

Credible.
Independent.
In the public interest.

## Regulatory and Knowledge Gaps in the Safe Transportation of Carbon Dioxide by Pipeline

This document is intended to provide an overview of federal regulatory and knowledge gaps related to the safe transport of carbon dioxide by pipeline. Following the CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline rupture in Satartia, MS in 2020 which sent 45 people to the hospital, the Pipeline Safety Trust (PST) commissioned a <u>report</u> to examine various aspects of carbon dioxide transmission pipeline safety and federal pipeline safety regulations related to CO<sub>2</sub>. The report identified numerous **regulatory gaps** outlined below.

- 1. The current definition of "carbon dioxide" in the federal pipeline safety regulation does not apply to all CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines that may be developed for CCS projects.
  - a. Currently, only CO<sub>2</sub> that is moved in a supercritical state is regulated under the current definition.
  - b. Federal regulations need to be modified to assure that federal standards apply to all CO<sub>2</sub> transmission pipelines that transport CO<sub>2</sub> in all phases, including supercritical, gas, and liquid CO<sub>2</sub>.
- 2. There is currently no defined safe distance or plume dispersion model for developing a potential impact area (PIR) along CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines.
  - a. CO<sub>2</sub> has unique physical properties which warrant the development of a unique PIR zone to be promulgated into federal pipeline regulation.
- 3. There is currently no requirement to add an odorant to transported CO<sub>2</sub>.
  - a. Carbon dioxide is odorless, colorless, doesn't burn, and is heavier than air meaning that releases are harder to observe and therefore avoid.
  - b. Adding an odorant would help alert the public of a CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline rupture.
- 4. The unique physical properties of CO<sub>2</sub> moved at high pressures through pipelines can cause running ductile fractures upon rupturing.
  - a. This essentially means that a pipe has a higher likelihood of opening up like a zipper when a rupture occurs, leading to more product being released over a shorter period of time and potentially violent and dangerous pipe shrapnel.
  - Fracture propagation protection, or fracture arresters, and steel thickness requirements should be carefully examined and incorporated into federal CO₂ pipeline design regulations.
- 5. Contaminants within CO<sub>2</sub> products being transported can jeopardize the integrity of the pipeline.
  - a. Water, when mixed with carbon dioxide, can form carbonic acid which has the ability to rapidly erode carbon steel.
  - b. Different industries can produce numerous other contaminants which can be toxic to public health and/or affect the temperature and pressure of the product, potentially impacting the safe operation of the pipeline.
  - c. Standards for maximum contaminant levels within different CO<sub>2</sub> producing industries should be reviewed and set by PHMSA in the federal pipeline safety regulations.
- 6. The risks associated with the conversion of existing transmission pipelines to  $CO_2$  service have not been fully investigated.

W151

- a. Given the unique properties of CO<sub>2</sub> mentioned previously, pipeline conversions have the potential to be at higher risk of failure from CO<sub>2</sub> service than conventional hydrocarbon or even new construction CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines.
- b. PHMSA needs to develop detailed safety standards for the conversion of existing lines to CO<sub>2</sub> service.

The incident in Satartia also prompted the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) to initiate the funding of <u>new research and development (R&D) projects</u> related to the safe transportation of carbon dioxide through pipelines. These projects, titled "Developing Design and Welding Requirements Including Material Testing and Qualification of New and Existing Pipelines for Transporting CO<sub>2</sub>" will attempt to address many of the elements needing more research mentioned in the above regulatory gaps. The projects are intended to cover the following **knowledge gaps**:

- 1. The appropriate fracture toughness and steel pipe quality is currently unknown to prevent CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline leak or ruptures.
  - a. R&D project findings may be used to develop pipe quality standards and strategies for the correct placement of fracture mitigation measures along these pipelines.
- 2. The effects of corrosion, dents, cracks, or gouges have yet to be determined on a wide range of steel grades regarding CO<sub>2</sub> operation.
- 3. Odorization strategies have yet to be identified.
  - a. Odorization of CO<sub>2</sub> is likely one of the simplest ways to ensure effective leak detection as well as public safety and emergency response.
- 4. There is currently no defined safe distance or plume dispersion model for developing a potential impact area (PIR) along CO₂ pipelines.
  - a. Without a PIR, it is impossible to establish accurate emergency response safe distances. Due to the asphyxiation potential for CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, this could have deadly consequences.
  - b. Only once an appropriate PIR for CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines has been established can PHMSA assess the effectiveness of integrity management procedures.
- 5. Results from these projects will not be available for two years and the funding provided to study these complex issues is relatively small.

In addition to these issues, PHMSA has directed the researchers of this project to "identify safety gaps and requirements to support best practices for both gaseous and supercritical liquid systems, beyond the requirements of 49 CFR Part 195 for CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline transportation."

Considering the scope of this project, and the directive to look at CO<sub>2</sub> as both a gas and a liquid, it is clear that PHMSA is concerned not only with the under-regulation of CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, but also with the current lack of technical knowledge which is needed to create appropriate minimum safety standards.

With a focus on reducing the impacts of climate change, the expansion of the 45Q tax credits, and now with the Inflation Reduction Act being signed into law, there has been a massive push for Carbon Capture Sequestration and Storage projects across the country. However, the regulatory and knowledge gaps outlined above make clear the need for caution moving forward.