Exhibit 6

Case No. 08-2025-CV-02068

dnill@farmlaw.com

From:

dnill@farmlaw.com

Sent:

Thursday, September 18, 2025 11:01 AM

To:

'Zachary E. Pelham'; 'Paul Forster'

Cc:

'Steve Leibel'; 'Erik Edison'

Subject:

RE: Wano Twp v. PSC/OTP/MDU; Briefing Schedule

Counsel,

We don't consent to an extension. Judge Weiler issued an expedited schedule on Sept. 10 after the Sept. 8 hearing. With the 49-22 docket (PU-25-236) now live and on statutory timelines, additional delay would prejudice Appellants. We'll proceed under the Court's order and will oppose any extension request.

Sincerely, Douglas J. Nill Douglas J. Nill, PLLC d/b/a FarmLaw 1850 Fifth Street Towers 150 South Fifth Street Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 573-3669 dnill@farmlaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail is confidential. If may contain or transmit a legally privileged communication. It was not intended to be sent to, or received by, any unauthorized person. If you have received this email in error, please delete it from your system without copying it. Please also notify me by reply email or a telephone call, so that I may correct my address records. Thank you.

From: Zachary E. Pelham <zep@pearce-durick.com> Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2025 4:33 PM

To: dnill@farmlaw.com; 'Paul Forster' <pforster@crowleyfleck.com>

Cc: 'Steve Leibel' <steve@bismarck-attornevs.com>: 'Erik Edison' <eiedison@crowleyfleck.com>

Subject: RE: Wano Twp v. PSC/OTP/MDU; Briefing Schedule

Good afternoon Doug:

Thanks for your email.

PSC would respectfully ask to be given 21 days to respond to your clients' brief once it is filed. I don't think that is unreasonable request and would ask that you reconsider your position on not agreeing to this extension request.

Thank you. Sincerely, Zack

Zachary Pelham | Attorney

Pearce Durick Pulc

314 E. Thayer Avenue Bismarck, ND 58502 **Main** 701.223.2890 | **Fax** 701.223.7865 www.pearce-durick.com





<u>Note</u>: This e-mail contains information from the law firm of Pearce Durick PLLC that may be proprietary, confidential, or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please delete it and contact me.

From: dnill@farmlaw.com <dnill@farmlaw.com> Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2025 9:33 AM

To: 'Paul Forster' <<u>pforster@crowleyfleck.com</u>>; Zachary E. Pelham <<u>zep@pearce-durick.com</u>> Cc: 'Steve Leibel' <<u>steve@bismarck-attorneys.com</u>>; 'Erik Edison' <<u>ejedison@crowleyfleck.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Wano Twp v. PSC/OTP/MDU; Briefing Schedule

Counsel,

We appreciate the outreach. Given Judge Weiler's stated intent to expedite and the Court's September 10 order, we prefer to proceed as scheduled: Appellants' brief due no later than October 1; Appellees' responses due ten days after service. We are content to submit on the briefs and defer to the Court's discretion under Rule 9.1; if the Court believes argument would be helpful, we will of course make ourselves available.

We do not presently seek a reply. If new issues are raised in the responses, we will promptly seek leave for a short reply limited to those issues.

If you intend to move for an extension, please let us know; we will oppose.

Sincerely,
Douglas J. Nill
Douglas J. Nill, PLLC
d/b/a FarmLaw
1850 Fifth Street Towers
150 South Fifth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 573-3669
dnill@farmlaw.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail is confidential. If may contain or transmit a legally privileged communication. It was not intended to be sent to, or received by, any unauthorized person. If you have received this email in error, please delete it from your system without copying it. Please also notify me by reply email or a telephone call, so that I may correct my address records. Thank you.

From: Paul Forster pforster@crowleyfleck.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2025 5:14 PM

To: Zachary E. Pelham < zep@pearce-durick.com >; dnill@farmlaw.com

Cc: Steve Leibel <steve@bismarck-attorneys.com>; Erik Edison <ejedison@crowleyfleck.com>

Subject: RE: Wano Twp v. PSC/OTP/MDU; Briefing Schedule

Zack,

Thank you for reaching out on this. I am fine with the briefing schedule you propose.

Regarding oral argument, Rule of Court 9.1 leaves it to the court's discretion whether or not to permit oral argument on an administrative appeal. A mid-November date works for me, if Judge Weiler decides to permit oral argument.

Best,

PAUL FORSTER

100 West Broadway, Suite 250 P.O. Box 2798

Bismarck, ND 58501

Main: 701.223.6585 | Fax: 701.222.4853

Direct: 701.224.7546

CROWLEY FLECK

WITH OFFICES IN MONTANA, NORTH DAKOTA, AND WYOMING:
BILLINGS BISMARCK BOZEMAN BUTTE CASPER CHEYENNE HELENA KALISPELL MISSOULA SHERIDAN WILLISTON

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission may constitute an Attorney-Client communication that is privileged at law. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by reply e-mail or by calling Crowley Fleck PLLP, 406-252-3441, so that our address record can be corrected.

This email has been scanned for email related threats and delivered safely by Mimecast. For more information please visit http://www.mimecast.com

From: Zachary E. Pelham < zep@pearce-durick.com >

Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2025 2:33 PM

To: dnill@farmlaw.com; Paul Forster <pforster@crowleyfleck.com>

Cc: Steve Leibel <steve@bismarck-attorneys.com>; Erik Edison <ejedison@crowleyfleck.com>

Subject: Wano Twp v. PSC/OTP/MDU; Briefing Schedule

This message was received from an external email account. Please use caution when opening messages, attachments or external links from unknown senders.

Good afternoon gentlemen:

I'm emailing re: the briefing scheduling the court recently put out on this, giving appellants 21 days to file their brief and then 10 days for PSC and OTP/MDU to file responses.

I don't know about your schedules, but I'd appreciate it if we could agree on a date certain schedule and I can tell you I'll need more than 10 days to file a response brief. I'd proposed Appellants' deadline as October 3rd, Appellees deadline as October 24th, and then a 10 days for Appellants to file a reply brief, which would be November 3rd (currently, no reply period is provided for in the briefing schedule). I would expect oral argument to be requested on the briefing...so we may want to ask the court for a date in mid-November for that?

Open to alterations of this. But if we can agree, I can put together a stipulation and a proposed order for the court.

Thank you. Sincerely, Zack

Zachary Pelham | Attorney

Pearce Durick PLLC

314 E. Thayer Avenue Bismarck, ND 58502 **Main** 701,223,2890 | **Fax** 701,223,7865 <u>www.pearce-durick.com</u>





<u>Note</u>: This e-mail contains information from the law firm of Pearce Durick PLLC that may be proprietary, confidential, or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please delete it and contact me.

From: NoReply@ndcourts.gov < NoReply@ndcourts.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, September 10, 2025 9:13 AM
To: Zachary E. Pelham < zep@pearce-durick.com >
Cc: Dayna Fredrickson < dayna@pearce-durick.com >

Subject: Notification of Documents Filed

Filing report for Zachary Pelham:

08-2025-CV-02068
Wano Township, et al. vs. North Dakota Public Service

Notice Doc ID# 93 re: Briefing Schedule

Commission, et al. Administrative Appeal Burleigh County 8:44:04 AM

Exhibit Doc ID# 94

Exhibit 19 - Petition to Intervene, Rescind, and Reopen Proceedings with Attachments 8:53:12 AM

Service Document Doc ID# 95

Affidavit of Service by Electronic Mail on S. Leibel, D. Nill, P. Forster, E. Edison, C. Furey, A. Waldon, D. Wrigley, Z. Pelham 8:53:14 AM

You are receiving this email because you have elected to be notified when new events are filed in your case(s). If you would like to modify your subscription <u>click here</u> or if you have received this email in error, contact the Help Desk at 701-328-4218 or email at <u>helpdesk@ndcourts.gov</u>.