 background [12] -7:15, 33:16, 62:23, 62:24, 78:11, 129:10, 129:22, 132:11, 165:23, 166:6, 169:19, 224:21 backhoe [1] - 25:1 bad [3] - 46:20, 193:1, 196:1 bag [1] - 97:7 bags [1] - 45:10 Bailey [3] - 5:21, 6:3, 7:10 **BAILEY** [5] - 5:23, 6:3, 6:11, 6:15, 7:3 Bakke [2] - 5:19, 240:9 Baldwin [6] - 25:13, 25:16, 25:21, 25:22, 29:8, 29:14 bales [3] - 65:6, 82:19 ball [1] - 188:9 barely [1] - 70:16 barns [1] - 83:17 barricades [1] - 236:4 barriers [1] - 14:16 base [3] - 70:15, 83:24 Based [1] - 216:23 based [44] - 11:13, 15:24, 16:2, 20:23,

26:6, 32:6, 33:15,

33:16, 47:15, 50:10, 75:10, 82:3, 90:24, 92:14, 114:3, 115:2, 115:21, 120:15, 120:16, 129:16, 134:17, 136:9, 139:13, 152:8, 155:25, 167:17, 171:9, 180:22, 182:10, 182:15, 188:24, 196:13, 196:15, 197:16, 200:15, 201:9, 202:2, 202:10, 208:7, 221:13, 233:11, 234:13, 235:21, 236:8 basic [1] - 150:9 basics [2] - 209:5, 222:11 basis [4] - 71:4, 93:10, 114:17, 222:14 BC100 [1] - 201:20 BC101 [2] - 182:13, 183:16 BC107 [1] - 22:9 BC118 [4] - 153:5, 158:24, 159:4, 159:13 BC119 [4] - 152:18, 153:10, 225:10, 231:8 BC131 [3] - 12:15, 13:5, 13:12 BC132 [3] - 16:6, 17:5, 17:10 bear [2] - 152:6, 167:19 beautiful [2] - 40:10, 49:1 beauty [1] - 71:8 became [1] - 210:13 become [6] - 32:18, 89:9, 186:23, 221:24, 232:15, 232:25 becoming [3] - 231:9, 232:24, 234:2 bed [2] - 28:4, 28:5 beforehand [1] - 65:2 began [1] - 167:23 begged [1] - 90:21 begging [1] - 48:24 begin [3] - 4:8, 125:20, 167:17 beginning [4] - 64:2, 155:13, 174:15, 233:25 behalf [11] - 51:6, 54:16, 54:18, 127:8, 129:4, 139:7,

140:12, 140:22,

177:20, 238:4,

avoids [1] - 198:11

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

238:22 Behalf [1] - 206:23 behavior [3] - 71:14, 78:25, 103:14 behind [5] - 64:25, 70:20, 70:24, 71:6, 71:15 belief [1] - 40:3 bell [1] - 31:11 below [7] - 23:23, 28:4, 28:6, 67:22, 82:14, 146:2, 158:2 Ben [1] - 183:20 benefit [2] - 13:16, 91:20 berm [1] - 82:15 Best [2] - 154:6, 155:12 best [40] - 9:11, 9:15, 12:5, 12:11, 13:18, 13:19, 13:20, 13:25, 14:6, 14:11, 14:15, 14:23, 15:6, 15:11, 15:12, 15:14, 16:4, 23:24, 24:18, 24:19, 24:20, 50:3, 55:2, 62:3, 101:2, 105:3, 152:4, 154:8, 157:6, 157:8, 158:16, 158:20, 162:20, 164:19, 221:16, 221:18, 232:7, 232:19, 232:20, 233:9 bet [1] - 81:1 better [9] - 18:21, 52:7, 71:19, 81:10, 90:18, 144:13, 174:25, 175:1, 217:18 between [13] - 12:21, 30:12, 31:3, 34:24, 54:13, 87:18, 88:9, 93:23, 119:13, 169:15, 170:19, 177:7, 230:20 beyond [8] - 14:19, 14:25, 19:21, 22:22, 24:25, 42:21, 85:12, 208:20 big [7] - 48:4, 64:25, 65:21, 174:6, 234:10, 236:13 bigger [1] - 96:18 Bill [1] - 219:12 billion [1] - 146:12 bills [1] - 101:10 binder [4] - 80:16, 152:17, 201:18, 225.9 birthday [1] - 44:5 Bismarck [26] - 9:4, 21:18, 21:21, 21:24,

22:5, 26:2, 29:15, 30:15, 44:18, 91:11, 101:23, 103:4, 103:7, 110:7, 112:1, 160:5, 160:10, 160:18, 173:3, 181:20, 182:8, 182:9, 183:17, 195:12, 197:25, 200:17 bit [12] - 11:4, 29:7, 61:18, 66:4, 75:15, 83:5, 117:13, 120:23, 174:4, 183:18, 227:18, 229:10 bits [1] - 65:8 black [5] - 181:21, 182:20, 201:17, 201:18, 225:9 blessed [1] - 42:21 block [1] - 236:5 blocking [1] - 236:3 blue [12] - 60:19, 62:8, 98:1, 98:7, 98:15, 99:1, 99:25, 101:13, 106:17, 107:9, 181:19, 182:19 blurry [1] - 62:2 board [2] - 20:24, 50:7 boards [1] - 214:24 bodies [3] - 19:15, 20:3, 21:3 body [3] - 19:22, 43:19, 45:10 BOESHANS [5] -178:11, 178:15, 178:17, 178:21, 179:1 Boeshans [12] -50:20, 90:5, 100:2, 107:24, 178:8, 178:9, 178:11, 179:6, 180:15, 183:19, 205:12, 239:22 Boeshans' [2] - 89:23, 180:3 bolster [1] - 113:10 bombing [1] - 41:1 book [3] - 30:11. 201:17, 203:6 bookworking [1] -78:19 BORE [1] - 133:12 bore [9] - 28:3, 133:12, 156:15, 156:19, 184:8, 184:12, 188:17, 189:21, 190:1 bored [1] - 28:6 boring [3] - 14:25,

36:16, 188:23

borings [1] - 35:16 Boston [1] - 41:1 bother [1] - 147:21 bottom [5] - 70:16, 73:14, 95:21, 115:4, 155:17 bought [3] - 63:22, 83:7 bound [1] - 20:17 boundary [1] - 198:9 bow [1] - 99:15 bowl [1] - 95:1 boxes [1] - 190:8 boy [2] - 85:2, 102:1 **BP** [5] - 210:8, 210:11, 210:14, 210:15, 210:17 Braaten [1] - 239:12 bracket [1] - 69:22 brand [1] - 66:3 brand-new [1] - 66:3 break [19] - 24:12, 24:15, 41:24, 42:4, 42:9, 61:8, 99:12, 99:14, 99:18, 101:1, 116:22, 151:8, 151:13, 151:17, 164:14, 175:12, 175:16, 176:20, 214:10 Breanna [3] - 40:10, 40:12, 40:13 breathe [1] - 43:22 breathing [3] - 235:21, 236:8, 236:17 **Brian** [1] - 5:5 bricks [1] - 83:10 bridge [1] - 73:9 brief [4] - 74:17, 116:5, 171:17, 209:1 briefly [16] - 6:19, 8:11, 14:4, 15:23, 27:2, 27:10, 36:10, 36:15, 36:19, 56:6, 86:18, 101:5, 103:25, 110:3, 207:21, 234:16 **bring** [1] - 172:3 bringing [1] - 24:25 briquets [1] - 97:7 British [1] - 210:7 brittle [6] - 118:17, 119:1, 119:2, 119:5, 175:20, 176:6 broad [3] - 224:25, 229:20, 234:12 broadcast [1] - 241:2 broke [1] - 71:10 broken [2] - 172:21, 175:14

brought [12] - 68:24, 72:2, 72:13, 76:14, 79:12, 80:16, 88:21, 128:23, 132:4, 143:24, 209:4, 222:6 buffer [7] - 124:12, 133:17, 134:7, 134:15, 134:25, 185:5, 185:19 buffering [1] - 125:11 buffers [3] - 133:21, 174:25, 185:14 build [4] - 40:21, 43:3, 49:22. 102:22 building [1] - 18:23 buildings [1] - 83:18 built [2] - 40:19, 85:7 builts [1] - 18:17 bulk [4] - 33:13, 72:12, 98:18 bulldoze [1] - 83:2 bulldozer [2] - 70:1, 70:3 bullet [1] - 63:18 bulletin [3] - 114:13, 139:11, 139:15 bullied [2] - 50:6, 57:25 **bully** [1] - 48:7 bullying [1] - 50:11 bunch [1] - 192:19 burden [3] - 24:17, 26:21, 223:3 burial [2] - 37:1, 191:10 buried [4] - 23:23, 24:2, 35:7, 83:13 Burleigh [36] - 5:19, 20:13, 20:16, 20:18, 21:9, 21:25, 23:12, 103:4, 152:11, 153:7, 154:25, 157:5, 157:10, 158:12, 158:15, 158:19, 158:25, 159:1, 159:5, 159:13, 162:15, 162:21, 163:2, 163:11, 180:22, 181:5, 181:14, 182:10, 182:13, 222:4, 224:9, 225:6, 225:22, 229:7, 234:20 burst [1] - 96:14 bury [1] - 23:25 business [1] - 219:20

businesses [2] -

51:13, 52:7, 52:18,

54:13, 54:17, 54:23,

54:25, 55:5, 63:8

brother-in-law [1] -

131:9, 220:13 businessmen [1] -42.18 busy [1] - 76:6 butane [1] - 230:11 button [1] - 38:7 buzzed [1] - 75:25 BV [1] - 137:22 BY [62] - 7:7, 13:13, 17:11, 27:4, 28:15, 30:23, 33:10, 36:14, 39:9, 52:5, 57:4, 60:5, 62:6, 86:7, 90:6, 92:12, 95:20, 100:25, 104:2, 105:19, 109:13, 113:18, 118:12, 120:22, 121:21, 124:5, 125:10, 128:10. 128:23. 132:3, 133:6, 134:14, 134:24, 140:9, 143:24, 145:5, 149:18, 150:22, 152:2, 158:1. 158:11. 179:5, 180:15, 185:2, 186:2, 186:15, 190:15, 192:18, 193:15, 206:20, 207:20, 209:23. 212:3. 212:18, 213:19, 214:13, 216:18, 217:21, 219:19, 221:4, 224:5, 234:18

C

calculated [1] - 158:4 calculation [1] - 93:8 calculations [1] -156:19 calendar [1] - 171:25 California [1] - 63:6 callout [1] - 115:19 calve [1] - 66:16 calved [1] - 85:3 calves [1] - 66:16 calving [1] - 63:10 camp [2] - 56:3, 85:14 campfire [1] - 53:19 campground [1] -90:15 CANARY [9] - 148:4, 148.8 148.14 149:4, 150:4, 150:10, 162:1, 162:9, 163:7 cannot [4] - 40:5, 52:10, 145:22, 212:11

brother [13] - 43:7,

47:12, 47:14, 51:7,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

capabilities [1] -221:18 capacity [6] - 28:24, 44:20, 45:8, 56:9, 56:18 car [2] - 77:20, 147:16 Carbon [14] - 4:5, 4:6, 8:23, 9:2, 9:4, 40:8, 42:15, 44:6, 45:24, 46:23, 49:1, 167:23, 206:23, 229:5 carbon [14] - 17:2, 33:20, 33:25, 41:3, 41:4, 43:14, 46:14, 121:23, 129:23, 130:21, 153:25, 208:18, 208:20, 224:19 care [5] - 44:1. 45:9. 47:10, 174:9, 174:10 carry [2] - 45:19, 157:7 cars [4] - 76:17, 83:19, 85:8. 147:12 cascade [1] - 69:20 case [33] - 6:14, 11:14, 13:15, 16:22, 17:14, 22:11, 24:6, 38:25, 59:18, 74:25, 80:5, 108:10, 109:4, 133:13, 133:14, 140:4. 155:23. 156:1, 156:2, 156:14, 156:20, 174:22, 175:2, 175:13, 175:25, 178:20, 186:7, 194:19, 200:1, 205:18, 206:11, 222:7, 239:17 Case [2] - 4:4, 241:8 cases [6] - 35:12, 71:9, 148:21, 166:22, 177:11, 199:18 Cass [2] - 143:1, 164:23 casualty [1] - 44:15 catastrophic [1] -23:20 categories [1] -172:22 cattle [10] - 63:8, 63:10, 65:7, 66:16, 71:2, 71:8, 85:2, 90:19, 96:16, 96:17 caught [2] - 72:23, 227:21 caused [2] - 31:18, 103:11 causes [1] - 68:1 causing [1] - 118:17 caveat [1] - 190:2

Center [2] - 85:12, 91:12 center [1] - 237:5 centerline [1] - 185:18 centers [3] - 231:10, 232:24, 233:1 Central [7] - 22:1, 22:16, 22:19, 23:22, 24:1, 25:19, 26:19 Century [1] - 20:21 certain [11] - 18:19, 19:20, 87:13, 186:17, 191:14, 222:20, 226:8, 232:14, 234:22, 236:3, 236:14 certainly [13] - 4:22, 7:17, 46:15, 48:12, 51:2, 86:20, 89:24, 177:16. 204:7. 220:4, 234:8, 240:21, 241:1 certificate [1] - 34:11 certification [1] -34:20 certifications [1] -34:25 certified [1] - 224:24 CFD [7] - 148:16, 148:18, 149:10, 150:4, 161:13, 161:23, 162:24 Chad [3] - 48:15, 186:4, 186:6 challenged [3] -177:23, 177:25, 178:3 challenges [6] - 74:3, 82:2, 82:3, 91:16, 92:16 challenging [1] -198:21 chance [1] - 86:16 change [6] - 97:3, 112:13, 149:25, 194:12, 200:7, 202:19 changed [2] - 182:22, 202:18 changes [5] - 42:7, 119:15, 160:17, 186:22, 203:11 channel [1] - 36:25 chaos [2] - 97:19, 97:21 chapter [1] - 212:5 Chapter [1] - 20:21 characterization [1] -216:8 characterize [2] -126:11. 189:2 charcoal [1] - 97:7

charge [2] - 76:1, 77:1

charged [1] - 147:19 Charles [1] - 41:22 chart [1] - 146:7 check [3] - 190:8, 218:10. 235:12 chemicals [2] -229:21, 230:21 chicken [1] - 83:17 chiefs [1] - 222:8 children [3] - 42:21, 42:25, 45:19 chisel [1] - 102:4 choice [1] - 91:10 choose [2] - 143:10, 188:3 Christmann [6] - 34:9, 54:11, 104:20, 106:15, 163:25, 198:25 CHRISTMANN [33] -4:12, 4:14, 4:19, 34:10, 34:19, 34:23, 35:10, 35:17, 54:12, 54:19, 54:23, 55:4, 55:7, 55:10, 104:21, 105:1, 105:5, 106:16, 106:23, 107:3, 164:1, 164:7, 165:17, 166:12, 166:19, 167:5, 167:13, 177:22, 199:2, 200:15, 200:19, 201:4, 205:16 circle [1] - 193:3 circulated [1] - 240:15 circumstance [1] -175:16 circumstances [1] -230:4 cited [1] - 30:5 cities [1] - 44:19 citizens [1] - 174:10 City [1] - 103:4 city [11] - 21:18, 21:20, 21:24, 22:4, 22:7, 26:1, 26:19, 160:19, 166:14, 182:9, 183:17 city's [1] - 26:14 civil [3] - 10:8, 62:25, 63:2 claim [1] - 234:14 claims [1] - 46:24 clamp [1] - 69:16 clarification [8] -35:21, 55:15, 56:23, 58:10. 105:7. 111:20, 140:17, 182:5 clarifications [1] -186:3 clarify [10] - 7:1,

35:11, 36:11, 56:1, 95:20, 159:11, 186:5, 232:13, 239:13, 240:8 clarifying [1] - 185:23 Class [1] - 6:7 clean [4] - 75:8, 107:19, 223:18, 223:22 clean-up [1] - 107:19 cleaned [1] - 46:8 cleaning [1] - 185:4 cleanup [1] - 99:24 clear [13] - 20:20. 28:23, 98:6, 98:23, 124:18, 134:5, 147:2, 187:7, 210:22, 215:15, 232:23, 239:6, 239:11 clearly [3] - 181:13, 185:6, 226:18 clients [2] - 187:9, 198:6 clients' [1] - 131:9 climate [1] - 97:3 climates [1] - 119:12 climb [1] - 84:19 clock [2] - 4:1, 151:20 close [9] - 25:17, 33:25, 42:22, 72:17, 80:12, 93:7, 99:13, 105:4, 168:23 closely [2] - 69:2, 181:10 closer [12] - 33:13, 61:18, 69:21, 70:23, 90:11, 101:16, 119:14, 120:16, 160:8, 182:9, 183:17, 217:17 closest [1] - 93:19 closing [1] - 116:6 cloud [1] - 230:13 CNA [1] - 43:8 CO2 [69] - 20:1, 21:6, 23:11, 23:14, 23:16, 24:21, 32:21, 34:3, 41:22, 41:25, 43:16, 43:18, 43:19, 44:8, 45:2, 93:1, 95:2, 95:3, 121:24, 129:12, 130:1, 130:12, 130:14, 130:23, 133:4, 133:8, 135:15, 137:15, 138:24, 140:15, 146:2, 146:16, 146:20, 151:2, 152:19, 153:19, 154:3, 155:7, 155:9,

155:13, 161:13,

164:24, 167:24, 168:4. 168:8. 168:15, 169:13, 169:18, 169:23, 209:5, 211:17, 213:4, 222:11, 225:1, 225:2, 225:3, 227:24, 229:13, 229:16, 229:21, 230:3, 230:7, 230:10, 230:14, 230:17, 230:20, 231:2, 235:25, 236:17 CO2-related [1] -168:10 coal [1] - 83:20 Coast [1] - 63:5 Code [1] - 20:21 cognizant [1] - 65:10 cold [4] - 118:16, 118:17, 119:22, 175:17 colder [2] - 119:11, 175:15 collaboration [1] -12:21 collaborative [6] -127:15, 129:15, 166:10, 170:14, 170:19, 221:17 collect [1] - 231:24 color [3] - 64:22, 66:20, 87:1 coma [1] - 43:16 combination [1] combines [1] - 82:19 comfort [1] - 31:8 comfortable [1] -163:4 coming [4] - 65:16, 71:3, 165:25, 192:11 command [1] - 237:5 comment [4] - 115:8, 141:1, 194:15 comments [3] - 74:17, 193:4, 193:6 Commission's [7] -27:20, 27:24, 92:5, 123:2, 123:13, 124:3, 125:7 commissioners [16] -6:20, 7:14, 7:20, 44:7, 46:13, 60:25, 110:12, 131:17, 166:14, 166:15, 166:23, 166:25, 167:10, 177:17, 228:12, 228:20 commit [2] - 177:8, 184:11

commitment [7] -

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

4:15, 48:7, 205:19, 218:23, 218:24, 219:13, 222:13 committed [6] - 46:24, 190:9, 198:4, 208:13, 208:19, 208:21 committees [1] -228:3 committing [2] -190:1. 222:5 commodity [1] -165:18 common [1] - 230:5 communicate [1] -195:22 communicated [2] -107:20, 234:24 communicating [2] -195:9. 204:7 communication [14] -51:17, 57:10, 71:14, 72:1, 72:20, 72:22, 74:20, 75:13, 75:15, 78:25, 197:13, 237:2, 237:10, 237:17 communications [3] -55:9, 154:16, 237:6 communities [10] -8:3, 19:13, 125:24, 126:4, 126:8, 126:13, 127:1, 127:5, 143:7, 144:23 communities' [1] -126:1 community [6] -25:17, 25:18, 45:2, 145:11, 209:11, 227:7 Community/ Stakeholder [1] -227:3 compacted [1] - 82:23 companies [9] -12:22, 18:11, 47:25, 52:23, 71:19, 96:24, 114:15, 114:20, 119.2 company [9] - 12:7, 18:21, 48:10, 79:13, 103:6, 129:2, 129:13, 148:4, 169:6 compares [2] -124:13, 125:11 comparing [1] -202:13 comparison [1] -27:20 compensated [1] -92.17 compensation [1] -58:7

completely [2] - 96:5, 143:8 complex [5] - 149:2, 149:8, 162:11, 172:20 compliance [1] -159:18 complied [4] - 154:19, 155:1, 157:23, 159:14 comply [13] - 154:10, 154:12, 159:6, 159:15, 171:16, 226:2. 226:5. 226:18, 226:22, 232:18, 233:24, 234:9 complying [1] -154:23 components [1] - 42:9 composition [1] -176:13 compound [1] - 214:7 compromise [1] computational [11] -148:15, 148:23, 149:1, 149:7, 149:24, 150:13, 161:6, 161:8, 161:19, 162:5, 162:16 computerized [1] -78:19 concentration [3] -43:15, 146:16, 146:20 concentrations [5] -21:7, 146:2, 146:9, 146:11 concept [1] - 74:20 concern [15] - 23:13, 36:21, 40:16, 69:18, 69:23, 75:1, 84:15, 87:15, 87:20, 88:2, 91:20, 94:23, 96:19, 97:15, 184:5 concerned [5] - 10:22, 15:25, 40:6, 51:1, 95:22 concerning [2] -20:25, 21:11 concerns [48] - 6:22, 10:19, 10:20, 10:22, 11:14, 11:16, 15:1, 15:6, 19:23, 19:25, 23:7, 24:8, 24:9,

compiling [1] - 173:25

complete [5] - 48:9,

116:3, 148:9,

completed [1] -

155:19

148:13. 150:25

25:15, 25:20, 26:1, 26:13, 28:6, 29:9, 29:11, 31:22, 33:17, 36:17, 36:20, 37:3, 46:22, 51:2, 74:18, 82:9, 82:21, 84:4, 85:17, 85:19, 89:14, 90:7, 90:25, 101:3, 113:25, 116:24, 134:16, 135:3, 183:23, 184:2, 192:4, 192:7, 196:15, 196:21, 199.15 conclude [5] - 71:12, 101:21, 103:13, 103:16, 238:7 concluded [1] - 101:6 concluding [1] -115:24 conclusion [11] -48:24, 131:21, 131:24, 132:2, 143:11, 143:13, 143:15, 211:20, 211:24, 212:14, 213:9 condition [3] - 64:12, 175:2, 189:20 conditioned [1] -188:23 conditions [10] -66:18, 120:17, 124:11, 129:19, 146:12, 146:19, 156:21, 165:5, 174:22, 230:13 condoning [1] - 40:5 conduct 151 - 9:20. 12:2, 32:10, 163:1, 235:2 conducted [6] -155:22, 163:5, 215:5, 216:24, 220:18, 234:19 confidence [2] -92:15, 132:8 confident [5] - 131:6, 131:10, 174:2, 174:4, 174:12 confidential [11] -111:3, 122:10, 122:23, 123:9, 124:21, 129:3, 136:4, 137:4, 137:8, 156:6, 216:18 confidentiality [3] -113:12, 136:11, 174:18 confidentially [2] -133:25, 134:10

157:11, 163:12, 234:12 confirmed [1] - 204:1 confirming [1] - 9:7 confronted [1] - 95:23 confused [2] - 196:4, 199:4 confusing [2] - 86:14, 89:5 confusion [2] - 196:7, 200:23 conjecture [1] - 72:19 conjunction [1] -12:10 connection [1] - 241:3 connectivity [1] -240:25 Connector [1] - 79:11 consent [2] - 40:3, 58.6 consequence [6] -117:19, 117:20, 118:6, 155:14, 155:18, 156:18 consequences [6] -23:10, 42:5, 103:14, 155:23, 156:1, 156:2 Conservancy [2] -12:11, 12:23 conservation [2] -21:1, 21:12 conservative [1] -174:24 consider [8] - 13:1, 16:22, 16:23, 17:1, 51:19, 160:15, 228:11, 234:17 considerations [1] -213.6 considered [7] - 20:1, 111:3, 154:14, 197:1, 203:12, 213:10, 233:14 considering [2] -13:22, 97:16 considers [1] - 153:22 consistent [2] - 130:4, 130:7 consists [2] - 104:9, 122:20 console [1] - 103:3 constant [1] - 42:24 constantly [1] - 73:17 constitutes [1] - 166:3 constrained [1] -186:21 construct [2] - 220:5, 220:8 constructability [3] -188:19, 189:5, 189:19 constructed [6] -42:24, 68:15, 69:25,

82:13, 83:9, 218:18 construction [19] -12:8. 14:2. 14:7. 14:12, 14:24, 16:1, 16:12, 17:14, 17:17, 17:24, 18:11, 18:20, 27:8, 69:14, 82:23, 116:9, 189:9, 219:2, 234.25 consultant [1] - 10:11 Consultant [1] -Consultants [5] -148:2, 148:3, 148:4, 149:22, 149:24 consulted [1] - 143:3 contact [14] - 47:3, 47:20, 50:16, 50:25, 53:1. 54:6. 54:20. 54:25, 55:16, 55:23, 56:13, 57:7, 196:11, 196:12 contacted [2] - 54:16, 67:5 contacting [1] - 53:9 contain [1] - 20:7 contained [3] -135:10, 137:5, 154:11 contains [2] - 105:5, 230:11 contamination [2] -21:2, 21:13 content [8] - 122:10, 126:10, 134:3, 134:20, 156:6, 156:23, 157:15, 208:7 contents [3] - 98:15, 98:16, 124:19 context [3] - 120:7, 128:20, 150:6 continual [1] - 172:5 continue [15] - 43:3, 49:13, 49:18, 62:21, 68:16, 74:14, 75:13, 82:2, 90:7, 96:10, 99:22, 116:15, 151:21, 215:11, 222:22 continued [1] - 136:25 continues [3] - 70:6, 84:10, 161:7 contract [6] - 57:8, 92:10, 218:16, 218:22, 221:22, 222:2 contracted [2] -114:19, 129:13 contraction [1] - 42:2 contractor [6] - 67:6, 68:1, 68:2, 161:21, 162:6, 240:23

29:6, 80:22, 116:22,

confirm [7] - 8:12,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

contractors [4] -
168:18, 168:21,
169:9, 228:8
contractual [1] - 95:25
contrast [1] - 72:7 contribute [2] - 10:3,
11:23
contribution [2] -
19:11, 223:4
control [9] - 21:1, 21:12, 118:24,
118:25, 119:1,
119:2, 168:7,
179:16, 200:6
controlled [1] - 67:8 controls [1] - 26:9
convenient [1] -
186:24
conversation [6] -
53:20, 77:14, 154:24, 159:5,
159:8, 164:23
conversations [7] -
50:23, 53:17, 54:15,
102:16, 102:19,
166:9, 221:8 convulsions [1] -
43:16
coops [1] - 83:17
coordinates [1] - 32:9
coordination [2] - 25:2, 171:7
copies [6] - 58:15,
60:24, 61:3, 61:8,
80:15, 100:14
copy [10] - 61:6, 80:20, 87:1, 99:6,
99:7, 100:10,
100:24, 107:8,
142:8, 149:19
corner [1] - 88:21 correct [99] - 11:12,
16:16, 20:22, 28:25,
29:1, 31:16, 31:25,
32:16, 32:17, 34:5,
52:14, 68:16, 78:3, 80:22, 91:2, 92:18,
92:19, 105:25,
106:5, 106:6,
109:15, 109:16,
109:23, 110:2, 110:10, 110:14,
110:10, 110:14,
111:1, 111:5, 111:6,
111:8, 111:9,
111:13, 111:14, 112:5, 112:18
112:5, 112:18, 117:2, 117:5,
117:10, 117:11,
117:16, 131:13, 131:14, 131:19,
131:14, 131:19, 132:9, 132:14,
132:15, 134:18,

		U	ч			,	J	,
1)	P	J	JE	31	L	(
1	13 13 14 15 15 15 15 16 17 18 18 19 19 20	7: 7: 1: 2: 3: 8: 9: 5: 6: 2: 8: 2:	15, 23, 14, 7, 12, 21, 9, 13, 10, 20, 7, 7, 11, 23, 4, 2	13 14 152 153 153 153 16 179 179 186 186 207	37::1:6 13::2:9, 52::25 52::25 3::17 58::10 79::2 3::20 3::20 7::2,	16, 6, 10, 16, 24, 7, 10, 12, 21, 21, 21, 21,		
c	21 22 22 23 or 11 or 95 22	0: 4: 5: 8: re 2: re :9	4, 2 25, 19, 17, 8, 2 19, ctic 6, (22 22 22 24 24 27 179 (6) 3:1	20:{ 24:7 25:7 9:8, 9:24 1 - 3 1 - 3 1 - 3	5, 16, 7, <u>2</u> 1- 14, 2 75:	18 14	,
С	19 or 18	5: ric 5:		[2]	- 1	85	:4,	
c	08 67 20 22 22 0u ou ou	t [:3 9: 3: 3: ins	el [2] ies	- 24 7:6 22 223 [2] - 1	4:2: , 10 21:2 3:2, - 5: 11:	5, 01: 21, 22, 26, :15	25: 9, 23: 81	4,
	16 21 o u o u 20	4: 6: int in	2, 19, 19, 2, 2 (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19) (19)	16 222 5 s [58] 20:	35:18 2:18 1] - - 5 16,	18, 5, 2 10 :19)3: ⁻),):1	15 8,
	21 30 77 80 10 11 11 15 15 15 15	:1 :4 :1 :4: :5: 1: :2: :4: :7: :8: :8: :9:	0, 2 4, 5 7, 79 5, 7 21, 22, 11, 15, 25, 15	21: 58: 9:2 1:5 1:5 104 1143 15 15 15 15	25, 20, , 79, , 10, , 10, , 10, , 11, , 11, , 12, , 13, , 14, , 14	2; 60 9:6 03: 25, 23, 7, 6, 11, 11,	3:1 0:1 , 4,	2,

162:15, 162:21,

```
163:2, 163:11,
 164:17, 164:23,
 166:23, 167:6,
 180:22, 181:5,
 181:15, 182:10,
 182:13, 194:7,
 225:6, 225:22,
 228:20, 228:21,
 229:8, 234:20,
 238:14
county [33] - 126:19,
 141:22, 154:18,
 166:14, 166:23,
 166:25, 167:7,
 167:10, 208:14,
 208:16, 214:1,
 217:11, 218:2,
 218:12, 218:17,
 218:19, 219:1,
 219:8, 219:25,
 220:4, 220:8,
 220:12, 220:13,
 220:15, 220:16,
 221:6, 223:3,
 224:11, 228:11,
 228:19, 237:1
County's [1] - 224:10
county-level [1] -
 220:15
couple [7] - 28:18,
 97:12, 116:22,
 125:19, 173:18,
 180:16, 199:23
coupled [1] - 161:5
course [7] - 189:16,
 221:21, 222:23,
 222:24, 223:2,
 223:6, 229:17
courses [1] - 222:25
coursework [1] - 7:18
Court [1] - 5:4
court [6] - 47:15,
 47:18, 47:22, 77:5,
 78:7, 188:10
Courtney [3] - 40:11,
 49:17, 49:20
cousin [1] - 88:12
cousin's [1] - 88:18
cover [7] - 34:25, 66:5,
 121:6, 152:5, 153:5,
 153:11, 229:11
covered [11] - 16:17,
 65:24, 67:7, 101:1,
 116:21, 156:9,
 156:17, 156:25,
 174:18, 181:11,
 186:9
covers [1] - 229:19
cows [2] - 63:11, 65:8
crack [1] - 99:8
cracking [1] - 176:7
```

```
crazy [1] - 48:1
create [2] - 174:24,
 220:14
created [3] - 97:21,
 148:10. 167:5
creates [1] - 44:2
creation [1] - 152:14
credit [1] - 93:3
crew [1] - 69:14
crisscrosses [1] -
 64:22
criteria [4] - 117:24,
 119:18, 131:22,
 176:9
critically [1] - 118:2
critique [2] - 141:10,
 141:13
crop [1] - 116:18
crops [1] - 63:13
CROSS [14] - 27:3,
 28:14, 30:22, 52:4,
 104:1, 118:11,
 120:21, 121:20,
 152:1, 185:1, 186:1,
 186:14, 209:22,
 224:4
cross [19] - 51:21,
 52:12, 64:5, 68:22,
 70:17, 90:10,
 115:13, 117:10,
 125:6, 141:16,
 151:22, 182:21,
 184:21, 189:7,
 189:24, 194:7,
 194:22, 196:7,
 209.14
cross-examination [3]
 - 51:21, 151:22,
 209:14
cross-examined [1] -
 141:16
cross-examining [1] -
 196:7
crosses [2] - 40:1,
 182:8
crossing [14] - 9:24,
 14:7, 20:4, 22:3,
 22:15, 24:10, 25:18,
 27:15, 32:12, 90:13,
 117:3, 194:5,
 194:20, 194:24
crossings [1] - 14:8
crude [1] - 231:1
culled [1] - 22:15
cultural [3] - 90:25,
 191:17, 191:18
cumulative [1] - 234:2
curious [5] - 143:17,
 193:17, 211:24,
 212:20, 235:23
current [15] - 104:5,
 106:19, 106:20,
 106:22, 180:19,
```

181:8, 181:25, 182:19, 185:8, 191:22, 193:25, 203:5, 218:17, 224:14, 239:23 curves [1] - 198:9 cut [5] - 65:5, 69:15, 70:7, 91:14, 182:25 cutting [1] - 76:16 CV [1] - 210:6

D

```
D-A-U-M[1] - 206:2
dad [12] - 63:7, 72:13,
 72:24, 72:25, 73:2,
 77:19, 77:23, 78:17,
 79:8, 79:20, 84:8,
 102:24
dad's [5] - 77:11, 85:5,
 88:12, 88:18, 94:10
Dakota [62] - 6:6, 8:1,
 8:23, 14:18, 14:20,
 19:18, 20:6, 20:21,
 21:16, 23:2, 23:24,
 26:17, 28:19, 31:24,
 32:22, 33:7, 33:8,
 33:18, 33:22, 34:3,
 34:12, 34:13, 34:15,
 42:8, 42:12, 42:17,
 43:13, 44:20, 49:2,
 67:2, 96:6, 97:14,
 103:5, 103:19,
 103:20, 104:7,
 114:1, 114:9,
 115:10, 115:18,
 115:20, 119:10,
 119:13, 121:2,
 131:13, 144:22,
 165:11, 168:20,
 174:10, 185:10,
 212:25, 213:2,
 213:7, 219:11,
 219:12, 219:15,
 219:16, 221:20,
 222:15, 223:11,
 237:3
Dakota's [2] - 44:19,
 118:15
Dakota-affected [1] -
 222:15
Dakotans [4] - 42:20,
 44:7, 48:11, 96:2
Dale [1] - 182:24
Dam [1] - 36:23
damage [1] - 66:4
dams [1] - 36:24
danger [2] - 46:3,
 48:22
dangerous [3] - 43:19,
 96:21, 97:15
dangers [1] - 72:18
```

cranes [1] - 70:4

crawling [1] - 75:16

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Daniel [1] - 108:20 dare [3] - 42:15, 42:17, 42:20 dashed [2] - 181:21, 182:20 data [12] - 32:2, 116:14, 127:11, 131:18, 132:7, 133:23, 134:17, 135:9, 220:18, 221:15, 230:1, 231:23 date [1] - 234:21 dated [6] - 8:14, 8:22, 8:24, 8:25, 81:6, 206:24 dates [2] - 111:12, 151:3 daughter [3] - 40:12, 40:13. 49:17 daughters [1] - 40:21 **DAUM** [5] - 206:1, 206:5, 206:8, 206:12, 206:16 Daum [20] - 144:12, 172:23, 205:15, 205:17, 205:23, 206:1, 206:3, 206:21, 206:23, 207:10, 207:20, 208:25, 209:14, 215:22, 219:16, 233:23, 238:1. 239:9, 239:22, 239:23 Dave [3] - 144:12, 172:23, 217:17 David [5] - 5:18, 168:20, 205:15, 206:1, 206:23 **DAVID** [5] - 206:1, 206:5, 206:8, 206:12, 206:16 Dawson [8] - 36:6, 37:16, 56:24, 105:13, 131:17, 175:8, 204:13, 235:18 **DAWSON** [11] - 36:7, 56:25, 105:14, 175:9, 176:24, 178:2, 204:14, 205:8, 235:19, 236:7, 236:20 days [6] - 41:10, 44:25, 63:12, 63:14, 64:3, 85:9 dealing [3] - 165:19, 211:11, 214:4 deals [1] - 235:6 death [1] - 43:16 debris [3] - 37:2, 83:11, 90:11

decades [1] - 117:10 decaying [2] - 70:22, 83:18 decide [2] - 49:15, 80:7 decided [1] - 80:2 decides [1] - 145:8 decision [5] - 13:15, 51:19, 81:21, 92:6, 131:22 Decisionmaker [1] -131:17 decisionmakers [1] -131:16 deemed [4] - 123:9, 123:13, 136:3, 150:11 deep [4] - 23:22, 23:25, 24:5, 33:4 deeper [1] - 35:16 deeply [1] - 40:6 Deepwater [1] -210:18 defend [1] - 113:10 defer [1] - 203:15 define [3] - 19:1, 119:4, 160:13 defined [3] - 19:6, 19:8, 119:10 definitely [2] - 26:10, 237:13 definition [1] - 117:23 definitions [1] -117:19 definitively [5] -145:20, 145:23, 145:24, 154:12, 154:22 deform [1] - 176:5 deformation [1] -176:7 deformations [1] -176:15 degradation [1] - 42:1 degree [3] - 62:25, 157:13, 224:21 degrees [9] - 97:9, 119:11, 119:14, 120:4, 120:14, 120:16, 121:7, 175:15. 194:22 delivered [1] - 72:25 delta [1] - 70:14 demonstrated [1] -48:13 denoted [1] - 146:25 densely [1] - 25:24 denser [1] - 97:20 deny [3] - 48:25, 51:20, 103:17 departments [2] -228:2, 228:7

depict [1] - 22:13 depicted [1] - 181:14 depicts [1] - 22:14 depth [4] - 35:7, 37:1, 120:15. 121:6 deputies [1] - 77:22 deputy 131 - 77:20. 77:21, 77:22 Der [1] - 137:25 derive [1] - 142:7 derogatory [1] - 76:12 **DES** [1] - 237:3 describe [7] - 15:11, 15:12, 15:13, 55:20, 58:3, 154:7, 170:2 described [2] -132:16, 133:11 describing [2] - 87:23, 124:18 description [3] - 52:8, 52:10, 58:19 descriptions [1] -132:20 design [18] - 17:13, 63:1, 114:15, 115:3, 117:21, 119:4, 119:8, 119:17, 119:25, 129:18, 130:25, 139:14, 139:24, 167:25, 175:22, 175:23, 175:25, 176:9 desired [1] - 135:25 desktop [2] - 31:7, 199:22 despite [1] - 81:18 destroy [2] - 82:25, 90:18 detail [6] - 47:16, 48:10, 74:10, 138:16, 162:18, 170.9 detailed [8] - 93:7, 105:11, 148:22, 150:11, 164:11, 170:6, 215:7 details [9] - 48:17, 86:19, 124:8, 124:15, 138:18, 157:9, 174:17, 175:3, 234:12 detect [1] - 182:2 detectors [1] - 164:25 determine [8] - 130:5, 161:14, 161:15, 174:16, 189:4. 191:13, 194:25, 240:13 determined [1] - 124:3 determining [1] -150:6

127:4, 144:9, 169:10 developed [7] - 94:10, 101:24, 102:15, 153:11, 183:11, 201:10, 202:3 developer [2] - 48:15, 48:16 developing [3] -127:2, 154:14, 233:14 development [9] -49:15, 50:1, 91:17, 102:14, 169:6, 171:5, 171:10, 172:8, 180:19 developments [1] -22:4 devices [1] - 69:16 devised [1] - 114:17 devoted [1] - 43:10 diagonal [1] - 194:5 diagram [5] - 145:20, 145:21, 145:22, 194:3, 194:10 dial [1] - 240:21 die [3] - 42:12, 45:4, 65:9 died [2] - 44:5, 103:9 difference [3] - 31:3, 46:20, 202:14 differences [3] -119:12, 230:16, 230:20 different [27] - 24:11, 32:11, 32:12, 34:18, 69:5, 86:15, 89:16, 117:20, 117:24, 124:10, 131:3, 146:25, 165:6, 165:18, 172:21, 173:1, 183:16, 205:18, 210:22, 220:11, 220:12, 227:18, 229:19, 229:20, 230:5, 230:7 difficult [5] - 30:10, 74:4, 124:22, 175:5, 182:1 difficulty [1] - 80:1 dig [1] - 67:23 digging [3] - 25:1, 68:4, 97:6 digital [4] - 135:14, 160:14, 161:25, 163:6 Dillon [2] - 239:9, 239:23 dioxide [12] - 17:2, 33:20, 33:25, 41:4, 43:14, 121:23, 129:23, 130:21, 153:25, 208:18, develop [7] - 8:5, 208:20, 224:19 10:15, 22:4, 49:13,

direct [6] - 42:14, 50:25, 55:16, 55:22, 56:13. 56:17 **DIRECT** [6] - 7:6, 39:8, 60:4, 109:12, 179:4, 206:19 directed [1] - 53:3 direction [5] - 42:10, 75:23, 146:18, 161:3 directly [12] - 41:11, 51:11, 53:2, 53:3, 53:10. 102:17. 130:24, 196:12, 204:16, 204:24, 218:2 director [8] - 121:23, 121:25, 127:9, 152:13, 209:25, 211:10. 211:11. 224:15 directors [1] - 153:2 dirt [1] - 65:21 disagree [7] - 148:24, 148:25, 149:6, 149:10, 181:2, 187:1, 195:25 disagreed [1] - 149:23 disagreement [1] disappear [1] - 63:24 disapproval [1] -76:13 discernable [1] -146:20 disclosing [1] -123:12 discuss [6] - 60:10, 141:5, 141:7, 187:21, 199:8, 239:3 discussed [10] -30:24, 48:18, 90:7, 119:12, 121:13, 123:16, 125:9, 152:15, 156:4, 203:7 discussing [1] - 159:6 discussion [4] -165:19, 200:21, 224:8, 233:8 discussions [3] -208:8, 214:3, 231:25 disorder [1] - 138:11 Dispersion [1] -155:12 dispersion [66] -48:17, 97:13, 97:14, 110:9, 111:5, 122:3, 122:6, 122:7, 122:15, 122:18, 123:8, 124:9, 124:10, 124:11, 124:12, 124:13, 125:10, 125:22, 125:25, 126:6,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

127:10, 129:6,
129:11, 129:14,
130:3, 130:6,
130:22, 131:3,
131:7, 131:11,
132:5, 132:12, 133:4, 133:17,
135:12, 136:16,
143:5, 144:7,
144:15, 147:20,
148:5, 148:6, 148:9,
148:13, 150:8,
152:15, 154:15, 155:20, 156:3,
156:13, 161:5,
161:15, 161:16,
162:8, 162:17,
163:5, 163:10,
166:3, 174:3, 174:13, 174:25,
211:2, 211:5, 211:7,
220:18
displace [1] - 230:10
displacement [1] -
226:12
displaces [1] - 236:16
displacing [1] - 23:16 dispute [2] - 147:9,
147:12
disrepair [2] - 64:15,
73:10
disrupting [1] - 46:1
dissolved [1] - 23:17
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 Districts [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturb [1] - 184:13
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturbed [1] - 82:22
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturbed [1] - 82:22 diversion [1] - 44:25
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturbed [1] - 82:22 diversion [1] - 44:25 divide [1] - 93:3
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturb [1] - 184:13 disturbed [1] - 82:22 diversion [1] - 44:25 divide [1] - 93:3 docket [4] - 115:16,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturbed [1] - 82:22 diversion [1] - 44:25 divide [1] - 93:3
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturb [1] - 184:13 disturbed [1] - 82:22 diversion [1] - 44:25 divide [1] - 93:3 docket [4] - 115:16, 117:7, 147:23, 203:14 Docket [8] - 8:16,
dissolved [1] - 23:17 dissolves [1] - 23:16 distance [12] - 66:2, 93:15, 94:24, 161:15, 180:18, 180:23, 181:8, 181:13, 181:23, 191:1, 191:3, 200:23 distances [4] - 93:18, 94:11, 133:19, 211:3 distinction [1] - 88:9 distress [1] - 71:2 distribution [1] - 22:21 district [3] - 20:14, 21:4, 78:7 District [6] - 20:18, 21:10, 22:19, 23:23, 24:1, 26:20 District's [1] - 22:16 districts [1] - 20:8 disturb [1] - 184:13 disturbed [1] - 82:22 diversion [1] - 44:25 divide [1] - 93:3 docket [4] - 115:16, 117:7, 147:23, 203:14

J	U	n	e	3,
ND	P	U	В	LI
docur				
12:9				
16:1				
27:2: 81:3				
152:				
153:				,
153:	19,	153	3:22	.,
153:				
157:				
158:	14,	158	3:21	,
159:: 162::	21, 21	16	9:24 2:21	,
192:	21, 20	206	≥.∠ ฯ ร∙วว	,
225:				
225:				•
226:4	4, 2	26:	17,	
226:				
227:				,
234:: 236:		34:	10,	
docur		ntat	ion	[5] -
135:				
172:	13,	172	2:16	, i,
173:				
docur		nte	d [1]	-
229:4				
docur				
8:12,				
11:1: 35:2:	3, i 3, 6	2.0 8.03	, 55 61	·.22,
98:1	4, 1	35:	21,	,
135:				,
159:				
159:	12,	159	9:16	,
159:	18,	169	9:20	,
174:: 228::		22:	5:24	,
dollar		- 48	3.2	
48:2				2:22
dollar				
218:	25			
doma	in [7] -	48:6	6,
50:6				
80:5 dome				
Domii				
done				
18:1				
36:3				
115:	12,	110	6:10 -	,
118:	21,	119	9:7, 3:24	
119: 131:	10, 11	1/1	ນ:∠1 ງ∙ງ∩	,
155:	25.	15	20 7:21	,
161:	19,	16	5:21	,
174:	3, 1	88	10,	
200:				
231:				
door				
DOT [
Dotze				

183:20, 184:12,

```
189:4, 189:11,
 189:22. 190:6
Dotzenrod's [1] -
 184:4
Dotzenrods [4] -
 183:24, 184:11,
 184:15, 188:16
doubt [4] - 75:8,
 75:10, 79:23, 80:6
down [35] - 24:23,
 35:21, 43:20, 56:3,
 56:8, 57:25, 64:19,
 65:17, 69:2, 70:9,
 70:15, 72:23, 73:4,
 73:5, 76:6, 76:9,
 77:9, 79:15, 85:15,
 87:18, 88:3, 88:21,
 116:22, 136:25,
 164:14, 174:20,
 176:17, 176:25,
 195:16, 195:18,
 209:11, 214:10,
 220:21, 221:1,
 233:23
downplay [2] -
 125:14, 125:17
downward [1] - 95:1
dozen [2] - 168:23,
 183:10
draft [1] - 135:23
drafting [1] - 26:21
drain [3] - 184:10,
 190:7
drainage [7] - 183:24,
 184:5, 184:9,
 188:17, 188:23,
 189:22
draining [1] - 184:9
dream [1] - 49:18
dreamt [1] - 78:17
drift [1] - 230:13
drill [1] - 115:3
drilling [1] - 37:6
Drills [1] - 234:19
drills [2] - 234:20,
 235:2
drinking [16] - 6:24,
 7:15, 8:4, 8:5, 15:3,
 19:2, 19:3, 19:9,
 19:16, 23:11, 33:17,
 83:16, 117:15,
 117:25, 118:1, 118:6
Drinking [3] - 26:4,
 26:8, 26:9
drinks [1] - 56:4
drive [12] - 5:7, 25:4,
 64:24, 65:16, 70:21,
 73:18, 73:19, 82:11,
 82:20, 85:10, 88:15,
 171.10
drives [1] - 77:20
```

```
drop [2] - 119:20,
 176:12
drop-weight [1] -
 176:12
dropping [1] - 97:6
drove [5] - 66:1, 76:6,
 76:8, 76:11, 76:21
drug [3] - 66:21,
 73:18, 138:12
dry [3] - 66:13, 66:18,
 84:1
Dublinske [5] -
 107:20, 109:7,
 177:2, 239:8, 239:14
DUBLINSKE [40] -
 108:4, 108:15,
 109:8, 109:13,
 112:10, 112:18,
 112:21, 112:23,
 113:17. 113:18.
 118:8, 122:9,
 122:21, 124:17,
 126:9, 127:23,
 128:2, 128:15,
 131:20, 132:22,
 134:2. 134:19.
 139:21, 140:4,
 143:11, 144:24,
 150:17, 156:5,
 156:22, 157:13,
 158:8, 160:7, 177:3,
 177:7, 178:5,
 217:14, 238:5,
 238:25, 239:15,
 240:2
ductile [7] - 119:5,
 119:6, 119:24,
 120:3, 176:4, 176:14
due [6] - 24:14, 26:1,
 40:13, 42:1, 44:8,
 47:16
dug [5] - 65:18, 67:23,
 69:3, 69:10, 83:15
duly [6] - 7:4, 39:6,
 60:2, 109:10, 179:2,
 206:17
dumb [1] - 176:25
dumping [1] - 46:2
during [14] - 14:6,
 14:12, 14:24, 16:1,
 56:7, 63:8, 100:16,
 102:25, 135:9,
 136:21, 164:18,
 211:1, 219:5, 221:3
Dutch [1] - 137:20
dynamics [11] -
 148:16, 148:23,
 149:1, 149:7,
 149:25, 150:13,
 161:6, 161:8,
 161:19, 162:5,
 162:16
```

Ε E-L-K-I-N-S [1] - 6:3 earliest [2] - 64:3, 85:9 early [2] - 29:19, 53:16 earth [3] - 69:9, 70:7, 70:15 easement [17] - 48:2, 56:11, 58:6, 91:23, 92:4, 95:24, 104:6, 104:9, 183:24, 184:6. 184:9. 184:18, 188:18, 188:23, 189:22, 200:8 easements [13] -47:24, 48:8, 50:10, 54:20, 89:13, 104:4, 104:7, 105:22, 183:8, 183:12, 186:21, 195:20, 204:2 easier [3] - 187:13, 187:17, 196:12 easily [3] - 32:10, 97:4, 181:13 east [17] - 11:22, 58:21, 75:19, 87:8, 88:11, 94:4, 95:7, 95:9, 95:13, 105:6, 160:5, 160:10, 182:7, 183:3, 193:20, 194:10, 200:16 eastern [2] - 89:2, 181:24 eastward [1] - 75:23 easy [3] - 127:13, 151:17, 182:3 eat [1] - 65:8 economic [2] - 50:2, 91:16 economically [1] -92:17 Ed [3] - 115:17, 115:24, 115:25 edge [4] - 84:24, 93:20, 93:21, 94:4 educate [5] - 135:5, 136:24, 166:5, 230:21, 230:23 education [9] - 26:6, 33:16, 137:1, 172:6, 224:18, 229:12, 229:15, 229:18 educational [3] - 7:15, 136:15, 159:20

Edward [3] - 8:21,

effects [2] - 41:13,

8:25, 45:12

effect [1] - 26:7

driving [3] - 65:1,

71:1, 82:18

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

40.45
43:15
effort [7] - 14:17,
127:15, 129:15,
166:10, 170:14,
170:19, 173:4
efforts [2] - 54:20,
129:1
eight [3] - 23:25, 24:3,
63:25
eight-year [1] - 63:25
eighths [1] - 93:24
either [15] - 5:4, 39:12,
54:23, 82:23, 84:21,
141:2, 145:20,
151:12, 159:10,
185:18, 189:10,
197:8, 204:6,
213:16, 226:5
elaborate [1] - 174:4
electrical [1] - 67:13
electricity [1] - 29:17
electronically [1] -
135:25
elevated [1] - 75:6
elevation [5] - 160:12,
160:14, 160:17,
161:25, 163:6
eligible [1] - 237:4
eliminate [2] - 146:5,
197:20
elite [1] - 138:14
Elkins [21] - 5:21,
5:22, 6:3, 6:4, 6:20,
7:8, 7:10, 12:15,
22:9, 26:23, 27:5,
28:2, 28:9, 28:16,
30:16, 30:21, 36:15,
37:17, 113:22,
114:5, 117:2
ELKINS [5] - 5:23, 6:3,
6:11, 6:15, 7:3
Elkins' [1] - 115:2
email [6] - 47:2, 89:22,
153:5, 195:5,
240:20, 241:5
emailed [2] - 100:4,
192:11
emanate [1] - 142:24
embedded [1] - 32:2
emergency [92] -
44:12, 44:16, 44:22,
45:5, 45:9, 67:4,
110:8, 111:23,
112:2, 122:17,
125:21, 125:22,
126:7, 126:18,
126:19, 126:25,
127:14, 127:20,
129:5, 135:18,
136:10, 137:2,
141:22, 143:6,
143:8, 143:9,
143:18, 143:22,
· '

```
144:1, 144:6, 144:9,
  144:10, 144:12,
  144:16, 145:1,
  145:6, 145:12,
  152:11, 153:1,
 154:3, 164:23,
  165:12, 165:13,
  166:1, 167:8, 170:4,
  170:10, 170:19,
  171:1, 171:6,
 171:11, 171:22,
 172:9, 172:23,
 172:25, 208:7,
 208:16, 209:5,
 209:6, 209:10,
 210:23, 211:9,
 215:6, 216:2,
 216:24, 217:1,
 217:11, 218:2,
 218:6, 218:11,
  220:5, 220:6, 220:8,
  220:15, 221:5,
  221:8, 221:16,
 221:20, 221:24,
 222:3, 223:11,
 224:20, 225:6.
 226:12, 226:22,
 228:2, 231:4, 231:5,
 231:16, 235:8,
 237:10
eminent [7] - 48:6,
 50:6, 58:8, 78:6,
 80:5, 87:11, 92:11
Emmons [7] - 30:14,
 77:4, 166:23, 167:6,
 228:19, 228:21,
 238:14
emotions [1] - 44:2
emphasis [3] - 7:18,
 7:21, 63:1
employ [1] - 235:9
employed [4] - 28:20,
 28:21, 29:3, 221:24
employee [1] - 132:7
employees [4] - 26:21,
 168:7, 168:9, 168:13
employer [1] - 28:24
employment [2] -
 57:8, 167:23
EMS [1] - 44:13
encompassed [1] -
 63:5
encompasses [1] -
 139:16
encourage [1] - 43:2
encumbered [1] - 68:8
end [15] - 8:12, 63:24,
```

84:5, 84:25, 87:25,

88:17, 116:9,

127:14, 133:12,

151:17, 160:9.

166:6, 212:7,

225:16, 239:13

```
endangered [1] -
 118:2
ended [2] - 50:9,
 195:20
Energy [1] - 153:12
enforcement [1] -
 228:2
engage [2] - 200:2,
 205:5
engaged [2] - 9:20,
 205:6
engagement [1] -
 204:15
engineer [2] - 63:2
engineering [11] -
 62:25, 116:10,
 121:23, 122:1,
 127:9, 132:4,
 152:13, 161:22,
 166:7, 168:22,
 169:15
engineers [2] - 168:3,
 168:6
enjoy [2] - 46:16, 71:7
ensure [1] - 14:15
ensuring [2] - 13:20,
 18:22
entire [5] - 19:17,
 19:19, 63:5, 103:10,
 131:12
entirely [2] - 199:24,
 239:22
entirety [4] - 9:16,
 9:18, 121:1, 185:9
entitled [1] - 206:22
entity [1] - 148:10
entourage [3] - 47:12,
 75:21, 76:16
environmental [10] -
 7:23, 7:24, 10:19,
 10:22, 27:8, 31:4,
 85:21, 209:25,
 224:16, 224:23
envision [5] - 97:4,
 97:19, 188:6,
 188:11, 222:1
ephemeral [1] - 9:24
equipment [22] - 70:4,
 71:10, 73:13, 82:20,
 83:19, 164:10,
 164:22, 165:2,
 171:8, 208:2, 208:5,
 208:11, 208:22,
 209:7, 218:7,
 226:13, 233:10,
 233:11, 235:20,
 235:22, 236:10,
 236:18
equipped [1] - 44:15
erode [2] - 70:6, 88:4
eroded [1] - 70:15
erosion [5] - 9:13,
 10:3, 10:23, 37:5,
```

```
70.12
especially [4] - 15:2,
 42:11, 172:24, 174:8
essentially [3] - 18:16,
 95:22, 147:20
establish [1] - 228:24
established [1] -
 214:13
establishing [2] -
 33:9, 81:3
estate [4] - 52:16,
 52:19, 53:11, 54:13
estimate [1] - 155:23
estimation [1] - 94:11
estimations [1] -
 93:18
etcetera [3] - 91:1,
 166:15, 231:11
ethanol [1] - 45:25
evacuate [1] - 233:17
evacuated [1] - 41:6
evaluated [2] - 155:14,
 236:14
evaluation [1] -
 155:15
event [10] - 15:24,
 20:3, 24:12, 28:7,
 36:22, 37:4, 43:18,
 44:15, 155:24, 156:1
events [4] - 10:3,
 11:21, 31:19, 36:22
evidence [9] - 9:14,
 22:10, 74:16, 81:4,
 99:25, 103:17,
 104:22, 107:24,
 157:5
evidently [1] - 70:20
evolved [1] - 170:17
exact [9] - 73:21,
 92:13, 105:23,
 115:16, 124:15,
 175:4, 187:11,
 220:17, 220:21
exactly [17] - 34:2,
 45:23, 53:15, 97:17,
 97:19, 110:13,
 121:9, 122:22,
 123:21, 136:1,
 146:13, 150:5,
 181:15, 189:5,
 194:17, 202:14,
 204:3
EXAMINATION [23] -
 7:6, 27:3, 28:14,
 30:22, 36:13, 39:8,
 52:4, 57:3, 60:4,
 104:1, 105:18,
 109:12, 118:11,
 120:21, 121:20,
 152:1, 179:4, 185:1,
 186:1, 186:14,
 206:19, 209:22,
 224:4
```

```
examination [3] -
 51:21, 151:22,
 209:14
examined [7] - 7:4,
 39:6, 60:2, 109:10,
 141:16, 179:2,
 206:17
examining [1] - 196:7
example [5] - 66:12,
 142:17, 222:3,
 226:11, 226:15
examples [1] - 48:9
excavated [1] - 87:18
excavating [1] - 24:21
excavators [1] - 228:8
exceed [1] - 172:1
excellent [1] - 105:20
except [1] - 166:23
excerpts [1] - 135:16
excluding [1] - 75:3
exclusion [2] - 27:21,
27:24
excuse [4] - 11:23,
89:19, 182:16,
 182:21
execute [1] - 130:17
executives [1] - 46:13
exemplify [1] - 48:9
Exercises [1] - 234:19
exercises [2] - 234:20,
235:2
exhibit [15] - 60:23,
71:22, 80:11, 89:9,
 90:1, 97:25, 112:16,
 112:17, 155:10,
 177:16, 181:19,
 182:2, 182:13,
 182:14, 201:20
Exhibit [44] - 8:19,
 12:15, 13:5, 16:6,
 17:5, 85:23, 87:6,
 88:6, 93:12, 98:2,
 98:14, 98:25, 99:2,
 99:5, 99:25, 100:1,
 100:15, 107:23,
 108:2, 108:7,
 141:17, 149:13,
 149:21, 150:15,
 152:18, 181:17,
 181:18, 182:16,
 182:17, 186:4,
 190:11, 190:14,
 192:9, 193:8,
 193:17, 193:24,
 199:4, 201:8,
 202:15, 223:19,
 223:21, 225:10,
 231:8
exhibits [3] - 114:8,
 186:8, 202:17
exist [3] - 81:16,
173:21, 228:23
existing [2] - 30:3,
```

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

fallow [2] - 102:2,

102:3

69:12 expansion [1] - 42:2 expect [4] - 71:18, 71:20, 170:10, 202:18 expectation [1] -170:11 expected [1] - 239:16 expecting [1] - 40:13 expenses [3] - 223:2, 223:6, 223:7 experience [22] - 10:2, 18:15, 24:13, 26:6, 33:16, 63:19, 68:10, 114:3, 168:4, 168:8, 168:10, 168:15, 168:25, 169:13, 169:18, 169:19, 169:23, 210:6, 215:5. 216:23. 224:18, 229:13 experienced [3] -43:17, 61:14, 61:15 experiences [2] -68:17, 74:8 expert [2] - 82:1, 138:2 expertise [1] - 114:3 explain [12] - 4:24, 9:17, 61:9, 61:13, 73:12, 78:16, 81:14, 124:8, 154:17, 181:1, 184:1, 227:23 explained [6] - 79:21, 128:16, 130:3, 133:17, 140:5, 154:25 explaining [1] - 68:12 explicit [1] - 188:5 explode [1] - 44:10 explosion [3] - 41:21, 44:11, 45:3 **expose** [3] - 10:25, 119:21, 209:14 exposed [2] - 65:22, 69:9 exposing [1] - 91:22 exposure [6] - 43:14, 43:16, 44:9, 75:5, 75:6, 137:19 Express [1] - 4:6 express [1] - 74:23 expressed [4] - 50:21, 76:13, 183:23, 197:7 expressing [1] - 74:2 extended [2] - 111:22, 167:12 extending [2] - 22:6, 67:3 extends [2] - 19:20, 85:1 extensive [1] - 169:19

extent [11] - 126:10,

131:21, 132:22, 181:24, 211:19, 212:13, 212:14, 213:8, 215:11, 219:14, 223:21 external [3] - 168:8, 169:8, 169:9 extra [2] - 71:5, 178:4 extreme [5] - 42:7, 42:11, 47:16, 118:15, 118:16 extremely [6] - 62:1, 84:1, 102:13, 102:14, 150:6, 174:23 eye [1] - 76:4 eyes [1] - 62:2 eyewitness [3] -147:13, 147:14, 147:22

F

face [1] - 43:4 faced [1] - 45:2 faces [3] - 40:23, 41:17, 49:2 facilities [2] - 119:10, 120:11 facility [3] - 184:5, 211:18, 212:7 facing [1] - 48:22 fact [14] - 17:25, 19:25, 41:24, 42:3, 45:7, 47:17, 47:18, 81:17, 111:17, 117:9, 126:5, 157:7, 157:12, 157:21 factor [2] - 213:6, 240:5 factors [3] - 42:1, 130:5, 186:19 facts [1] - 86:8 Fahrenheit [5] -119:11, 119:14, 120:14, 120:16, 121:8 fail [1] - 150:3 failed [3] - 51:3, 93:14, 223:21 failing [1] - 40:4 failure [4] - 16:25, 17:1, 103:9, 103:12 fair [13] - 29:18, 49:23, 52:20, 53:20, 109:22, 159:16, 162:7, 162:12, 162:20, 169:24, 217:7, 217:8 faith [2] - 46:25, 48:7 fall [4] - 53:16, 68:15, 84:14, 173:2

falls [2] - 123:13, 124:3 false [2] - 174:11 familiar [23] - 21:17, 26:4, 29:17, 31:2, 147:14, 148:18, 152:23, 165:12, 203:16, 204:3, 210:13. 211:12. 211:14, 211:15, 211:24, 212:8, 212:9, 212:10, 226:9, 231:10, 232:24, 232:25 familiarity [2] -165:15. 212:20 family [15] - 43:4. 45:12, 45:13, 49:13, 49:19, 52:15, 90:17, 91:21, 94:23, 95:10, 100:14, 101:9, 102:5, 103:15, 223:20 famous [1] - 40:2 fans [3] - 226:12, 236:13 far [15] - 35:23, 40:16, 42:10, 69:11, 72:14, 84:5, 134:16, 135:4, 167:18, 172:12, 173:20. 191:9. 196:25, 223:14, 225:2 Farm [1] - 238:19 farm [17] - 29:15, 43:4, 45:12, 47:13, 49:19, 62:21, 63:7, 63:8, 67:13, 82:10, 82:11, 82:17, 82:20, 83:19, 84:1, 101:24 farmed [3] - 40:20, 101:25 farmer [1] - 39:25 Farmers [2] - 74:15, 74:22 farmhouse [4] - 83:9, 85:6, 94:9 farming [4] - 43:6, 45:16, 45:17, 62:19 farmland [3] - 82:25, 90:18, 102:13 Farms [8] - 51:6, 52:13, 78:15, 78:16, 78:21, 78:22, 197:6, 198:2 farmstead [2] - 93:20, 93:22 farmyard [8] - 64:15,

fashion [3] - 175:14, 202:23, 221:17 fast [1] - 47:9 fast-forward [1] - 47:9 father [14] - 43:7. 52:17, 54:14, 54:17, 55:1, 60:15, 66:4, 68:22, 72:10, 77:9, 83:6, 94:10, 101:24, 101:25 fear [3] - 42:24, 88:14, 96.7 features [5] - 9:25, 32:11, 82:7, 87:3, 191:14 Federal [3] - 26:4, 26:7, 214:20 federal [21] - 118:23, 130:4, 130:7, 130:9, 130:18. 139:12. 154:1, 155:19, 157:15, 157:16, 165:15, 171:13, 212:11, 212:23, 215:1, 215:3, 232:5, 232:6. 232:15. 232:17, 233:18 feds [1] - 214:1 feed [1] - 65:7 feedback [1] - 159:1 feedlot [1] - 46:8 feelings [1] - 50:13 feet [18] - 19:21, 23:25, 24:3, 24:5, 28:3, 28:6, 35:9, 35:11, 35:12, 35:13, 67:21, 67:24, 82:14, 82:16, 115:4, 185:9, 185:17, 185:18 felony [1] - 6:7 felt [1] - 50:12 fence [1] - 76:23 fenced [1] - 66:20 few [8] - 28:13, 49:11, 62:14. 78:10. 151:12, 158:24, 165:17, 232:3 field [33] - 9:20, 11:9, 11:15, 16:23, 18:25, 29:21, 29:25, 30:2, 30:25, 31:10, 31:14, 31:23, 52:25, 65:15, 65:17, 65:19, 76:16, 82:10, 83:3, 83:23, 84:6, 84:25, 87:7, 87:15, 87:25, 88:17, 102:3, 183:1, 185:16, 192:5 fields [4] - 64:22, 82:11, 82:18, 88:15 fight [2] - 43:23, 75:9 fighting [2] - 91:13,

figure [3] - 190:8, 198:5, 218:13 figures [1] - 92:13 file [4] - 5:5, 177:16, 203:25. 204:2 filed [12] - 5:4, 5:8, 9:5, 27:8, 89:10, 113:20, 177:16, 181:18, 182:18, 203:4, 213:14, 238:15 filing [4] - 78:9, 104:23, 115:16, fill [1] - 66:22 filled [1] - 67:4 filling [1] - 5:19 final [2] - 79:19, 98:22 finalized [2] - 199:5, 231.23 finally [4] - 43:9, 46:22, 72:23, 78:11 finances [1] - 67:8 fine [13] - 6:8, 61:20, 62:6, 62:7, 67:10, 86:24, 121:17, 129:24, 132:24, 162:14, 177:22, 177:25, 239:8 finger [1] - 76:11 finished [2] - 76:8, 86:22 Fire [1] - 153:14 fire [5] - 56:3, 56:9, 222:8, 228:2, 228:7 firefighters [2] -44:13, 221:25 first [84] - 5:16, 7:4, 38:6, 39:6, 39:11, 43:8, 59:2, 60:2, 60:8, 62:12, 63:18, 64:23, 65:20, 91:25, 98:7, 101:8, 108:16, 109:10, 115:24, 121:12. 121:15. 121:17, 122:2, 126:1, 126:3, 126:20, 127:16, 127:21, 128:25, 132:19, 134:15, 135:1, 135:6, 135:22, 136:17, 137:1, 144:8, 147:9, 147:22, 153:1, 153:8, 158:4, 164:17, 165:1, 165:25, 166:5, 166:8, 166:15, 166:18, 167:20, 167:24, 170:20, 170:21, 171:1, 171:15, 172:2,

172:6, 172:23,

76:6, 85:15, 94:4,

94:8, 94:9, 94:19,

129:2

95.7

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

173:17, 173:18, 179:2, 195:21, 199:5, 200:2, 201:20, 206:17, 208:1, 208:6, 208:22, 209:19, 216:1, 217:1, 217:6, 221:14, 227:6, 229:13, 230:17, 231:6, 231:25, 233:9, 235:1, 235:3, 235:10 firsthand [1] - 160:20 fist [1] - 18:16 fit [3] - 208:17, 217:12, 218:7 five [9] - 6:8, 8:2, 19:10, 79:3, 82:16, 93:24, 185:13, 238:15, 238:16 five-eighths [1] -93:24 five-year [1] - 19:10 flags [1] - 64:19 flammable [2] -230:14. 230:15 Flanagan [1] - 201:9 flash [1] - 41:9 flashlights [1] - 236:3 flat [1] - 66:3 FLO-2D [5] - 132:17, 132:21, 133:6, 161:24, 163:7 floated [1] - 70:22 floating [2] - 73:13, 73:19 floodgates [1] - 36:23 flooding [2] - 28:8, 133.7 flow [5] - 37:3, 75:12, 81:25, 95:4, 162:2 flowing [2] - 120:12, 120:17 fluid [13] - 97:8, 120:12, 148:15, 148:23, 149:1, 149:7, 149:24, 150:13, 161:6, 161:8, 161:19, 162:5, 162:16 foaming [1] - 147:11 focus [2] - 87:2, 95:18 focused [1] - 95:14 folder [10] - 60:19, 62:9, 77:2, 98:1, 98:7, 98:16, 99:2, 99:25, 103:8, 107:9 folders [1] - 61:4 folks [6] - 77:21, 102:19, 105:24, 107:25, 209:10, 221.23 follow [16] - 9:11,

9:15, 14:23, 15:6, 16:4, 23:5, 49:11, 53:23, 117:14, 139:3, 145:7, 157:8, 164:21, 204:19, 204:21, 227:12 follow-up [5] - 23:5, 49:11, 53:23, 157:8, 164.21 followed [6] - 18:10, 23:16, 158:16, 158:20, 183:1, 183:3 following [5] - 12:1, 13:20, 18:11, 65:15, 176:11 follows [7] - 7:5, 39:7, 60:3, 109:11, 179:3, 183:1, 206:18 fond [1] - 102:5 food [1] - 71:9 foot [5] - 35:7, 68:7, 92:2, 92:22, 121:6 footnote [1] - 232:5 footprint [1] - 131:12 force [3] - 71:16, 218:19, 218:20 forced [1] - 226:12 forces [1] - 42:2 foresaw [1] - 68:7 foresee [1] - 20:2 forget [2] - 41:2, 44:3 forgets [1] - 41:11 forgotten [2] - 44:3, 49:5 Forks [1] - 96:6 form [8] - 79:2, 92:23, 135:12, 214:6, 215:10, 215:25, 216:5, 233:21 formal [2] - 59:8, 78:23 formally [1] - 100:4 formed [1] - 70:14 forming [1] - 126:7 forms [2] - 94:24, 95:1 formulate [2] - 143:8, 143:18 formulating [1] -144:16 forth [6] - 27:13, 77:15. 87:14. 229:23, 231:7, fortunate [1] - 44:11 forward [4] - 47:9, 136:18, 202:18, 202:22 foul [1] - 66:20 foul-looking [1] -66:20 foundation [8] - 81:2,

113:9, 126:22,

144:6, 165:24,

170:2, 170:3, 207:17 foundational [3] -122:5, 122:7, 122:18 four [27] - 24:5, 35:7, 35:9, 35:11, 35:12, 41:5, 60:24, 64:24, 66:13, 67:21, 74:1, 82:14, 82:16, 82:19, 95:7, 95:8, 102:4, 117:19 121:6 135:23, 181:23, 200:20, 208:1, 222:20, 222:21, 238:16 four-foot [2] - 35:7, 121:6 four-wheel [2] - 64:24, 82:19 fourth [4] - 39:25, 45:11, 62:18, 128:8 fracture [6] - 118:24, 118:25, 119:1, 119:2, 175:18, 176:16 fractures [1] - 118:17 fracturing [1] - 176:7 frame [2] - 51:10, 102:25 frankly [2] - 192:5, 204:4 frantically [1] - 63:13 freedom [1] - 63:23 freeze [1] - 24:1 freeze-up [1] - 24:1 freezing [2] - 66:24, 66:25 frequent [1] - 24:14 frequently [3] - 44:25, 202:19, 203:18 fresh [1] - 66:3 Friday [1] - 190:20 Friday/Saturday [1] -190:21 front [9] - 39:11, 48:23, 60:8, 80:16, 86:10, 149:19, 181:6, 200:22, 222:16 frost [1] - 24:14 full [10] - 6:1, 38:12, 58:23, 108:18, 133:11, 156:15, 156:19, 178:10, 205:24, 231:8 fully [3] - 103:10, 194:13 funds [1] - 218:20

116:15, 126:23, 136:19 G gain [5] - 66:1, 70:2, 76:18, 77:6, 80:6 gaining [1] - 195:5 garbage [4] - 45:25, 71:6, 71:8, 83:12 Garrison [1] - 36:23 gas [11] - 12:22, 13:21, 16:19, 17:3, 42:10, 230:6, 230:8, 230:10, 230:15, 231:1 Gasification [1] -168:20 gate [1] - 193:4 gathering [5] - 29:17, 231:10, 232:24, 233:1, 233:18 gears [1] - 183:18 general [21] - 22:20, 50:3, 63:16, 123:4, 123:12, 123:20, 123:24, 126:15, 132:25, 133:1, 136:13, 156:8, 157:18, 157:20, 160:21, 165:8, 165:16, 174:20, 215:16, 217:1, 236:4 generalities [1] -123:4 generally [29] - 14:5, 15:13, 19:10, 19:17, 24:2, 25:11, 59:7, 61:9, 82:2, 110:20, 118:25, 120:14, 126:11, 127:3, 141:21, 142:7, 147:24, 147:25, 156:11, 160:18, 160:23, 164:22, 165:4, 166:17, 176:19, 187:17, 207:25, 216:25, 217:5 generate [1] - 93:9 generated [1] - 134:25 generation [3] - 39:25, 45:11, 62:18 gentleman [4] - 57:7, 67:16, 75:25, 79:13 genuinely [1] - 125:4 geochemistry [1] furthermore [2] -7:18 42:17, 43:2 geographical [1] future [13] - 30:3,

131:1

geography [1] - 74:3

geohazard [8] - 9:12,

30:24, 114:17, 115:11, 115:14, 115:22, 139:11, 139.15 Geohazards [1] - 8:14 geohazards [12] -33:17, 114:8, 114:12, 114:13, 114:14, 114:16, 115:8, 115:19, 116:2, 116:8 Geologic [1] - 115:18 geological [4] - 8:18, 29:22, 113:24, 114:4 Geological [5] - 8:23, 14:18, 14:20, 114:9, 115:10 geologist [8] - 7:13, 7:23. 31:10. 31:14. 31:24, 32:18, 33:15, 114:19 Geologist [1] - 114:1 geologist's [1] -116:24 geologists [3] - 11:9, 11:15, 114:24 geology [2] - 32:20, 33:19 geophysics [1] - 7:19 geoscience [1] - 7:18 geospatial [1] -116:14 Geosyntec [1] -114:20 geotechnical [1] -10.8 gesture [1] - 76:12 girls [2] - 40:10, 42:16 girls' [1] - 40:23 GIS [1] - 32:6 given [8] - 81:17, 92:22, 110:3, 157:11, 161:17, 182:3, 182:4, 190:2 glimpse [1] - 197:6 Global [1] - 137:22 gloves [1] - 71:9 Gludt [16] - 17:6, 27:1, 36:15, 37:9, 51:25, 57:15, 86:2, 87:1, 89:22, 98:3, 100:18, 103:24, 105:20, 106:9, 178:23, 205:10 GLUDT [28] - 13:7, 17:7, 27:2, 27:4,

32:24, 33:1, 37:10,

52:1, 57:16, 86:3,

92:3, 95:11, 98:4,

100:7, 100:19,

103:25, 104:2,

104:15, 106:10,

178:7, 178:24,

45:6, 49:14, 50:1,

66:8, 66:9, 68:8,

91:23, 102:15,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

179:5, 180:2, 170:8, 170:24, grew [3] - 40:19, н 180:15, 184:19, 62:24, 85:5 171:4, 171:17, 193:10, 205:11, 171:21, 171:23, grind [1] - 65:7 H-A-G-E-R-O-T-T [1] -205:15 ground [21] - 23:23, 172:11, 173:8, 59.1 173:12, 174:1, Goerger [2] - 194:2, 24:14, 46:2, 62:21, **H2S** [1] - 229:22 195:13 65:4, 73:16, 73:24, 175:6, 177:19, Hadley [1] - 40:12 201:7, 201:15, Goerger's [1] - 194:21 82:15, 84:3, 84:22, HAGEROTT [8] -201:19, 201:22, goo [1] - 97:10 90:18, 101:1, 119:9, 58:24, 59:3, 59:5, 202:1, 202:6, gosh [1] - 18:1 120:11, 120:12, 59:7, 59:12, 59:15, 120:25, 121:3, 202:10, 202:16, GoTo [2] - 240:19, 59:19, 60:1 203:1, 203:21, 147:11, 152:5, 240:22 198:11, 221:2 Hagerott [21] - 37:21, 204:11, 235:16, Government [1] -37:25, 39:24, 45:12, 236:23, 236:25, 214:20 groundwater [2] -52:17, 58:17, 58:22, 237:9, 237:15, 14:23, 19:7 grabbing [1] - 97:6 58:25, 59:9, 61:17, 237:19, 237:21 groundwork [1] grain [2] - 82:12, 78:21, 90:6, 91:9, Haugen-Hoffart [8] -82:18 125:21 92:9, 95:18, 99:11, 35:19, 55:13, 57:22, grand [1] - 85:5 group [2] - 138:5, 100:3, 104:3, 107:7, 105:9, 167:15, 144:3 Grand [1] - 96:5 197:7, 197:9 201:6, 235:15, groups [1] - 136:19 grandchildren [2] -Hagerott's [1] - 95:14 236:22 arowina [1] - 49:18 42:16, 42:25 **HAUGEN-HOFFART** half [18] - 22:2, 24:2, guarantee [3] - 96:14, granddaughter [1] -58:21, 64:14, 67:7, [53] - 35:20, 36:1, 184:16, 189:25 40.12 87:11, 88:10, 88:11, 36:4, 55:14, 55:19, grandfather [8] guaranteeing [1] -93:23, 94:14, 94:15, 55:25, 56:12, 56:15, 84:20 45:12, 62:19, 62:22, 94:21, 94:22, 56:20, 56:22, 57:23, 68:6, 85:7, 92:22, guess [19] - 34:23, 183:10, 193:20, 58:3, 58:9, 105:10, 94:9, 101:24 35:2, 57:5, 76:3, 203:12 167:16, 167:22, grandfather's [2] -95:20, 101:3, hallways [1] - 44:23 168:2, 168:11, 115:20, 120:3, 65:13, 88:18 hammer [1] - 187:25 168:14, 168:24, 122:11, 123:11, grandmother [1] hand [4] - 67:23, 68:4, 169:5, 169:12, 140:23, 150:2, 40.15 88:21, 194:3 169:24, 170:8, 163:3, 182:14, grant [17] - 5:9, 47:22, handed [2] - 192:17, 170:24, 171:4, 208:3, 208:12, 187:13, 195:25, 171:17, 171:21, 192:19 203:15, 237:12, 208:14, 217:9, 171:23, 172:11, handful [1] - 73:20 238:23 217:10, 217:25, 173:8, 173:12, handle [3] - 12:8, guidance [7] - 14:20, 218:6, 218:9, 174:1, 175:6, 18:19, 51:14 135:16, 152:19, 218:24, 219:3, 177:19, 201:7, handling [1] - 26:11 152:20, 226:4, 219:8, 219:19. 201:15, 201:19, 233:12, 236:12 handout [1] - 106:17 219:21, 219:22, 201:22, 202:1, handouts [1] - 135:12 220:3 guide [1] - 153:11 202:6, 202:10, hands [1] - 149:21 granted [6] - 5:11, guided [2] - 14:18, 202:16, 203:1, hang [2] - 32:25 143:9 76:1, 76:25, 78:8, 203:21, 204:11, guideline [6] - 154:11, happy [5] - 121:15, 188:21, 188:22 235:16, 236:23, 154:13, 154:19, 188:4, 188:15, grants [4] - 219:4, 236:25, 237:9, 214:12, 237:13 226:2, 232:7, 232:9 224:9, 224:10, 237:1 237:15, 237:19, guidelines [4] - 154:2, hard [5] - 100:10, graphics [1] - 155:8 237:21 grass [3] - 69:3, 69:8, 154:8, 212:16, 218:4 100:24, 101:22, haul [1] - 67:10 151:3, 238:23 90:19 **Guidelines** [1] - 154:6 hauled [1] - 46:10 harm [1] - 45:22 grasses [1] - 191:6 guillotine [4] - 133:15, hay [3] - 46:8, 65:5 hassle [1] - 67:1 156:15, 175:16, gravity [2] - 132:10, Hayen [2] - 190:12, Haugen [8] - 35:19, 176:22 132:12 192:21 55:13, 57:22, 105:9, guise [2] - 96:22, gray [2] - 38:7, 146:11 Hayen's [2] - 190:25, 167:15, 201:6, 97:14 great [12] - 5:25, 38:5, 192:25 Gulf [1] - 168:19 235:15, 236:22 45:12, 62:19, 62:22, Hayens [2] - 192:4, gurneys [1] - 44:23 HAUGEN [53] - 35:20, 65:13, 85:7, 88:18, 192:6 36:1, 36:4, 55:14, guy [4] - 56:2, 132:4, 98:13, 128:5, hazard [5] - 9:13, 55:19, 55:25, 56:12, 169:17, 204:22 204:20, 209:20 11:20, 14:7, 65:4, 56:15, 56:20, 56:22, guys [4] - 76:24, greater [1] - 74:10 211:3 57:23, 58:3, 58:9, greatest [1] - 40:16 77:13, 121:12, hazardous [8] - 11:25, 105:10, 167:16, 165:10 greatly [1] - 32:16 15:25, 17:3, 18:3, 167:22, 168:2, greed [2] - 42:19, 46:6 18:24, 34:4, 49:16, 168:11, 168:14, green [1] - 66:20 197:20 168:24. 169:5. greenwashing [1] hazards [4] - 13:23, 169:12, 169:24, 96:23

18:7, 18:13, 33:18 hazmat [2] - 228:5, 228.7 HCA [5] - 155:21, 155:24, 161:4, 161:13, 161:16 head [3] - 73:22, 85:11, 226:16 headache [1] - 91:17 header [1] - 78:20 heading [2] - 192:23, 227.2 health [17] - 10:20. 40:17, 41:13, 41:19, 42:14, 43:10, 43:12, 43:14, 48:11, 55:2, 96:16, 101:19, 146:4, 209:25, 224:15, 224:22, 224:23 healthcare [1] - 43:8 healthy [3] - 138:6, 138:8, 138:14 hear [17] - 5:22, 5:24, 37:23, 55:1, 118:18, 160:9, 175:13, 180:17, 183:19, 183:23, 188:6, 192:5, 206:3, 217:9, 225:14, 225:16, 225:18 heard [16] - 12:4, 32:23, 33:12, 35:8, 36:18, 54:1, 71:13, 71:17, 79:11, 81:17, 92:25, 118:20, 148:15, 178:13, 199:15, 235:24 hearing [22] - 4:4, 8:19, 11:5, 12:1, 23:9, 51:5, 79:1, 79:4, 80:21, 110:6, 174:8, 179:10, 179:12, 183:21, 200:13, 200:25, 217:16, 217:17, 221:3, 240:1, 240:6, 240:9 hearings [5] - 63:9, 103:17, 114:7, 189:17 heart [3] - 103:2, 103:9, 103:12 heating [1] - 97:8 heavier [4] - 20:2, 95:3, 230:10, 230:12 heavily [1] - 197:24 heaving [1] - 24:14 heavy [7] - 69:14, 70:4, 73:13, 73:23, 82:18, 82:20, 162:2 heirs [2] - 91:21,

91:22

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

held [7] - 44:22, 76:10, 100:13, 100:16, 111:12, 124:20, 134:4 hell [1] - 80:4 help [18] - 18:14, 32:3, 60:22, 62:15, 74:13, 80:17, 93:17, 129:14, 136:23, 142:4, 162:1, 166:5, 169:10. 171:13. 192:3, 213:17, 214:2, 218:14 helped [3] - 101:25, 194:4 helpful [6] - 12:25, 13:20, 16:21, 32:15, 82:1, 141:9 helping [1] - 8:4 helps [2] - 127:4, 217:25 hide [1] - 71:15 high [17] - 9:13, 9:21, 12:12, 19:22, 48:1, 63:5, 64:5, 78:18, 80:4, 84:10, 117:19, 117:20, 118:5, 124:18, 155:14, 155:18, 220:17 High [1] - 79:10 high-level [1] - 220:17 high-water [1] - 19:22 higher [4] - 30:1, 30:5, 36:21, 120:4 highlight [5] - 64:21, 69:21, 74:8, 92:21, 180:16 highlighted [2] -137:18, 147:1 Highway [1] - 30:12 highway [1] - 182:21 hill [19] - 70:9, 70:15, 83:24, 83:25, 84:4, 84:5, 84:6, 84:9, 84:10, 84:18, 84:25, 85:1, 85:9, 85:14, 87:19, 87:25, 88:3, 90:12 hills [3] - 73:15, 84:8, 87:18 hired [3] - 79:13, 148:11, 148:13 historian [1] - 86:8 historic [2] - 90:14, 90.25 history [3] - 7:21, 62:20, 138:12 hits [1] - 68:1 Hoffart [8] - 35:19, 55:13, 57:22, 105:9, 167:15, 201:6, 235:15, 236:22 HOFFART [53] -

35:20, 36:1, 36:4, 55:14, 55:19, 55:25, 56:12, 56:15, 56:20, 56:22, 57:23, 58:3, 58:9, 105:10, 167:16, 167:22, 168:2, 168:11, 168:14, 168:24, 169:5, 169:12, 169:24, 170:8, 170:24, 171:4, 171:17, 171:21, 171:23, 172:11, 173:8, 173:12, 174:1, 175:6, 177:19, 201:7, 201:15, 201:19, 201:22, 202:1, 202:6, 202:10, 202:16, 203:1, 203:21, 204:11, 235:16, 236:23, 236:25, 237:9, 237:15, 237:19, 237:21 Hogan's [1] - 239:16 hold [4] - 69:9, 69:16, 166:9, 177:13 hole [3] - 65:19, 65:21, 97:6 home [8] - 6:23, 31:9, 40:18, 40:19, 43:2, 43:3, 190:25, 191:1 homeowner [1] - 96:4 homeowners [1] -22:5 homes [4] - 22:8, 40:21, 93:13, 232:3 homestead [1] - 75:20 homesteaded [4] -45:13, 62:19, 62:22, 88:19 homesteading [1] -65:14 Honor [79] - 4:12, 5:17, 6:18, 13:4, 13:7, 17:4, 17:7, 26:24, 27:2, 30:20, 32:24, 36:10, 37:8, 37:10, 37:12, 37:14, 52:1, 54:10, 57:16, 57:20, 86:3, 92:3, 95:11, 98:4, 100:7, 103:25, 104:19,

106:10, 108:4,

108:11, 108:15,

109:8, 112:10,

113:17. 118:9.

122:9. 122:21.

123:19, 124:17,

131:20, 139:21,

144:24, 151:25,

125:2, 128:9,

156:5, 156:7, 157:4, 157:25, 158:8, 163:14, 163:17, 177:7, 177:8, 177:15, 178:7, 178:24, 180:2, 180:8, 184:19, 185:25, 186:13, 193:10, 199:2, 205:11, 205:16, 206:15, 207:9, 209:13, 217:14, 223:16, 224:3, 234:6, 235:12, 237:25, 238:5, 238:8, 239:1, 240:10 Hook [1] - 41:2 hop [1] - 64:25 hope [6] - 28:17, 37:21, 40:21, 45:18, 103:18, 192:10 hopefully [2] - 5:9, 35.5 hopped [1] - 76:20 hopping [1] - 76:22 Horizon [1] - 210:18 horizontal [2] - 14:25, 37:6 horror [1] - 41:13 hose [1] - 71:9 hospital [4] - 41:6, 44:24, 232:2, 233:17 hospitals [6] - 44:15, 44:19, 44:25, 45:7, 231:11, 231:13 hotel [1] - 223:8 hour [2] - 46:11 hours [6] - 41:5, 44:21, 44:24, 63:24, 77:13, 78:10 house [2] - 66:15, 85:16 humidity [1] - 129:19 hundred [3] - 87:12, 91:4, 105:25 hundreds [2] - 41:6, husband [6] - 40:19, 43:7, 47:11, 47:23, 72:11, 88:13 hydrogeology [1] -7.19 hydrology [2] - 74:4, 89:12 hydrovacuum [1] -24:22 hypertension [1] -138:12

I-94 [1] - 182:8 ice [1] - 36:24 idea [3] - 46:15, 50:8, 143:17 ideas [1] - 145:12 identification [1] -11:24 identified [15] - 9:21, 11:16, 11:17, 11:18, 12:6, 12:11, 13:21, 15:21, 18:24, 19:3, 115:9, 115:18, 169:1, 197:13 identifies [1] - 15:17 identify [15] - 9:13, 10:8, 13:25, 15:22, 19:11, 30:3, 32:10, 60:9, 76:10, 80:21, 115:20, 130:11, 130:16, 155:18, identifying [3] - 14:7, 16:1, 31:7 ignorance [1] - 175:12 II [6] - 31:1, 31:2, 31:3, 31:5, 31:9, 116:3 illegal [1] - 138:12 image [3] - 22:13, 22:14. 89:17 images [1] - 132:19 imagine [2] - 24:21, 24:24 immediately [2] -94:5, 94:7 impact [17] - 15:1, 17:25, 19:9, 23:19, 26:10, 30:8, 37:5, 50:3, 66:9, 95:4, 118:16, 120:7, 133:3, 146:6, 158:3, 158:4, 161:16 impacted [4] - 25:3, 37:2, 74:5, 233:5 impacting [2] - 20:2, 25:23 impacts [6] - 18:6, 19:24, 91:16, 118:5, 146:3 implement [1] -186:18 implemented [2] -10:13, 191:2 implication [1] - 216:3 implies [1] - 35:11 important [14] - 17:22, 17:24, 39:17, 61:10, hypothesized [1] -91:15, 125:2, 129:1, 31:17 144:14, 144:18, hypothetically [1] -150:6, 166:8, 210:3, 188:20 230:21, 230:23

impose [1] - 11:1 impossible [3] -186:24, 187:8, 193:5 **impression** [1] - 57:9 imprisonment [1] -6:9 improper [2] - 180:9, 207:16 improve [2] - 14:1, 137:1 improving [1] - 137:3 IMU [1] - 116:11 in-person [4] - 31:10, 31:14, 47:1, 72:4 inadequate [1] - 10:23 inadvertent [1] -130:13 inadvertently [1] inappropriate [1] -113:5 inattention [1] - 47:16 Inc [1] - 16:15 incapacitating [1] -23:14 incident [11] - 31:18, 138:24, 139:9, 139:13, 147:15, 147:25, 164:16, 172:10, 233:5, 236:5 incidents [7] - 138:19, 138:23, 139:9, 139:25, 140:20, 141:2, 218:8 include [10] - 45:17, 102:10, 137:4, 161:25, 168:18, 194:23, 199:25, 217:4, 223:2, 236:1 included [9] - 21:4, 47:18, 48:15, 52:18, 74:25, 78:9, 102:11, 118:7, 133:24 including [7] - 42:1, 49:14, 131:8, 168:21, 181:20, 217:7 incoherent [1] - 62:3 incorporated [2] -32:5, 194:5 incorrect [1] - 140:6 increase [1] - 24:25 incredible [1] - 101:20 incredibly [1] - 102:23 indemnification [2] -184:16, 184:17 indemnity [1] - 189:24 independent [3] -119:23, 143:9, 155:21 indicate [1] - 18:5 indicated [10] - 19:17, 24:13, 57:25,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing SERVICE COMMISSION

146:21, 217:11,

142:18, 146:1,
167:24, 199:6,
200:21, 201:3,
229:13
indicates [1] - 9:11
indications [1] - 10:12
indirect [1] - 47:4
indirectly [2] - 47:21,
228:6
indiscernible [2] -
15:19, 26:12
indiscernible) [1] - 31:5
individual [4] - 28:24,
29:4, 53:18, 63:4
individuals [10] -
111:23, 136:21,
147:17, 155:7,
167:9, 169:4,
169:14, 170:23,
173:5, 173:25
industrial [1] - 224:23
industry [4] - 12:5,
19:2, 24:13, 138:6
inertial [1] - 116:12
infinite [1] - 91:6
infinitely [1] - 185:12
influence [1] - 160:2
inform [1] - 211:8
informal [1] - 78:22
information [77] -
13:1, 13:17, 15:19, 16:20, 17:15, 23:6,
39:17, 48:18, 62:11,
105:11, 109:21,
110:7, 110:8,
110:12, 110:16,
110:21, 111:4,
113:10, 113:20,
116:3, 122:6, 122:7,
122:10, 122:18,
123:14, 123:18, 123:24, 124:24,
123:24, 124:24,
125:13, 125:15,
125:25, 127:4, 127:16, 127:19,
128:13, 129:1,
131:15, 133:24,
135:15, 135:19,
136:23, 137:4,
137:8, 141:23,
143:6, 143:25,
144:17, 146:17,
154:4, 156:6,
157:11, 159:9,
163:8, 164:11,
166:11, 167:4,
170:5, 170:9,
170:15, 170:21,
172:17, 181:15,
182:15, 187:2, 187:18, 190:6,
191:24, 205:4,

ND PUBLIC
209:4, 210:20, 211:2, 215:19, 221:9, 221:10, 221:14, 221:15, 231:24
informed [2] - 47:12, 220:15
informs [1] - 125:22 inhabited [2] - 93:15, 93:16
inherited [1] - 88:13 initial [5] - 23:13, 115:17, 202:11, 221:13, 231:15
initiate [1] - 198:5 initiative [1] - 170:25 injection [1] - 26:9
injustice [1] - 40:4 input [6] - 129:11, 129:22, 130:22, 136:9, 153:13, 192:6
inputs [9] - 111:4, 129:16, 156:24, 158:2, 158:3, 158:6, 162:1, 163:18, 175:4
inside [1] - 147:17 insight [1] - 150:24 insisting [1] - 18:18 inspect [1] - 71:1
inspection [1] - 64:18 inspections [1] - 17:2 instability [1] - 8:18 installation [4] -
14:16, 82:24, 184:18, 190:7 installed [2] - 25:12, 25:13
instance [5] - 31:7, 148:22, 198:1, 218:17, 222:1
instead [2] - 49:15, 80:2 Institute [1] - 153:12 Insurance [2] - 74:15,
74:22 intake [1] - 22:17 integrity [9] - 40:7, 42:8, 45:14, 46:23,
116:16, 130:10, 130:17, 169:11, 211:8
intend [9] - 121:24, 125:23, 126:3, 127:8, 127:11, 128:12, 129:4, 163:21, 226:18
intended [5] - 60:25, 109:20, 125:20, 126:13, 134:14
intends [6] - 33:20, 131:16, 159:15, 184:2, 226:1, 238:14 intent [4] - 132:6,

```
217:13
intention [3] - 4:21,
 49:12, 135:5
intentional [1] - 152:6
intentionally [1] -
 45:21
interaction [1] -
 113:25
interchange [2] -
 214:5, 214:18
interest [1] - 53:11
interested [4] - 48:3.
 53:22, 167:11, 222:9
interesting [1] - 84:23
internal [5] - 159:1,
 168:5, 169:8, 169:10
International [1] -
 137:22
interpretation [2] -
 146:24, 211:25
interpretations [3] -
 147:4, 147:5, 147:6
Interstate [2] - 168:19,
 182:22
interstate [1] - 183:14
introduce [3] - 5:18,
 13:5, 17:4
introduces [1] -
 111:16
introverts [1] - 79:24
invest [1] - 106:4
investigate [1] - 33:21
investigated [1] -
 190:3
invitation [7] - 167:2,
 167:6, 167:12,
 188:1, 188:2, 188:5,
 188:12
invited [12] - 127:21,
 166:23, 166:25,
 173:21, 215:17,
 215:19, 216:2,
 216:3, 221:20,
 228:19, 228:20,
 228:25
invitees [5] - 127:9,
 128.12 129.4
 141:21, 164:3
invites [1] - 173:21
involve [1] - 24:22
involved [14] - 51:11,
 78:11, 111:17,
 113:24, 113:25,
 132:13, 151:1,
 152:14, 167:1,
 195:13, 197:11,
 197:22, 197:25,
 213:3
involvement [3] -
 195:11, 200:12,
 210:18
lowa [8] - 32:19,
```

```
32:20, 33:5, 33:9,
 33:13, 33:14, 33:19,
 96:24
issue [6] - 17:19, 97:1,
 117:1, 151:3,
 212:11, 212:23
issued [2] - 114:13,
 169:21
issues [18] - 6:24,
 6:25, 7:1, 7:22,
 10:18, 18:6, 23:21,
 24:15, 29:22, 37:3,
 74:12, 83:4, 87:3,
 114:4, 188:19,
 189:19, 208:1, 238:6
item [5] - 62:11, 65:11,
 154:22, 158:4, 240:6
items [7] - 60:7,
 135:16, 136:2,
 136:20, 195:2,
 226:7, 228:1
iteration [1] - 106:21
itself [3] - 91:16,
 154:7, 190:1
           J
J-O-N [1] - 59:4
J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N [1] -
 59:5
jam [1] - 103:6
James [3] - 8:17,
 206:1, 210:14
Jamestown [2] -
 11:22, 11:23
Janel [7] - 38:1, 38:2,
 38:4, 38:15, 39:24,
 55.15
JANEL [7] - 38:9,
 38:11, 38:15, 38:19,
```

38:22, 39:1, 39:5 January [5] - 8:14, 9:5, 102:6, 106:24, jeopardy [1] - 43:1 job [2] - 87:4, 185:4 37:22, 51:13, 58:17, 59:7, 60:6, 60:21, 61:3, 71:21, 73:6, 73:25, 74:13, 80:9, 80:15, 80:19, 81:14, 84:15, 85:23, 86:7, 88:23, 97:23, 197:7 Jonathan [4] - 52:18, 58:24, 59:3, 105:10

203:5

jobs [1] - 102:1

jogs [1] - 79:22

join [2] - 131:23,

joined [1] - 77:10

Jon [22] - 37:21,

JON [1] - 59:8

180:11

JONATHAN [8] -58:24, 59:3, 59:5, 59:7, 59:12, 59:15, 59:19, 60:1 JORDE [104] - 30:20, 30:23, 33:6, 33:10, 37:14, 37:21, 37:24, 38:2, 38:4, 39:4, 39:9, 57:4, 58:14, 60:5, 62:6, 86:7, 89:21, 90:4, 90:6, 92:12, 95:16, 95:19, 95:20, 98:13, 98:25, 99:8, 99:14, 99:23, 100:12, 100:23, 100:25, 105:17, 105:19, 107:11, 107:17, 108:9, 113:5, 121:14, 121:19, 121:21, 122:13, 123:19, 124:5, 125:10, 127:25, 128:4, 128:8, 128:10, 128:18, 128:23, 132:1, 132:3, 133:6, 134:5, 134:14, 134:23, 134:24, 140:1, 140:7, 140:9, 143:14, 143:24, 145:5, 149:13, 149:17, 149:18, 150:15, 150:21, 150:22, 180:8, 186:13, 186:15, 190:13, 190:15, 192:15, 192:18, 193:8, 193:14, 193:15, 207:16, 209:20, 209:23, 211:23, 212:3, 212:18, 213:17, 213:19, 214:11, 214:13, 215:18, 216:17, 216:18, 217:21, 219:19, 221:4, 223:16, 223:25, 238:8, 238:19, 239:5, 239:25, 240:3, 240:12, 241:4 Jorde [42] - 5:6, 30:18, 33:5, 37:13, 37:20, 37:23, 39:3, 54:1, 57:2, 58:13, 59:21, 95:17, 98:11, 99:10, 99:22, 100:11, 104:13, 104:21, 105:2, 105:16, 107:10, 113:4, 121:12, 121:18, 122:12, 128:7,

134:22, 143:13,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

152:5, 180:7, 186:12, 207:15, 209:19, 212:15, 213:16, 214:10, 215:15, 216:9, 216:15, 221:1, 238:17, 239:4 Jorde's [2] - 126:12, 140.6 Judge [4] - 5:3, 5:12, 78:7, 239:16 judgment [2] - 81:10, July [2] - 44:5, 103:9 jump [5] - 68:9, 74:19, 81:15, 88:10, 229:10 June [5] - 4:3, 114:12, 139:19, 140:14, 206:24 junk [2] - 72:6, 72:7 jurisdiction [2] -92:10, 125:7 justify [1] - 92:17

Κ

keep [6] - 58:16, 61:4, 76:4, 95:14, 129:2, 236:5 Kent [2] - 169:17, 169:21 kept [1] - 142:13 Kertzman [1] - 238:19 key [3] - 46:19, 158:2, 158:3 kill [2] - 41:18, 46:21 kind [56] - 4:20, 18:20, 31:11, 31:22, 51:8, 60:9, 60:20, 68:15, 73:8, 74:12, 78:20, 80:10, 81:7, 83:4, 86:13, 87:2, 87:7, 89:11, 90:21, 93:9, 93:14, 99:15, 101:2, 114:11, 116:5, 144:5, 145:18, 154.5 154.7 160:16, 164:13, 165:7, 165:23, 165:24, 167:8, 172:21, 173:1, 173:4, 174:24, 175:2, 185:15, 187:19, 195:4, 198:1, 198:8, 199:4, 204:18, 211:3, 214:4, 214:5, 217:5, 217:7, 218:9, 222:16, 224:19, 239:7 kinds [5] - 66:24, 83:22, 84:12, 91:18,

164:8

kittycorner [1] 182:25

knowing [3] - 43:4,
81:18, 239:19

knowledge [7] 78:13, 127:6, 136:5,
145:15, 158:25,
190:5, 197:14

known [5] - 31:1, 42:3,
48:14, 113:20,

L

137:21

134:12

knows [2] - 96:20,

Kopps [1] - 94:20

Kopps' [1] - 95:12

L-A-N-G-E [1] - 108:20 labeled [2] - 117:21, 181:22 labels [1] - 181:11 lack [4] - 11:24, 48:9, 71:14, 72:1 laid [5] - 16:25, 73:24, 164:2, 215:21 Lake [1] - 41:22 lakes [1] - 19:15 land [50] - 40:1, 45:15, 45:18, 46:1, 46:19, 47:6, 49:13, 49:16, 60:16, 63:19, 63:20, 64:5, 64:7, 64:8, 65:14, 66:10, 71:19, 72:17, 73:13, 74:2, 74:4, 74:9, 77:24, 80:10, 82:1, 82:7, 83:12, 83:25, 87:3, 88:14, 88:17, 88:18, 88:19, 91:9, 91:16, 92:16, 93:2, 94:24, 96:11, 101:23, 101:24, 102:14, 103:20, 131:9, 193:18, 197:9, 198:16, 198:19, 204:22, 239:11 landholding [1] -63:23 landman [5] - 47:24, 52:22, 53:5, 54:14, 55:20 landmen [3] - 79:13, 79:14, 91:3 landowner [10] -48:17, 48:23, 51:2, 96:5, 107:25, 108:7, 182:24, 183:5, 200:5, 204:21 Landowner [3] -100:11, 108:2,

149.21 landowners [20] -46:25, 48:8, 79:14, 104:10, 183:7, 183:11, 187:21, 188:3, 188:7, 188:14, 195:19, 199:8, 199:11, 199:18, 200:3, 204:16, 204:17, 204:23, 205:6, 219:20 lands [1] - 115:13 landslide [2] - 9:13, 12:8 landslides [5] - 11:17, 11:20, 35:23, 116:2, 116:18 Lange [17] - 108:16, 108:17, 108:20, 108:21, 109:14, 113:18, 132:24, 139:22, 149:18, 151:22, 152:3, 157:14, 177:5, 210:24, 215:21, 225:15, 225:18 LANGE [5] - 108:20, 108:23, 109:1, 109:5, 109:9 Lange's [2] - 127:24, 147:6 language [2] - 21:5, 95:25 large [5] - 10:2, 21:7, 43:10, 163:12, 219:25 larger [2] - 12:10, 66:17 last [27] - 4:3, 6:2. 9:17, 38:13, 41:21, 53:16, 58:25, 62:1, 69:11, 78:24, 98:21, 103:8, 103:18, 107:18, 107:19, 108:19, 111:25, 112:20, 118:14, 161:11, 166:19, 185:13, 191:16, 195:10, 196:16, 205:25, 206:1 late [2] - 53:16, 177:16 late-filed [1] - 177:16 lateral [2] - 114:22, 114:25 latest [3] - 86:17, 107:5, 115:25 laughable [1] - 93:11

laying [5] - 71:10, 81.2 125.20 147:11, 170:1 layout [1] - 88:20 lead [8] - 122:5, 169:17, 170:10, 170:25, 171:2, 171:6, 176:7, 198:5 leading [1] - 168:3 leads [1] - 170:8 leak [11] - 23:11, 23:14, 25:1, 41:20, 41:22, 41:24, 42:3, 42:9, 44:9, 45:2, 176:21 leaks [1] - 17:3 lean [1] - 69:19 leaning [1] - 69:22 learn [3] - 182:7, 196:6, 222:11 learned [3] - 139:16, 139:24, 140:20 lease [1] - 91:23 least [15] - 31:18, 51:8, 67:20, 70:11, 81:7, 81:20, 88:7, 89:15, 105:3, 135:24, 147:16, 164:15, 175:17, 193:1, 240:24 least-best [1] - 105:3 least-worst [4] - 51:8, 81:7, 88:7, 89:15 leave [5] - 47:8, 62:5, 95:21, 100:15, 116:20 leaving [2] - 205:19, 239:18 led [1] - 82:5 left [13] - 45:4, 53:21, 68:25, 70:6, 70:7, 70:10, 70:20, 70:24, 71:6, 73:20, 78:8, 93:20, 152:18 legacy [2] - 43:3, 45:19 legal [14] - 52:8, 52:10, 58:19, 101:10, 131:21, 131:24, 132:2, 143:11, 143:12, 143:15, 211:20, 211:23, 212:14, 213:9 legislation [2] -219:15, 219:17 Leibel [12] - 5:2, 13:8, 30:18, 51:7, 60:21, 61:8, 86:25, 89:6, 107:9, 149:13, 187:23, 190:13

lay [3] - 126:22, 170:3,

239:11

LEIBEL [3] - 5:3, 5:12, Leibel's [1] - 97:24 length [1] - 43:15 lengthy [1] - 208:8 Leon [1] - 107:12 less [6] - 25:17, 35:12. 59:8, 167:3, 186:24, 203:12 lessons [3] - 139:16, 139:24, 140:20 letter [22] - 8:21, 8:22, 8:24, 47:2, 51:8, 54:2, 74:22, 75:11, 80:11, 80:20, 81:5, 91:24, 92:1, 102:6, 107:23, 107:25, 115:17, 115:25, 116:7, 195:6, 218:23, 222:3 letters [8] - 60:14, 60:15, 72:2, 72:3, 72:8, 72:16, 98:19, 102:19 level [8] - 43:19, 124:19, 138:16, 162:18, 220:15, 220:17, 237:1, 237:2 levels [3] - 43:20, 146:2, 146:20 liability [3] - 18:20, 75:2, 75:5 liberal [1] - 97:3 licensed [2] - 63:3, 63:4 licenses [1] - 63:4 lie [1] - 172:17 life [8] - 23:18, 41:14, 43:10, 52:16, 52:18, 53:11, 54:13, 62:17 life-saving [1] - 41:14 light [1] - 163:19 lighter [2] - 97:7, 230:11 likely [4] - 18:9, 30:8, 37:2, 168:17 limit [1] - 197:14 limitations [1] -194:19 limited [4] - 19:20, 33:3, 195:11, 240:24 line [54] - 52:12, 67:13, 67:14, 67:18, 69:3, 69:10, 69:14, 69:24, 70:2, 70:3, 70:11, 70:25, 79:11, 79:17, 79:22, 86:11, 95:21, 96:21, 110:22, 112:4, 118:17, 121:6, 122:14, 122:16, 122:25, 139:4, 175:12, 183:2,

launch [1] - 63:17

law [5] - 6:5, 20:23,

lawsuit [1] - 76:15

lawyer [1] - 143:15

63:8, 228:2, 232:15

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

183:4, 184:8, 184:13, 187:6, 189:7, 189:9, 189:24, 190:7, 190:23, 191:5, 191:22, 191:25, 192:1, 192:22, 192:23, 198:8, 198:9, 207:22, 213:12, 220:20, 220:22, 231:22, 234:1 lines [17] - 18:16, 24:11, 25:7, 25:19, 29:16, 52:24, 64:5, 64:6, 69:12, 69:13, 118:3, 125:19, 129:20, 144:13, 171:15, 172:10 lingering [1] - 4:24 link [1] - 240:19 Linton [1] - 5:7 Liquid [1] - 153:12 list [19] - 8:11, 74:23, 142:7, 158:1, 164:3, 164:15, 167:2, 167:6, 167:9, 173:25, 186:19, 215:19, 227:4, 233:13, 233:18, 233:22. 238:15. 238:17, 239:20 listed [8] - 111:18, 136:2, 141:19, 153:6, 153:8, 158:21, 159:4, 159:13 Listed [1] - 158:2 lists 151 - 111:7. 111:11, 117:23, 158:5, 227:3 literally [1] - 143:16 literature [1] - 31:7 live [4] - 39:12, 42:21, 42:24, 43:12 lived [1] - 85:6 lives [9] - 41:14, 42:16, 42:19, 42:25, 94:8, 94:22, 94:23, 95:10, 96:5 living [2] - 68:14, 219:24 LLC [3] - 4:6, 52:15, 206:24 LO-52 [1] - 150:15 load [2] - 46:10, 46:11 loaded [1] - 82:12 lobbied [1] - 219:11 lobbyists [1] - 219:10 local [12] - 5:6, 15:1, 15:2, 67:5, 125:21, 125:22, 126:25, 127:5, 143:17,

144:22, 171:8, 228:2 localities [2] - 145:1, 214.19 locally [1] - 145:6 locate [3] - 79:17, 189:9, 211:17 located [3] - 49:16, 49:25, 213:4 location [6] - 25:8, 29:8, 131:1, 212:6, 212:12, 212:24 locations [6] - 10:8, 14:8. 32:9. 70:2. 89:16, 233:6 Logan [3] - 111:22, 111:23, 112:1 logic [1] - 191:23 logical [2] - 62:10, 80.7 long-standing [3] -

41:13, 41:19, 42:13 look [38] - 12:15, 16:6, 22:9, 27:12, 27:16, 46:20, 68:23, 69:1, 69:17, 71:8, 86:9, 86:16, 116:4, 119:7, 119:9, 126:25, 146:7, 147:21, 155:7, 160:14, 164:15, 172:22, 175:3, 181:9, 181:10, 182:16, 187:11, 189:4, 193:3, 193:6, 194:13, 200:1, 200:10, 200:19, 202:20, 225:9, 226:24, 231:23 looked [10] - 20:10, 137:12, 197:8, 197:19, 198:10, 199:10, 199:14,

199:19, 201:9, 201:13 looking [19] - 66:20, 73:4, 80:25, 90:22, 90:23, 114:22, 146:10, 154:5, 155:11, 164:9, 177:12, 182:3, 190:15, 198:1, 199:21, 201:8, 231:8, 234:16, 236:19 Looking [1] - 234:18

looks [5] - 73:8, 99:21, 153:10, 191:7, 234:16 lose [1] - 75:8 losing [1] - 176:5 loss [2] - 66:5, 184:17 lost [2] - 41:12, 62:5 loud [1] - 234:7

Louisiana [4] - 41:23, 138:20, 138:24, 139:9 love [2] - 44:1, 44:8 loved [3] - 41:12, 44:10, 45:3 low [5] - 70:18, 73:14, 92:24, 93:9, 230:14 low-lying [1] - 230:14 lower [3] - 37:1, 196:25 lowest [1] - 115:5 ludicrously [1] - 92:24 Lugert [1] - 197:2 Lugerts' [1] - 196:13 lump [1] - 124:6 lumpkin [1] - 143:4 lunch [2] - 151:13, 151:16 lying [1] - 230:14

М

ma'am [5] - 29:19, 51:5, 51:23, 52:21, 57.5 machinery [3] - 70:21, 76:7, 82:20 Mahlberg [2] - 206:14, 237:24 MAHLBERG [16] -206:15, 206:20, 207:9, 207:20, 209:13, 211:19, 212:13, 213:8, 214:6. 215:10. 215:23, 216:13, 219:14, 220:20, 233:20, 237:25 mail [2] - 72:6, 72:7 main [6] - 118:17, 121:6, 169:17, 184:10, 236:18 mains [1] - 24:10 maintain [2] - 45:14, 142:8 maintaining [2] -113:11, 172:16 maintains [1] - 64:12 maintenance [5] -13:19, 15:9, 15:16, 17:14, 17:16 major [5] - 24:9, 34:13, 43:18, 67:1, majority [3] - 142:6, 146:1, 167:9 makeshift [1] - 73:8 makeup [1] - 74:3 males [3] - 138:6, 138:9. 138:14

Malloy [4] - 104:10, 104:11, 198:7, 198:11 Malloy's [1] - 104:12 manage [2] - 44:16, 230:2 management [19] -14:24, 21:1, 21:12, 27:15, 116:16, 130:10, 130:17, 143:18. 169:7. 169:10, 169:11, 169:16, 169:17, 169:22, 170:4, 170:12, 211:8, 224:24 manager [10] - 7:12, 111:23, 112:2. 141:22, 164:24, 168:7, 208:7, 217:12, 218:11, 222:4 managers [18] -126:19, 127:20, 137:2. 144:9. 165:12, 167:8, 168:20, 170:10, 170:20, 171:1, 171:22, 172:23, 208:17, 216:2, 218:3. 218:6. 221:20, 225:7 Mandan [3] - 26:19, 85:11, 179:10 manure [2] - 46:9, 46:16 map [37] - 22:22, 23:4, 30:11, 32:6, 32:14, 86:11, 89:7, 93:19, 93:21, 100:3, 106:16, 116:16, 146:17, 146:21, 146:23, 180:22, 181:3, 181:4, 181:6,

181:9. 181:13. 182:10, 190:17, 200:16, 200:22, 201:3, 201:9, 201:10, 201:11, 201:13, 201:25, 202:2. 202:8. 202:11, 202:19, 203:6

map-based [1] - 32:6 mapped [2] - 146:9, 182:14 mapping [2] - 124:12, 125:11

maps [20] - 25:8, 32:8, 51:17, 80:2, 89:6, 102:11, 133:18, 133:21, 134:7, 134:9, 134:15,

134:25, 135:5, 160:14, 161:25, 163:6, 202:17, 202:21, 202:23 Marathon [1] - 41:1 March [8] - 8:22, 8:24, 8:25, 102:9, 114:20, 114:21, 115:24, 115:25 mark [3] - 19:22, 58:15. 112:15 marked [8] - 60:22, 67:14, 67:15, 88:16, 90:1, 97:5, 97:24, 112:16 markings [2] - 64:12,

64.17 marks [1] - 67:17 Marshals [1] - 153:14 Mary [2] - 153:6. 225:21

mass [1] - 44:15

massive [2] - 65:18, 91:20 master's [1] - 224:23 mat [2] - 73:13, 73:19 mat-type [1] - 73:19

match [2] - 202:13, 202:14 material [6] - 119:3, 119:21, 120:2, 126:14, 176:5,

239:19 materially [1] - 183:16 materials [5] - 18:3, 30:4, 124:19, 124:20, 132:23 math [1] - 92:24 matter [5] - 6:21,

90:20, 109:15, 196:16, 230:24 matters [2] - 4:10, 239:2 maximum [7] - 6:8,

24:5, 35:7, 35:11,

35:13, 175:25 maybes [1] - 238:16 McIntosh [1] - 111:21 meals [1] - 223:8 mean [28] - 58:4, 90:23, 96:18, 97:4, 97:19, 120:5, 128:2, 136:14, 143:15, 168:17, 172:17, 173:13, 173:16, 186:23, 187:12, 187:15, 187:22, 187:24, 188:11,

191:23, 201:23,

202:21, 214:2,

218:11, 218:18,

236:11, 239:9,

239:13

Mallberg [1] - 107:12

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

meaning [3] - 147:5, 188:21, 215:1 means [4] - 45:1, 81:2, 135:21. 159:22 meant [2] - 32:5, 189:3 meantime [1] - 49:11 measure [1] - 119:22 measurement [1] -116:12 measures [3] - 10:9, 14:8. 159:23 meat [1] - 222:17 mechanical [2] - 42:2, 43:21 mechanism [2] -218:3, 218:14 meet [10] - 48:16, 136:19, 187:21, 188:2, 189:3, 194:16, 204:25, 205:1, 210:15 Meeting [2] - 240:19, 240:22 meeting [20] - 48:17, 79:21, 110:11, 111:22, 111:25, 142:23, 152:11, 152:15, 162:19, 173:20, 187:25, 204:24, 216:8, 224:12, 225:5, 225:23, 225:25, 228:19, 228:21, 229:7 meetings [72] - 48:14, 110:7, 110:16, 110:25, 111:8, 111:12, 112:3, 124:8, 124:16, 125:3, 125:16, 126:23, 127:10, 127:22, 128:13, 128:14, 129:5, 133:19, 135:9, 135:14, 139:6, 141:19, 142:6, 142:9, 142:17, 145:11, 157:18, 157:20, 164:2, 164:10, 164:14, 172:11, 173:1, 173:15, 173:19, 173:22, 173:24, 177:10, 208:2, 208:7, 210:21, 210:23, 211:1, 213:20, 213:22, 214:3. 214:14. 214:15, 214:17, 215:7. 215:11. 215:12, 215:15, 215:16, 215:20,

215:24, 216:1, 216:4, 216:14, 216:18, 216:20, 216:21, 217:4, 217:6, 222:5, 222:8, 227:16, 228:16, 228:17, 229:3, 234:24 meets [1] - 119:17 member [1] - 6:21 members [4] - 25:5, 90:17, 159:9, 216:1 memorial [1] - 41:9 memories [2] - 44:2, 102:5 memory [2] - 115:8, 142:3 mental [2] - 42:13, 101:19 mention 131 - 132:16. 135:8, 137:11 mentioned [19] -12:19, 28:18, 32:1, 35:6, 36:17, 45:11, 49:12, 50:5, 113:19, 119:8, 152:21, 154:21, 172:8, 222:19, 231:15, 233:3, 236:13, 236:16, 239:24 Mercer [2] - 164:17, 164:18 met [5] - 45:5, 67:16, 115:25, 136:16, 195:18 metal [4] - 65:8, 69:16, 69:22, 176:3 metal-clamp [1] -69:16 metallurgy [3] -168:23, 175:11, 176:18 metals [1] - 119:6 methodologies [2] -124:10, 131:3 methods [1] - 27:15 meticulous [1] - 48:12 mic [1] - 61:17 microphone [4] -38:7, 101:12, 160:8, 217:16 middle [2] - 49:17, 69:2 Midwest [1] - 4:6 might [16] - 46:20, 50:7, 56:4, 68:13, 80:15, 86:10, 87:1, 134:17, 161:16, 196:23, 213:6, 216:15, 221:24, 235:22, 237:16, 240:13 migration [2] - 114:22,

114:25 mile [18] - 25:17, 40:18, 64:14, 66:14, 93:23, 93:24, 94:14, 94:15, 94:21, 94:22, 203:12, 208:15, 218:25, 219:8, 219:12, 231:18 miles [13] - 19:21, 22:2, 29:13, 33:22, 85:1, 93:4, 95:7, 95:8, 131:13, 180:23, 181:23, 183:12, 200:20 million [2] - 93:1, 146:15 mills [2] - 120:1, 120:2 mind [6] - 45:20. 47:10, 56:16, 126:16, 239:5 mine [3] - 47:23, 77:10, 83:21 mini [1] - 63:22 minimize [4] - 10:9, 34:1, 125:14, 134:16 minimizing [1] - 135:3 minimum [6] - 35:13, 119:4, 119:8, 135:22, 155:19, 175:25 Minnesota [1] - 73:4 minor [2] - 203:13, 224.22 minute [3] - 76:24, 111:25, 150:22 minutes [1] - 151:12 mirrors [1] - 198:9 mischaracterization [1] - 216:13 mischaracterize [1] -216:6 mischaracterizes [3] -127:24, 139:22, 144:4 missed [3] - 27:7, 134:1, 166:20 Mississippi [11] -31:18, 41:4, 125:14, 125:18, 138:19, 138:23, 139:9, 139:13, 139:19, 140:14, 147:25 Missouri [13] - 20:4, 20:5, 21:20, 22:15, 28:4, 28:5, 30:12, 114:25, 115:5, 117:3, 117:10, 160:16, 160:22 misstates [1] - 134:19 mistake [1] - 36:11 misunderstanding [2] - 140:23, 145:17

misuses [1] - 21:14 mitigated [1] - 26:13 mitigation [17] - 10:9, 12:8, 15:25, 17:1, 138:21, 138:22, 138:25, 139:5, 139:6, 139:7, 139:19, 139:20, 139:23, 140:13, 141:3, 141:5, 141:11 mitigative [2] -139:14, 141:7 mix [1] - 129:18 model [14] - 48:17, 131:18, 149:4, 152:15, 154:15, 156:3, 156:10, 157:9, 158:7, 162:1, 162:17, 163:11, 174:16, 174:19 modeled [4] - 19:11, 156:2, 156:23, 156:24 Modeling [2] - 155:12, 155:22 modeling [57] - 97:13, 111:5, 122:6, 122:8, 122:19, 123:9, 124:9, 124:10, 124:12, 125:22, 126:6, 127:10, 129:6, 129:11, 129:14. 129:22. 130:1, 130:4, 130:6, 130:22, 131:3, 131:7, 131:11, 132:18. 132:21. 133:4, 133:8, 144:7, 144:15. 147:20. 148:5, 148:9, 148:13, 148:16, 149:9, 150:5, 150:8, 155:20, 155:25, 161:5, 161:6, 161:8, 161:14, 161:19, 162:25, 163:5, 166:3, 166:4, 174:3, 174:13, 174:19, 174:22, 211:2, 211:5, 211:7, 220:17, 220:18 models [3] - 149:3, 156:14, 158:3 modifications [3] -187:14, 193:21, 203:8 modified [1] - 45:16 moisture [2] - 84:2, 87:24 mom [13] - 44:3, 44:4, 67:7, 68:4, 78:17,

78:18, 101:21,

101:22, 102:6,

102:16, 102:23, 103:11 mom's [1] - 103:8 moment [5] - 12:19, 89:11, 163:14, 192:12, 235:11 monetary [1] - 91:24 money [5] - 46:5, 49:3, 93:10, 102:22, 218:5 monitor [2] - 114:16, 116:8 monitoring [1] - 209:6 monitors [4] - 208:18, 208:20, 235:25, 236:17 month [3] - 40:14, 41:21, 187:15 monthly [1] - 165:21 months [3] - 27:18, 115:23, 172:1 morning [33] - 4:1, 5:5, 5:9, 5:16, 5:17, 6:5, 27:5, 27:6, 28:16, 28:19, 29:19, 30:17, 35:20, 38:6, 38:17, 39:10, 39:24, 52:6, 59:10, 60:6, 63:11, 77:14, 85:16, 99:12, 99:17, 104:3, 108:17, 108:22, 112:8, 113:21, 115:7, 117:2, 178:13 Morton [10] - 58:20, 60:16, 79:2, 79:6, 80:1, 91:5, 104:5, 104:9, 105:21, 105:25 most [13] - 30:8, 35:12, 40:2, 44:19, 62:10, 138:14, 158:3, 165:18, 167:7, 169:2, 173:11, 195:19, 199:17 mostly [2] - 166:13, 166:15 mother [4] - 40:15, 60:15, 61:15, 107:4 mother's [2] - 72:10, 106:18 motion [2] - 5:5, 123:16 motorcycle [2] -63:22, 64:1 mouth [1] - 147:11 move [15] - 13:4, 17:4, 36:25, 66:17, 73:6, 91:8, 91:10, 95:15, 96:10, 112:10, 180:2, 183:17, 190:24, 199:24, 202:22 moved [8] - 25:20,

misuse [1] - 21:3

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25:22, 29:9, 29:11, 86:23, 107:1, 182:9, 239:7 movement [2] - 10:10, 24:14 Moving [1] - 158:1 moving [7] - 29:13, 58:16, 85:2, 95:13, 116:17, 136:18, 138:17 MP [2] - 87:15, 87:16 mudslides [1] - 133:7 Muhlbauer [1] -169:18 multiple [2] - 47:13, 47:24 municipalities [3] -21:25, 22:6, 22:7 Murphy [3] - 8:21, 8:25, 115:17 Murphy's [1] - 115:25 must [2] - 155:14, 171:24

N

nail [1] - 129:2 name [25] - 6:1, 6:2, 7:8, 27:22, 38:13, 38:14, 39:24, 42:19, 45:17, 58:23, 58:24, 58:25. 59:2. 72:9. 72:10, 78:16, 108:18, 108:19, 137:24, 178:10, 205:24, 205:25, 206:2 named [1] - 169:17 names [1] - 41:8 narrow [1] - 225:1 Narum [1] - 78:7 National [2] - 146:10, 153:13 Native [4] - 85:13, 90:15, 191:10 native [2] - 69:6, 85:17 natural [8] - 16:19, 17:3, 43:22, 230:6, 230:8, 230:10, 230:14, 231:1 nature [7] - 110:16, 110:24, 118:15, 123:12, 133:2, 203:13, 216:14 Nature [2] - 12:11, 12:22 nauseam [1] - 234:1 navigate [1] - 91:3 NDGS [1] - 115:20 near [15] - 6:23, 13:23, 22:7, 23:15, 24:15,

24:21, 44:18, 44:20,

193:18, 219:24 nearby [4] - 21:23, 76:21, 161:13, 161:16 nearly [2] - 42:22, 220:23 necessarily [5] -18:17, 115:11, 144:9. 155:1. 176:16 necessary [3] - 161:7, 162:25, 163:2 need [29] - 4:10, 14:9, 42:24, 55:15, 89:19, 99:6, 100:4, 100:10, 112:7, 127:17, 138:1. 148:21. 149:7, 166:2, 179:24, 189:3, 189:15, 191:23, 199:20, 200:2, 200:3, 208:9, 208:18, 211:25, 214:11, 216:15, 217:21, 218:8, 238:6 needed [4] - 10:9, 121:9, 150:12, 163:8 needs [5] - 46:8, 61:10, 130:24, 165:2, 204:9 negative [11] - 50:2, 91:16, 119:11, 119:17, 120:4, 120:5, 120:8, 120:10, 121:7, 175:15 negotiable [1] -102:20 negotiation [1] - 46:25 negotiations [4] -48:7, 79:10, 92:5, neighbor [3] - 68:20, 75:18, 79:5 neighborhood [1] -75:17 neighborhoods [1] -75:17 neighbors [9] - 25:24, 45:23, 46:15, 50:15, 94:20, 96:16, 102:16, 195:17, 200:9 neighbors' [1] - 96:17 nervous [2] - 61:24, never [12] - 41:15, 43:17, 44:2, 44:3, 237:2 45:20, 68:7, 69:4, north [33] - 22:5, 25:12, 26:1, 29:11, 102:15, 102:17,

158:14, 195:21,

225:3

70:1, 84:17, 95:23,

131:9, 155:24,

new [10] - 11:23, 65:15, 66:3, 89:23, 170:17, 170:18, 191:1, 221:23, 239:19 newest [1] - 106:24 news [2] - 71:17, 92:25 newsreel [1] - 41:9 next [23] - 31:14, 34:23, 37:19, 47:20, 49:4, 58:13, 66:17, 69:1, 69:20, 70:18, 71:24, 71:25, 73:1, 74:23, 80:7, 97:25, 160:1, 177:6, 193:15, 194:10, 197:5. 197:16. 205:14 nicknamed [1] - 78:23 night [3] - 62:1, 63:11, 196:3 nine [1] - 63:25 nine-year [1] - 63:25 no-till [1] - 45:17 no-win [1] - 90:19 non [2] - 143:15, 230:14 non-flammable [1] -230:14 non-lawyer [1] -143:15 none [3] - 53:25, 168:6, 216:19 North [61] - 6:6, 7:25, 8:1, 8:23, 14:18, 14:20, 19:18, 20:6, 20:21, 21:16, 23:2, 23:24, 26:17, 28:19, 31:24, 32:22, 33:7, 33:8, 33:18, 33:22, 34:3, 34:13, 42:8, 42:12, 42:17, 42:20, 43:13, 44:7, 44:18, 44:19, 48:11, 49:2, 67:2, 96:2, 96:6, 97:14, 103:5, 103:19, 103:20, 104:7, 114:1, 114:9, 115:10, 115:17, 115:20, 118:15, 119:10, 119:13, 121:1, 131:13, 144:22, 165:11, 174:10, 185:10, 212:24, 213:2, 213:7, 221:20, 222:15, 223:10,

66:15, 75:18, 75:19, 84:11, 87:7, 87:11. 87:14, 88:17, 91:11, 94:22, 94:24, 101:23, 107:2, 160:5, 160:10, 160:18, 181:21, 183:4, 183:5, 183:13, 192:24, 197:2, 197:8 northeast [1] - 87:19 note [6] - 63:17, 136:8, 238:13, 240:4, 240:11, 241:6 noted [3] - 113:16, 180:14, 207:19 notes [5] - 60:12, 62:14, 167:18, 235:12 nothing [13] - 48:5, 50:8, 57:16, 57:18, 57:20, 58:7, 72:21, 78:22, 118:8, 121:10, 224:1 notice [2] - 60:7, 87:16 noticed [2] - 39:10, 166:22 November [4] -152:10, 215:8, 225:5, 229:7 nowhere [1] - 70:1 nuances [1] - 161:23 number [24] - 24:10, 68:23, 70:23, 73:21, 92:23, 92:24, 93:4, 93:5, 112:19, 114:19, 115:17, 116:1, 117:24, 154:13, 159:17, 165:10, 168:9, 168:12, 168:16, 173:22, 173:24, 175:22, 175:24, 201:13 numbers [3] - 67:21, 91:7, 112:17 numerous [6] - 22:4, 64:4, 83:12, 84:7, 85:19, 117:9 nurse [2] - 43:9

0

O-L-S-O-N [1] - 38:15 oats [1] - 65:5 obituary [2] - 98:19, 103:9 object [18] - 92:3, 95:11, 126:9, 126:11, 134:2, 140:1, 156:22,

157:14, 211:19, 212:13, 213:8, 214:6, 215:10, 216:4, 216:11, 219:14, 220:20, 233:20 objecting [1] - 199:9 objection [61] - 13:6, 13:7, 13:9, 13:11, 17:6, 17:7, 17:9, 32:24, 33:1, 86:2, 86:3, 86:5, 92:8, 98:3, 98:4, 99:3, 100:6, 100:7, 100:9, 100:18, 100:19, 100:21, 108:3, 108:4, 108:6, 112:24, 113:1, 113:3, 113:5, 113:8, 122:9, 123:15, 124:2, 124:17, 125:8, 127:23, 128:15, 131:20, 131:23, 131:25, 132:22, 134:19, 139:21, 144:24, 145:3, 150:16, 150:17, 150:19, 156:5, 158:8, 158:10, 163:19, 180:5, 180:6, 193:9, 193:12, 207:11, 207:12, 207:14, 215:24, 219:18 objections [10] -113:14, 113:15, 131:5, 140:2, 180:8, 180:11, 180:13, 197:17, 207:16, 207:18 obligation [1] - 72:5 oblivious [1] - 47:17 observed [1] - 71:13 obtained [1] - 105:22 obtaining [2] - 47:24, 97:13 obvious [2] - 91:10, 96:20 obviously [5] - 43:22, 57:7, 76:12, 127:23, 130:24 occupants [1] -219:20

occupation [1] - 7:11

occurred [1] - 114:11

occurring [1] - 97:20

occurs [1] - 124:14

October [3] - 6:22,

181:19, 182:19

occupational [1] -

occur [3] - 24:15,

42:9, 44:10

224:22

29:13, 29:14, 29:15,

30:14, 58:20, 64:14,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

odd [1] - 83:9 offer [24] - 48:2, 53:2, 58:16, 85:23, 89:24, 91:24, 91:25, 93:9. 97:25, 100:1, 100:4, 100:15, 107:22, 108:1, 127:17, 135:14, 150:13, 150:15, 193:8, 207:9, 222:13, 222:16, 222:18, offered [6] - 135:19, 135:24, 209:8, 209:10, 223:19, 223:23 offering [5] - 98:16, 188:1, 189:24, 222:4, 237:3 offers [1] - 92:4 office [6] - 8:9, 22:22, 31:8, 80:2, 112:1, 173:3 office's [1] - 116:24 offices [1] - 221:25 official [3] - 49:8, 56:18, 99:7 officials [6] - 228:10, 228:11, 228:14, 228:19, 228:24, 229:4 often [10] - 15:17, 15:18, 46:1, 64:17, 65:1, 70:25, 93:17, 164:9, 171:14, 230:11 oftentimes [1] - 219:4 oil [13] - 12:22, 13:21, 41:25, 52:24, 52:25, 64:6, 64:9, 65:17, 66:7, 82:13, 138:6, 230:5, 231:1 old [8] - 63:21, 63:25, 83:8, 83:17, 85:8 Oliver [2] - 164:16, 164:18 Olson [12] - 38:2, 38:15, 38:16, 39:10, 39:24, 40:11, 49:6, 52:6, 54:7, 58:11, 100:13, 223:20 OLSON [7] - 38:9, 38:11, 38:15, 38:19, 38:22, 39:1, 39:5 once [8] - 58:14, 68:14, 80:7, 117:25, 129:14, 136:25, 171:7, 234:25 one [113] - 5:3, 12:6, 12:14, 12:18, 25:17, 28:2, 34:3, 35:2, 35:4, 40:2, 44:10, 44:18, 46:19, 47:1,

53:19, 54:12, 54:16, 56:7, 57:24, 60:9, 60:24, 61:4, 64:20, 65:1, 67:12, 69:11, 69:13, 70:8, 71:25, 76:9, 76:10, 79:8, 79:12, 84:9, 84:18, 84:23, 87:20, 94:19, 96:24, 100:5, 101:8, 102:1, 102:9, 102:10, 105:2, 105:5, 105:6, 107:19, 107:25, 111:25, 112:20, 115:5, 115:21, 116:6, 116:10, 117:21, 117:25, 123:22, 128:22, 135:16, 139:2, 143:1, 144:20, 147:16, 150:22, 152:10, 152:17, 153:4, 156:13, 159:11, 163:14, 164:6, 164:14, 164:15, 164:21, 165:21, 166:20, 166:22, 169:21, 171:24, 172:7, 175:15, 186:19, 189:21, 192:11, 193:18, 194:19, 197:5, 198:12, 199:3, 204:14, 208:1, 212:22, 213:21, 214:23, 219:2, 219:3, 219:7, 219:23, 226:24, 228:1, 229:17, 232:5, 232:7, 233:6, 233:21, 235:11, 236:23, 238:15, 240:12 one's [2] - 177:23, 213:9 one-and-done [1] -165:21 one-call [1] - 67:12 one-on-one [1] -111:25 one-time [2] - 219:3, 219:7 ones [7] - 41:12, 45:3, 153:6, 213:20, 215:20, 226:9. 226:10 ongoing [1] - 221:23

oozes [3] - 73:16,

oozing [1] - 84:22

opened [2] - 36:23,

open [3] - 70:7, 83:13,

84:2, 87:23

239:18

237.5 operate [3] - 23:15, 176:3, 176:14 operating [8] - 12:7, 23:21, 24:17, 119:6, 119:24, 156:20, 227:24, 232:16 operation [6] - 13:19, 20:7, 20:17, 82:7, 227:14, 235:2 operations [2] - 15:8, 15:16 operator [4] - 116:13, 130:11, 144:21, 215:2 operators [16] - 12:10, 13:22, 18:16, 114:15, 118:24, 135:18. 140:21. 141:3, 141:6, 141:13, 155:17, 165:14, 231:9, 235:6, 235:7, 235:9 opinion [6] - 17:21, 33:19, 143:13, 145:19, 145:25, 161:22 opinions [3] - 32:4, 149:25, 197:7 opportunity [6] -97:21, 183:19, 188:6, 209:11, 223:12. 234:11 opposed [3] - 44:4, 81:18, 105:6 optimal [1] - 14:15 optimize [1] - 14:23 option [4] - 88:7, 89:15, 197:19, 240:22 options [4] - 51:9, 81:8, 162:8, 197:8 orange [1] - 64:20 order [21] - 5:10, 34:20, 62:13, 77:5, 123:2, 123:14, 123:17, 123:18, 124:4, 124:21, 124:24, 132:24, 134:4, 136:12, 157:1, 161:14, 163:22, 174:18, 189:20, 220:14, 239:16 orders [2] - 34:11, 34:24 Oregon [1] - 63:6 organized [1] - 39:17 original [10] - 65:13, 69:6, 83:23, 85:5,

others' [1] - 95:13 otherwise [2] - 21:17, 123:14 out-of-state [1] -72:15 outlines [2] - 14:6, 126:21 Outlook [1] - 173:22 output [4] - 129:17, 130:22, 162:1 outputs [4] - 111:4, 132:20, 156:24, 175:4 outreach [11] - 215:5. 215:6, 216:23, 217:1, 227:8, 229:2, 229:3, 229:6, 229:9, 231:3, 232:22 Outreach [1] - 227:3 outs [1] - 137:7 outside [8] - 127:3, 127:6, 129:25, 162:8, 165:17, 195:12, 213:11, 217:19 outstanding [1] -208:22 overall [2] - 114:6, 171:5 overlaid [1] - 133:21 overland [1] - 161:5 overlap [2] - 152:6, 169:15 overlaying [1] - 32:11 overly [1] - 124:7 overtures [1] - 57:11 overview [1] - 122:22 overwhelming [1] -146:1 own [16] - 42:18, 43:22, 55:2, 66:10, 90:17, 126:7, 139:17, 143:8, 143:18, 145:1, 145:6, 145:12, 145:19, 148:11, 159:1, 220:5 owned [7] - 52:13, 52:17, 88:11, 88:12, 101:22, 101:23 owners [3] - 218:17, 219:20, 238:20 owning [1] - 173:1 owns [5] - 91:22, 95:18, 148:4, 194:2 oxygen [5] - 23:17, 230:10, 235:21, 236:8, 236:17 oxygen-based [2] -235:21, 236:8

Ρ p.m [1] - 241:7 package [5] - 60:11, 60:15, 61:16, 150:10, 150:13 packages [2] - 149:9, 162:4 packets [1] - 102:18 page [74] - 8:18, 18:23, 64:10, 64:16, 68:18, 69:1, 69:20, 69:23, 70:8, 73:7, 74:14, 78:20, 86:9, 87:6, 87:14, 88:6, 88:8, 88:9, 88:10, 88:19, 88:23, 88:24, 89:18, 89:23, 89:25, 90:2, 90:4, 95:7, 100:2, 100:5, 102:8, 102:11, 103:8, 104:22, 111:10, 122:4, 122:14, 137:10, 137:17, 137:19, 139:4, 145:18, 147:1, 154:5, 155:10, 155:11, 160:25, 166:20, 169:25, 181:21, 186:16, 187:6, 190:11, 190:23, 193:16, 193:17, 193:24, 194:9, 194:11, 197:16, 198:7, 198:13, 198:18, 202:7, 216:22, 217:24, 226:24, 231:9, 234:18, 235:4, 235:5, 238:11 pages [9] - 62:14, 80:23, 81:7, 98:1, 98:7, 98:8, 98:14, 98:17, 98:21 Pahlke [1] - 182:24 Pahlke's [1] - 183:5 paid [2] - 217:11, 223.6 paint [1] - 136:23 panic [1] - 138:11 paper [2] - 5:9, 79:8 papers [5] - 39:11, 47:21, 78:6, 80:18, 87:13 paperwork [5] - 67:5, 77:1, 77:24, 77:25, 78:3 paragraph [9] -111:16, 122:21,

157:15, 158:2,

160:1, 160:24,

160:25, 161:11,

85:6, 87:21, 88:18,

93:21, 94:9, 106:18

originally [1] - 88:22

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

231:9 paramedics [1] -44.13 parameters (3) -158:5, 175:22 parcel [5] - 31:1, 193:19, 195:3, 197:16 parcels [5] - 89:1, 89:2, 186:21, 193:18, 198:2 parents [1] - 63:21 part [43] - 9:17, 26:14. 31:1, 31:19, 34:4, 43:10, 49:7, 52:16, 72:19, 73:2, 113:24, 115:5, 115:13, 116:16, 118:23, 118:25, 119:3, 129:25, 130:15, 137:3, 154:16, 156:3, 156:25, 161:9, 162:16, 172:7, 183:9, 189:7, 189:12, 193:19, 195:21, 210:4, 219:3, 228:7, 229:6, 230:21, 231:14, 232:6, 232:21, 233:1, 233:8, 233:18 234:23 Part [1] - 117:18 participant [1] -215:22 participants [4] -79:25, 127:11, 138:5, 141:19 participate [1] - 214:2 participation [1] -222:14 particular [5] - 7:21, 32:7, 74:17, 87:3, particularly [2] -96:11, 161:2 particulars [1] - 62:15 parties [2] - 215:20, 216:19 partnership [1] -52:15 parts [3] - 118:20, 146:11, 146:14 party [2] - 148:12, 222.24 pass [4] - 60:22, 141:1, 149:15, 223.17 passed [6] - 61:7, 88:13, 107:4, 149:20, 190:14, 192:10 passing [3] - 18:20, 149:16, 196:3

passionate [2] - 174:7 past [5] - 22:23, 52:25, 65:17, 76:17, 85:15 pasture [7] - 66:14, 66:15, 66:17, 66:21, 68:21, 84:24, 85:3 pastureland [1] -84:12 patently [1] - 113:12 path [7] - 70:1, 70:3, 71:4, 91:3, 95:23, 233:23 paths [1] - 91:7 patient [1] - 45:2 patients [8] - 43:11, 43:12, 43:18, 43:23, 44:1, 44:21, 44:22, 47:11 Patricia [1] - 78:21 Pause [2] - 163:16, 235:13 pay [5] - 25:5, 92:1, 218:1, 218:2, 218:20 paying [1] - 164:25 payment [1] - 66:5 payments [1] - 93:10 peaceful [1] - 77:8 PELHAM [32] - 13:11, 17:9, 28:13, 28:15, 37:12, 52:3, 52:5, 57:18, 86:5, 89:19, 90:3, 98:6, 98:11, 98:18, 98:23, 99:3, 100:9, 100:21, 104:17, 106:12, 108:6, 113:1, 118:12, 123:1, 131:23, 150:19, 180:6, 184:23, 193:12, 207:12, 209:16, 238:9 Pelham [25] - 11:5, 12:4, 13:10, 17:8, 28:11, 37:11, 52:2, 57:17, 86:4, 98:5, 100:8, 100:20, 104:16, 106:11, 108:5, 112:25, 118:10, 122:24, 150:18, 180:5, 184:22, 193:11, 207:11, 209:15, 239:5 Pelham's [2] - 12:3, 58:19 penalties [12] - 6:6, 6:13, 38:17, 38:24, 59:11, 59:17, 108:22, 109:3, 178:14, 178:19, 206:4, 206:10

pending [1] - 123:16

people [34] - 22:25,

23:14, 32:7, 32:10, 41:5, 42:12, 42:16, 44:1, 47:5, 47:14, 50:8, 75:16, 75:22, 76:10, 79:17, 79:18, 79:25, 97:2, 147:12, 152:11, 164:9, 168:25, 174:6, 174:8, 177:12, 205:18, 219:23, 222:22, 222:24, 223:5, 233:17, 236:2, 236:5, 239:11 per [13] - 93:3, 146:11, 146:14, 208:15, 218:25, 219:1, 219:8, 219:12, 222:20, 222:21, 225:23, 225:24 per-mile [1] - 219:12 percent [6] - 87:12, 91:4, 104:5, 104:8, 105:21, 105:25 percentage [2] -115:12, 119:22 perched [1] - 15:2 perfect [1] - 38:4 perfectly [1] - 239:8 perform [1] - 132:17 performed [4] - 130:3, 130:6, 131:7, 156:19 performing [2] -124:11, 131:4 perhaps [4] - 45:9. 86:24, 134:22, 236:8 period [3] - 19:10, 66:16, 189:17 perjury [19] - 6:6, 6:7, 6:10, 6:13, 38:18, 38:20, 38:24, 59:11, 59:13, 59:17, 108:22, 108:24, 109:3, 178:14, 178:16, 178:19, 206:4, 206:6, 206:10 permanently [2] -69:7, 69:16 $\textbf{permission} \ [2] \textbf{ - } 47:6,$ 47:15 permit [2] - 103:18, 188:21 permittable [1] -191:13 permitting [1] - 7:24 perpetuity [1] - 88:4 persist [1] - 106:2 person [26] - 11:10, 11:11, 11:17, 26:15, 28:17, 31:10, 31:14, 47:1, 55:3, 67:16, 72:4, 72:21, 72:22,

147:19, 177:13, 177:24, 190:17, 197:25, 212:19, 212:21 personal [11] - 60:12, 63:18, 68:10, 74:8, 77:14, 85:21, 129:10, 129:21, 132:11, 143:17, 217:15 personally [23] - 55:9, 62:24, 79:20, 82:9, 141:24, 142:11, 143:21, 143:25, 152:14, 163:4, 168:6, 182:23, 187:20, 188:15, 197:22, 213:19, 213:22, 214:14, 214:15, 214:16, 214:17, 222:5 personnel [6] - 44:12, 44:14, 142:16, 222:9, 223:11, 235:3 persons [6] - 106:3, 111:8, 146:5, 147:10, 220:2, 222:15 perspective [4] - 75:5, 137:15, 146:16, 199:22 pertains [1] - 135:17 pertinent [2] - 33:4, 33:7 petition [1] - 9:2 Petroleum [2] -153:12, 210:7 **pH** [1] - 23:19 Phase [9] - 31:1, 31:2, 31:3, 31:4, 31:5, 31:6, 31:9, 116:3 phase [6] - 8:13, 13:17, 14:12, 15:9, 15:16, 17:17 Phillips [23] - 5:15, 5:18, 6:17, 29:7, 35:6, 36:9, 54:9, 57:19, 104:18, 106:13, 113:2, 121:11, 151:6, 151:15, 151:24, 160:8, 180:10, 185:24, 207:13, 209:18, 224:2, 234:5, 240:8 PHMSA [30] - 18:2, 114:13, 116:7, 117:13, 118:4, 130:20, 139:10, 144:20, 146:22, 147:4, 147:8, 147:15, 148:1, 76:2, 142:2, 144:2, 150:25, 151:3, 144:11, 146:6,

169:19, 169:21, 211:6, 211:12, 211:14, 211:17, 212:9, 212:10, 212:20, 212:23, 213:1, 213:3, 221:7, 226:21 phone [11] - 47:2, 47:9, 47:11, 53:2, 53:19, 56:8, 240:14, 240:15, 240:17, 240:18, 240:21 phonetic [1] - 107:13 phonetic) [1] - 94:20 photo [10] - 64:10, 64:16, 68:18, 69:7, 70:10, 73:7, 73:11, 77:10, 77:11, 93:21 photographs [3] -49:7, 58:15, 61:9 photos [11] - 60:19, 61:11, 61:12, 71:22, 73:7, 97:24, 98:1, 98:18, 100:14, 100:16. 223:19 phrase [2] - 133:11, 216:10 phrasing [2] - 147:6, 175:1 physical [3] - 42:13, 74:3, 135:13 pick [1] - 64:25 picking [1] - 120:2 pickup [2] - 77:12, 85:4 picture [10] - 40:10, 40:11, 64:20, 69:2, 69:18, 70:17, 70:19, 96:19, 96:23, 136:23 pictures [7] - 40:9, 40:22, 41:8, 41:16, 60:11, 65:12, 98:10 piece [13] - 65:22, 72:7, 75:13, 75:24, 76:7, 77:25, 98:22, 125:3, 135:19, 194:3, 196:5, 198:7, 226:11 pile [2] - 65:21, 68:24 piling [1] - 46:17 pinhole [1] - 176:21 pinpoint [1] - 93:14 pipe [27] - 10:25, 11:25, 13:22, 24:15, 30:10, 37:3, 46:18, 65:23, 66:2, 67:23, 67:24, 68:1, 82:15, 87:21, 88:15, 88:16, 93:2, 93:4, 94:25, 96:14, 119:17, 120:2, 120:15, 120:24, 120:25, 121:6, 176:18

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Dinalina (n. 150.10
Pipeline [4] - 153:12,
211:13, 211:15,
212:3
pipeline [150] - 4:6,
6:23, 7:24, 10:21,
11:7, 12:6, 12:10, 12:22, 13:2, 13:21,
13:24, 14:1, 14:12,
14:13, 16:12, 16:17,
16:19, 16:24, 17:13,
18:1, 18:3, 18:22,
19:24, 21:22, 22:3,
23:23, 24:4, 24:18,
24:21, 24:23, 25:6,
25:9, 25:12, 26:8,
27:19, 28:3, 32:9,
32:20, 34:5, 40:1,
40:7, 40:18, 41:3,
41:18, 41:25, 42:6,
42:8, 42:23, 43:2,
44:5, 44:9, 44:18,
46:14, 49:16, 49:25,
64:6, 64:7, 64:9,
64:11, 64:12, 65:17,
66:8, 66:9, 67:14,
67:15, 67:16, 67:19,
68:6, 69:3, 72:18,
74:11, 75:2, 75:24,
82:10, 82:14, 82:22,
84:16, 85:20, 93:4, 102:8, 103:6,
114:15, 115:3,
116:13, 116:14,
117:21, 118:24,
119:1, 121:1,
130:21, 130:25,
131:1, 133:9,
135:18, 153:24,
135:18, 153:24, 155:13, 155:20,
155:24, 156:19,
158:5, 161:17,
167:25, 168:15,
169:13, 174:13,
175:22, 175:24,
176:5, 176:8,
180:19, 181:8,
181:25, 182:8,
182:15, 184:9, 184:18, 190:24,
104:10, 190:24,
197:20, 198:3, 199:12, 208:14,
208:16, 209:5,
211:18, 212:7,
213:4, 213:6,
214:21, 219:1,
219:9, 219:24,
221:16, 222:11,
225:3, 227:24,
229:14, 230:18,
230:19, 231:1,
231:2, 231:18,
231:19, 231:21,
232:16, 233:2,

233:5, 235:6, 235:7, 235.9 pipeline's [5] - 22:14, 116:17, 212:11, 212:12, 212:24 pipelines [32] - 15:9, 18:7, 21:23, 22:18, 22:21, 23:25, 34:12, 34:13, 41:24, 41:25, 42:3, 117:9, 118:5, 121:24, 129:23, 130:1, 130:12, 130:23, 151:2, 153:19, 165:10, 165:16, 168:4, 175:11, 175:21, 176:2, 212:9, 213:2, 224:19, 225:1, 225:2, 229:16 pipes [2] - 24:2, 176:17 piping [1] - 45:25 pits [1] - 83:12 place [21] - 14:9, 14:15. 29:14. 30:10. 31:15, 32:7, 110:17, 110:18, 118:14, 135:20, 159:21, 159:22, 159:24, 222:2, 227:14, 227.22 232.10 233:18, 237:16 placement [2] - 14:15, 197:20 places [3] - 84:12, 85:10, 93:17 **Plains** [1] - 79:10 plan [27] - 27:8, 27:13, 45:7, 114:18, 116:11, 126:25, 130:10, 130:18, 143:10, 145:12, 171:6, 189:6, 196:8, 220:5, 220:7, 220:9, 220:15, 221:6, 221:11. 221:16. 231:4, 231:5, 232:25, 233:1, 233:14, 234:22, 235:6 planning [10] - 45:24, 126:18, 143:22, 144:6, 144:12, 154:3, 166:1, 172:25, 209:6, 228:3 plans [25] - 9:24, 10:8, 10:15, 14:23, 26:19, 27:13, 27:14, 45:6, 125:23. 126:7. 127:2, 127:4, 143:7, 143:8, 143:19, 144:1, 144:10, 144:16, 144:22,

145:2, 145:7, 171:11, 172:9, 231:17, 239:23 plant [5] - 22:2, 22:17, 23:15, 23:20, 86:23 planted [3] - 45:15, 65:5, 65:14 planting [2] - 63:13, 64:23 plants [3] - 20:5, 33:14. 45:25 Plato's [1] - 40:2 play [4] - 133:4. 134:9. 163:9, 169:16 plays [1] - 189:13 plow [1] - 102:4 plumbing [1] - 67:5 plume [16] - 96:22, 125:15, 125:17, 129:12, 129:22, 130:1, 130:14, 133:19, 134:16, 135:4, 135:6, 145:17, 146:19, 161:16, 211:2, 220.17 **plus** [1] - 219:8 point [26] - 34:3, 35:2, 56:19, 63:18, 68:4, 83:10, 86:19, 93:19, 105:20, 105:23, 121:5, 125:6, 126:12, 151:8, 161:17, 170:14, 185:13, 203:8, 203:25, 217:15, 218:23, 222:10, 227:13, 232:9, 234:3. 237:13 points [4] - 63:17, 117:23, 180:16, 207:21 pole [2] - 69:15, 196:25 poles [1] - 69:25 policies [4] - 16:25, 17:23, 18:13, 18:18 policy [4] - 16:20, 17:12, 17:21, 75:4 political [4] - 23:8, 34:16, 49:3, 166:14 politicians [2] - 42:18, 46.14 politics [1] - 46:6 pollutant [4] - 20:1, 21:7, 26:12, 75:4 pollution [2] - 21:2, 21:13 pond [1] - 66:19 populated [3] - 25:24, 161:4, 162:23 populous [1] - 44:19

51:13, 88:25, 137:14, 193:21 portions [7] - 9:2, 22:5, 30:7, 121:1, 121:3, 207:23, 226:17 pose [3] - 11:20, 13:23, 142:18 posed [1] - 186:17 position [5] - 23:1, 26:16, 69:17, 87:21, 224:14 positive [2] - 134:13, 223:19 possession [1] -228:23 possibilities [1] -188.8 possibility [1] - 11:21 possible [12] - 33:25, 42:4, 88:8, 101:2, 103:5, 136:14, 186:18, 187:3, 187:7, 187:21, 194:17 potential [43] - 6:12, 10:24, 13:18, 17:24, 19:23, 23:7, 23:10, 26:12, 29:21, 30:3, 33:12, 36:22, 38:23, 44:14, 45:22, 51:8, 53:2, 59:16, 86:14, 86:17, 88:7, 90:9, 90:22, 91:7, 109:2, 118:5, 118:16, 118:22, 129:12, 131:8, 155:13, 155:23, 158:4. 172:4, 176:15, 178:18, 185:19, 198:10, 203:7, 206:9, 231:10, 231:20, 233:5 potentially [13] - 19:9, 20:3, 23:3, 23:18, 24:23, 37:1, 56:10, 74:1, 84:16, 118:17, 135:6, 136:19, 239:18 Powell [5] - 8:17, 141:16, 191:16, 210:14, 210:15 power [14] - 20:24, 21:10, 36:24, 64:5, 69:12, 69:13, 69:24, 70:2, 70:3, 70:11, 70:25, 79:11, 79:17 powerful [1] - 48:4 PowerPoint [7] -132:20, 135:8, 135:10, 136:7, 137:5, 137:13,

Practice [1] - 154:6 practice [4] - 154:8, 204:4, 232:19, 232:20 practices [31] - 9:12, 9:15, 12:5, 12:7, 12:11, 13:18, 13:19, 13:21, 13:25, 14:6, 14:11, 14:15, 14:23, 15:6, 15:11, 15:12, 15:15. 16:4. 17:1. 18:10, 23:24, 24:19, 24:20, 45:16, 157:6, 157:8, 158:16, 158:21, 162:20, 165:13, 232:7 Practices [2] - 155:12, 227:10 practitioner [1] - 43:9 pragmatic [1] - 8:5 prairie [2] - 69:6, 191:6 pray [3] - 41:18, 103:16 precedence [1] - 49:3 precious [1] - 40:23 precipitation [4] -10:2, 11:21, 31:19, 36:21 precise [1] - 57:6 precisely [1] - 122:23 preconstruction [1] -13:17 predict [1] - 42:5 predicted [1] - 182:14 preface [1] - 175:9 prefer [1] - 199:12 preferred [1] - 192:25 prefiled [10] - 8:17, 39:12, 109:17, 109:25, 110:5, 110:20, 113:19, 186:16, 191:16, 193:16 preliminary [3] - 4:10, 181:15, 182:15 premature [1] - 227:17 premise [1] - 140:5 preparation [6] - 8:7, 27:11, 50:18, 147:18, 179:12, 212:21 prepare [8] - 17:19, 39:15, 62:16, 63:15, 81:9, 81:19, 141:24, 206:21 prepared [16] - 16:14, 17:12, 39:19, 44:7, 51:8, 81:7, 126:5, 142:2, 146:23, 179:13, 179:15, 179.18 190.17

190:18, 190:20,

portion [5] - 16:24,

138:18

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

206.22 preparedness [5] -135:23, 153:23, 153:24, 154:4, 166:2 preplan [1] - 221:11 preplans [1] - 220:9 prescribe [2] - 211:17, 212:6 prescribed [1] -130:18 present [15] - 64:8, 75:1, 89:15, 89:16, 90:8. 96:15. 138:12. 141:23, 167:3, 167:24, 184:15, 188:4, 216:20, 225:5. 230:4 presentation [17] -60:13, 68:13, 122:23. 124:19. 124:20, 126:12, 131:2, 132:23, 133:5, 134:21, 136:9, 136:15, 137:14, 138:18, 154:16. 155:5. 170:17 presentations [15] -110:9, 111:17, 111:18, 111:19, 111:21, 122:4, 122:16, 125:20, 130:3, 132:16, 136:21, 137:11, 141:1, 156:13, 165:9 presented [7] -125:13, 126:5, 126:14, 152:10, 181:3. 182:13. 239:10 presenter [2] - 111:19, presenting [1] - 116:2 presents [1] - 75:4 preserve [1] - 45:14 preserving [2] - 45:17, 103:19 pressure [1] - 176:1 presumably [1] - 54:2 pretty [3] - 63:11, 70:12, 124:18 prevent [5] - 18:7, 18:14, 18:19, 21:2, 21:13 prevented [1] - 97:12 previewed [1] - 137:12 previous [4] - 4:15, 7:1, 18:5, 30:9 previously [13] - 6:20, 22:10, 23:1, 28:21, 108:1, 109:14, 122:1, 124:3, 125:9, 156:15, 200:11,

223:23, 225:3 **price** [1] - 68:3 primarily [1] - 133:7 prime [2] - 69:23, 97:20 printed [2] - 149:14, 166:24 private [2] - 48:14, 92:4 privilege [1] - 217:15 problem [2] - 41:17, 68.1 problematic [1] -161:2 problems [7] - 41:19, 42:14, 55:2, 64:8, 149:3, 149:8, 195:16 procedure [5] - 16:11, 16:21, 17:13, 17:22, 150:25 procedures [6] -17:24, 18:13, 24:18, 25:6, 154:15, 224:20 proceed [6] - 70:5, 75:23, 76:17, 80:8, 87:24, 200:7 proceeding [4] - 78:6, 85:20, 96:3, 216:19 proceedings [4] -50:19, 75:10, 76:15, 179:7 process [23] - 43:23, 46:3, 50:9, 56:5, 61:16, 61:25, 64:19, 100:14, 136:25, 137:1, 137:3, 165:13, 169:8, 169:10, 173:18, 186:20, 187:12, 195:4, 202:20, 203:16, 203:19, 229:1, 232:10 processor [1] - 65:7 produce [1] - 223:20 produced [3] - 135:22, 180:22, 182:10 product [5] - 34:18, 46:9, 79:20, 229:25 production [1] - 66:6 productivity [1] -82:25 products [2] - 229:23, 230:5 professional [1] -224:24 profile [1] - 146:4 program [11] - 67:3, 116:16, 130:18, 139:15, 169:11, 208:3, 208:12,

217:9, 222:14,

227:9, 227:14

programming [1] -

202:3 programs [2] - 211:8, 211.9 prohibition [2] -91:17, 213:5 project [27] - 4:7, 7:12, 17:17, 42:19, 48:4, 74:6, 92:11, 96:23, 132:14, 168:19, 168:21, 172:3, 172:4. 172:5. 185:9. 210:4, 210:18, 212:22, 214:4, 214:21, 219:5, 222:10, 225:3, 226:6, 226:7, 233:2 projected [1] - 133:20 projects [1] - 68:14 promise [6] - 6:13. 38:24, 59:17, 109:3, 178:19, 206:10 promises [1] - 68:15 proof [1] - 68:14 propane [1] - 230:12 properties [5] - 200:1, 203:8, 230:1, 230:8, 230:16 property [66] - 45:23, 47:18, 47:22, 48:2, 52:8, 52:12, 52:16, 53:10, 61:12, 64:1, 64:2, 64:18, 65:12, 68:23, 68:25, 69:12, 74:11, 74:17, 75:19, 75:24, 76:2, 76:19, 76:23, 77:6, 81:11, 83:4, 83:6, 83:17, 84:7, 87:10, 88:20, 90:12, 90:24, 91:19, 92:18, 95:12, 95:14, 95:15, 95:18, 96:3, 96:14, 100:3, 102:7, 102:13, 105:6, 106:18, 183:4, 183:6, 184:6, 184:7, 189:4, 191:10, 194:2, 194:9, 194:20, 194:21, 196:13, 197:1, 197:2, 197:14, 197:21, 199:19, 199:25, 200:5, 200:11 proposal [1] - 26:8 proposals [1] - 199:7 propose [1] - 167:8 proposed [39] - 18:12, 21:23, 22:3, 22:14, 24:5, 25:9, 25:12, 25:13, 27:19, 29:8, 29:11, 29:23, 29:24,

41:18, 42:5, 42:23, 52:12, 79:15, 82:3, 82:6, 84:16, 84:17, 86:11, 88:22, 92:15, 106:19, 190:24, 191:5, 193:22, 197:20, 214:4, 219:24 proposing [3] - 53:11, 199:14, 220:1 protect [4] - 8:5, 26:13, 82:16, 123:18 protection [11] - 7:25, 8:2, 19:5, 19:6, 19:8, 19:16, 19:19, 23:2, 26:16, 26:18, 28:22 protections [1] -65:20 protective [11] -123:2, 123:13, 123:17, 124:4, 124:21, 124:24, 132:24, 134:4, 135:21, 156:25. 159:23 protocols [1] - 142:19 provide [22] - 19:13, 21:24, 41:14, 43:21, 73:19, 110:21, 111:2, 120:7, 122:5, 123:14, 132:18, 132:19. 134:24. 141:10, 146:17, 154:2, 155:8, 170:15, 184:16, 203:17, 203:19, 209:10 provided [36] - 8:8. 13:17, 14:20, 23:6, 30:11, 86:15, 110:7, 127:19, 131:18, 132:8, 133:18, 133:24, 134:10, 135:9, 136:6, 137:5, 141:15, 144:1, 152:25, 157:5, 158:11, 158:14, 158:18, 158:21, 158:23, 159:2, 159:3. 159:12. 162:21, 183:20, 196:16, 199:18, 210:20, 220:16, 222:23, 225:21 provides [2] - 22:3, 209:4 providing [12] - 8:4, 18:18. 24:11. 122:17, 124:24, 128:14, 131:15,

143:6, 204:9,

208:21

208:14, 208:19,

provision [2] - 155:1, 159:7 provisions [1] -159:15 proximity [5] - 26:1, 32:11, 43:1, 95:2, 105:4 prudent [2] - 33:21, 33:24 PSC [22] - 9:5, 11:1, 13:1, 13:14, 13:16, 15:5, 16:3, 16:21, 16:23, 34:11, 50:21, 92:14, 103:16, 131:15, 132:7, 133:25, 134:4, 134:10, 135:1, 136:7, 137:13, 189:16 PSC's [1] - 96:1 PU-22-391 [2] - 4:5, 241:8 public [32] - 6:21, 18:10, 46:12, 48:19, 48:21, 61:24, 61:25, 79:1, 79:4, 159:10, 163:11, 183:21, 211:4, 215:16, 224:11, 227:6, 227:8, 227:13, 227:15, 228:10, 228:11. 228:13. 228:15, 229:2, 231:3, 231:10, 232:22, 232:24, 232:25, 240:5 Public [8] - 4:4, 23:9, 35:25, 48:25, 92:9, 137:6. 227:10. 241:8 publications [1] -92:25 publicly [2] - 135:15, 135:19 publicly-available [1] - 135:15 published [1] - 153:16 **pull** [5] - 61:17, 86:25, 101:15, 160:8, 217:16 pulls [1] - 77:3 pump [1] - 41:22 punishable [1] - 6:7 pure [2] - 72:19, 97:19 purely [2] - 159:21, 199:21 purple [1] - 191:22 purpose [8] - 67:4, 73:10, 110:20, 122:3. 122:15. 135:2, 159:20, 220:3 pursuant [1] - 163:21 pursuing [1] - 46:24 purview [1] - 102:15

32:19, 33:20, 34:3,

34:4, 40:1, 40:17,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

push [3] - 70:3, 83:1, 93:1 $\pmb{\text{pushed}}\ [2] - 65{:}23,$ 70.1 put [30] - 12:9, 25:7, 42:15, 42:19, 48:22, 64:19, 67:19, 68:7, 68:21, 68:24, 74:7, 74:11, 81:20, 83:14, 86:13, 86:19, 88:3, 89:8. 90:20. 92:23. 99:15, 103:11, 120:3, 134:9, 138:6, 145:8, 190:18, 239:11, 239:16, 239:23 putting [4] - 32:13, 46:2, 48:11, 221:12 puzzled [1] - 57:5

Q

qualification [1] -

188:25 qualified [1] - 188:18 quality [1] - 69:6 quantity [1] - 168:13 quarters [4] - 40:18, 66:14, 74:1, 94:15 Quest [8] - 148:2, 148:3, 148:9, 149:22, 149:23, 150:9, 150:12 questioning [6] -36:16, 122:25, 151:12, 213:13, 220:21, 220:22 questions [103] - 11:6, 12:3, 26:25, 27:1, 28:10, 28:12, 30:17, 30:19, 30:21, 30:25, 33:3, 35:18, 36:5, 36:6, 36:7, 37:8, 37:9, 37:15, 49:11, 51:12, 51:24, 51:25, 54:8, 54:9, 54:10, 56:23, 56:24, 56:25, 57:15, 57:21, 58:10, 85:24, 99:11, 103:23, 103:24, 104:15, 104:16, 104:18, 105:11, 105:14, 106:9, 107:6, 109:24, 110:22, 112:12, 118:10, 120:19, 120:20, 121:14, 136:17, 136:22, 139:17, 142:15, 143:14, 144:2, 144:13, 145:8, 145:13, 147:3,

151:6, 151:7, 151:10, 152:4, 157:9, 162:10, 163:18, 163:23, 165:4, 166:13, 167:14, 167:17, 170:17, 173:6, 175:7, 175:8, 184:20, 184:22, 185:24, 186:11, 186:12, 190:12, 192:19, 195:17, 195:23, 199:1, 201:5, 204:12, 204:13, 205:9, 207:4, 209:15, 209:18, 213:25, 214:5, 214:7, 214:18, 214:22, 223:17, 224:2, 235:14, 235:17, 236:21, 237:22 quicker [1] - 39:16 quickly [2] - 49:5, 192:21 quiet [1] - 61:22 quite [10] - 34:17, 47:9, 63:23, 64:2, 66:2, 66:4, 73:4, 151:11, 192:5, 204:4 quote [13] - 40:3, 46:24, 67:6, 67:21, 105:21, 122:17, 124:9, 133:11, 133:12, 186:20, 191:17, 212:5, 212:7 quote/unquote [1] -50:10 quotes [1] - 40:2

R

R-12 [1] - 8:20 R-18[1] - 112:23 R-19 [1] - 180:4 R-20 [1] - 207:10 raft [1] - 73:13 railroad [1] - 194:20 railroads [1] - 194:22 raise [1] - 69:14 raised [1] - 69:15 rake [1] - 65:5 ramifications [1] -23.8 ran [1] - 82:14 ranch [1] - 46:7 Ranch [4] - 25:25, 180:19, 181:12, 181:24 ranched [1] - 40:20 rancher [1] - 39:25 ranching [2] - 43:6,

62:19 Randy [1] - 5:19 range [3] - 58:20, 120:15. 169:3 ranges [1] - 176:10 ratepayer [1] - 24:24 rather [6] - 70:9, 107:21, 107:22, 183:1, 216:4, 218:10 rating [1] - 120:24 rationale [1] - 125:9 raw [1] - 70:7 re [5] - 10:16, 10:23, 69:5, 69:8, 88:3 re-seed [1] - 88:3 re-seeded [2] - 69:5, re-vegetation [2] -10:16, 10:23 reach [5] - 172:2, 188:13, 188:14, 196:8. 196:9 reached [9] - 22:25, 51:3, 79:5, 164:20, 204:23, 227:5, 228:1, 228:14 read [15] - 8:11, 15:22, 34:10, 34:15, 75:3, 158:6, 169:20, 182:4, 212:15, 224:14, 233:15, 233:16, 233:22, 234:7, 239:5 reading [1] - 143:16 ready [2] - 76:22, 149:14 real [10] - 48:20, 48:21, 65:4, 65:9, 69:2, 86:9, 94:23, 95:9, 96:19, 103:14 reality [2] - 120:16, 234:13 realize [1] - 61:1 really [30] - 25:14, 69:19, 71:18, 84:23, 85:9. 111:21. 114:12, 115:9, 116:14, 119:3, 126:21, 146:17, 159:18, 165:23, 172:5, 173:2, 173:3, 174:14, 174:16, 174:18, 174:20, 175:21, 176:3, 176:5, 176:17,

138:25, 139:8, 139:20. 140:15. 140:20, 141:3, 202:25, 203:1, 203:3 reasonably [1] - 113:7 reasons [3] - 48:20, 111:24, 180:12 reassure [1] - 128:24 reburying [1] - 14:11 rebut [1] - 186:6 Rebuttal [1] - 206:22 rebuttal [29] - 89:23, 89:24, 90:4, 100:2, 108:13, 108:16, 109:18, 109:21, 111:10, 112:11, 113:6, 122:4, 123:24, 132:4, 134:8, 141:18, 143:25. 179:13. 180:3, 180:9, 190:24, 207:10, 207:16, 207:25, 213:13, 238:3, 238:23, 239:17, 239:19 recap [1] - 116:5 receive [6] - 8:13, 66:4, 72:4, 72:7, 72:12, 221:14 received [24] - 9:7, 13:12, 17:10, 55:9, 60:14, 60:16, 72:3, 72:13, 72:22, 81:4, 86:6, 100:11, 100:22, 102:6, 102:9, 102:10, 108:8, 114:24, 136:1, 150:20, 158:25, 167:18, 190:5, 193:13 receiving [1] - 30:2 recent [3] - 34:13, 138:24, 153:17 recently [2] - 35:2, 196:17 recess [1] - 241:7 Recess [2] - 99:19, 151:19 recirculated [1] - 90:2 recite [1] - 28:1 reclaiming [1] - 14:10 reclamation [2] -10:15, 27:14 recollect [1] - 51:6 recollection [4] -14:21, 47:1, 142:5, 145:15 recommend [1] -161:8 recommendation [3] -11:8, 31:13, 139:12

recommendations [1]

- 105:3 Recommended [1] -227.9 recommends [1] -150:12 reconnaissance [2] -16:24, 30:2 reconsideration [1] -9:3 reconstructed [1] -69:25 reconvene [2] - 99:18, 151:18 reconvening [1] - 4:8 record [16] - 5:10, 6:1, 7:9, 8:15, 38:13, 49:8, 58:14, 58:23, 87:9, 99:7, 108:18, 142:13, 178:10, 205:25, 215:14, 241:7 recording [1] - 205:20 recordings [1] - 4:23 records [4] - 142:10, 228:13, 228:15, 228:16 recovery [1] - 28:17 red [6] - 86:12, 190:23, 191:5, 191:25, 192:1, 198:8 redirect [6] - 36:9, 57:2, 105:16, 177:2, 205:10, 237:24 **REDIRECT** [3] - 36:13, 57:3, 105:18 reference [17] - 27:22, 35:23, 87:6, 87:7, 89:25, 110:9, 112:4, 137:20, 138:21, 139:5, 141:3, 146:12, 158:24, 174:21, 226:21, 229.17 referenced [8] -117:22, 137:24, 171:18, 172:12, 172:14, 201:16, 202:2, 215:24 references [2] - 227:8, 227:9 referencing [5] -84:15, 137:21, 155:16, 201:24, 216:22 referred [3] - 16:8, 18:5, 105:2 referring [3] - 35:24, 110:13, 215:16 refers [2] - 139:23, 154:7 refined [1] - 229:22 reflecting [1] - 215:12 reflective [1] - 236:2

181:9, 193:24,

229:14, 236:9,

reason [6] - 48:21,

205:20, 220:23,

73:11, 106:2,

reasonable [9] -

240:15

230:21

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

reflects [3] - 40:3, 197:12, 203:6
refrain [1] - 216:9
regard [4] - 11:2, 55:3,
166:10, 235:8
regarding [23] - 8:17,
15:19, 17:15, 34:17,
37:4, 60:16, 87:14,
114:8, 114:24,
118:4, 122:6, 122:7,
122:18, 138:19,
143:6, 145:17,
163:18, 170:25,
180:18, 183:24,
211:5, 214:23,
221:15
regardless [3] - 68:12,
189:23, 227:25
regards [20] - 115:19,
125:10, 126:23,
127:2, 127:5, 150:5,
150:6, 154:1, 154:2,
155:8, 159:20,
164:22, 165:1,
165:5, 171:8,
171:14, 173:21,
174:15, 174:22,
175:23
regime [1] - 119:5
region [4] - 119:24,
120:3, 146:11,
176:14
Regional [5] - 22:16, 22:19, 23:22, 24:1,
26:19
regional [1] - 129:19
registered [1] - 43:9
regs [1] - 211:13
regular [1] - 71:4
regulation [15] - 21:1,
21:12, 155:19,
157:15, 157:16,
211:25, 212:2,
213:1, 227:20,
232:6, 232:9,
232:17, 232:20,
232:22, 233:19
Regulation [1] -
232:21
regulations [22] -
20:7, 20:25, 21:11,
118:4, 118:23,
130:4, 130:7, 130:9,
135:17, 154:1,
165:15, 211:14,
211:20, 214:24,
214:25, 215:1,
226:21, 229:24,
230:25, 232:6,
233:25, 234:10 regulators [1] - 139:13
regulatory [2] - 18:1, 130:15
100.10
1

```
160:11, 161:3
rehash [2] - 62:20,
                           rely [12] - 125:24,
 114:10
                             126:4, 126:6,
reimbursement [1] -
 223.4
                             126:13, 127:11,
reiterate [3] - 91:2,
                             128:13, 129:5,
 96:13, 163:20
                             131:18, 132:7,
                             147:25, 188:24,
relate [1] - 61:14
                             220:6
related [19] - 10:19,
                           remain [2] - 46:24,
 10:20, 33:18, 53:10,
                             179:22
 74:17, 120:6.
 123:21, 125:15,
 129:23. 130:21.
 144:1, 147:23,
                             107:25
  149:24, 151:1,
  184:17, 211:2,
 213:25, 214:18,
                           remember [12] -
 220:1
relates [1] - 224:20
relating [3] - 6:24,
                             151:18, 155:3.
 7:15. 20:7
                             166:20, 177:13,
                             191:18, 191:19
relation [15] - 6:22,
 9:12, 11:6, 13:1,
                           remind [1] - 205:17
 20:17, 25:13, 25:16,
 82:7, 150:4, 186:3,
                           remote [1] - 15:3
 186:7, 213:13,
                           removed [1] - 10:25
 229:7, 231:12, 233:2
                           rendering [1] - 13:15
relationship [1] -
 195:15
relationships [2] -
                           repair [2] - 65:23,
 172:22, 172:24
                             189:25
relative [12] - 30:24,
                           repairs [1] - 76:7
 32:19, 61:11, 91:15,
                           repeat [3] - 128:22,
 129:11, 132:12,
                             162:13, 217:23
 135:3, 137:19,
 195:3, 196:4, 214:3,
                           repetitive [1] - 110:4
 214:21
                           rephrase [8] - 27:23,
relatively [2] - 153:17,
                             122:12, 128:6,
 181:7
                             134:22, 139:2,
relay [1] - 68:2
                             149:5, 158:17,
relayed [1] - 77:15
                             211:21
release [14] - 96:21,
                           replace [1] - 88:8
 130:13, 133:14,
                           report [12] - 12:25,
 133:15, 133:20,
 140:15, 153:24,
                             14:5, 14:6, 15:8,
  155:7, 155:9,
                             15:14, 114:24,
 156:14, 161:13,
                             146:23, 147:15,
 176:22, 230:3,
                             148:1
 231:20
                           reports [3] - 12:18,
released [2] - 18:4,
                             115:3, 137:12
 48:19
releases [6] - 36:23,
 133:8, 138:25,
                             192:22
 140:22, 141:8,
                           representation [1] -
 236:15
                             115:11
relevance [8] - 32:24,
                           representative [3] -
 33:1, 113:8, 180:9,
 207:17, 212:14,
                           representatives [2] -
 213:11, 213:16
                             53:24, 154:18
relevant [4] - 78:1,
                           represented [3] -
 92:5, 131:21, 221:9
                             104:13, 204:15,
relied [3] - 127:20,
```

```
203:3, 203:22,
                            203:24, 204:24,
                            204:25, 208:22,
                            225:23
                           requested [9] -
                            124:25, 185:5,
                            186:19, 194:1,
                            196:18 196:19
remaining [5] - 98:10,
                            208:6, 225:24,
 101:3, 101:8, 104:9,
                            235:23
remarkably [1] - 25:17
                            164:10, 164:11,
                            185:8, 185:17
remediation [1] - 7:24
                            165:3, 199:11,
 40:24, 41:17, 49:1,
                            208:2, 208:11,
 62:15, 83:8, 120:11,
                            235:20
                           require [6] - 4:16,
                            130:10, 155:17
                           required [9] - 6:5,
reminder [1] - 205:22
                            149:7. 149:11.
                            162:11, 221:6,
                            227:25
rent [5] - 62:21, 65:13,
                           requirement [6] -
 82:11, 88:14, 88:17
                            130:19, 130:21,
                            171:18, 211:6,
                            219:11, 224:10
                           requirements [15] -
                            154:11, 159:24,
repeatedly [1] - 48:22
                            171:14, 171:20,
                            176:11, 226:2,
                            226:19, 226:20,
                            227:11, 227:19.
                            227:22, 232:15
                            189:21, 221:7
                           requiring [1] - 18:8
 13:14, 13:18, 13:25,
                           reroute [26] - 21:22,
                            30:8, 82:6, 82:9,
                            107:5, 181:20,
                            182:7, 192:25,
                            193:1, 195:12,
repository [1] - 172:17
                            197:1, 197:2,
represent [2] - 80:19,
                            197:19, 197:22,
                            198:8, 198:10,
                            199:25, 200:5,
                            200:17
                           rerouted [1] - 25:25
 12:4, 154:25, 187:23
                            187:14, 199:13,
                            203.7
                           research [3] - 12:2,
                            16:9. 24:20
 204:21
                           reseed [1] - 65:25
representing [1] -
```

```
request [11] - 47:22,
 49:1, 193:2, 203:2,
                            131:9
                            49:2
                            21:10
requesting [5] - 164:9,
requests [6] - 110:15,
 15:5, 16:3, 26:14,
                            193:2
 17:2, 117:14, 149:1,
                            147:22
 11:2, 67:17, 130:16,
requires [3] - 144:20,
rereading [1] - 154:21
 84:20, 88:24, 89:3,
 89:17, 90:13, 90:22,
reroutes [4] - 187:8,
```

```
residence [1] - 94:19
residences [4] -
 24:12, 49:14, 94:12,
residential [1] - 97:20
residents [2] - 15:4,
resolution [1] - 195:24
resolve [1] - 89:14
Resource [2] - 20:18,
resource [4] - 20:8,
 20:14, 20:24, 90:25
resources [8] - 21:3,
 106:5, 118:1, 118:6,
 171:9, 171:13,
 191:18, 223:3
respect [4] - 27:20,
 208:5, 208:25
respectfully [1] -
respond [13] - 44:14,
 72:5, 72:6, 113:13,
 127:6, 142:17,
 157:2, 171:13,
 172:9, 218:8, 232:1,
 233:10, 236:5
responder [2] - 129:5,
responders [53] -
 45:5, 110:8, 122:17,
 125:21, 126:1,
 126:4, 126:20,
 127:16, 127:21,
 128:25, 132:19,
 134:15, 135:2,
 135:6, 135:23,
 136:10, 136:17,
 137:1, 144:8, 147:9,
 153:1, 165:1,
 165:25, 166:5,
 166:8, 166:16,
 166:18, 170:20,
 171:1, 171:8,
 171:15, 172:2,
 172:6, 172:23,
 208:2, 208:6,
 208:23, 215:6,
 216:1, 216:24,
 217:1, 217:2, 217:6,
 221:8, 221:14,
 225:6, 231:6, 231:7,
 231:25, 233:9,
 235:1, 235:3, 235:10
responding [2] -
 107:24, 113:6
response [69] -
 110:22, 123:5,
 125:22, 126:7,
 126:18, 126:24,
 126:25, 127:14,
 132:25, 135:18,
 136:3, 138:23,
```

5:19

reside [1] - 13:23

147:24, 186:4

relief [3] - 160:6,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

139:24, 140:14, 141:7, 143:7, 143:8, 143:9, 143:19, 143:22, 144:1, 144:6, 144:10, 144:12, 144:16, 144:22, 145:1, 145:6, 145:12, 153:1, 153:23, 153:24, 154:3, 165:13, 166:1, 170:4, 171:6, 171:11, 172:9, 172:25, 177:3, 209:5, 209:6, 209:11, 210:23, 211:9, 220:5, 220:6, 220:9, 220:15, 221:5, 221:13, 221:16, 221:18, 221:25, 223:11, 224:20, 226:13, 226:23, 230:4, 231:4, 231:5, 231:15, 231:16, 232:4. 234:19. 235:8, 236:18, 237:11 Response [1] - 234:18 responses [4] - 36:2, 114:5, 164:8, 166:12 responsible [6] -141:21, 143:21, 164:25, 169:6, 170:11, 172:16 restate [2] - 80:24, restrained [1] - 43:24 restrictions [1] - 218:4 result [2] - 42:14, 176:22 resulting [1] - 176:16 results [2] - 115:2, 131:6 resume [1] - 167:20 retain [1] - 218:13 retraining [1] - 221:23 review [12] - 4:22, 8:13, 11:9, 11:13, 16:2, 27:12, 30:4, 103:17, 147:22, 189:5, 190:4, 205:20 reviewed [14] - 8:8, 9:8, 9:10, 15:17, 20:12, 25:8, 27:7, 27:19, 30:5, 30:7, 35:2, 35:22, 138:18 reviewing [1] - 32:13 revisions [3] - 26:18, 136:9, 136:24 revisit [1] - 123:16 reword [1] - 212:17 reworking [2] - 28:7,

36:18 Richland [1] - 194:7 ride [1] - 71:7 riding [1] - 64:1 rifles [1] - 77:2 right-hand [2] - 88:21, 194:3 rights [1] - 48:23 rings [1] - 31:11 rip [2] - 43:24, 88:2 risen [1] - 43:19 risk [23] - 42:17, 42:20, 43:5, 48:11, 75:6, 96:15, 97:2, 130:12, 130:17, 135:11, 144:15, 147:21, 169:7, 169:10, 169:16, 169:17, 169:22, 170:3, 170:11, 211:3, 230:2 risks [4] - 34:1, 114:14, 130:11, 155:18 river [4] - 19:21, 36:16, 36:25, 37:5 River [13] - 20:4, 20:5, 21:20, 22:15, 28:4, 28:5, 30:13, 115:1, 115:5, 117:3, 117:10, 160:16, 160:22 riverbanks [1] - 37:4 rivers [2] - 19:15, 114:23 road [6] - 64:13. 76:17, 220:22, 221:2, 236:4 roadmap [1] - 60:9 roads [1] - 236:5 roadways [1] - 90:15 robust [1] - 220:14 rocks [1] - 68:24 Rockstad [3] - 107:21, 197:16, 197:21 Rockstads [2] -197:23, 198:1 rods [1] - 73:23 role [5] - 127:2, 163:9, 169:16, 171:2, 171:6 roll [1] - 76:9 rolled [1] - 167:7 room [15] - 38:16, 44:22, 44:23, 45:1, 45:8, 59:10, 61:1, 108:21. 113:21. 168:7, 178:13, 191:15. 195:19. 205:18, 225:20 Rose [1] - 183:20 roughly [3] - 94:14, 115:23, 183:12

rounding [1] - 93:6

route [73] - 9:4, 11:10, 14:19, 22:14, 25:20, 29:8, 29:9, 29:11, 29:12, 29:14, 29:22, 29:24, 79:6, 79:15, 82:3, 83:23, 84:17, 86:16, 86:17, 89:1, 92:14, 92:17, 97:5, 104:5, 106:18, 106:19, 106:20, 106:22, 106:23, 106:24, 131:8, 180:19, 181:9, 181:14, 181:18, 181:20, 181:25, 182:16, 182:17, 182:18, 182:19, 182:22, 183:6, 183:11, 183:15, 185:4, 185:12, 185:14, 185:19, 186:18, 191:14, 191:22, 192:6, 193:21, 193:22, 193:25, 194:6, 194:12, 197:12, 198:15, 199:19, 200:3, 203:5, 204:3, 211:17, 212:12, 212:24, 231:11 routed [3] - 183:7, 213:4, 213:7 routes [3] - 106:21, 200:10, 203:10 routing [4] - 33:22, 198:3, 203:6, 212:7 RTA [1] - 192:22 rubric [2] - 204:18, 205:7 rule [2] - 117:17, 150:25 rule-making [1] -150:25 rules [6] - 20:7, 20:25, 21:11. 117:14. 204:15, 211:13 ruling [3] - 47:15, 47:19, 163:19 rulings [1] - 125:2 run [9] - 10:3, 63:19, 66:23, 75:24, 91:11, 92:24, 116:11, 116:15, 141:21 run-off [1] - 10:3 rundown [1] - 238:10 running [4] - 44:20, 82:10, 102:4, 147:12 runs [5] - 44:18, 64:6, 64:7, 88:1, 208:14 rupture [9] - 10:24, 13:22, 41:3, 97:22, 133:12, 133:13,

156:20 ruptures [4] - 20:1, 95:2, 131:8, 133:9 Rural [5] - 8:1, 21:16, 23:2, 26:17, 28:19 rural [5] - 15:3, 22:4, 25:7, 64:7, 68:20 ruts [1] - 70:12 S sacred [2] - 45:18, 46:2 sad [1] - 41:7 **sadly** [1] - 40:25 safe [1] - 49:22 Safe [3] - 26:4, 26:7, 26:9 safely [1] - 233:16 safety [42] - 10:20, 40:7, 40:17, 43:12, 48:11, 48:23, 49:4, 85:21, 96:7, 125:6, 147:20, 165:12, 172:16, 173:15, 174:6, 174:13, 209:7, 209:25, 210:3, 210:21, 211:1, 211:11, 212:11, 212:19, 212:21, 212:23, 213:5, 213:20, 213:21, 214:14, 220:1, 222:11, 224:15, 224:22, 224:24, 227:16, 229:19, 230:1, 234:24 Safety [3] - 211:13, 211:16, 212:4 safety-related [1] -220:1 sanctity [1] - 103:20 sand [2] - 28:7, 36:18 Sandy [1] - 41:1 sat [1] - 77:12 Satartia [12] - 31:18, 41:3, 125:14, 125:17, 138:20, 139:13, 139:16, 145:17, 147:10, 147:15, 147:23, 147:25 satisfaction [1] -116:24 satisfy [1] - 199:14

saving [1] - 41:14

86:18, 104:23,

SB201 [1] - 219:12

194:23

saw [8] - 5:8, 11:22,

75:21, 76:8, 76:16,

scale [2] - 94:13, 181:7 scattered [3] - 83:11, 83:19, 90:11 scenario [1] - 175:13 scenarios [3] -162:12, 220:12, 226:13 schedule [2] - 79:5, 239:7 scheduled [1] - 4:3 Schock [5] - 8:21, 8:25, 120:20, 184:23, 209:17 SCHOCK [3] - 120:22, 185:2, 240:17 school [4] - 78:18, 84:10, 232:2, 233:17 schools [2] - 231:11, 231.12 Schrier [1] - 137:25 science [1] - 7:17 scientist [1] - 175:10 scientists [1] - 137:20 scope [3] - 19:20, 127:3, 213:11 scour [3] - 114:23, 114:25, 117:3 scouring [3] - 28:7, 36:17, 36:25 scrape [1] - 65:20 screen [1] - 86:25 scrolled [1] - 166:21 SCS [9] - 4:5, 8:20, 8:22, 9:2, 113:16, 180:4, 180:14, 206:23, 207:10 SCS-20 [1] - 207:19 season [2] - 146:13 seasonal [1] - 9:24 second [11] - 40:11, 40:13, 79:9, 80:9, 88:7, 97:11, 150:1, 193:1, 193:19, 231:8, 233:23 second-least [1] -193:1 secret [17] - 113:10, 125:16, 127:10, 127:21, 128:13, 129:3, 129:5, 139:6, 213:20, 214:15, 215:7, 215:12, 215:15, 215:19, 215:25, 216:4, 216:8 Secretary [2] - 211:16, 212:6 Section [2] - 212:4, 235:5 section [22] - 8:15, 58:21, 70:8, 83:25, 87:10, 88:11, 88:25, 93:25, 94:2, 94:3,

155:24, 156:16,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

94:5, 94:18, 130:10,
155:11, 160:1,
160:25, 192:24,
193:20, 233:13
secure [1] - 48:1
secured [3] - 104:4,
183:11, 204:2
security [3] - 48:20,
209:25, 224:15
sedated [1] - 43:25 see [52] - 40:23, 44:7,
55:1, 64:10, 64:11,
65:1, 68:23, 69:2,
69:8, 69:22, 70:6,
70:10, 70:14, 70:19,
70:22, 77:11, 79:19,
87:17, 91:20, 94:18,
97:1, 97:23, 107:12,
107:15, 112:19, 149:22, 150:3,
153:7, 155:9, 160:2,
161:9, 177:15,
181:10, 181:17,
181:18, 181:19, 181:22, 182:17,
181:22, 182:17,
182:18, 182:19,
182:22, 183:15,
183:16, 191:3, 192:22, 195:23,
198:20, 201:13,
208:17, 209:24,
218:7, 223:15
seed [1] - 88:3
seeded [3] - 69:5, 69:8
seeder [1] - 64:25
seeing [2] - 22:22,
44:3 seeks [1] - 32:21
seem [2] - 68:13,
123:5
sees [1] - 217:12
segments [1] - 155:20
seismic [1] - 63:6
select [1] - 175:22
selected [2] - 138:5,
176:13
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18, 195:6, 222:22,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18, 195:6, 222:22, 222:24, 229:4,
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18, 195:6, 222:22, 222:24, 229:4, 240:21
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18, 195:6, 222:22, 222:24, 229:4, 240:21 sending [1] - 241:4
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18, 195:6, 222:22, 222:24, 229:4, 240:21
176:13 selecting [4] - 129:10, 129:22, 176:9, 198:15 selection [2] - 119:3, 174:19 sell [1] - 218:18 semi [1] - 82:12 semis [1] - 82:18 Senate [1] - 219:12 send [6] - 102:18, 195:6, 222:22, 222:24, 229:4, 240:21 sending [1] - 241:4 Senger [3] - 153:7,

```
211:10, 212:19,
                           share [8] - 23:4,
 212:21, 224:15
                            39:18, 67:3, 67:7,
sense [4] - 60:20,
                             96:12, 134:14,
 62:11, 82:6, 125:4
                             150:24, 231:5
sensitive [3] - 31:23,
                           shared [12] - 23:8,
 117:22, 117:25
                            91:18, 122:8,
sent [14] - 11:15, 41:5,
                             123:21, 124:8,
 54:2, 69:13, 79:3,
                             124:16, 125:25,
                             127:10, 127:12,
 80:11, 81:6, 89:22,
 92:1, 105:3, 153:6,
 196.22 222.2 223.5
                           sharing [4] - 135:5,
sentence [3] - 156:17,
 161:1, 161:11
                           shear [1] - 119:22
                           sheets [15] - 141:17,
separate [2] - 90:1,
 210:23
separated [1] - 138:10
                             142:11, 142:14,
separating [1] -
                             172:13, 172:15,
                             173:2, 173:14,
 194.20
                             173:19, 173:23,
September [1] -
 167:23
                           Shell [1] - 137:22
sequence [1] - 62:10
                           shelter [4] - 135:20,
sequester [1] - 33:24
                             159:21, 159:22,
sequestration [1] -
                             159.24
 33:12
                           shelter-in-place [4] -
seriously [1] - 95:5
                             135:20. 159:21.
serve [2] - 15:2, 43:11
                             159:22, 159:24
served [2] - 47:21,
                           sheriff [1] - 77:21
 78:6
                           sheriff's [1] - 77:19
server [2] - 72:23,
                           ships [1] - 196:3
 73.1
                           Shirley [1] - 198:19
serves [1] - 218:23
Service [8] - 4:4, 23:9,
                           shoot [1] - 240:20
 35:25, 48:25, 92:9,
 137:6, 146:10, 241:8
                            200:20
                           shot [1] - 70:23
service [2] - 24:11,
                           shove [1] - 83:1
 79:1
                           shovel [1] - 97:6
session [1] - 222:21
                           shoving [1] - 43:20
sessions [1] - 222:21
set [8] - 27:13, 30:11,
                           show [12] - 40:22,
 41:10, 87:18,
                            41:16, 57:7, 60:12,
 111:25, 127:6,
                            84:7, 89:6, 112:21,
                             133:19, 133:21,
 164:20, 188:11
                             173:16, 189:19,
setting [3] - 42:11,
 172:25, 240:23
                             193:18
                           showed [6] - 156:14,
setup [2] - 4:16,
                             167:3, 173:23,
 240:13
                             173:25, 200:16
seven [3] - 24:2,
                           showing [7] - 40:9,
 63:21, 63:24
                            77:25, 86:11,
seven-year [1] - 63:24
                             146:18, 193:24,
several [16] - 12:6,
                             196:14, 202:15
 12:10, 18:4, 29:13,
                           shown [3] - 47:13,
 46:1, 47:13, 64:22,
                            65:18, 193:24
 75:21, 82:11,
                           shows [3] - 99:20,
 121:14, 125:2,
 170:1, 172:12,
                             157:9, 177:24
                           SHPO [1] - 36:1
 174:2, 195:18, 216:1
                           shutting [1] - 24:23
severe [1] - 160:16
                           side [19] - 25:12,
shake [1] - 18:16
shape [1] - 32:7
```

```
168:8, 168:22,
                            168:23, 183:5,
                            183:13, 185:18
                           siding [1] - 83:11
                           sight [1] - 46:16
                           sign [15] - 79:7,
                            141:17, 142:3,
                            142:6, 142:9,
                            142:11, 142:13,
 128:25, 129:6, 211:4
                            172:13, 172:15,
                            173:2. 173:14.
 137:4, 166:11, 170:5
                            173:19, 173:23,
                            177:9, 177:11
                           sign-in [14] - 141:17,
 142:3, 142:6, 142:9,
                            142:3, 142:6, 142:9,
                            142:11, 142:13,
                            172:13, 172:15,
                            173:2, 173:14,
                            173:19, 173:23,
 177:9, 177:11, 230:1
                            177:9, 177:11
                           signatures [1] - 79:4
                           signed [2] - 183:8,
                            186:21
                           significant [23] - 10:3,
                            10:23, 11:21, 13:23,
                            17:25. 18:6. 24:16.
                            29:15, 30:13, 31:19,
                            34:16, 43:4, 114:7,
                            119:14, 151:10,
                            160:6, 160:11,
                            160:13, 165:10,
                            168:9. 168:12.
                            168:15, 207:23
short [3] - 56:7, 91:14,
                           significantly [6] -
                            25:2, 29:13, 74:5,
                            119:21, 120:13,
                            160:16
                           signing [2] - 48:8,
                            50:9
                           signs [1] - 48:23
                           silence [1] - 40:3
                           silent [1] - 40:5
                           Silver [3] - 180:19,
                            181:12, 181:24
                           similar [10] - 17:12,
                            60:15, 89:17, 89:18,
                            110:1, 125:1, 156:8,
                            170:16, 196:18,
                            196:21
                           Similar [1] - 161:1
                           similarly [2] - 100:13,
                            160:25
                           simple [5] - 32:13,
                            127:13, 128:16,
                            172:20, 230:9
                           simpler [5] - 149:3,
                            149:8, 200:4, 214:8,
                            214:9
                           simplifying [1] -
 67:24, 82:23, 84:18,
                            148:20
 116:10, 124:23,
                           simply [7] - 100:3,
 126:19, 137:8,
                            134:7, 141:22,
 154:6. 160:15.
```

156:9, 157:7 single [5] - 44:21, 47:1, 154:13, 154:22, 164:6 sister [9] - 38:1, 62:18, 63:7, 72:11, 72:21, 76:14, 93:13, 94:8, 107:21 sit [6] - 56:4, 116:14, 159:13, 179:21, 223:12. 230:13 site [9] - 10:15, 10:24, 11:11, 14:9, 14:10, 16:1, 83:20, 212:9, 213:2 site-specific [2] -10:15, 14:9 sites [3] - 33:12, 191:10. 191:17 siting [4] - 4:5, 14:13, 34:11, 214:21 sitting [5] - 77:11, 77:19, 83:18, 147:12, 178:13 situation [3] - 90:19, 187:11, 226:14 situations [6] -148:19, 150:11, 162:22, 186:17, 186:25, 226:8 six [2] - 183:12, 238:15 size [1] - 182:4 sizeable [1] - 63:23 sketch [1] - 194:22 skip [1] - 208:6 sleep [2] - 62:1, 102:23 slid [4] - 84:8, 84:9, 84:12 slide [5] - 9:21, 84:4, 84:6, 84:11, 122:22 slides [6] - 11:23, 17:25, 30:3, 37:4, 136.7 slideshow [3] - 135:8, 135:10, 135:11 sliding [2] - 29:21, 87:21 slight [1] - 94:23 slightly [5] - 75:19, 94:7, 144:4, 160:8, 172:20 slip [2] - 35:5, 36:11 slope [25] - 6:25, 7:22, 9:21, 10:9, 11:4, 11:6, 11:24, 12:12, 14:1, 14:16, 14:17, 16:11, 16:25, 17:1, 17:21, 17:23, 18:6, 30:1, 30:6, 89:13, 115:12, 115:13, 115:21, 160:12

166:14, 168:5,

146:18, 148:20,

shapefile [3] - 32:1,

shapefiles [3] - 30:11,

32:2, 32:5

32:1, 32:15

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

slopes [5] - 11:16, 94:25, 160:19, 162:23 slowly [1] - 76:9 **small** [8] - 66:4, 66:14, 91:24, 96:4, 181:7, 182:4, 185:13, 236:1 smaller [1] - 176:20 smart [1] - 116:15 smell [2] - 46:16, 46:20 smidge [1] - 94:21 sneak [1] - 107:14 so., [4] - 5:11, 52:19, 61:2, 201:3 soak [1] - 89:11 soft [1] - 73:17 software [9] - 132:17, 148:5, 148:8, 148:10, 148:11, 149:9, 161:20, 162:4, 174:20 softwares [1] - 148:20 soil [8] - 10:24, 31:20, 65:24, 71:5, 82:22, 83:10, 88:2, 89:12 soils [1] - 32:12 sole [1] - 15:3 solution [3] - 149:8, 150:7 Solutions [8] - 9:5, 40:8, 42:15, 44:6, 45:24, 46:23, 137:22, 167:23 solutions [2] - 8:5, 149:2 Solutions' [1] - 49:1 someone [3] - 79:3, 173:8, 173:10 sometimes [10] -44:24, 85:15, 117:22, 133:15, 142:15, 165:4, 204:23, 205:2, 228:6 somewhat [6] - 34:13, 70:11, 70:21, 129:15, 150:3, 161.22 somewhere [7] - 77:4, 78:7, 93:23, 94:15, 115:16, 142:10, 146:14 son [2] - 73:2, 94:22 soon [1] - 116:9 sooner [2] - 175:17, 187:18 sorry [19] - 10:5, 18:3, 28:16. 57:23. 61:19. 75:12, 89:8, 110:6, 114:21, 134:1, 160:7, 192:16, 210:9, 217:20, 225:16, 229:10,

238:8, 240:12, 240:17 sort [11] - 62:13, 64:18, 94:25, 110:4, 110:18, 217:14, 227:3, 235:21, 235:22, 236:9 sound [1] - 240:23 source [22] - 6:25, 7:16, 7:25, 8:2, 15:3, 19:1. 19:2. 19:3. 19:5, 19:6, 19:8, 19:16, 19:19, 19:24, 23:1, 26:16, 26:18, 28:22, 33:25, 34:3, 117:13, 133:20 sources [2] - 8:4, 21:19 South [11] - 22:1, 22:16, 22:19, 23:22, 24:1, 25:19, 26:19, 219:10, 219:12, 219:15, 219:16 south [11] - 22:2, 25:21, 25:22, 29:10, 85:1, 87:8, 87:16, 94:7, 183:13, 197:3, 197:9 southern [2] - 192:23, 198:9 soybeans [1] - 86:23 speaker [1] - 61:24 speaking [6] - 54:16, 54:18, 57:9, 61:25, 140:1, 207:25 specialist [5] - 7:25, 8:3, 23:2, 26:16, 28:22 specialized [1] - 236:9 specialties [1] - 173:5 species [1] - 118:2 specific [34] - 10:15, 14:9, 29:23, 32:8, 67:17, 74:11, 86:9, 86:18, 93:7, 111:4, 114:13, 126:8, 126:10, 128:20, 128:21, 130:21, 153:19, 157:17, 157:20, 164:10, 165:5, 169:23, 190:1, 190:6, 197:14, 214:22, 219:1, 222:13, 224:19, 226:24, 227:19, 229:16, 230:19, 232:4 specifically [34] -7:15, 8:15, 9:19, 11:5, 13:13, 14:1, 30:6, 30:12, 50:12, 74:8, 89:20, 114:25, 116:2, 117:1,

117:15, 117:18, 119:19, 123:21, 124:25, 132:23, 143:1, 151:1, 179:9, 181:5, 187:10, 191:20, 201:14, 220:1, 225:1, 225:2, 226:22, 228:6, 232:1, 232:8 specifications [2] -120:2, 129:18 specifics [7] - 123:7, 123:8, 124:2, 156:10, 156:12, 157:7, 158:10 specify [1] - 176:17 specifying [1] - 119:8 speculation [1] -213:9 speed [3] - 4:23, 109:20, 172:3 speeds [1] - 165:6 spell [1] - 38:13 spelled [1] - 58:25 spelling [3] - 6:1, 108:19, 205:24 spend [2] - 42:22, 217:12 spending [2] - 224:10, 227:18 spent [1] - 218:12 spewed [1] - 41:4 split [1] - 112:2 spot [4] - 84:18, 87:23, 89:8, 124:22 **spots** [4] - 84:21, 209:9, 222:20 spread [1] - 161:5 spring [2] - 66:13, 85:3 stability [6] - 11:4, 11:6, 16:11, 17:21, 17:23, 18:6 stabilization [1] -27:14 stack [2] - 60:13, 72:2 staff [1] - 45:8 stakeholders [4] -227:4. 227:5. 230:22, 230:24 stalled [1] - 147:16 stalled-out [1] -147:16 stance [1] - 76:13 stand [5] - 37:22, 109:25, 123:18, 132:6, 205:17 standard [1] - 119:18 standards [2] -212:11, 212:23 standing [6] - 41:13,

standpoint [2] - 85:21, 85:22 Staroba [4] - 193:25, 194:4. 195:21. 196:12 Staroba's [1] - 194:11 Starobas [1] - 193:17 start [22] - 5:25, 40:9, 58:23, 64:9, 67:18, 75:22, 108:18, 126:21, 151:14, 160:18. 165:22. 166:9, 168:21, 189:9, 193:23, 195:9, 205:24, 227:5, 234:25, 235:1, 238:11, 238:12 started [11] - 4:2, 4:11, 80:6, 114:22, 151:21, 173:18, 174:15, 174:18, 185:14, 195:16, 200:13 starting [6] - 78:18, 114:20, 115:23, 170:14, 215:8, 233:16 starts [2] - 160:24, 192:23 state [31] - 6:6, 7:8, 19:18, 19:19, 23:24, 26:21, 38:12, 61:23, 63:3, 63:4, 72:15, 72:17, 121:25, 130:2, 136:6, 142:15, 145:16, 149:10, 165:11, 165:14. 176:4. 176:6, 178:10, 198:22, 212:24, 214:1, 215:3, 217:21, 221:19, 237:1 State [12] - 20:17, 67:2. 103:4. 114:1. 116:23, 153:14, 214:19, 237:3, 237:4, 237:6, 237:10, 237:17 state's [1] - 78:10 statement [9] - 39:19, 39:21, 62:16, 63:15, 126:16, 130:8, 150:3, 159:16, 234:13 statements [4] -123:4, 149:22, 149:23, 166:13 states [3] - 46:1, 63:5, 212:5

States [2] - 40:25,

151:2

stating [4] - 6:1, 58:23, 108:18, 205:24 station [1] - 41:22 statutes [3] - 20:6, 20:17, 20:20 stay [2] - 124:23, 191:9 stays [1] - 191:5 stead [1] - 128:3 **steel** [9] - 73:23, 97:8, 97:9, 119:23, 120:1, 176:2, 176:3, 176:13 steep [7] - 14:1, 70:9, 84:6, 84:18, 84:19, 87:25, 90:12 step [4] - 4:22, 31:14, 80:7, 195:21 steps [18] - 138:21, 138:22, 138:25, 139:5, 139:6, 139:8, 139:14, 139:19, 139:20, 139:23, 140:14, 140:19, 141:4, 141:5, 141:7, 141:11, 184:1 Steve [1] - 80:17 Steven [1] - 77:15 still [12] - 11:14, 65:3, 65:10, 89:15, 89:16, 90:8, 90:9, 191:23, 203:13, 223:12, 231:2, 231:8 stock [1] - 66:18 **stop** [7] - 64:24, 80:9, 93:25. 97:11. 112:13, 140:10, 223:4 stopped [2] - 47:14, 151:23 store [4] - 32:21, 33:20, 33:23 straight [5] - 75:18, 75:19, 79:22, 91:12, 183:4 strategies [1] - 10:16 straw [1] - 46:9 streams [2] - 19:15, 21:3 Street [3] - 181:8, 181:11, 181:23 stress [2] - 101:20, 103:11 stressed [1] - 102:24 strike [2] - 162:14, 197:18 stringent [1] - 18:8 strongly [1] - 106:3 structural [2] - 63:1, structure [5] - 70:17, 70:19, 70:20, 73:12, 73:19

41:19, 42:13, 72:24,

73:1, 107:15

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

structures [2] - 93:16 struggles [1] - 87:3 studies [1] - 136:23 study [8] - 96:22, 135:20, 137:20, 138:4, 138:5, 138:10, 159:22 Study [1] - 137:22 stuff [1] - 52:24 subdivision [1] - 96:4 subject [2] - 42:1, 132:24 subjects [2] - 112:14, 117:12 submit [4] - 189:15, 189:18, 203:9 submitted [10] -74:24, 80:20, 104:22, 114:9, 134:3, 134:17, 190:16, 194:23, 197:17, 201:10 subpart [1] - 137:18 subsequent [1] -230:3 subset [1] - 11:19 subsidence [1] -29:22 substance [1] - 135:9 substantial [9] -69:18, 70:12, 75:1, 75:4, 75:6, 96:15, 97:2, 101:11, 104:7 substantially [3] -93:8, 110:1, 207:5 Substitute [1] -131:17 success [1] - 91:4 suffer [1] - 42:13 suffered [1] - 138:11 sufficient [2] - 32:20, 33:19 suggest [9] - 9:11, 9:23, 10:7, 10:14, 14:14, 14:22, 17:12, 191:21, 191:24 suggested [3] - 11:9, 162:21, 187:9 suggesting [2] -88:24, 130:20 suggestion [1] - 81:20 suggestions [3] -10:11, 10:13, 196:24 Sulphur [1] - 41:23 sum [2] - 101:2, 135:8 summarize [3] -15:23, 110:4, 208:10 **summary** [3] - 114:11, 171:18, 220:17 **summer** [5] - 53:16, 102:1, 102:2, 102:4, 165:6 Summit [195] - 9:4,

9:11, 9:23, 10:7, 10:14, 11:2, 11:14, 11:18, 12:5, 13:2, 13:20, 14:14, 14:22, 15:5, 16:3, 17:12, 17:18, 19:24, 21:22, 24:4, 26:8, 27:13, 29:20, 32:19, 32:21, 33:20, 34:4, 40:1, 40:7, 42:15, 44:6, 44:9, 45:5, 45:7, 45:24, 46:13, 46:18, 46:23, 47:2, 47:3, 47:8, 47:12, 47:20, 47:25, 48:13, 48:14, 48:15, 48:19, 48:22, 48:25, 49:23, 50:6, 50:21, 51:1, 52:22, 53:1, 53:4, 53:7, 53:9, 53:12, 53:24, 55:17, 55:20, 55:21, 56:7, 56:10, 57:10, 60:14, 60:16, 61:14, 61:15, 72:3, 73:25, 74:20, 77:20, 78:5, 79:23, 80:20, 86:12, 86:15, 89:7, 91:2, 91:8, 96:20, 97:12, 102:7, 102:18, 104:4, 104:22, 108:13, 108:15, 114:1, 114:17, 116:23, 117:3, 117:14, 118:21, 122:2, 125:23, 125:25, 126:3, 126:5, 127:8, 128:13, 129:4, 129:6, 129:13, 129:25, 130:3, 131:10, 131:16, 132:7, 132:17, 133:24, 134:25, 135:22, 136:3, 139:7, 139:24, 140:12, 140:19, 142:12, 142:16, 144:11, 144:20, 145:7, 147:19, 152:13, 152:25, 153:22, 154:10, 155:15, 155:19, 155:25, 157:16, 157:21, 158:6, 158:11, 158:14, 158:18, 158:23, 159:6, 159:14, 159:25, 161:20, 162:5, 163:1, 163:3, 167:23, 168:7, 170:9, 170:15, 170:24, 172:12,

174:2, 174:12,

174:23, 184:2, 188:21, 197:8, 199:7, 199:13, 199:14, 208:13, 210:1, 211:11, 215:2, 216:23, 218:1, 218:17, 223:11, 224:16, 226:1, 226:18, 227:4, 228:1, 228:13, 228:17, 229:5, 231:12, 232:11, 232:18, 232:25, 234:19, 235:7, 235:9, 238:4, 238:22 Summit's [34] - 10:11, 15:20, 24:15, 27:8, 27:12, 27:19, 28:3, 30:10, 47:16, 47:22, 48:6, 48:9, 68:13, 71:14, 78:25, 81:6, 82:3, 103:13, 103:18, 130:6, 131:7, 139:1, 142:9, 143:9, 144:3, 209:12, 215:5, 220:6, 220:18, 221:20, 221:21, 223:1, 223:4, 228:23 Summit-generated [1] - 134:25 Summit-prepared [1] - 126:5 Summit-presented [1] - 126:5 Summit-produced [1] - 135:22 summons [3] - 72:22, 72:25, 73:1 supercritical [3] -21:6, 121:25, 133:8 supervision [1] -179:16 supplant [1] - 88:8 supplemented [1] -177:12 supplied [1] - 19:4 supplies [1] - 118:1 supply [7] - 19:10, 19:16, 21:20, 23:11, 24:17, 26:14, 127:3 support [2] - 42:19, 168:22 suppose [1] - 190:17 supposed [1] - 78:20 **supposedly** [1] - 76:1 surface [3] - 6:25,

surrounding [1] -160:2 Survey [6] - 8:23, 14:18, 14:20, 114:9, 115:10, 115:18 survey [13] - 31:3, 47:22, 50:15, 75:16, 78:12, 80:6, 190:4, 191:11, 191:13, 192:5, 199:20, 200:3. 200:6 surveyed [3] - 192:2, 199:7, 204:2 surveyors [3] - 47:7, 47:17, 50:16 surveys [1] - 31:2 survive [1] - 44:11 suspect [1] - 72:15 sustain [5] - 92:7, 123:15, 158:10, 176:15, 219:18 sustained [9] -123:20, 123:23, 125:8, 131:5, 131:25, 134:7, 145:4 sustaining [1] - 124:1 switch [2] - 117:12, 183:18 sworn [6] - 7:4, 39:6, 60:2, 109:10, 179:2, 206:17 synopsis [1] - 209:1 system [5] - 25:5, 32:6, 68:21, 129:18, 229:5 **Systems** [4] - 8:1, 21:17, 23:3, 26:17 systems [3] - 24:24, 93:5, 155:13

Т

tab [2] - 80:17, 80:18 table [1] - 111:11 tables [1] - 15:2 tabs [1] - 80:16 tactic [1] - 50:14 tactical [3] - 135:16, 152:19 tacticals [1] - 232:4 tactics [5] - 50:11, 71:15, 126:24, 221:13, 231:15 talks [8] - 77:21, 110:24, 116:7, 122:16, 134:8, 156:18, 160:1, 231:9 tall [3] - 84:25, 85:9 tank [2] - 66:23, 66:25 tantamount [1] - 40:5 tap [1] - 68:21 tax [3] - 93:3, 93:10,

96:25 taxation [1] - 97:3 team [14] - 71:16, 99:8, 116:1, 137:20, 168:3, 168:6, 169:15, 169:16, 173:3, 173:4, 193:3, 198:4, 200:11 teams [2] - 228:5, 228:7 tear [3] - 71:5, 119:20, 176:12 technical [1] - 4:4 technologies [1] -221:18 TEEX [1] - 229:17 telephone [1] - 67:13 temperature [10] -42:7, 119:4, 119:8, 119:22, 119:25, 120:24, 129:20, 175:24, 175:25, 176:10 temperatures [2] -119:24, 120:13 temporary [1] - 44:23 ten [1] - 63:25 ten-year [1] - 63:25 tended [1] - 125:14 tender [1] - 184:20 tenure [1] - 210:17 term [1] - 218:9 terms [8] - 31:6, 141:11, 189:25, 194:15, 196:19, 217:3, 221:19, 224:25 Terracon [1] - 114:20 terrain [6] - 89:13, 160:6, 160:11, 160:19, 161:3, 162:2 terrorism [4] - 96:19, 96:22, 97:1, 97:15 Terry [1] - 195:14 Tesoro [1] - 67:14 test [1] - 234:14 testified [35] - 7:4, 39:6, 39:12, 52:21, 55:16, 60:2, 107:22, 108:1, 109:10, 109:14, 113:22, 118:15, 136:14, 139:10, 144:25, 156:8, 157:14, 163:3, 163:10, 173:14, 179:2, 179:6, 179:9, 180:21, 182:6, 191:16, 196:1, 201:1, 201:9, 202:4, 206:17, 225:19,

232:14, 233:24,

233:25

7:16, 118:18

74:24

surmise [2] - 72:19,

surprised [1] - 182:7

surrounded [1] - 96:5

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

testify [9] - 6:4, 6:21, 6:23, 33:2, 38:3, 52:7, 128:3, 157:22, 238:20 testifying [5] - 28:23, 29:4, 95:13, 188:10, 219:16 testimony [115] - 4:9, 7:2, 8:7, 8:17, 18:5, 20:10, 27:9, 27:11, 28:18. 29:19. 30:9. 32:19, 33:11, 36:18, 39:12, 50:20, 51:13, 52:11, 52:12, 58:12, 60:13, 89:23, 89:25, 98:22, 99:15, 100:2, 100:17. 106:8. 109:18, 109:20, 109:25, 110:21, 110:24, 111:3, 111:11, 112:7, 112:11, 112:16, 113:19, 113:24, 114:7, 115:2, 115:7, 118:14, 118:19, 120:6, 122:5, 126:20, 127:24, 134:9. 134:20. 138:2, 139:22, 141:18, 143:16, 144:5, 146:8, 147:13, 147:14, 147:23, 152:8, 152:9, 152:22, 156:4, 161:18, 161:24, 162:3, 164:2, 167:18, 169:25, 179:13, 179:15, 179:18, 179:21, 179:25, 180:3, 180:17, 180:18, 181:17, 183:20, 185:3, 186:4, 186:5, 186:6, 186:16, 190:11, 190:24, 191:16, 191:19, 193:16, 194:11, 196:4, 196:15, 200:22, 201:11, 207:1, 207:10, 207:21, 207:24, 208:1, 208:8, 208:11, 209:2, 213:12, 213:13, 215:13, 215:21, 217:24, 224:8, 225:4, 225:14, 225:18, 228:18, 240:5 Testimony [1] -206:23 testing [3] - 119:20, 176:11, 176:12

therefore [1] - 184:12 thermal [1] - 42:2 they've [12] - 9:14, 11:19, 18:4, 55:8, 72:1, 77:23, 79:18, 80:2, 80:5, 84:19, 157:23, 196:16 thinking [2] - 99:12, 240:18 third [4] - 148:12, 156:17, 207:22, 222:24 third-party [1] -222:24 thoughts [2] - 39:15, 122:24 thousand [3] - 19:21, 218:12, 218:25 thousands [1] - 45:16 threatened [4] - 50:5, 58:1, 58:4, 58:5 threatening [1] -50:11 three [18] - 40:10, 40:18, 64:4, 66:13, 66:14, 67:20, 77:13, 79:7, 80:22, 82:14, 82:16, 94:15, 102:3, 102:18, 131:16, 135:21, 141:2, 147:16 three-quarters [3] -40:18, 66:14, 94:15 throat [1] - 43:21 throughout [1] -210:17 throw [2] - 62:9, 81:24 throwing [1] - 96:25 tie [1] - 73:25 tied [2] - 73:23, 98:21 ties [1] - 73:9 tighten [1] - 99:15 tiles [1] - 184:10 timber [1] - 73:9 timbers [1] - 73:23 timeline [1] - 234:23 timelines [1] - 172:2 timely [2] - 202:23, 204:10 timing [2] - 110:3, 204:4 tirelessly [1] - 45:14

Texas [7] - 80:3,

119:13, 208:3,

208:25, 209:3,

texture [1] - 69:5

theirs [1] - 64:21

themselves [1] -

150:12

text [2] - 47:2, 181:11

thankfully [1] - 43:17

221:19

title [1] - 209:24 today [40] - 4:2, 4:8, 5:10, 5:20, 6:14, 6:24, 8:7, 20:11, 36:8, 38:25, 39:13, 40:6, 40:22, 46:25, 59:18, 91:8, 107:13, 108:10, 109:4, 109:25, 128:24, 159:14, 178:20, 179:7, 179:21, 189:11, 194:16, 197:6, 200:13, 202:21, 203:7, 206:4, 206:11, 207:4, 207:22, 208:9, 223:13, 238:7, 239:17, 241:7 today's [1] - 179:12 together [12] - 12:9, 42:23, 73:23, 86:13, 98:22, 124:6, 173:6, 187:19, 190:18. 192:8, 195:19, 221:12 toll [2] - 101:9, 101:19 tomorrow [11] - 5:6, 238:10, 238:12, 238:24, 239:10, 239:19, 239:24, 240:5. 240:6. 240:14, 240:20 tomorrow's [1] - 240:9 ton [1] - 93:3 tone [1] - 165:8 tongue [2] - 35:6, 36:12 tons [1] - 93:1 took [11] - 64:20, 70:23, 73:4, 75:12, 77:13, 78:10, 118:14, 139:14, 139:24, 140:19, 141:3 tool [2] - 116:11, 116:12 tools [2] - 116:15, 166:4 tooth [1] - 129:2 top [7] - 32:14, 73:21, 78:19, 82:12, 137:19, 226:16, 227:2 topic [2] - 156:8, 220:23 topics [8] - 101:5, 136:16, 144:8, 144:18, 157:10, 166:7, 170:18, 173:6 topographic [3] -32:14, 160:6, 160:11 topography [11] -

132:11, 132:12, 132:18. 133:3. 160:2, 160:14, 162:22, 163:6, 163:8 topsoil [1] - 65:20 total [5] - 64:15, 111:21, 112:2, 185:17, 200:6 totem [1] - 196:25 touch [2] - 35:4, 101:6 touched [1] - 101:4 toughness [1] - 176:6 tour [6] - 210:21, 211:1, 213:22, 214:14, 227:16, 234:24 toward [6] - 85:12, 91:12, 106:17, 160:19, 160:22, 195:24 towards [7] - 22:6, 75:23, 140:21, 162:23, 174:21, 183:3 tower [2] - 87:17 township [1] - 58:20 toxic [4] - 41:4, 44:8, 135:4, 137:19 toxicity [5] - 135:12, 137:11, 137:15, 142:18, 147:21 toxicologist [2] -142:19, 143:2 track [1] - 62:5 tracked [2] - 66:3, 72:23 tract [1] - 194:10 tractor [3] - 64:24, 76:20, 86:23 tractors [1] - 82:20 tracts [3] - 195:12, 197:11, 200:13 traditionally [1] -19:14 traffic [3] - 82:17, 82:23, 236:3 tragedies [2] - 41:1, 41:7 tragedy [1] - 49:4 trails [1] - 90:14 train [2] - 171:15, 231:6 trained [2] - 44:14, 235:10 training [26] - 7:13, 16:25, 18:18, 33:15, 45:6. 135:23. 165:13. 165:23. 171:25, 208:3, 209:1, 209:9, 209:12, 221:19, 221:23, 222:4, 222:10, 224:18,

229:12, 229:15, 229:18, 231:5, 234:25, 235:6, 235:7 trainings [1] - 126:23 transition [4] - 71:23, 71:25, 119:5, 121:5 translate [2] - 229:24, 230:25 Transmission [1] -16:15 transmission [5] -12:7, 18:15, 29:16. 34:1, 183:2 transmitted [1] -135:25 transport [2] - 33:21, 121:24 Transport [3] - 4:6, 8:23, 206:24 Transport's [1] - 9:2 Transportation [2] -211:16, 212:6 travel [8] - 42:10, 85:14, 133:20, 134:17, 135:4, 135:7, 223:2, 223:8 treat [4] - 44:16, 71:18. 74:9 treated [3] - 23:19, 43:20, 44:21 treatment [6] - 20:4, 22:1, 22:17, 23:15, 41:14, 142:19 tree [1] - 71:3 treeline [1] - 183:1 trees [1] - 45:16 tremendous [5] -36:24, 101:9, 101:10, 101:18, 101:19 tried [5] - 22:24, 41:14, 79:1, 103:3, 192:20 trigger [1] - 232:18 triggers [1] - 227:21 trimming [1] - 71:3 trip [1] - 223:7 trouble [2] - 66:25, 217:17 truck [4] - 66:21, 82:12, 82:17, 85:4 true [10] - 80:19, 141:4, 141:12, 144:19, 144:21, 179:19, 179:22, 207:2, 223:12, 223:14 truly [1] - 161:12 Trust [1] - 238:19 truth [6] - 6:13, 38:24, 59:17, 109:3, 178:19, 206:10 try [18] - 12:2, 43:23,

30:14, 32:13,

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

56:10, 69:21, 76:19, 83:4, 88:3, 122:13, 128:8, 132:1, 136:13, 136:25, 140:7, 140:9, 175:21, 198:5, 213:17 trying [11] - 47:10, 57:6, 63:13, 63:15, 86:23, 96:2, 124:23, 129:17, 204:8, 218:13, 222:17 tube [2] - 43:20, 43:24 turn [11] - 12:14, 38:7, 51:20, 76:17, 108:12, 148:22, 152:18. 153:4. 155:10, 192:21, 225:10 turning [2] - 153:10, 188:12 turns [1] - 97:9 twice [1] - 164:17 twisting [1] - 86:13 two [32] - 22:2, 40:9, 50:23. 66:22. 72:14. 77:13, 77:22, 81:19, 85:1, 87:18, 101:5, 104:10, 105:2, 106:3, 131:17, 138:19, 138:23, 139:8, 177:21, 180:23, 186:7, 186:9, 187:15, 202:13, 222:20, 233:20, 235:11, 238:15, 238:17, 238:20, 239:11, 239:24 type [23] - 11:24, 16:17, 31:14, 32:2, 32:16, 44:16, 49:15, 73:19, 89:13, 95:24, 130:13, 133:13, 133:14. 133:23. 141:25, 156:14, 175:2, 176:16, 176:20, 190:4, 214:3, 221:22, 233:6 typed [1] - 177:14 types [9] - 18:13, 32:12, 117:20, 124:11, 149:3, 209:7, 227:17, 231:14, 236:14 typical [2] - 215:6, 216:24 typically [4] - 155:6, 185:12, 194:21, 220:9 typo [1] - 112:4

U **Uh-oh** [1] - 76:18 ultimately [13] -114:16, 130:10, 136:14, 141:20, 161:15, 165:8, 165:24, 169:20, 170:13, 172:15, 173:20, 174:23, 176:11 unable [3] - 62:1, 102:23, 111:24 unaddressed [1] -195:4 unaware [1] - 144:25 uncle [2] - 85:5 unconscious [2] -147:11, 147:17 uncover [1] - 189:8 under [25] - 36:16, 70:11, 82:10, 83:2, 88:15, 90:18, 96:22, 97:14, 102:15, 117:18, 117:23, 123:13, 124:3, 124:21, 134:4, 156:20, 167:22, 168:3, 169:11, 179:15, 184:12, 188:23, 211:15, 230:12, 232:15 underground [1] -29:16 underneath [5] -87:22, 115:4, 184:8, 188:17, 189:21 understood [8] - 29:5, 30:4, 52:20, 96:9, 98:15, 126:15, 184:4, 202:12 undertaken [1] - 117:3 unfair [1] - 113:12 unfit [1] - 23:18 unforeseen [4] -188:19, 189:7, 189:12, 190:2 unfortunate [1] -81:21 unfortunately [1] -167:1 UNIDENTIFIED [3] -58:18, 101:12, 101:14 uninsured [1] - 75:6

unintended [1] - 133:8

Union [2] - 74:15,

unique [1] - 230:4

United [2] - 40:25,

unit [1] - 116:12

74:22

151:2

universe [1] - 141:18 unknowns [1] - 42:4 Unless [1] - 77:5 unless [1] - 193:4 unnecessary [1] -234:3 unpack [1] - 82:8 unpleasant [1] - 50:16 unprotected [1] - 71:6 unresponsive [1] -147:10 unstable [1] - 31:20 unsure [1] - 134:25 untouched [1] - 46:1 unusually [2] -117:22, 117:24 **up** [103] - 4:23, 7:1, 12:16, 19:7, 23:5, 24:1, 25:1, 25:4, 26:3, 30:11, 40:19, 41:10, 46:17, 47:13, 49:11, 49:18, 50:9, Valera [1] - 190:12 53:23, 56:6, 62:17, validate [4] - 119:16, 62:24, 63:10, 63:25, 64:25, 65:5, 65:7, 65:16, 65:18, 65:24, 66:7, 66:21, 66:22, 66:23, 68:4, 68:24, 69:15, 71:6, 71:7, 73:20, 75:25, 76:8, 76:10, 76:14, 76:17, 76:21, 78:17, 78:21, 79:9, 79:12, 79:14, 82:15, 85:5, 85:14, 85:16, 86:20, 86:25, 87:14, 88:1, 88:3, 88:16, 90:21, 93:5, 97:8, 99:15, 100:13, 100:16, 101:3, 107:15, 107:19, 109:20, 111:25, 112:19, 116:19, 120:3, 135:14, 136:21, 150:23, 157:8, 164:20, 164:21, 167:3, 170:18, 172:3, 173:1, 173:23, 173:25, 181:11, 185:4, 188:1, 188:11, 188:25, 192:24, 195:20, 222:6, 223:11, 223:18, 223:22, 237:5, 239:7, 239:24, 240:23 update [2] - 203:9, 204:3 updated [6] - 181:20, 202:19, 202:23,

upheaval [1] - 34:16 **upper** [2] - 69:17, 194:2 upset [1] - 103:1 upstream [1] - 19:21 USAs [1] - 117:23 useful [1] - 13:14 uses [2] - 132:17, 187:6 utilities [5] - 63:19, 67:12, 69:12, 74:9, 89:14 utility [1] - 64:17 utilize [1] - 144:14 utilized [1] - 142:3 utilizing [3] - 19:14, 150:12, 213:5 UTV [1] - 71:1

119:23, 173:23, 176:12 Valley [2] - 20:5, 160:22 valley [1] - 70:18 valleys [1] - 73:15 valuable [2] - 102:13, 102:14 values [7] - 129:11, 129:22, 130:22, 134:6, 156:10, 174:24 valves [2] - 66:25, 118:18 Van [1] - 137:25 vapor [6] - 132:12, 133:19, 148:5, 150:7, 162:2, 162:7 vaporization [1] -130:14 variables [1] - 149:2 variation [2] - 90:23, 160:12 variety [2] - 136:20, 154:3 various [17] - 10:18, 12:22, 27:15, 52:23, 60:7, 70:2, 85:10, 102:25, 126:4, 133:19, 153:1, 153:2, 158:5, 159:3, 159:12, 221:24, 227:5 vegetation [3] - 10:16, 10:23, 27:14 vehicle [2] - 73:18, 76:21 vehicles [2] - 47:13, 75:21

vein [1] - 95:6 ventilation [1] - 43:21 ventilator [2] - 44:4, 44.8 ventilators [1] - 44:17 veracity [1] - 234:14 verbally [1] - 135:24 verify [2] - 191:11, 199:21 versed [1] - 144:13 version [1] - 106:21 versions [1] - 135:15 versus [1] - 214:20 vests [1] - 236:2 victims [1] - 41:9 Victor [3] - 8:21, 8:25, 204:8 video [1] - 241:1 view [6] - 69:21, 70:13, 139:1, 144:22, 187:14, 189:23 vigilance [1] - 48:10 violating [1] - 136:11 virgin [1] - 191:6 visit [3] - 42:25, 47:2, 56.4 visited [2] - 11:17, 11:19 visiting [1] - 22:22 visits [1] - 72:4 visually [1] - 155:9 voice [1] - 217:19 voiced [1] - 167:10 voiceless [1] - 95:22 voltage [1] - 64:5 voluntary [3] - 50:10, 104:4, 105:22 volunteer [1] - 221:25 volunteers [2] -107:17, 138:8

W

Wachter [10] - 48:15, 180:21, 181:4, 182:2, 182:6, 182:12, 186:4, 186:6, 200:21, 202:3 Wachter's [1] - 180:18 Wade [3] - 100:2, 178:8, 178:11 **WADE** [5] - 178:11, 178:15, 178:17, 178:21, 179:1 Wade's [1] - 173:3 wagon [1] - 90:14 wagons [1] - 85:8 Wahpeton [5] -183:21, 194:12, 196:2, 196:5, 196:17 wait [3] - 44:21, 76:24,

203:5, 203:10,

updates [1] - 203:18

203:13

June 3, 2024, Formal hearing ND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

149:18 waiting [1] - 44:23 wake [2] - 63:10, 85.16 walk [2] - 64:18, 123:22 walking [1] - 47:5 wall [1] - 41:10 walls [1] - 22:23 Waloch [2] - 198:15, 198:19 Walochs [1] - 198:14 wandered [1] - 78:12 wants [1] - 132:25 warm [1] - 97:8 warmer [1] - 120:13 Washington [1] - 63:6 waste [6] - 45:25, 46:9, 46:10, 46:17, 46:19, 46:20 watch [2] - 78:13, 99:20 watched [1] - 77:12 water [92] - 6:25, 7:16, 7:21, 7:25, 8:2, 8:4, 8:6, 9:25, 14:7, 15:1, 15:3, 18:15, 19:1, 19:2, 19:3, 19:5, 19:6, 19:8, 19:9, 19:15, 19:16, 19:19, 19:22, 19:24, 20:3, 20:4, 20:8, 20:14, 20:24, 21:3, 21:4, 21:14, 21:18, 21:20, 21:24, 22:1, 22:3, 22:16, 22:17, 23:2, 23:11, 23:14, 23:15, 23:16, 23:17, 23:19, 24:10, 24:11, 24:13, 24:17, 24:24, 25:3, 25:5, 25:7, 26:14, 26:16, 26:18, 27:15, 28:22, 33:18, 36:24, 41:25, 64:7, 66:19, 66:22, 66:23, 67:10, 68:20, 70:18, 73:14, 73:15, 80:4, 83:16, 84:2, 84:22, 90:9, 90:10, 117:13, 117:15, 118:1, 118:6 Water [16] - 8:1, 20:18, 21:10, 21:16, 22:16, 22:19, 23:2, 23:22, 24:1, 26:4, 26:8, 26:9, 26:17, 26:20, 28:19. 67:2 water-related [1] -33:18 waterline [1] - 67:20 waterlines [1] - 67:4 waters [2] - 21:2, 21:13 watershed [1] - 19:17

watertank [1] - 66:21 Wayne [1] - 178:11 wayside [1] - 68:15 wear [1] - 71:5 weather [2] - 66:18, 66:24 Weather [1] - 146:10 weeded [1] - 138:13 week [3] - 107:19, 115:24, 118:14 weekend [5] - 42:22, 109:17, 109:22, 113:21, 206:21 weeks [1] - 41:10 weight [2] - 119:20, 176:12 welcome [1] - 178:9 welfare [4] - 50:3, 96:2, 103:14, 103:19 well-being [1] - 43:11 wells [3] - 26:10, 83:15 West [1] - 63:5 west [14] - 11:22, 30:12, 58:20, 58:21, 84:5, 84:24, 87:8, 87:25, 88:10, 91:12, 94:5, 94:7, 160:15, 192:24 western [3] - 32:21, 84:24, 88:25 wet [8] - 73:17, 73:24, 83:22, 84:13, 84:18, 84:21, 87:22, 87:23 wetlands [2] - 83:22, 198.21 whatnot [1] - 176:21 wheel [2] - 64:24, 82:19 whereby [1] - 221:22 whole [3] - 76:16, 91:11, 229:19 wide [2] - 185:9, 185:17 width [1] - 185:4 wife's [2] - 72:9 willing [15] - 23:4, 50:21, 50:22, 79:25, 127:17, 184:7, 184:11, 184:15, 187:20, 187:22,

187:24. 188:17.

189:20, 194:12,

Wilton [1] - 22:4

wind [5] - 29:14,

161:2, 165:6

42:11, 146:18,

windchill [3] - 120:6,

windmill [3] - 67:13,

win [1] - 90:19

199:8

120:8

87:17

windmills [1] - 82:13 window [2] - 76:9, 76:11 Wing [1] - 22:7 winter [1] - 165:5 winters [1] - 118:16 wiped [1] - 75:7 WITNESS [86] - 34:15, 34:22, 35:8, 35:15, 35:24, 36:3, 37:18, 54:18, 54:22, 54:25, 55:6. 55:8. 55:12. 55:18, 55:24, 56:2, 56:14, 56:16, 56:21, 58:2, 58:5, 61:19, 61:21, 61:23, 98:9, 98:21, 101:13, 101:17, 104:25, 105:4, 106:20, 107:1. 107:4. 164:5. 164:13, 165:22, 166:17, 167:1, 167:7, 167:21, 168:1, 168:5, 168:13, 168:17, 169:2. 169:9. 169:14, 170:7, 170:13, 171:3, 171:5, 171:19, 171:22, 171:24, 172:19, 173:10, 173:17. 174:14. 175:19, 177:1, 199:16, 200:18, 200:24, 201:12, 201:17, 201:21, 201:24, 202:5, 202:9, 202:12, 202:24, 203:3, 203:23, 204:20, 205:13, 217:18, 217:20, 235:24, 236:11, 236:24, 237:7. 237:12. 237:18, 237:20, 237:23, 238:2 witness [21] - 5:16, 37:19, 43:25, 58:13, 107:18, 108:16, 112:12, 118:14, 123:3, 123:6, 123:25, 126:11, 128:16, 144:25, 177:6, 184:20, 205:14, 220:23, 223:17. 234:8. 239:20 witnessed [2] - 41:13, 71:13 witnesses [7] -

238.23 wonder [1] - 69:19 wonderful [3] - 68:12, 128:24. 132:5 wondering [3] - 52:6, 118:13, 238:12 wood [1] - 83:10 wooden [3] - 69:15, 70:17, 70:20 word [5] - 50:16, 174:4, 175:21, 187:7, 191:18 words [3] - 139:8, 140:10, 160:12 workday [1] - 164:18 works [5] - 47:24, 52:23, 128:11, 218:14, 229:2 world [1] - 46:4 worried [3] - 66:8, 67:25, 72:18 worries [1] - 40:16 worry [1] - 43:11 worse [1] - 71:20 worst [14] - 51:8, 81:7, 88:7, 89:15, 133:13, 133:14, 155:23, 156:1, 156:2, 156:14, 156:20, 174:22, 175:2, 175:13 worst-case [9] -133:13, 133:14, 155:23, 156:1, 156:2, 156:14, 156:20, 174:22, 175:13 wrap [1] - 26:3 wrappers [1] - 71:9 wrapping [1] - 150:23 write [2] - 35:21, 218:10 writing [3] - 17:13, 218:19, 221:22 written [14] - 62:16, 63:15, 109:18,

X xylene [1] - 229:22 Y

111:2, 126:20,

146:8, 152:8,

161:24, 179:13,

185:3, 208:8, 208:11

180:3, 180:16,

wrote [2] - 57:25,

yard [1] - 46:17

207:1

yards [1] - 46:12 year [20] - 19:10, 46:11, 46:12, 52:25, 63:24, 63:25, 64:23, 65:4, 65:15, 66:18, 87:23, 87:24, 93:2, 171:25, 187:15, 196:16, 219:1, 222:21 years [28] - 8:2, 18:4, 34:14, 40:16, 40:20,

34:14, 40:16, 40:20, 43:8, 45:13, 50:23, 63:2, 63:21, 66:6, 66:13, 68:19, 81:19, 83:6, 83:14, 84:1, 84:8, 84:13, 85:7, 97:13, 168:25, 169:3, 185:13, 187:15, 195:15, 210:7, 210:11

years' [1] - 6:8

yellow [3] - 64:21,

yellow [3] - 64:21, 181:21, 182:20 yesterday [9] - 11:22, 49:19, 50:20, 86:15, 89:7, 89:22, 190:16, 190:17, 192:20 young [6] - 84:9, 85:8,

95:9, 102:1, 102:2, 138:14

yourself [3] - 104:10, 141:25, 211:12

Ζ

zags [2] - 79:22, 91:7 **zigs** [2] - 79:22, 91:7 **Zoom** [1] - 187:24

107:10, 108:9,

108:14, 177:8,

238:3, 238:18,